

# On spin 3 interacting with gravity

Yu. M. Zinoviev \*

*Institute for High Energy Physics  
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142280, Russia*

## Abstract

Recently Boulanger and Leclercq have constructed cubic four derivative  $3 - 3 - 2$  vertex for interaction of spin 3 and spin 2 particles. This vertex is trivially invariant under the gauge transformations of spin 2 field, so it seemed that it could be expressed in terms of (linearized) Riemann tensor. And indeed in this paper we managed to reproduce this vertex in the form  $R\partial\Phi\partial\Phi$ , where  $R$  — linearized Riemann tensor and  $\Phi$  — completely symmetric third rank tensor. Then we consider deformation of this vertex to  $(A)dS$  space and show that such deformation produce "standard" gravitational interaction for spin 3 particles (in linear approximation) in agreement with general construction of Fradkin and Vasiliev. Then we turn to the massive case and show that the same higher derivative terms allows one to extend gauge invariant description of massive spin 3 particle from constant curvature spaces to arbitrary gravitational backgrounds satisfying  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ .

arXiv:0805.2226v1 [hep-th] 15 May 2008

---

\*E-mail address: Yuri.Zinoviev@ihep.ru

# Introduction

The problem of constructing consistent interactions for high spin particles is an old but still unsolved one (see e.g. reviews in [1, 2, 3]). In this, one of the classical and important tasks is investigation of gravitational interactions for such particles. It was known for a long time that it is not possible to construct standard gravitational interaction for massless high spin  $s \geq 5/2$  particles in flat Minkowski space [4, 5, 6] (see also recent discussion in [7]). At the same time, it has been shown [8, 9] that this task indeed has a solution in  $(A)dS$  space with non-zero cosmological term. The reason is that gauge invariance, that turn out to be broken when one replace ordinary partial derivatives by the gravitational covariant ones, could be restored with the introduction of higher derivative corrections containing gauge invariant Riemann tensor. These corrections have coefficients proportional to inverse powers of cosmological constant so that such theories do not have naive flat limit. But it is perfectly possible to have a limit when both cosmological term and gravitational coupling constant simultaneously go to zero in such a way that only interactions with highest number of derivatives survive.

It is natural to suggest that in any realistic high spin theory (like in superstring) most of high spin particles must be massive and their gauge symmetries spontaneously broken. So a very important, interesting and up to now poorly investigated problem is to find a mechanism of spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking which could deform massless particles in  $(A)dS$  space into massive one in flat Minkowski space. But if such mechanism does exist, one can apply the same line of reasoning about massless limit in resulting theory. Namely, it is natural to suggest that there exists a limit when both mass and gravitational coupling constant simultaneously go to zero so that only some interactions containing Riemann tensor survive.

In both cases above, the crucial point is the existence of cubic higher derivative spin  $s - s - 2$  vertex containing (linearized) Riemann tensor and two massless spin  $s$  particles in flat Minkowski space. For spin  $s = 3$  case an appropriate candidate has been constructed recently in [10]. Really, the Lagrangian for four derivatives  $3 - 3 - 2$  vertex given in this paper does not look to be the one we need here, but it is trivially invariant under the spin 2 gauge transformations so it can be expressed in terms of (linearized) Riemann tensor. Thus in the next section we reproduce this vertex in the desired form. Then we consider deformation of this vertex into  $(A)dS$  space and show that such deformation does produce a standard gravitational interaction for spin 3 particle (in linear approximation).

After that we turn to the main question we are interested in: could non-zero mass play the same role as non-zero cosmological constant. To our opinion the most natural and convenient formalism for investigation of spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking is gauge invariant description of massive high spin particles, which nicely works both in flat Minkowski space as well as  $(A)dS$  ones [11, 12, 13]. Due to large number of fields involved (four for massive spin  $s = 3$  particle) complete investigation of gravitational interaction for massive spin 3 requires a lot of work and we leave it to the future (example for much simpler spin  $s = 2$  case see [14]), so in this paper as a first step we consider massive spin 3 particle in arbitrary gravitational background satisfying its free equations, i.e.  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . In this, only terms containing full Riemann tensor survive which greatly simplifies all calculations.

In Section 3 we start with gauge invariant description of massive spin 3 particle in flat

Minkowski space. In such formalism the problem of switching on a gravitational interaction looks exactly the same as for massless particles. Namely, when one replace ordinary partial derivatives by the covariant ones the gauge invariance of the Lagrangian turns out to be broken, but this non-invariance contains terms with curvature tensor only leaving us the possibility to restore gauge invariance with the help of higher derivative corrections. And in the Section 4 we show that such restoration is indeed possible (at least in the linear approximation) in this the same cubic four derivative 3 – 3 – 2 vertex plays the main role.

