

# On the Markov sequence problem for Jacobi polynomials

Eric A. Carlen<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey S. Geronimo<sup>2</sup>, and Michael Loss<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Mathematics, Hill Center, Rutgers University  
110 Frelinghuysen Road Piscataway NJ 08854 USA

2. School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology,  
Atlanta, GA 30332 USA

May, 2008

## Abstract

We give a simple and entirely elementary proof of Gasper's theorem on the Markov sequence problem for Jacobi polynomials. It is based on the spectral analysis of an operator that arises in the study of a probabilistic model of colliding molecules introduced by Marc Kac. In the process, we obtain some new integral formulas for ratios of Jacobi polynomials that generalize Gasper's product formula and a well known formula of Koornwinder.

Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers: 31B10, 33C45, 37A40

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The Markov Sequence Problem and the Theorems of Bochner and Gasper

Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  be a probability space. A *Markov operator*  $T$  on  $L^2(\mu)$  is a linear operator that preserves positivity; i.e.,  $f \geq 0 \Rightarrow Tf \geq 0$ , and preserves the constants; i.e.,  $T1 = 1$ . If  $T$  is self adjoint, it follows immediately that  $T$  is a contraction on  $L^p(\mu)$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and in particular, for  $p = 2$ . Consequently, the spectrum of  $T$  lies in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

The next definitions, which are less standard, are taken from [3] and [4]: A *unit orthonormal basis* for  $L^2(\mu)$  is an orthonormal basis  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that  $f_0 = 1$ . In the examples here,  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , or some subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is the sequence of orthonormal polynomials for  $\mu$ .

Given a unit orthonormal basis  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , the set of *Markov sequences*  $\mathcal{M}$  for this basis is the set of all sequences  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that there exists a self adjoint Markov operator  $K$  with

$$Kf_j = \lambda_j f_j \quad \text{for all } j \geq 0 .$$

<sup>1</sup>Work of Eric Carlen and Michael Loss is partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS 06-00037

<sup>2</sup>Work of Jeffrey Geronimo is partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS-0500641.

© 2008 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

Notice that necessarily  $\lambda_0 = 1$  and  $\lambda_n \in [-1, 1]$  for all  $n$ . Also, since a convex combination of self adjoint Markov operators is self adjoint and Markov,  $\mathcal{M}$  is convex, so that  $\mathcal{M}$  may be described by specifying its extreme points.

The *Markov sequence problem* is to determine, for a given unit orthonormal basis, the set  $\mathcal{M}$ . Naturally, it is sufficient to find the extreme points.

The Markov sequence problem seems to have been first considered by Bochner [5], and the first result for ultraspherical polynomials is his as well.

We recall that for each  $\gamma > -1/2$ , the *ultraspherical polynomials*  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  are the *orthonormal polynomials*, for the measure  $\mu^{(\gamma)}$

$$d\mu^{(\gamma)}(t) = c_\gamma(1-t^2)^{\gamma-1/2}dt \quad \text{where} \quad c_\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+1/2)} \quad (1.1)$$

is the normalization constant that makes  $\mu^{(\gamma)}$  a probability measure. The normalization as unit vectors in  $L^2(\mu^{(\gamma)})$  is just one useful and frequently encountered normalization. Another that will be useful here is generally denoted with an upper-case  $P$ : The ultraspherical polynomials  $P_n^{(\gamma)}$  are normalized so that  $P_n^{(\gamma)}(1) = 1$  i.e.

$$P_n^{(\gamma)}(x) = \frac{p_n^{(\gamma)}(x)}{p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)}. \quad (1.2)$$

Throughout the paper, an upper-case  $P$  denotes this normalization, while a lower case  $p$  denotes the  $L^2(\mu^{(\gamma)})$  normalization.

The ultraspherical polynomials are special cases in the wider family of Jacobi polynomials: Recall that the Jacobi polynomials  $p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  form an orthonormal basis for  $L^2([-1, 1], d\mu^{\alpha, \beta})$  where

$$\mu^{\alpha, \beta}(dx) = c_{\alpha, \beta}(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta dx, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $c_{\alpha, \beta}$  makes  $\mu^{\alpha, \beta}$  a probability measure. In particular, the ultraspherical polynomials arise for the special case  $\gamma = \alpha - 1/2 = \beta - 1/2$ ; that is

$$p_n^{(\gamma)}(t) = p_n^{(\gamma-1/2, \gamma-1/2)}(t). \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 2 of [5] may be phrased as follows:

**1.1 THEOREM (Bochner).** *For any  $\gamma > 0$ , the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a Markov sequence for  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  if and only if there is a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $[-1, 1]$  such that*

$$\lambda_n = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{p_n^{(\gamma)}(t)}{p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)} d\nu(t). \quad (1.5)$$

*For each such Markov sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , the measure  $\nu$  is unique. In other words, for each  $t$ ,  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}(t)/p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a Markov sequence for  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ , and these are the extreme points of the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of all such Markov sequences.*

Since the ultraspherical polynomials are Jacobi polynomials with  $\alpha = \beta$ , it is natural to ask whether one can extend Bochner's result to a wider class of Jacobi polynomials with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

This question was answered by Gasper [9, 10]:

**1.2 THEOREM (Gasper).** For  $\alpha \geq \beta$  with  $\beta > -1/2$  or  $\alpha > \beta$  with  $\beta = -1/2$  the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a Markov sequence for  $\{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ , if and only if there is a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $[-1, 1]$  such that

$$\lambda_n = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)} d\nu(x) . \quad (1.6)$$

For each such Markov sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , the measure  $\nu$  is unique. In other words, for each  $t$ ,  $\{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)/p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a Markov sequence for  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ , and these are the extreme points of the set  $\mathcal{M}$  of all such Markov sequences.

While Bochner's proof of Theorem 1.1 is not terribly complicated, Gasper's proof of Theorem 1.2 is far from elementary. Even though it has been simplified by the work of others, particularly Koornwinder, it remains a *tour de force*: Koornwinder's proof still uses many deep results on special functions.

In this paper we shall give entirely elementary and self-contained proofs of these theorems. Moreover, these proofs will lead to a number of new results: We shall obtain a family of new Laplace-type integral representations for ratios of Jacobi polynomials, and shall use these to obtain bounds on the sizes of the extremal eigenvalues. Before stating the new results more precisely, we recall the proof of Bochner's Theorem, as this will clarify the matter of what was already well understood, and what was in need of clarification.

## 1.2 Gegenbauer's Identity and a proof of Bochner's Theorem

There are two parts to Bochner's proof. The first part is to show that  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}(t)/p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  actually are Markov sequences for  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

The second part is an independent argument that if the sequences  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}(t)/p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  are Markov sequences for  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ , then they are the extremal Markov sequences for  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ . This argument has been simplified and generalized by Bakry and Huet [3] so that it applies to any unit orthonormal sequence.

In this paper we denote the space of bounded continuous functions on  $X$  by  $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ .

**1.3 THEOREM (Bakry–Huet).** Let  $X$  be a closed interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\mu$  be a regular Borel probability measure whose support is  $X$ . Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a unit orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mu)$  consisting of real valued functions. Suppose there exists some  $x_0 \in X$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lambda_n(x) := \frac{f_n(x)}{f_n(x_0)}$$

is a Markov sequence for  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Then  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{M}$ , the set of all Markov sequences for  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , if and only if there exists a Borel probability measure  $\nu$  so that

$$\lambda_n = \int_X \frac{f_n(x)}{f_n(x_0)} d\nu(x) . \quad (1.7)$$

Finally, if  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a sequence of bounded continuous functions whose finite linear combinations are dense in  $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ , then the probability measure  $\nu$  in (1.7) is unique, so that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a simplex and the  $\{f_n(x)/f_n(x_0)\}_{n \geq 0}$  are its extreme points.

Proofs of all that is stated in Theorem 1.3, and more, may be found in [3]. However, since the proof of what we have stated here is quite simple, we provide it for completeness and clarity:

**Proof:** If  $\{f_n(z)/f_n(x_0)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then by the spectral theorem,  $K_z(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n(z) f_n(x) f_n(y)$  is the kernel of a Markov operator  $K_z$  on  $L^2(\mu)$  with  $K_z f_n(x) = \lambda_n(z) f_n(x)$ . If  $\nu$  is a Borel probability measure then

$$K := \int_X K_z d\nu(z) \tag{1.8}$$

is a Markov operator with eigenvalues

$$\int_X \lambda_n(z) d\nu(z) = \int_X \frac{f_n(z)}{f_n(x_0)} d\nu(z) . \tag{1.9}$$

Conversely, assume that  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a Markov sequence. Again, by the spectral theorem  $K(x, y) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(x) f_n(y)$  is the kernel of a Markov operator  $K$  on  $L^2(\mu)$  with  $K f_n(x) = \lambda_n f_n(x)$ . Since  $K$  is Markov,  $K(x, y) \geq 0$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ , and for each  $y$ ,  $K(x, y) d\mu(x)$  is a probability measure. Taking  $y = x_0$ , define the probability measure  $d\nu = K(x, x_0) d\mu$ . Then, for each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f_k(x) d\nu(x) &= \int_X f_k(x) \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(x) f_n(x_0) d\mu \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \int_X f_k(x) f_n(x) d\mu \right] \lambda_n f_n(x_0) = \lambda_k f_k(x_0) , \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

which proves (1.7). It remains to show that the measure  $\nu$  is uniquely determined.

For this, let  $f$  be any continuous function on  $X$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and let  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n f_n(x)$  be a finite linear combination of the  $\{f_n(x)/f_n(x_0)\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that  $|f(x) - g(x)| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $x$ .

Let  $\nu$  and  $\widehat{\nu}$  be two Borel probability measures such that (1.7) holds for some  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then

$$\int_X g(x) d\nu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \int_X f_n(x) d\nu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \lambda_n f_n(x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n \int_X f_n(x) d\widehat{\nu}(x) = \int_X g(x) d\widehat{\nu}(x) .$$

Therefore,  $|\int_X f(x) d\nu(x) - \int_X f(x) d\widehat{\nu}(x)| \leq 2\epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $\int_X f(x) d\nu(x) = \int_X f(x) d\widehat{\nu}(x)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ . This of course means that  $\nu = \widehat{\nu}$ . ■

**1.4 REMARK.** Notice that by the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, the condition in the final part of Theorem 1.3 are automatically satisfied in any application to orthogonal polynomials on a compact interval.

