Stochastic Inflation and Dimensional Reduction

Florian Kühnel^{*} and Dominik J. Schwarz[†]

Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

(Dated: May 16, 2022)

We present a replica field-theoretic approach to stochastic inflation in which a manifestation of dimensional reduction is found. The scale above which the latter dominates grows exponentially fast with time and thus affects largest super-horizon scales. We find inevitable modifications of the spectral index on those scales. An explicit relation between the noise correlator and the non-gaussianity parameter $f_{\rm NL}$ is found.

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 05.10.Gg, 98.80.Cq

The concept of stochastic inflation, introduced by Starobinsky several years ago [1], provides a framework to study the evolution of quantum fields in an inflationary universe [2, 3] and has acquired considerable interest over the last years [4]. The key idea lies in splitting the quantum fields into long- and short-wavelength modes, and viewing the former as classical objects evolving in an environment provided by quantum fluctuations of shorter wavelengths. It constitutes an example of how the fundamental properties of quantum fields can be modeled using methods of statistical mechanics. From this point of view, one focusses on the "relevant" degrees of freedom (the long-wavelength modes) and regards the shortwavelength modes as "irrelevant" ones, generating a bath in which the former evolve. The natural length scale of this problem is the Hubble length, from which "short" and "long" acquire their physical meaning.

This stochastic description of cosmological inflation has in fact two steps of complexity. In a simplified setup, the problem is reduced to describe a scalar test field φ in a fixed cosmological background. If φ is free, massive and minimally coupled, one obtains after splitting into long and short wavelengths, $\varphi = \varphi_{\rm L} + \varphi_{\rm S}$, an effective equation of motion of generalised Langevin-type,

$$(\Box + \mu^2)\varphi_{\rm L}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = h(t, \boldsymbol{x}). \tag{1}$$

Here, $\varphi_{\rm L}$ is viewed as a classical entity, evolving stochastically in the presence of a (quantum) random force h, which is gaussian distributed with zero mean.

Early studies focussed on homogeneous fields—thus restricting attention to the time evolution of $\varphi_{\rm L}$. The study of inhomogeneous fields (see, e.g., [4]) is more involved, but also allows one to discuss spatial correlations.

In the full problem of stochastic inflation, φ is the inflaton field, rather than a test field in a fixed background. This requires not only a stochastic description of φ , but also of the geometry. Thus, one should analogously split the space-time metric and curvature into long- and short-wavelength parts.

In this letter, we study the scaling behavior and time evolution of the power spectrum of $\varphi_{\rm L}$ in a fixed background. We do so by means of replica field theory, which is well known in statistical physics [5]. As far as we know, replica fields have not been applied to cosmology before. They allow us to compute spatial correlations and their time evolution for the general test-field case.

Below we illustrate this method by the example of a free, minimally coupled, N-component, real field $\vec{\varphi}$ with mass μ . Let us further restrict our attention to a spatially-flat de Sitter universe in d space-time dimensions. Its scale factor is $a(t) = e^{Ht}$ with Hubble expansion rate H. For convenience we rescale to dimensionless variables $\vec{\varphi}/H^{(d-2)/2} \rightarrow \vec{\varphi}, \mu/H \rightarrow \mu, tH \rightarrow t, xH \rightarrow x$, and $k/H \rightarrow k$, and use $\hbar = c = 1$. The mode function u(t, k) is defined via the decomposition of the field components $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$

$$\varphi_i(t, \boldsymbol{k}) = \hat{a}_i(\boldsymbol{k})u(t, k) + \text{h.c.}, \qquad (2)$$

with $k := |\mathbf{k}|$ and the annihilation and creation operators obey the commutation relations

$$\left[\hat{a}_{i}(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{a}_{j}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{p})\right] = (2\pi)^{d-1} \delta^{d-1}(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{p}) \,\delta_{ij}, \qquad (3a)$$

$$\left[\hat{a}_i(\boldsymbol{k}), \hat{a}_j(\boldsymbol{p})\right] = 0. \tag{3b}$$

The propagator is defined as (no summation over i):

$$G_0(t, t', \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}') \coloneqq \left\langle \Omega \right| \varphi_i(t, \boldsymbol{k}) \, \varphi_i(t', \boldsymbol{k}') \, \left| \Omega \right\rangle, \qquad (4)$$

where $|\Omega\rangle$ is the vacuum state and a subscript "0" indicates a quantity that is calculated in the absence of any noise.

