

Canonical measures and the dynamical system of Bergman kernels

Hajime TSUJI*

June 23, 2008

Abstract

In this article, we construct the canonical semipositive current or the canonical measure (= the potential of the canonical semipositive current) on a smooth projective variety of nonnegative Kodaira dimension in terms of a dynamical system of Bergman kernels. This current is considered to be a generalization of a Kähler-Einstein metric and coincides the one considered independently by J. Song and G. Tian ([S-T]). The major difference between [S-T] and the present article is that they found the canonical measure in terms of the Kähler-Ricci flow, while I found the canonical measure in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels. Hence the present approach can be viewed as the discrete version of the Kähler-Ricci flow.

The advantage of the dynamical construction is two folds. First, it enables us to deduce the plurisubharmonic variation property of the canonical measures on a projective family. Second, we can overcome the difficulty arising from the singularities of the solution of Kähler-Ricci flow.

Next generalizing the above construction, we define a more natural measure: the supercanonical measure on a smooth projective variety with pseudoeffective canonical line bundle in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels. By the construction, the supercanonical measure also satisfies the plurisubharmonic variation property as the canonical measure. And it is expected that the canonical measure is the limit of the Kähler-Ricci flow on a smooth minimal projective variety without assuming the semiample property of the canonical bundle. MSC: 53C25(32G07 53C55 58E11)

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Kähler-Einstein metrics	4
1.2	Kähler-Einstein currents	4
1.3	Iitaka fibration	5
1.4	Analytic Zariski decompositions	5
1.5	Requirement for the canonical semipositive current	6
1.6	Canonical bundle formula and the correction term for the Kähler-Einstein equations	7

*Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 17104001

1.7	Canonical Kähler currents	8
1.8	Dynamical construction of the canonical Kähler currents	9
1.9	Dynamical system on X	11
2	Construction of the canonical measure by solving Monge-Ampère equations	11
2.1	Setup	11
2.2	Smoothing of the Hodge metric h_L	13
2.3	Proof of Theorem 1.5	15
3	Proof of Theorem 1.7	22
4	Relative version of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7	28
5	Supercanonical measure	30
5.1	The numerical Kodaira dimension and the asymptotic Kodaira dimension of a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle . .	30
5.2	Relation between ν_{num} and ν_{asym}	31
5.3	Supercanonical measure	31
5.4	Existence of the supercanonical measure	33
5.5	Remark on the Kähler-Ricci flow	38
6	A generalization to KLT pairs	38

1 Introduction

In [T4], I have constructed a canonical Kähler-Einstein current on a smooth projective variety of general type in terms of a dynamical system of Bergman kernels. This Kähler-Einstein current is the same one which has been studied in [T0, Su].

Since the same kind of dynamical systems can be defined on a smooth projective varieties of nonnegative Kodaira dimension (or even for a smooth projective varieties with pseudoeffective canonical bundle), it is natural to expect that the normalized limit of the Bergman kernels yields a substitute of a Kähler-Einstein metric for a smooth projective variety (of non general type) of nonnegative Kodaira dimension.

The purpose of this article is to prove that the limit satisfies the partial differential equation similar to the Kähler-Einstein equation on the base of the Iitaka fibration (not on the original variety) and give a natural generalization of the notion of Kähler-Einstein volume form. We call the (normalized) limit the canonical measure. And we call the $-\text{Ric}$ of the canonical measure (in the sense of current) the canonical semipositive current.

There are two major differences between Kähler-Einstein metrics and the canonical semipositive currents: first of all in general the canonical semipositive current is strictly positive not on the original variety but on the base space of the Iitaka fibration. In other words, the current is the pull back of a closed generically strictly positive current on the base space of the Iitaka fibration.

Secondary although the canonical semipositive current satisfies a similar partial differential equation as a Kähler-Einstein metric on the base space of the

Iitaka fibration, the equation has an additional term coming from the variation of Hodge structures on the Iitaka fibration.

The objective of this generalization is to study the deformation of projective varieties of nonnegative Kodaira dimension. Actually the dynamical construction of the canonical semipositive currents yields the existence of a closed semipositive current on the family which restricts the canonical semipositive current on the general fibers (Theorem 4.1). We discuss the applications in the forthcoming papers. And we also note that there are similar constructions of canonical volume measures on smooth projective varieties with pseudoeffective canonical bundles ([T5]).

After the completion of this work, I have noticed the paper of Song and Tian ([S-T]) which also constructed the canonical semipositive current from a different point of view. Actually first they have constructed the canonical semipositive current as the limit of the Kähler-Ricci flow in the case of semiample canonical bundle. Then they constructed the current which satisfies the same equation on a projective varieties with nonnegative Kodaira dimension whose canonical bundle is not necessarily semiample. In this sense their construction is modeled after the case of semiample canonical bundles. But in their construction, the meaning of the canonical semipositive current is not clear (although it is apparently a generalization of a Kähler-Einstein metric).

The main contribution of this article is to give the dynamical construction of the canonical semipositive currents (or the canonical measure in [S-T]) and give the authenticity to the canonical semipositive current.

The advantage of the dynamical construction is that we can overcome the difficulty arising from the singularity of the currents. For example it seems to be difficult to deduce the plurisubharmonic variation property of the canonical measures on a projective family (Theorem 4.1) by direct calculation. But Theorem 4.1 is an immediate consequence of the dynamical construction by using the plurisubharmonic variation properties of Bergman kernels.

Also the difficulty to study the Kähler-Ricci flow arises when we consider non minimal algebraic varieties. In this case the Kähler-Ricci flow will go beyond the Kähler cone in finite time. Hence it is inevitable to deal with the singular Kähler Ricci flow in this case. But the dynamical construction (Theorem 1.7) automatically produces the canonical semipositive current (or the canonical measure) as soon as the Kodaira dimension of the variety is nonnegative. One may consider Theorem 1.7 to be a discretization of the Kähler-Ricci flow and it overcomes the difficulty arising from the singularities.

Another direction to proceed is to consider the dynamical system starting from a sufficiently ample line bundle on the original variety. We prove that the normalized limit of the dynamical system exists under a mild condition and defines a measure which we call the supercanonical measure. The supercanonical measure is apparently more natural than the canonical measure, since it does not depend on the pluricanonical system.

Here the central question is whether the supercanonical measure coincides the canonical measure. If this question is affirmative, this will solve the abundance conjecture.

1.1 Kähler-Einstein metrics

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with the Kähler form

$$\omega := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i,j} g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j.$$

(X, ω) is said to be Kähler-Einstein, if there exists a constant c such that

$$\text{Ric}_\omega = c\omega$$

holds, where Ric_ω denotes the Ricci form:

$$\text{Ric}_\omega := -\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \det(g_{i\bar{j}})$$

and we call ω a Kähler-Einstein form on X . If a compact complex manifold X admits a Kähler-Einstein form, then $c_1(X)$ is negative or 0 or positive. Conversely by the celebrated solution of Calabi's conjecture ([A, Y1]), for a compact Kähler manifold X , if $c_1(X)$ is negative, there exists a unique Kähler-Einstein form ω_E such that

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = \omega_E$$

([A, Y1]) and if $c_1(X)$ is 0 in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$, then in every Kähler class on X , there exists a unique Kähler-Einstein form ω_E such that

$$\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = 0$$

holds ([Y1]).

1.2 Kähler-Einstein currents

There are numerous applications of Kähler-Einstein metrics. But in general, a smooth complex projective variety does not admit a Kähler-Einstein metric, since the first Chern class is not definite in general. One way to overcome this defect is to consider Kähler-Einstein metrics allowing singularities.

In [T0], I have constructed a Kähler-Einstein current ω_E on smooth minimal algebraic variety X of general type. More precisely there exists a unique closed semipositive current ω_E and a nonempty Zariski open subset U on X such that

1. ω_E is a C^∞ Kähler form on U .
2. $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = \omega_E$ holds on U .
3. ω_E is absolutely continuous on X .

Later K. Sugiyama proved that there exists a Kähler-Einstein current on the canonical model of general type ([Su]). Also I have constructed a Kähler-Einstein current on an arbitrary smooth projective variety of general type (without using the finite generation of canonical rings) in [T4]. Hence for smooth projective varieties of general type, we have a substitute of a Kähler-Einstein metric.

We note that the above Kähler-Einstein current ω_E on a projective variety X of general type have the following properties : $h_E := (\omega_E^n)^{-1}$ ($n = \dim X$) is

a singular hermitian metric on K_X such that the curvature current $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_E}$ is a closed semipositive current and

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_E^m)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))$$

holds for every $m \geq 1$, i.e., h_E is an AZD of K_X (cf. Definition 1.4 below). In other words, h_E is a singular hermitian metric which extracts all the positivity of K_X .

But for smooth projective varieties of non general type, the above results do not say anything.

1.3 Iitaka fibration

The simplest way to squeeze out the positivity of canonical bundles is to use the pluricanonical systems.

Let X be a smooth projective variety. The Kodaira dimension $\text{Kod}(X)$ is defined by

$$\text{Kod}(X) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))}{\log m}.$$

It is known that $\text{Kod}(X)$ is $-\infty$ or a nonnegative integer between 0 and $\dim X$.

Let X be a smooth projective variety with $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$. Then for a sufficiently large $m > 0$, the complete linear system $|m!K_X|$ gives a rational fibration (with connected fibers) :

$$f : X \dashrightarrow Y.$$

We call $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ the **Iitaka fibration** of X .

The Iitaka fibration is independent of the choice of the sufficiently large m up to birational equivalence. In this sense the Iitaka fibration is unique. By taking a suitable modification, we may assume that f is a morphism and Y is smooth.

The Iitaka fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following properties:

1. For a general fiber F , $\text{Kod}(F) = 0$ holds.
2. $\dim Y = \text{Kod}(X)$.

1.4 Analytic Zariski decompositions

Let L be a pseudoeffective line bundle on a compact complex manifold X . To analyze the ring :

$$R(X, L) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL)),$$

it is useful to introduce the notion of analytic Zariski decompositions.

Definition 1.1 *Let M be a compact complex manifold and let L be a holomorphic line bundle on M . A singular hermitian metric h on L is said to be an **analytic Zariski decomposition** (AZD in short), if the followings hold.*

1. Θ_h is a closed positive current.

2. for every $m \geq 0$, the natural inclusion:

$$H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(mL) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h^m)) \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(mL))$$

is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 1.2 *If an AZD exists on a line bundle L on a smooth projective variety M , L is pseudoeffective by the condition 1 above. \square*

It is known that for every pseudoeffective line bundle on a compact complex manifold, there exists an AZD on L (cf. [T1, T2, D-P-S]). The advantage of the AZD is that we can handle pseudoeffective line bundle L on a compact complex manifold X as a singular hermitian line bundle with semipositive curvature current as long as we consider the ring $R(X, L)$.

Let L be a line bundle on a smooth projective variety X and let h_0 be a C^∞ hermitian metric on L . We set

$$h_{min} := \inf\{h | \text{singular hermitian metric on } L, h \geq h_0, \sqrt{-1}\Theta_h \geq 0\}.$$

Then h_{min} is an AZD on L with minimal singularities in the following sense.

Definition 1.3 *Let L be a pseudoeffective line bundle on a smooth projective variety X . An AZD h on L is said to be an **AZD of minimal singularities**, if for any AZD h' on L , there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$h \leq C \cdot h'$$

holds. \square

1.5 Requirement for the canonical semipositive current

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the Iitaka fibration of a smooth projective variety X of nonnegative Kodaira dimension. In this article, we shall consider a canonical semipositive current, say ω_X associated with the Iitaka fibration.

