

MONGE-AMPÈRE BOUNDARY MEASURES

URBAN CEGRELL AND BERIT KEMPPE

ABSTRACT. We study swept-out Monge-Ampère measures of plurisubharmonic functions and boundary values related to these measures.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to study certain boundary measures related to plurisubharmonic functions on hyperconvex domains. These measures are obtained as swept-out Monge-Ampère measures and generalize the boundary measures studied by Demailly in [13], see Section 3. A number of properties of the measures, such as density, support and convergence, are given in Section 4. The idea is then to use these measures to define and study boundary values of plurisubharmonic functions on the given domain. This is done in Section 5, where we also describe some situations where this coincides with other notions of boundary values. Finally in Section 6 we study more general boundary measures on a more restricted class of hyperconvex domains. Here we start with a measure on the boundary and find a sequence of Monge-Ampère measures approximating the given measure.

It is a great pleasure for us to thank Phạm Hoàng Hiệp for many fruitful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We first recall some definitions needed in this paper. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$. Denote by $PSH(\Omega)$ the plurisubharmonic functions on Ω and by $PSH^-(\Omega)$ the subclass of nonpositive functions. A set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be a hyperconvex domain if it is open, connected and if there exists a function $\varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega)$ such that $\{z \in \Omega : \varphi(z) < -c\} \subset\subset \Omega$, $\forall c > 0$. If Ω is a bounded hyperconvex domain, then it can be shown that the exhaustion function φ can be chosen in $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ and such that $\int_\Omega (dd^c \varphi)^n < +\infty$ (see [10]). This implies for example that the classes defined below are nontrivial. Unless otherwise stated, Ω will throughout this paper denote a bounded hyperconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Also, by a measure we mean a positive regular Borel measure.

Let $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ be the subclasses of $PSH^-(\Omega)$ defined as in [5] and [7], namely as follows:

- $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ is the set of functions $u \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\int_\Omega (dd^c u)^n < +\infty$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} u(z) = 0$, $\forall \xi \in \partial\Omega$
- $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ is the set of functions $u \in PSH(\Omega)$ such that there is a sequence $\{u_j\}$ in $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ with the properties that $u_j \searrow u$ and $\sup_j \int_\Omega (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty$
- $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is the set of functions $u \in PSH(\Omega)$ such that for each $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$ there is function $u_\omega \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ with the properties that $u_\omega \geq u$ on Ω and $u_\omega = u$ on ω
- $\mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ is the set of functions $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ such that $\int_E (dd^c u)^n = 0$ for each pluripolar set $E \subset \Omega$

For the convenience of the reader, we state some of the results, concerning these classes, that we use most frequently in this paper. If nothing else is mentioned, proofs can be found in [7].

First, observe that $PSH^-(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ is contained in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and that $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$. The following lemma explains why the functions in $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ sometimes are called *test functions*.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then there are $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\varphi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$.*

If $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, then $dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n$ is defined as the limit measure obtained by combining the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $u \in PSH^-(\Omega)$. Then there is a sequence $\{u_j\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $u_j \searrow u$ on Ω and $\text{supp}(dd^c u_j)^n \subset\subset \Omega$ for each j .*

Theorem 2.3. *For $k = 1, \dots, n$, let $u_k \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and $\{g_{kj}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ be such that $g_{kj} \searrow u_k$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then $dd^c g_{1j} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c g_{nj}$ is weak*-convergent and the limit measure is independent on the sequences $\{g_{kj}\}$.*

A function $u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ is a maximal plurisubharmonic function if and only if $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ (see [4] and [6]). If $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $(dd^c u)^n = 0$, then $u = 0$ (see Theorem 5.15 in [7]). Theorem 2.3 can be generalized as follows, see e.g. Lemma 3.2 in [9].

Lemma 2.4. *For $k = 1, \dots, n$, let $u_k \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and $\{g_{kj}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ be such that $g_{kj} \geq u_k$ and g_{kj} tends weakly to u_k as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If $h \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, then $h dd^c g_{1j} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c g_{nj}$ tends weak* to $h dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n$. Moreover, if $u_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega h dd^c g_{1j} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c g_{nj} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega h dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n$.*

The next lemma contains some useful basic properties of the classes we use.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{F}^a, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}\}$, then the following holds.*

- (i) *If $u, v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, then $\alpha u + \beta v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$.*
- (ii) *If $u \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $v \in PSH^-(\Omega)$, then $\max\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$. In particular, if $u \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$, $v \in PSH^-(\Omega)$ and $v \geq u$, then $v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$.*

Note that functions in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ have finite total Monge-Ampère mass. Also, they have in some sense boundary values zero, which can be seen e.g. in the following formula for partial integration.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $v, u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\int_\Omega v dd^c u_1 \wedge dd^c u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n = \int_\Omega u_1 dd^c v \wedge dd^c u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_n.$$

Since bounded function cannot put Monge-Ampère mass on pluripolar sets (see e.g. [2]), we have that $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$. Moreover, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.8 in [7] gives:

Lemma 2.7. *If $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ or $v \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, then $dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_{n-1} \wedge dd^c v$ vanishes on pluripolar sets.*

We conclude this section with some notation needed in this paper. Let Ω and $u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ be given and choose a fundamental sequence $\{\Omega_j\}$ of strictly pseudoconvex domains, i.e. $\Omega_j \subset\subset \Omega_{j+1} \subset\subset \Omega$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty \Omega_j = \Omega$. For each j define

$$u^j = \sup \{ \varphi \in PSH(\Omega) : \varphi|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_j} \leq u|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_j} \}. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that since Ω_j has C^2 boundary, it follows that $u^j = (u^j)^*$, the smallest upper semicontinuous majorant of u^j , so u^j is plurisubharmonic. Moreover $u \leq$

$u^j \leq u^{j+1} \leq 0$, so each $u^j \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and the same holds for $\tilde{u} = (\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u^j)^*$. It follows that \tilde{u} is the smallest maximal plurisubharmonic majorant of u and that \tilde{u} is independent of the chosen sequence $\{\Omega_j\}$. In [9] the following classes were defined:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(\Omega) &= \{u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega) : \tilde{u} = 0\} \\ \mathcal{M}(\Omega) &= \{u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega) : (dd^c u)^n = 0\}\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is the class of maximal plurisubharmonic functions in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$. Note that $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ contains $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, since if $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, then \tilde{u} is a maximal function in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ so $\tilde{u} = 0$. It also follows that if $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, then $u^j \nearrow 0$ outside a pluripolar subset of Ω (see [15] or [2]).

