

# Canonical measures and the dynamical system of Bergman kernels

Hajime TSUJI\*

May 12, 2008

## Abstract

In this article, we construct the canonical semipositive current or the canonical measure (= the potential of the canonical semipositive current) on a smooth projective variety of nonnegative Kodaira dimension in terms of a dynamical system of Bergman kernels. This current is considered to be a generalization of a Kähler-Einstein metric and coincides the one constructed independently by J. Song and G. Tian ([S-T]). The major difference between [S-T] and the present article is that they found the canonical measure in terms of Kähler-Ricci flows, while I found the canonical measure in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels. Hence the present approach can be viewed as the discrete version of the Kähler-Ricci flow.

The advantage of the dynamical construction is two folds. First, it enables us to deduce the plurisubharmonic variation property of the canonical measures on a projective family. Second, we can overcome the difficulty arising from the singularity of the solution of Kähler-Ricci flow.

Next generalizing the above construction, we define a more natural measure: the supercanonical measure on a smooth projective variety with pseudoeffective canonical line bundle in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels. By the construction, the supercanonical measure also satisfies the plurisubharmonic variation property as the canonical measure. And it is expected that the canonical measure is the limit of the Kähler-Ricci flow on a smooth minimal projective variety without assuming the semiample property of the canonical bundle. MSC: 53C25(32G07 53C55 58E11)

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Kähler-Einstein metrics . . . . .	3
1.2	Kähler-Einstein currents . . . . .	4
1.3	Iitaka fibration . . . . .	4
1.4	Analytic Zariski decompositions . . . . .	5
1.5	Requirement for the canonical semipositive current . . . . .	6
1.6	Canonical bundle formula and the correction term for the Kähler-Einstein equations . . . . .	6

---

\*Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Reserch (S) 17104001

1.7	Canonical Kähler currents . . . . .	7
1.8	Dynamical construction of the canonical Kähler currents . . . . .	8
1.9	Dynamical system on $X$ . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.6</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Relative version of Theorems 1.4 and 1.6</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Supercanonical measure</b>	<b>16</b>
4.1	The numerical Kodaira dimension and the asymptotic Kodaira dimension of a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle . .	16
4.2	Relation between $\nu_{num}$ and $\nu_{asym}$ . . . . .	17
4.3	Supercanonical measure . . . . .	18
4.4	Existence of the supercanonical measure . . . . .	19
4.5	Remark on the Kähler-Ricci flow . . . . .	24
<b>5</b>	<b>A generalization to KLT pairs</b>	<b>25</b>

## 1 Introduction

In [T4], I have constructed Kähler-Einstein currents on a smooth projective variety of general type in terms of a dynamical system of Bergman kernels. These Kähler-Einstein currents are the same ones which have been studied in [T0, S].

Since the same kind of dynamical systems can be defined on a smooth projective varieties of nonnegative Kodaira dimension (or even for a smooth projective varieties with pseudoeffective canonical bundle), it is natural to expect that the normalized limit of the Bergman kernels yields a substitute of a Kähler-Einstein metric for a smooth projective variety (of non general type) of nonnegative Kodaira dimension.

The purpose of this article is to prove that the limit satisfies the partial differential equation similar to the Kähler-Einstein equation on the base of the Iitaka fibration (not on the original variety) and give a natural generalization of the notion of Kähler-Einstein volume form. We call the (normalized) limit the canonical measure. And we call the  $-\text{Ric}$  of the canonical measure (in the sense of current) the canonical semipositive current.

There are two major differences between Kähler-Einstein metrics and the canonical semipositive currents: first of all in general the canonical semipositive current is strictly positive not on the original variety but on the base space of the Iitaka fibration. In other words, the current is the pull back of a closed generically strictly positive current on the base space of the Iitaka fibration.

Secondary although the canonical semipositive current satisfies a similar partial differential equation as a Kähler-Einstein metric on the base space of the Iitaka fibration, the equation has an additional term coming from the variation of Hodge structures on the Iitaka fibration.

The objective of this generalization is to study the deformation of projective varieties of nonnegative Kodaira dimension. Actually the dynamical construction of the canonical semipositive currents yields the existence of a closed semipositive current on the family which restricts the canonical semipositive current on the general fibers (Theorem 3.1). We discuss the applications in

the forthcoming papers. And we also note that there are similar construction of canonical volume forms on smooth projective varieties with pseudoeffective canonical bundles ([T5]).

After the completion of this work, I have noticed the paper of Song and Tian ([S-T]) which also constructed the canonical semipositive current from a different point of view. Actually they have constructed the canonical semipositive current as the limit of the Kähler-Ricci flow in the case of semiample canonical bundle and then they constructed the current on the canonical model in terms of Monge-Ampère equations.

Hence the main contribution of this article is to give the dynamical construction of the canonical semipositive currents (or canonical measure in [S-T]). The advantage of the dynamical construction is to overcome the difficulty coming from the singularity of the currents.

For example, one might think that the plurisubharmonic variation of the canonical measure can be deduced by direct calculation involving the Monge-Ampère equation. But one immediately encounters the difficulty arising from the singularities to calculate the variation of the canonical semipositive measure. Also the difficulty to study the Kähler-Ricci flow arises when we consider non minimal algebraic varieties. In this case the Kähler-Ricci flow will go beyond the Kähler cone in finite time.

The dynamical construction here is considered to be a discretization of the Kähler-Ricci flow and overcomes the difficulty arising from the singularities.

Another direction to proceed is to consider the dynamical system starting from a sufficiently ample line bundle on the original variety. We prove that the normalized limit of the dynamical system exists under a mild condition and defines a measure which we call the supercanonical measure. The supercanonical measure is apparently more natural than the canonical measure, since it does not depend on the pluricanonical system.

Here the central question is whether the supercanonical measure coincides the canonical measure. If this question is affirmative, this will solve the abundance conjecture.

## 1.1 Kähler-Einstein metrics

Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold with the Kähler form

$$\omega := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i,j} g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j.$$

$(X, \omega)$  is said to be Kähler-Einstein, if there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$\text{Ric}_\omega = c\omega$$

holds, where  $\text{Ric}_\omega$  denotes the Ricci form:

$$\text{Ric}_\omega := -\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \det(g_{i\bar{j}})$$

and we call  $\omega$  a Kähler-Einstein form on  $X$ . If a compact complex manifold  $X$  admits a Kähler-Einstein form, then  $c_1(X)$  is negative or 0 or positive. Conversely by the celebrated solution of Calabi's conjecture ([A, Y1]), for a compact

Kähler manifold  $X$ , if  $c_1(X)$  is negative, there exists a unique Kähler-Einstein form  $\omega_E$  such that

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = \omega_E$$

([A, Y1]) and if  $c_1(X)$  is 0 in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ , then in every Kähler class on  $X$ , there exists a unique Kähler-Einstein form  $\omega_E$  such that

$$\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = 0$$

holds ([Y1]).

## 1.2 Kähler-Einstein currents

There are numerous applications of Kähler-Einstein metrics. But in general, a smooth complex projective variety does not admit a Kähler-Einstein metric, since the first Chern class is not definite in general. One way to overcome this defect is to consider Kähler-Einstein metrics allowing singularities.

In [T0], I have constructed a Kähler-Einstein current  $\omega_E$  on smooth minimal algebraic variety  $X$  of general type. More precisely there exists a unique closed semipositive current  $\omega_E$  and a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U$  on  $X$  such that

1.  $\omega_E$  is a  $C^\infty$  Kähler form on  $U$ .
2.  $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = \omega_E$  holds on  $U$ .
3.  $\omega_E$  is absolutely continuous on  $X$ .

Later K. Sugiyama proved that there exists a Kähler-Einstein current on the canonical model of general type ([S]). Also I have constructed a Kähler-Einstein current on an arbitrary smooth projective variety of general type (without using the finite generation of canonical rings) in [T4]. Hence for smooth projective varieties of general type, we have a substitute of a Kähler-Einstein metric.

We note that the above Kähler-Einstein current  $\omega_E$  on a projective variety  $X$  of general type have the following properties :  $h_E := (\omega_E^n)^{-1}$  ( $n = \dim X$ ) is a singular hermitian metric on  $K_X$  such that the curvature current  $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_E}$  is a closed semipositive current and

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_E^m)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))$$

holds for every  $m \geq 1$ , i.e.,  $h_E$  is an AZD of  $K_X$  (cf. Definition 1.4 below). In other words,  $h_E$  is a singular hermitian metric which extracts all the positivity of  $K_X$ .