It is natural to require that ω_X has the following properties :

1. ω_X is unique and birationally invariant, i.e., if X is birational to X' and let $\mu : X'' \rightarrow X$ and $\mu' : X'' \rightarrow X'$ be modifications from a smooth projective variety X'' . Then

$$\omega_{X''} = \mu^*\omega_X + 2\pi E = (\mu')^*\omega_{X'} + 2\pi E'$$

hold, where E and E' are exceptional divisors of μ and μ' respectively (these divisors reflect the differences $K_{X''} - \mu^*K_X$ and $K_{X''} - (\mu')^*K_{X'}$ respectively).

2. There exists an AZD h_K of K_X such that $\omega_X = \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_K}$.
3. There exists a closed semipositive current ω_Y on Y such that $\omega_X = f^*\omega_Y$,
4. There exists a nonempty Zariski open subset U of Y such that $\omega_Y|_U$ is a C^∞ -Kähler form.

1.6 Canonical bundle formula and the correction term for the Kähler-Einstein equations

To construct the AZD h_K above, we shall solve a partial differential equation. The equation is similar to the Kähler-Einstein equation : $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = \omega_E$, but there are two major differences :

1. The equation is defined on Y not on X .
2. The equation has the additional term which comes from the variation of Hodge structure.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an Iitaka fibration such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m!K_{X/Y})^{**}$ is locally free on Y for some m (hence for every sufficiently large m), where $**$ denotes the double dual.

For a graded ring $R := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i$ and a positive integer m , we set

$$R^{(m)} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_{mi}.$$

For a KLT pair (M, D) , we set

$$R(M, K_M + D) := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{O}_M(\lfloor m(K_M + D) \rfloor))$$

and

$$\text{Kod}(M, D) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \dim \Gamma(M, \mathcal{O}_M(\lfloor (K_M + D) \rfloor))}{\log m}.$$

Theorem 1.4 ([F-M, p.183, Theorem 5.2]) *Let (X, Δ) be a proper KLT pair with*

$$\text{Kod}(X, K_X + \Delta) = n.$$

Then there exists a n -dimensional KLT pair (Y', Δ') with $\text{Kod}(Y', \Delta') = n$, two positive integers e, e' such that

$$R(X, K_X + \Delta)^{(e)} \simeq R(Y', K_{Y'} + \Delta')^{(e')}.$$

□

Then the canonical ring $R(X, K_X)^{(e)}$ is (a subring of) the pull back of the log canonical ring of some KLT pair (Y', Δ) of log general type. The divisor Δ is related to the \mathbb{Q} -line bundle

$$L := \frac{1}{m!} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m!K_{X/Y})^{**}$$

on Y in terms of the canonical bundle formula (See [F-M] for detail. In [F-M, Section 2], this L is denoted by $L_{X/Y}$).

We note that L is independent of a sufficiently large m (cf. [F-M, Section 2]). L carries a natural singular hermitian metric h_L defined by

$$h_L^{m!}(\sigma, \sigma) = \left(\int_{X_y} |\sigma|^{\frac{2}{m!}} \right)^{m!}$$

where $y \in Y$, $X_y := f^{-1}(y)$ and $\sigma \in L_y$. It is known that h_L has semipositive curvature in the sense of current ([Ka2]). Let Ω be a C^∞ volume form on Y . We shall consider the following equation :

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L} = \omega_Y, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\omega_Y = -\text{Ric}\Omega + \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u,$$

and u is the unknown function. Here the term $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}$ corresponds to the boundary divisor of the KLT pair (Y, Δ) in the canonical bundle formula ([F-M, p.183, Theorem 5.2]). The authenticity of the equation (1) can be verified by checking that the dynamical system of Bergman kernels on X as in [T4] yields the current on Y which satisfies the equation (1) (cf. Theorem 1.7).

Actually I first constructed the current by using the dynamical system of Bergman kernels and then found the equation (1) inspired by the [F-M].

In the equation (1) the term $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}$ corresponds to the boundary Δ in Theorem 1.4.

There are difficulties to solve this equation (1). First of all we cannot expect that there exists a C^∞ - solution. In fact Θ_{h_L} is not C^∞ in general. Moreover h_L is not of algebraic singularities (cf. Definition 2.1) in general. Even if h_L is C^∞ , u is not C^∞ in general. Secondary the solution u is not unique. But if we require that $\Omega^{-1} \cdot h_L \cdot e^u$ is an AZD of $K_Y + L$, then the solution u is actually unique and the resulting current ω_Y is nothing but the current constructed by the dynamical system of Bergman kernels (see Section 1.8 and Section 3 below).

1.7 Canonical Kähler currents

Now we shall state the existence of the canonical semipositive current on a smooth projective variety of nonnegative Kodaira dimension.

Theorem 1.5 (cf. [S-T, Theorem B.2]) *In the above notations, there exists a unique singular hermitian metric on h_K on $K_Y + L$ and a nonempty Zariski open subset U in Y such that*

1. h_K is an AZD of $K_Y + L$.
2. f^*h_K is an AZD of K_X .
3. h_K is real analytic on U .
4. $\omega_Y = \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_K}$ is a Kähler form on U .
5. $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1}\Theta_L = \omega_Y$ holds on U . \square

Although the proof of Theorem 1.5 is given in [S-T], I shall give an alternative proof in this paper for the completeness, since my original proof seems to be different from that in [S-T].

Definition 1.6 *The current ω_Y on Y is said to be the **canonical Kähler current** of the Iitaka fibration $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Also $\omega_X := f^*\omega_Y$ is said to be the **canonical semipositive current** on X . We define the measure $d\mu_{can}$ on X by*

$$d\mu_{can} := \frac{1}{n!} f^* \omega_Y^n \cdot h_L^{-1}$$

and is said to be the **canonical measure**, where n denotes $\dim Y$. \square

The existence of the canonical Kähler current is proven in terms of solving Monge-Ampère equations. The proof given here is similar to the one of [T4, Section 5.1, Theorem 5.1] (The proof in [S-T] is very much similar to the one of [T4, Theorem 5.1], although they have not cited [T4]). We shall give a proof in Section 2 (see also [S-T, Section 4]).

1.8 Dynamical construction of the canonical Kähler currents

The canonical Kähler current in Theorem 1.5 can be constructed as the limit of a dynamical system as in ([T4]).

Let X be a smooth projective n -fold with $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$. And let

$$f : X \dashrightarrow Y$$

be the Iitaka fibration associated with the complete linear system $|m_0!K_X|$ for some sufficiently large positive integer m_0 . By taking a suitable modifications, we shall assume the followings:

1. Y is smooth and f is a morphism.
2. $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m_0!K_{X/Y})^{**}$ is a line bundle on Y , where $**$ denotes the double dual.

We set

$$L := \frac{1}{m_0!} f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m_0!K_{X/Y})^{**}.$$

In [F-M] this L is denoted by $L_{X/Y}$. Let a be positive integer such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(aK_{X/Y}) \neq 0$. Then we see that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(maK_X)) \simeq H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(ma(K_Y + L))) \quad (2)$$

holds for every $m \geq 0$ and $\text{Kod}(X) = \dim Y$ holds. Hence by (2), we see that $K_Y + L$ is big. Taking m_0 sufficiently large, we may assume that the following conditions are satisfied :

1. There exists an effective Cartier divisor M such that $A := m_0!(K_Y + L) - M$ is very ample.
2. For every pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle (F, h_F) on Y , $\mathcal{O}_Y(A + F) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F)$ is globally generated.

The existence of such m_0 follows from Nadel's vanishing theorem ([N, p.561]) and Kodaira's lemma. Let h_A be a C^∞ hermitian metric on A with strictly positive curvature. By the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_Y(A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(m_0!(K_Y + L))$ we may and do identify h_A with a singular hermitian metric $h_A/|\tau_M|^2$ with strictly positive curvature on $m_0!(K_Y + L)$, where τ_M is a global holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(M)$ with divisor M . We shall construct a sequence of singular hermitian metrics $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$ and a sequence of Bergman kernels $\{K_m\}$ as follows.

We set $h_{m_0!} := h_A$ and

$$K_{m_0!+1} := \begin{cases} K(Y, K_Y + m_0!(K_Y + L), h_{m_0!}), & \text{if } a > 1 \\ K(Y, K_Y + L + m_0!(K_Y + L), h_L \cdot h_{m_0!}), & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$

where for a singular hermitian line bundle (F, h_F) $K(Y, K_Y + F, h_F)$ is (the diagonal part of) the Bergman kernel of $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + F) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F))$ with respect to the L^2 -inner product:

$$(\sigma, \sigma') := (\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_Y h_F \cdot \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}',$$

where n denotes $\dim Y$. Then we set

$$h_{m_0!+1} := (K_{m_0!+1})^{-1}.$$

We continue this process. Suppose that we have constructed K_m and the singular hermitian metric h_m on $\lfloor \frac{m}{a} \rfloor a(K_Y + L) + (m - \lfloor \frac{m}{a} \rfloor a)K_Y$, where for a real number λ , $\lfloor \lambda \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to λ . Then we define

$$K_{m+1} := \begin{cases} K(Y, (m+1)K_Y + \lfloor \frac{m+1}{a} \rfloor aL, h_m) & \text{if } m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a} \\ K(Y, (m+1)(K_Y + L), h_L^a \otimes h_m) & \text{if } m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a} \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_{m+1} := (K_{m+1})^{-1}.$$

Inductively we construct the sequences $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$ and $\{K_m\}_{m > m_0!}$. This inductive construction is essentially the same one originated by the author in [T3]. The following theorem asserts that the above dynamical system yields the canonical Kähler current on Y .

Theorem 1.7 *Let X be a smooth projective variety of nonnegative Kodaira dimension and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the Iitaka fibration as above. Let m_0 and $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0}$ be the sequence of hermitian metrics as above and let n denote $\dim Y$. Let ω_Y be the canonical Kähler current on Y as in Theorem 1.5. Then*

$$h_\infty := \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^n \cdot h_m}$$

is a singular hermitian metric on $K_Y + L$ such that

$$\omega_Y = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_\infty}$$

holds, where ω_Y is the canonical Kähler current on Y as in Theorem 1.5 and $n = \dim Y$. In particular $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_\infty}$ (in fact h_∞) is independent of the choice of A and h_A . \square

Remark 1.8 *In the above dynamical system, we start from an ample divisor A of the form $m_0!(K_Y + L) - M$ with M effective. But every ample divisor A is written in this form, since*

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m!(K_Y + L) - A)) \neq 0$$

for every sufficiently large m by the bigness of $K_Y + L$. \square

1.9 Dynamical system on X

Let $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$ be the dynamical system as in the last subsection. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(f^*(a(K_Y + L)))$ is a subseaf of $\mathcal{O}_X(aK_X)$ by the definition of L , if $a|m$, we may identify f^*h_m as a singular hermitian metric on mK_X .

Moreover, if $a = 1$, then by the definition of the Hodge metric h_L , we see that

$$(f^*h_m)^{-1} = K(X, mK_X, f^*h_{m-1})$$

holds. Hence in this case, we consider the dynamical system $\{f^*h_m\}$ as a dynamical system of Bergman kernels on X .

If $a > 1$,

$$(f^*h_{m+1})^{-1} = \begin{cases} K(X, (m+1)K_X - (\{\frac{m+1}{a}\}a)L, f^*h_L^{-1} \cdot f^*h_m) & \text{if } m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a} \\ K(X, (m+1)K_X, f^*(h_m \cdot h_L^a)) & \text{if } m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a} \end{cases}$$

where for a real number λ , $\{\lambda\}$ denotes the fractional part $\lambda - \lfloor \lambda \rfloor$. Here we note that

$$(m+1)K_X - \{\frac{m+1}{a}\}aL = (\lfloor \frac{m+1}{a} \rfloor a)K_X + (\{\frac{m+1}{a}\}a)f^*K_Y$$

is a line bundle on X .

