

Geometric representation of binary codes and computation of weight enumerators

Pavel Rytíř

Department of Applied Mathematics, Charles University in Prague, Malostranské nám. 25, Prague 118 00, Czech Republic

Abstract

For every linear binary code \mathcal{C} , we construct a geometric triangular configuration Δ so that the weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} is obtained by a simple formula from the weight enumerator of the cycle space of Δ . The triangular configuration Δ thus provides a geometric representation of \mathcal{C} which carries its weight enumerator. This is the initial step in the suggestion by M. Loebl, to extend the theory of Pfaffian orientations from graphs to general linear binary codes. Then we carry out also the second step by constructing, for every triangular configuration Δ , a triangular configuration Δ' and a bijection between the cycle space of Δ and the set of the perfect matchings of Δ' .

1 Introduction

A seminal result of Galluccio and Loebl [1] asserts that the weight enumerator of the cut space \mathcal{C} of a graph G may be written as a linear combination of $4^{g(G)}$ Pfaffians, where $g(G)$ is the minimal genus of a surface in which G can be embedded. Recently, a topological interpretation of this result was given by Cimasoni and Reshetikhin [2].

Viewing the cut space \mathcal{C} as a binary linear code, G may be considered as a useful geometric representation of \mathcal{C} which provides an important structure for the weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} .

This motivated Martin Loebl to ask, about 10 years ago, the following question: Which binary codes are cycle spaces of simplicial complexes? In general,

Email address: `rytir@kam.mff.cuni.cz` (Pavel Rytíř).

¹ Supported by the Czech Science Foundation under the contract no. 201/09/H057

for the binary codes with a geometric representation, one may hope to obtain a formula analogous to that of Galluccio and Loeb1 [1].

This question remains open but in this paper we circumvent it by asking for geometric representations which carry over the weight enumerator only. We note that this weakening is still sufficient for the extension of the theory of Pfaffian orientations.

We present a construction which shows that a useful geometric representation exists for all binary codes. The main result is as follows:

Theorem 1 *For each binary linear code \mathcal{C} of length n , one can construct a triangular configuration Δ and positive integer e linear in n , so that if the weight enumerator of the cycle space of Δ equals*

$$\sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$$

then the weight enumerator of \mathcal{C} satisfies

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}(x^2) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^{i \bmod e}. \quad \square$$

The second main result of the paper is to construct, for every triangular configuration Δ , a triangular configuration Δ' and a bijection between the cycle space of Δ and the set of the perfect matchings of Δ' . This carries over the second step in the Loeb1's suggestion to extend the theory of Pfaffian orientations to the general binary linear codes.

2 Preliminaries

We will start with definitions of the basic concepts. Let n be a positive integer. A *binary linear code \mathcal{C} of length n* is a subspace of $GF(2)^n$, and each vector in \mathcal{C} is called a *codeword*.

The *weight* of codeword c is the number of nonzero coordinates, denoted by $w(c)$.

A binary linear code \mathcal{C} is *even* if all codewords have even weight.

We define a partial order on \mathcal{C} as follows: let $c = (c^1, \dots, c^n), d = (d^1, \dots, d^n)$ be codewords of \mathcal{C} . Then $c \preceq d$ if $c^i = 1$ implies $d^i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Codeword d is *minimal* if $c \preceq d$ implies $c = d$ for all.

The *weight enumerator* of the code \mathcal{C} is defined according to the formula

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}(x) := \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} x^{w(c)}.$$

An *abstract simplicial complex* on a finite set V is a hereditary family Δ of subsets of V .

Let X be an element of Δ . The *dimension* of X is $|X| - 1$, denoted by $\dim X$. The dimension of Δ is $\max \{\dim X \mid X \in \Delta\}$, denoted by $\dim \Delta$.