Finally, we say that $u \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ has boundary values \tilde{u} if there is a function $\psi \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{u} \geq u \geq \tilde{u} + \psi$. Given $H \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ we define

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega, H) = \{u \in PSH(\Omega) : H \geq u \geq H + \psi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\},$$

which is a subclass of $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$. It follows that if $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, H)$ then $\tilde{u} = H$. Also, $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, 0) = \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE BOUNDARY MEASURES μ_u

In this section we show that every function in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ gives rise to a measure on the boundary of Ω . Let $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ be given, choose a fundamental sequence $\{\Omega_j\}$ of strictly pseudoconvex domains and let u^j be defined by (2.1). Then $u \leq u^j \leq u^{j+1} \leq 0$, so each $u^j \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Moreover, Stokes' theorem implies that $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n < +\infty$, and by maximality $(dd^c u^j)^n$ is concentrated on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_j$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Then $\{(dd^c u^j)^n\}$ is a weak*-convergent sequence, which defines a positive measure μ_u on $\partial\Omega$. Also $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n$ exists for all $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Choose W to be a strictly pseudoconvex set containing the closure of Ω . First assume that $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \leq 0$, then

$$-\infty < \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^{j+1})^n \leq \sup_{\Omega} \varphi \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n. \quad (3.1)$$

To see this, approximate φ with functions in $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ and use partial integration in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ (see Section 2). Since all Monge-Ampère measures involved have the same total mass, it follows that (3.1) holds for all $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Thus $\{\int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n\}$ is a bounded monotone sequence, so $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n$ exists for all $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. In particular the limit exists for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(W)$ (see Lemma 2.1). Since each $(dd^c u^j)^n$ is a positive distribution on $C_0^\infty(W)$, it follows from standard distribution theory that the convergence in fact holds for all $\varphi \in C_0(W)$. Also the limit distribution itself is positive and thus defines a positive regular Borel measure μ_u on W , which by the construction is concentrated on $\partial\Omega$. \square

In this manner we may, to each $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, associate a positive measure μ_u , and it follows for example that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi d\mu_u = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n \quad (3.2)$$

holds for all $\varphi \in C_0(W)$, in particular for $\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. We also have that $\int_{\partial\Omega} d\mu = \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n$, which implies that $\mu_u = 0$ if and only if $u = 0$ (since $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$). Note

that μ_u does not depend on the chosen sequence $\{\Omega_j\}$. Note also that by applying (3.1) to φ and $-\varphi$ we get that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u)^n, \quad \forall \varphi \in PH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (3.3)$$

where $PH(\Omega)$ denotes the pluriharmonic functions on Ω .

In [13] Demailly defines a set of Monge-Ampère boundary measures in the following setting. Let X be a Stein manifold of dimension n and $\Omega \subset\subset X$ an open hyperconvex subset. Assume that $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, 0)$ is a continuous plurisubharmonic exhaustion function such that $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \phi)^n < +\infty$. For each $r < 0$ define:

$$\begin{aligned} B(r) &= \{z \in \Omega : \phi(z) < r\} \\ S(r) &= \{z \in \Omega : \phi(z) = r\} \\ \phi_r(z) &= \max\{\phi(z), r\} \end{aligned}$$

It is then shown that

$$(dd^c \phi_r)^n = \chi_{\Omega \setminus B(r)} \cdot (dd^c \phi)^n + \mu_{\phi, r} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mu_{\phi, r}$ is a positive measure concentrated on $S(r)$. Furthermore, when $r \rightarrow 0$ then $\mu_{\phi, r}$ converges in a weak sense to a positive measure $\tilde{\mu}_{\phi}$ concentrated on $\partial\Omega$. (More explicitly it is shown that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int h d\mu_{\phi, r}$ exists $\forall h \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$.)

Now consider the case when $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, then the function ϕ is in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ so we can define μ_{ϕ} according to Theorem 3.1. Choose a sequence $\{r_j\}$ such that $r_j \nearrow 0$ and let $\Omega_j = B(r_j)$. Then $\phi_{r_j} = \max\{\phi, r_j\}$ is equal to the function ϕ^j defined as in (2.1). Note that Ω_j is not necessarily strictly pseudoconvex in this setting, only hyperconvex. However, this is enough in the proof of Theorem 3.1, since we only use the smoothness of $\partial\Omega_j$ to ensure that the function ϕ^j is plurisubharmonic. Hence

$$(dd^c \phi_{r_j})^n = \chi_{\Omega \setminus B(r_j)} \cdot (dd^c \phi)^n + \mu_{\phi, r_j}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the left hand side converges to the boundary measure μ_{ϕ} and the right hand side to $0 + \tilde{\mu}_{\phi}$ (since $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \phi)^n < +\infty$). This shows that $\mu_{\phi} = \tilde{\mu}_{\phi}$, so in particular Demailly's boundary measures form a subset of those defined in Theorem 3.1, when $X = \mathbb{C}^n$.

Also, note that if $u \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ then u satisfies the conditions in Demailly's definition, so for boundary measures corresponding to such functions we may use Demailly's results.

The following theorem, where u^j is defined by (2.1), generalizes a formula considered by Demailly in [13].

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, $h \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, $\int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n > -\infty$ and that $dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ vanishes on pluripolar sets. Then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n - \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}.$$

Note that the conditions in this theorem are satisfied if for example $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $h \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ (see Lemma 2.7). Actually, it is enough that $h \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, since $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. First we claim the following.

- (i) $\int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} > -\infty$
- (ii) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii)} \quad & \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^{n-p+1} \wedge (dd^c u)^{p-1} \geq \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^{n-p} \wedge (dd^c u)^p \geq \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n, \\
& 1 \leq p \leq n-1 \\
\text{(iv)} \quad & \int_{\Omega} h dd^c(u^j - u) \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-p} \wedge (dd^c u)^{p-1} = \\
& = \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge dd^c(u^j - u) \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-p-1} \wedge (dd^c u)^{p-1} = \\
& = \int_{\Omega} (u^j - u) dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-p} \wedge (dd^c u)^{p-1} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq n
\end{aligned}$$

For the proof of (i), choose a sequence $\{h_k\}$ in $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ decreasing to h on Ω . Then $dd^c h_k \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ converges weak* to $dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ (Lemma 2.4). Combining this with the fact that u is upper semicontinuous it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} (-u) dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} & \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (-u) dd^c h_k \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} = \\
& = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (-h_k) (dd^c u)^n = \int_{\Omega} (-h) (dd^c u)^n < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

(where we have used partial integration in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$). Since $u^j \nearrow 0$ outside a pluripolar set (see Section 2) and since $dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ puts no mass there, (i) implies (ii) by dominated convergence. To see (iii), use the same technique as in Theorem 3.1. Finally (iv) follows from partial integration, using the fact that h is locally in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and that $u^j - u$ is compactly supported in Ω . This proves the claim.