Returning to the first part of Bochner’s proof note it is quite specific to ultraspherical polynomials. Bochner accomplishes the part of the proof by invoking an identity of Gegenbauer [11], which he states without proof, referring to [16]:

**1.5 THEOREM (Gegenbauer’s Identity).** For all  $\gamma > 1/2$ , and all  $n \geq 0$ , and all  $a \in (-1, 1)$ ,

$$P_n^\gamma(a)P_n^\gamma(t) = \int_{-1}^1 P_n^\gamma \left( at + s\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2} \right) d\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)} . \tag{1.11}$$

To see this as an eigenvalue identity, consider the following family of operators:

**1.6 DEFINITION (The Correlation Operators).** For each  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $a \in (-1, 1)$ , define an operator  $K_a$  on  $L^2(\mu^{(\gamma)})$  by

$$K_a f(t) = \int_{-1}^1 f \left( at + s\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2} \right) d\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)} . \tag{1.12}$$

We refer to the  $K_a$  as the *correlation operators* for reasons that will be explained in Section 2.

With this definition and (1.2), (1.11) can be written as

$$K_a p_n^{(\gamma)}(x) = \frac{p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)}{p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)} p_n^{(\gamma)}(x) . \tag{1.13}$$

Thus  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)/p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is the eigenvalue sequence of  $K_a$ , and since the eigenvalues are real and the eigenfunctions are orthogonal, it follows that  $K_a$  is self adjoint.

**Proof of Bochner’s Theorem 1.1:** As noted above, Gegenbauer’s identity proves that the sequences  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)/p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  are the eigenvalue sequences of the  $K_a$ , and that  $K_a$  is self adjoint. It is clear from the definition that  $K_a$  preserves positivity, and  $K_a 1 = 1$ , so  $K_a$  is self-adjoint and Markov, and the  $\{p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)/p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  are Markov sequences, and then Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.3 and Remark 1.4. ■

Up to now we have introduced nothing new into the story. However, we next give a simple proof of Gegenbauer’s identity that will serve both to make this proof of Bochner’s Theorem self contained, and to introduce the ideas we shall use to prove analogs of Gegenbauer’s identity for Jacobi polynomials.

**Proof of Gegenbauer’s identity:** The starting point is a *direct* proof that  $K_a$  is self adjoint. From (1.12) we find,

$$\langle K_a f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{(\gamma)})} = c_\gamma c_{\gamma-1/2} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 g(t) f \left( at + s\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2} \right) (1-s^2)^{\gamma-1} ds (1-t^2)^{\gamma-1/2} dt .$$

With the change of variables  $u = at + s\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2}$ , the integral over  $s$  becomes

$$= \int_{at-\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2}}^{at+\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2}} f(u) \frac{((1-a^2) - (u^2 + t^2 - 2atu))^{\gamma-1}}{(1-a^2)^{\gamma-1/2}} du,$$

so that

$$\langle K_a f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{(\gamma)})} = c_\gamma c_{\gamma-1/2} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 g(t) f(u) \frac{((1-a^2) - (u^2 + t^2 - 2atu))_+^{\gamma-1}}{(1-a^2)^{\gamma-1/2}} dudt , \tag{1.14}$$

where  $(\cdot)_+$  denotes the positive part. Thus,  $K_a$  is self adjoint on  $L^2(\mu^{(\gamma)})$ . Next, by the symmetry properties of  $\mu^{(\gamma)}$ ,  $K_a$  maps polynomials of degree  $n$  to polynomials of degree  $n$ . It follows that

the spectrum is discrete and the eigenfunctions are polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to the measure  $\mu^{(\gamma)}$ , and hence are the  $p_n^{(\gamma)}$ . Let  $\lambda_n$  be the eigenvalue corresponding to  $p_n^{(\gamma)}$ ; i.e.,  $\lambda_n p_n^{(\gamma)}(t) = K_a p_n^{(\gamma)}(t)$ . Taking the limit  $t \rightarrow 1$  on both sides, using the Dominated Convergence Theorem yields,

$$\lambda_n p_n^{(\gamma)}(1) = p_n^{(\gamma)}(a) \tag{1.15}$$

which immediately gives Gegenbauer's identity in the form (1.13). ■

In what follows, we shall make repeated use of the mechanism illustrated in our proof of Gegenbauer's identity, and the next theorem paves the way for its broader application:

**1.7 THEOREM (Evaluation Formula).** *Let  $X$  be a closed interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\mu$  be a regular Borel probability measure whose support is  $X$ . Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be the unit orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mu)$  consisting of the normalized orthogonal polynomial for  $\mu$ . Suppose that for each  $z \in X$ ,  $K_z$  is an operator on  $L^2(\mu)$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $K_z$  is self adjoint on  $L^2(\mu)$ .
- (2) If  $f$  is a polynomial of degree no greater than  $n$ , then so is  $K_z f$ .
- (3) There exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that for any continuous function  $f$ , and any  $z \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} K_z f(x) = f(z) . \tag{1.16}$$

Then for each  $n$ ,  $f_n(x_0) \neq 0$ , and for each  $x$   $K_z f_n(x) = \frac{f_n(z)}{f_n(x_0)} f_n(x)$ , so that if  $K_z$  is a Markov operator, then  $\{f_n(z)/f_n(x_0)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a Markov sequence for  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

**Proof:** Properties (1) and (2) immediately imply that each  $K_z$  is diagonalized by polynomials that are orthogonal in  $L^2(\mu)$ , so that the eigenfunctions of  $K_z$  are the  $f_n$ . To determine the eigenvalues, start from the definition of the  $n$ th eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ ,  $K_z f_n(x) = \lambda_n f_n(x)$ , and take the limit  $x \rightarrow x_0$ . By (3) we obtain  $f_n(z) = \lambda_n f_n(x_0)$ , which tells us  $f_n(x_0) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_n = f_n(z)/f_n(x_0)$ . ■

To summarize, through an analysis of the operators  $K_a$ , based on the three properties highlighted in the previous theorem, we obtain a self-contained proof of Gegenbauer's identity, and hence Bochner's theorem. Is there a analogous family of operators that gives Gasper's theorem?

### 1.3 Gasper's Theorem

For  $h \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$  define  $K_{a,0}$  by,

$$(K_{a,0}h)(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi h \left[ (a^2(1+t) - 1) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2abr(1-t^2)^{1/2} \cos \theta \right] dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \theta) \tag{1.17}$$

where

$$dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \theta) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\beta + 1/2)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-\beta-1} r^{2\beta+1} \sin^{2\beta} \theta dr d\theta \tag{1.18}$$

is a probability measure. We now have,

**1.8 LEMMA.** *For all  $a \in (-1, 1)$  and  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , the operator  $K_{a,0}$  on  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$  as defined in (1.17) has the following properties:*

- (1)  $K_{a,0}$  is self adjoint on  $L^2(\mu^{\alpha,\beta})$ .
- (2) The space of polynomials of any fixed degree is invariant under  $K_{a,0}$ .
- (3) For any continuous function  $h$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} K_{a,0}h(t) = h(2a^2 - 1)$ .

**Proof:** Given the explicit formula (1.17), the proof of (2) follows from the form of  $\mu^{\alpha,\beta}$  which shows that only even powers of the cos are nonzero when integrating over  $\theta$ . Part 3 follows from the evaluation property (1.16) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem. It is only (1) that requires more work.

We now use a sequence of variable changes due to Koornwinder [14], but for a different purpose. We shall contrast our use of it with Koornwinder's in the final section of the paper, but for now, suffice it to say that Koornwinder was not concerned with self-adjointness, which is the issue before us.

Consider  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$  Then by (1.17) and the change of variables  $t = 2s^2 - 1$ ,  $\langle h_1, K_{a,0}h_2 \rangle_{L^2(\mu_{\alpha,\beta})}$  is a constant multiple of

$$q(h_1, h_2) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi h_1(2s^2 - 1)h_2((2a^2s^2 - 1) + 2b^2(1 - s^2)r^2 + 4abrs\sqrt{1 - s^2} \cos \theta) \\ \times \sin^{2\beta} \theta (1 - r^2)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} r^{2\beta + 1} (1 - s^2)^\alpha s^{2\beta + 1} ds dr d\theta.$$

We must show that  $q(h_1, h_2) = q(h_2, h_1)$ .

The first step is to replace  $(1 - r^2)^{\alpha - \beta - 1}$  by  $(1 - r^2)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1}$ , and extend the domain of integration in  $r$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . The point is that we may then regard the integration over  $r$  and  $\theta$  as an integration over the upper half plane in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Changing to Cartesian coordinates  $x$  and  $y$  yields

$$q(h_1, h_2) = \int_0^1 h_1(2s^2 - 1)(1 - s^2)^\alpha s^{2\beta + 1} \\ \times \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty h_2(2(cx + as)^2 + 2c^2y^2 - 1)(1 - x^2 - y^2)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1} y^{2\beta} dy dx \right) ds$$

where  $c = b(1 - s^2)^{1/2}$ .

