

Nature of Structural Transformations in the B₂O₃ Glass under High Pressure

V.V. Brazhkin^{1*}, Y. Katayama², K. Trachenko³, O.B. Tsiok¹, A.G. Lyapin¹, Emilio Artacho³,
M. Dove³, G. Ferlat⁴, Y. Inamura² and H. Saitoh²

¹ *Institute for High Pressure Physics RAS, 142190 Troitsk Moscow region, Russia*

² *Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), SPring-8, 1-1-1 Kuoto, Sayo-cho, Sayo-gun,
Hyogo, 679-5143, Japan*

³ *Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge,
CB2 3EQ, UK*

⁴ *Institut de Mineralogie et Physique des Milieux Condenses, Universite Paris 6, Universite Paris
7, CNRS UMR 7590, IPGP, 140 rue de Lourmel, 75015 Paris, France*

We report the results of the X-ray diffraction study of B₂O₃ glass in the pressure interval up to 10 GPa in the 300-700 K temperature range, the results of in-situ volumetric measurements of the glass at pressures up to 9 GPa at room temperature, and first-principles simulations data at pressures up to 250GPa. The behavior of B₂O₃ glass under pressure can be described as two broad pressure-overlapping transitions. The first transition starts at $P > 1$ GPa and proceeds without any changes in the coordination number of the boron atoms; the other one starts at $P > 5$ GPa and is accompanied by a gradual increase of coordination number. The second transition is completely reversible; the residual densification of the B₂O₃ glass after decompression is associated with the incomplete reversibility of the first transformation. The fraction of boron atoms transferred to the 4-coordination state in the glass at $P < 10$ GPa is much smaller than was assumed from indirect experimental data [4], but is considerably larger (by tens of times) than was previously suggested by the classical molecular dynamics simulations [5,6]. The observed transformations under both compression and decompression are quite broad, contrary to the assumption in Ref. [7]. On the basis of ab-initio results, we also predict the one more transformation under higher pressures to a super-dense phase, in which B atoms become 5- and 6-fold coordinated.

* e-mail address for contacts: brazhkin@hppi.troitsk.ru

Atomic rearrangements and phase transformations in glasses under high pressure and temperature are one of the hottest and most puzzling topics of physics and material science [1-3]. B₂O₃ represents an archetypical oxide alongside such glasses as SiO₂ and GeO₂ [8]. There is a wide range of important boron-oxide-containing optical materials and glass ceramics. Possible minor quantities of B₂O₃ in the Earth mantle may strongly affect the dynamics of magmatic

melts. It has been thought for about a century that B_2O_3 glass structure is almost perfectly suited for a glassy network model, in which the boron atoms are surrounded by three oxygen atoms, whereas oxygen atoms are surrounded by two boron atoms [8]. At the same time, many peculiar features of the B_2O_3 glass structure have not been understood to the present day. For example, the question of the fraction of atoms belonging to the B_3O_6 boroxol rings remains an open question [6 and refs therein, 9].

The studies of B_2O_3 glass under pressure are of considerable importance in understanding glass structure and inter-atomic interactions in glass. However, the most important experimental probes into the glass behaviour under pressure (in-situ X-ray diffraction and volumetric measurements) as well as first-principle simulations of the pressure response have not been reported thus far. X-ray diffraction or the EXAFS studies of B_2O_3 glass under pressure are extremely difficult because of the low atomic number of its elements. A neutron diffraction study requires large-sized samples, which restricts the maximum pressure that can be achieved. It is equally difficult to conduct the volumetric measurements of glasses under pressure: most existing techniques, such as direct optical measurements of sizes in transparent high-pressure devices [10] or density measurements from the X-ray absorption data [11], cannot offer sufficiently high accuracy.