## 1 Cubic vertex 3-3-2 with four derivatives

As we have already mentioned, crucial point is the existence of some cubic higher derivative vertex in flat Minkowski space containing (linearized) curvature tensor for spin 2 field. Appropriate vertex has been constructed recently in [10]. The form of the Lagrangian written in this paper does not seem to be the one we are looking for, but its trivial invariance under the spin 2 gauge transformations shows that it can be reduced to the required form. In this Section we reconstruct this vertex by using brute force method, namely we start with the sum of free Lagrangians for massless spin 3 and spin 2 particles and their usual gauge transformations and consider the most general four derivative cubic vertex and appropriate corrections to gauge transformations.

The usual free Lagrangian for symmetric third rank tensor  $\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}$  has the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\Phi_{\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{3}{2}(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\nu}(\partial\Phi)_{\mu\nu} - 3(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \frac{3}{2}\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu\partial_\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \frac{3}{4}(\partial\tilde{\Phi})(\partial\tilde{\Phi}) \quad (1)$$

where  $(\partial\Phi)_{\mu\nu} = \partial^\alpha\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}_\mu = g^{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\mu}$ . This Lagrangian is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \partial_\mu\xi_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_\nu\xi_{\mu\alpha} + \partial_\alpha\xi_{\mu\nu} \quad (2)$$

where parameter  $\xi_{\mu\nu}$  symmetric  $\xi_{\mu\nu} = \xi_{\nu\mu}$  and traceless  $\xi_\mu{}^\mu = 0$ . Analogously, the well known Lagrangian for symmetric second rank tensor  $h_{\mu\nu}$  looks as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu h_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial h)^\mu(\partial h)_\mu + (\partial h)^\mu\partial_\mu h - \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu h\partial_\mu h \quad (3)$$

being invariant under the gauge transformations with vector parameter:

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\xi_\nu + \partial_\nu\xi_\mu \quad (4)$$

The most general four derivative cubic vertex which is trivially invariant under the spin 2 gauge transformations can be written (symbolically) as:

$$\mathcal{L} \sim R\partial^2\Phi\Phi \oplus R\partial\Phi\partial\Phi$$

where  $R$  denotes linearized Riemann tensor:

$$R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = \partial_\mu\partial_\alpha h_{\nu\beta} - \partial_\nu\partial_\alpha h_{\mu\beta} - \partial_\mu\partial_\beta h_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_\nu\partial_\beta h_{\mu\alpha} \quad (5)$$

In this, the most general ansatz for the gauge transformation corrections has the form:

$$\delta\Phi \sim \partial R\xi \oplus R\partial\xi \quad \delta h \sim \partial^3\Phi\xi \oplus \partial^2\Phi\partial\xi$$

Note, that we will not introduce corrections to the gauge transformations containing higher derivatives on gauge transformation parameter  $\xi$  because such terms (in general) change the whole structure of constraints which leads to a change in number of physical degrees of freedom.

There are a number of ambiguities which arise in such straightforward construction (see e.g. discussion in [15]):

- First of all, the interacting Lagrangian and gauge transformations are always defined up to possible field redefinitions, which do not change physical content of the theory. In this particular case, we have a number of field redefinitions of the form:

$$\Phi \Rightarrow \Phi \oplus R\Phi$$

- Due to gauge invariance of free Lagrangians, gauge transformations in this approximation are defined up total divergency:

$$\delta\Phi \sim \partial(R\xi), \quad \delta h \sim \partial(\partial^2\Phi\xi)$$

- Working with higher derivative gauge transformations one always faces a number of trivial symmetries, i.e. gauge transformations leaving sum of free Lagrangians invariant which do not correspond to any non-trivial interactions [16].
- At last, not all the terms in the interacting Lagrangians which could be constructed are independent because as usual such Lagrangians are defined up total divergency and there are many groups of terms which could be combined into total divergency.

We resolve these ambiguities in a threefold way. First of all, we try to lower the number of derivatives in the equations of motions. In general, working with four derivative Lagrangians we get equations containing up to the four derivatives on the fields, but in this case we managed to bring resulting Lagrangian into the form when equations contain at most third derivatives. Then we use remaining freedom to make gauge transformations as simple as possible. At last, some freedom that still remain we will use in the next Section to bring two derivative terms into the form of "standard" gravitational interaction.