But for smooth projective varieties of non general type, the above results do not say anything.

## 1.3 Iitaka fibration

The simplest way to squeeze out the positivity of canonical bundles is to use the pluricanonical systems.

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. The Kodaira dimension  $\text{Kod}(X)$  is defined by

$$\text{Kod}(X) := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))}{\log m}.$$

It is known that  $\text{Kod}(X)$  is  $-\infty$  or a nonnegative integer between 0 and  $\dim X$ .

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety with  $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$ . Then for a sufficiently large  $m > 0$ , the complete linear system  $|m!K_X|$  gives a rational fibration (with connected fibers) :

$$f : X \dashrightarrow Y.$$

We call  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  the **Iitaka fibration** of  $X$ .

The Iitaka fibration is independent of the choice of the sufficiently large  $m$  up to birational equivalence. In this sense the Iitaka fibration is unique. By taking a suitable modification, we may assume that  $f$  is a morphism and  $Y$  is smooth.

The Iitaka fibration  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the following properties.

1. For a general fiber  $F$ ,  $\text{Kod}(F) = 0$  holds.
2.  $\dim Y = \text{Kod}(X)$ .

## 1.4 Analytic Zariski decompositions

Let  $L$  be a pseudoeffective line bundle on a compact complex manifold  $X$ . To analyze the ring :

$$R(X, L) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL)),$$

it is sometimes useful to introduce the notion of analytic Zariski decompositions.

**Definition 1.1** *Let  $M$  be a compact complex manifold and let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle on  $M$ . A singular hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  is said to be an analytic Zariski decomposition, if the followings hold.*

1.  $\Theta_h$  is a closed positive current.
2. for every  $m \geq 0$ , the natural inclusion:

$$H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(mL) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h^m)) \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(mL))$$

is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark 1.2** *If an AZD exists on a line bundle  $L$  on a smooth projective variety  $M$ ,  $L$  is pseudoeffective by the condition 1 above.  $\square$*

It is known that for every pseudoeffective line bundle on a compact complex manifold, there exists an AZD on  $L$  (cf. [T1, T2, D-P-S]). The advantage of the AZD is that we can handle pseudoeffective line bundle  $L$  on a compact complex manifold  $X$  as a singular hermitian line bundle with semipositive curvature current as long as we consider the ring  $R(X, L)$ .

Let  $L$  be a line bundle on a smooth projective variety  $X$  and let  $h_0$  be a  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric on  $L$ . We set

$$h_{min} := \inf\{h \mid \text{singular hermitian metric on } L, h \geq h_0, \sqrt{-1}\Theta_h \geq 0\}$$

**Definition 1.3** *Let  $L$  be a pseudoeffective line bundle on a smooth projective variety  $X$ . An AZD  $h$  on  $L$  is said to be an **AZD of minimal singularities**, if for any AZD  $h'$  on  $L$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$h \leq C \cdot h'$$

holds.  $\square$

## 1.5 Requirement for the canonical semipositive current

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be the Iitaka fibration of a smooth projective variety  $X$  of nonnegative Kodaira dimension. In this article, we shall consider a canonical semipositive current, say  $\omega_X$  associated with the Iitaka fibration.

It is natural to require that  $\omega_X$  has the following properties :

1.  $\omega_X$  is unique and birationally invariant, i.e., if  $X$  is birational to  $X'$  and let  $\mu : X'' \rightarrow X$  and  $\mu' : X'' \rightarrow X'$  be modifications from a smooth projective variety  $X''$ . Then

$$\omega_{X''} = \mu^* \omega_X + 2\pi E = (\mu')^* \omega_{X'} + 2\pi E'$$

hold, where  $E$  and  $E'$  are exceptional divisors of  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  respectively (these divisors reflect the differences  $K_{X''} - \mu^* K_X$  and  $K_{X''} - (\mu')^* K_{X'}$  respectively).

2. There exists an AZD  $h_K$  of  $K_X$  such that  $\omega_X = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_K}$ .
3. There exists a closed semipositive current  $\omega_Y$  on  $Y$  such that  $\omega_X = f^* \omega_Y$ ,
4. There exists a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U$  of  $Y$  such that  $\omega_Y|_U$  is a  $C^\infty$ -Kähler form.

## 1.6 Canonical bundle formula and the correction term for the Kähler-Einstein equations

To construct the AZD  $h_K$  above, we shall solve a partial differential equation. The equation is similar to the Kähler-Einstein equation :  $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_E} = \omega_E$ , but there are two major differences :

1. The equation is defined on  $Y$  not on  $X$ .
2. The equation has the additional term which comes from the variation of Hodge structure.

We shall recall the canonical bundle formula ([F-M, p.183, Theorem 5.2]). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an Iitaka fibration such that  $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m! K_{X/Y})$  is locally free on  $Y$  for some  $m$  (hence for every sufficiently large  $m$ ).

Then the canonical ring  $R(X, K_X)$  is (a subring of) the pull back of the log canonical ring of some KLT pair  $(Y, \Delta)$  of log general type. But we note that  $\Delta$  is not unique. The divisor  $\Delta$  corresponds to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle

$$L := \frac{1}{m!} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m! K_{X/Y})$$

on  $Y$ . We note that  $L$  is independent of a sufficiently large  $m$ .  $L$  carries a natural singular hermitian metric  $h_L$  defined by

$$h_L^{m!}(\sigma, \sigma) = \left( \int_{X_y} |\sigma|^{\frac{2}{m!}} \right)^{m!}$$

where  $y \in Y, X_y := f^{-1}(y)$  and  $\sigma \in L_y$ . It is known that  $h_L$  has semipositive curvature in the sense of current. Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^\infty$  volume form on  $Y$ . We shall consider the following equation :

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} = \omega_Y, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\omega_Y = -\text{Ric } \Omega + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L} + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u,$$

and  $u$  is the unknown function. Here the term  $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L}$  corresponds to the boundary divisor of the KLT pair  $(Y, \Delta)$  in the canonical bundle formula ([F-M, p.183, Theorem 5.2]). The authenticity of the equation (1) can be verified by checking that the dynamical system of Bergman kernels on  $X$  as in [T4] yields the current on  $Y$  which satisfies the equation (1) (cf. Theorem 1.6).

Actually I first constructed the current by using the dynamical system of Bergman kernels and then found the equation (1).

In the equation (1) the term  $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_L}$  corresponds to the boundary  $\Delta$  in the canonical bundle formula ([F-M, p.183, Theorem 5.2]).

There are difficulties to solve this equation (1). First of all we cannot expect that there exists a  $C^\infty$ - solution. In fact  $\Theta_{h_L}$  is not  $C^\infty$  in general and even if  $\Theta_{h_L}$  is  $C^\infty$ , the solution  $u$  may not be smooth. Secondary the solution  $u$  is not unique. But if we require that  $\Omega^{-1} \cdot h_L \cdot e^u$  is an AZD of  $K_Y + L$ , then the solution  $u$  is actually unique and the resulting current  $\omega_Y$  is nothing but the current constructed by the dynamical system of Bergman kernels (see Section 1.8 and Section 2 below).

## 1.7 Canonical Kähler currents

Now we shall state the existence of the canonical semipositive current on a smooth projective variety of nonnegative Kodaira dimension.

**Theorem 1.4** ([S-T, Theorem B.2]) *In the above notations, there exists a unique singular hermitian metric on  $h_K$  on  $K_Y + L$  and a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U$  of  $Y$  such that*

1.  $h_K$  is an AZD of  $K_Y + L$ .
2.  $f^* h_K$  is an AZD of  $K_X$ .
3.  $h_K$  is real analytic on  $U$ .
4.  $\omega_Y = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_K}$  is a Kähler form on  $U$ .
5.  $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_L = \omega_Y$  holds on  $U$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.5** *The current  $\omega_Y$  on  $Y$  is said to be the **canonical Kähler current** of the Iitaka fibration  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Also  $\omega_X := f^* \omega_Y$  is said to be the **canonical semipositive current** on  $X$ . We define the measure  $d\mu_{can}$  on  $X$  by*

$$d\mu_{can} := \frac{1}{n!} f^* \omega_Y^n \cdot h_L^{-1}$$

*and is said to be the **canonical measure**, where  $n$  denotes  $\dim Y$ .  $\square$*

The existence of the canonical Kähler current is proven in terms of Monge-Ampère equation. The proof is similar to the one of [T4, Section 5.1, Theorem 5.1]. See [S-T, Section 4] for detail.