The study of the behavior of crystalline B_2O_3 under pressure has likewise been fragmentary. The normal pressure phase B_2O_3 (I) (space group $P3_1$, $a = 4.336 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 8.340 \text{ \AA}$, $\rho = 2.55 \text{ gr/cm}^3$) [12] undergoes a transition at pressure $\sim 4 \text{ GPa}$ and high temperature to a high-pressure modification B_2O_3 (II) (space group $Ccm2_1$, $a = 4.613 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 7.803 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 4.129 \text{ \AA}$, $\rho = 3.11 \text{ g/cm}^3$) [13], which is stable for at least up to 40 GPa [14]. In B_2O_3 (II), boron atoms become four-fold coordinated while oxygens are either two- or three-fold coordinated [13]. The P,T-phase diagram of crystalline B_2O_3 was investigated in Refs. [15-17]. The present-day version of the equilibrium phase diagram has been obtained through the use of an in-situ X-ray diffraction study and has been presented in Ref. [18].

As it was found long ago [17,19], the pressure-treated B_2O_3 glass shows residual densification $\Delta\rho/\rho \sim 5\text{-}10\%$, depending on the pressure-temperature conditions of treatment. The ex-situ studies of densified glasses by X-ray and neutron diffraction methods point to the breakup of the boroxol rings in the glass structure and to the buckling of the “ribbons” formed by the BO_3 triangles, without any significant modification of the short-range order structure and coordination change of the boron and oxygen atoms [20,21]. Some fraction of four-fold coordinated B was observed in the densified B_2O_3 glasses obtained by quenching the melt under pressure [22].

The in-situ investigations of B_2O_3 glass under pressure have been performed by using Raman and Brillouin spectroscopies [7,23,24], and through inelastic X-ray scattering spectroscopy [4]. Besides, there have been attempts to examine the B_2O_3 glass under pressure by molecular dynamics computer simulation, using empirical inter-atomic potentials [5,6]. From the experimental data of Nicholas *et al.* [7] it follows that B_2O_3 glass under compression experiences a transformation in the pressure range $P \sim 6\text{-}15$ GPa, with the reverse transformation at decompression occurring at $P \sim 3$ GPa. The same authors [7] suggested that this constitutes sharp 1st order phase transition, which then in [6] was interpreted as 2nd order. This transformation was originally attributed [7] to a change in the coordination of the boron atoms from 3 to 4 in a similar way to crystalline phases. According to Ref. [4], the B_2O_3 glass, under compression, features a considerable change in the bonding type in the 6-20 GPa pressure range. This fact was likewise attributed to the change of the short-range order in glass, with the coordination of boron atoms changing from 3 to 4. The molecular dynamics data [5,6] are another testimony to the existence of a smeared transformation in B_2O_3 under pressure, accompanied by the modification of the first-neighbor coordination. However, the quantitative estimates of the degree of transformation based on the indirect experiments [4] and those based on the computer simulation data [5] are noticeably different. Thus, according to the estimates made in Ref. [4], the fraction of the boron atoms that had transferred to the 4-coordination state is 50% at $P \sim 8$ GPa, while the

coordination pressure-induced transformation ends at $P \sim 15$ GPa. According to Ref. [5], however, the fraction of the 4-coordinated boron atoms in the glass compressed to 8 GPa is $\sim 1\%$, and is less than 40% even at 30 GPa. Ref. [6] states that the fraction of the 4-coordinated boron atoms in the glass compressed to 8 GPa is close to zero and it is around 2% at 30 GPa. It remains unclear how well empirical potentials, however sophisticated, can accurately model the non-trivial transformations in B_2O_3 glasses under pressure.

Thus, the purpose of this work was to conduct pioneering in-situ structural and volumetric measurements of B_2O_3 glasses under pressure, as well as first-principle calculations (see Methods) to elucidate the nature of phase transformations.