A *simplex* in \mathbb{R}^n is the convex hull of an affine independent set V in \mathbb{R}^d . The *dimension* of the simplex is $|V| - 1$. The convex hull of any nonempty subset of V that define a simplex is called a *face* of the simplex. A *simplicial complex* Δ is a set of simplices fulfilling the following conditions:

- Every face of a simplex from Δ belongs to Δ .
- The intersection of every two simplices of Δ is a face of both.

We denote the subset of d -dimensional simplices of Δ by Δ^d .

Every simplicial complex defines an abstract simplicial complex on the set of vertices V , namely the family of sets of vertices of simplices of Δ . We will denote this abstract simplicial complex by $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$.

The *geometric realization* of an abstract simplicial complex Δ is a simplicial complex Δ' such that $\Delta = \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$. It is well known that every finite d -dimensional abstract simplicial complex can be realized as a simplicial complex in \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} . We will denote this geometric realization of an abstract simplicial complex Δ by $\mathcal{G}(\Delta)$.

This paper studies 2-dimensional simplicial complexes where each maximal simplex is a triangle. We will call them *triangular configurations*.

The number of triangles in an (abstract) simplicial complex Δ will be denoted by $|\Delta|$.

A *subconfiguration* of a triangular configuration Δ is a triangular configuration Δ' such that $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$.

A *cycle* of a triangular configuration is a subconfiguration such that every edge is incident with an even number of triangles. A *circuit* is a minimal non-empty cycle under inclusion.

Let Δ_1, Δ_2 be subconfigurations of a triangular configuration Δ . The *difference* of Δ_1 and Δ_2 , denoted by $\Delta_1 - \Delta_2$, is defined to be the triangular configuration

obtained from $\Delta_1^0 \cup \Delta_1^1 \cup \Delta_1^2 \setminus \Delta_2^2$ by removing the edges and vertices that are not contained in any triangle in $\Delta_1^2 \setminus \Delta_2^2$.

The *symmetric difference* of Δ_1 and Δ_2 , denoted by $\Delta_1 \triangle \Delta_2$, is defined to be $\Delta_1 \triangle \Delta_2 := (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) - (\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2)$.

Let Δ_1, Δ_2 be triangular configurations. The union of Δ_1, Δ_2 is defined to be $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 := \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{A}(\Delta_2))$.

Let Δ be a d -dimensional simplicial complex. We define the *incidence matrix* $A = (A_{ij})$ as follows: the rows are indexed by $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplices and the columns by d -dimensional simplices. We set

$$a_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (d-1)\text{-simplex } i \text{ belongs to } d\text{-simplex } j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *cycle space* \mathcal{C} of Δ is the kernel $\ker \Delta$ of the incidence matrix of Δ over $GF(2)$, and $\mathcal{C} = \ker \Delta$ is said to be *represented* by Δ .

For a subconfiguration C of Δ , we let $\chi(C) = (\chi(C)^{t_1}, \dots, \chi(C)^{t_{|\Delta|}}) \in \{0, 1\}^{|\Delta|}$ denote its *incidence vector*, where $\chi(C)^t := 1$ if C contains triangle t , and $\chi(C)^t := 0$ otherwise.

It is well known that the kernel of Δ is the set of incidence vectors of cycles of Δ .

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ be a binary linear code. Let S be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. *Puncturing* code \mathcal{C} along S means deleting the entries indexed by the elements of S from each codeword of \mathcal{C} . The resulting code is denoted by \mathcal{C}/S .

3 Triangular representation of binary codes

First, we define three useful triangular configurations.

3.1 Triangular configuration B^n

The triangular configuration B^n consists of n disjoint triangles as is depicted in Figure 1. We denote the triangles of B^n by B_1^n, \dots, B_n^n .



Fig. 1. Triangular configuration B^n .