The second step is to translate and scale, making the change of variables  $y' = cy$  and  $x' = cx + as$ . Then since  $(1 - s^2)^\alpha y^{2\beta} dx dy = b^{-2\alpha} c^{2(\alpha - \beta - 1)} dx' dy'$ , this yields

$$q(h_1, h_2) = \int_0^1 h_1(2s^2 - 1) s^{2\beta + 1} b^{-2\alpha} \\ \times \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty h_2(2(x'^2 + y'^2) - 1)(c^2 - (x' - as)^2 - y'^2)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1} y'^{2\beta} dy' dx' \right) ds.$$

Finally, the third step is to change back to polar coordinates; i.e., make the change of variables  $(x', y') \rightarrow (\rho, \phi)$ . This yields, making crucial use of  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ ,

$$q(h_1, h_2) = \int_0^1 h_1(2s^2 - 1) s^{2\beta + 1} b^{-2\alpha} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi h_2(2\rho^2 - 1)(b^2 - s^2 - \rho^2 + 2a\rho s \cos \phi)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \rho^{2\beta + 1} d\phi d\rho \right) ds \\ = b^{-2\alpha} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi h_1(2s^2 - 1) h_2(2\rho^2 - 1) \\ \times (b^2 - s^2 - \rho^2 + 2a\rho s \cos \phi)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \rho^{2\beta + 1} s^{2\beta + 1} \sin^{2\beta} \phi d\phi d\rho ds,$$

which finally renders the symmetry manifest. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** The case  $\alpha = \beta > -1/2$  are contained in Bochner's theorem. For  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , Lemma 1.8 implies that the family of operators  $K_{a,0}$ ,  $a \in [-1, 1]$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.7 for the unit orthonormal basis  $\{p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Then from the conclusion of Theorem 1.7, we may apply Theorem 1.3 to obtain Gasper's Theorem in this case. The case  $\alpha > \beta, \beta = -1/2$  follows since  $p_{2n}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x) = p_n^{(\alpha,-1/2)}(2x^2 - 1)$  ■

The remaining mystery at this point is where the operator  $K_a$  came from. In fact, the operator  $K_a$  arose naturally in the work [7] on the Kac model [12]. The Kac model is a model from mathematical physics for the trend to equilibrium in a gas of  $N$  molecules interacting through binary collisions. An analysis made in [7] of how the rate of equilibration depends on  $N$  for one dimensional velocities reduces this issue to the determination of the eigenvalues of the operators  $K_a$  (1.12), which measure correlations between the different particle's velocities. In the analysis of the Kac model for three dimensional velocities the following operator naturally arises,

$$\mathcal{K}_a f(v) = \int_B f\left(av + \sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-|v|^2}y\right) d\nu_{m,N-1}(y), \tag{1.19}$$

where

$$d\nu_{m,N}(v) = \frac{|S^{m(N-1)-1}|}{|S^{mN-1}|} (1-|v|^2)^{(m(N-1)-2)/2} dv, \tag{1.20}$$

and  $B$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $|S^{d-1}|$  is the surface area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The number  $a$  ranges from  $-1$  to  $1$ ,  $N > 2$  and  $m > 1$ .

Note the similarity of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  to the operator  $K_a$  defined in (1.12). Of course  $\mathcal{K}_a$  acts on functions on the unit ball  $B$ , however there is a direct connection to operators that act on functions of  $[-1, 1]$ , as does  $K_a$ . This follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{K}_a$  commutes with rotations and therefore preserves the class of radial functions. For  $h \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$ , define  $K_{a,0}h$  by

$$(K_{a,0}h)(2|v|^2 - 1) := (\mathcal{K}_a f)(v) \quad \text{where} \quad f(v) := h(2|v|^2 - 1). \tag{1.21}$$

This operator is well defined since  $\mathcal{K}_a$  preserves the class of radial functions. A calculation, which we shall make in Section 3, shows that for

$$\alpha = (m(N-2) - 2)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = (m-2)/2, \tag{1.22}$$

the operators  $K_{a,0}$  defined in (1.17), and (1.21) are the same. Thus, at least for the half integral values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in (1.22), the apparently more complicated operator defined in (1.17) does indeed come from an operator bearing a striking resemblance to the one in Gegenbauer's identity. Moreover, while  $m$  and  $N$  are integers in (1.19), once the radial part has been rewritten in the form (1.17), there is no obstacle to letting  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  vary continuously.

The remarkable thing about this construction of  $K_{a,0}$  is that it only uses one invariant subspace of the operators  $\mathcal{K}_a$  to recover the known results of Gasper and Koornwinder. However, there are other invariant subspaces with a direct connection to Jacobi polynomials. In fact, we shall see that for each integer  $\ell > 0$  there is a family of operators  $K_{a,\ell}$  to which we may apply Theorem 1.7. In this way, we obtain new results for Jacobi polynomials. For example, the following result about Jacobi polynomials is the analog of Gegenbauer's product formula (1.11):



**1.9 THEOREM.** For all  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , and all non negative integers  $\ell$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & a^\ell \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(t)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(2a^2 - 1) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi p_n^{\alpha, \beta + \ell} \left( \left[ a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta \right] - 1 \right) \\ & \times \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} a^{\ell-j} (br)^j \left( \frac{1-t}{1+t} \right)^{j/2} P_j^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) \right] dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \theta), \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

where  $b = \sqrt{1 - a^2}$  as before.

Note that Gasper’s formula appears for the case  $\ell = 0$ . As a consequence of this theorem we have

**1.10 COROLLARY.** Consider any  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$  and any integer  $\ell \geq 0$ . Let  $P_\ell^{(\beta)}$  is the ultraspherical polynomial with the normalization  $P_\ell^{(\beta)}(1) = 1$ . Then for all  $t \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(t)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \left[ \frac{(1+t) - (1-t)r^2}{2} + i\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta \right]^n \\ & \times \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{k} \left( \frac{1-t}{1+t} \right)^{k/2} (ir)^k P_k^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) \right] dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

where  $dm_{\alpha, \beta}$  is given by (1.18).

The case  $\ell = 0$  is a well-known formula of Koornwinder [13]. For  $\ell > 0$ , these formulas, to the best of our knowledge, are new. While there are various ways to prove the above product formulas, in our work we take the point of view of Bakry and Mazet [4]. They solved the Markov sequence problem for various systems of orthogonal polynomials by applying functional analytic techniques to certain well chosen kernels of self-adjoint operators.

In Section 2 we give a geometric and probabilistic interpretation of the operators  $K_a$  and  $\mathcal{K}_a$ . This shall explain our reasons for referring to them as “correlation operators”. While the content of this section might shed some light on the question of from where such operators might arise in other contexts, we have no general answer to this question. This section may be skipped by a reader who is not interested in the the geometric and probabilistic picture.

In Section 3 we use the rotational invariance of the operators  $\mathcal{K}_a$  to determine a sequence of invariant subspaces for them, indexed by the non-negative integer  $\ell$ , and we study the spectrum of the restrictions  $K_{a, \ell}$  of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  to these invariant subspaces. Though for  $\ell > 0$ ,  $K_{a, \ell}$  is not Markov, Theorem 1.7 is applicable nonetheless: The eigenvalues are again expressible in terms of ratios of Jacobi i polynomials, and in this way we prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10.

In Section 4 we use the Laplace formula for ultraspherical polynomials and Theorem (1.10) to obtain sharp bounds on ratios of Jacobi polynomials. That is, we obtain sharp bounds on the eigenvalues of the extremal Markov operators, and these bounds give sharp information on the operator trace classes to which the extremal Markov operators belong. This information is then

used to discuss the pointwise convergence properties of the eigenfunction expansions for the kernels associated with the operators in Bochner’s and Gasper’s theorem.

Finally in Section 5, we discuss the history of Bochner’s and Gasper’s results.

**Acknowledgment:** The authors would like to thank Dominique Bakry for illuminating discussions about his papers with Huet and Mazet, and for suggesting that ideas arising in our work on the spectral gap for the Kac model with three dimensional velocities might lead to a self-contained proof of the Markov sequence problem for Jacobi polynomials.

## 2 The probabilistic meaning of the correlation operators

As we have mentioned, the operators  $K_a$  and  $\mathcal{K}_a$  arose in the study of the Kac model, where they measured correlations. Our objective in this section is to explain the geometric and probabilistic picture behind this measurement of correlation, and why this leads naturally to operators that have the three properties listed in Theorem 1.7: self adjointness, polynomial preservation, and the evaluation property.

We first explain how this works first for the ultraspherical polynomials; i.e., when the measure  $\mu$  in Theorem 1.7 is  $\mu^{(\gamma)}$ , given by (1.1). As is well known, when  $\gamma = (N - 2)/2$ ,  $\mu^{(\gamma)}$  is simply the image of the uniform probability measure  $\sigma^N$  on  $S^{N-1}$ , the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , under the map  $x \mapsto x \cdot \hat{e}$ , where  $\hat{e}$  is any unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . That is, if  $\hat{e}$  is any unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $f$  is any bounded measurable function on  $[-1, 1]$ , then

$$\int_{S^{N-1}} f(x \cdot \hat{e}) d\sigma_N = \int_{-1}^1 f(t) d\mu^{((N-2)/2)}(t) .$$

Let  $\hat{u}_1$  and  $\hat{u}_2$  be any two unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and define a bilinear form  $q_{\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2}$  on  $L^2(\mu^{((N-2)/2)})$  by

$$q_{\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2}(f, g) = \int_{S^{N-1}} f(x \cdot \hat{u}_1) g(x \cdot \hat{u}_2) d\sigma_N . \tag{2.1}$$

We claim that  $q_{\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2}(f, g)$  is symmetric in  $f$  and  $g$ , and depends on the choice of  $\hat{u}_1$  and  $\hat{u}_2$  only through  $a := \hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2$

To see this, let  $T$  be the reflection in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  about the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\hat{u}_2 - \hat{u}_1$ . Then  $T(\hat{u}_2) = \hat{u}_1$  and  $T(\hat{u}_1) = \hat{u}_2$ , and hence, by the invariance of  $d\sigma_N$  under orthogonal transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$q_{\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2}(f, g) = q_{\hat{u}_2, \hat{u}_1}(f, g) = q_{\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2}(g, f) .$$

A similar argument using a rotation that fixes, say,  $\hat{u}_2$  shows that this bilinear form depends on  $\hat{u}_2$  and  $\hat{u}_1$  only through  $a := \hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2$ , and this established the claim.

We may now use the quadratic form  $q_{\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2}(f, g)$  to define an operator  $K_a$  where  $a = \hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2$ . It turns out that this operator associated is exactly the operator  $K_a$  defined in (1.12):

**2.1 PROPOSITION.** *For any  $N > 1$  and any  $-1 < a < 1$ , and all continuous functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,*

$$\langle K_a f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{((N-2)/2)})} = \int_{S^{N-1}} f(x \cdot \hat{u}_1) g(x \cdot \hat{u}_2) d\sigma_N . \tag{2.2}$$

where  $K_a$  is the operator on  $L^2(\mu^{(\gamma)})$  for  $\gamma = (N - 2)/2$  defined in (1.12).