The in-situ diffraction structural data for the B_2O_3 glass at different pressures are presented in Fig. 1. The structural factor (Fig. 1b) smoothly changes during compression up to 5-6 GPa. On further pressure increase, the structural changes become more pronounced. From the pictures of the total correlation function (Fig. 1c), one can see that at $P < 5 - 6$ GPa, the 1st peak of the total correlation function does not in fact change. The basic changes take place in the distant coordination spheres, beginning from the second one. At $P > 5 - 6$ GPa, the structural changes begin affecting the 1st coordination sphere: the area under the 1st peak expands and the distance in the first sphere slightly increases (Fig. 1c). Since the 1st peak of the total distribution in the B_2O_3 glass corresponds only to the nearest neighbors B-O, the area under the peak is proportional to the coordination number. Thus, for the first time the coordination number can be quantitatively estimated from direct measurements. The calculated coordination number smoothly rises: the fraction of the 4-coordination boron atoms can be assessed as being about 10-15% at $P \sim 8$ GPa $T \sim 300$ K; about 30% at $P \sim 9.5$ GPa, $T \sim 300$ K, and about 45% at $P \sim 9.5$ GPa, $T \sim 650$ K. Consequently, the fraction of the 4-coordination boron atoms during the transformation was significantly overestimated in the previous experimental indirect measurements [4] and greatly underestimated in the previous computer simulation data [5,6]. The B-O average distance remains virtually unchanged up to 5 GPa, beginning to increase

slowly (from 1.35 Å to 1.43 Å) with a further rise in pressure up to 10 GPa. This increase is also observed for B₂O₃ crystalline phases at the transition from a normal-pressure to high-pressure phase with the 4-coordinated boron atoms (average distances are 1.372 Å and 1.475 Å, respectively).

Glass structures under compression from *ab-initio* simulations are shown in Fig.2. The initial glass structure consists of corner-shared BO₃ triangles (Fig 2a). At high pressures glass structure consists mostly of BO₄ tetrahedra (Fig 2b,c). In Figure 1d we compare the atomic correlation function obtained in the experiment and first-principle simulations and observe reasonable agreement between them.

It should be mentioned that at the initial stage of the transformation (P ~ 5-7GPa) there is a slight shift of the B-O average distance whereas the estimated fraction of the 4-coordinated boron is close to zero. One can suppose that it is associated with the distortion of BO₃ triangles (boron atoms come out from the oxygen triangles planes). The *ab-initio* simulations indeed show that before transforming into the 4-fold coordinations, BO₃ triangles loose their planarity, as the B atom is pushed out of the plane of three O atoms starting from 4 - 5 GPa. In Figure 2d we plot the distribution of the distances between the B atom and the oxygen plane in 3-coordinated states and observe that these distances increase with pressure, reaching 0.3-0.4 Å at high pressure.

The primary qualitative change in the structure factor in the B₂O₃ glass with compression is observed in the ratio of the amplitudes of the 1st and 2nd maxima. This can be easily observed from the behavior of the experimental diffraction spectra at $2\theta = 6^\circ$ (see Fig.1a, Fig. 3). Fig. 3 shows the relationship between the intensities of the 1st and 2nd maxima vs pressure along compression-decompression cycles at room and elevated temperatures. This value incorporates the contribution from the changes occurring in both the 1st coordination sphere and distant ones. As seen from Fig. 3, the transformation under high pressures of about 9.5 GPa has significant kinetic effects (Point A) and greatly accelerates on heating (Points B,C). The reverse structural transformation at decompression occurs in a narrower pressure range at P < 5 GPa; whereas at

$P \sim 1.5$ GPa, a jump-wise behavior was observed. The structural transformation is not fully reversible, causing residual densification. The elevated temperature promotes both direct and reverse transformations (see Fig.3).

The performed in-situ high-pressure volumetric investigations essential for probing into the nature of phase transformations in the glass. They are also important for the accurate calculation of the total correlation functions shown in Fig. 1c. The corresponding data of the volumetric measurements are presented in Fig. 4. We have chosen two maxima of pressures, 9 GPa and 5.6 GPa, for two experimental runs, respectively. In this way, the experimental run I corresponds to partial coordination changes of the boron atoms in the glass, whereas the experimental run II revealed structural changes happening only in farther coordination spheres. Both experimental runs perfectly agree in the compression stages of the experiments, meaning the absolute reliability of the obtained data (especially if it is remembered that these runs were carried out in different liquid hydrostatic mediums). At pressures higher than 3 GPa, an appreciable time relaxation of density is observed in both runs. Thus, the 1 hour ageing at pressure $P=5.6$ GPa in run II results in the additional increase in density by 1%.