3.2 Triangular sphere \mathcal{S}^m

The triangular sphere \mathcal{S}^m , depicted in Figure 2, is a triangulation of a 2-dimensional sphere by m triangles. This triangulation exists for every even $m \geq 4$. We denote the triangles of \mathcal{S}^m by $\mathcal{S}_1^m, \dots, \mathcal{S}_m^m$




Fig. 2. Triangular sphere \mathcal{S}^m .

3.3 Triangular tunnel T

The triangular tunnel T is depicted in Figure 3.




Fig. 3. Triangular tunnel T .

In particular, triangles $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\{a, b, c\}$ are not elements of T .

3.4 Joining triangles by tunnels

Let Δ be a triangular configuration. Let t_1 and $t_2 \in \Delta$ be two disjoint triangles of Δ . The join of t_1 and t_2 in Δ is the triangular configuration Δ' defined as follows. Let T be a triangular tunnel as in Figure 3. Let t_1^1, t_1^2, t_1^3 and t_2^1, t_2^2, t_2^3 be edges of t_1 and t_2 , respectively. We relabel edges of T such that $\{a, b, c\} = \{t_1^1, t_1^2, t_1^3\}$ and $\{1, 2, 3\} = \{t_2^1, t_2^2, t_2^3\}$. Then Δ' is defined to be $\Delta \cup T$.

3.5 Construction

Let \mathcal{C} be a binary code of length n and dimension d . Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$ be a basis of \mathcal{C} . We construct its triangular representation $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ as follows.




Fig. 4. $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ represents a basis vector $(1, 0, \dots, 1, 0)$ of \mathcal{C} .

For every basis vector b_i we construct a triangular configuration $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$.




Fig. 5. An example of triangular representation $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} .

Triangular configuration $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is obtained from $B^n \cup \mathcal{S}^m$, where m is even and $m \geq n$, $m \geq 4$. Let J^i be the set of indices of nonzero entries of b_i . For each $j \in J^i$ we join triangle \mathcal{S}_j^m of \mathcal{S}^m with triangle B_j^n . Then, we remove triangle \mathcal{S}_j^m from \mathcal{S}^m . Finally, we remove triangles of B^n that are not joined with the sphere. The example of $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ for $b_i = (1, 0, \dots, 1, 0)$ is depicted in Figure 4.

Thus triangular configuration $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ contains B_j^n if and only if $j \in J^i$. We note that

Proposition 2 $|\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}| - w(b_i)$ is always even.

Triangular configurations $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, share the triangles of B^n and do not share spheres \mathcal{S}^m . Hence, $\mathcal{A}(\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}) \cap \mathcal{A}(\Delta_{b_j}^{\mathcal{C}}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(B^n)$ holds for $i < j$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Finally, triangular representation $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} is the union of $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.

An example of triangular representation $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} is depicted in Figure 5.

Triangular representation $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} is *balanced* if there is an integer e such that $|\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}| - w(b_i) = e$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. This e is denoted by $e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$.

Let c be a codeword of \mathcal{C} . Let $c = \sum_{i \in I}^2 b_i$ be the unique expression of c , where $b_i \in B$. The *degree* of c with respect to basis B is defined to be the cardinality $|I|$ of the index set. The degree is denoted by $d(c)$.

We denote by $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ the cycle space of the triangular configuration $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$. We define linear mapping $f: \mathcal{C} \mapsto \ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ in the following way: let c be a codeword of \mathcal{C} ; let $c = \sum_{i \in I}^2 b_i$ be the unique expression of c , where $b_i \in B$. We define $f(c) := \chi(\Delta_{i \in I} \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}})$. Hence, the entries of $f(c)$ are indexed by the triangles of $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$. We have $f(c)^{B_j^n} = 1$ if and only if $\Delta_{i \in I} \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ contains triangle B_j^n .

Proposition 3 Denote $|\Delta_{i \in I} \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}|$ by m . Let $c = (c^1, \dots, c^n)$ and

$$f(c) = (f(c)^{B_1^n}, \dots, f(c)^{B_n^n}, f(c)^{n+1}, \dots, f(c)^m).$$

Then, $f(c)^{B_j^n} = c^j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and all $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

PROOF. We show the proposition by induction on the degree $d(c)$ of c . Codeword c is equal to $\sum_{i \in I}^2 b_i$.