**Proof:** This is a calculation based on the following system of coordinates on  $S^{N-1}$ : Define

$$\phi : S^{N-2} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow S^{N-1}$$

by  $\phi(y, t) = (\sqrt{1-t^2}y_1, \dots, \sqrt{1-t^2}y_{N-1}, t)$ . Evidently for any  $y \in S^{N-2}$  and any  $t \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $\phi(y, t) \in S^{N-1}$ . It is then easy to check, as in [7], that for any function  $h$  on  $S^{N-1}$ ,

$$\int_{S^{N-1}} h(x) d\sigma_N(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \left[ \int_{S^{N-2}} h(\phi(y, t)) d\sigma_{N-1}(y) \right] d\mu^{((N-3)/2)}(t).$$

We now apply this to the integral in (2.2). Let  $\{\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_N\}$  be the standard orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Take

$$\hat{u}_1 = \hat{e}_N \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}_2 = a\hat{e}_N + \sqrt{1-a^2}\hat{e}_{N-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then with  $h(x) = f(x \cdot \hat{u}_1)g(x \cdot \hat{u}_2)$ , we obtain (2.2). ■

As a result of this proposition, we can give a simple probabilistic interpretation of the operator  $K_a$ : Think of  $S^{N-1}$ , equipped with  $d\sigma_N$  as a probability space, and think of  $f(x \cdot \hat{u}_1)$  as a random variable on this probability space. Then, the conditional expectation of  $f(x \cdot \hat{u}_1)$  given  $x \cdot \hat{u}_2$  is the function  $h(x \cdot \hat{u}_2)$  such that  $E[h(x \cdot \hat{u}_2)g(x \cdot \hat{u}_2)] = E[f(x \cdot \hat{u}_1)g(x \cdot \hat{u}_2)]$  for all continuous bounded functions  $g$ . Since

$$\langle K_a f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{((N-2)/2)})} = E[K_a f(x \cdot \hat{e})g(x \cdot \hat{e})]$$

for any unit vector  $\hat{e}$ , in particular for  $\hat{e} = \hat{u}_2$ , we see from (2.2) that

$$K_a f(t) = E\{f(x \cdot \hat{e}_2) \mid x \cdot \hat{e}_1 = t\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We now explain how this conditioning operation can produce a family of operators satisfying the key property (3) of Theorem 1.7.

**2.2 PROPOSITION.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  be a probability space on which there are random variables  $X_a$ ,  $a \in [-1, 1]$  such that*

$$\text{ess sup}(X_a) = 1 \quad \text{for all } a \in [-1, 1]$$

*and with the property that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$X_1 \geq 1 - \delta \Rightarrow |X_a - a| \leq \epsilon.$$

*Then for any continuous and bounded function  $f$  on  $[-1, 1]$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} E\{f(X_a) \mid X_1 = t\} = f(a).$$

**Proof:** Fix  $a$  and  $f$ . Then by the continuity of  $f$ , for any  $\gamma > 0$ , there is  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $|b - a| \leq \epsilon \Rightarrow |f(b) - f(a)| \leq \gamma$ . By hypothesis, there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that on the non-empty set  $\{X_1 > 1 - \delta\}$ ,  $|X_a - a| \leq \epsilon$ . Hence, on the set  $\{X_1 > 1 - \delta\}$ ,  $|f(X_a) - f(a)| \leq \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma > 0$  is arbitrary, the conclusion now follows. ■

This lemma may be applied in the case at hand with  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mu) = (S^{N-1}, \mathcal{B}, \sigma_N)$  and  $X_a = x \cdot (a\hat{e}_N + \sqrt{1-a^2}\hat{e}_{N-1})$  since then it is readily checked that

$$X_1 > 1 - \epsilon^2/2 \Rightarrow |X_a - a| < \epsilon.$$

This may shed some light on the origins of the evaluation property (3) of Theorem 1.7, and of course the self-adjointness property (1) follows directly from the construction. However, we do not claim to have any sort of general prescription for writing down operators satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.7.

The Jacobi polynomial version of this, leading to  $\mathcal{K}_a$  instead of  $K_a$ , is only slightly more complicated. Note that any vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{mN}$  can be written as an  $N$ -tuple of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ , and hence may be identified with the  $m \times N$  matrix

$$[x] = [x_1, \dots, x_N] \tag{2.5}$$

whose  $j$ th column is  $x_j$ . Then for any vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , the matrix product  $[x]u$  is well defined in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . It is easy to see that if  $x \in S^{mN-1}$  and  $\hat{u} \in S^{N-1}$ , then  $[x]\hat{u}$  lies in  $B$ , the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Therefore, given two unit vectors  $\hat{u}_1$  and  $\hat{u}_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and any two functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $B$ , define

$$q_{\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2}(f, g) = \int_{S^{mN-1}} f([x]\hat{u}_1)g([x]\hat{u}_2)d\sigma_{mN} . \tag{2.6}$$

As before, this will depend only on the choices of  $\hat{u}_1$  and  $\hat{u}_2$  through  $a = \hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2$ . Hence we may use this bilinear form to define a family of self-adjoint Markov operators on  $L^2(d\nu_{m,N})$ . Our next proposition says that the operators we obtain this way are exactly the  $\mathcal{K}_a$ :

**2.3 PROPOSITION.** *For any  $N > 2$  and  $m > 1$ , and any  $-1 < a < 1$ , and all  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(B)$ ,*

$$\langle f, \mathcal{K}_a g \rangle_{L^2(B, \nu_{m,N})} = \int_{S^{mN-1}} f([x]\hat{u}_1)g([x]\hat{u}_2)d\sigma_{mN} , \tag{2.7}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_a$  is the operator defined in (1.19).

**Proof:** We proceed exactly as in the proof of (2.2). Define

$$\phi : S^{m(N-1)-1} \times B \rightarrow S^{mN-1}$$

by  $\phi(y, v) = (\sqrt{1-|v|^2}y_1, \dots, \sqrt{1-|v|^2}y_{N-1}, v)$ . It is then easy to check, as in [7], that for any function  $h$  on  $S^{mN-1}$ ,

$$\int_{S^{mN-1}} h(x)d\sigma_{mN}(x) = \int_B \left[ \int_{S^{m(N-1)-1}} h(\phi(y, v))d\sigma_{m(N-1)}(y) \right] d\nu_{m,N}(v) .$$

We now apply this to the integral in (2.6) with  $\hat{u}_1$  and  $\hat{u}_2$  given by (2.3). With  $h(x) = f([x]\hat{u}_1)g([x]\hat{u}_2)$ , we obtain (1.19). ■

As before, each  $\mathcal{K}_a$  is a self adjoint Markov operator on  $L^2(d\nu_{m,N})$ , and has an interpretation as a conditional expectation operator:  $\mathcal{K}_a$ , acting on functions on  $B$ , such that for all  $v \in B$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_a g(v) = E\{g([x]\hat{e}_2) \mid [x]\hat{e}_1 = v \} . \tag{2.8}$$

As before, Proposition 2.2 applies and may be invoked to explain the evaluation property for the operators  $\mathcal{K}_a$ .

### 3 The action of $\mathcal{K}_a$ on non-radial functions and other identities for Jacobi polynomials

In this section we study the operator  $\mathcal{K}_a$  restricted to various invariant subspaces. As we have seen the restriction of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  to the subspace of rotationally invariant subspaces gives Gasper's kernel  $K_{a,0}$ . The study of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  on other invariant subspaces leads to the Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 .

The following lemma is decisive in what follows:

**3.1 LEMMA.** *For all  $a \in (-1, 1)$ , and all  $m > 1$ ,  $N > 2$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_a$  has the following properties:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{K}_a$  is self adjoint on  $L^2(\nu_{m,N})$ .
- (2) If  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  on  $B$ , then so is  $\mathcal{K}_a f$ .
- (3) For any continuous function  $f$ , and any unit vector  $\hat{e}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{K}_a f(t\hat{e}) = f(a\hat{e})$ .
- (4) For any rotation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_a(f \circ R) = (\mathcal{K}_a f) \circ R$ .

**Proof:** We argue very much as we did in the ultraspherical case, except of course for the proof of (4), which is a new multidimensional feature.

As noted, (1) follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  through a symmetric bilinear form. As for (2), note that  $d\nu_{m,N-1}(s)$  is even in  $s$ . Therefore, if  $m$  is any integer, all of the terms that are of odd degree in  $s$  that one obtains upon expansion of  $(at + s\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2})^m$  drop out of the integral. Hence, what remains is a polynomial in  $t$  of degree  $m$ .

Further, (3) follows by the dominated convergence formula; take the limit under the integral sign, and use

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} f\left(at\hat{e} + s\sqrt{1-a^2}\sqrt{1-t^2}\right) = f(a\hat{e}) .$$

This is independent of  $s$ , and since  $\nu_{m,N-1}$  is a probability measure, (3) now follows. Finally, (4) follows from the rotational invariance of  $\nu_{m,N-1}$ . ■

Since  $\mathcal{K}_a$  commutes with rotations we can study its action on the irreducible subspaces of the rotation group.

For each integer  $\ell \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$  denote the space of harmonic polynomials on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  that are homogeneous of degree  $\ell$ . Restricted to  $B$ , the functions in  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$  constitute a closed subspace in  $L^2(\nu_{m,N})$ , which we again denote by  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ .

For each  $\ell$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$  is an eigenspace of  $\mathcal{K}_a$ . In fact, for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_a H(v) = a^\ell H(v) . \tag{3.1}$$

That is, the restriction of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  to  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$  is  $a^\ell$  times the identity. One way to see this is to use the mean value property of harmonic functions and the formula (1.20). Since the measure  $d\nu_{m,N-1}$  is radially symmetric, we see that  $\mathcal{K}_a H(v) = H(av)$ , which, by the homogeneity, is  $a^\ell H(v)$ .