## 1.8 Dynamical construction of the canonical Kähler currents

The canonical Kähler current in Theorem 1.4 can be constructed as the limit of a dynamical system as in ([T4]).

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective  $n$ -fold with  $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$ . And let

$$f : X - \cdots \rightarrow Y$$

be the Iitaka fibration associated with the complete linear system  $|m_0!K_X|$  for some sufficiently large positive integer  $m_0$ . By taking a suitable modifications, we shall assume the followings:

1.  $Y$  is smooth and  $f$  is a morphism.
2.  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m_0!K_{X/Y})$  is a line bundle on  $Y$ .

We set

$$L := \frac{1}{m_0!}f_*\mathcal{O}_X(m_0!K_{X/Y}).$$

Let  $a$  be the least positive integer such that  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X(aK_{X/Y}) \neq 0$ . Then by the canonical bundle formula ([F-M, p.183, Theorem 5.2]), we see that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(maK_X)) \simeq H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(ma(K_Y + L))) \quad (2)$$

holds for every  $m \geq 0$  and  $\text{Kod}(X) = \dim Y$  holds. Hence by (1.8), we see that  $K_Y + L$  is big. Taking  $m_0$  sufficiently large, we may assume that the following conditions are satisfied :

1. There exists an effective Cartier divisor  $M$  such that  $A := m_0!(K_Y + L) - M$  is very ample.
2. For every pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle  $(F, h_F)$  on  $Y$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_Y(A + F) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F)$  is globally generated.

The existence of such  $m_0$  follows from Nadel's vanishing theorem ([N, p.561]) and Kodaira's lemma. Let  $h_A$  be a  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric on  $A$  with strictly positive curvature. By the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_Y(A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(m_0!(K_Y + L))$  we may and do identify  $h_A$  with strictly positive curvature on  $m_0!(K_Y + L)$ . We shall construct a sequence of singular hermitian metrics  $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$  and a sequence of Bergman kernels  $\{K_m\}$  as follows.

We set  $h_{m_0!} := h_A$  and

$$K_{m_0!+1} := \begin{cases} K(Y, K_Y + m_0!(K_Y + L), h_{m_0!}), & \text{if } a > 1 \\ K(Y, K_Y + L + m_0!(K_Y + L), h_L \cdot h_{m_0!}), & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$

where for a singular hermitian line bundle  $(F, h_F)$   $K(Y, K_Y + F, h_F)$  is (the diagonal part of) the Bergman kernel of  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + F) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_F))$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -inner product:

$$(\sigma, \sigma') := (\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_Y h_F \cdot \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}',$$



where  $n$  denotes  $\dim Y$ . Then we set

$$h_{m_0!+1} := (K_{m_0!+1})^{-1}.$$

We continue this process. Suppose that we have constructed  $K_m$  and the singular hermitian metric  $h_m$  on  $\lfloor \frac{m}{a} \rfloor a(K_Y + L) + (m - \lfloor \frac{m}{a} \rfloor a)K_Y$ , where for a real number  $\lambda$ ,  $\lfloor \lambda \rfloor$  denotes the largest integer less than or equal to  $\lambda$ . Then we define

$$K_{m+1} := \begin{cases} K(Y, (m+1)K_Y + \lfloor \frac{m+1}{a} \rfloor aL, h_m) & \text{if } m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a} \\ K(Y, (m+1)(K_Y + L), h_L^a \otimes h_m) & \text{if } m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a} \end{cases}$$

and

$$h_{m+1} := (K_{m+1})^{-1}.$$

Inductively we construct the sequences  $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$  and  $\{K_m\}_{m > m_0!}$ . This inductive construction is essentially the same one originated by the author in [T3]. The following theorem asserts that the above dynamical system yields the canonical Kähler current on  $Y$ .

**Theorem 1.6** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of nonnegative Kodaira dimension and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be the Iitaka fibration as above. Let  $m_0$  and  $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0}$  be the sequence of hermitian metrics as above and let  $n$  denote  $\dim Y$ . Let  $\omega_Y$  be the canonical Kähler current on  $Y$  as in Theorem 1.4. Then*

$$h_\infty := \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^n \cdot h_m}$$

is a singular hermitian metric on  $K_Y + L$  such that

$$\omega_Y = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_\infty}$$

holds, where  $\omega_Y$  is the canonical Kähler current on  $Y$  as in Theorem 1.4 and  $n = \dim Y$ . In particular  $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_\infty}$  (in fact  $h_\infty$ ) is independent of the choice of  $A$  and  $h_A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.7** *In the above dynamical system, we start from an ample divisor  $A$  of the form  $m_0!(K_Y + L) - M$  with  $M$  effective. But every ample divisor  $A$  is written in this form, since*

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m!(K_Y + L) - A)) \neq 0$$

for every sufficiently large  $m$  by the bigness of  $K_Y + L$ .  $\square$

## 1.9 Dynamical system on $X$

Let  $\{h_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$  be the dynamical system as in the last subsection. Since  $\mathcal{O}_X(f^*(a(K_Y + L)))$  is a subseaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X(aK_X)$  by the definition of  $L$ , if  $a|m$ , we may identify  $f^*h_m$  as a singular hermitian metric on  $mK_X$ .

Moreover, if  $a = 1$ , then by the definition of the Hodge metric  $h_L$ , we see that

$$(f^*h_m)^{-1} = K(X, mK_X, f^*h_{m-1})$$

holds. Hence in this case, we consider the dynamical system  $\{f^*h_m\}$  as a dynamical system of Bergman kernels on  $X$ .

If  $a > 1$ ,

$$(f^*h_{m+1})^{-1} = \begin{cases} K(X, (m+1)K_X - (\{\frac{m+1}{a}\}a)L, f^*h_L^{-1} \cdot f^*h_m) & \text{if } m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a} \\ K(X, (m+1)K_X, f^*(h_m \cdot h_L^a)) & \text{if } m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a} \end{cases}$$

where for a real number  $\lambda$ ,  $\{\lambda\}$  denotes the fractional part  $\lambda - \lfloor \lambda \rfloor$ . Here we note that

$$(m+1)K_X - \{\frac{m+1}{a}\}aL = (\lfloor \frac{m+1}{a} \rfloor a)K_X + (\{\frac{m+1}{a}\}a)f^*K_Y$$

is a line bundle on  $X$ .

In this way, we may translate the dynamical system of Bergman kernels on  $Y$  in the last subsection as the dynamical system of Bergman kernels on  $X$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be the Iitaka fibration and let  $(L, h_L)$  be the singular hermitian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle on  $Y$  as in Theorem 1.4. Let  $\omega_Y$  be the canonical Kähler current on  $Y$ . Then there exists a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U$  such that  $\omega_Y$  is a  $C^\infty$  on  $U$  and

$$-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L} = \omega_Y$$

constructed in Theorem 1.4. Let  $dV_Y = (n!)^{-1}\omega_Y^n$  be the volume form associated with  $(Y, \omega_Y)$ .

### Lemma 2.1

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-n}K_m} \geq (2\pi)^{-n}dV_Y$$

holds on  $X$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* First we shall assume that  $L$  is a genuine line bundle on  $Y$  for simplicity. If  $L$  is not a genuine line bundle, we tensorize  $(aL, h_L^a)$  in every  $a$ -steps instead of tensorize  $(L, h_L)$  every step, where  $a$  is the least positive integer such that  $aL$  is Cartier. But of course this is a minor difference. Hence we shall give the proof assuming that  $L$  is Cartier and the general case is left to readers.

