

# The thermal phase transition in a QCD-like holographic model

Nick Evans and Ed Threlfall<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK  
evans@phys.soton.ac.uk, ejt@phys.soton.ac.uk*

We investigate the high temperature phase of a dilaton flow deformation of the AdS/CFT Correspondence. We argue that these geometries should be interpreted as the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory perturbed by a  $SO(6)$  invariant scalar mass and that the high-temperature phase is just the well-known AdS-Schwarzschild solution. We compute the resulting Hawking-Page phase transition which in this model can be interpreted as a deconfining transition in which the vev for the operator  $TrF^2$  dissolves. In the presence of quarks the model also displays a simultaneous chiral symmetry restoring transition with the Goldstone mode and other quark bound states dissolving into the thermal bath.

## INTRODUCTION

The simplest examples of non-supersymmetric deformations of the AdS/CFT Correspondence [1]-[4] are those in which the dilaton has some non-trivial profile in the radial direction of the space [5]-[11]. Since the dilaton carries no R-charge the five-sphere is left intact. Simple gravitational theories of this type have been shown to generate confining behaviour in Wilson loops in the dual gauge theory and a discrete glueball spectrum [6, 10]. Quarks have also been introduced through D7 brane probes [13]-[15] and chiral symmetry breaking in the pattern of QCD is induced [12, 16]. These models are therefore a nice toy model of a gauge theory that behaves in many respects like QCD.

The presence in the dilaton profile at large AdS radius,  $r$ , of a term of the form  $1/r^4$  indicates the presence of a vev for the operator  $TrF^2$  in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory. This operator is the F-term of a chiral superfield so supersymmetry is manifestly broken. All other  $SO(6)_R$  invariant operators would therefore be expected to switch on. As was argued in [6] and we will discuss more below amongst these operators, at large  $N$ , is an  $SO(6)$  preserving scalar mass term. This mass term is not described by a supergravity field so is essentially invisible in the solutions. Whilst one might imagine that one had switched on a vev for  $TrF^2$  and observed the dynamical consequences, a more natural way to view this geometry is that the scalar mass has been included in the gauge theory Lagrangian and the vev for  $TrF^2$  is the dynamical result. When the dual gauge theory is viewed in this way it is a very natural theory to study as a toy for QCD - it is a sensible non-supersymmetric, strongly coupled gauge theory. Of course none of the super-partners are decoupled from the strong dynamics so it is not QCD.

Given the successes of this model at zero temperature it is interesting to investigate the finite temperature behaviour of the solution. One expects a Hawking-Page type phase transition [17] where, when the temperature

grows greater than the scalar mass or induced vev for  $TrF^2$ , a first order transition would occur from the zero temperature solution with a compact time dimension to a black hole geometry. The former has free energy of order one whilst that of the latter is of order  $N^2$  - we would be seeing deconfinement of the gauge degrees of freedom [2, 3]. It would be interesting in addition to understand how chiral symmetry breaking behaves through this transition.

Here we will seek dilaton flow black hole geometries that might describe the high temperature phase of the gauge theory. In fact though we will analytically show that there are no such geometries in five dimensional supergravity. One might worry that this suggests a pathology with the zero temperature solution. However, we wish to argue that the  $SO(6)$  invariant mass for the scalar, which is invisible in the geometry, is still present and all we are learning is that at finite temperature the vev for  $TrF^2$  switches off. The high temperature phase of the dilaton flow geometry is in fact at the supergravity level identical to that of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory. Taking this as our assumption we compute the Hawking-Page type transition and show that the behaviour matches the usual intuition discussed above.

We learn that in the low temperature phase the vevs of the glue and quark fields are temperature independent as are the glueball and meson masses. This matches large  $N$  field theory arguments made in [18]. The finite temperature solution of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory has also been studied vigorously [19] including in the presence of quarks [15]- all of those results can now be seen to apply to the high temperature phase of the dilaton flow geometry too. In particular the vev of  $TrF^2$  and the chiral symmetry breaking quark condensate (at zero quark mass) both switch off in the high T phase. The Goldstone boson of the symmetry breaking becomes massive and indeed melts into the thermal bath.