In this way, we may translate the dynamical system of Bergman kernels on Y in the last subsection as the dynamical system of Bergman kernels on X .

2 Construction of the canonical measure by solving Monge-Ampère equations

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.5. Although the proof of Theorem 1.5 is given in [S-T] independently, we shall give an original proof here for the completeness. Also the proof in [S-T] is quite close to the proof of [T4, Theorem 5.1]. The present proof is different from that in [S-T], in the following points :

1. We consider a smoothing of the Hodge metric h_L which need not be of algebraic singularities. Hence we need to consider the sequence of modified equations.
2. The C^0 -estimate of the solution depends on the estimate of the Hodge metric near the discriminant locus and the notion of the minimal AZD.

The techniques used here are quite standard (cf. [Su, T0]). In this sense the proof here is not essentially new.

2.1 Setup

Let X be a smooth projective n -fold with $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$. And let

$$f : X - \cdots \rightarrow Y$$

be the Iitaka fibration associated with the complete linear system $|m_0!K_X|$. By taking a suitable modifications, we shall assume the followings.

1. f is a morphism.
2. Y is smooth.
3. $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m_0!K_{X/Y})^{**}$ is a line bundle on Y .
4. The discriminant locus D of f is a divisor with normal crossings on Y .

We define the \mathbb{Q} -line bundle L on Y by

$$L := \frac{1}{m_0!} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m_0!K_{X/Y})^{**}$$

and let h_L be the singular hermitian metric on L defined by

$$h_L^{m_0!}(\sigma, \sigma) := \left(\int_{X_y} |\sigma|^{\frac{2}{m_0!}} \right)^{m_0!}.$$

It is clear that h_L is smooth on

$$Y^\circ := \{y \in Y \mid f \text{ is smooth over } y\} = Y - D$$

and the singularity of h_L around D is described in terms of the variation of Hodge structures. And we see that

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m!(K_Y + L)) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L^{\otimes m!})) \simeq H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m!(K_Y + L)))$$

holds for every sufficiently large m , i.e., the L^2 -condition with respect to the singular hermitian metric h_L does not affect to the global section of $m!(K_Y + L)$.

Let Ω be a C^∞ -volume form on Y . Let us consider the equation :

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} = \omega_Y \quad (3)$$

on Y , where

$$\omega_Y = -\text{Ric} \Omega + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u$$

for some unknown uppersemicontinuous function u bounded from above on Y . Then the above equation is equivalent to

$$\log \frac{(\omega + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u)^n}{\Omega} = u,$$

where $n := \dim Y$ and

$$\omega := -\text{Ric} \Omega + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L}.$$

We note that since $\text{Kod}(X) = \dim Y$, $K_Y + (L, h_L)$ is big, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m!)^{-n} \dim H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m!(K_Y + L)) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L^{m!})) > 0$$

holds, where $n = \dim Y$. The main difficulty for solving the equation (3) is the fact that h_L is not of algebraic singularities in the following sense.

Definition 2.1 *Let h be a singular hermitian metric on a line bundle L . We say that h is of **algebraic singularities**, if there exists a positive integer m_0 , global holomorphic sections $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N$ of $m_0 L$ and a C^∞ function ϕ such that*

$$h = e^\phi \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^N |\sigma_i|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{m_0}}$$

holds. \square

There are two ways to treat the singularity of h_L in (3). One way is to smooth out the singularities of h_L and the other way is to consider the metric of Poincaré growth on the complement of the discriminant locus of $f : X \rightarrow Y$. The both methods depend on the analysis of the singularities of h_L in terms of the theory of the variation of Hodge structure.

2.2 Smoothing of the Hodge metric h_L

The singular hermitian metric h_L is generically C^∞ , but need not be of algebraic singularities.

The singularity of h_L can be described by using the variation of Hodge structures. Let a be the minimal positive integer such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(aK_{X/Y})^{**}$ is not 0. Then the a -th root of local holomorphic section of $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(aK_{X/Y})$ can be considered to be a family of canonical forms on the family of cyclic a -cover of the fibers. In this way the Hodge metric can be described in terms of the theory of the variation of Hodge structures (cf. [Sch]). Let us assume that the discriminant locus D of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a divisor with normal crossings. As in [Ka1], the locally free extension of the Hodge bundle is controlled by the monodromy which is quasi-unipotent. And in this setting the local monodromy is abelian.

Definition 2.2 *Let (M, B) a pair of a complex manifold M and a divisor B with normal crossings. Let ω_P is a Kähler form on $M - B$. ω_P is said to be of Poincaré growth, if for any polydisk $\Delta^n := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n); |z_i| < 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ in M such that*

$$\Delta^n \cap B = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \Delta^n | z_1 \cdots z_k = 0\},$$

there exists locally bounded positive continuous functions $a \leq b$ on Δ^n such that

$$a \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2 (\log |z_i|)^2} + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \sqrt{-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right) \leq \omega_P \leq b \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2 (\log |z_i|)^2} + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \sqrt{-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right)$$

hold on $\Delta^n \cap (M - B)$.

Let Ω_P is a volume form on $M - B$. Ω_P is said to be of Poincaré growth, if for any polydisk Δ^n in M such that

$$\Delta^n \cap B = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \Delta^n | z_1 \cdots z_k = 0\},$$

there exists locally bounded positive continuous function $c(z)$ on Δ^n such that

$$\Omega_P = c(z) \cdot \left(\wedge_{i=1}^k \frac{\sqrt{-1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2 (\log |z_i|)^2} \right) \wedge \left(\wedge_{j=k+1}^n \sqrt{-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right)$$

holds on $\Delta^n \cap (M - B)$. \square

Remark 2.3 *If Ω_P is a volume form of Poincaré growth on (M, B) with M compact. If for every polydisk Δ^n as in Definition 2.2 the function $c(z)$ above is C^2 on Δ^n , then $-\text{Ric} \Omega_P$ is of Poincaré growth in the sense that there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$-C \cdot \omega_P \leq -\text{Ric} \Omega_P \leq C \cdot \omega_P$$

where ω_P is a Kähler form of $M - B$ with Poincaré growth. This is standard and is easily verified by direct calculation. \square

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *There exists a positive integer m_0 such that $h_L^{m_0!}$ is a singular hermitian metric on the line bundle $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(m_0!K_{X/Y})^{**}$ such that with respect to a local holomorphic frame*

$$h_L = O((-\log |\sigma_D|)^q)$$

holds, where σ_D is a local defining function of D and q is a positive integer. And the curvature $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}$ is dominated by a constant times a Kähler form ω_P with Poincaré growth on $Y - D$. \square

The estimate of h_L in Lemma 2.4 follows from [Ka1] which uses the theory variation of Hodge structure due to W. Schmidt ([Sch]). And the latter estimate of $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}$ follows from the fact that holomorphic sectional curvature in the horizontal direction of the period domain is dominated by a negative constant ([G]) and the Yau-Royden Schwarz lemma ([Y2, R]).

In this sense h_L is very close to a smooth metric. To smooth out h_L , we take a finite open covering $\mathcal{U} := \{U_\alpha\}$ of Y such that every U_α is biholomorphic to the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n with center O via the coordinate $z_\alpha = (z_\alpha^1, \dots, z_\alpha^n)$. Taking \mathcal{U} properly, we may and do assume z_α is a holomorphic coordinate on a larger open subset \hat{U}_α which is biholomorphic to the open ball with radius 2 in \mathbb{C}^n with center O . Let h_0 be a C^∞ hermitian metric on the \mathbb{Q} line bundle L . We set

$$\varphi := \log \frac{h_L}{h_0}.$$

Let ρ be a C^∞ function on \mathbb{C}^n such that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \rho$ is contained in the unit open ball in \mathbb{C}^n with center O and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \rho(z) d\mu(z) = 1,$$

where $d\mu$ is the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n . For every $0 < \delta < 1$ we set

$$\rho_\delta(z) = \delta^{-2n} \rho(z/\delta).$$

We shall take a mollification $\varphi_{\alpha,\delta}$ of $\varphi|_{U_\alpha}$ using the convolution with the mollifier ρ_δ as

$$\varphi_{\alpha,\delta} := (\varphi|_{U_\alpha}) * \rho_\delta$$

with respect to the coordinate z_α . Since z_α is a holomorphic coordinate on \hat{U}_α , $\varphi_{\alpha,\delta}$ is a well defined C^∞ function on U_α for every $0 < \delta < 1$. Then $\varphi_{\alpha,\delta}$ converges to φ in L^1 -topology on U_α and compact uniformly in C^∞ -topology on $U_\alpha \setminus D$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$.

Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to \mathcal{U} . We set

$$h_{L,\delta} := \exp\left(\sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha \cdot \varphi_{\alpha,\delta}\right) \cdot h_0.$$

Then $h_{L,\delta}$ is a C^∞ -hermitian metric on L and there exists a positive constant independent of $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} \leq C \cdot \omega_P$$

holds. In general $h_{L,\delta}$ does not have semipositive curvature. But by the construction, there exists a continuous function $c(\delta)$ on Y such that

$$\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} \geq -c(\delta) \cdot \omega_P$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} c(\delta) = 0$$

holds uniformly on Y .

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this subsection, we shall give a proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof here is more or less parallel to the existence of the singular Kähler-Einstein metrics in [Su, T4] and is based on [Y1] and the idea in [T0]. But since the Hodge metric h_L is **not of algebraic singularities**, we need to consider the smoothing of the Hodge metric. This is the major difference.

Let m_0 be a sufficiently large positive integer such that for every $m \geq m_0$, $m!(K_Y + L)$ is a Cartier divisor on Y and $|m!(K_Y + L)|$ gives a birational embedding of Y . Let $\pi_m : Y_m \rightarrow Y$ be the resolution of Bs $|m!(K_Y + L)|$ such that for every $m > m_0$

$$\pi_m : Y_m \rightarrow Y$$

factors through $\pi_{m-1} : Y_{m-1} \rightarrow Y$. Let

$$\mu_m : Y_m \rightarrow Y_{m-1}$$

be the natural morphism. Let

$$\pi_m^* |m!(K_Y + L)| = |P_m| + F_m$$

be the decomposition of $\pi_m^* |m!(K_Y + L)|$ into the free part $|P_m|$ and the fixed component F_m . Let V be the analytic subset of Y defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} V := & \{y \in Y^\circ \mid y \in \bigcap_{m>0} \text{Bs}|m!(K_Y + L)| \text{ or} \\ & \Phi_{|m!(K_Y + L)|} \text{ is not an embedding on an neighborhood of } y \text{ for some } m \geq m_0\} \\ & \cup \{\text{the discriminant locus of } f\}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking a suitable modification of Y , we may and do assume that V is a divisor with normal crossings.

There exists an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor E_m on Y_m respectively such that

1. $P_m - E_m$ is ample on Y_m ,
2. All the coefficients of E_m are less than 1,
3. $\text{Supp } E_m = \pi_m^{-1}(V)$,
4. $\frac{1}{m!} \mu_{m+1}^*(F_m + E_m) - \frac{1}{(m+1)!} (F_{m+1} + E_{m+1})$ is effective.

hold for every $m \geq m_0$. After taking such a sequence $\{E_m\}$, we replace $\{E_m\}$ by $\{2^{-m} E_m\}$. Then it has the same properties as above. And we shall denote $\{2^{-m} E_m\}$ again by $\{E_m\}$. Then instead of 3 we have

3'. $((m+1)!)^{-1}(P_{m+1} - E_{m+1}) - \mu_{m+1}^*(m!)^{-1}(P_m - E_m)$ is effective and contains $\varepsilon_m(\pi_{m+1}^{-1}V)_{red}$ for some positive number ε_m .