If $d(c) = 0$, then $c = 0$ and $f(c) = 0$ is the incidence vector of the empty triangular configuration. So, the proposition holds for vectors of degree 0.

If $d(c)$ is greater than 0, then $|I| \geq 1$. We choose some k from I . Codeword $c +^2 b_k$ has degree less than c . By induction assumption, the proposition holds for $c +^2 b_k$. Let $b_k = (b_k^1, \dots, b_k^n)$.

From the definition of $\Delta_{b_k}^{\mathcal{C}}$, $b_k^j = \chi(\Delta_{b_k}^{\mathcal{C}})^{B_j^n}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Therefore,

$$c^j = (c^j +^2 b_k^j) +^2 b_k^j = \chi(\Delta_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}})^{B_j^n} +^2 \chi(\Delta_{b_k}^{\mathcal{C}})^{B_j^n} = f(c)^{B_j^n}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. \square

Corollary 4 Mapping f is injective.

Lemma 5 Every non-empty cycle of $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ contains $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n$ as a subconfiguration for some $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

PROOF. Every cycle of $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ contains either all triangles or no triangle of $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n$, since $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} \cap \Delta_{b_j}^{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq B^n$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

B^n does not contain non-empty cycles, since the triangles of B^n are disjoint. Therefore, every non-empty cycle contains a triangle of $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Hence, every non-empty cycle contains $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n$. \square

Theorem 6 *Let \mathcal{C} be a binary code. Let $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ be its triangular representation with respect to a basis B . Mapping f defined above is a bijection of the binary linear codes \mathcal{C} and $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ which maps minimal codewords to minimal codewords.*

PROOF. By Corollary 4, f is injective.

It remains to be proven that $\dim \mathcal{C} = \dim \ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$.

Suppose on the contrary that some codeword of $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ is not in the span of $\{f(b_1), \dots, f(b_d)\}$. Let c be such a codeword with the minimal possible weight $w(c)$. Let K be a cycle of $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\chi(K) = c$.

By Lemma 5, cycle K contains $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Since $|\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n| > |B^n|$, $|K \triangle \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}| < |K|$. Therefore, $w(c) > w(\chi(K \triangle \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}))$. This is a contradiction.

Finally we show that f maps minimal codewords to minimal codewords. Let d be a minimal codeword. Suppose on the contrary that $f(d)$ is not a minimal codeword of $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$. Then for some codeword c , $f(c) \prec f(d)$. However, $c^i = f(c)^i = 1$ implies that $d^i = f(d)^i = 1$, so $c \prec d$. This contradicts the minimality of d . \square

Let t be a triangle of a triangular configuration Δ . A *subdivision* of triangle t is a triangular configuration obtained from Δ by exchanging triangle t is replaced by triangles t_1, t_2, t_3 in the way depicted in Figure 6.




Fig. 6. Triangle subdivision

Proposition 7 *Every binary code \mathcal{C} of length n and dimension d has a balanced triangular representation $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}) > n$, where B is an arbitrary basis for \mathcal{C} .*

PROOF. Let $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ be an arbitrary triangular representation of \mathcal{C} with respect to a basis $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$. We denote by k_i the number $|\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}| - w(b_i)$. Every k_i is even by Proposition 2. Let n' be the smallest even number greater than n . Let $k := \max \{n', k_i | i = 1, \dots, d\}$.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $k_i \neq k$, the following step is applied. We choose a triangle t from $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n$ and subdivide t . The number k_i is increased by 2. If k_i still does not equal to k , then we repeat this step.