Let us consider the hermitian line bundle  $(K_Y + L, dV_Y^{-1} \cdot h_L)$  on  $Y$ . Let  $U$  be a nonempty Zariski open subset of  $Y$  such that  $\omega_Y|_U$  is a  $C^\infty$  Kähler form. Let  $p \in U$  be a point. Then by the equation (1), there exists a holomorphic normal coordinate  $(U, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  of  $(Y, \omega_Y)$  around  $p$  and a local holomorphic frame  $\mathbf{e}_L$  of  $L$  on  $U$  such that

$$dV_Y^{-1} \cdot h_L = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - |z_i|^2) + O(\|z\|^3) \right\} \cdot |dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n|^{-2} \cdot |\mathbf{e}_L|^{-2} \quad (3)$$

holds. Suppose that

$$C_{m-1} \cdot dV_Y^{m-1} \cdot h_L^{-(m-1)} \leq K_{m-1}$$

holds on  $Y$  for some positive constant  $C_{m-1}$ . We note that

$$K_m(y) = \sup\{|\sigma|^2(y); \sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor m(K_Y+L) \rfloor)), (\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_X h_{m-1} \cdot \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} = 1\} \quad (4)$$

holds for every  $x \in X$ , by the extremal property of the Bergman kernel (This is well known. See for example, [Kr, p.46, Proposition 1.3.16]). We note that for the open unit disk  $\Delta = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$ ,

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_{\Delta} (1 - |t|^2)^m dt \wedge d\bar{t} = \frac{2\pi}{m+1} \quad (5)$$

holds. Then by Hörmander's  $L^2$ -estimate of  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators, we see that there exists a positive constant  $\lambda_m$  such that

$$(\lambda_m \cdot (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot m^n) \cdot C_{m-1} \cdot dV_Y^m \leq h_L^m \cdot K_m \quad (6)$$

with

$$\lambda_m \geq 1 - \frac{C}{\sqrt{m}},$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$ .

In fact this can be verified as follows. Let  $x \in Y$  be a point on  $Y$  and let  $(U, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be the normal coordinate as above. We may assume that  $U$  is biholomorphic to the polydisk  $\Delta^n(r)$  of radius  $r$  with center  $O$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for some  $r$  via  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .

Taking  $r$  sufficiently small we may assume that there exists a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\rho$  on  $Y$  such that

1.  $\rho$  is identically 1 on  $\Delta^n(r/3)$ .
2.  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ .
3.  $\text{Supp } \rho \subset \subset U$ .
4.  $\|d\rho\| < 3/r$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the pointwise norm with respect to  $\omega_Y$ .

We note that by the equation (3), the mass of  $\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m$  concentrates around the origin as  $m$  tends to infinity. Hence by (5) we see that the  $L^2$ -norm

$$\|\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m\|$$

of  $\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m$  with respect to  $(dV_Y)^{-m} \cdot h_L^m$  and  $\omega_Y$  is asymptotically

$$\|\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m\|^2 \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right)^n \quad (7)$$

as  $m$  tends to infinity, where  $\sim$  means that the ratio of the both sides converge to 1 as  $m$  tends to infinity. We set

$$\phi := n\rho \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2.$$

We may and do assume that  $m$  is sufficiently large so that

$$m \cdot \omega_E + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi > 0$$

holds on  $Y$ .

By (7), the  $L^2$ -norm

$$\| \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m) \|_\phi$$

of  $\bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m)$  with respect to  $e^{-\phi} \cdot (dV_Y)^{-m} \otimes h_L^m$  and  $\omega_Y$  satisfies the inequality

$$\| \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m) \|_\phi^2 \leq C_0 \cdot \left(\frac{3}{r}\right)^{2n+2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right)^n \quad (8)$$

for every  $m$ , where  $C_0$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$ .

By Hörmander's  $L^2$ -estimate applied to the adjoint line bundle of the hermitian line bundle  $(L + (m-1)(K_Y + L), e^{-\phi} \cdot dV_Y^{-(m-1)} \cdot h_L^m)$ , we see that for every sufficiently large  $m$ , there exists a  $C^\infty$ -solution of the equation ;

$$\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m)$$

such that

$$u(y) = 0$$

and

$$\| u \|_\phi^2 \leq \frac{2}{m} \| \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m) \|_\phi^2$$

hold, where  $\| \cdot \|_\phi$ 's denote the  $L^2$  norms with respect to  $e^{-\phi} \cdot dV_Y^{-(m-1)} \otimes h_L^{m-1}$  and  $\omega_Y$  respectively. Then  $\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m - u$  is a holomorphic section of  $m(K_Y + L)$  such that

$$(\rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m - u)(y) = (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m$$

and

$$\| \rho \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)^m \otimes \mathbf{e}_L^m - u \|^2 \leq (1 + C_0 \cdot \left(\frac{3}{r}\right)^{2n+2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}}) \left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right)^n.$$

Hence by induction on  $m$ , using (4) and (6), we see that there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $C'$  such that for every  $m > m_0$

$$h_L^m \cdot K_m \geq C' \left( \prod_{k=m_0}^m \left(1 - \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \right) \cdot (m!)^n \cdot (2\pi)^{-mn} \cdot dV_Y^m$$

holds on  $Y$ . This implies that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-n} K_m} \geq (2\pi)^{-n} dV_Y$$

holds on  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2**

$$\int_Y h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{K_m} \leq \left( \prod_{k=m_0}^m (N_k + 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left( \int_Y h_L \cdot \sqrt[m_0]{K_{m_0}} \right)^{\frac{m_0}{m}}$$

holds, where  $N_k := \dim |k(K_Y + L)| = \dim H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(k(K_Y + L))) - 1$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Y h_L \cdot \sqrt[m]{K_m} &= \int_Y h_L \cdot \frac{K_m^{\frac{1}{m}}}{h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}} \cdot h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \\
&\leq \left( \int_Y h_L^m \cdot \frac{K_m}{h_L^m \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{m-1}{m}}} \cdot (h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}}) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left( \int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \\
&= \left( \int_Y h_L \cdot \frac{K_m}{K_{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left( \int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \\
&= (N_m + 1)^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left( \int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then continuing this process, by using

$$\int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \leq (N_{m-1} + 1)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \cdot \left( \int_Y h_L \cdot K_{m-2}^{\frac{1}{m-2}} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{m-1}},$$

we have that

$$\int_Y h_L \cdot (K_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \{(N_m + 1) \cdot (N_{m-1} + 1)\}^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \left( \int_Y h_L \cdot (K_{m-2})^{\frac{1}{m-2}} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}}$$

holds. Continuing this process we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

To estimate the growth of  $\{N_m\}_{m \geq m_0!}$ , we introduce the following notion.

**Definition 2.3** Let  $L$  be a line bundle on a compact complex manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n$ . We define the **volume** of  $M$  with respect to  $L$  by

$$\mu(M, L) := n! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-n} \dim H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(mL)).$$

$\square$

We note that Definition 2.3 can be generalized to the case of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles in an obvious way. Using Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4**

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^{\frac{n}{m}}} \int_Y h_L \cdot (K_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{\mu(Y, K_Y + L)}{n!}$$

holds.  $\square$

*Proof.* By the definition of the volume  $\mu(Y, K_Y + L)$ ,

$$N_m + 1 = \frac{\mu(Y, K_Y + L)}{n!} m^n + o(m^n)$$

holds. Then by Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^{\frac{n}{m}}} \int_Y h_L \cdot (K_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \frac{\mu(Y, K_Y + L)}{n!}$$

holds.  $\square$

Combining Lemmas 4.9 and 2.2, we have the equality:

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^{\frac{n}{m}}} h_L \cdot \sqrt[n]{K_m} = (2\pi)^{-n} dV_Y,$$

since

$$\int_Y dV_Y = \frac{1}{n!} \int_Y \omega_Y^n = \mu(Y, K_Y + L)$$

hold by the equation (1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5**  $d\mu_{can}$  is birationally invariant.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.5.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be as above and let us consider the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & Y \end{array}$$

where  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, \varpi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  are modifications. Let  $\tilde{A}$  and  $A$  be ample line bundles on  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $Y$  respectively.