Now we require that total Lagrangian be invariant under the corrected gauge transformations up to the terms bilinear in fields and also that algebra of gauge transformations be closed in the lowest order. The final Lagrangian (a result of very lengthy calculations which we will not reproduce here) has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
48M^3\mathcal{L}_1 = & 8R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[\partial^\mu\Phi^{\nu\rho\sigma}\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\beta\rho\sigma} + 2\partial^\mu\Phi^{\alpha\rho\sigma}\partial^\rho\Phi^{\sigma\nu\beta} - \partial^\rho\Phi^{\sigma\mu\alpha}\partial^\sigma\Phi^{\rho\nu\beta} - \partial^\nu\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}\partial^\beta\tilde{\Phi}^\rho - \\
& -\partial^\nu\Phi^{\mu\beta\rho}\partial^\rho\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha - \partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu\partial^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\beta + (\partial\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}(\partial\Phi)^{\nu\beta} - 3(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}\partial^\nu\tilde{\Phi}^\beta] + \\
& +4R_{\mu\nu}[-\partial^\mu\Phi^{\alpha\beta\rho}\partial^\nu\Phi^{\alpha\beta\rho} + 6\partial^\mu\Phi^{\alpha\beta\rho}\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\nu\beta\rho} - 2\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\mu\beta\rho}\partial^\beta\Phi^{\nu\alpha\rho} + \\
& +\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\mu\beta\rho}\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\nu\beta\rho} - 4\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\mu\nu\beta}(\partial\Phi)^{\alpha\beta} - 6\partial^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\beta + 2\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\mu\nu\beta}\partial^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\beta + \\
& +2\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha\partial^\nu\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha - 10\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha\partial^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\nu + 4\partial^\alpha\Phi^{\mu\nu\beta}\partial^\beta\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha + 9\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu(\partial\tilde{\Phi}) - \\
& -\partial^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\mu\partial^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\nu - 2(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}\partial^\nu\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha + 2(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}\partial^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\nu] + \\
& +R[-2\partial^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta}\partial^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta} - 2\partial^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta}\partial^\nu\Phi^{\mu\alpha\beta} + 12(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu - \\
& -4\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu + 4\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu\partial^\nu\tilde{\Phi}^\mu - 9(\partial\tilde{\Phi})(\partial\tilde{\Phi})]
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(let us stress once again that it is not in any way unique). Here, using the fact that cubic four derivative vertex for bosonic fields must have dimension  $\frac{1}{m^3}$ , we introduce characteristic scale  $M$ . In this, appropriate corrections to gauge transformations look as follows:

$$6M^3\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} = 2R_{\mu\beta\nu\rho}\partial_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]\rho} - g_{\mu\nu}R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}\partial^\sigma\eta^{\beta\rho} + (\mu\nu\alpha) \quad (7)$$

$$3M^3\delta h_{\mu\nu} = [\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho} - \partial_\alpha\partial_\mu\Phi_{\nu\rho\beta} - \partial_\alpha\partial_\nu\Phi_{\mu\rho\beta}]\partial^{[\alpha}\eta^{\rho]\beta} \quad (8)$$

Note that the  $h$ -transformations have exactly the same form as in [10], while  $\Phi$ -ones differ by total divergency. Thus, we indeed reproduce cubic vertex of this paper in the form very well suitable for investigations of gravitational spin 3 interactions.

## 2 Deformation to $(A)dS$ space

In this Section we consider deformation of four derivative vertex presented above into  $(A)dS$  space.<sup>1</sup>  $(A)dS$  space is a constant curvature space without torsion or non-metricity, so the main difference from Minkowski space is that (covariant) derivatives do not commute any more. Our conventions will be:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu]v_\alpha = -\kappa(g_{\mu\alpha}v_\nu - g_{\nu\alpha}v_\mu), \quad \kappa = \frac{2\Lambda}{(d-1)(d-2)} \quad (9)$$

For simplicity, in this Section we restrict ourselves with  $d = 4$  space. Non-commutativity of derivatives leads to some ambiguity even in the form of free Lagrangians and also requires addition to Lagrangian some mass-like terms to keep gauge invariance intact. We will use the following concrete form for the massless spin 3 Lagrangian in  $(A)dS_4$  space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = & -\frac{1}{2}D^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta}D_\mu\Phi_{\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{3}{2}(D\Phi)^{\mu\nu}(D\Phi)_{\mu\nu} - 3(D\Phi)^{\mu\nu}D_\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \frac{3}{2}D^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu D_\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \\ & + \frac{3}{4}(D\tilde{\Phi})(D\tilde{\Phi}) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Phi^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} + 9\kappa\tilde{\Phi}^\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

as well as the following one for massless spin 2 particle:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = & \frac{1}{2}D^\mu h^{\alpha\beta}D_\mu h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}(Dh)^\mu(Dh)_\mu - \frac{1}{2}D^\mu h^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha h_{\mu\beta} + (Dh)^\mu D_\mu h - \frac{1}{2}D^\mu h D_\mu h + \\ & + \kappa(h^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} - h^2) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Next, we will use the following generalization of linearized Riemann tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} 2R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = & D_\mu D_\alpha h_{\nu\beta} - D_\nu D_\alpha h_{\mu\beta} - D_\mu D_\beta h_{\nu\alpha} + D_\nu D_\beta h_{\mu\alpha} + \\ & + D_\alpha D_\mu h_{\nu\beta} - D_\alpha D_\nu h_{\mu\beta} - D_\beta D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha} + D_\beta D_\nu h_{\mu\alpha} - \\ & - 2\kappa(g_{\mu\alpha}h_{\nu\beta} - g_{\nu\alpha}h_{\mu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta}h_{\nu\alpha} + g_{\nu\beta}h_{\mu\alpha}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Besides being gauge invariant under covariant gauge transformations  $\delta h_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu\xi_\nu + D_\nu\xi_\mu$ , such definition allows us to keep usual properties of Riemann tensor such as:

$$R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}, \quad D^\mu R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = D_\alpha R_{\nu\beta} - D_\beta R_{\nu\alpha}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>As far as we know, for the first time this task was considered by M. A. Vasiliev in the middle of 80's (unpublished).