After this procedure, $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ is balanced and $e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}) > n$. \square

Proposition 8 *Let \mathcal{C} be an even binary linear code. Let $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ be its balanced triangular representation with respect to a basis B . Then $w(f(c)) = w(c) + d(c)e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$ for every codeword $c \in \mathcal{C}$.*

PROOF. Write c as $\sum_{i \in I} b_i$, where $b_i \in B$. Then $f(c) = \chi(\Delta_{i \in I} \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}})$. Now, $\Delta_{i \in I} \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ contains all triangles of $\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n$ for all $i \in I$. The number of these triangles is $d(c)e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$, since $|\Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}} - B^n| = e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$ and $|I| = d(c)$.

By Proposition 3, $\Delta_{i \in I} \Delta_{b_i}^{\mathcal{C}}$ contains triangle B_k^n if and only if $c_k = 1$. The number of these triangles is $w(c)$.

Therefore, $w(f(c)) = w(c) + d(c)e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$. \square

4 Weight enumerator

In this section, we state the connection between the weight enumerator of a code and the weight enumerator of its triangular representation. This will provide a proof of Theorem 1.

We define the *extended weight enumerator* (with respect to a fixed basis) by

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}^k(x) := \sum_{\substack{c \in \mathcal{C} \\ d(c)=k}} x^{w(c)}.$$

If code \mathcal{C} has dimension d , then

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^d W_{\mathcal{C}}^k(x).$$

Proposition 9 Let \mathcal{C} be a binary code and let $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ be its balanced triangular representation $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ with respect to the fixed basis B . Then

$$W_{\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}}^k(x) = W_{\mathcal{C}}^k(x)x^{ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})}.$$

PROOF. Let f be the mapping defined in Section 3. For every codeword c of degree k of \mathcal{C} there is codeword $f(c)$ of degree k of $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$. By Proposition 8, $w(f(c)) = w(c) + ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$.

Therefore,

$$W_{\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}}^k(x) = \sum_{\substack{f(c) \in \ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}} \\ d(f(c))=k}} x^{w(f(c))} = \sum_{\substack{c \in \mathcal{C} \\ d(c)=k}} x^{w(c)+ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})} = W_{\mathcal{C}}^k(x)x^{ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 10 Let \mathcal{C} be a binary code of length n . Let $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ be a balanced triangular representation of \mathcal{C} . The inequality $ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}) \leq w(c) \leq ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}) + n$ holds for every codeword c of degree k of $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$.

PROOF. By Proposition 8, $w(c) = w(f^{-1}(c)) + ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$. Since $0 \leq w(f^{-1}(c)) \leq n$ holds for every $c \in \ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$, $ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}) \leq w(c) \leq ke(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}) + n$. \square

Corollary 11 Let \mathcal{C} be a binary code of dimension d and length n . Let $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ be a balanced triangular representation of \mathcal{C} such that $n < e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$. Denote $e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$ by e . If $\sum_{i=0}^{de+n} a_i x^i$ is the weight enumerator of $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$, then

$$W_{\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}}^k(x) = \sum_{i=ke}^{ke+n} a_i x^i.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 10, $w(c) \leq (k-1)e + n$ holds for all codewords $c \in \ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ of degree less than k . Since $n < e$, $w(c) \leq ke - e + n < ke$.

By Proposition 10, $(j+1)e \leq w(c)$ holds for all codewords $c \in \ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ of degree greater than k . Since $n < e$, $ke + e < ke + n \leq w(c)$.

Hence, enumerator $W_{\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}}^k(x)$ is the sum over all codewords of weight between ke and $ke + n$. \square

Theorem 12 Let \mathcal{C} be a binary code of dimension d and length n . Let $\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$ be a balanced triangular representation of \mathcal{C} such that $n < e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$. Denote $e(\Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}})$ by e . If $\sum_{i=0}^{de+n} a_i x^i$ is the weight polynomial of $\ker \Delta_B^{\mathcal{C}}$, then

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{de+n} a_i x^{i \bmod e}.$$

PROOF. $w(c) \leq n$ for every codeword $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let f be the mapping defined in Section 3. By Proposition 8, $w(f(c)) = w(c) + d(c)e$ for every codeword c of \mathcal{C} . Since $n < e$,

$$w(f(c)) \bmod e = (w(c) + d(c)e) \bmod e = w(c).$$

Hence,

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{de+n} a_i x^{i \bmod e}. \quad \square$$

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.