Now using (iv) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} & = \int_{\Omega} (u - u^j) dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} = \\
& = \int_{\Omega} h dd^c(u - u^j) \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

so we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^n - \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n + \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} & = \\
= \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^n - \int_{\Omega} h dd^c u^j \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} + \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last integral tends to 0 according to (ii). Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^n - \int_{\Omega} h dd^c u^j \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} = \\
& = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^{n-p+1} \wedge (dd^c u)^{p-1} - \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u^j)^{n-p} \wedge (dd^c u)^p \right) = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} a_p
\end{aligned}$$

where each $a_p \geq 0$ by (iii). Using (iv) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_p & = \int_{\Omega} h dd^c(u^j - u) \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-p} \wedge (dd^c u)^{p-1} = \\
& = \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge dd^c(u^j - u) \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-p-1} \wedge (dd^c u)^{p-1} \leq \\
& \leq - \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-p-1} \wedge (dd^c u)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the second expression in (iv) implies that $\int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-k} \wedge (dd^c u)^{k-1}$ is decreasing in k , so it follows that $0 \leq a_p \leq - \int_{\Omega} u^j dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$. Hence (ii) implies that each term $a_p \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and the theorem is proved. \square

Remark 1. Combining the preceding theorem with (3.2), we have the following formula. Given $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n = \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} + \int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu_u, \quad \forall h \in PSH(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (3.6)$$

In Section 4 (Corollary 4.10) we will show that there is a set $S \subset \partial\Omega$ such that $\text{supp } \mu_u = S$ for each $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$. Hence (3.6) gives a partial integration formula for $h \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $h|_S = 0$. From Theorem 5.3 in Section 5 it follows that if $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$, then (3.6) is valid for $h \in PSH(W) \cap L^\infty(W)$, where W is some neighbourhood of Ω .

We also get a Jensen-type inequality; given $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu_u, \quad \forall h \in PSH(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (3.7)$$

If $h \in PSH(W)$ for some neighbourhood W of Ω , then using convolution we may find functions $h_k \in PSH(W') \cap C(W')$, where $\bar{\Omega} \subset W' \subset\subset W$, such that $h_k \searrow h$ on W' . Therefore (3.7) holds true if $h \in PSH(W)$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$.

4. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE BOUNDARY MEASURES μ_u

In this section we investigate some properties of the boundary measures μ_u defined in Section 3. Recall that a hyperconvex domain Ω is called B-regular if each continuous function on $\partial\Omega$ can be extended continuously to a plurisubharmonic function on Ω (see [18]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let μ be a finite positive measure on $\partial\Omega$, where Ω is a bounded B-regular domain. Then μ is in the weak* closure of $\{\mu_u : u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\}$.*

Proof. For simplicity, assume that $\mu(\partial\Omega) = 1$. Choose a sequence of measures

$$\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} a_j^k \delta_{z_j^k}, \quad \text{where } \{z_j^k\}_{j=1}^{N_k} \subset \Omega \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} a_j^k = 1$$

such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h d\mu_k = \int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu, \quad \forall h \in C(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (4.1)$$

Let e.g. $a_j^k = \mu(A_j^k)$ and $z_j^k \in A_j^k \cap \Omega$, where $\{A_j^k\}_{j=1}^{N_k}$ is a partition of $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $\text{diam}(A_j^k) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$, and use the fact that h is uniformly continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. For each k , consider $g_k(z)$, the multipole pluricomplex Green's function for Ω with poles at $\{z_j^k\}$ with weights $\{(a_j^k)^{1/n}\}$ (see [16] and [17]). Then $g_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $(dd^c g_k)^n = \mu_k$. Form $\tilde{\mu}_k = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (dd^c (g_k)^i)^n$ as in section 3. Then for each k

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} d\tilde{\mu}_k = \int_{\Omega} (dd^c g_k)^n = \int_{\Omega} d\mu_k = 1 = \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mu \quad (4.2)$$

and from (3.2) and (3.1) it follows that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi d\tilde{\mu}_k = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c (g_k)^i)^n \geq \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c g_k)^n = \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_k \quad (4.3)$$

for $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $\{\tilde{\mu}_{k_m}\}$ be any weak*-convergent subsequence of $\{\tilde{\mu}_k\}$. (Such a subsequence exists since the measures $\{\tilde{\mu}_k\}$ have uniformly bounded total mass.) Now let $t \in C(\partial\Omega)$, $t \leq 0$ be given. Since Ω is B-regular there is a $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\varphi = t$ on $\partial\Omega$. Hence, by (4.1) and (4.3),

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} t d\mu = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu_{k_m} \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi d\tilde{\mu}_{k_m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} t d\tilde{\mu}_{k_m}.$$

This shows that $\mu \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}_{k_m}$. It then follows from (4.2) that they have the same total mass, so $\mu = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}_{k_m}$ and the theorem is proved. Note that since the argument is valid for any weak*-convergent subsequence, it follows that $\{\tilde{\mu}_k\}$ itself tends weak* to μ . \square

Later in this section, we will show that not every positive measure on $\partial\Omega$ is in $\{\mu_u : u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\}$, see for example Proposition 4.7. Moreover, the assumption of B-regularity cannot be removed in Theorem 4.1, see for example Corollary 4.10 and Example 4.11. Before we can prove this, we need the following convergence property.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and that $\{u_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \searrow u$ on Ω . Then μ_{u_k} converges weak* to μ_u .*

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega') \cap C(\bar{\Omega}')$ where $\Omega' \supset \bar{\Omega}$. Then (3.6) gives that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu_u = \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n - \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$$

and that for each k

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu_{u_k} = \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u_k)^n - \int_{\Omega} u_k dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1}.$$

From Lemma 2.4 it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u_k)^n = \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n$. Moreover, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_k dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1} = \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ by the following calculations. Since $u \leq u_k$ for each k , Lemma 3.3 in [1] implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} u_k dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1}$$

for each k . Hence, for fixed k_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_k dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1} \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_k dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1} \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_{k_0} dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} u_{k_0} dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows since $dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u_k)^{n-1}$ is weak*-convergent to $dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ (Lemma 2.4) and u_{k_0} is upper semicontinuous. Now, the claim follows if we let $k_0 \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu_{u_k} = \int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu_u \quad (4.4)$$

holds true for $h \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega') \cap C(\bar{\Omega}')$ and therefore for $h \in C_0^\infty(\Omega')$. By standard distribution theory it follows that (4.4) holds for $h \in C_0(\Omega')$ and hence for $h \in C(\partial\Omega)$. \square

Recall from Section 3 that for functions in $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ we can apply the results of Demailly in [13]. We make use of this fact in the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *If u and v are functions in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ such that $u \leq v$, then $\mu_u \geq \mu_v$.*