Let $h_{(m)}$ be a C^∞ hermitian metric on $\pi_m^*((m!)^{-1}(P_m - E_m))$ with strictly positive curvature. Then

$$\Omega_{m,\delta} := h_{(m)}^{-1} \cdot (\pi_m^* h_{L,\delta})$$

is considered to be a degenerate volume form on Y_m . We note that $\Omega_{m,\delta} \cdot (\pi_m^* h_{L,\delta})^{-1}$ is a metric with algebraic singularities.

Then by [Su, p.430, Theorem 5.6], solving the Monge-Ampère equation :

$$(-\text{Ric } \Omega_{m,\delta} + \sqrt{-1} \pi_m^* \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u_{m,\delta})^n = \Omega_{m,\delta} \cdot e^{u_{m,\delta}}, \quad (4)$$

we see that there exists a $u_{m,\delta} \in C^\infty(Y_m \setminus \pi_m^{-1}V)$ and the closed positive current

$$\omega_{m,\delta} := -\text{Ric } \Omega_{m,\delta} + \sqrt{-1} \pi_m^* \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u_{m,\delta}$$

on Y_m such that

1. $-\text{Ric } \omega_{m,\delta} + \sqrt{-1} \pi_m^* \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} = \omega_{m,\delta}$ holds on $Y_m - \text{Supp } E_m$,
2. The absolutely continuous part of $\omega_{m,\delta}$ represents $2\pi(m!)^{-1}(P_m - E_m)$,
3. $(\pi_m)_* \omega_{m,\delta}$ represents the class $2\pi c_1(K_Y + L)$.
4. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist positive constants $C(m, \delta)$ and $C_\epsilon(m, \delta)$ such that

$$\epsilon \cdot \log \|\sigma\| - C_\epsilon(m, \delta) \leq u_{m,\delta} \leq C(m, \delta)$$

holds, where $\sigma \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(V))$ with divisor V and $\|\sigma\|$ is the hermitian norm for a fixed C^∞ hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_Y(V)$.

We call the 4-th property above, the **almost boundedness** of $u_{m,\delta}$. Here we note that

$$-\text{Ric } \Omega_{m,\delta} + \sqrt{-1} \pi_m^* \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{(m)}}$$

holds, hence it is independent of δ . If we set

$$\omega_{(m)} := \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{(m)}},$$

then the equation is transcribed as :

$$\log \frac{\omega_{m,\delta}^n}{\Omega_{m,\delta}} = \log \frac{(\omega_{(m)} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u_{m,\delta})^n}{\Omega_{m,\delta}} = u_{m,\delta}. \quad (5)$$

Let us consider $\{\omega_{m,\delta}^n\}$ as a sequence of volume forms on $Y - V$. And we shall identify $(\pi_m)_* \omega_{m,\delta}$ with $\omega_{m,\delta}$ on $Y - V$. Hereafter we shall identify $Y - V$ with a Zariski open subset of Y_m for every m and consider everything on $Y - V$ (if without fear of confusion). Then by the maximum principle we have the following monotonicity lemma.

Lemma 2.5

$$\omega_{m,\delta}^n \leq \omega_{m+1,\delta}^n$$

holds on $Y - V$. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.5. We note that by the construction the followings hold.

1. The absolutely continuous parts of $\omega_{m,\delta}$ and ω_{m+1} represent $2\pi(m!)^{-1}(P_m - E_m)$ and $2\pi((m+1)!)^{-1}(P_{m+1} - E_{m+1})$ respectively.
2. $\mu_{m+1}^*((m!)^{-1}(F_m + E_m)) - ((m+1)!)^{-1}(F_{m+1} + E_{m+1})$ is effective and contains $\varepsilon_m(\pi_{m+1}^{-1}V)$ for some positive number ε_m .

Hence the function $\phi_{m,\delta}$ is defined by

$$\phi_{m,\delta} := \log \frac{\omega_{m,\delta}^n}{\omega_{m+1,\delta}^n}$$

tends to $-\infty$ toward V by the almost bandedness of $u_{m,\delta}$. Hence there exists a point $p_m \in Y - V$ where $\phi_{m,\delta}$ takes its maximum. Then

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_{m,\delta}(p_m) \leq 0$$

holds. By the equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{Ric}_{\omega_{k,\delta}} + \sqrt{-1}\pi_k^*\Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} &= \omega_{k,\delta}(k = m, m+1), \\ \omega_{m,\delta}(p_m) &\leq \omega_{m+1,\delta}(p_m) \end{aligned}$$

holds. This implies that $\phi_{m,\delta} \leq 0$ holds on $Y - V$. Hence $\omega_{m,\delta}^n \leq \omega_{m+1,\delta}^n$ holds on $Y - V$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5. \square

Now we shall consider the uniform C^2 -estimate on every compact subset of $Y - V$. Let s be a positive integer and let

$$\omega_{(s)} = \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_{(s)}}.$$

For every $m > s$, let $v_{m,\delta}$ be a C^∞ -function on $Y - D$ defined by

$$v_{m,\delta} := u_{m,\delta} + \log \frac{h_{(s)}}{h_{(m)}}.$$

Then

$$\omega_{m,\delta} = \omega_{(s)} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_{m,\delta}$$

and

$$\log \frac{(\omega_{(s)} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v_{m,\delta})^n}{\Omega_{s,\delta}} = v_{m,\delta}$$

hold. Since by the condition $3'((m+1)!)^{-1}(P_{m+1} - E_{m+1}) - \mu_{m+1}^*(m!)^{-1}(P_m - E_m)$ is effective and contains $\varepsilon_m(\pi_{m+1}^{-1}V)_{red}$ for some positive number ε_m , we see that there exists a positive number $\epsilon(s)$ such that for every $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon(s)$ and $m > s$,

$$\log \frac{h_{(s)}}{h_{(m)}} - \epsilon \cdot \log \|\sigma\|$$

tends to $-\infty$ toward V . We note that by the almost boundedness of $u_{m,\delta}$ (cf, (6)), we have that for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\epsilon \cdot \log \|\sigma\| + \log \frac{h_{(s)}}{h_{(m)}} - C_\epsilon(m, \delta) \leq v_{m,\delta} \leq C(m, \delta) + \log \frac{h_{(s)}}{h_{(m)}} \quad (6)$$

holds, where $C(m, \delta), C_\epsilon(m, \delta)$ are the positive constants as above. Hence $v_{m, \delta}$ tends to $+\infty$ toward V .

Hence there exists a point $p_0 \in Y - V$, where $v_{m, \delta}$ takes its minimum. Then

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{(\omega_{(s)} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} v_{m, \delta})^n}{\Omega_{s, \delta}}(p_0) \geq 0$$

holds. Hence by the equation : $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_{m, \delta}} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{L, \delta}} = \omega_{m, \delta}$,

$$\omega_{m, \delta}(p_0) - \omega_{(s)}(p_0) \geq 0$$

holds. This implies that

$$v_{m, \delta}(p_0) \geq \log \frac{\omega_{(s)}^n}{\Omega_{s, \delta}}(p_0)$$

holds. Hence we see that

$$v_{m, \delta}(y) \geq \log \frac{\omega_{(s)}^n}{\Omega_{s, \delta}}(p_0)$$

holds for every $y \in Y - V$. Hence

$$u_{m, \delta} \geq \log \frac{h_{(m)}}{h_{(s)}} + \min_{y \in Y} \log \frac{\omega_{(s)}^n}{\Omega_{s, \delta}}(y) \quad (7)$$

holds on Y .

On the other hand, we obtain the upper estimate of $u_{m, \delta}$ as follows. We may and do assume that V is a divisor with normal crossings.

Let Ω_P be a volume form on $Y - V$ with Poincaré growth, i.e., for every polydisk Δ^n in Y such that

$$\Delta^n \cap V = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \Delta^n | z_1 \cdots z_k = 0\},$$

$$\Omega_P = c \frac{|dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n|^2}{\prod_{i=1}^k |z_i| (\log |z_i|^2)^2},$$

where c is a positive C^∞ function on Δ^n . Such a Ω_P can be constructed easily by using a partition of unity. We set

$$\tilde{u}_{m, \delta} := u_{m, \delta} + \log \frac{\Omega_{m, \delta}}{\Omega_P}. \quad (8)$$

By the condition 3' and the almost boundedness of $u_{m, \delta}$, there exists a point p'_0 on $Y - V$ such that $\tilde{u}_{m, \delta}$ takes its maximum at p'_0 . Then since

$$\log \frac{\omega_{m, \delta}^n}{\Omega_P} = u_{m, \delta} + \log \frac{\Omega_{m, \delta}}{\Omega_P} = \tilde{u}_{m, \delta}$$

hold, at p'_0 we have that

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \frac{\omega_{m, \delta}^n}{\Omega_P}(p'_0) \leq 0$$

holds. Hence we see that

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_{m,\delta}} \leq (-\text{Ric } \Omega_P)(p'_0).$$

and by the equation

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_{m,\delta}} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}} = \omega_{m,\delta},$$

we see that

$$\omega_{m,\delta}(p'_0) \leq -\text{Ric } \Omega_P + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}}$$

holds. In particular,

$$\omega_{m,\delta}^n(p'_0) \leq (-\text{Ric } \Omega_P + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}})^n(p'_0)$$

holds. This implies that

$$\tilde{u}_{m,\delta} = u_{m,\delta} + \log \frac{\Omega_{m,\delta}}{\Omega_P} \leq \log \frac{(-\text{Ric } \Omega_P + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}})^n}{\Omega_P}(p'_0)$$

holds on Y .

By the construction of $h_{L,\delta}$, Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.3, there exists a positive constant C_+ independent of δ such that

$$\frac{(-\text{Ric } \Omega_P + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_{L,\delta}})^n}{\Omega_P} \leq C_+$$

holds on $Y - V$. And there exists a positive constant \tilde{C}_+ independent of m and δ such that

$$e^{u_{m,\delta}} \Omega_{m,\delta} \leq \tilde{C}_+ \cdot \Omega_P \quad (9)$$

holds on Y . Hence we have that

$$u_{m,\delta} \leq C_+ - \log \frac{\Omega_{m,\delta}}{\Omega_P} \quad (10)$$

holds on Y . By (7) and (10), we see that there exists a positive constant $C'_0(m)$ independent of δ such that

$$\int_Y |u_{m,\delta}| \omega_{(s)}^n < C'_0(m) \quad (11)$$

holds. Then since

$$\omega_{(m)} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u_{m,\delta}$$

is a closed positive current on Y and $\omega_{(m)}$ is a C^∞ Kähler form on Y_m , $u_{m,\delta}$ is an almost plurisubharmonic function on Y_m . By the sub-mean-value inequality for plurisubharmonic functions, we see that by (11) we see that there exists a positive constant $C_0(m)$ such that

$$u_{m,\delta} \leq C_0(m) \quad (12)$$

holds on Y_m .

By (7) and (12), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6 *There exists a positive constant $C_1(m)$ independent of δ such that for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$-C_1(m) \leq v_{m,\delta} \leq C_1(m) - \log \frac{h(m)}{h(s)}$$

hold on $Y - V$. \square

Now we shall estimate the C^2 -norm of $\{v_{m,\delta}\}_{\delta>0}$ on every compact subset of $Y - V$.

Lemma 2.7 (*[T0, p. 127, Lemma 2.2]*) *We set*

$$f := \log \frac{\omega(s)^n}{\Omega}.$$

Let C be a positive number such that

$$C + \inf_{\alpha \neq \beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}} > 1$$

holds on Y , where $R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}}$ denotes the bisectional curvature of $\omega(s)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{Cv_{m,\delta}} \Delta_{m,\ell}(e^{-Cv_{m,\delta}}(n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta})) &\geq (n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta}) \\ &+ \Delta_s(f + \log \frac{h(m)}{h(s)}) + (n + n^2 \inf_{\alpha \neq \beta} R_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}}) \\ &+ C \cdot n(n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta}) + (n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta})^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \exp(-\frac{1}{n-1}v_{m,\delta} + f) \end{aligned}$$

holds, where Δ_s denotes the Laplacian with respect to $\omega(s)$ (i.e., $\Delta_s = \text{trace}_{\omega(s)} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}$) and $\Delta_{m,\ell}$ denotes the Laplacian with respect to $\omega_{m,\delta}$.