At last, there is an ambiguity in the generalization of  $h$ -transformations containing second derivatives of  $\Phi$ , but there is no any evident choice here. So we leave this transformation as is and take this ambiguity into account introducing explicit corrections of the form  $\delta h \sim \Phi D\xi$  later on.

As usual, if we replace all derivatives in our four derivative vertex and gauge transformations by the covariant ones, we loose gauge invariance. This non-invariance appears due to non-zero commutator of covariant derivatives and as a result contains lower derivative terms. Explicitly it looks as follows (again this results from some lengthy calculations we omit here):

$$\begin{aligned}
6\frac{M^3}{\kappa}\delta\mathcal{L}_1 = & D_\mu R_{\alpha\beta}(14\Phi^{\alpha\beta\nu}\xi^{\mu\nu} - 28\Phi^{\mu\alpha\nu}\xi^{\beta\nu} + 20\tilde{\Phi}^\mu\xi^{\alpha\beta} + 4\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha\xi^{\mu\beta}) - \\
& -16D_\rho R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}\Phi^{\rho\mu\alpha}\xi^{\nu\beta} + D_\mu R(4\Phi^{\mu\alpha\beta}\xi_{\alpha\beta} - 11\tilde{\Phi}_\nu\xi^{\mu\nu}) + \\
& + R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(10D^\nu\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}\xi^{\beta\rho} - 40(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}\xi^{\nu\beta} + 42D^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha\xi^{\nu\beta}) + \\
& + R_{\mu\nu}(28D^\alpha\Phi^{\mu\nu\beta}\xi_{\alpha\beta} - 24D^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta}\xi_{\alpha\beta} + 4D_\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\mu\xi^{\alpha\nu} + 4D^\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha\xi^{\nu\alpha} - \\
& -24(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}\xi^{\nu\alpha} + 11(D\tilde{\Phi})\xi^{\mu\nu}) + R(18(D\Phi)^{\mu\nu}\xi_{\mu\nu} - 22D^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu\xi_{\mu\nu}) \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Now to compensate this non-invariance we have to introduce lower derivative corrections to cubic vertex as well as to gauge transformations. From the last formula we see that "effective" gravitational coupling constant turns out to be  $\frac{\kappa}{M^3} \sim \frac{1}{m_{pl}}$ . In what follows we set this constant to be 1. We proceed as follows. First of all, we introduce all corrections which appears when one replace background metric by the "dynamical" one:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \quad (14)$$

In-particular, this requires generalization of tracelessness condition for parameter  $\xi_{\mu\nu}$ :

$$\xi_{\mu\mu} = 0 \rightarrow \xi_{\mu\mu} - h^{\mu\nu}\xi_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (15)$$

Then we replace all derivatives by the "fully" covariant ones, e.g.:

$$D_\mu\xi_{\nu\alpha} \rightarrow D_\mu\xi_{\nu\alpha} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta\xi_{\beta\alpha} - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\beta\xi_{\nu\beta} \quad (16)$$

where we introduce linearized Christoffel symbols:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu,\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha} + D_\nu h_{\mu\alpha} - D_\alpha h_{\mu\nu}) \quad (17)$$

Also we introduce transformations for the  $\Phi$  field corresponding to standard general coordinate transformations for third rank tensor:

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \xi^\beta D_\beta\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} + D_\mu\xi^\beta\Phi_{\beta\nu\alpha} + D_\nu\xi^\beta\Phi_{\mu\beta\alpha} + D_\alpha\xi^\beta\Phi_{\mu\nu\beta} \quad (18)$$

Besides these corrections reproducing (in linear approximation) standard gravitational interaction for massless spin 3 particle we need two more corrections to achieve full gauge invariance under both  $\xi_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\xi_\mu$  transformations. At first, we have to add non-minimal terms to the Lagrangian (again their explicit form is not unique):

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathcal{L} = & -4R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}\Phi^{\nu\beta\rho} + \frac{1}{4}R_{\mu\nu}(-21\Phi^{\mu\alpha\beta}\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta} + 22\Phi^{\mu\nu\alpha}\tilde{\Phi}_\alpha + 11\tilde{\Phi}^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu) + \\
& + \frac{1}{8}R(14\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}^2 - 19\tilde{\Phi}_\mu^2) \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