Our results are also interesting as ten dimensional realizations of the “hard-wall” transitions explored in [18]

(the introduction of a hard infra-red wall has long been used as a very simplistic way of introducing a mass gap into the gauge theory [20]). To make that connection stronger we will begin by describing briefly the thermal transition in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory with an  $SO(6)$  invariant scalar vev. The gravity dual of this theory is precisely  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  with a hard cut off at some finite radius - the cut off corresponds to the surface of a five-sphere that the D3 branes have been spread evenly over. This case serves as an example of how these scalar operators are invisible in the supergravity solution.

Our main computation is to show that there is no dilaton flow black hole in five dimensional supergravity and to compute the Hawking-Page transition to a pure AdS-like black hole in that model. Finally we will briefly review the phase structure of the non-supersymmetric gauge theory collating results from elsewhere in the literature.

## A HARD WALL - $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM ON MODULI SPACE

We begin by creating a true AdS-dual of a hard wall model. The set up is simple - one spreads the D3 branes at the origin ( $r = 0$ ) of the usual AdS/CFT construction onto the surface of a five-sphere centred at the origin. If a finite number of D3 branes are evenly distributed then the configuration will preserve a discrete sub-group of the  $SO(6)$  symmetry group. In the infinite N limit where the distribution becomes smooth the  $SO(6)$  group is preserved. In the gauge theory this configuration corresponds to one where

$$Tr\phi_i\phi_j \propto \delta_{ij} \quad (1)$$

which is an  $SO(6)$  singlet. The  $U(N)$  gauge theory is broken to a  $U(1)^N$  theory that is non-interacting (all matter is in the adjoint so uncharged) in the case of a finite number of D3 branes. As the density increases the scalar vevs connecting adjacent sites become small and one has a deconstructed model of a five dimensional  $U(1)$  gauge theory living on the five sphere surface - again it is non-interacting.

On the gravity side it is clear from a Gauss' law argument that the geometry does not change (as in the case of a planet being described by the Schwarzschild black hole metric). The dual is just  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  with the usual four form (here  $L^4 = 4\pi g_s N \alpha'^2$ )

$$ds^2 = \frac{u^2}{L^2} dx_4^2 + \frac{L^2}{u^2} du^2 + L^2 d\Omega_5^2 \quad (2)$$

$$C_{(4)} = \frac{u^4}{L^4} dx^0 \wedge \dots dx^3 \quad (3)$$

except that the surface of the five sphere of D3 branes acts as a cut off on the space (formally within there is flat space since there are no sources).

The obvious candidate for the finite temperature version of the theory is just AdS-Schwarzschild [3]. Restricting ourselves to a black hole background with temperature equal to  $\frac{u_h}{\pi L^2}$  and working with the usual Poincare coordinates

$$ds^2 = \frac{K(u)}{L^2} d\tau^2 + L^2 \frac{du^2}{K(u)} + \frac{u^2}{L^2} dx_3^2 + L^2 d\Omega_5^2 \quad (4)$$

with

$$K(u) = u^2 - \frac{u_h^4}{u^2} \quad (5)$$

We can seek a Hawking-Page transition by comparing the free energy of AdS cut off at the radius of the D3 five sphere,  $u_0$ , and with a compact time dimension versus that of the AdS Schwarzschild solution cut off by either the radius of the five sphere or the horizon whichever is largest.

This computation can be performed within the five dimensional truncation of IIB supergravity on this space. The two five dimensional metrics are then simply

$$ds^2 = \frac{u^2}{L^2} d\tau^2 + L^2 \frac{du^2}{u^2} + \frac{u^2}{L^2} dx_3^2 \quad (6)$$

$$ds^2 = \frac{K(u)}{L^2} d\tau^2 + L^2 \frac{du^2}{K(u)} + \frac{u^2}{L^2} dx_3^2 \quad (7)$$

with no four forms. The comparison at this level is precisely the computation of Herzog [18] which we briefly review.