PROOF of Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear binary code of length n . By Proposition 7, we can construct a balanced triangular representation Δ of \mathcal{C} such that $e(\Delta) > n$.

Denote $e(\Delta)$ by e . Let $W_{\Delta}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{de+n} a_i x^i$ be the weight enumerator of Δ .

Hence,

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{de+n} a_i x^{i \bmod e}. \quad \square$$

5 Matching

In this section we reduce computation of the weight enumerator of the even subconfigurations to computation of the weight enumerator of the perfect matchings.

Let Δ be a triangular configuration. A *matching* of Δ is a subconfiguration M of Δ such that $t_1 \cap t_2$ does not contain an edge for every distinct $t_1, t_2 \in T(M)$.

Let Δ be a triangular configuration. Let M be a matching of Δ . Then the *defect* of M is the set $E(T) \setminus E(M)$. We denote matching with this defect by $M_{E(T) \setminus E(M)}$.

The *perfect matching* of Δ is a matching with empty defect. We denote the set of all perfect matchings of Δ by $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)$.

The weight enumerator of perfect matchings in Δ is defined to be $P_{\Delta}(x) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta)} x^{w(P)}$, where $w(P) := \sum_{t \in P} w_t$.

5.1 Triangular configuration P




Fig. 7. Triangular configuration P

The triangular configuration P is depicted in Figure 7.

Proposition 13 *Triangular configuration P has exactly two perfect matchings $\{t_1, t_3, t_5, t_7\}$, $\{t_2, t_4, t_6, t_8\}$.*

5.2 Closed triangular tunnel T




Fig. 8. Closed triangular tunnel T .

Closed triangular tunnel T is depicted in Figure 8. We call triangles $\{a, b, c\} = t_2$ and $\{1, 2, 3\} = t_1$ ending triangles.

Proposition 14 *Closed triangular tunnel T has two perfect matchings $M_{t_1}^T = \{t_1, s_4, s_5, s_6\}$, $M_{t_2}^T = \{t_2, s_1, s_2, s_3\}$.*

5.3 Triangular configuration E_{pq}

The *matching triangular edge* is triangular configuration which is obtained from triangular configuration P and two closed triangular tunnels T in the following way: Let T_1 and T_2 be closed triangular tunnels and let $t_1^{T_1}, p^{T_1}$ and $t_1^{T_2}, q^{T_2}$ be ending triangle of T_1 and T_2 , respectively. We identify $t_1^{T_1}$ with t_1^P and $t_1^{T_2}$ with t_3^P . Then E_{pq} is defined to be $T_1 \triangle P \triangle T_2$. Triangular configuration E_{pq} is depicted in Figure 9.

Proposition 15 *Matching triangular edge has two perfect matchings denoted by N_{pq}^1 and N_{pq}^0 .*

PROOF. There are two matchings. First matching is $N_{pq}^0 := M_{t_1}^{T_1} \cup M_{t_1}^{T_2} \cup \{t_5^P, t_7^P\}$. Second matching is $N_{pq}^1 := M_p^{T_1} \cup M_q^{T_2} \cup \{t_2^P, t_4^P, t_6^P, t_8^P\}$.

Any perfect matching of E_{pq} has to contain $\{t_5^P, t_7^P\}$ or $\{t_2^P, t_4^P, t_6^P, t_8^P\}$. This determines remaining triangles in a perfect matching. Hence, there are just two perfect matchings. \square




Fig. 9. Matching triangular edge

We denote the matching N_{pq}^1 by M_{pq}^1 and the matching $N_{pq}^0 \setminus p, q$ by M_{pq}^0 .