\square

Let x_0 be the point where $e^{-Cv_{m,\delta}}(n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta})$ takes its maximum. Then

$$0 \leq n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta}(x_0) \leq C_2$$

holds.

$$0 \leq n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta} \leq \exp(C(v_{m,\delta} - v_{m,\delta}(x_0))) \cdot C_2$$

By Lemma 2.6, there exists a positive constant C_3 such that

$$n + \Delta_s v_{m,\delta} \leq C_3 \left(\frac{h(m)}{h(s)} \right)^{-C}$$

holds on $Y - V$. Applying the general theory of fully nonlinear elliptic equations ([Tr]), we get a uniform higher order estimate of $\{v_{m,\delta}\}_{\delta>0}$ on every compact subset of $Y - V$. Hence there exists a sequence $\{\delta_j\}$ with $\delta_j \downarrow 0$ as j tends to infinity such that

$$\omega_m := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{m,\delta_j}$$

exists in C^∞ -topology on every compact subset of $Y - V$. By using the diagonal argument, we may take $\{\delta_j\}$ independent of m . Then

$$\omega_m := \omega(s) + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} v_m$$

satisfies the equation :

$$\log \frac{\omega_m^n}{\Omega_s} = v_m, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Omega_s := h_{(s)}^{-1} \cdot h_L$$

and hence

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_m} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} = \omega_m \quad (14)$$

holds.

Let h_{min} be an AZD of $K_Y + L$ with minimal singularities as in Section 1.4 (cf. Definition 1.3). We set

$$\Omega_{min} := h_{min}^{-1} \cdot h_L.$$

Then we have the following uniform C^0 -estimate for $\{v_m\}$.

Lemma 2.8 *There exists a positive constant C such that for every $m > s$*

$$v_m \leq C + \log \frac{\Omega_{min}}{\Omega_s}$$

holds on Y . \square

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Since

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_m} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} = \omega_m$$

holds, we see that

$$\omega_m^n = O(\Omega_{min})$$

holds by Definition 1.3. Hence by the uniform upper bound (9), we see that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$v_m \leq C + \log \frac{\Omega_{min}}{\Omega_s}$$

holds for every $m > s$. \square

By the uniform upper bound (9) and Lemma 2.5, the pointwise limit:

$$dV_Y := \frac{1}{n!} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \omega_m^n$$

exists on Y . We set

$$v := \log \frac{n! \cdot dV_Y}{\Omega_s}.$$

Then by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8

$$\omega_Y = \omega_{(s)} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} v$$

satisfies

$$\omega_Y^n = e^v \cdot \Omega_s.$$

By Lemmas 2.7, 2.5 and 2.8, we see that taking a suitable subsequence $\{m_k\}$, if necessary, we may assume that

$$v = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{m_k}$$

holds in C^∞ -topology on every compact subset of $Y - V$. Then by the above construction (cf. (14))

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} = \omega_Y \quad (15)$$

holds on $Y - V$.

Let us define the singular hermitian metric on $K_Y + L$ by

$$h_K := (dV_Y)^{-1} \cdot h_L = n! \cdot (e^v \cdot \Omega_s)^{-1} \cdot h_L.$$

We shall check h_K is an AZD of $K_Y + L$. First it is clear that $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_K}$ is a closed semipositive current by (15) and the C^0 -estimate: Lemma 2.8. We note that all the coefficients of E_m is less than 1 by the construction. Then by the construction every global holomorphic section of $m! \cdot \pi_m^*(K_Y + L)$ is L^2 integrable on Y_m (with respect to a C^∞ -volume form on Y_m). Then by the monotonicity of $\{\omega_{m,\delta}^n\}$ (Lemma 2.5), we see that $h_K = (dV_Y)^{-1} \cdot h_L$ is an AZD of $K_Y + L$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.7

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the Iitaka fibration and let (L, h_L) be the singular hermitian \mathbb{Q} -line bundle on Y as in Theorem 1.5. Let ω_Y be the canonical Kähler current on Y . Then there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset U such that ω_Y is a C^∞ on U and

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} = \omega_Y$$

constructed in Theorem 1.5.

Let m_0 be the sufficiently large positive integer, M be a effective Cartier divisor such that $A := m_0!(K_Y + L) - M$ is sufficiently ample as in Section 1.8. Let h_A be the C^∞ -hermitian metric on A . Hereafter we shall consider h_A as a singular hermitian metric on $m_0!(K_Y + L)$ by identifying h_A with

$$h_A / |\tau_M|^2,$$

where τ_M is a global holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(M)$ with divisor M . Let $\{K_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$ be the Dynamical systems of Bergman kernels as in Section 1.8. Let $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$ be the corresponding dynamical systems of singular hermitian metrics defined by

$$h_m := K_m^{-1}$$

as in Section 1.8.

Now we shall prove Theorem 1.7.

Let $dV_Y = (n!)^{-1} \omega_Y^n$ be the volume form associated with (Y, ω_Y) .

Lemma 3.1

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-n} K_m} \geq (2\pi)^{-n} dV_Y$$

holds on X . \square

Proof of Lemma 3.1. First we shall assume that L is a genuine line bundle on Y for simplicity. If L is not a genuine line bundle, we tensorize (aL, h_L^a) in every a -steps instead of tensorize (L, h_L) every step, where a is the least positive integer such that aL is Cartier. But of course this is a minor technical difference. Hence we shall give the proof assuming that L is Cartier. The general case is left to readers.

Let us consider the hermitian line bundle $(K_Y + L, dV_Y^{-1} \cdot h_L)$ on Y . Let U be a nonempty Zariski open subset of Y such that $\omega_Y|_U$ is a C^∞ Kähler form. Let $p \in U$ be a point. Then by the equation (1), there exists a holomorphic normal coordinate (U, z_1, \dots, z_n) of (Y, ω_Y) around p and a local holomorphic frame e_L of L on U such that

$$dV_Y^{-1} \cdot h_L = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - |z_i|^2) + O(\|z\|^3) \right\} \cdot |dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n|^{-2} \cdot |e_L|^{-2} \quad (16)$$

holds. Suppose that

$$C_{m-1} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot dV_Y^{m-m_0!-1} \cdot h_L^{-(m-m_0!-1)} \leq K_{m-1}$$

holds on Y for some positive constant C_{m-1} . We note that

$$K_m(y) = \sup\{|\sigma|^2(y); \sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X([m(K_Y+L)])), (\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_X h_{m-1} \cdot \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} = 1\} \quad (17)$$

holds for every $x \in X$, by the extremal property of the Bergman kernel (This is well known. See for example, [Kr, p.46, Proposition 1.3.16]). We note that for the open unit disk $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$,

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Delta} (1 - |t|^2)^m dt \wedge d\bar{t} = \frac{2\pi}{m+1} \quad (18)$$

holds. Then by Hörmander's L^2 -estimate of $\bar{\partial}$ -operators, we see that there exists a positive constant λ_m such that

$$(\lambda_m \cdot (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot m^n) \cdot C_{m-1} \cdot dV_Y^{m-m_0!} \leq h_L^{m-m_0!} \cdot h_A \cdot K_m \quad (19)$$

with

$$\lambda_m \geq 1 - \frac{C}{\sqrt{m}},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of m .

In fact this can be verified as follows. Let $y \in Y - \text{Supp } M - V$ and let (U, z_1, \dots, z_n) be the normal coordinate as above. We may assume that U is biholomorphic to the polydisk $\Delta^n(r)$ of radius r with center O in \mathbb{C}^n for some r via (z_1, \dots, z_n) .

Taking r sufficiently small we may assume that there exists a C^∞ -function ρ on Y such that

1. ρ is identically 1 on $\Delta^n(r/3)$.
2. $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$.

3. $\text{Supp } \rho \subset \subset U$.

4. $|\rho| < 3/r$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the pointwise norm with respect to ω_Y .

We note that by the equation (16), the mass of $\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m$ concentrates around the origin as m tends to infinity. Hence by (18) we see that the L^2 -norm

$$\| \rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m \|$$

of $\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m$ with respect to $(dV_Y)^{-m} \cdot h_L^m$ and ω_Y is asymptotically

$$\| \rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m \|^2 \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right)^n \quad (20)$$

as m tends to infinity, where \sim means that the ratio of the both sides converge to 1 as m tends to infinity. We set

$$\phi := n\rho \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2.$$

We may and do assume that m is sufficiently large so that

$$(m - m_0! - 1) \cdot \omega_Y + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_A} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi > 0$$

holds on Y .

By (20), the L^2 -norm

$$\| \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m) \|_\phi$$

of $\bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m)$ with respect to

$e^{-\phi} \cdot h_A \cdot (dV_Y)^{-(m-m_0!-1)} \otimes h_L^{(m-m_0!)}$ and ω_Y satisfies the inequality

$$\| \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m) \|_\phi^2 \leq C_0 \cdot \left(\frac{3}{r}\right)^{2n+2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right)^n \quad (21)$$

for every m , where C_0 is a positive constant independent of m .

By Hörmander's L^2 -estimate applied to the adjoint line bundle of the hermitian line bundle

$((m-1)(K_Y + L) + L, e^{-\phi} \cdot h_A \cdot dV_Y^{-(m-m_0!-1)} \cdot h_L^{m-m_0!})$, we see that for every sufficiently large m , there exists a C^∞ -solution of the equation ;

$$\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m)$$

such that

$$u(y) = 0$$

and

$$\| u \|_\phi^2 \leq \frac{2}{m} \| \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m) \|_\phi^2$$

hold, where $\| \cdot \|_\phi$'s denote the L^2 norms with respect to $e^{-\phi} \cdot h_A \cdot dV_Y^{-(m-m_0!-1)} \otimes h_L^{m-m_0!}$ and ω_Y respectively. Then $\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m - u$ is a holomorphic section of $m(K_Y + L)$ such that

$$(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m - u)(y) = (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m$$

and

$$\| \rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m - u \|^2 \leq (1 + C_0 \cdot (\frac{3}{r})^{2n+2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}}) (\frac{2\pi}{m})^n.$$

Hence by induction on m , using (17) and (19), we see that there exist positive constants C and C' such that for every $m > m_0!$

$$K_m \geq C' \left(\prod_{k=m_0}^m \left(1 - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \right) \cdot (m!)^n \cdot (2\pi)^{-mn} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot h_L^{-(m-m_0!)} \cdot dV_Y^{m-m_0!} \quad (22)$$

holds on Y . This implies that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-n} K_m} \geq (2\pi)^{-n} dV_Y$$

holds on Y . \square

Lemma 3.2

$$\int_Y h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{K_m} \leq \left(\prod_{k=m_0}^m (N_k + 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left(\int_Y h_L \cdot \sqrt[m_0]{K_{m_0}} \right)^{\frac{m_0}{m}}$$

holds, where $N_k := \dim |k(K_Y + L)| = \dim H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(k(K_Y + L))) - 1$. \square

Proof. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{K_m} &= \int_Y h_L \cdot \frac{K_m^{\frac{1}{m}}}{h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}} \cdot h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_Y h_L^m \cdot \frac{K_m}{h_L^m \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{m}{m-1}}} \cdot (h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left(\int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \\ &= \left(\int_Y h_L \cdot \frac{K_m}{K_{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left(\int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \\ &= (N_m + 1)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left(\int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \end{aligned}$$

Then continuing this process, by using

$$\int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \leq (N_{m-1} + 1)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \cdot \left(\int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-2}^{\frac{1}{m-2}} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}},$$

we have that

$$\int_Y h_L \cdot (K_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \{(N_m + 1) \cdot (N_{m-1} + 1)\}^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left(\int_Y h_L \cdot (K_{m-2})^{\frac{1}{m-2}} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}}$$

holds. Continuing this process we obtain the lemma. \square

To estimate the growth of $\{N_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$, we introduce the following notion.