Then  $\varpi^*A$  is nef and big on  $\tilde{Y}$ . Hence by Kodaira's lemma, we have that there exists a positive integers  $a_1, a_2$  such that

$$a_1 \tilde{A} - \varpi^*A, a_2 \varpi^*A - \tilde{A}$$

are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective. Let  $d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can}, d\mu_{X, can}$  be canonical measures on  $\tilde{X}$  and  $X$  respectively. Then since  $a_1 \tilde{A} - \varpi^*A$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective, by Theorem 1.6 and its proof, we see that

$$d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can} \geq \pi^* d\mu_{X, can}$$

holds. Similarly since  $a_2 \varpi^*A - \tilde{A}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective, we have the opposite inequality :

$$d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can} \leq \pi^* d\mu_{X, can}$$

Hence we have that the equality

$$d\mu_{\tilde{X}, can} = \pi^* d\mu_{X, can}$$

holds. This completes the poof of Corollary 2.5.  $\square$

### 3 Relative version of Theorems 1.4 and 1.6

In this section we shall consider the variation of the canonical measure on projective families.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a projective family such that  $X, S$  are smooth and  $f$  has connected fibers. Suppose that  $f_* \mathcal{O}_S(mK_{X/S}) \neq 0$  for some  $m > 0$ . Then there exists a closed semipositive current  $\omega_{X/S}$  on  $X$  such that for a general fiber  $X_s := f^{-1}(s)$ ,  $\omega_{X/S}|_{X_s}$  is the canonical semipositive current on  $X_s$  constructed as in Theorems 1.4 and 1.6.  $\square$*

*Proof of Theorems 3.1.* Since the assertion is local, we may assume that  $S$  is the unit open polydisk in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m_0$  be a sufficiently large positive integer and let

$$F_{m_0} := f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m_0! K_{X/S})$$

and (shrinking  $S$ , if necessary) let  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{N(m)}$  be a set of global generators of  $F_{m_0}$  on  $S$ . We take the image  $Y$  of the rational map

$$\Phi_{m_0} : X - \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^{N(m_0)}.$$

If we take  $m$  sufficiently large, taking modifications of  $\hat{X}$  of  $X$  and  $\hat{Y}$  of  $Y$  respectively, we have the relative Iitaka fibration

$$\hat{f} : \hat{X} \longrightarrow \hat{Y}$$

such that  $\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{Y}$  are smooth and  $\hat{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(m! K_{\hat{X}/\hat{Y}})$  is a line bundle on  $\hat{Y}$ . We define the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $L$  on  $\hat{Y}$  by

$$L = \frac{1}{m_0!} \hat{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(m_0! K_{\hat{X}/\hat{Y}})$$

and let  $a$  be the least positive integer such that  $f_* \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(a K_{\hat{X}/\hat{Y}}) \neq 0$ . Let  $S^\circ$  be the locus of  $S$  such that  $\hat{f}$  is smooth over  $S^\circ$ . Let  $A$  be a sufficiently ample line bundle on  $\hat{Y}$  and let  $h_A$  be a  $C^\infty$  metric on  $A$  with strictly positive curvature. Then as in Section 2, for every  $s \in S^\circ$ , we define the dynamical system of Bergman kernels  $\{K_{m,s}\}_{m \geq m_0!}$  as in Section 2, i.e.,

$$K_{1,s} := \begin{cases} K(\hat{Y}_s, K_{\hat{Y}_s} + A|_{\hat{Y}_s}, h_A|_{\hat{Y}_s}), & \text{if } a > 1 \\ K(Y, K_{\hat{Y}_s} + L + (K_{\hat{Y}_s} + L|_{\hat{Y}_s}), h_L \cdot h_A|_{\hat{Y}_s}), & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $h_{1,s} = K_{1,s}^{-1}$ . And we define  $\{K_{m,s}\}$  inductively as in Section 2 fiberwise.

We define  $K_m$  by

$$K_m|_{X_s} = K_{m,s} (\forall s \in S^\circ)$$

and let

$$K_m^* = \text{the uppersemicontinuous envelope of } K_m.$$

We note that the Hodge metric  $h_L$  on  $L$  defined as in Section 2 has semipositive curvature in the sense of current on the total space  $\hat{X}$  of the family (not on every fiber). Then by the plurisubharmonicity of the Bergman kernel ([B3] and [T4, Theorem 3.4], see also [B-P]) of the adjoint line bundle of singular hermitian line bundle of semipositive curvature current, by induction on  $m$ , we see that

$$h_m = (K_m^*)^{-1}$$

extends to a singular hermitian metric on

$$mK_{\hat{Y}/S} + (\lfloor \frac{m}{a} \rfloor \cdot a)L$$

on  $\hat{X}$  and the extended metric has semipositive curvature in the sense of current, i.e.  $\log K_m^*$  is plurisubharmonic on  $\hat{X}$ .

Then by Theorem 1.6,

$$K_\infty := \text{the upper semicontinuous envelope of } \limsup \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-n} K_m^*}$$

exists as a nontrivial relative volume form and

$$\hat{h}_\infty := K_\infty^{-1}$$

is a singular hermitian metric on  $K_{\hat{Y}/S} + L$  with semipositive curvature current. We set

$$\hat{h}_{X/S} := f^* \hat{h}_\infty.$$

Then  $\hat{h}_{X/S}$  is a singular hermitian metric on  $K_{\hat{X}/S}$  with semipositive curvature current.

$$\omega_{\hat{X}/S} := \sqrt{-1} \hat{f}^* \Theta_{\hat{h}}$$

is semipositive on  $\hat{X}$ . By Theorem 1.6 and the birational invariance of the canonical semipositive current (Corollary 2.5), we obtain Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## 4 Supercanonical measure

The canonical measure  $d\mu_{can}$  (cf. Definition 1.5) is constructed by the dynamical system of Bergman kernels in terms of the Iitaka fibration (cf. Theorem 1.6). But  $d\mu_{can}$  is rather unsatisfactory in the following points. :

1. The dynamical system is essentially not on  $X$  but on the base space of the Iitaka fibration.
2.  $(d\mu_{can})^{-1}$  may not be an AZD with minimal singularities (cf. Definition 1.3), unless we assume the abundance of  $K_X$ .
3.  $d\mu_{can}$  is useless to attack the abundance conjecture. Because what we would like to study is the pluricanonical systems and not the metric itself.

In this section, we shall consider the more natural AZD constructed by the dynamical systems of Bergman kernels.

### 4.1 The numerical Kodaira dimension and the asymptotic Kodaira dimension of a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle

We shall define the numerical Kodaira dimension and the asymptotic Kodaira dimension for pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundles on smooth projective varieties.

**Definition 4.1** *( $L, h_L$ ) be a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle on a projective manifold  $X$ . We set*

$$\nu_{num}(L, h_L) := \sup\{\dim V \mid V \text{ is a subvariety of } X \text{ such that } h_L|_V \text{ is well defined}$$

$$\text{and } (L, h_L)^{\dim V} \cdot V > 0\}.$$



Here

$$(L, h_L)^{\dim V} \cdot V := (\dim V)! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-\dim V} \dim H^0(\tilde{V}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}(m\pi^*L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h_L^m)),$$

where  $\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  is a resolution of singularities. It is not difficult to verify the independence of  $(L, h_L)^{\dim V} \cdot V$  from the resolution  $\pi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  (see [T6] for example).

We call  $\nu_{num}(L, h_L)$  the **numerical Kodaira dimension** of  $(L, h_L)$ .  $\square$

The following invariant is more analytic in nature.

**Definition 4.2** The **asymptotic Kodaira dimension**  $\nu(L, h_L)$  of  $(L, h_L)$  is defined by

$$\nu_{asym}(L, h_L) = \sup_A \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A + mL) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L^m))}{\log m},$$

where  $A$  runs all the ample line bundles on  $X$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Relation between $\nu_{num}$ and $\nu_{asym}$

Although  $\nu_{num}$  and  $\nu_{asym}$  are different in general,  $\nu_{num} = \nu_{asym}$  holds under a mild condition.

**Definition 4.3** Let  $(L, h_L)$  be a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle on a smooth projective variety  $X$ .  $(L, h_L)$  is said to be **normal**, if the set

$$E := \{x \in X \mid n(\Theta_{h_L}, x) > 0\}$$

is contained in a proper analytic set of  $X$ , where  $n(\Theta_{h_L}, x)$  denotes the Lelong number of the closed positive current  $\Theta_{h_L}$  at  $x$ <sup>1</sup>.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4** By the fundamental theorem of Siu ([S]),  $E$  is at most a countable union of subvarieties of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5** ([T6, Theorem 3.13]) Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and let  $(L, h_L)$  be a pseudoeffective singular hermitian line bundle on  $X$ .