An object of central interest in cosmology is the dimensionless power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}(k)$. Its relation to some field propagator G(k) with assumed infra-red behavior $G(k) \sim k^{-\eta}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}(k) := k^{d-1} \mathcal{G}(k) \sim k^{n_{\varphi}-1}, \tag{5}$$

with the spectral index n_{φ} , connected to the critical exponent η via $n_{\varphi} = d - \eta$. For d = 4 and $\mu = 0$ the power spectrum of the free, noiseless theory is scale-invariant, i.e., $n_{\varphi} = 1$ for $\eta_0 = 3$. Non-zero mass leads to

$$n_{\varphi} = 4 - 3\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{9}\mu^2} = 1 + \frac{2}{3}\mu^2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^4).$$
 (6)

Note that this result does not include any metric perturbation, which would cause the deviation from $n_{\varphi} = 1$ to be negative (see, e.g., [6]).

Let us now introduce a split into short- and longwavelength modes. This generates noise terms on the r.h.s. of the field equation, which follow from a random potential $V(\vec{\varphi}_L)$. In the usual treatment of stochastic inflation, one only consideres linear potentials, i.e., $V(\vec{\varphi}_L) = -\vec{h} \cdot \vec{\varphi}_L$. This effectively re-sums the leadinglog contribution of the full quantum theory [?]. In the language of statistical mechanics this is called randomfield case. For the example under consideration, the linear equation (1) is exact. More generally, for interacting fields we have

$$\mathbf{V}(\vec{\varphi}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\{i_1,\dots,i_j\}} \mathbf{h}_{i_1\dots i_j} \varphi_{i_1} \dots \varphi_{i_j}, \qquad (7)$$

where we drop the subscript L to simplify our notation, {h} (referred to as disorder in following) is a set of random variables, and the inner sums run over the N field components.

To study the behavior of long wavelength fields, governed by their stochastic equation of motion, one has to average over the disorder. Taking these averages (denoted by a bar) can be rather cumbersome. For example, in the case of the free energy, one encounters $\overline{\ln{\{Z\}}}$, which is difficult to calculate as one has to average a logarithm of a path integral over an exponential. To perform these stochastic averages we use the replica trick [7],

$$\overline{\ln\{\mathcal{Z}\}} = \lim_{m \to 0} \frac{1}{m} \ln\{\overline{\mathcal{Z}^m}\}.$$
(8)

Thus, one just has to compute $\overline{Z^m}$ for integer m, and, if the result is analytic in m, to take $m \to 0$ at the end.

The random variables $\{h\}$ are taken to be gaussiandistributed with mean zero and variance

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}(\vec{\varphi}_a(x), x) \,\mathcal{V}(\vec{\varphi}_b(y), y)} = \phi(x, y) N \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\Xi_{ab}(x, y)}{N}\right), \quad (9)$$

where the function R reflects the correlation in replica field space, with, e.g., $\Xi_{ab}(x, y) := \vec{\varphi}_a(x) \cdot \vec{\varphi}_b(y)$ (productcorrelation) or $\Xi_{ab}(x, y) := \left[\vec{\varphi}_a(x) - \vec{\varphi}_b(y)\right]^2$ (differencecorrelation). In the following we will only use the former. The space-time correlation $\phi(x, y)$ is called short-range, if $\phi(x, y) = \wp(t, t')\delta^{d-1}(x - y)$, and long-range for all other cases (see also [8]). Note that for our example of a free field, the gaussian distribution is exact.

From $\overline{\mathcal{Z}^m}$ and equation (9) we obtain the replicated action

$$\mathcal{S}^{(m)}[\vec{\varphi}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \int_{t,t'} \int_{k} \mathcal{G}_{0}^{-1}(t,t',k) \,\vec{\varphi}_{a}(t,k) \cdot \vec{\varphi}_{a}(t',-k) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{m} \int_{x,y} \phi(x,y) N \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{\vec{\varphi}_{a}(x) \cdot \vec{\varphi}_{b}(y)}{N}\right),$$
(10)

where $\int_{\mathbf{k}} := \int d^{d-1}k/(2\pi)^{d-1}$, $\int_t := \int dt$, $\int_x := \int d^d x$, and $G_0(k) := \int_{\mathbf{k}'} G_0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$. Thus, the *m* replicas are coupled to each other through the disorder correlation. Action (10) can be approximated by the gaussian variational action

$$\mathcal{S}_{0}^{(m)} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{m} \int_{t,t'} \int_{\boldsymbol{k}} \mathrm{G}^{-1}{}_{ab}(t,t',k) \, \vec{\varphi}_{a}(t,k) \cdot \vec{\varphi}_{b}(t',-k)$$
(11)

with the inverse propagator

$$G^{-1}{}_{ab} := G_0^{-1} \delta_{ab} - \sigma_{ab}.$$
 (12)

Although gaussian, the perhaps highly non-linear disorder interaction $R(\dots_{ab})$ is accommodated by the nondiagonal replica structure σ_{ab} .