Definition 3.3 Let L be a line bundle on a compact complex manifold M of dimension n . We define the **volume** of M with respect to L by

$$\mu(M, L) := n! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-n} \dim H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(mL)).$$

□

We note that Definition 3.3 can be generalized to the case of \mathbb{Q} -line bundles in an obvious way. Using Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^{\frac{n}{m}}} \int_Y h_L \cdot (K_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{\mu(Y, K_Y + L)}{n!}$$

holds. □

Proof. By the definition of the volume $\mu(Y, K_Y + L)$,

$$N_m + 1 = \frac{\mu(Y, K_Y + L)}{n!} m^n + o(m^n)$$

holds. Then by Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^{\frac{n}{m}}} \int_Y h_L \cdot (K_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{\mu(Y, K_Y + L)}{n!}$$

holds. □

By (22)

$$h_m = O(h_A \cdot h_K^{m-m_0!})$$

holds. Hence

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + L)) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_m)) \supseteq H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + L)) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_A \cdot h_K^{m-m_0!}))$$

holds for every $m \geq m_0!$. Since h_K is an AZD of $K_Y + L$, we see that

$$n! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-n} \cdot \dim H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y + L)) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_m)) \geq \mu(Y, K_Y + L)$$

holds.

Lemma 3.5

$$\int_Y dV_Y = \frac{1}{n!} \int_Y \omega_Y^n = \mu(Y, K_Y + L)$$

holds. □

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let $|P_m|$ be the free part of $|\pi_m^* m!(K_Y + L)|$, where μ_m is the resolution of $\text{Bs}|m!(K_Y + L)|$ as in the last section. By Fujita's theorem ([F, p.1, Theorem]), we see that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m!)^{-n} P_m^n = \mu(Y, K_Y + L)$$

Then by Lemma 2.5 we see that

$$\mu(Y, K_Y + L) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_Y \omega_m^n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_Y \omega_Y^n$$

hold. \square

Combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have the equality:

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^{\frac{n}{m}}} h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{K_m} = (2\pi)^{-n} dV_Y,$$

since

$$\int_Y dV_Y = \frac{1}{n!} \int_Y \omega_Y^n = \mu(Y, K_Y + L)$$

hold by the equation (1) and the monotonicity : Lemma 2.5. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7. \square

For the uniqueness of the canonical measure, we have the following uniqueness.

Corollary 3.6 *$d\mu_{can}$ is birationally invariant.* \square

Proof of Corollary 3.6. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be as above and let us consider the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & Y \end{array}$$

where $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, $\varpi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ are modifications. Let \tilde{A} and A be ample line bundles on \tilde{Y} and Y respectively.

Then ϖ^*A is nef and big on \tilde{Y} . Hence by Kodaira's lemma, we have that there exists a positive integers a_1, a_2 such that

$$a_1 \tilde{A} - \varpi^*A, a_2 \varpi^*A - \tilde{A}$$

are \mathbb{Q} -effective. Let $d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can}, d\mu_{X, can}$ be canonical measures on \tilde{X} and X respectively. Then since $a_1 \tilde{A} - \varpi^*A$ is \mathbb{Q} -effective, by Theorem 1.7 and its proof, we see that

$$d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can} \geq \pi^* d\mu_{X, can}$$

holds.

In fact this can be verified as follows. Let us fix C^∞ hermitian metrics h_A and $h_{\tilde{A}}$ on A and \tilde{A} respectively. Let b be a sufficiently large positive integer and let

$$\tau \in H^0(\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(b(a_1 \tilde{A} - \varpi^*A)))$$

be a nonzero section such that

$$(h_{\tilde{A}}^{a_1} \cdot \varpi^* h_A^{-1})^b(\tau, \tau) \leq 1.$$

Let $\{\tilde{K}_m\}_{m \geq 0}, \{K_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ be the dynamical systems of Bergman kernels as in Theorem 1.7, starting from $(ba_1\tilde{A}, h_{\tilde{A}}^{ba_1})$ and (bA, h_A^b) on \tilde{Y} and Y respectively. Then we see that

$$\tilde{K}_m \geq |\tau|^2 \cdot \varpi^* K_m$$

holds. Hence by Theorem 1.7, we see that

$$d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can} \geq \pi^* d\mu_{X, can}$$

holds.

Similarly since $a_2\varpi^*A - \tilde{A}$ is \mathbb{Q} -effective, we have the opposite inequality :

$$d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can} \leq \pi^* d\mu_{X, can}$$

Hence we have that the equality

$$d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can} = \pi^* d\mu_{X, can}$$

holds. This completes the poof of Corollary 3.6. \square

4 Relative version of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7

In this section we shall consider the variation of the canonical measure on projective families.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective family such that X, S are smooth and f has connected fibers. Suppose that $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(mK_{X/S}) \neq 0$ for some $m > 0$. Then there exists a closed semipositive current $\omega_{X/S}$ on X such that for a general fiber $X_s := f^{-1}(s)$, $\omega_{X/S}|_{X_s}$ is the canonical semipositive current on X_s constructed as in Theorems 1.5 and 1.7. \square*

Proof of Theorems 4.1. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that S is the unit open polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n . Let m_0 be a sufficiently large positive integer and let

$$F_{m_0} := f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m_0!K_{X/S})$$

and (shrinking S , if necessary) let $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{N(m)}$ be a set of global generators of F_{m_0} on S . We take the image Y of the rational map

$$\Phi_{m_0} : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^{N(m_0)}.$$

If we take m sufficiently large, taking modifications of \hat{X} of X and \hat{Y} of Y respectively, we have the relative Iitaka fibration

$$\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$$

such that \hat{X} and \hat{Y} are smooth and $\hat{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(m!K_{\hat{X}/\hat{Y}})**$ is a line bundle on \hat{Y} . We define the \mathbb{Q} -line bundle L on \hat{Y} by

$$L = \frac{1}{m_0!} \hat{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(m_0!K_{\hat{X}/\hat{Y}})**$$

and let a be the least positive integer such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(aK_{\hat{X}/\hat{Y}}) \neq 0$. Let S° be the locus of S such that \hat{f} is smooth over S° . Let A be a sufficiently ample line bundle on \hat{Y} and let h_A be a C^∞ metric on A with strictly positive curvature. Then as in Section 3, for every $s \in S^\circ$, we define the dynamical system of Bergman kernels $\{K_{m,s}\}_{m \geq m_0!}$ as in Section 3, i.e.,

$$K_{1,s} := \begin{cases} K(\hat{Y}_s, K_{\hat{Y}_s} + A|_{\hat{Y}_s}, h_A|_{\hat{Y}_s}), & \text{if } a > 1 \\ K(Y, K_{\hat{Y}_s} + L + (K_{\hat{Y}_s} + L)|_{\hat{Y}_s}, h_L \cdot h_A|_{\hat{Y}_s}), & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$

and $h_{1,s} = K_{1,s}^{-1}$. And we define $\{K_{m,s}\}$ inductively as in Section 3 fiberwise.

We define K_m by

$$K_m|_{X_s} = K_{m,s} (\forall s \in S^\circ)$$

and let

$$K_m^* = \text{the uppersemicontinuous envelope of } K_m.$$

We note that the Hodge metric h_L on L defined as in Section 3 has semipositive curvature in the sense of current on the total space \hat{X} of the family (not on every fiber). Then by the plurisubharmonicity of the Bergman kernel ([B3] and [T4, Theorem 3.4], see also [B-P]) of the adjoint line bundle of singular hermitian line bundle of semipositive curvature current, by induction on m , we see that

$$h_m = (K_m^*)^{-1}$$

extends to a singular hermitian metric on

$$mK_{\hat{Y}/S} + (\lfloor \frac{m}{a} \rfloor \cdot a)L$$

on \hat{X} and the extended metric has semipositive curvature in the sense of current, i.e. $\log K_m^*$ is plurisubharmonic on \hat{X} .

Then by Theorem 1.7,

$$K_\infty := \text{the upper semicontinuous envelope of } \limsup \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-n} K_m^*}$$

exists as a nontrivial relative volume form and

$$\hat{h}_\infty := K_\infty^{-1}$$

is a singular hermitian metric on $K_{\hat{Y}/S} + L$ with semipositive curvature current. We set

$$\hat{h}_{X/S} := f^* \hat{h}_\infty.$$

Then $\hat{h}_{X/S}$ is a singular hermitian metric on $K_{\hat{X}/S}$ with semipositive curvature current.

$$\omega_{\hat{X}/S} := \sqrt{-1} \hat{f}^* \Theta_{\hat{h}}$$

is semipositive on \hat{X} . By Theorem 1.7 and the birational invariance of the canonical semipositive current (Corollary 3.6), we obtain Theorem 4.1. \square

5 Supercanonical measure

The canonical measure $d\mu_{can}$ (cf. Definition 1.6) is constructed by the dynamical system of Bergman kernels in terms of the Iitaka fibration (cf. Theorem 1.7). But $d\mu_{can}$ is rather unsatisfactory in the following points. :

1. The dynamical system is essentially not on X but on the base space of the Iitaka fibration.
2. $(d\mu_{can})^{-1}$ may not be an AZD with minimal singularities (cf. Definition 1.3), unless we assume the abundance of K_X .
3. $d\mu_{can}$ is useless to attack the abundance conjecture. Because what we would like to study is the pluricanonical systems and not the metric itself.

In this section, we shall consider a more natural AZD constructed by the dynamical systems of Bergman kernels.

5.1 The numerical Kodaira dimension and the asymptotic Kodaira dimension of a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle

We shall define the numerical Kodaira dimension and the asymptotic Kodaira dimension for pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundles on smooth projective varieties.

Definition 5.1 (L, h_L) be a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle on a projective manifold X . We set

$$\nu_{num}(L, h_L) := \sup\{\dim V \mid V \text{ is a subvariety of } X \text{ such that } h_L|_V \text{ is well defined and } (L, h_L)^{\dim V} \cdot V > 0\}.$$

Here

$$(L, h_L)^{\dim V} \cdot V := (\dim V)! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-\dim V} \dim H^0(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}(m\pi^*L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h_L^m)),$$

where $\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is a resolution of singularities. It is not difficult to verify the independence of $(L, h_L)^{\dim V} \cdot V$ from the resolution $\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ (see [T6] for example).

We call $\nu_{num}(L, h_L)$ the **numerical Kodaira dimension** of (L, h_L) . \square

The following invariant is more analytic in nature.

Definition 5.2 The **asymptotic Kodaira dimension** $\nu(L, h_L)$ of (L, h_L) is defined by

$$\nu_{asym}(L, h_L) = \sup_A \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A + mL) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L^m))}{\log m},$$

where A runs all the ample line bundles on X . \square

5.2 Relation between ν_{num} and ν_{asym}

Although ν_{num} and ν_{asym} are different in general, $\nu_{num} = \nu_{asym}$ holds under a mild condition.

Definition 5.3 Let (L, h_L) be a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle on a smooth projective variety X . (L, h_L) is said to be **normal**, if the set

$$E := \{x \in X \mid n(\Theta_{h_L}, x) > 0\}$$

is contained in a proper analytic set of X , where $n(\Theta_{h_L}, x)$ denotes the Lelong number of the closed positive current Θ_{h_L} at x ¹. \square

Remark 5.4 By the fundamental theorem of Siu ([Si]), E is at most a countable union of subvarieties of X . \square

Theorem 5.5 ([T6, Theorem 3.13]) Let X be a smooth projective variety and let (L, h_L) be a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle on X .