There is another more algebraic argument that tells us somewhat more:

**3.2 LEMMA.** *The spectrum of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  is discrete, and its eigenfunctions are of the form  $g(|v|^2)H(v)$ , where  $g(|v|^2)$  is a polynomial in  $|v|^2$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$  for some  $\ell$ . Moreover, if  $g(|v|^2)H(v)$  is an eigenfunction, then, so is  $g(|v|^2)\tilde{H}(v)$ , for any non zero  $\tilde{H} \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ .*

**Proof:** By Lemma 3.1 the operator  $\mathcal{K}_a$  leaves the space of polynomials of degree  $n$  invariant for any  $n$ . Hence, by the Weierstrass theorem the eigenfunctions consist of polynomials. Further, since  $\mathcal{K}_a$  commutes with rotations, any eigenfunction must be of the form

$$F(v) = f(|v|)\mathcal{Y}^\ell\left(\frac{v}{|v|}\right) \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\mathcal{Y}^\ell$  is a spherical harmonic, i.e.,  $\mathcal{Y}^\ell\left(\frac{v}{|v|}\right) = |v|^{-\ell}H_\ell(v)$  where  $H_\ell(v)$  is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $\ell$ . We have to show that  $f(|v|)/|v|^\ell$  is a polynomial in  $v$ , i.e., a polynomial of the variable  $|v|^2$ .

Since  $F(v)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  we can write it as  $F(v) = \sum_{m=0}^n q_m(v)$  where  $q_m(v)$  is homogeneous of degree  $m$ . In turn, each of these polynomials can be expanded in terms of homogeneous harmonic polynomials, i.e.,  $q_m(v) = H_m(v) + |v|^2 H_{m-2}(v) + |v|^4 H_{m-4}(v) + \dots$ . This shows that

$$F(v) = \sum_{k=0}^n g_k(|v|^2)H_k(v) \tag{3.3}$$

for some polynomials  $g_k$ . The result follows from (3.2) and the orthogonality properties of the spherical harmonics. The final statement follows from Schur's Lemma since  $\mathcal{K}_a$  commutes with rotations and rotations act irreducibly on  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ . ■

Now, since polynomials on  $[0, 1]$  are uniformly dense in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ , it follows from the Lemma (and the fact that  $\mathcal{K}_a$  is Markov) that for each function  $g \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ , and each  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ , and all  $a \in (-1, 1)$ , there is a  $\tilde{g}_a \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$  so that

$$\mathcal{K}_a f(v) = \tilde{g}_a(|v|^2)H(v) \quad \text{where} \quad f(v) = g(|v|^2)H(v) \tag{3.4}$$

with  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$  being the same on both sides. The transformation  $g \mapsto \tilde{g}$  is clearly linear, and as one sees from the proof of Lemma 3.2, independent of the choice of  $H$ . We now use this transformation to generalize the definition of the operator in (1.17).

As before, to make efficient contact with the theory of Jacobi polynomials, it is better to write our radial functions in the form  $h(2|v|^2 - 1)$  instead of  $g(|v|^2)$ . For any non zero  $H$  in any  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ , we define  $\mathcal{V}_H$  to be the subspace of  $L^2(\nu_{m,N-1})$  consisting of functions of the form

$$f(v) = h(2|v|^2 - 1)H(v) ,$$

where  $h$  is a function on  $[-1, 1]$ . We then generalize the definition (1.17) as follows:

For each  $\ell > 0$ , fix some non zero  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$ . Then for  $h \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$ , define  $K_{a,\ell}h$  by

$$(K_{a,\ell}h)(2|v|^2 - 1)H(v) = (\mathcal{K}_a f)(v) \quad \text{where} \quad f(v) := h(2|v|^2 - 1)H(v) . \tag{3.5}$$

By the last statement in Lemma 3.2,  $(K_{a,\ell}h)(2|v|^2 - 1)H(v)$  does not depend on the particular choice of  $H$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ . Further, by Lemma 3.2 the eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{K}_a$  are of the form

$$f_{n,\ell}(v) = h_{n,\ell}(2|v|^2 - 1)H(v)$$

where  $h_{n,\ell}$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ . The eigenfunctions of  $K_{a,\ell}$  are the polynomials  $h_{n,\ell}$ . We now identify these as Jacobi polynomials:

First, we normalize our choice of  $H \in \mathcal{H}_\ell$  so that  $\int_{S^{m-1}} |H(v)|^2 d\sigma_m = 1$ . Then for any positive integers  $n \neq p$ , integrating in polar coordinates and using (1.20) we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle f_{n,\ell}, f_{p,\ell} \rangle_{L^2(\nu_{m,N})} = \int_B h_{n,\ell}(2|v|^2 - 1) h_{p,\ell}(2|v|^2 - 1) |H(v)|^2 d\nu_{m,N}(v) \\ &= \frac{|S^{m(N-1)-1}|}{|S^{mN-1}|} \int_0^1 h_{n,\ell}(2s^2 - 1) h_{p,\ell}(2s^2 - 1) (1 - s^2)^{(m(N-1)-2)/2} s^{2\ell+m-1} ds . \end{aligned}$$

Making the now familiar change of variables  $t = 2s^2 - 1$ , we find

$$\int_{-1}^1 h_{n,\ell}(t) h_{p,\ell}(t) (1-t)^{(m(N-2)-2)/2} (1+t)^{\ell+(m-2)/2} dt = 0 ,$$

which is the orthogonality relation defining the Jacobi polynomials  $p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  given by (1.22).

We now determine the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{n,\ell}(a)$  such that  $K_{a,\ell} h_{n,\ell} = \lambda_{n,\ell}(a) h_{n,\ell}$ . By (3.5), if we define  $f$  by  $f(v) = h_{n,\ell}(2|v|^2 - 1) H(v)$ , we have  $\lambda_{n,\ell}(a) f(v) = \mathcal{K}_a f(v)$ . Then, for any unit vector  $\hat{e}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $H(\hat{e}) \neq 0$ , we have from part (4) of Lemma 3.1 that  $\lambda_{n,\ell}(a) f(\hat{e}) = f(a\hat{e})$ . which, by the homogeneity of  $H$ , means that  $\lambda_{n,\ell}(a) = a^\ell h_{n,\ell}(2a^2 - 1)/h_{n,\ell}(1)$ . We summarize our conclusions in a lemma:

**3.3 LEMMA.** *Fix dimensions  $m > 1$  and  $N > 2$ , and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be given by (1.22). Then for each integer  $\ell \geq 0$  and each  $a \in [-1, 1]$ , the operator  $K_{a,\ell}$  is self adjoint on  $L^2(\mu^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)})$ , and is diagonalized by the Jacobi polynomial basis  $\{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Moreover, the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_{n,\ell}(a)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is given by*

$$\lambda_{n,\ell}(a) = a^\ell \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(2a^2 - 1)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} . \quad (3.6)$$

**3.4 REMARK.** *It is worth remarking that the operators  $K_{a,\ell}$  are not positivity preserving for  $\ell > 0$ . Nonetheless, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{n,\ell}(a)$  are eigenvalues of a Markov operator, namely  $\mathcal{K}_a$ .*

Our next goal is to extend this analysis to arbitrary values of  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ . We proceed exactly as in the previous section, and seek a direct expression of  $K_{a,\ell}$ , not explicitly involving  $\mathcal{K}_a$ , so that we may then freely vary the dimensions. The following notation will be useful: For  $v$  and  $y$  in  $B$ , define

$$w(v, y, a) = av + \sqrt{1 - a^2} \sqrt{1 - |v|^2} y .$$

Then by Lemma 2.3, for any  $f$  of the form  $f(v) = h(2|v|^2 - 1) H(v)$ ,

$$\mathcal{K}_a f(v) = \int_B h(2|w(v, y, a)|^2 - 1) H(w(v, y, a)) d\nu_{m, N-1}(y) . \quad (3.7)$$

To proceed, we now make a judicious choice of  $H$  to simplify the computations before us:

• We choose  $H$  so that  $H(v/|v|)$  is the zonal spherical harmonic of degree  $\ell$  with the axis along the unit vector  $\hat{e}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . That is,

$$H(v) = |v|^\ell p_\ell^{((m-2)/2)}(\hat{e} \cdot v/|v|) = |v|^\ell p_\ell^{(\beta)}(\hat{e} \cdot v/|v|) , \quad (3.8)$$

where, as before,  $p_\ell^{(\beta)}$  is an ultraspherical polynomial, and  $\beta$  is again given by (1.22). The advantage of our particular choice of  $H$  is that  $H(w(v, y, a))$  depends on  $w(v, y, a)$  only through  $(w(v, y, a)) \cdot \widehat{e}$  and  $|w(v, y, a)|^2$ . Specifically,

$$H(w(v, y, a)) = |w(v, y, a)|^\ell p_\ell^{(\beta)} \left( \frac{(w(v, y, a)) \cdot \widehat{e}}{|w(v, y, a)|} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Note that

$$w(v, y, a) \cdot \widehat{e} = as + \sqrt{1-a^2} \sqrt{1-s^2} r \cos \theta \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$|w(v, y, a)|^2 = a^2 s^2 + (1-a^2)(1-s^2)r^2 + 2a\sqrt{1-a^2} \sqrt{1-s^2} r s \cos \theta \quad (3.11)$$

where  $s = |v|$ ,  $r = |y|$ , and  $v \cdot y = sr \cos \theta$ , so that (3.8) can be written as an integral over  $r$  and  $\theta$ , using the measure defined in (1.18). These are the coordinates we used in the proof of Lemma 1.8 to “liberate” the values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in the  $\ell = 0$  case.

By (3.5) and (3.8) evaluated at  $v = s\widehat{e}$ , we have

$$(K_{a,\ell}h)(2s^2-1)s^\ell H(\widehat{e}) = \int_B h(2|w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)|^2-1)H(w(s\widehat{e}, y, a))d\nu_{m,N-1}(y).$$

For the particular choice of  $H$  made in (3.8), this reduces to

$$(K_{a,\ell}h)(2s^2-1) = s^{-\ell} \int_B h(2|w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)|^2-1)|w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)|^\ell P_\ell^{(\beta)} \left( \frac{(w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)) \cdot \widehat{e}}{|w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)|} \right) d\nu_{m,N-1}(y), \quad (3.12)$$

since  $p_\ell^{(\beta)}(x)/p_\ell^{(\beta)}(1) = P_\ell^{(\beta)}(x)$ , the ultraspherical polynomial normalized by the condition  $P_\ell^{(\beta)}(1) = 1$ .

Next, since the integrand depends on only on  $s$ ,  $r$  and  $\cos \theta$ , we can use (1.20) and (1.18) to write this in terms of an integration against  $dm_{\alpha,\beta}$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  related to  $m$  and  $N$  through (1.22):

$$(K_{a,\ell}h)(2s^2-1) = s^{-\ell} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi h(2|w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)|^2-1)|w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)|^\ell P_\ell^{(\beta)} \left( \frac{(w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)) \cdot \widehat{e}}{|w(s\widehat{e}, y, a)|} \right) dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \theta), \quad (3.13)$$

**3.5 DEFINITION.** For all  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , we define  $K_{a,\ell}$  by formula (3.13). By the calculation just made, this coincides with the definition made in (3.5) for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying (1.22).