From the behavior of the “relaxed” compressibility (Fig. 4b) we may conclude that the transformation in the B_2O_3 glass consists of two broad overlapping transitions occurring at $P > 1$ GPa and $P > 5$ GPa. It is evident that the 1st transformation corresponds to the structural changes in the distant coordination spheres, while the 2nd one at $P > 5$ GPa conforms to the coordination transformation in glass. Here we note that the flat minima of the relaxed bulk modulus at $P \sim 1.7$ GPa and $P \sim 6$ GPa were not predicted by previous computer simulation studies [5,6]. The initial values of the relaxed bulk modulus during the onset of decompression at maximum pressures are bound to be equal to the unrelaxed values. Indeed, as observed from the Brillouin scattering data [7], the unrelaxed bulk modulus of B_2O_3 glass at 8-9 GPa can be estimated as 110-120 GPa, which is in perfect agreement with our experimental volumetric data ~ 110 GPa (Fig. 4b).

After decompression, the B_2O_3 glass remains densified ($\Delta\rho/\rho\sim 6\%$). The residual densification relaxes at room temperature for several days. The densification is virtually the same for the different highest experimental pressures of treatment of 9 and 5.6 GPa (see Fig. 4a). Therefore, the densification is not linked to the presence of the 4-coordination boron atoms; it is related to the changes in the distant coordination spheres, such as the reduction of the proportion of the boroxol rings and the buckling of the “ribbons” formed by the B_2O_3 triangles. The coordination transition, however, is fully reversible. The distinction in the behavior of relaxed compressibility for the two experimental runs during decompression (Fig. 4b) refers to the transfer of the boron atoms from the 4- to 3-coordination state for the glass subjected to a pressure of 9 GPa. On the basis of the obtained data, it can be inferred that the reverse transformation (the change in the coordination of the boron atoms from 4 to 3) begins at $P\sim 4.5$ GPa and ends at $P\sim 1$ GPa. The reverse transformation is absolutely smooth in hydrostatic conditions. Therefore, the conclusion made in Ref. [7] about the first-order character of the reverse transition in the B_2O_3 glass is incompatible with our findings. The volumetric study conducted in the present work involved purely hydrostatic high-pressure conditions, whereas the Brillouin scattering study in Ref. [7] was performed under quasi-hydrostatic conditions without a pressure-transmitting medium. The abrupt changes of sound velocities, observed in Ref. [7], are thus likely to be an artifact associated with strongly non-hydrostatic conditions near the sample. In fact, the value of about 3 GPa corresponds to the strength of the gasket used in Ref. [7]. That is, at pressures of about 3 GPa during decompression, jump-like pressure variations near the sample can take place. A similar artifact, related to non-hydrostatic stresses, is jump-like changes of the structure during decompression at pressures of about 1.5 GPa, which we observed in this work (see Fig.3.) The value 1.5 GPa exactly matches the strength of the boron-epoxy gaskets used in our work.

Figure 5 presents the comparison of the experimental and calculated density and coordination changes with pressure. A reasonable agreement between experiment and first-

principles calculations can be seen. Previous simulations with empirical potentials significantly underestimated the number of increased coordination, especially the results from [6], whereas previous inelastic X-ray scattering data [4] overestimated the coordination changes under compression (Fig. 5b). The somewhat different values of the density in the experiment and ab-initio simulations seen in Fig.5 are most probably due to the difference in time scales between the experiment and simulation: as mentioned above, experiments show significant time-dependent relaxation processes of both structure (see point A in Fig. 3) and density (see Fig. 4a).

Note that the recent study of the bonding changes in the SiO₂ glass conducted by using an inelastic x-ray scattering technique [31] similarly gives an incorrect estimate of the degree of the coordination transformation [32]. Thus, the assessments of the degree of the coordination transformations in glasses, made from inelastic x-ray scattering data [4,31,33], should be treated with caution.