Minimising the r.h.s. of the Feynman-Jensen inequality [9] w.r.t. σ_{ab} yields:

$$\sigma_{ab}(t,p) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} \phi(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \widehat{\mathrm{R}}' \left(\int_{\boldsymbol{k}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \mathrm{G}_{ab}(t,k) \right),$$
(13)

where $\phi(t, \boldsymbol{x}) := \phi(t, t, \boldsymbol{x})$, $\sigma_{ab}(t, k) := \sigma_{ab}(t, t, k)$ and $G_{ab}(t, k) := G_{ab}(t, t, k)$, the prime denotes a derivative w.r.t. the argument, and we assume translational invariance for the spatial correlation, i.e., $\phi(x, y) = \phi(t, t', |\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|)$. The function \widehat{R} is defined by $\widehat{R}(\langle \cdot \rangle_0) := \langle R(\cdot) \rangle_0$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle_0$ is the field average w.r.t. the action $S_0^{(m)}$. In the limit $N \to \infty$, or trivially in the random field case for arbitrary values of N, this definition becomes an identity.

Equation (13) already contains our main result, namely the dimensional reduction at large scales, as will become clear in the remainder of this paper. It also shows that the replica matrix is in general space-time dependent, which affects the scaling behavior of the two-point function (c.f. [10]). As can easily be seen in this (replica) gaussian variational approximation, any interaction without disorder is diagonal in replica space. Therefore it only modifies the diagonal part of the self-energy. Arbitrary disorder gives rise to a complex replica structure, however, one observes that random-field noise (linear potential) necessarily yields a uniform replica matrix, i.e., $\sigma_{ab} = \sigma$ for all a, b. It means that different replicas couple all in the same way among each other. This is the so-called replica symmetric case, which has intensively been studied [5]. We find to leading order in the number of replicas, m, that (G_{ab}) has the form

$$(\mathbf{G}_{ab})(t,k) = \mathbf{G}_0(t,k)\mathbb{1} + \sigma(t,k)\mathbf{G}_0^2(t,k)\mathbb{1}, \qquad (14)$$

where $G_0(t,k) := G_0(t,t,k)$, and the matrix $\mathbb{1}$ has 1 in every entry. In the limit of vanishing correlation, i.e., $\sigma \to 0$, the free theory is recovered. To derive (14), no assumption except the validity of the gaussian variational method been made. The physical propagator G(t, k) of the long-wavelength field is obtained from $G_{ab}(t, k)$ via

$$G(t,k) = \lim_{m \to 0} \frac{1}{m} \text{Tr}[(G_{ab})(t,k)],$$
 (15)

which is simply the arithmetic mean of the trace of the replica matrix propagator.

In the following we study the infra-red behavior of the physical propagator and therefore the power spectrum, with focus on the spectral index. Although irrelevant for stochastic inflation, we first assume short-range correlation for pedagogical reasons. Equation (13) then implies σ to be independent of momentum. With the infra-red behavior $G_0(t,k) = c(t)k^{-\eta_0}$ ($\eta_0 > 0$), we obtain with the help of (14) and (15) the relation

$$\eta = 2\eta_0 \tag{16}$$

—drastically different from to the noiseless case. This is a variant of the phenomenon of dimensional reduction [11]. It is best understood in \boldsymbol{x} -space, where the two-point function goes like $|\boldsymbol{x}|^{-(d-1)+\eta}$, and the change in the exponent η can be absorbed into the reduced dimension $d' := d - \eta_0$. We have to stress that for the random-field case the dimensional reduction theorem can rigourously be proven to hold in great generality for short range correlation, i.e., to all orders in perturbation theory and for arbitrary non-random potentials (see especially [?] for a supersymmetric version of the proof).

The consequence is a dramatic change of the superhorizon power spectrum as compared to the case without noise. In four-dimensional, massless, de Sitter inflation with short-range correlation the above considerations imply for the spectral index $n_{\varphi} = -2$, and hence scale invariance does not hold on large super-horizon scales.