Then

$$\nu_{num}(L, h_L) \leq \nu_{asym}(L, h_L)$$

holds. Moreover if h_L is normal (cf. Definition 5.3), for every ample line bundle A on X ,

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A + mL) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L^m)) = O(m^{\nu_{num}(L, h_L)}).$$

holds. In particular

$$\nu_{num}(L, h_L) = \nu_{asym}(L, h_L)$$

holds. \square

Remark 5.6 If h_L is an AZD of L with $\text{Kod}(L) \geq 0$ (i.e., $|mL| \neq \emptyset$ for some $m > 0$), then the set

$$E := \{x \in X \mid n(\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}, x) > 0\}$$

is contained in the stable base locus of L . Hence in this case h_L is normal. \square

5.3 Supercanonical measure

Let X be a smooth projective variety with pseudoeffective canonical bundle. Let A be a sufficiently ample line bundle on X with C^∞ hermitian metric h_A . Let h_{min} be an AZD of K_X with minimal singularities (cf. Definition 1.3). The existence of such an AZD is proven in [D-P-S].

In fact we may construct h_{min} as follows². Let h_0 be a C^∞ hermitian metric on L . We set

$$h_{min} := \inf\{h \mid \text{a singular hermitian metric on } K_X, h \geq h_0, \sqrt{-1}\Theta_h \geq 0\}.$$

Then h_{min} is an AZD with minimal singularities by the classical theorem of Lelong ([L, p.26, Theorem 5]). We note that by the definition of h_{min} , if $|mK_X| \neq \emptyset$ for some $m > 0$, then h_{min} is normal (cf. Definition 5.3).

¹Usually I use ν instead of n . But in this article, I use n not to confuse with the numerical Kodaira dimension or the asymptotic Kodaira dimension.

²This construction is valid for any pseudoeffective line bundles on projective manifolds.

We set

$$K_1 := K(X, K_X + A, h_A)$$

and

$$h_1 = K_1^{-1}.$$

Suppose we have defined K_m and h_m . Then we define

$$K_{m+1} := K(X, (m+1)K_X + A, h_m; h_{min}^m \cdot h_A)$$

and

$$h_{m+1} := K_{m+1}^{-1},$$

where $K(X, (m+1)K_X + A, h_m; h_{min}^m \cdot h_A)$ is the sum of the absolute value square of a set of orthonormal basis of

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^m))$$

with respect to the inner product :

$$(\sigma, \sigma') := \int_X \sigma \cdot \bar{\sigma}' \cdot h_m.$$

We shall assume that there exists a normal AZD on K_X . Hence h_{min} is indeed normal. We note that this condition is satisfied as soon as $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$ holds. By using Theorem 5.5, we set

$$\nu := \nu_{num}(K_X, h_{min}) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^m))}{\log m}$$

and

$$d\hat{\mu}_{can,A} := \text{the uppersmicontinuous envelope of } \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m!)^{-\frac{\nu}{m}} \sqrt[m]{K_m}.$$

At this moment it is not clear that $d\hat{\mu}_{can,A}$ is independent of the choice of h_A .

First we shall prove $d\hat{\mu}_{can,A}$ is independent of the choice of the C^∞ hermitian metric h_A . Let h'_A be another C^∞ hermitian metric on A and let $c > 1$ be a positive constant such that

$$c^{-1} \cdot h_A \leq h'_A \leq c \cdot h_A$$

holds on X . And let $\{K'_m\}$ be the dynamical system of Bergman kernels corresponding to the initial metric h'_A . Then we see that

$$c^{-1} \cdot K'_m \leq K_m \leq c \cdot K'_m$$

holds on X for every m by induction on m . This implies that $d\hat{\mu}_{can,A}$ is independent of the choice of the C^∞ hermitian metric h_A on A .

We set

$$\mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A) := \nu! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-\nu} \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^{m-1})).$$

$\mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)$ is well defined by the assumption that h_{min} is normal and Theorem 5.5. We define the measure independent of A by :

$$d\hat{\mu}_{can} := \text{the uppersmicontinuous envelope of } \sup_A \{ \mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)^{-1} \cdot d\hat{\mu}_{can,A} \},$$

where A runs all the ample line bundles on X . We have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7 (cf. [T6, Theorem 6.2]) *Let X be a smooth projective variety with pseudoeffective canonical line bundle. Suppose that there exists a normal AZD on K_X (cf. Definition 5.3).*

Then $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$ is a well defined measure on X and $d\hat{\mu}_{can}^{-1}$ is an AZD on K_X . In particular, if $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$, then $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$ is a well defined measure on X and $d\hat{\mu}_{can}^{-1}$ is an AZD on K_X . \square

Definition 5.8 *We call $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$ the supercanonical measure on X . \square*

5.4 Existence of the supercanonical measure

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 5.7.

Proof of Theorem 5.7. The proof has been already essentially given in [T6]. But for the completeness we shall give a proof here.

Let V be a ν dimensional nonsingular subvariety of X such that

1. V is not contained in the pluripolar set of h_{min} .
2. $(K_X, h_{min})|_V$ is big, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-\nu} \cdot h^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^m|_V)) > 0$$

holds.

Since $(K_X|_V, h_{min}|_V)$ is big, by the Kodaira's lemma for big singular hermitian line bundle ([T6, Theorem 5.2]), there exists a singular hermitian metric h_V on $K_X|_V$ such that

1. Θ_{h_V} is strictly positive everywhere on V .
2. $h_{min}|_V \leq h_V$ holds on V .

Suppose that for some $m \geq 2$

$$K_{m-1}(x) \geq C_{m-1} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot h_V^{-m}$$

holds on $x \in V$. Let us estimate $K_m(x)$ from below at the point x .

Lemma 5.9 *Let ε be a positive number. There exists a positive constant $C(\varepsilon)$ depending only on ε and V such that*

$$K_m(x) \geq C(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds at x . \square

Proof of Lemma 5.9. We shall give a proof only for the case $\varepsilon = 1$. The general case follows from the proof below in the same manner. We note that

$$K_m(x) = \sup\{|\sigma|^2(x); \sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A + mK_X)), \int_X h_{m-1} \cdot |\sigma|^2 = 1\}.$$

holds. Then by the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernels ([Ti]), we can extend any element σ_x of the fiber $(m(K_X + L) + A)_x$ of the line bundle of $m(K_X + L) + A$ to an element

$$\sigma_V \in H^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{O}_V(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_V^{m-1}))$$

with

$$\int_{U \cap V} h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_V, \sigma_V) |dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_\nu|^2 \leq C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on x and V . Since $h_{\min} |V| \leq h_V$ holds on V , this implies that

$$\int_{U \cap V} h_{\min}^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_V, \sigma_V) |dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_\nu|^2 \leq C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

holds. Then we can extend σ_V to

$$\sigma_U \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\min}^{m-1}))$$

by the L^2 -extension theorem ([O-T, Theorem]) so that

$$(\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_U \sigma_U \wedge \bar{\sigma}_U \cdot h_{\min}^{m-1} \cdot h_A \leq C_U \cdot C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

where C_U is a positive constant depending only on U . Let ρ be a C^∞ -function such that

1. $\text{Supp } \rho \subset \subset U$.
2. $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ on X .
3. $\rho \equiv 1$ on some neighbourhood W of x .

Taking A sufficiently ample, we may assume that

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (n\rho \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2) + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_A}$$

is strictly positive on X . We set

$$\omega := \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_A}.$$

And let dV denotes the volume form with respect to ω . Then we may solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation

$$\bar{\partial} u = \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot \sigma_U)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \exp(-(n+1)\rho) \cdot \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 \cdot |u|^2 h_A \cdot h_{\min}^{m-1} \\ & \leq C'_U \cdot \int_U \exp(-(n+1)\rho) \cdot \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 \cdot |\bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot \sigma_U)|^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

holds, where $|\bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot \sigma_U)|^2$ denotes the norm with respect to $h_A \cdot h_{\min}^{m-1}$ and ω and C'_U is a positive constant depending only on the supremum of the norm of $\bar{\partial}\rho$ with respect to ω . This implies that

$$u(x) = 0$$

and there exists a positive constant C independent of σ_x such that

$$\int_X h_A \cdot h_{min}^{m-1} |u|^2 \leq C \cdot (dV^{-1} \cdot h_A \cdot h_{min}^{m-1})(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

holds. Then

$$\sigma := \rho \cdot \sigma_U - u \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^{m-1}))$$

is an extension of σ_x such that

$$(\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_X \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} \cdot h_{min}^{m-1} \cdot h_A \leq C' \cdot C_U \cdot C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot (h_V^m \cdot h_A)(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

where C' is a positive constant depending V and U . Hence by the extremal property of Bergman kernels, we obtain that

$$K_m(x) \geq (C' \cdot C_U \cdot C)^{-1} \cdot m^\nu \cdot C_{m-1} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V)^{-m}$$

holds. We note that for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h^{1-\varepsilon} |_V$ is a singular hermitian metric with strictly positive curvature on V . Then replacing h_V by $h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{1-\varepsilon} |_V$ we obtain that there exists a positive constant $C(\varepsilon)$ depending on V and ε such that

$$K_m(x) \geq C(\varepsilon) \cdot m^\nu \cdot C_{m-1} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds. Hence summing up the estimates for m , we get

$$K_m(x) \geq C(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

at x . The estimate is valid on a neighbourhood of x in V and moving x on V , we may assume that the above estimate is valid on V .

Hence on V , there exists a positive constant C_V such that

$$K_m(y) \geq C_V(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds for every $y \in V$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.9. \square

On the other hand by the submeanvalue property of the plurisubhamonic functions, we have the following upper estimate.

Lemma 5.10 *There exists a positive constant C_1 independent of $m \geq 1$ such that*

$$K_m \leq \mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot C_1^m \cdot dV^m \cdot h_A^{-1}$$

holds.

Proof of Lemma 5.10. Let $p \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Let (U, z_1, \dots, z_n) be a local coordinate around p such that

1. $z_1(p) = \dots = z_n(p) = 0$.
2. U is biholomorphic to the open unit polydisk in \mathbf{C}^n with center $O \in \mathbf{C}^n$ by the coordinate.
3. z_1, \dots, z_n are holomorphic on a neighbourhood of the closure of U .

4. There exists a holomorphic frame \mathbf{e} of A on the closure of U .

Taking U sufficiently small we may assume that there exist holomorphic frames \mathbf{e}_L of L and \mathbf{e}_A of A on U respectively. We set

$$\Omega := (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (\sqrt{-1})^n dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n.$$

For every $m \geq 0$, we set

$$B_m := \sup_{x \in U} \frac{K_m}{\Omega^m \cdot |\mathbf{e}_L|^{2m} \cdot |\mathbf{e}_A|^2}(x).$$

We note that for any $x \in X$

$$K_m(x) = \sup\{|\phi|^2(x); \phi \in W_m, \int_X h_{m-1} |\phi|^2 = 1\}$$

holds, where

$$W_m := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^{m-1})).$$

Let ϕ_0 be the element of W_m such that

$$\int_X h_{m-1} |\phi_0|^2 = 1.$$

Then there exists a holomorphic function f on U such that

$$\phi_0|_U = f \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \cdot \mathbf{e}_L^m \cdot \mathbf{e}_A$$

holds. Then

$$\int_U |\phi_0|^2 \cdot h_A \cdot h_L^m \cdot \Omega^{-(m-1)} = \int_U |f|^2 \cdot h_L(\mathbf{e}_L, \mathbf{e}_L) \cdot h_A(\mathbf{e}_A, \mathbf{e}_A) \cdot \Omega$$

holds. On the other hand by the definition of B_{m-1} we see that

$$\int_U h_A |\phi_0|^2 \Omega^{-(m-1)} \leq B_{m-1} \int_U h_{m-1} |\phi_0|^2 \leq B_{m-1}$$

hold. Combining the above inequalities we have that

$$\int_U |f|^2 h_A(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}) \Omega \leq B_{m-1}$$

holds. Let $0 < \delta \ll 1$ be a sufficiently small number. Let U_δ be the inverse image of

$$\{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n; |y_i| < 1 - \delta\}$$

by the coordinate (z_1, \dots, z_n) .