The first-principles simulations enable us to analyze the behavior of glass at much larger pressures than those available in the experiments that use large volume presses. We observe that unlike its crystalline counterpart BO₄ tetrahedra in glass at high pressure can be connected in edges (see Figure 1b). The number of edge-shared tetrahedra increases with density, and under further compression face-shared tetrahedra with 5- and 6-coordinated B atoms appear in the glass (Fig. 1c).

Thus, the obtained in-situ structural and volumetric data give grounds to conclude that the B₂O₃ glass at compression experiences two pressure-overlapping, broad transformations. The first transformation corresponds to the structural changes in the distant coordination spheres, beginning from the 2nd one, and is only partially reversible, which leads to a residual densification in the glass after pressure treatment. The second transformation leads first to the distortion of BO₃ triangles, followed by a change in the B coordination. The latter transformation is fully reversible. Both direct and reverse transformations are smooth.

The behavior of B_2O_3 glass under pressure is in a certain sense similar to the behavior of another archetypical oxide glass, $a\text{-SiO}_2$. Under pressure, this glass equally features two overlapping diffuse transformations [34]. The 1st transformation is irreversible at room temperature without the change in the coordination of the Si - atoms, the second one is reversible; it occurs at higher pressures and is accompanied by the change in the coordination of the Si atoms from 4 to 6. The increased coordination number of cation atoms is not retained at room temperature after decompression in both the B_2O_3 and SiO_2 glasses. The behavior of these glasses greatly differs from that of their crystalline counterparts: the B_2O_3 II phase and stishovite SiO_2 can be retained at normal conditions and have high temperature stability.

In the simulations, we find permanent densification close to the experimental values; e.g. on decompression from 20 GPa, we observed 8% densification. If decompression is simulated at low 10 K temperature, the permanent densification increases, but only slightly (to 12%), implying that high-coordinated glass is almost not quenchable.

In our ab-initio simulations at very high pressure --in the 150-250 GPa range-- we find 5- and 6-fold coordinated B atoms as well as 4-fold coordinated O atoms. The appearance of these states can stimulate the search for the new glassy and crystalline forms of B_2O_3 with high coordination under megabar pressures. Such phases may possibly be quenchable, and should possess very high hardness and elastic moduli.

METHODS

Heat treatment of the B_2O_3 glass at $T \sim 600\text{-}700$ K within 20-40 hours or at $T \sim 900$ K within 5-10 hours has made it possible to obtain dehydrated specimens which were rapidly (~ 1 min) mounted into a high pressure cell. For the structural study, the glassy samples were 2 mm dia, 1.2 mm high. A cubic press was used for generating high pressure up to 10 GPa in amorphous boron-epoxy cubes with graphite heaters. The temperature was measured by a chromel-alumel thermocouple. The pressure was determined from the equation of state of NaCl. The in-situ structural investigations of the B_2O_3 glass were carried out by the energy-dispersive x-ray diffraction method in the SMAP180 press at the SPring-8 synchrotron radiation facility at the BL14B1 beamline. The spectra were registered at 10 different diffraction angles ($2\theta = 3^\circ, 4^\circ, 5^\circ, 6^\circ, 8^\circ, 10^\circ, 12^\circ, 16^\circ, 20^\circ, 24^\circ$), which made possible the restoration of the glass structural factor

and respective total distribution function with high accuracy. The X-ray diffraction spectra were registered at 15 pressure points, both during compression and decompression. A few independent sets of experiments at different temperatures were carried out.

The in-situ volumetric measurements of the B₂O₃ glass were conducted by using the toroid high-pressure apparatus [25], generating up to 9 GPa pressure at room temperature. The strain gauge technique [26] was used to measure the sample size. The sample of the B₂O₃ glass of about 3 mm in size was covered with special protective lacquer and placed into a pure hydrostatic high-pressure medium (ethanol-methanol mixture at pressures of up to 9 GPa, pentan-isopentan mixture at pressures up to 5.6 GPa). The absolute accuracy of the relative volume measurements was 0.1%, the relative accuracy (measurement sensitivity) was about 10⁻³%.