Proof. Take $\{u_k\}, \{w_k\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $u_k \searrow u$ and $w_k \searrow v$. Let $v_k = \max\{u_k, w_k\}$. Then $v_k \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, $v_k \searrow v$ and $u_k \leq v_k$. By Theorem 3.4 in [13] $\mu_{u_k} \geq \mu_{v_k}$ for each k . Using Proposition 4.2 it follows that $\mu_u \geq \mu_v$. \square

Remark 2. When Ω is B-regular there is a slightly more direct proof of Proposition 4.3, not using Demailly's results. If in that case $f \in C(\partial\Omega)$, $f \leq 0$ is given, it may be extended to a function in $PSH^-(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Since $u \leq v$ we have that $u^j \leq v^j$ for each j , which (see the proof of Theorem 3.1) implies that $\int_{\Omega} f (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} f (dd^c v^j)^n$ for each j . From (3.2) it follows that $\int_{\partial\Omega} f d\mu_u \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} f d\mu_v$, so we have, by the regularity of μ_u and μ_v , that $\mu_u \geq \mu_v$.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, then $\mu_u = \mu_{\max\{u, -1\}}$.*

Proof. Let $v = \max\{u, -1\}$, then $\mu_u \geq \mu_v$ by Proposition 4.3. Take $\{u_k\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \searrow u$ and let $v_k = \max\{u_k, -1\}$. Then $v_k \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$, $v_k \searrow v$ and $v_k = u_k$ on $\Omega \setminus \{u_k < -1\}$ (note that $\{u_k < -1\} \subset \subset \Omega$). Using Theorem 5.1 in [7] and Stokes theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mu_u &= \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_k)^n = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c v_k)^n = \int_{\Omega} (dd^c v)^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mu_v, \end{aligned}$$

so $\mu_u = \mu_v$. \square

We will now use this corollary to show that each μ_u vanishes on pluripolar sets. We start with two technical lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and that φ is in $PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and upper semicontinuous on some neighbourhood of $\bar{\Omega}$. Then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi d\mu_u.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Choose Ω' and Ω'' such that φ is upper semicontinuous on Ω' and $\Omega \subset \subset \Omega'' \subset \subset \Omega'$. Then there is a decreasing sequence $\{\varphi_k\}$ of continuous functions on Ω'' that are bounded above and that converge to φ on Ω'' . Using equality (3.2) we have that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_k (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_k d\mu_u$$

for each k . Hence the lemma follows by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $E \subset \partial\Omega$ be a pluripolar set and $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Suppose that there is a function $g \in PSH(\Omega')$, where $\Omega' \supset \Omega$, such that $E \subset \mathcal{S}_g = \{z : g(z) = -\infty\}$ and $(dd^c u)^n$ is concentrated on $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{S}_g$. Then $\mu_u(E) = 0$.*

Proof. By subtracting a suitable constant we may assume that $g \leq 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. For each positive integer k , define $h_k = \max\{\frac{1}{k} \cdot g, -1\}$. Then from (3.1) and Lemma 4.5 it follows that

$$-\infty < \int_{\Omega} h_k (dd^c u)^n \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_k (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} h_k d\mu_u \leq \int_E h_k d\mu_u = -\mu_u(E),$$

since $h_k \leq 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $h_k = -1$ on E . Moreover, $h_k(z) \nearrow 0$ for all $z \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{S}_g$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_k (dd^c u)^n = 0$. Hence $\mu_u(E) = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.7. *If $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, then μ_u vanishes on pluripolar subsets of $\partial\Omega$.*

Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ then $v = \max\{u, -1\} \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ and from Corollary 4.4 we know that $\mu_u = \mu_v$. Now, for functions in $\mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ the conditions in Lemma 4.6 are satisfied for each pluripolar set $E \subset \partial\Omega$, so the proposition follows. \square

The next proposition enables us to say more about the support of the μ_u -measures.

Proposition 4.8. *Assume that $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ are strictly negative functions such that $\text{supp}(dd^c u)^n \subset\subset \Omega$ and $\text{supp}(dd^c v)^n \subset\subset \Omega$. Then there are constants $a, b > 0$ such that*

$$a\mu_u \leq \mu_v \leq b\mu_u.$$

In particular, $\text{supp } \mu_u = \text{supp } \mu_v$.

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$, $v \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ and that $u \geq v$ on $\text{supp}(dd^c u)^n$. Then $u \geq v$ on Ω .*

Proof. Assume that $u(z_0) < v(z_0)$ for some $z_0 \in \Omega$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ be a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function and let $s > 0$ be such that $u(z_0) < s\psi(z_0) + v(z_0)$. Corollary 3.6 in [9] gives, with $A = \{u(z) < s\psi(z) + v(z)\}$,

$$\int_A (dd^c(s\psi + v))^n \leq \int_A (dd^c u)^n = 0.$$

Hence $s^n \int_A (dd^c \psi)^n = 0$ which implies that A has Lebesgue measure 0. Since the functions involved are plurisubharmonic, this means that $A = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction and the lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.8. Let $K = \text{supp}(dd^c u)^n$. Since K is compact, and since u and v are bounded upper semicontinuous functions, $\alpha > 0$ may be chosen such that $\alpha v \leq u$ on K . It then follows from Lemma 4.9 that $\alpha v \leq u$ holds on all of Ω . Similarly, there is $\beta > 0$ such that $\beta u \leq v$ on Ω . Then Proposition 4.3 implies that $\mu_{\alpha^{-1}u} \leq \mu_v \leq \mu_{\beta u}$. Hence, if we let $a = \alpha^{-n}$ and $b = \beta^n$, the proposition follows. \square

Corollary 4.10. *There is a set $S \subset \partial\Omega$ such that $\text{supp } \mu_u = S$ for each $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$.*

Proof. Choose a function $v_0 \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ with $\text{supp}(dd^c v_0)^n \subset\subset \Omega$, and let $S = \text{supp } \mu_{v_0}$. Let u be an arbitrary function in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Choose a sequence $\{u_j\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ such that $u_j \searrow u$ and $\text{supp}(dd^c u_j)^n \subset\subset \Omega$. Then Proposition 4.8 implies that $\text{supp } \mu_{u_j} = S$ for each j . Moreover, $\mu_{u_1} \leq \mu_{u_2} \leq \dots \leq \mu_u$ and μ_{u_j} tends weak* to μ_u , by Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.2. Hence $\text{supp } \mu_u = S$. \square

Note that if μ is in the the weak* closure of $\{\mu_u : u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\}$, then $\text{supp } \mu \subset S$. Hence if Ω is B-regular, then the support set S has to be all of $\partial\Omega$, because of Theorem 4.1.