At last, we need some corrections to  $h$ -transformations we mentioned earlier:

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = -\Phi_{\mu\alpha\beta} D_\nu \xi^{\alpha\beta} + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) - \Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} (D\xi)^\alpha \quad (20)$$

### 3 Gauge invariant description of massive spin 3

In what follows we will use gauge invariant description of massive spin 3 particles in Minkowski space [11], though it is possible to generalize these results to  $(A)dS$  space as well [12]. Besides third rank tensor  $\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}$  we need three more fields now: symmetric second rank tensor  $f_{\mu\nu}$ , vector  $A_\mu$  and scalar  $\varphi$ . We start with the sum of massless Lagrangians for all four fields (we use non-canonical normalization of kinetic terms to simplify mass terms and gauge transformations):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{02} = & -\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \Phi_{\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{3}{2} (\partial\Phi)^{\mu\nu} (\partial\Phi)_{\mu\nu} - 3 (\partial\Phi)^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \frac{3}{2} \partial^\mu \tilde{\Phi}^\nu \partial_\mu \tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \frac{3}{4} (\partial\tilde{\Phi})(\partial\tilde{\Phi}) + \\ & + 3 \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu f^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu f_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial f)^\mu (\partial f)_\mu + (\partial f)^\mu \partial_\mu f - \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu f \partial_\mu f \right) - \\ & - \frac{6(d+1)}{d} (\partial^\mu A^\nu \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial^\mu A^\nu \partial_\nu A_\mu) + \frac{18(d+1)}{d-2} \partial^\mu \varphi \partial_\mu \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

here and in what follows  $d \geq 4$  and their usual gauge transformations:

$$\delta_{01} \Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \partial_\mu \xi_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_\nu \xi_{\mu\alpha} + \partial_\alpha \xi_{\mu\nu}, \quad \delta_{01} f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu, \quad \delta_{01} A_\mu = \partial_\mu \xi \quad (22)$$

To obtain gauge invariant description of massive spin 3 particle we introduce cross terms with one derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L}_{01} = & -\frac{3}{2} [2\Phi^{\mu\nu\alpha} \partial_\mu f_{\nu\alpha} - 4\tilde{\Phi}^\mu (\partial f)_\mu + \tilde{\Phi}^\mu \partial_\mu f] + \\ & + \frac{12(d+1)}{d} (f^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu - f(\partial A)) - \frac{36(d+1)^2}{(d-2)^2} A^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

as well as appropriate mass terms into the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m^2} \mathcal{L}_{00} = & \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}^2 - \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^2 + \frac{6(d+1)}{d} \tilde{\Phi}^\mu A_\mu - \frac{6(d+1)(d+2)}{d^2} A_\mu^2 + \\ & + \frac{9}{4} f^2 - \frac{18(d+1)}{d-2} f\varphi + \frac{36(d+1)^2}{(d-2)^2} \varphi^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

as well as appropriate corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{00} \Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} = & \frac{2m}{d} (g_{\mu\nu} \xi_\alpha + g_{\mu\alpha} \xi_\nu + g_{\nu\alpha} \xi_\mu), \quad \delta_{00} A_\mu = m \xi_\mu \\ \delta_{00} f_{\mu\nu} = & m \xi_{\mu\nu} + \frac{4(d+1)}{d(d-1)} m g_{\mu\nu} \xi, \quad \delta_{00} \varphi = m \xi \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Let us recall a relation of such gauge invariant description with usual one. Using gauge transformations with parameters  $\xi_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\xi_\mu$  and  $\xi$  one can always choose a gauge where  $f_{\mu\nu} -$

$\frac{1}{d}g_{\mu\nu}f = 0$ ,  $A_\mu = 0$  and  $\varphi = 0$ . This leaves us with the main field  $\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}$  and one scalar auxiliary field  $f$  (the trace of  $f_{\mu\nu}$ ) with the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\Phi^{\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\Phi_{\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{3}{2}(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\nu}(\partial\Phi)_{\mu\nu} - 3(\partial\Phi)^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \frac{3}{2}\partial^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu\partial_\mu\tilde{\Phi}_\nu + \frac{3}{4}(\partial\tilde{\Phi})(\partial\tilde{\Phi}) + \\ & -\frac{3(d-1)(d-2)}{d^2}\partial^\mu f\partial_\mu f - \frac{3m(d-2)}{2d}\tilde{\Phi}^\mu\partial_\mu f + m^2\left[\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\tilde{\Phi}_\mu^2 + \frac{9}{4}f^2\right] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Now if we move to non-trivial gravitational background<sup>2</sup> satisfying  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , where

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu]v_\alpha = R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}v^\beta \quad (27)$$

we lose gauge invariance

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_0 = 3R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(4D^\nu\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}\xi^{\beta\rho} - 2(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}\xi^{\nu\beta} + 4D^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha\xi^{\nu\beta} - 2f^{\mu\alpha}\xi^{\nu\beta} - 2D^\nu f^{\mu\alpha}\xi^\beta) \quad (28)$$

One could try to restore gauge invariance by adding non-minimal terms, which in this simple case are just:

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_0 = R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(b_1\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}\Phi^{\nu\beta\rho} + b_2f^{\mu\alpha}f^{\nu\beta}) \quad (29)$$

but it is easy to check that it is impossible.