In the simulation, we used empirical interatomic potentials [27] to equilibrate a 135-atom liquid at 5000 K for 1 ns. We then used the *ab-initio* simulation to cool down the liquid to obtain glass. We have used the SIESTA method [28], an implementation of density functional theory [29], and employed the general gradient approximation to the exchange-correlation energy. The Kohn-Sham eigenstates were expanded in a localized basis set of numerical orbitals. The DZP-basis set was optimized by minimizing the energy of a boroxol molecule capped by hydrogens. Quenching the liquid to 300 K and relaxing the resulting structure produced glass with density of about 1.9 g/cm³, in a reasonably good agreement with the experimental value of 1.8 g/cm³. In terms of the number of boroxol rings, the final glass structure was similar to that in previous *ab-initio* study [30]. This structure was used in high-pressure *ab-initio* simulations at 300 K. Each pressure point was simulated for 3-4 ps on Cambridge HPC using 32 parallel processors. The total computer time was equivalent to about 3 years of simulation on a single processor.

References

- [1] *New Kinds of Phase Transitions: Transformations in Disordered Substances*. Brazhkin V.V., Buldyrev S.V., Ryzhov V.N. & Stanley H.E., Eds (Kluwer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2002)
- [2] Brazhkin V.V. & Lyapin A.G. High-pressure phase transformations in liquids and amorphous solids. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **15**, 6059-6084 (2003)
- [3] McMillan P.F. Polyamorphic transformations in liquids and glasses *J. Mater. Chem.* **14** 1506-1512 (2004)
- [4] S.K. Lee et al, *Nature Mat.* **4** 851 (2005)
- [5] A. Takada, *Phys. Chem. Glasses* **45** 156 (2004)
- [6] L. Huang and J. Kieffer, *Phys. Rev. B* **74** 224107 (2006); L. Huang, J. Nicholas, J. Kieffer, and J. Bass, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **20**, 075107 (2008)
- [7] J. Nicholas et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 215701 (2004)
- [8] J. Krong-Moe, *J. Non. Cryst. Sol.* **1**, 269 (1969)
- [9] J. Swenson and L. Borjesson, *Phys. Rev B* **55**, 11138 (1997).
- [10] K.H. Smith et al. *J. Chem. Phys.* **102** 6851 (1995)
- [11] G. Shen, N. Sata, M. Newville et al. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81** 1411 (2002)
- [12] G.E. Gurr, P.W. Montgomery, C.D. Knutson, and B.T. Gorres, *Acta Cryst. B* **26**, 906 (1970)

- [13] C.T. Prewitt and R.D. Shannon, *Acta Cryst B* **24**, 869 (1968)
- [14] D. Nieto-Sanz, P. Loubeyre, W. Crichton, and M. Mezouar X-ray study of the synthesis of boron oxides at high pressure: phase diagram and equation of state. *Phys. Rev. B* **70** 214108(1-5) 2004
- [15] J.D. Mackenzie and W.F. Claussen, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **44**, 79 (1961).
- [16] F. Dacheville and R. Roy, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **41**, 78 (1959).
- [17] D.R. Uhlmann, J.F. Hays, and D. Turnbull, *Phys. Chem. Glass.* **8**, 1 (1967).
- [18] V.V. Brazhkin et al, *JETP Lett.* **78** 393 (2003)
- [19] J.D. Mackenzie, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **46**, 461 (1963)
- [20] A.C. Wright, C.E. Stone, R.N. Sinclair et al, *Phys. Chem. Glass.* **41**, 296 (2000)
- [21] E. Chason and F. Spaepen, *J. Appl. Phys.* **64**, 4435 (1988)
- [22] S.K. Lee et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 165507 (2005)
- [23] M. Grimsditch, A. Polian, and A.C. Wright, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 152 (1996)
- [24] M. Grimsditch, R. Bhadra, and Y. Meng, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 4836 (1988)
- [25] Khvostantsev L.G., Slesarev V.N. & Brazhkin V.V. Toroid type high-pressure device: History and prospects. *High Press. Res.* **24**(3) 371-383 (2004)
- [26] O.B. Tsiok et al. *High Press. Res.* **10** 523 (1992)
- [27] Q. Xu, K. Kawamura and T. Yokokawa, *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* **104**, 261 (1988)
- [28] P. Ordejon, E. Artacho, and J. M. Soler, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, R10441 (1996).
- [29] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, 864 (1964); W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, 1133 (1965)
- [30] P. Umari and A. Pasquarello, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 137401(2005).
- [31] J.-F. Lin, H. Fukui, D. Prendergast, T. Okuchi et al. Electronic bonding transition in compressed SiO₂ glass. *Phys. Rev. B* **75** 012201 152 (2007)
- [32] H. Fukui, M. Kanzaki, N. Hiraoka, and Y.Q. Cai Si L-edge x-ray raman scattering of SiO₂. Abstracts of Joint 21st AIRAPT and 45th EHPRG International Conference, Catania, Sept. 17-21, Ed. by G.G.N. Angilella et al 2007, p247
- [33] S.K. Lee, P.J. Eng, H.-k. Mao et al Structure of alkali borate glasses at high pressure: B and Li K-edge inelastic x-ray scattering study. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 105502 (2007)
- [34] El'kin F.S., Brazhkin V.V., Khvostantsev L.G., Tsiok O.B & Lyapin A.G. *In situ* Study of the mechanism of formation of pressure-densified SiO₂ glasses *JETP Lett.* **75** 342-347 (2002)