Then

$$\nu_{num}(L, h_L) \leq \nu_{asym}(L, h_L)$$

holds. Moreover if  $h_L$  is normal (cf. Definition 4.3), for every ample line bundle  $A$  on  $X$ ,

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A + mL) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L^m)) = O(m^{\nu_{num}(L, h_L)}).$$

holds. In particular

$$\nu_{num}(L, h_L) = \nu_{asym}(L, h_L)$$

holds.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6** If  $h_L$  is an AZD of  $L$  with  $\text{Kod}(L) \geq 0$  (i.e.,  $|mL| \neq \emptyset$  for some  $m > 0$ ), then the set

$$E := \{x \in X \mid n(\Theta_{h_L}, x) > 0\}$$

is contained in the stable base locus of  $L$ . Hence in this case  $h_L$  is normal.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Usually I use  $\nu$  instead of  $n$ . But in this article, I use  $n$  not to confuse with the numerical Kodaira dimension or the asymptotic Kodaira dimension.

### 4.3 Supercanonical measure

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety with pseudoeffective canonical bundle. Let  $A$  be a sufficiently ample line bundle on  $X$  with  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric  $h_A$ . Let  $h_{min}$  be an AZD of  $K_X$  with minimal singularities (cf. Definition 1.3). The existence of such an AZD is proven in [D-P-S].

In fact we may construct  $h_{min}$  as follows<sup>2</sup>. Let  $h_0$  be a  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric on  $L$ . We set

$$h_{min} := \inf\{h | \text{singular hermitian metric on } K_X, h \geq h_0, \sqrt{-1}\Theta_h \geq 0\}.$$

Then  $h_{min}$  is an AZD with minimal singularities by the classical theorem of Lelong ([L, p.26, Theorem 5]). We note that by the definition of  $h_{min}$ , if  $|mK_X| \neq \emptyset$  for some  $m > 0$ , then  $h_{min}$  is normal (cf. Definition 4.3).

We set

$$K_1 := K(X, K_X + A, h_A)$$

and

$$h_1 = K_1^{-1}.$$

Suppose we have defined  $K_m$  and  $h_m$ . Then we define

$$K_{m+1} := K(X, (m+1)K_X + A, h_m; h_{min}^m \cdot h_A)$$

and

$$h_{m+1} := K_{m+1}^{-1},$$

where  $K(X, (m+1)K_X + A, h_m; h_{min}^m \cdot h_A)$  is the sum of the absolute value square of a set of orthonormal basis of

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X((m+1)K_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^m))$$

with respect to the inner product :

$$(\sigma, \sigma') := \int_X \sigma \cdot \bar{\sigma}' \cdot h_m.$$

We shall assume that there exists a normal AZD on  $K_X$ . Hence  $h_{min}$  is indeed normal. We note that this condition is satisfied as soon as  $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$  holds. By using Theorem 4.5, we set

$$\nu := \nu_{num}(K_X, h_{min}) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^m))}{\log m}$$

and

$$d\hat{\mu}_{can,A} := \text{the uppersemicontinuous envelope of } \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m!)^{-\frac{\nu}{m}} \sqrt[m]{K_m}.$$

At this moment it is not clear that  $d\mu_{can,A}$  is independent of the choice of  $h_A$ .

First we shall prove  $d\hat{\mu}_{can,A}$  is independent of the choice of the  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric  $h_A$ . Let  $h'_A$  be another  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric on  $A$  and let  $c > 1$  be a positive constant such that

$$c^{-1} \cdot h_A \leq h'_A \leq c \cdot h_A$$

---

<sup>2</sup>This construction is valid for any pseudoeffective line bundles on projective manifolds.

holds on  $X$ . And let  $\{K'_m\}$  be the dynamical system of Bergman kernels corresponding to the initial metric  $h'_A$ . Then we see that

$$c^{-1} \cdot K'_m \leq K_m \leq c \cdot K'_m$$

holds on  $X$  for every  $m$  by induction on  $m$ . This implies that  $d\hat{\mu}_{can,A}$  is independent of the choice of the  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric  $h_A$  on  $A$ .

We set

$$\mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A) := \nu! \cdot \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-\nu} \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^{m-1})).$$

$\mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)$  is well defined by the assumption that  $h_{min}$  is normal and Theorem 4.5. We define the measure independent of  $A$  by :

$$d\hat{\mu}_{can} := \text{the uppersmicontinuous envelope of } \sup_A \{ \mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)^{-1} \cdot d\hat{\mu}_{can,A} \},$$

where  $A$  runs all the ample line bundles on  $X$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.7** (cf. [T6, Theorem 6.2]) *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety with pseudoeffective canonical line bundle. Suppose that there exists a normal AZD on  $K_X$  (cf. Definition 4.3).*

*Then  $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$  is a well defined measure on  $X$  and  $d\hat{\mu}_{can}^{-1}$  is an AZD on  $K_X$ . In particular, if  $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$ , then  $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$  is a well defined measure on  $X$  and  $d\hat{\mu}_{can}^{-1}$  is an AZD on  $K_X$ .  $\square$*

**Definition 4.8** *We call  $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$  the supercanonical measure on  $X$ .  $\square$*

#### 4.4 Existence of the supercanonical measure

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 4.7.

*Proof of Theorem 4.7.* The proof has been already essentially given in [T6]. But for the completeness we shall give a proof here.

Let  $V$  be a  $\nu$  dimensional nonsingular subvariety of  $X$  such that

1.  $V$  is not contained in the pluripolar set of  $h_{min}$ .
2.  $(K_X, h_{min})|_V$  is big, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-\nu} \cdot h^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^m|_V)) > 0$$

holds.

Since  $(K_X|_V, h_{min}|_V)$  is big, by the Kodaira's lemma for big singular hermitian line bundle ([T6, Theorem 5.2]), there exists a singular hermitian metric  $h_V$  on  $K_X|_V$  such that

1.  $\Theta_{h_V}$  is strictly positive everywhere on  $V$ .
2.  $h_{min}|_V \leq h_V$  holds on  $V$ .

Suppose that for some  $m \geq 2$

$$K_{m-1}(x) \geq C_{m-1} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot h_V^{-m}$$

holds on  $x \in V$ . Let us estimate  $K_m(x)$  from below at the point  $x$ .

**Lemma 4.9** *Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number. There exists a positive constant  $C(\varepsilon)$  depending only on  $\varepsilon$  and  $V$  such that*

$$K_m(x) \geq C(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds at  $x$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.9.* We shall give a proof only for the case  $\varepsilon = 1$ . The general case follows from the proof below in the same manner. We note that

$$K_m(x) = \sup\{|\sigma|^2(x); \sigma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(A + mK_X))\}, \int_X h_{m-1} \cdot |\sigma|^2 = 1\}.$$

holds. Then by the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernels ([Ti]), we can extend any element  $\sigma_x$  of the fiber  $(m(K_X + L) + A)_x$  of the line bundle of  $m(K_X + L) + A$  to an element

$$\sigma_V \in H^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{O}_V(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_V^{m-1}))$$

with

$$\int_{U \cap V} h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_V, \sigma_V) |dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_\nu|^2 \leq C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $x$  and  $V$ . Since  $h_{min} |V| \leq h_V$  holds on  $V$ , this implies that

$$\int_{U \cap V} h_{min}^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_V, \sigma_V) |dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_\nu|^2 \leq C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

holds. Then we can extend  $\sigma_V$  to

$$\sigma_U \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^{m-1}))$$

by the  $L^2$ -extension theorem ([O-T, Theorem]) so that

$$(\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_U \sigma_U \wedge \bar{\sigma}_U \cdot h_{min}^{m-1} \cdot h_A \leq C_U \cdot C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot h_V^m \cdot h_A(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

where  $C_U$  is a positive constant depending only on  $U$ . Let  $\rho$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function such that

1.  $\text{Supp } \rho \subset\subset U$ .
2.  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$  on  $X$ .
3.  $\rho \equiv 1$  on some neighbourhood  $W$  of  $x$ .