5.4 Triangular configuration T_{pqr}

The *matching triangular triangle* is triangular configuration which is obtained from triangular configuration P and three closed triangular tunnels T in the following way:

Let T_1 , T_2 and T_3 be closed triangular tunnels and let $t_1^{T_1}, p^{T_1}$, $t_1^{T_2}, q^{T_2}$ and $t_1^{T_3}, r^{T_3}$ be ending triangle of T_1 , T_2 and T_3 , respectively. We identify $t_1^{T_1}$ with t_1^P , $t_1^{T_2}$ with t_3^P and $t_1^{T_3}$ with t_5^P . Then T_{pqr} is defined to be $T_1 \triangle P \triangle T_2 \triangle T_3$. Triangular configuration T_{pqr} is depicted in Figure 10.

Proposition 16 *Matching triangular triangle has two perfect matchings denoted by N_{pqr}^1 and N_{pqr}^0 .*

PROOF. There are two matchings. First matching is $N_{pqr}^0 := M_{t_1}^{T_1} \cup M_{t_1}^{T_2} \cup M_{t_1}^{T_3} \cup \{t_7^P\}$. Second matching is $N_{pqr}^1 := M_p^{T_1} \cup M_q^{T_2} \cup M_r^{T_3} \cup \{t_2^P, t_4^P, t_6^P, t_8^P\}$.

Any perfect matching of T_{pqr} has to contain $\{t_5^P, t_7^P\}$ or $\{t_2^P, t_4^P, t_6^P, t_8^P\}$. This determines remaining triangles in a perfect matching. Hence, there are just two perfect matchings. \square

We denote the matching N_{pqr}^1 by M_{pqr}^1 and the matching $N_{pqr}^0 \setminus p, q, r$ by M_{pqr}^0 .




Fig. 10. Matching triangle

5.5 Triangular configuration $C_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$

This part of reduction is analogous to the reduction for graphs described in Galluccio et al [3]. Let t_1^C, t_1' be empty disjoint triangles. Let $t_2^C, \dots, t_n^C, t_2', \dots, t_n'$ be disjoint triangles. Then $C_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$ is defined to be $(\Delta_{i=1}^n t_i) \Delta (\Delta_{i=1}^n t_i') \Delta (\Delta_{i=1}^n E_{t_i t_i'}) \Delta (\Delta_{i=2}^n E_{t_i t_{i-1}'}) \Delta (\Delta_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{t_i' t_{i+1}'})$. The configuration is depicted in Figure 11.




Fig. 11. Triangular configuration $C_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$

Proposition 17 *Let M_C^I denote perfect matching containing triangles $t_i, i \in I$. Then there exists exactly one perfect matching M_C^I of $C_{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n}$ if and only if $|I|$ is even.*

PROOF. We construct matching by the following algorithm.

In the first step. If $t_1 \in I$ then $M := M_{t_1 t_1'}^1$ else $M := M_{t_1 t_1'}^0$. We say that first step is even if t_1' is covered otherwise odd. (first step is even if and only if $t_1 \notin I$).

In the i -th step.

- If t_i' is covered by M and $t_{i+1} \notin I$ then $M := M \cup M_{t_i' t_{i+1}}^0$.
- If t_i' is covered by M and $t_{i+1} \in I$ then $M := M \cup M_{t_i' t_{i+1}}^0 \cup M_{t_i' t_{i+1}}^0 \cup M_{t_{i+1} t_{i+1}'}^1$.
- If t_i is not covered by M and $t_{i+1} \notin I$ then $M := M \cup M_{t_i' t_{i+1}}^0 \cup M_{t_i' t_{i+1}}^1$.
- If t_i' is not covered by M and $t_{i+1} \in I$ then $M := M \cup M_{t_i' t_{i+1}}^1$.