The next Lemma gives a more explicit formula for  $K_{a,\ell}$ .

**3.6 LEMMA.** For all  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , and all non negative integers  $\ell$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{a,\ell}h(t) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi h \left( \left[ a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta \right] - 1 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} a^{\ell-j} (br)^j \left( \frac{1-t}{1+t} \right)^{j/2} P_j^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) \right] dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $b = \sqrt{1-a^2}$  as before.



**Proof:** First make the change of variables  $t = 2s^2 - 1$  in the defining formula (3.13). Under this change of variable, (3.10) and (3.11) become

$$w(v, y, a) \cdot \widehat{e} = a\sqrt{\frac{1+t}{2}} + b\sqrt{\frac{1-t}{2}}r \cos \theta \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$|w(v, y, a)|^2 = a^2\frac{1+t}{2} + b^2\frac{1-t}{2}r^2 + ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta, \quad (3.16)$$

and we deduce from (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{a,\ell}h(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{1+t}\right)^{\ell/2} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi h\left(\left[a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta\right] - 1\right) \\ &\times \left|a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta\right|^{\ell/2} \\ &\times P_\ell^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{a\sqrt{1+t} + b\sqrt{1-t}r \cos \theta}{\left|a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta\right|^{1/2}}\right) dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \theta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

The Laplace formula for the ultraspherical polynomials [18], p. 94, which is a simple consequence of Gegenbauer's identity, can be written as

$$P_\ell^{(\beta)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\pi \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \cos \phi\right)^\ell \sin^{2\beta-1}(\phi) d\phi. \quad (3.18)$$

With  $x = \frac{w(v, y, a) \cdot \widehat{e}}{|w(v, y, a)|}$ , we obtain, using the binomial formula,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta\right|^{\ell/2} \\ &\times P_\ell^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{a\sqrt{1+t} + b\sqrt{1-t}r \cos \theta}{\left|a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta\right|^{1/2}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} (a\sqrt{1+t})^{\ell-j} (br\sqrt{1-t})^j \quad (3.20)$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\pi (\cos \theta + \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta - 1} \cos \phi)^j \sin^{2\beta-1} \phi d\phi \quad (3.21)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{j} (a\sqrt{1+t})^{\ell-j} (br\sqrt{1-t})^j P_j^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) \quad (3.22)$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.9:** By choosing  $h = p^{\alpha,\beta+\ell}$  Gasper's product formula (Theorem 1.9) follows immediately from Lemma 3.6. ■

Our next goal is to show that for all  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ ,  $K_{a,\ell}$  possesses the crucial properties of self-adjointness, polynomial preservation and the limiting value identity that it inherits from  $\mathcal{K}_a$  when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy (1.22). ■

**3.7 LEMMA.** For all  $a \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , and integers  $\ell \geq 0$ , the operator  $K_{a,\ell}$  on  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$  as defined in (1.17) has the following properties:

- (1)  $K_{a,\ell}$  is self adjoint on  $L^2(\mu^{\alpha,\beta})$ .
- (2) The space of polynomials of any fixed degree is invariant under  $K_{a,\ell}$ .
- (3) For any continuous function  $h$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} K_{a,\ell} h(t) = a^\ell h(2a^2 - 1)$ .

**Proof:** It is obvious from (3.17) that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} K_{a,\ell} h(t) = a^\ell h(2a^2 - 1)$ , property (3) is taken care of. Next consider the polynomial preservation, property (2). It suffices to show that for each natural number  $n$ , if  $h(t) = (t + 1)^n$ , then  $K_{a,\ell} h(t)$  is a polynomial of order  $n$ .

For this choice of  $h$ ,

$$h \left( \left[ a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta \right] - 1 \right) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-m)!m!} (a^2(1+t) + b^2(1-t))^{n-m} \left( 2ab\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos(\theta) \right)^m .$$

Thus, from Lemma 3.6,  $K_{a,\ell} h(t)$  is a sum of multiples of terms of the form

$$Q(t)(1-t^2)^{m/2} \left( \frac{1-t}{1+t} \right)^{k/2} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi r^{m+k} \cos^m \theta P_k^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) dm_{\alpha,\beta} ,$$

where  $Q(t)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - m$ . Then, be the orthogonality properties of the ultraspherical polynomials,

$$\int_0^\pi \cos^m \theta P_k^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) \sin^{2\beta} \theta d\theta = 0$$

unless  $m+k$  is even and  $m \geq k$ , in which case  $(1-t^2)^{m/2} \left( \frac{1-t}{1+t} \right)^{k/2} = \frac{(1-t)^{(m+k)/2}}{(1+t)^{(m-k)/2}}$  is a polynomial of degree  $m$ . Thus, for this choice of  $h$ ,  $K_{a,\ell} h(t)$  is a sum of terms each of which is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , and thus (2) is proved.

We next deal with self-adjointness. To see this in a simple way, we do not use the formula for  $K_{a,\ell}$  given in Lemma 3.6, but instead work directly from the expression (3.13). We shall show that the bilinear form

$$q(h_1, h_2) := 2c_{\alpha,\beta} \int_0^1 h_1(2s^2 - 1) (K_{a,\ell} h_2) (2s^2 - 1) (1-s^2)^\alpha s^{2\beta+2\ell+1} ds \quad (3.23)$$

is symmetric. This is easily seen in case  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  related to  $m$  and  $N$  through (1.22) since then with  $f_j(v) = h_j(2|v|^2 - 1)H(v)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , easy computations reveal that the right hand side is a constant multiple of  $\langle f_1, \mathcal{K}_a f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\nu_{m,N})}$ . To see this in general, we proceed *exactly* as in the proof of Lemma 1.8, making the same sequences of coordinate changes

$$(r, \theta) \rightarrow (x, y) \rightarrow (x', y') \rightarrow (\rho, \phi) .$$

Under this sequence of changes of variables,  $|w(v, y, a)|$  becomes simply  $\rho$ , as we have seen in the proof of Lemma 1.8, and  $w(v, y, a) \cdot \widehat{e}/|w(v, y, a)|$  becomes simply  $\cos(\phi)$ , as simple computations

reveal. Then, with  $q(h_1, h_2)$  defined in (3.23), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(h_1, h_2) &= 2c_{\alpha, \beta} b^{-2\alpha} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi h_1(2s^2 - 1)h_2(2\rho^2 - 1) \\
 &\quad \times (b^2 - s^2 - \rho^2 + 2a\rho s \cos \phi)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1} P_\ell^{(\beta)}(\cos \phi) \rho^{2\beta + \ell + 1} s^{2\beta + \ell + 1} \sin^{2\beta} \phi d\phi d\rho ds,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.24}$$

This takes care of property (I). ■

With this lemma in hand, we now easily extend Lemma 3.3

**3.8 THEOREM.** *For all  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , all integers  $\ell \geq 0$ , and each  $a \in (-1, 1)$ , the operator  $K_{a, \ell}$  is self adjoint on  $L^2(\mu^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)})$ , and is diagonalized by the Jacobi polynomial basis  $\{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Moreover, the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_{n, \ell}(a)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is given by*

$$\lambda_{n, \ell}(a) = a^\ell \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(2a^2 - 1)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)}.
 \tag{3.25}$$

**Proof:** This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 1.7. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.10:** By Theorem 3.8,

$$\frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(t)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(2a^2 - 1) = a^{-\ell} \left( K_{a, \ell} p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)} \right) (t).
 \tag{3.26}$$

Now, the left hand side is a polynomial in  $a$ , and so is the right hand side. Hence we may extend the range of  $a$  from  $[-1, 1]$  to all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since in (3.14),  $b$  stands for  $\sqrt{1 - a^2}$ , All odd terms in  $\sqrt{1 - a^2}$  must drop out of when the integration is made, and for  $a > 1$ , we will get the signs right if we replace  $b = \sqrt{1 - a^2}$  with  $i\sqrt{a^2 - 1}$ .

Doing this, and then dividing both sides of (3.26) by  $(2a^2)^n$ , and taking the limit  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , only the leading terms in the Jacobi polynomials contribute, and we obtain we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(t)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \left[ \frac{(1+t) - (1-t)r^2}{2} + i\sqrt{1-t^2}r \cos \theta \right]^n \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \sum_{k=0}^\ell \binom{\ell}{k} \left( \frac{1-t}{1+t} \right)^{k/2} (ir)^k P_k^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) \right] dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \theta).
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the formula in Theorem 1.10. ■

## 4 Bounds on the extremal eigenvalues and convergence of associated eigenfunction expansions

Our objective in this section is to obtain bounds on the magnitudes of the eigenvalues in the extremal Markov sequences that govern the way these magnitudes decrease to zero as  $n$  increases. We start with the case of the ultraspherical polynomials.

**4.1 THEOREM.** For all  $\gamma > 0$ , and all  $-1 < a < 1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)}{p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)} \right| \leq \frac{2c_{\gamma-1/2}}{(1-a^2)^\gamma} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{-\gamma}. \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, if  $p > 1/\gamma$ ,  $(K_a)^p$ , the  $p$ th power of  $K_a$ , is trace class. This criterion for belonging to the trace class is sharp in that for  $a = 0$ , where exact calculations are simple, one finds  $K_0^p$  is trace class if and only if  $p > 1/\gamma$ .

We shall prove an analog of this Theorem for Jacobi polynomials, and the proof will be quite similar. Therefore, before plunging into the details, we explain the strategy.