On the other hand, if $\Omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n_1+n_2}$, where $\omega_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n_1}$ and $\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n_2}$ are bounded hyperconvex domains, then $S \subset \partial\omega_1 \times \partial\omega_2$. To see this, consider the function $u(z, w) = \max\{g_1(z), g_2(w)\}$ where g_k is the pluricomplex Green's function for ω_k with pole at some point in ω_k . Note that g_k is continuous outside the pole and tends to zero at the boundary of ω_k . Then $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $\text{supp}(dd^c u)^n \subset \{(z, w) \in \Omega : g_1(z) = g_2(w)\}$. Choose a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ such that $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$. Then $\Omega_j = \{(z, w) \in \Omega : u(z, w) < -\varepsilon_j\}$ defines a fundamental sequence of Ω and $u^j := \sup\{\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) : \varphi|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_j} \leq u|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_j}\} = \max\{u, -\varepsilon_j\}$. It follows that $\text{supp}(dd^c u^j)^n \subset \{(z, w) \in \Omega : g_1(z) = g_2(z) \geq -\varepsilon_j\}$, which implies that $\text{supp } \mu_u \subset \partial\omega_1 \times \partial\omega_2$. Hence the claim follows from Corollary 4.10.

Using a similar argument, the following example shows that when $\Omega = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, then we have equality, $S = \partial\mathbb{D} \times \partial\mathbb{D}$.

Example 4.11. Let Ω be the unit bidisc $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ in \mathbb{C}^2 . Then $\text{supp } \mu_u$ is equal to the distinguished boundary $\partial\mathbb{D} \times \partial\mathbb{D}$ for each $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$. This follows from Corollary 4.10, if we for example consider the pluricomplex Green's function g for Ω with pole at the origin. We then have that $g(z, w) = m \cdot \max\{\log|z|, \log|w|\}$, where the constant $m > 0$ is chosen such that $\int_\Omega (dd^c g)^n = 1$. This is a function

in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, and we can compute μ_g explicitly. For $j = 1, 2, \dots$, let $\Omega_j = \{(z, w) : |z| < r_j, |w| < r_j\}$ where $r_j = 1 - \frac{1}{j}$. Then $g^j := \sup\{\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) : \varphi|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_j} \leq g|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_j}\} = m \cdot \max\{\log|z|, \log|w|, \log(r_j)\}$, from which it follows that $(dd^c g^j)^2 = m^2 \cdot dd^c(\max\{\log|z|, \log(r_j)\}) \wedge dd^c(\max\{\log|w|, \log(r_j)\})$. Since $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c g^j)^2 = 1$ for each j (see Section 3), we can conclude that $(dd^c g^j)^2 = \sigma_j \times \sigma_j$, where σ_j is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the circle $\partial\mathbb{D}(0, r_j)$. This implies that $\mu_g = \sigma \times \sigma$, where σ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle.

Remark 3. Recall from Remark 1 at the end of Section 3 that Corollary 4.10 and (3.6) together give the partial integration formula

$$h|_S = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c u)^n = \int_{\Omega} u dd^c h \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

The implication (4.5) holds true for $h \in PSH(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ if $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, and for $h \in PSH(W) \cap L^\infty(W)$, $W \supset \Omega$, if $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ (using Theorem 5.3 of Section 5). Here S is the support set defined in Corollary 4.10.

Furthermore, (3.7) implies that

$$\sup_{\Omega} h \leq \sup_S h, \quad \forall h \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (4.6)$$

To see this, let $h \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ be given. For $z \in \Omega$ fixed, let g_z be the pluricomplex Green's function for Ω with pole at z . Then $(dd^c g_z)^n = \delta_z$ and we have that $h(z) = \int_{\Omega} h (dd^c g_z)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} h d\mu_{g_z} \leq \sup_S h$. By the same argument, (4.6) holds true if h is an upper bounded function in $PSH(W)$, where $W \supset \bar{\Omega}$.

Remark 4. Another property of the measures μ_u is that they are so called *Henkin measures* (a kind of measure introduced by Henkin in [14]). This means that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_k d\mu_u = 0$$

for each uniformly bounded sequence $\{f_k\}$ in $A(\Omega)$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Here $A(\Omega)$ denotes the functions that are holomorphic on Ω and continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. To see that this holds, take such a sequence $\{f_k\}$ and let $\{\varphi_k\} = \{\operatorname{Re} f_k\}$. From (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_k d\mu_u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_k (dd^c u^j)^n \right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_k (dd^c u)^n = 0$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$, since φ_k is uniformly bounded and $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^n < \infty$. Since the same holds for $\{\psi_k\} = \{\operatorname{Im} f_k\}$, it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_k d\mu_u = 0$.

This property can be used to show the following fact about the support of the measures μ_u . Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and that $K \subset \partial\Omega$ is a peak set for $A(\Omega)$. Let $f \in A(\Omega)$ be a peak function for K and define $f_k(z) = (f(z))^k$, for $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{f_k\}$ satisfies the assumptions above, so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_k d\mu_u = 0$. But we also have that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_k d\mu_u = \mu_u(K)$. Hence $\mu_u(K) = 0$ for each peak set K and each $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$.

5. BOUNDARY VALUES

In this section we define and study boundary values of plurisubharmonic functions, with respect to the measures μ_u .

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $g \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then $\{g(dd^c u^j)^n\}$ is weak*-convergent.*

Proof. By the same argument as in Theorem 3.1 it is enough to prove that the limit $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi g (dd^c u^j)^n$ exists for all $\varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Given such a function φ , take $M, N \geq 0$ such that $\varphi + M \geq 0$ and $g + N \geq 0$. Then $(\varphi + M)^2$, $(g + N)^2$, $(\varphi + M + g + N)^2 \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, so if ψ is any of these then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \psi (dd^c u^j)^n$ exists by Theorem 3.1. Expanding $((\varphi + M) + (g + N))^2$, it follows that the limit exists for $\psi = (\varphi + M)(g + N)$ and then finally for $\psi = \varphi g$ (using Theorem 3.1 again). \square

Using this lemma, together with standard measure theory, we can make the following definition.

Definition 5.2. For $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $g \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, let g^u be the function in $L^\infty(\partial\Omega, \mu_u)$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} g^u d\mu_u$.

We may consider g^u as the boundary values of g with respect to μ_u . Note that, at least formally, g^u depends on both g and u . However, the following theorems describe some situations when this definition agrees with other notions of boundary values.

Theorem 5.3. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ and $g \in PSH(W) \cap L^\infty(W)$ where W is a bounded domain containing $\bar{\Omega}$. Then $g^u = g|_{\partial\Omega}$ a.e. (μ_u) .