Acknowledgments:

The authors wish to thank T. Hattori, S.V. Popova, and W. Utsumi for their help and to P. McMillan and M. Wilding for valuable discussions. The synchrotron radiation experiments were performed at the SPring-8 with the approval of the JAEA (project 2006B-E18). The work has

been supported by the RFBR (05-02-16596 and 07-02-01275), by the Programs of the Presidium of RAS, by EPSRC and by UK Royal Society.

Figures




Fig. 1. Examples of the energy dispersive x-ray diffraction (EDXD) data for the glassy B_2O_3 (a), measured at different pressures at the two angles of the detector; calculated from the experimental EDXD data structure factor (b) and total radial distribution function (c), and comparison of the experimental and computer simulated total correlation functions for different pressures (d). All experimental curves for $P=9.5$ GPa correspond to the sample obtained after heating to 350 °C.




Fig. 2. Polyhedron representation of the simulated B₂O₃ glass structure at zero pressure (a), $P=20$ GPa (b), and $P=200$ GPa (c), where triangles correspond to the BO₃ structure units (3-fold coordinated boron), tetrahedrons - to BO₄, and there are the single BO₅ and BO₆ units on (c). The plot (d) shows the distribution of distances from B atom to the O₃ plane in the BO₃ units for 2 different pressures.




Fig. 3. Pressure dependence of the ratio of amplitudes of the 2nd and 1st diffraction peaks in the EDXD data measured at the angle of the detector $2\theta=6^\circ$ [see Fig. 1 (a)] for the two independent compression-decompression runs at room temperature (red points) and $T=200^\circ\text{C}$ (blue points). Point A corresponds to the sample obtained after 3 hours relaxation at the fixed pressure ($P=9.5$ GPa), points B and C correspond to the sample states after heating to 350°C under pressure.




Fig. 4. Results of the *in situ* volumetric measurements of the glassy B_2O_3 under pressure (a) in the two different runs of compression (solid symbols) and decompression (open symbols), and pressure dependences of the bulk modulus (b) obtained by the direct numerical differentiation of the curves from the plot (a) (relaxed modulus). The significant jumps of the effective bulk modulus between the final of compression and onset of decompression for both runs correspond to the jumps between relaxed and almost unrelaxed values (see the text).




Fig. 5. Comparison of pressure dependences of the experimental (Fig. 4, compression in the run I) and simulated density (a), and simulated pressure dependences of the averaged 1st coordination numbers for B and O (b). The inset in (b) shows pressure dependences of the 1st coordination number for B from the current experiment (x-ray diffraction) and simulation and according to the data from Refs. [4-6]. Point B in the experimental dependence corresponds to that equally marked in Fig. 3 (i.e., after heating), whereas the open point is the estimation of the point B position which should be observed under room-temperature compression.