Then by the subharmonicity of $|f|^2$, there exists a positive constant C_δ independent of m such that

$$|f(x)|^2 \leq C_\delta \cdot B_{m-1}$$

holds for every $x \in U_\delta$. Then we have that

$$|\phi_0(x)|^2 \leq C_\delta \cdot B_{m-1} \cdot |\mathbf{e}_A|^2 \cdot |\mathbf{e}_L|^m \cdot \Omega^m(x)$$

holds for every $x \in U_\delta$. We note that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $m_0 = m_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\dim W_m < \frac{\mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A) + \varepsilon}{\nu!} m^\nu$$

holds for every $m \geq m_0$. Summing up the estimates for the orthonormal basis of W_m , moving p and running m , by the compactness of X , we see that there exists a positive constant C_1 independent of A such that

$$K_m \leq C_1^m \cdot \mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot (dV)^m \cdot h_A^{-1}$$

holds on X . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.10. \square

Combining Lemmas 5.10 and 5.9 we have that the limit :

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-\nu} K_m}$$

exists and nonzero on V . Moving V , the limit exists on the whole X . We set

$$h_\infty := \text{the lower envelope of } (\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-\nu} K_m})^{-1}$$

Let us prove h_∞ is an AZD of K_X . Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Then there exists a family $\{V_t\}(t \in \Delta^{n-\nu})$ of smooth projective subvariety of dimension ν in X and a local coordinate (U, z_1, \dots, z_n) such that

1. $(z_1(x), \dots, z_n(x)) = O$.
2. U is biholomorphic to Δ^n via (z_1, \dots, z_n) .
3. $V_t \cap U = \{p \in U \mid (z_{\nu+1}(p), \dots, z_n(p)) = t\}$.
4. $(K_X + L, h) |_{V_t}$ is big for every $t \in \Delta^{n-\nu}$.

Let

$$\phi : V \longrightarrow \Delta^{n-\nu}$$

be the above family. By the Kodaira's lemma for big singular hermitian line bundles ([T6, Theorem 5.2]), we may take a singular hermitian metric h_V on $\phi^* K_X$ such that

1. $h_V |_{V_t}$ is a singular hermitian metric with strictly positive curvature.
2. There exists a positive constant C such that

$$h_{min} |_{V_t} \leq C \cdot h_V |_{V_t}$$

holds on V_t for every $t \in \Delta^{n-\nu}$.

Since for every $y \in U$, there exists a positive constant $C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$K_m(y) \geq C(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds for every $m \geq 1$ by Lemma 5.9. Then since $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-\nu} K_m}$ exists, letting m tend to infinity, see that

$$h_\infty|_{V_t} \leq C(\varepsilon)^{-1} \cdot h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{\min}^{(1-\varepsilon)}$$

holds. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + L)) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{\min}^m))$ be any element. Then by the above inequality, we see that

$$\int_U |\sigma|^2 \cdot h_\infty^m dV \leq C(\varepsilon)^{-m} \int_U |\sigma|^2 \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{\min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^m dV$$

holds. Hence letting ε tend to 0 and shrinking U , if necessary, we see that

$$\sigma \in L_{loc}^2(mK_X, h_\infty^m)$$

holds. This implies that

$$\sigma \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_\infty^m))$$

holds. Hence we see that h_∞ is an AZD of K_X . Then by Lemma 5.10 and the definition of $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$, moving A , we complete the proof of Theorem 5.7. \square

5.5 Remark on the Kähler-Ricci flow

Let X be a smooth minimal projective variety and let ω_0 be a Kähler form on X . Then the Kähler-Ricci flow :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}_\omega - \omega & \text{on } X \times [0, \infty) \\ \omega(0) = \omega_0 & \text{on } X \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$

has the long time C^∞ - solution ω on $X \times [0, \infty)$ ([T0, S-T]). In [S-T], J. Song and G. Tian proved that if K_X is semiample, the limit

$$\omega_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega$$

is the canonical semipositive current on X .

In the context of the dynamical systems of Bergman kernels, it is quite natural to expect that ω_∞ is the supercanonical semipositive current on X without assuming the semiamplicity of K_X .

Hence in any sense, the abundance conjecture is closely related to the equality of the canonical measure and the supercanonical measure.

6 A generalization to KLT pairs

It is not difficult to extend the construction of the canonical measure or the supercanonical measure to the case of a KLT pair (X, Δ) of nonnegative Kodaira dimension, i.e., $|m!(K_X + \Delta)| \neq \emptyset$ for every sufficiently large m .

Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be the Iitaka fibration associated with the log canonical divisor $K_X + \Delta$. By replacing X and Y , we may assume the followings:

1. X, Y are smooth and f is a morphism with connected fibers.

2. $\text{Supp } \Delta$ is a divisor with normal crossings.
3. There exists an effective divisor Σ on Y such that f is smooth and $\text{Supp } \Delta^h$ is relatively normal crossings over $Y - \Sigma$ and $f(\Delta^v) \subset \Sigma$, where Δ^h, Δ^v denote the horizontal and the vertical component of Δ respectively.
4. There exists a positive integer m_0 such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m!(K_X + \Delta))^{**}$ is a line bundle on Y for every $m \geq m_0$.

We note that adding effective exceptional \mathbb{Q} -divisors does not change the log canonical ring. We define the \mathbb{Q} -line bundle L on Y by

$$L = \frac{1}{m_0!} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m_0!(K_X + \Delta))^{**}.$$

L is independent of the choice of m_0 . Similarly as before we may define the singular hermitian metric on L by

$$h_L^{m!}(\sigma, \sigma)(y) := \left(\int_{X_y} |\sigma|^{\frac{2}{m!}} \right)^{m!},$$

where $y \in Y - \Sigma$ and $X_y := f^{-1}(y)$. We note that since (X, Δ) is KLT, h_L is well defined. By the same strategy as in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we have the following theorem :

Theorem 6.1 (see Theorem 1.5) *In the above notations, there exists a unique singular hermitian metric on h_K on $K_Y + L$ and a nonempty Zariski open subset U of Y such that*

1. h_K is an AZD of $K_Y + L$.
2. f^*h_K is an AZD of K_X .
3. h_K is real analytic on U .
4. $\omega_Y = \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_K}$ is a Kähler form on U .
5. $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1}\Theta_L = \omega_Y$ holds on U . \square

We may construct ω_Y in Theorem 6.1 in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels as before and we obtain the same semipositivity as Theorem 4.1.

We define the measure $d\mu_{can}$ on X by

$$d\mu_{can} := \frac{1}{n!} f^* \omega_Y^n \cdot h_L^{-1},$$

where $n = \dim Y$.

Also we may construct the supercanonical measure $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$ on a KLT pair (X, Δ) with pseudoeffective log canonical divisor $K_X + \Delta$ in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels under the assumption that there exists a normal AZD of $K_X + \Delta$. We note that if $|m!(K_X + \Delta)| \neq \emptyset$ for some positive integer m , then there exists a normal AZD of $K_X + \Delta$.

References

- [A] Aubin, T.: Equation du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes, C.R. Acad. Paris **283** (1976), 459-464.
- [B1] Berndtsson, B.: Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains, math.CV/0505469 (2005).
- [B2] Berndtsson, B.: Curvature of vector bundles and subharmonicity of vector bundles, math.CV/050570 (2005).
- [B3] Berndtsson, B.: Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations, math.CV/0511225 (2005).
- [B-P] Berndtsson, B. and Paun, M. : Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles, math.AG/0703344 (2007).
- [D] Demailly, J.P.: Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory, J. of Alg. Geom. **1** (1992) 361-409.
- [D-P-S] Demailly, J.P.- Peternell, T.-Schneider, M. : Pseudo-effective line bundles on compact Kähler manifolds, International Jour. of Math. **12** (2001), 689-742.
- [F-M] Fujino, O. and Mori, S.: Canonical bundle formula, J. Diff. Geom. **56** (2000), 167-188.
- [F] Fujita, T.: Approximating Zariski decomposition of big line bundle, Kodai Math. J. **17** (1994), 1-4.
- [G] Griffiths, Ph.: Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds III: Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping, Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci. **38** 125–180 (1970).
- [Ka1] Kawamata, Y.: Characterization of Abelian Varieties, Compos. Math. **43** 253–276 (1981).
- [Ka2] Kawamata, Y.: Kodaira dimension of Algebraic fiber spaces over curves, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), pp. 57-71.
- [Kr] Krantz, S.: Function theory of several complex variables, John Wiley and Sons (1982).
- [L] Lelong, P.: Fonctions Plurisousharmoniques et Formes Différentielles Positives, Gordon and Breach (1968).
- [N] Nadel, A.M.: Multiplier ideal sheaves and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature, Ann. of Math. **132**(1990),549-596.
- [O-T] Ohsawa, T and Takegoshi K.: L^2 -extension of holomorphic functions, Math. Z. 195 (1987),197-204.
- [O] Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions V, effects of generalization, Nagoya Math. J. **161**(2001) 1-21.

- [R] Royden, H.L.: The Ahlfors Schwarz lemma in several complex variables, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **55** (1980),547-558.
- [Sch] Schmid, W.: Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping. *Invent. math.* **22**, 211–319 (1973).
- [Si] Siu, Y.-T.: Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents. *Invent. math.* **27**(1974) 53-156.
- [S-T] Song, J. and Tian, G. : Canonical measures and Kähler-Ricci flow, *math. ArXiv0802.2570* (2008).
- [Su] Sugiyama, K.: Einstein-Kähler metrics on minimal varieties of general type and an inequality between Chern numbers. *Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry*, 417–433, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **18-I**, Academic Press, Boston, MA (1990).
- [Ti] Tian, G.: On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **32**(1990),99-130.
- [Tr] Trudinger, N.S.: Fully nonlinear elliptic equation under natural structure conditions, *Trans. A.M.S.* **272** (1983), 751-769.
- [T0] Tsuji H.: Existence and degeneration of Kähler-Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type. *Math. Ann.* **281** (1988), no. 1, 123–133.
- [T1] Tsuji H.: Analytic Zariski decomposition, *Proc. of Japan Acad.* **61**(1992), 161-163.
- [T2] Tsuji, H.: Existence and Applications of Analytic Zariski Decompositions, *Trends in Math., Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables*(Katata 1997), Birkhäuser Boston, Boston MA.(1999), 253-272.
- [T3] Tsuji, H.: Deformation invariance of plurigenera, *Nagoya Math. J.* **166** (2002), 117-134.
- [T4] Tsuji, H.: Dynamical construction of Kähler-Einstein metrics, *math.AG/0606023* (2006).
- [T5] Tsuji, H.: Canonical singular hermitian metrics on relative canonical bundles, *math.ArXiv0704.0566* (2007).
- [T6] Tsuji, H.: Extension of log pluricanonical forms from subvarieties, *math.ArXiv0709.2710* (2007).
- [Y1] Yau, S.-T.: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1978),339-441.
- [Y2] Yau, S.-T.: A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds, *Amer. J. of Math.* **100** (1978), 197-303.

Author's address
Hajime Tsuji
Department of Mathematics
Sophia University
7-1 Kioicho, Chiyoda-ku 102-8554
Japan
e-mail address: tsuji@mm.sophia.ac.jp or h-tsuji@h03.itscom.net