Proof. Note that if M is a constant then $(g - M)^u = g^u - M$, so we may assume that $g \leq 0$. Let $t \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $t \geq 0$ be given. Then it follows, in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.5, that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} t g^u d\mu_u = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} t g (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} t g d\mu_u.$$

Thus $g^u \leq g$ a.e. (μ_u) , so it remains to prove that $\int_{\partial\Omega} g^u d\mu_u = \int_{\partial\Omega} g d\mu_u$. Choose K such that $\Omega \subset\subset K \subset\subset W$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U_\varepsilon \subset W$ and a function $g_\varepsilon \in C_0(W)$ such that $\inf_W g \leq g_\varepsilon \leq 0$, the relative capacity $\text{cap}(U_\varepsilon, W) < \varepsilon$ and $K \setminus U_\varepsilon \subset \{z \in W : g(z) = g_\varepsilon(z)\}$ (for definition and properties of relative capacity, see [2]). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} g^u d\mu_u &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g (dd^c u^j)^n = \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g (dd^c u^j)^n + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus U_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon (dd^c u^j)^n \geq \\ &\geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\partial\Omega} g_\varepsilon d\mu_u = \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon d\mu_u + \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus U_\varepsilon} g d\mu_u \geq \\ &\geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon d\mu_u + \int_{\partial\Omega} g d\mu_u. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h_\varepsilon = \sup \{\psi \in PSH^-(W) : \psi|_{U_\varepsilon} \leq -1\}$, we then have that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega} g^u d\mu_u - \int_{\partial\Omega} g d\mu_u \geq \\
&\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon d\mu_u \geq \\
&\geq \left(\inf_W g \right) \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} d\mu_u \right) = \\
&= \left(-\inf_W g \right) \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} h_\varepsilon (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap U_\varepsilon} h_\varepsilon d\mu_u \right) \geq \\
&\geq \left(-\inf_W g \right) \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_\varepsilon (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\partial\Omega} h_\varepsilon d\mu_u \right) \geq \\
&\geq 2 \left(-\inf_W g \right) \int_{\Omega} h_\varepsilon (dd^c u)^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.1) and Lemma 4.5 in the last inequality. From Lemma 1.9 in [12], using that $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ and that $\text{cap}(U_\varepsilon, W) < \varepsilon$, it follows that this last integral tends to zero as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, which completes the proof. \square

The following theorem may be compared with the definitions in Section 2.

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose that $H \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then, for every $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ and every $g \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, H)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} g (dd^c u)^n > -\infty$, $g (dd^c u^j)^n$ is weak*-convergent to $H^u d\mu_u$.*

Proof. By the same argument as in Theorem 3.1, it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} t g (dd^c u^j)^n = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} t H (dd^c u^j)^n, \quad \forall t \in PSH^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, H)$ there is a $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi + H \leq g \leq H$. We may assume that $\psi \geq g$ (otherwise, look at $\psi_0 = \max\{\psi, g\}$). We may also (after dividing by suitable constants) assume that $-1 \leq t \leq 0$ and $-1 \leq H \leq 0$. Now,

$$\int_{\Omega} t g (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\Omega} t(g - H) (dd^c u^j)^n + \int_{\Omega} t H (dd^c u^j)^n$$

where $0 \leq \int_{\Omega} t(g - H) (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\Omega} (-t)(H - g) (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} (-t)(-\psi) (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} (-\psi) (dd^c u^j)^n$. Using partial integration in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ we have the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} (-\psi) (dd^c u^j)^n &= \int_{\Omega} (-u^j) dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-1} \leq \int_{\Omega} (-u) dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-1} = \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (-u^j) dd^c \psi \wedge dd^c u \wedge (dd^c u^j)^{n-2} \leq \dots \leq \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} (-u^j) dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} = I_j \leq \int_{\Omega} (-u) dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1} = \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (-\psi) (dd^c u)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} (-g) (dd^c u)^n < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since u^j increases to zero outside a pluripolar set and $dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ vanishes on pluripolar sets (see Section 2, Lemma 2.7), it follows that $I_j \searrow 0$ when $j \rightarrow +\infty$. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 5. If $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ then $\int_{\Omega} g (dd^c u)^n > -\infty$ for every $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, $\psi \geq g$ implies that ψ is bounded as well, so $dd^c \psi \wedge (dd^c u)^{n-1}$ vanishes on pluripolar sets for every $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ (Lemma 2.7). Thus for bounded functions g in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, H)$, the conclusion $g^u d\mu_u = H^u d\mu_u$ holds for every $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$.

Suppose that we have a bounded plurisubharmonic function on Ω and want to approximate it with plurisubharmonic functions that are continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. The following theorem gives a condition for when this implies weak*-convergence on the boundary.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $\mu_u = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (dd^c u^j)^n$. Let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be a sequence in $PSH(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$. If φ_j tends to $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ in the sense of distributions, then $\varphi_j d\mu_u$ tends weak* to $\varphi^u d\mu_u$ if and only if $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi_j d\mu_u = \int \varphi^u d\mu_u$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.4 we may assume that $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$. The condition in the theorem is obviously necessary, we prove it is also sufficient. First, note that for $\{\psi_k\} \subset PSH(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, $\psi_k \geq 0$, the following holds. For k fixed, $(\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l)^* \in PSH(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, therefore $(\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l)^* (dd^c u^j)^n$ is weak*-convergent (as $j \rightarrow \infty$) by Lemma 5.1. Furthermore, since $(\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) = (\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l)^*$ outside a pluripolar set and $u^j \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ (since $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$), the star may be removed. We claim that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) (dd^c u^j)^n = (\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) d\mu_u. \quad (5.1)$$

Given $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $f \geq 0$ it follows from (3.2) that for each m

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) (dd^c u^j)^n \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\sup_{m \geq l \geq k} \psi_l) (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\sup_{m \geq l \geq k} \psi_l) d\mu_u,$$

where the last integral tends to $\int_{\partial\Omega} f(\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) d\mu_u$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) (dd^c u^j)^n \geq (\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) d\mu_u$. On the other hand, by (3.1) and (3.2)

$$\int_{\Omega} (\sup_{m \geq l \geq k} \psi_l) (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\sup_{m \geq l \geq k} \psi_l) d\mu_u$$

for each m and j . So by letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $\int_{\Omega} (\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) d\mu_u$, which proves the claim.

Now, let $\{\varphi_{j_m} d\mu_u\}$ be any weak*-convergent subsequence of $\{\varphi_j d\mu_u\}$. (Such a sequence exists by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.) Then, by standard measure theory, the limit measure is equal to $\varphi_0 d\mu_u$ for some $\varphi_0 \in L^\infty(\mu)$. We will show that $\varphi_0 = \varphi^u$ a.e. (μ). It then follows that the original sequence itself converges to $\varphi^u d\mu_u$, and the proof will be complete.