Taking  $A$  sufficiently ample, we may assume that

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(n\rho \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2) + \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_A}$$

is strictly positive on  $X$ . We set

$$\omega := \sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_A}.$$

And let  $dV$  denotes the volume form with respect to  $\omega$ . Then we may solve the  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation

$$\bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot \sigma_U)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \exp(-(n+1)\rho) \cdot \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 \cdot |u|^2 h_A \cdot h_{min}^{m-1} \\ & \leq C'_U \cdot \int_U \exp(-(n+1)\rho) \cdot \log \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 \cdot |\bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot \sigma_U)|^2 dV \end{aligned}$$

holds, where  $|\bar{\partial}(\rho \cdot \sigma_U)|^2$  denotes the norm with respect to  $h_A \cdot h_{min}^{m-1}$  and  $\omega$  and  $C'_U$  is a positive constant depending only on the supremum of the norm of  $\bar{\partial}\rho$  with respect to  $\omega$ . This implies that

$$u(x) = 0$$

and there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $\sigma_x$  such that

$$\int_X h_A \cdot h_{min}^{m-1} |u|^2 \leq C \cdot (dV^{-1} \cdot h_A \cdot h_{min}^{m-1})(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

holds. Then

$$\sigma := \rho \cdot \sigma_U - u \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^{m-1}))$$

is an extension of  $\sigma_x$  such that

$$(\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} \int_X \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} \cdot h_{min}^{m-1} \cdot h_A \leq C' \cdot C_U \cdot C \cdot m^{-\nu} \cdot (h_V^m \cdot h_A)(\sigma_x, \sigma_x)$$

where  $C'$  is a positive constant depending  $V$  and  $U$ . Hence by the extremal property of Bergman kernels, we obtain that

$$K_m(x) \geq (C' \cdot C_U \cdot C)^{-1} \cdot m^\nu \cdot C_{m-1} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V)^{-m}$$

holds. We note that for every  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h^{1-\varepsilon}|_V$  is a singular hermitian metric with strictly positive curvature on  $V$ . Then replacing  $h_V$  by  $h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{1-\varepsilon}|_V$  we obtain that there exists a positive constant  $C(\varepsilon)$  depending on  $V$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$K_m(x) \geq C(\varepsilon) \cdot m^\nu \cdot C_{m-1} \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds. Hence summing up the estimates for  $m$ , we get

$$K_m(x) \geq C(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

at  $x$ . The estimate is valid on a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $V$  and moving  $x$  on  $V$ , we may assume that the above estimate is valid on  $V$ .

Hence on  $V$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_V$  such that

$$K_m(y) \geq C_V(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds for every  $y \in V$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 4.9.  $\square$

On the other hand by the submeanvalue property of the plurisubhamonic functions, we have the following upper estimate.

**Lemma 4.10** *There exists a positive constant  $C_1$  independent of  $m \geq 1$  such that*

$$K_m \leq \mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot C_1^m \cdot dV^m \cdot h_A^{-1}$$

holds.

*Proof of Lemma 4.10.* Let  $p \in X$  be an arbitrary point. Let  $(U, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be a local coordinate around  $p$  such that

1.  $z_1(p) = \dots = z_n(p) = 0$ .
2.  $U$  is biholomorphic to the open unit polydisk in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with center  $O \in \mathbf{C}^n$  by the coordinate.
3.  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are holomorphic on a neighbourhood of the closure of  $U$ .
4. There exists a holomorphic frame  $\mathbf{e}$  of  $A$  on the closure of  $U$ .

Taking  $U$  sufficiently small we may assume that there exist holomorphic frames  $\mathbf{e}_L$  of  $L$  and  $\mathbf{e}_A$  of  $A$  on  $U$  respectively. We set

$$\Omega := (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (\sqrt{-1})^n dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n.$$

For every  $m \geq 0$ , we set

$$B_m := \sup_{x \in U} \frac{K_m}{\Omega^m \cdot |\mathbf{e}_L|^{2m} \cdot |\mathbf{e}_A|^2}(x).$$

We note that for any  $x \in X$

$$K_m(x) = \sup\{|\phi|^2(x); \phi \in V_m, \int_X h_{m-1} |\phi|^2 = 1\}$$

holds, where

$$V_m := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + A) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^{m-1})).$$

Let  $\phi_0$  be the element of  $V_m$  such that

$$\int_X h_{m-1} |\phi_0|^2 = 1.$$

Then there exists a holomorphic function  $f$  on  $U$  such that

$$\phi_0|_U = f \cdot (dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n)^m \cdot \mathbf{e}_L^m \cdot \mathbf{e}_A$$

holds. Then

$$\int_U |\phi_0|^2 \cdot h_A \cdot h_L^m \cdot \Omega^{-(m-1)} = \int_U |f|^2 \cdot h_L(\mathbf{e}_L, \mathbf{e}_L) \cdot h_A(\mathbf{e}_A, \mathbf{e}_A) \cdot \Omega$$

holds. On the other hand by the definition of  $B_{m-1}$  we see that

$$\int_U h_A |\phi_0|^2 \Omega^{-(m-1)} \leq B_{m-1} \int_U h_{m-1} |\phi_0|^2 \leq B_{m-1}$$

hold. Combining the above inequalities we have that

$$\int_U |f|^2 h_A(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}) \Omega \leq B_{m-1}$$

holds. Let  $0 < \delta \ll 1$  be a sufficiently small number. Let  $U_\delta$  be the inverse image of

$$\{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n; |y_i| < 1 - \delta\}$$

by the coordinate  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .

Then by the subharmonicity of  $|f|^2$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_\delta$  independent of  $m$  such that

$$|f(x)|^2 \leq C_\delta \cdot B_{m-1}$$

holds for every  $x \in U_\delta$ . Then we have that

$$|\phi_0(x)|^2 \leq C_\delta \cdot B_{m-1} \cdot |\mathbf{e}_A|^2 \cdot |\mathbf{e}_L|^m \cdot \Omega^m(x)$$

holds for every  $x \in U_\delta$ . We note that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $m_0 = m_0(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\dim V_m < \frac{\mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A) + \varepsilon}{\nu!} m^\nu$$

holds for every  $m \geq m_0$ . Summing up the estimates for the orthonormal basis of  $V_m$ , moving  $p$  and running  $m$ , by the compactness of  $X$ , we see that there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  independent of  $A$  such that

$$K_m \leq C_1^m \cdot \mu_\nu((K_X, h_{min}); A)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot (dV)^m \cdot h_A^{-1}$$

holds on  $X$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

Combining Lemmas 4.10 and 4.9 we have that the limit :

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-\nu} K_m}$$

exists and nonzero on  $V$ . Moving  $V$ , the limit exists on the whole  $X$ . We set

$$h_\infty := \text{the lower envelope of } (\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-\nu} K_m})^{-1}$$

Let us prove  $h_\infty$  is an AZD of  $K_X$ . Let  $x \in X$  be an arbitrary point. Then there exists a family  $\{V_t\}(t \in \Delta^{n-\nu})$  of smooth projective subvariety of dimension  $\nu$  in  $X$  and a local coordinate  $(U, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  such that

1.  $(z_1(x), \dots, z_n(x)) = O$ .
2.  $U$  is biholomorphic to  $\Delta^n$  via  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .
3.  $V_t \cap U = \{p \in U \mid (z_{\nu+1}(p), \dots, z_n(p)) = t\}$ .
4.  $(K_X + L, h) |_{V_t}$  is big for every  $t \in \Delta^{n-\nu}$ .

Let

$$\phi : V \longrightarrow \Delta^{n-\nu}$$

be the above family. By the Kodaira's lemma for big singular hermitian line bundles ([T6, Theorem 5.2]), we may take a singular hermitian metric  $h_V$  on  $\phi^*K_X$  such that

1.  $h_V |_{V_t}$  is a singular hermitian metric with strictly positive curvature.
2. There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$h_{min} |_{V_t} \leq C \cdot h_V |_{V_t}$$

holds on  $V_t$  for every  $t \in \Delta^{n-\nu}$ .