The i -th step is even if t_i is covered. From definition of i -th step follows that parity of step changes if $t_{i+1} \in I$.

Hence, the algorithm succeed in construction of perfect matching if and only if $|I|$ is even. \square

5.6 Reduction

Let Δ be a triangular configuration. We construct triangular configuration Δ' such that every even subconfiguration of Δ uniquely corresponds to one perfect matching of Δ' and a natural weight-preserving bijection between the set of the even subconfiguration of Δ and the set of the perfect matchings of Δ' .

We put into Δ' empty disjoint triangles t_e for every tuple (t, e) where $e \in E(\Delta)$ and $t \in T(\Delta)$.

We add to Δ' matching triangle $T_{t_a t_b t_c}$ for every triangle $t \in T(\Delta)$, where a, b, c are edges of t . We assign weight $w(t) := 1$ to one triangle in matching M_t^1 and weight 0 otherwise.

We add to Δ' triangular configuration $C_{t_e^1 \dots t_e^n}$ for every edge $e \in E(\Delta)$ where t_e^1, \dots, t_e^n are triangles incident with e in Δ . We assign weight $w_t := 0$ to all triangles of $C_{t_e^1 \dots t_e^n}$.

Theorem 18 *Let Δ be a triangular configuration and Δ' be matching reduction of Δ . Let C be an even subconfiguration of Δ . Then there exists exactly one perfect matching M_C in Δ' . In Δ' are not any others perfect matchings.*

PROOF. Let C be an even subconfiguration of Δ . We construct perfect matchings M_C in Δ' .

We denote matchings $M_{t_a t_b t_c}^1$ and $M_{t_a t_b t_c}^0$ of $T_{t_a t_b t_c}$ by M_t^1 and M_t^0 , respectively.

We denote the set $\{i|e \in T(t_i), t_i \in C\}$ by I_e .

$$M_C := \{M_t^1 | t \in C\} \cup \{M_t^0 | t \notin C, t \in T(\Delta)\} \cup \{M_e^{I_e} | e \in E(\Delta)\}.$$

Matching M_C is perfect.

We show that there is no other matching. Every matching triangle T_t has to be covered by M_t^1 or M_t^0 . Thus C_e has to be covered by M_e^I for some even I . So every perfect matching in Δ' defines even subset in Δ . \square

Proposition 19 *Let Δ be a triangular configuration. Let Δ' be its matching representation. Let C be an even subconfiguration and M_C be corresponding perfect matching then $|C| = w(M_C)$.*

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} w(M_C) &= \sum_{t \in C} w(M_t^1) + \sum_{t \notin C, t \in T(\Delta)} w(M_t^0) + \sum_{e \in E(\Delta)} w(M_e^{\{i | e \in T(t_i), t_i \in C\}}) \\ &= \sum_{t \in C} 1 + \sum_{t \notin C, t \in T(\Delta)} 0 + \sum_{e \in E(\Delta)} 0 \\ &= |C| \end{aligned}$$

□

The following theorem is a consequence.

Theorem 20 *Let Δ be a triangular configuration. Let Δ' be its matching representation. Then $W_\Delta(x) = P_{\Delta'}(x)$.*

Acknowledgements

This article extends a result of my master thesis, written under the direction of Martin Loeb. I would like to thank Martin Loeb for helpful discussions and continuous support.

References

- [1] A. Galluccio, M. Loeb, On the theory of Pfaffian orientations. I. Perfect matchings and permanents., *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 6 (1999) .
- [2] D. Cimasoni, N. Reshetikhin, Dimers on surface graphs and spin structures. i, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 275 (1) (2007) 187–208.
- [3] A. Galluccio, M. Loeb, J. Vondrák, Optimization via enumeration: a new algorithm for the max cut problem, *Mathematical Programming* 90 (2) (2001) 273–290.
URL citeseer.ist.psu.edu/galluccio01optimization.html