From L^2 -theory it follows that we may choose $\psi_k = \frac{1}{M_k} \sum_{l=1}^{M_k} \varphi_{j_{m_l}}$ such that $\psi_k \rightarrow \varphi_0$ in $L^2(\mu)$ and then a subsequence converging to φ_0 a.e. (μ), for simplicity call it $\{\psi_k\}$. Since by assumption the original sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ tends to φ in the sense of distributions, the same holds for $\{\psi_k\}$. Now, for $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $f \geq 0$, using the definition of φ^u , (5.1) and monotone convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} f \varphi^u d\mu_u &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n = (\text{Lemma 1.4 in [12]}) = \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f \psi_k (dd^c u^j)^n \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) (dd^c u^j)^n = \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\sup_{l \geq k} \psi_l) d\mu_u = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_k) d\mu_u. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that $\varphi^u \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_k$ a.e. (μ), which implies that $\varphi^u \leq \varphi_0$ a.e. (μ). Furthermore, $\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_0 d\mu_u = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_{j_m} d\mu_u = \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi^u d\mu_u$, by assumption, so $\varphi^u = \varphi_0$ a.e. (μ). Hence the theorem is proved. \square

6. MORE BOUNDARY MEASURES

Let ν be a positive measure on Ω with finite total mass. Then there is a positive measure $\mu \neq 0$ which is supported by $\partial\Omega$, vanishes on pluripolar sets and such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi d\nu \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi d\mu, \quad \forall \varphi \in PSH^-(\bar{\Omega}), \quad (6.1)$$

where $PSH^-(\bar{\Omega}) = \{\varphi : \varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega'), \Omega' \supset \bar{\Omega}\}$. To see this, let P_ν denote the pluricomplex potential of ν relative to Ω , i.e. $P_\nu(z) = \int_{\Omega} g(z, w) d\nu(w)$, where $g(z, w)$ is the pluricomplex Green's function for Ω with pole at w . Then Theorem 1.1 in [8] says that $P_\nu \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and that

$$\int_{\Omega} -\varphi (dd^c P_\nu)^n \leq (\nu(\Omega))^{n-1} \int_{\Omega} -\varphi d\nu, \quad \forall \varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega).$$

Moreover, $\int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c P_\nu)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi d\mu_{P_\nu}$ for each $\varphi \in PSH^-(\bar{\Omega})$, by Remark 1 at the end of Section 3. Hence, the claim follows if we take $\mu = (\nu(\Omega))^{-n+1} \mu_{P_\nu}$.

Conversely, if a positive measure μ on $\partial\Omega$ is such that (6.1) holds for some finite measure ν on Ω , we would like to find an approximation procedure, similar to the one in Section 3. A motivation is that we are interested in boundary values of plurisubharmonic functions with respect to μ .

We will study the case when ν vanishes on all pluripolar subsets of Ω and Ω belongs to a more restricted class of hyperconvex domains:

- (6a) Ω and $\{\Omega_k\}$ are hyperconvex domains with $\Omega \subset\subset \Omega_{k+1} \subset\subset \Omega_k$, such that for each $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ there is a sequence $\{t_k\}$, where $t_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_k)$ and $t_k \nearrow t$ a.e. on Ω .
- (6b) Ω is not thin at any of its boundary points, so that $\limsup_{\Omega \ni z \rightarrow \xi} v(z) = v(\xi)$ for each $\xi \in \partial\Omega$ if $v \in PSH^-(\bar{\Omega})$.

Conditions for the approximation property in (6a) to hold true have been studied in for example [3] and [11]. Examples of domains satisfying (6a) and (6b) are polydiscs and strictly pseudoconvex domains. Note that if t is bounded, we may assume that each t_k is bounded.

Theorem 6.1. *Let Ω be a domain satisfying (6a) and (6b). Assume that μ is a positive measure on $\partial\Omega$, vanishing on pluripolar sets. Then there is a sequence $\{w_k\}$ in $\mathcal{F}^a(\Omega) = \{u : u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega'), \Omega' \supset \bar{\Omega}\}$ such that $\text{supp}(dd^c w_k)^n \subset\subset \Omega$, $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c w_k)^n \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mu$, and $(dd^c w_k)^n$ tends weak* to μ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Furthermore, if there is a finite positive measure ν on Ω , vanishing on pluripolar sets, such that (6.1) holds, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} t (dd^c w_k)^n = 0$ for each $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence $t (dd^c w_k)^n$ tends weak to 0 for each $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.*

If we compare this theorem with the results in the previous sections we have the following. In the setting of Section 3 we know that if $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in PSH(W) \cap L^\infty(W)$, $W \supset \bar{\Omega}$, then $\int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi (dd^c u^j)^n$ which increases to $\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi d\mu_u$ (see Theorem 5.3). In particular it follows that when $\mu = \mu_u$ for some $u \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega)$, then (6.1) is satisfied if we take $\nu = (dd^c u)^n$. We also have that $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c u^j)^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mu_u$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} t (dd^c u^j)^n = 0$ for each $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ (see Remark 5). Hence, the approximation procedure in Theorem 6.1 is similar to the one in the previous sections, and it applies to a larger class of boundary measures, see also Example 6.3.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\{\mu_k^j\}_{j,k}$ be a sequence of positive measures on $\bar{\Omega}$ with uniformly bounded mass. Suppose that, for each fixed k , μ_k^j tends weak* to μ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is a subsequence $\{\mu_k^{j_k}\}_k$ such that $\mu_k^{j_k}$ tends weak* to μ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\{t_l\}$ be a dense sequence in $C(\bar{\Omega})$. For each k we choose j_k such that

$$\left| \int_{\bar{\Omega}} t_l d\mu - \int_{\bar{\Omega}} t_l d\mu_k^{j_k} \right| < \frac{1}{k}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k.$$

It follows that $\mu_k^{j_k}$ tends weak* to μ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, since $\{t_l\}$ is dense and the measures have uniformly bounded total mass. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. For each k , the measure μ can be regarded as a finite measure on Ω_k which vanishes on pluripolar sets. Hence there is $u_k \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega_k)$ such that $(dd^c u_k)^n = \mu$, see Lemma 5.14 in [7]. Choose a fundamental sequence $\{\omega_j\}$ of Ω , i.e. $\omega_j \subset \subset \omega_{j+1} \subset \subset \Omega$ and $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_j = \Omega$. For each k and j , define $u_k^j = \sup\{\varphi \in PSH^-(\Omega_k) : \varphi|_{\omega_j} \leq u_k|_{\omega_j}\}$. Then $u_k^j \in \mathcal{F}^a(\Omega_k)$ (note that $(u_k^j)^* = u_k^j$ since ω_j is open, so u_k^j is plurisubharmonic) and we have the following:

- (i) $\text{supp}(dd^c u_k^j)^n \subset \partial\omega_j$, $u_k^j \geq u_k$ on Ω_k , $\int_{\Omega_k} (dd^c u_k^j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega_k} (dd^c u_k)^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} d\mu$.
- (ii) If $j_1 \leq j_2$ then $u_k^{j_1} \geq u_k^{j_2}$ on Ω_k .
- (iii) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_k^j = u_k$ on Ω_k .