## 4 Massive spin 3 in gravitational background

In this Section we show that introducing higher derivative corrections to Lagrangian and gauge transformations it is possible to obtain gauge invariant description of massive spin 3 particle in arbitrary gravitational background satisfying  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . By analogy with massless case we restrict ourselves with terms containing not more than two explicit derivatives (here we treat  $R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}$  just as external background field).

We start by investigating all possible two derivative vertexes containing full four-indexed Riemann tensor and any pair of our four fields:  $\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ ,  $f_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $A_\mu$  and  $\varphi$ .

**Vertex  $RD\Phi D\Phi$ .** Surely we have to introduce this vertex which was crucial for the massless case. Here we need only part containing full Riemann tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} 2m^2\mathcal{L}_{12} = & a_0R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[D^\mu\Phi^{\nu\rho\sigma}D^\alpha\Phi^{\beta\rho\sigma} + 2D^\mu\Phi^{\alpha\rho\sigma}D^\rho\Phi^{\sigma\nu\beta} - D^\rho\Phi^{\sigma\mu\alpha}D^\sigma\Phi^{\rho\nu\beta} - D^\nu\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}D^\beta\tilde{\Phi}^\rho \\ & - D^\nu\Phi^{\mu\beta\rho}D^\rho\tilde{\Phi}^\alpha - D^\mu\tilde{\Phi}^\nu D^\alpha\tilde{\Phi}^\beta + (D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}(D\Phi)^{\nu\beta} - 3(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha}D^\nu\tilde{\Phi}^\beta] \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and  $\Phi$  field gauge transformations:

$$m^2\delta_{11}\Phi_{\mu\nu\alpha} = a_0[R_{\mu\beta\nu\rho}D_{[\alpha}\eta_{\beta]\rho} - \frac{2}{d}g_{\mu\nu}R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}D^\sigma\eta^{\beta\rho} + (\mu\nu\alpha)] \quad (31)$$

**Vertex  $R\Phi D^2A$ .** Complete investigation of this four derivative 3-2-1 vertex will be given elsewhere, while part containing full Riemann tensor only is relatively easy to construct. It has a form:

$$m^2\Delta_1\mathcal{L}_{12} = \frac{6b_0(d+1)}{d}R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[2\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}D^\nu D^\beta A^\rho - 2\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho}D^\rho D^\nu A^\beta - D^\mu D^\alpha A^\nu\tilde{\Phi}^\beta] \quad (32)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>For massive spin 2 in gravitational background see [17, 18, 19]



and trivially invariant under  $A_\mu$  field gauge transformations, while invariance under  $\Phi$  gauge transformations requires that

$$m^2 \delta_{11} A_\mu = b_0 R_{\mu\nu, \alpha\beta} D^\alpha \xi^{\nu\beta} \quad (33)$$

At last, there are tree more cubic vertexes which are trivially gauge invariant:

$$m^2 \Delta_2 \mathcal{L}_{12} = R_{\mu\nu, \alpha\beta} [c_0 F^{\mu\nu, \alpha\beta} \varphi + d_0 (4F^{\mu\nu, \alpha\rho} f^{\beta\rho} - 4F^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta} - F^{\mu\nu, \alpha\beta} f) + e_0 D^\mu A^\nu D^\alpha A^\beta] \quad (34)$$

Here  $F_{\mu\nu, \alpha\beta}$  — linearized "curvature" tensor for  $f_{\mu\nu}$  field. The first vertex (with coefficient  $c_0$ ) is analoge of Gauss-Bonnet vertex multiplied by scalar field but with two different spin 2 fields. The second one (with coefficient  $d_0$ ) also comes as the first non-trivial term from such double spin-2 Gauss-Bonnet vertex. Note, that in  $d = 4$  this vertex is a total divergency and as a result, as we will see at the end of this Section, this  $d = 4$  case is indeed special. The last vertex (with coefficient  $e_0$ ) is rather well known one containing two gauge invariant field strengths for vector field  $A_\mu$ .