The starting point is the Laplace identity (3.18) which can be written as

$$\frac{p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)}{p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)} = \int_{-1}^1 \left(a + is\sqrt{1-a^2}\right)^n d\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)}(s).$$

Observe that

$$|a + is\sqrt{1-a^2}|^2 = 1 - (1-a^2)(1-s^2) \leq 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Fixing  $a$ , define  $C_\lambda$  to be the subset of  $[-1, 1]$  on which  $|a + is\sqrt{1-a^2}|^2 \geq 1 - \lambda$ . It follows from (3.18) and layer-cake that

$$\left| \frac{p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)}{p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)} \right| \leq \int_{-1}^1 |a + is\sqrt{1-a^2}|^n d\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)}(s) \leq \frac{n}{2} \int_0^1 (1-\lambda)^{(n-2)/2} \mu^{(\gamma-1/2)}(C_\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (4.3)$$

Hence, an estimate on the rate that  $\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)}(C_\lambda)$  decreases to zero as  $\lambda$  decreases to zero yields a bound on the rate at which  $|p_n^{(\gamma)}(a)/p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)|$  decreases as  $n$  increases. This will yield us bounds that hold uniformly in  $a$  in any compact subset of  $(-1, 1)$ . While we are ignoring phase cancelations in the estimate (4.3), there are no phase cancelations for  $a = 0$ , and an exact calculation gives the same  $n^{-\gamma}$  decay. Thus no better bound can hold uniformly in  $a$  on closed symmetric intervals of  $(-1, 1)$ .

We prove a bound on  $\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)}(C_\lambda)$  in the next lemma, and then proceed with the proof of the theorem.

**4.2 LEMMA.**  $\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)}(C_\lambda) \leq 2c_{\gamma-1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-t^2}\right)^\gamma.$

**Proof:** Note that from (4.2),  $|t + is\sqrt{1-t^2}|^2 \geq 1 - \lambda \iff (1-t^2)(1-s^2) \leq \lambda$ . Hence, for  $s \in C_\lambda$ ,  $1-s^2 < \lambda/(1-t^2)$ , and therefore,

$$\mu^{(\gamma-1/2)}(C_\lambda) = 2c_{\gamma-1/2} \int_{\sqrt{1-\lambda/(1-t^2)}}^1 (1-s^2)^{\gamma-1} ds \leq 2c_{\gamma-1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-t^2}\right)^\gamma. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Proof of Theorem 4.1:** Applying Lemma 4.2 in (4.3), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{p_n^{(\gamma)}(t)}{p_n^{(\gamma)}(1)} \right| \leq nc_{\gamma-1/2} \int_0^1 (1-\lambda)^{(n-2)/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-t^2}\right)^\gamma d\lambda = \frac{c_{\gamma-1/2}}{(1-t^2)^\gamma} n \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then since  $(1 - e^{-s})^\gamma \leq s^\gamma$  for  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \gamma + 1)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{n}{2}s} (1 - e^{-s})^\gamma ds \leq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{-(\gamma+1)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Combining this with (4.4) we obtain the bound (4.1).

Finally, we consider the case  $a = 0$ . Then there is no phase cancelation, and one readily computes

$$\left| \frac{p_{2n}^{(\gamma)}(0)}{p_{2n}^{(\gamma)}(1)} \right| = \frac{c_{\gamma-1/2} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\gamma)}{\sqrt{2} \Gamma(n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} \sim n^{-\gamma},$$

and we see that the  $n^{-\gamma}$  bound on the absolute value of the extremal eigenvalues is the best possible that can hold uniformly for  $a$  in closed intervals of  $(-1, 1)$ .  $\blacksquare$

We now turn to the analog of Theorem 4.1 for Jacobi polynomials.

**4.3 THEOREM.** *For all  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , all  $a \in (-1, 1)$ , all  $n > 0$ , and all  $\ell \geq 0$ ,*

$$\left| \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(a)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} \right| \leq \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{1/2} \right]^\ell K_{\alpha, \beta}(a) \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{-(\alpha+1/2)}, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$K_{\alpha, \beta}(a) = c_{\alpha, \beta} \pi^{-1} 2^{2(\alpha-\beta+2)} (1-a)^{-(2\alpha-\beta+1)} (1+a)^{-(\beta+1/2)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Thus, for  $p > 1/(\alpha + 1/2) > 0$ ,  $(K_{a, \ell})^p$  is trace class.

**4.4 REMARK.** *The exponent on  $n$  is determined by  $\alpha$  alone; it is independent of  $\beta$  and  $\ell$ .*

We begin with a lemma that is the analog of Lemma 4.2:

**4.5 LEMMA.** *For each fixed  $a \in (-1, 1)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , define  $C_\lambda$  to be the subset of  $[0, 1] \times [0, \pi]$  given by*

$$C_\lambda := \{(r, \theta) : R^2(r, \theta) > 1 - \lambda\}. \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$R(r, \theta) = \left| \frac{(1+a) - (1-a)r^2}{2} + i\sqrt{1-a^2}r \cos \theta \right|.$$

Then the measure of  $C_\lambda$  with respect to  $dm_{\alpha, \beta}$  satisfies

$$m_{\alpha, \beta}(C_\lambda) \leq K_{\alpha, \beta}(a) \lambda^{\alpha+1/2}. \quad (4.9)$$

where  $K_{\alpha, \beta}(a)$  is given by (4.7).

**Proof:** Define  $A = (1+a)/2$  and  $B = (1-a)/2$ . Then we can write

$$R^2(r, \theta) = A^2 + B^2 r^2 + 2AB r^2 \cos(2\theta).$$

Since  $A + B = 1$ ,  $1 - R^2(r, \theta) = B^2(1 - r^4) + 2AB(1 - r^2 \cos(2\theta))$ . This is a sum of positive terms, and so for any  $\lambda > 0$ , whenever  $1 - R^2(r, \theta) \leq \lambda$ , we have both

$$B^2(1 - r^4) < \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad 2AB(1 - r^2 \cos(2\theta)) < \lambda. \quad (4.10)$$

The first of these conditions implies  $1-r^2 < \lambda/(B^2(1+r^2)) < \lambda/B^2$ , and then  $1-r < (1-r)(1+r) = 1-r^2 < \lambda/B^2$ , so that  $r > 1 - \lambda/B^2$ . Thus, everywhere on  $C_\lambda$ ,

$$1-r^2 < \frac{\lambda}{B^2} \quad \text{and} \quad r > 1 - \frac{\lambda}{B^2} .$$

Next, we turn to the second condition in (4.10). This can be written as  $r^2 \cos(2\theta) > 1 - \lambda/(2AB)$ , which certainly implies  $\cos(2\theta) > 1 - \lambda/(2AB)$ , which implies that  $\sin^2 \theta < \lambda/(4AB)$ . Finally, since on  $[0, \pi/2]$ ,  $(2/\pi)\theta \leq \sin(\theta)$ , with a similar estimate on  $[\pi/2, \pi]$ , the second condition in (4.10) implies that either  $0 \leq \theta \leq (\pi/4)\sqrt{\lambda/(AB)}$ , or else  $\pi - (\pi/4)\sqrt{\lambda/(AB)} \leq \theta \leq \pi$ .

Altogether then,  $\{(r, \theta) : R^2(r, \theta) > 1 - \lambda\}$  is contained in  $[1 - \lambda/B^2, 1] \times [0, (\pi/4)\sqrt{\lambda/(AB)}] \cup [1 - \lambda/B^2, 1] \times [\pi - (\pi/4)\sqrt{\lambda/(AB)}, \pi]$ , and moreover, everywhere on this set,

$$1-r^2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{B^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin^2 \theta \leq \frac{\lambda}{4AB} .$$

Integrating over the two rectangles using the above bounds yields the estimate

$$m_{\alpha, \beta}(C_\lambda) \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} \pi^{-1} 2^{3-2\beta} B^{-(2\alpha-\beta+1/2)} A^{-(\beta+1/2)} \lambda^{\alpha+1/2} .$$

Replacing  $A$  and  $B$  by their definition in terms of  $t$ , one obtains the bound (4.9). ■

**Proof of Theorem 4.3:** The starting point is Theorem 1.10, which provides the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta+\ell)}(a)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta+\ell)}(1)} &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \left[ \frac{(1+a) - (1-a)r^2}{2} + i\sqrt{1-a^2}r \cos \theta \right]^n \\ &\quad \times \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{k} \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{k/2} (ir)^k P_k^{(\beta)}(\cos \theta) \right] dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \theta) . \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $R(r, \theta)$  in Lemma 4.5, and the fact that  $P_n^{(\gamma)}(x) \leq 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta+\ell)}(t)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta+\ell)}(1)} \right| &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi R(r, \theta)^n \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{k} \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{k/2} r^k \right] dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \theta) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi R(r, \theta)^n \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{1/2} r \right]^\ell dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \theta) \\ &\leq \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{1/2} \right]^\ell \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi R(r, \theta)^n dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \theta) \\ &= \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{1/2} \right]^\ell \frac{n}{2} \int_0^1 (1-\lambda)^{(n-2)/2} m_{\alpha, \beta}(C_\lambda) d\lambda . \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Now applying Lemma 4.5, and then estimating the ratio of Gamma functions as in (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(a)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} \right| &\leq \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{1/2} \right]^\ell \frac{n}{2} K_{\alpha, \beta}(a) \int_0^1 (1-\lambda)^{(n-2)/2} \lambda^{\alpha+1/2} d\lambda \\
&= \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{1/2} \right]^\ell \frac{n}{2} K_{\alpha, \beta}(a) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \alpha + \frac{3}{2})} \\
&\leq \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1-a}{1+a} \right)^{1/2} \right]^\ell K_{\alpha, \beta}(a) \Gamma\left(\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{-(\alpha+1/2)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

■

We may apply these results to study the convergence of the eigenfunction expansions for the operators  $K_a$  and  $K_{a, \ell}$ . Indeed, since eigenvalues of  $K_{a, \ell}$  are

$$\lambda_{n, \ell}(a) = a^\ell \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(2a^2 - 1)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)},$$

and the eigenfunctions are the  $p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}$ , the formal eigenfunction expansion of the Kernel for  $K_{a, \ell}$  is

$$a^\ell \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(2a^2 - 1) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(x) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(y)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)}.$$

The eigenvalue bounds obtained above can be used to show that for  $a \in (-1, 1)$  and  $\alpha > 1/2$ , these formal series actually converge uniformly for  $x$  and  $y$  in compact intervals of  $(-1, 1)$ . To do this, we need bounds on the eigenfunctions as well as the eigenvalues. Because of the close relation between the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues in this context, we could obtain the eigenfunction bounds from Theorem 4.3, but it will be instructive to obtain these instead from a well known but deep result of Nevai, Erdelyi, and Magnus. [17]: For all  $\alpha \geq -1/2$  and  $\beta \geq -1/2$  and all non negative integers  $n$ ,

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} \sqrt{1-x^2} w(x) p_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x)^2 \leq \frac{2e(2 + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2})}{\pi}, \tag{4.13}$$

Thus, for each  $a \in (-1, 1)$  and each  $r < 1$ , there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(2a^2 - 1) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)} \leq C n^{-(\alpha+1/2)}$$

uniformly for  $x, y \in [-r, r]$ . With the  $\alpha = \beta$  cases coming from Theorem 4.1 and (1.4), this proves:

**4.6 THEOREM.** *For all  $\alpha > 1/2$  and  $\alpha \geq \beta > -1/2$ , and all  $-1 < x, y, z < 1$ , the sum*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(x) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(y) p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(z)}{p_n^{(\alpha, \beta + \ell)}(1)} \tag{4.14}$$

*converges absolutely and uniformly on compacts, and the operator whose kernel the sum defines is trace class.*

For  $\ell = 0$ , this is the eigenfunction expansion of Gasper's operator  $K_{a, 0}$ , which is Markov. Thus, for  $\alpha > 1/2$  and  $\alpha \geq \beta > -1/2$ , where the sum in (4.14), converges pointwise, it defines a kernel that is pointwise positive..