Let us now consider the case of long-range correlation of the form $\phi(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \sim |\boldsymbol{x}|^{-(d-1)+\rho}$ with $\rho < d-1$. This describes properly the infra-red limit of the physical model discussed below. In momentum space, the above choice implies $\phi(t, k) \sim k^{-\rho}$ and hence $\sigma_{ab}(t, k) = \wp(t)k^{-\rho}$ by virtue of (13). For $\rho > -\eta_0$, the infra-red behavior of the power spectrum deviates from the noiseless result, and we find $\eta = 2\eta_0 + \rho$. This result is consistent with previous studies in flat space-time for a propagator with $\eta_0 = 2$ [10]. For exponential inflation (d = 4 and $\mu = 0$) we find a modification if the spatial noise correlator decreases at most like $|\boldsymbol{x}|^{-6}$. In general, the spectral index changes to

$$n_{\varphi} = d - 2\eta_0 - \rho, \tag{17}$$

wherefore the choice $\rho = 0$ yields the short-range result.

A natural question now is to ask on which scales does the phenomenon of dimensional reduction show up. Let us therefore define the transition scale k_* at which the two terms on the r.h.s. of equation (14) balance each other. It separates two regions such that for $k \gg k_*$ the behavior is noiseless and for $k \ll k_*$, dimensional reduction holds. If $\rho > -\eta_0$, this scale is given by

$$k_*(t) = [\wp(t)c(t)]^{\frac{1}{\eta_0 + \rho}}.$$
(18)

Let us now return to our physical model of stochastic inflation. The split of the field $\vec{\varphi}$ into a long- and shortwavelength part, $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{\varphi}_{\rm L} + \vec{\varphi}_{\rm S}$, together with the free field equation, $(\Box + \mu^2)\vec{\varphi} = \vec{0}$, implies

$$\sigma(t,k) = \left| \left(\Box_k + \mu^2 \right) \left[W_\kappa \left(\frac{k}{a(t)} - \epsilon \right) u(t,k) \right] \right|^2, \quad (19)$$

where \Box_k is the (spatially Fourier transformed) covariant Laplacian, u(t, k) is the mode function from equation (2), and W_{κ} is a smooth low-pass filter, cutting out the high frequencies above ϵ . The parameter κ controls the width of the cut. In the limit $\kappa \to 0$, W_{κ} approaches a step function. Here we choose $W_{\kappa}(\cdot) = 1/\pi \arctan(\cdot/\kappa) + 1/2$. We take $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ in order to cut far below the Hubble rate H(=1), and $\kappa \ll \epsilon$ to have a narrow transition region between quantum and classical modes. We do not impose any restriction on μ except that we demand the radicant in (6) to be positive, i.e. $\mu^2 \leq 9/4$.

In the infra-red the model of equation (19) is of longrange type. We find in four dimensions

$$\rho = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{9}\mu^2} - 2, \qquad (20)$$

and thus with (17) for $k \ll k_*$

$$n_{\varphi} = 6 - 9\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{9}\mu^2} = -3 + 2\mu^2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu^4),$$
 (21)

while for $k_* \ll k \ll aH$ the noiseless spectral index (6) is recovered. The zeros of the relative correction

$$\frac{G(t,k) - G_0(t,k)}{G_0(t,k)} = \sigma(t,k)G_0(t,k)$$
(22)

give the scale of equal balance k_* , whose late-time behavior can be calculated analytically,

$$k_*(t) \propto \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{(\epsilon^2 + \kappa^2)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{9-4\mu^2-2}}} (e^{-t})^{\frac{8-2\sqrt{9-4\mu^2}}{2\sqrt{9-4\mu^2-2}}}.$$
 (23)

For $\mu = 0$ we find the asymptotic form

$$k_*(t) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-t/2}\sqrt{\kappa}}{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \kappa^2}}.$$
(24)

Thus, for $\epsilon \neq 0$, k_* goes to zero in the (step-function) limit $\kappa \to 0$.

Figure 1 shows the time behavior of the comoving k_* for different values of the mass μ . The solid rays represent the analytic approximation (23), while the dashed



Figure 1: Comoving scale of equal balance k_* as a function of cosmic time t for mass $\mu/H = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$ and 0.6 (dashed lines, top to bottom). Dashed curves are numerical results, colored solid lines are analytic approximations, and enveloping black lines are $\frac{\epsilon}{2}a(t)$ and the asymptotic form (24), respectively. A smoothing $\kappa = 10^{-3}$ and short-wavelength cut $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$ are chosen.

curves are obtained numerically from the roots of (22). Well below this borderline the two-point function obeys dimensional reduction, while well above ordinary scaling holds. After an initial transient phenomenon, whose duration depends on the specific choice of ϵ and κ , the comoving scale of equal balance decays exponentially fast. Hence, the dimensional-reduction contribution is pushed to larger and larger scales as time increases. This therefore guarantees that quantum modes induce only a minor change of the spectral index on sub-horizon as well as on moderate super-horizon scales. One sees in figure 1 that $\mu = 0$ gives the largest $k_*(t)$.