The first two statements are obvious. For the proof of the third, let $v_k = \lim_j u_k^j$. Then $v_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_k)$, $v_k \geq u_k$ on Ω_k and $v_k = u_k$ on Ω . Thus $v_k(\xi) = u_k(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, using the assumption (6b), so $v_k \leq u_k$ on Ω_k by Lemma 4.9 and the statement follows. Now, (ii) and (iii) imply that $(dd^c u_k^j)^n$ tends weak* to $(dd^c u_k)^n = \mu$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for each fixed k . Hence, by (i) we can use Lemma 6.2 to pick $\{j_k\}$ such that $(dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n$ tends weak* to μ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the first part of the theorem, if we let $w_k = u_k^{j_k}$.

It remains to prove that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int t (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n = 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, assuming that (6.1) holds. Given $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ there is by (6a) a sequence $\{t_k\}$ with $t_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega_k) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_k)$ such that t_k increases a.e. to t on Ω . Now,

$$\int_{\Omega_k} t (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n \geq \int_{\Omega_k} t_k (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n \geq \int_{\Omega_k} t_k (dd^c u_k)^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} t_k d\mu \geq \int_{\Omega} t_k d\nu > -\infty$$

so it follows that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} t (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n \geq \int_{\Omega} t d\nu.$$

Define $t^i = \sup\{\varphi \in PSH(\Omega) : \varphi|_{\Omega \setminus \omega_i} \leq t|_{\Omega \setminus \omega_i}\}$. Then $t^i \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $t^i = t$ on $\Omega \setminus \omega_i$, so

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} t (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} t^i (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n \geq \int_{\Omega} t^i d\nu,$$

by the above calculations. Now, the left hand side is independent of i , while the right hand side tends to 0 when i tends to ∞ , since ν vanishes on pluripolar sets. This completes the proof. \square

The reason not to keep k fixed in the proof above, is to be able to prove the second part of the theorem. Also, one can prove that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k^j = 0$ a.e. on Ω , for each fixed j .

Remark 6. Suppose that $v \in PSH^-(\Omega)$ satisfies $\tilde{v} \geq v \geq \tilde{v} + \psi$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and that $\tilde{v} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. (Thus, v is a function in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, \tilde{v})$ with some additional properties, see Section 2.) Then the preceding theorem implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n = \tilde{v} d\mu, \quad (6.2)$$

where the limit is in weak* sense. To see this, take $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $f \geq 0$. Then by the theorem we have that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f \tilde{v} (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} f \tilde{v} d\mu$ and that $0 \geq$

$\int_{\Omega} f\psi (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n \geq \max f \cdot \int_{\Omega} \psi (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n$, where the last integral tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the inequality $f\tilde{v} \geq fv \geq f\tilde{v} + f\psi$ implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} fv (dd^c u_k^{j_k})^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} f\tilde{v} d\mu$, and (6.2) follows.

Furthermore, if we assume that $\int_{\Omega} \varphi d\nu > -\infty$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{\Omega})$, then (6.2) holds for all $v \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, \tilde{v})$ where $\tilde{v} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. This is due to the fact that the boundedness of t in the second part of Theorem 6.1 is used only to ensure that $\int_{\Omega} t_k d\nu > -\infty$ (because if t is bounded then t_k is bounded). Hence the assumption that $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ can be replaced by the assumption that $t \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} \varphi d\nu > -\infty$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Example 6.3. Let Ω be the unit bidisc $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ in \mathbb{C}^2 . Let μ and ν be defined by

$$\mu = \sigma_1 \times dV_{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}} \times dV_{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where σ_r denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on the circle $\partial\mathbb{D}(0, r)$ and $dV_{\frac{1}{2}}$ the normalized Lebesgue measure on the disc $\mathbb{D}(0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then μ and ν satisfies (6.1), so Theorem 6.1 tells us that we can approximate μ from the inside of Ω by our procedure. Moreover, by Example 4.11 we see that μ is not in the weak* closure of $\{\mu_u : u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\}$. Hence, we do reach more measures by the method in this section than we could before.

REFERENCES

- [1] Åhag, P., Cegrell, U., Czyż, R. and Pham, H. H., *Monge-Ampère measures on pluripolar sets*, manuscript Umeå University 2008.
- [2] Bedford, E. and Taylor, B. A., *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. 149:1-2 (1982), 1–40.
- [3] Benelkourchi, S., *A note on the approximation of plurisubharmonic functions*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 342:9 (2006) 647–650.
- [4] Błocki, Z., *On the definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator in \mathbb{C}^2* , Math. Ann. 328:3 (2004), 415–423.
- [5] Cegrell, U., *Pluricomplex energy*, Acta Math. 180:2 (1998), 187–217.
- [6] Cegrell, U., *Maximal plurisubharmonic functions*, manuscript Umeå University 2002, revised 2006.
- [7] Cegrell, U., *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54:1 (2004), 159–179.
- [8] Cegrell, U., *Potentials with respect to the pluricomplex Green function*, Research Reports 3, 2006, Mid Sweden University.
- [9] Cegrell, U., *A general Dirichlet problem for the Complex Monge-Ampère operator*, To appear in Ann. Polon. Math.
- [10] Cegrell, U., *Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions in hyperconvex domains*, To appear in “Complex Analysis and Digital Geometry, Proceedings of the Kiselmanfest, Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis”.
- [11] Cegrell, U. and Hed, L., *Subextension and approximation of negative plurisubharmonic functions*, To appear in Michigan Math. J.
- [12] Cegrell, U. and Kolodziej, S., *The equation of complex Monge-Ampère type and stability of solutions*, Math. Ann. 334:4 (2006), 713–729.
- [13] Demailly, J.-P., *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et mesures pluriharmoniques*, Math. Z. 194:4 (1987), 519–564.
- [14] Henkin, G. M., *The Banach space of analytic functions on the ball and the bicylinder are not isomorphic*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 2:4 (1968), 334–341.
- [15] Lelong, P., *Fonctions entières de type exponentiel dans \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 16:2 (1966), 269–318.
- [16] Lelong, P., *Fonction de Green pluricomplexe et lemmes de Schwarz dans les espaces de Banach*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 68:3 (1989), 319–347.
- [17] Poletsky, E. A., *Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions by multipole Green functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355:4 (2003), 1579–1591.
- [18] Sibony, N., *Une classe de domaines pseudoconvexes*, Duke Math. J. 55:2 (1987), 299–319.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UMEÅ UNIVERSITY, SE-901
87 UMEÅ, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `urban.cegrell@math.umu.se`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UMEÅ UNIVERSITY, SE-901
87 UMEÅ, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `berit.kempe@math.umu.se`