## 5 Historical Remarks

In this section we give a brief discussion of work done on the Markov sequence problem for Jacobi polynomials by Gasper, Koornwinder and Askey with the aim of clarifying the context of the present paper.

When Gasper took up his work on the Markov sequence problem for Jacobi polynomials, the main obstacle was the lack of an analog to Gegenbauer's identity. Therefore, Gasper worked backwards towards one: It is clear that the operator  $K_{a,0}$  on  $L^2(\mu^{(\alpha,\beta)})$  defined by

$$K_{a,0}\psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(2a^2 - 1)}{p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)} p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \int_{-1}^1 p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)\psi(y)d\mu^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) \quad (5.1)$$

for  $a \in (-1, 1)$  is self adjoint, satisfies  $K_{a,0}1 = 1$ , and has  $\{p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)/p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)\}_{n \geq 0}$  as its sequence of eigenvalues, so that if there is to be an analog of Gegenbauer's identity for Jacobi polynomials, it *must* refer to this operator.

What is not at all clear from the eigenfunction expansion is whether or not  $K_{a,0}$  preserves positivity, or whether  $K_{a,0}$  even has a kernel  $K_{a,0}(x, y)$ , which formally would be

$$K_{a,0}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(2a^2 - 1)p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)}{p_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)}. \quad (5.2)$$

Gasper's Theorem as stated above was proved by him in [9], where he evaluated the sum, and gave an explicit integral formula for  $K_{a,0}$ :

$$K_{a,0}\psi(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \psi \left[ (a^2(1+t) - 1) + b^2(1-t)r^2 + 2abr(1-t^2)^{1/2} \cos \theta \right] dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \theta). \quad (5.3)$$

Here  $dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \theta)$  is the probability measure defined in (1.18).

Gasper's derivation is rather involved, but here is a brief sketch: In [9] Gasper formally defines a kernel  $G(x, y, z; \alpha, \beta)$  as

$$G(\cos 2\phi, \cos 2\psi, \cos 2\theta; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n^{\alpha,\beta} \frac{p_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos 2\phi)}{p_n^{\alpha,\beta}(1)} p_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos 2\psi) p_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos 2\theta),$$

where  $h_n^{\alpha,\beta}$  is the square of the inverse of the  $L^2$  norm of  $p_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ . Then using a formula of Watson, [19] p. 413, he shows that this sum of triple products of Jacobi polynomials is equal to an integral of a triple product of Bessel functions with the restriction  $\alpha > -1/2, \beta > -1/2$  and  $\cos \theta \neq |\cos(\psi \pm \phi)|$ . When  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ , he was able to evaluate the integral of the triple product of Bessel functions with the result that

$$\begin{aligned} & G(\cos 2\phi, \cos 2\psi, \cos 2\theta; \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)(\sin \phi \sin \psi \sin \theta)^{2\alpha}}{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)\Gamma(\beta + 1/2)\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^A (1 - \cos^2 \phi - \cos^2 \psi - \cos^2 \theta + \\ & 2 \cos \phi \cos \psi \cos \theta \cos \gamma)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \sin^{2\beta} \gamma d\gamma \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where  $A$  is 0,  $\arccos \left( \frac{\cos^2 \phi + \cos^2 \psi + \cos^2 \theta - 1}{2 \cos \phi \cos \psi \cos \theta} \right)$ , or  $\pi$  depending on whether  $\sin^2 \phi \sin^2 \psi$  is less than between, or greater than the two numbers  $(\cos \phi \cos \psi \pm \cos \theta)^2$ . From this Gasper concludes



that  $G$  is non-negative, and since  $G$  is the kernel associated with  $K_{a,0}$  written in different variables, he obtains the positivity of  $K_{a,0}$  in the range of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  quoted above. Then in [10], using the evaluation of the triple integral in terms of hypergeometric functions, Gasper is able to show that  $G$  is nonnegative if  $\alpha \geq \beta > -1$ ,  $\alpha > -1/2$ , and either  $\beta \geq -1/2$  or  $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ .

Later Koornwinder [14] gave another proof of Gasper's Theorem in the case  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$ . Here Koornwinder defines the kernel  $G$  as the integral (1.17) given above, then he uses his Laplace type integral representation for Jacobi polynomials and duality to show that the kernel is equal to the triple sum of Jacobi polynomials. Koornwinder obtained his Laplace type formula using group theoretic methods and Askey [1] gave a simple analytic proof using Bateman's integral relation between hypergeometric functions. The fact that the kernel is continuous and of bounded variation allows Koornwinder to show, using the Dirichlet-Jordan test [20] p. 57 and the equiconvergence of Jacobi series and cosine series [18] p. 246, that for  $\alpha > \beta > -1/2$  the triple sum converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $0 < \phi, \psi, \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

In contrast, our approach starts with the construction of the family of operators the operators  $\mathcal{K}_a$ . The motivation for considering the family  $\mathcal{K}_a$  comes from previous work on the Kac model [7], [8]. In particular, for the restricted parameter values discussed in Section 2,  $\mathcal{K}_a$  is easily seen to be self adjoint, to preserve polynomials and to enjoy the evaluation property, and hence, by Theorem 1.7 its eigenvalues can be expressed as ratios of Jacobi polynomials. Restricting this operator to the radial functions gives us the operator  $K_{a,0}$ , at least for half integral values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  given in (1.22). In a further step we extend the operators  $K_{a,0}$  to the full range, and obtain Gasper's kernel.  $K_{a,0}$  is shown to be an extremal Markov operator from which Gasper's product formula follows

Moreover, the same can be done with the operators  $K_{a,\ell}$  that appear as restrictions of the operators  $\mathcal{K}_a$  to the other invariant angular momentum subspaces, and in this way we obtain Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 that extend Gasper's product formula and Koornwinder's identity.

## References

- [1] Askey, R.: *Jacobi Polynomials I. New proofs of Koornwinder's Laplace type integral representation and Bateman's bilinear sum* SIAM. Jour. Math Anal. **5**, 119-124, 1974
- [2] Askey, R.: *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, SIAM Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, **21**, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1975.
- [3] Bakry, D. and Huet, N.: *The hypergroup property and representation of Markov kernels*, 2006 preprint, arXiv:math/0601605
- [4] Bakry, D. and Mazet, O.: *Characterization of Markov semigroups on  $\mathbb{R}$  associated to some families of orthogonal polynomials*, pages 60–80 in *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVII*, Azema, J, Émery, M., Ledoux, M, and Yor, M., eds., *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol **1832**, Springer, Heidelberg 2003.
- [5] Bochner, S.: *Positive zonal functions on spheres*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **40**, 1141–1147, 1954

- [6] Bochner, S *Sturm-Liouville and heat equations whose eigenfunctions are ultraspherical polynomials or associated Bessel functions* Proceedings of the conference on differential equations (dedicated to A Weinstein), University of Maryland Book Store, College Park, Md, 23-48, 1956
- [7] Carlen, E., Carvalho, M. and Loss, M.: *Determination of the spectral gap for Kac's master equation and related stochastic evolution*, Acta Mathematica, **191** pp 1–54, 2003
- [8] Carlen, E., Geronimo, J. and Loss, M., *Determination of the spectral gap in the Kac model for physical momentum and energy conserving collisions*, To appear in S.I.A.M. Jour. Analysis.
- [9] Gasper, G., *Positivity and the convolution structure for Jacobi series*, Annals of Math., **93**, No. 1 pp 112–118, 1971
- [10] Gasper, G., *Banach algebras for Jacobi series and positivity of a kernel*, Annals of Math., **95**, No. 2, pp 261–280, 1972
- [11] Gegenbauer, L.: *Über einige bestimmte Integrale*, Sitz. Math. Natur. Klasse Akad. Wiss. Wien, **70**, No. 2, pp. 433-443, 1875.
- [12] Kac, M., *Foundations of kinetic theory*, Proc. 3rd Berkeley symp. Math. Stat. Prob., J. Neyman, ed. Univ. of California, vol 3, pp. 171–197, 1956.
- [13] Koornwinder, T.H., *The addition formula for Jacobi polynomials. I, summary of results*, Indag. Math. **34**, 188–191, 1972.
- [14] Koornwinder, T.H., *Jacobi polynomials II. An analytic proof of the product formula* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **5**, 125–137, 1974.
- [15] Koornwinder, T.H., *Jacobi Polynomials III. An analytic proof of the addition formula* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **6**, 533–543, 1975.
- [16] Magnus, W. and Oberhettinger, F., *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Chelsea, New York, 1949
- [17] Nevai, P., Erdélyi, T. and Magnus, A., *Generalized Jacobi weights, Christoffel functions and Jacobi polynomials*, SIAM Jour. Math. Anal., **25**, 602–614, 1994.
- [18] Szegő, G: *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Volume 23, AMS, Providence R.I. 1939
- [19] Watson, G. N.: *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge England, 1944.
- [20] Zygmund, A. *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press. Volume 1 Cambridge England 1959.