Besides the power-spectrum, the study of nongaussianity is of cosmological interest. It turns out that there is an interesting connection between σ and the nongaussianity parameter $f_{\rm NL}$ [12], which shall now be defined via $\varphi_i(t,k) \equiv \varphi_{\rm G_i}(t,k) - f_{\rm NL}(t,k)\varphi_{\rm G_i}^2(t,k)$ (no summation over *i*), where $\vec{\varphi}_{\rm G}(t,k)$ is a free gaussian field. On the level of propagators, this translates to

$$G(t,k) = G_0(t,k) + 3f_{\rm NL}^2(t,k)G_0^2(t,k)$$
(25)

and hence

$$\sigma(t,k) = 3f_{\rm NL}^2(t,k).$$
(26)

This means that $f_{\rm NL}$ is directly related to the square root of the replica structure. We have to stress that the name non-gaussianity is somewhat misleading since the action we work with is gaussian (but with non-diagonal replica coupling). This shows that quantum fluctuations alone (even for non-interacting fields) can generate nongaussian effects on super-horizon scales. To sum up, we have demonstrated that replica field theory allows us to study the power-spectrum and nongaussianity in stochastic inflation. Dimensional reduction changes the spectral index on super-horizon scales. The time-evolution of the long-wavelength field pushes the dimensionally reduced region exponentially fast to unobservable scales. This provides further support for the self-consistency of the idea of inflation. It will be interesting to discuss other background space-times, as well as to include self-interactions. The present formalism might also help to tackle the full problem of stochastic inflation, where the geometry is also random. If we could assume that the dimensional reduction of our test field also applies to the inflaton, we would recover the idea of eternal inflation [3].

It is a pleasure to thank Benjamin Jurke, Daniel Kruppke, Jérôme Martin, Aravind Natarajan, Erandy Ramirez, and Alexei Starobinsky for stimulating discussions. FK acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under grant GRK 881.

- * Electronic address: kuehnel AT physik.uni-bielefeld.de
- [†] Electronic address: dschwarz AT physik.uni-bielefeld.de
- [1] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B 117, 175 (1982);
- [2] A. A. Starobinsky, in *Field Theory, Quantum Gravity* and Strings (1986), vol. 246 of Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin, Springer, p. 107; S.-J. Rey, Nucl. Phys. B 284, 706 (1987); H. E. Kandrup, Phys. Rev. B 39, 2245 (1989); D. S. Salopek and J. R. Bond, Phys. Rev. D 43, 1005 (1991); A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6357 (1994);
- [3] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 27, 2848 (1983); A. S. Goncharov and A. D. Linde, Zh. Eks. Teo. Fiz. 92, 1137 (1987); A. Linde, D. Linde, and A. Mezhlumian, Phys. Rev. D 49, 1783 (1994).
- [4] M. Liguori, S. Matarrese, M. Musso, and A. Riotto, JCAP 0408, 011 (2004); N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, Nucl. Phys. B 724, 295 (2005);
- [5] M. Mézard and G. Parisi, J. Phys. I 1, 809 (1991).
- [6] S. M. Leach, A. R. Liddle, J. Martin, and D. J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. D 66, 023515 (2002).
- [7] M. Mézard, G. Parisi, M. A. Virasoro, and D. J. Thouless, Physics Today 41, 109 (1988).
- [8] A. A. Fedorenko and F. Kühnel, Phys. Rev. B 75, 174206 (2007).
- [9] R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. 97, 660 (1955).
- [10] M. Kardar, B. McClain, and C. Taylor, Phys. Rev. B 27, 5875 (1983).
- [11] G. Parisi and N. Sourlas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 744 (1979);
 A. Aharony, Y. Imry, and S. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 1364 (1976);
 A. Klein, L. J. Landau, and J. F. Perez, Comm. Math. Phys. 94, 459 (1984).
- [12] E. Komatsu and D. N. Spergel, Phys. Rev. D 63, 063002 (2001).