Since for every  $y \in U$ , there exists a positive constant  $C(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$K_m(y) \geq C(\varepsilon)^m \cdot (m!)^\nu \cdot h_A^{-1} \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^{-m}$$

holds for every  $m \geq 1$  by Lemma 4.9. Then since  $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{(m!)^{-\nu} K_m}$  exists, letting  $m$  tend to infinity, see that

$$h_\infty |_{V_t} \leq C(\varepsilon)^{-1} \cdot h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)}$$

holds. Let  $\sigma \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + L)) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_{min}^m))$  be any element. Then by the above inequality, we see that

$$\int_U |\sigma|^2 \cdot h_\infty^m dV \leq C(\varepsilon)^{-m} \int_U |\sigma|^2 \cdot (h_V^\varepsilon \cdot h_{min}^{(1-\varepsilon)})^m dV$$

holds. Hence letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0 and shrinking  $U$ , if necessary, we see that

$$\sigma \in L_{loc}^2(mK_X, h_\infty^m)$$

holds. This implies that

$$\sigma \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_\infty^m))$$

holds. Hence we see that  $h_\infty$  an AZD of  $K_X$ . Then by Lemma 4.10 and the definition of  $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$ , moving  $A$ , we complete the proof of Theorem 4.7.  $\square$

## 4.5 Remark on the Kähler-Ricci flow

Let  $X$  be a smooth minimal projective variety and let  $\omega_0$  be a Kähler form on  $X$ . Then the Kähler-Ricci flow :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}_\omega - \omega & \text{on } X \times [0, \infty) \\ \omega(0) = \omega_0 & \text{on } X \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$



has the long time  $C^\infty$ - solution  $\omega$  on  $X \times [0, \infty)$  ([T0, S-T]). In [S-T], J. Song and G. Tian proved that if  $K_X$  is semiample, the limit

$$\omega_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega$$

is the canonical semipositive current on  $X$ .

In the context of the dynamical systems of Bergman kernels, it is quite natural to expect that  $\omega_\infty$  is the supercanonical semipositive current on  $X$  without assuming the semiampleness of  $K_X$ .

Hence in any sense, the abundance conjecture is closely related to the equality of the canonical measure and the supercanonical measure.

## 5 A generalization to KLT pairs

It is not difficult to extend the construction of the canonical measure or the supercanonical measure to the case of a KLT pair  $(X, \Delta)$  of nonnegative Kodaira dimension, i.e.,  $|m!(K_X + \Delta)| \neq \emptyset$  for every sufficiently large  $m$ .

Let  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be the Iitaka fibration associated with the log canonical divisor  $K_X + \Delta$ . By replacing  $X$  and  $Y$ , we may assume the followings:

1.  $X, Y$  are smooth and  $f$  is a morphism with connected fibers.
2.  $\text{Supp } \Delta$  is a divisor with normal crossings.
3. There exists an effective divisor  $\Sigma$  on  $Y$  such that  $f$  is smooth and  $\text{Supp } \Delta^h$  is relatively normal crossings over  $Y - \Sigma$  and  $f(\Delta^v) \subset \Sigma$ , where  $\Delta^h, \Delta^v$  denote the horizontal and the vertical component of  $\Delta$  respectively.
4. There exists a positive integer  $m_0$  such that  $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m!(K_X + \Delta))$  is a line bundle on  $Y$  for every  $m \geq m_0$ .

We note that adding effective exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors does not change the log canonical ring. We define the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $L$  on  $Y$  by

$$L = \frac{1}{m_0!} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(m_0!(K_X + \Delta)).$$

$L$  is independent of the choice of  $m_0$ . Similarly as before we may define the singular hermitian metric on  $L$  by

$$h_L^{m!}(\sigma, \sigma)(y) := \left( \int_{X_y} |\sigma|^{\frac{2}{m!}} \right)^{m!},$$

where  $y \in Y - \Sigma$  and  $X_y := f^{-1}(y)$ . We note that since  $(X, \Delta)$  is KLT,  $h_L$  is well defined. By the same strategy as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we have the following theorem :

**Theorem 5.1** (see Theorem 1.4) *In the above notations, there exists a unique singular hermitian metric on  $h_K$  on  $K_Y + L$  and a nonempty Zariski open subset  $U$  of  $Y$  such that*

1.  $h_K$  is an AZD of  $K_Y + L$ .
2.  $f^* h_K$  is an AZD of  $K_X$ .

3.  $h_K$  is real analytic on  $U$ .
4.  $\omega_Y = \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h_K}$  is a Kähler form on  $U$ .
5.  $-\text{Ric}_{\omega_Y} + \sqrt{-1} \Theta_L = \omega_Y$  holds on  $U$ .  $\square$

We may construct  $\omega_Y$  in Theorem 5.1 in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels as before and we obtain the same semipositivity as Theorem 3.1.

We define the measure  $d\mu_{can}$  on  $X$  by

$$d\mu_{can} := \frac{1}{n!} f^* \omega_Y^n \cdot h_L^{-1},$$

where  $n = \dim Y$ .

Also we may construct the supercanonical measure  $d\hat{\mu}_{can}$  on a KLT pair  $(X, \Delta)$  such that  $K_X + \Delta$  is pseudoeffective in terms of the dynamical system of Bergman kernels under the assumption that there exists a normal AZD of  $K_X + \Delta$ . We note that if  $|m!(K_X + \Delta)| \neq \emptyset$  for some positive integer  $m$ , then there exists a normal AZD of  $K_X + \Delta$ .

## References

- [A] Aubin, T.: Equation du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes, C.R. Acad. Paris **283** (1976), 459-464.
- [B1] Berndtsson, B.: Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains, math.CV/0505469 (2005).
- [B2] Berndtsson, B.: Curvature of vector bundles and subharmonicity of vector bundles, math.CV/050570 (2005).
- [B3] Berndtsson, B.: Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations, math.CV/0511225 (2005).
- [B-P] Berndtsson, B. and Paun, M. : Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles, math.AG/0703344 (2007).
- [D-P-S] J.P. Demailly-T. Peternell-M. Schneider : Pseudo-effective line bundles on compact Kähler manifolds, International Jour. of Math. **12** (2001), 689-742.
- [F-M] Fujino, O. and Mori, S.: Canonical bundle formula, J. Diff. Geom. **56** (2000), 167-188.
- [F] Fujita, T.: Approximating Zariski decomposition of big line bundle, Kodai Math. J. **17** (1994), 1-4.
- [Ka] Kawamata, Y.: Kodaira dimension of Algebraic giber spaces over curves, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), pp. 57-71.

- [Kr] Krantz, S.: Function theory of several complex variables, John Wiley and Sons (1982).
- [L] Lelong, P.: Fonctions Plurisousharmoniques et Formes Differentielles Positives, Gordon and Breach (1968).
- [N] Nadel, A.M.: Multiplier ideal sheaves and existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature, Ann. of Math. **132**(1990),549-596.
- [O-T] Ohsawa, T and Takegoshi K.:  $L^2$ -extension of holomorphic functions, Math. Z. 195 (1987),197-204.
- [O] Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions V, effects of generalization, Nagoya Math. J. **161**(2001) 1-21.
- [Ti] Tian, G.: On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds, Jour. Diff. Geom. **32**(1990),99-130.
- [S] Siu, Y.-T.: Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the estension of closed positive currents. Invent. math. **27**(1974) 53-156.
- [S-T] Song, J. and Tian, G. : Canonical measures and Kähler-Ricci flow, math. ArXiv0802.2570 (2008).
- [S] Sugiyama, K.: Einstein-Kähler metrics on minimal varieties of general type and an inequality between Chern numbers. Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry, 417–433, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **18-I**, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [T0] Tsuji H.: Existence and degeneration of Kähler-Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type. Math. Ann. **281** (1988), no. 1, 123–133.
- [T1] Tsuji H.: Analytic Zariski decomposition, Proc. of Japan Acad. **61**(1992), 161-163.
- [T2] Tsuji, H.: Existence and Applications of Analytic Zariski Decompositions, Trends in Math., Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables(Katata 1997), Birkhäuser Boston, Boston MA.(1999), 253-272.
- [T3] Tsuji, H.: Deformation invariance of plurigenera, Nagoya Math. J. **166** (2002), 117-134.
- [T4] Tsuji, H.: Dynamical construction of Kähler-Einstein metrics, math.AG/0606023 (2006).
- [T5] Tsuji, H.: Canonical singular hermitian metrics on relative canonical bundles, math.ArXiv0704.0566 (2007).
- [T6] Tsuji, H.: Extension of log pluricanonical forms from subvarieties, math.ArXiv0709.2710 (2007).
- [Y1] Yau, S.-T.: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978),339-441.

Author's address  
Hajime Tsuji  
Department of Mathematics  
Sophia University  
7-1 Kioicho, Chiyoda-ku 102-8554  
Japan  
e-mail address: [tsuji@mm.sophia.ac.jp](mailto:tsuji@mm.sophia.ac.jp)