Thus we have achieved cancellation of all variations with three derivatives:

$$\delta_{01} \mathcal{L}_{12} + \delta_{11} \mathcal{L}_{02} = 0$$

But when  $m \neq 0$  we get variations with two derivatives coming from:

$$\delta_{00} \mathcal{L}_{12} + \delta_{11} \mathcal{L}_{01} \neq 0$$

So we proceed introducing all possible cubic terms with one derivative into Lagrangian:

$$m \mathcal{L}_{11} = R_{\mu\nu, \alpha\beta} [a_1 \Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} D_\rho f^{\nu\beta} + a_2 \tilde{\Phi}^\beta D^\nu f^{\mu\alpha} + a_3 \Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} D^\nu f^{\beta\rho} + a_4 (D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta} + a_5 f^{\mu\alpha} D^\nu A^\beta] \quad (35)$$

as well as the only possible correction to gauge transformations:

$$m \delta_{10} f_{\mu\nu} = c_1 R_{\mu\alpha, \nu\beta} \xi^{\alpha\beta} \quad (36)$$

Then requiring that all variations with two derivatives cancel:

$$\delta_{00} \mathcal{L}_{12} + \delta_{11} \mathcal{L}_{01} + \delta_{01} \mathcal{L}_{11} + \delta_{10} \mathcal{L}_{02} = 0$$

we obtain a number of relations on the coefficients:

$$b_0 = \frac{(d-2)a_0 + 8dd_0}{6(d+1)}, \quad c_0 = -6a_0 - \frac{48d}{d-2}d_0, \quad e_0 = \frac{2(d+4)(d-1)}{d^2}a_0 + \frac{32(d+2)}{d}d_0$$

$$a_1 = a_0 + 16d_0, \quad a_2 = -3a_0 - 8d_0, \quad a_3 = -a_0 - 16d_0, \quad a_4 = -\frac{3}{2}a_0$$

$$a_5 = 6a_0 + \frac{16(5d+4)}{d}d_0, \quad c_1 = -\frac{a_0}{2} - 8d_0$$

At last, to achieve cancellation of remaining variations with one derivative and without derivatives we add last correction to Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{10} = R_{\mu\nu, \alpha\beta} (b_1 \Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} \Phi^{\nu\beta\rho} + b_2 f^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta}) \quad (37)$$

And indeed all variations cancel provided:

$$b_1 = 2, \quad b_2 = \frac{9}{2}, \quad a_0 = -4, \quad d_0 = \frac{1}{4}$$

Collecting all pieces together we obtain final cubic vertex:

$$\begin{aligned}
m^2 \mathcal{L}_1 = & -4R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[D^\mu \Phi^{\nu\rho\sigma} D^\alpha \Phi^{\beta\rho\sigma} + 2D^\mu \Phi^{\alpha\rho\sigma} D^\rho \Phi^{\sigma\nu\beta} - D^\rho \Phi^{\sigma\mu\alpha} D^\sigma \Phi^{\rho\nu\beta} - D^\nu \Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} D^\beta \tilde{\Phi}^\rho - \\
& -D^\nu \Phi^{\mu\beta\rho} D^\rho \tilde{\Phi}^\alpha - D^\mu \tilde{\Phi}^\nu D^\alpha \tilde{\Phi}^\beta + (D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha} (D\Phi)^{\nu\beta} - 3(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha} D^\nu \tilde{\Phi}^\beta] - \\
& -\frac{2(d-4)}{d} R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[2\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} D^\nu D^\beta A^\rho - 2\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} D^\rho D^\nu A^\beta - D^\mu D^\alpha A^\nu \tilde{\Phi}^\beta] + \\
& +4(d-4)R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[\frac{3}{(d-2)} F^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} \varphi - \frac{2}{d^2} D^\mu A^\nu D^\alpha A^\beta] + \\
& +R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[F^{\mu\nu,\alpha\rho} f^{\beta\rho} - F^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} f] + \\
& +2mR_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[5\tilde{\Phi}^\beta D^\nu f^{\mu\alpha} + 3(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta} - \frac{2(d-4)}{d} f^{\mu\alpha} D^\nu A^\beta] + \\
& +m^2 R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(2\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} \Phi^{\nu\beta\rho} + \frac{9}{2} f^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta}) \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

Note, that for  $d = 4$  this result turns out to be much simpler:

$$\begin{aligned}
m^2 \mathcal{L}_1 = & -4R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[D^\mu \Phi^{\nu\rho\sigma} D^\alpha \Phi^{\beta\rho\sigma} + 2D^\mu \Phi^{\alpha\rho\sigma} D^\rho \Phi^{\sigma\nu\beta} - D^\rho \Phi^{\sigma\mu\alpha} D^\sigma \Phi^{\rho\nu\beta} - D^\nu \Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} D^\beta \tilde{\Phi}^\rho - \\
& -D^\nu \Phi^{\mu\beta\rho} D^\rho \tilde{\Phi}^\alpha - D^\mu \tilde{\Phi}^\nu D^\alpha \tilde{\Phi}^\beta + (D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha} (D\Phi)^{\nu\beta} - 3(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha} D^\nu \tilde{\Phi}^\beta] + \\
& +2mR_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}[5\tilde{\Phi}^\beta D^\nu f^{\mu\alpha} + 3(D\Phi)^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta}] + m^2 R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(2\Phi^{\mu\alpha\rho} \Phi^{\nu\beta\rho} + \frac{9}{2} f^{\mu\alpha} f^{\nu\beta}) \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it is indeed possible (at least at linear approximation) to extend gauge invariant description of massive spin 3 particles from constant curvature spaces (such as flat Minkowski space or  $(A)dS$ ) to arbitrary gravitational background satisfying  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . It is instructive to compare our results with those obtained from the requirement of tree level unitarity [20]. Due to large ambiguity one faces working with higher derivative interactions it is not an easy task to make direct comparison of different formulations (compare e.g. our Lagrangian (6) with the Lagrangian (4.28) in [10]). Note only, that the four derivative corrections given in [20] become zero then one put a "gauge"  $(\partial\Phi)_{\mu\nu} = 0$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_\mu = 0$ , while it is not to be the case here. Note also that these corrections have universal form for all spins  $s \geq 3$ , while general construction for massless particles in  $(A)dS$  [8, 9] requires that the number of derivatives grow linearly with spin. And indeed, it is not possible to construct gauge invariant cubic  $s - s - 2$  vertex for spin  $s > 3$  with just four derivatives, so the vertex  $3 - 3 - 2$  constructed in [10] and used here is specific namely for  $s = 3$ .

### Acknowledgment

Author is grateful to M. A. Vasiliev for stimulating discussions and correspondence.

## References

- [1] M. A. Vasiliev "Higher Spin Gauge Theories in Various Dimensions", Fortsch. Phys. **52** (2004) 702, arXiv:hep-th/0401177.
- [2] D. Sorokin "Introduction to the Classical Theory of Higher Spins", AIP Conf. Proc. **767** (2005) 172, arXiv:hep-th/0405069.
- [3] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, M. A. Vasiliev "Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions", arXiv:hep-th/0503128.
- [4] C. Aragone, S. Deser "Consistency problem of hypergravity", Phys. Lett. **B86** (1979) 161.
- [5] D. de Wit, D. Z. Freedman "Systematics of higher-spin gauge fields", Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 358.
- [6] F. A. Berends, G. J. H. Burgers, H. van Dam "On the theoretical problems in constructing interactions involving higher-spin massless particles", Nucl. Phys. **B260** (1985) 295.
- [7] M. Porrati "Universal Limits on Massless High-Spin Particles", arXiv:0804.4672.
- [8] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev "On the gravitational interaction of massless higher-spin fields", Phys. Lett. **B189** (1987) 89.
- [9] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev "Cubic interaction in extended theories of massless higher-spin fields", Nucl. Phys. **B291** (1987) 141.
- [10] N. Boulanger, S. Leclercq "Consistent couplings between spin-2 and spin-3 massless fields", JHEP **0611** (2006) 034, arXiv:hep-th/0609221.
- [11] S. M. Klishevich, Yu. M. Zinoviev "On electromagnetic interaction of massive spin-2 particle", Phys. Atom. Nucl. **61** (1998) 1527, arXiv:hep-th/9708150.
- [12] Yu. M. Zinoviev "On Massive High Spin Particles in  $(A)dS$ ", arXiv:hep-th/0108192.
- [13] R. R. Metsaev "Gauge invariant formulation of massive totally symmetric fermionic fields in  $(A)dS$  space", Phys. Lett. **B643** (2006) 205-212, arXiv:hep-th/0609029.
- [14] Yu. M. Zinoviev "On massive spin 2 interactions", Nucl. Phys. **B770** (2007) 83-106, arXiv:hep-th/0609170.
- [15] I. L. Buchbinder, A. Fotopoulos, A. C. Petkou, M. Tsulaia "Constructing the Cubic Interaction Vertex of Higher Spin Gauge Fields", arXiv:hep-th/0609082.
- [16] F. A. Berends, G. J. H. Burgers, H. van Dam "Explicit construction of conserved currents for massless fields of arbitrary spin", Nucl. Phys. **B271** (1986) 429.
- [17] I. L. Buchbinder, D. M. Gitman, V. A. Krykhtin, V. D. Pershin "Equations of Motion for Massive Spin 2 Field Coupled to Gravity", Nucl. Phys. **B584** (2000) 615, arXiv:hep-th/9910188.

- [18] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin, V. D. Pershin "*On Consistent Equations for Massive Spin-2 Field Coupled to Gravity in String Theory*", Phys. Lett. **B466** (1999) 216, arXiv:hep-th/9908028.
- [19] I. L. Buchbinder, D. M. Gitman, V. D. Pershin "*Causality of Massive Spin 2 Field in External Gravity*", Phys. Lett. **B492** (2000) 161, arXiv:hep-th/0006144.
- [20] A. Cucchieri, S. Deser, M. Porrati "*Tree-level unitarity constraints on the gravitational couplings of higher-spin massive fields*", Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 4543, arXiv:hep-th/9408073.