The Euclidean action for either cut-off AdS or AdS-Schwarzschild is

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi G_s} \int d^5x \sqrt{g} \left( \frac{1}{4} R + \frac{3}{L^2} \right) \quad (8)$$

On-shell  $R = -\frac{20}{L^2}$  for both backgrounds.

One must rescale the time coordinates so as to ensure that the period of the time directions match at the cut off  $\Lambda$  [3]. One then finds the action difference

$$S_{BH} - S_{AdS} = \frac{1}{2G_5 u_h L^3} \left( \int_{u_h}^{\Lambda} u^3 du - \sqrt{\frac{K(\Lambda)}{\Lambda^2}} \int_{u_0}^{\Lambda} u^3 dr \right) \quad (9)$$

Taking the limit  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  one obtains  $S_{BH} - S_{AdS} = \frac{1}{8G_5 u_h L^3} (u_0^4 - \frac{1}{2} u_h^4)$ . This is Herzog's result.

Clearly if  $u_0 > \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{2}}u_h$  the black hole action is larger and a hard wall solution is favoured. If we make the cut off significantly below the horizon the black hole will be favoured. The temperature at which the transition occurs is  $T_c = \frac{\sqrt[4]{2}u_0}{\pi L^2}$ .

The physics is as we expect. When the temperature rises above the intrinsic scale of the theory, the scalar vev or radius of the D3 sphere, there is a transition to the deconfined phase.

## DILATON FLOW GEOMETRIES

We now turn to constructing solutions of the supergravity equations of motion with non-trivial dilaton flows.

### Five-dimensional action and equations of motion

We will work in  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  SUGRA in five dimensions [21]-[23] which is a truncation of IIB string theory on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  and it is known that any solution can be lifted to a complete ten dimensional geometry. The 40 scalars which participate in the superpotential will be set to zero (leaving a constant superpotential which acts as a negative cosmological constant) and we consider a solution with nontrivial dilaton and zero axion.

The effective five-dimensional action is (we use the normalization conventions of [6] and set  $L \equiv 1$  for this section)

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi G_5} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{4}R - \frac{1}{8}g^{ab}\nabla_a\phi\nabla_b\phi + 3 \right) \quad (10)$$

The non-extremal background and the background with a nontrivial scalar are both non-supersymmetric and we cannot apply the technique of Killing spinor equations. Instead we use symmetry to constrain the form of the solutions.

We will make an ansatz, following the analysis of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$  gauge theory in [24], of the form

$$ds_5^2 = e^{2A} (-e^{2B} dt^2 + dx_3^2) + dr^2 \quad (11)$$

The presence of  $A$  allows the dilaton to have a non-trivial  $r$  dependence and that of  $B$  allows for non-zero temperature.

The field equations are

$$\frac{1}{4}R_{ab} = \frac{1}{8}\partial_a\phi\partial_b\phi - g_{ab} \quad (12)$$

$$\nabla^2\phi = 0 \quad (13)$$

Using the linear combinations  $\bar{A} \equiv A + \frac{1}{4}B$  and  $\bar{B} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}B$  as in [24] these equations take the form

$$\phi'' + 4\bar{A}'\phi' = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\bar{B}'' + 4\bar{A}'\bar{B}' = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$6(\bar{A}')^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\phi')^2 + 2(\bar{B}')^2 + 6 \quad (16)$$

The first two equations can be integrated to yield  $\phi' = c_1 e^{-4\bar{A}}$  and  $\bar{B}' = c_2 e^{-4\bar{A}}$ . We will see later that the two solutions we concentrate on correspond to setting either one or the other of these constants zero. Defining  $6c_3^2 \equiv (\frac{1}{4}c_1^2 + 2c_2^2)$  we obtain

$$(\bar{A}')^2 = c_3^2 e^{-8\bar{A}} + 1 \quad (17)$$

These equations are analytically solvable with solutions

$$e^{4\bar{A}} = \frac{c_4^2 e^{8r} - c_3^2}{2c_4 e^{4r}} \quad (18)$$

$$\bar{B} = \frac{c_2}{4c_3} \ln \left( \frac{c_4 e^{4r} - c_3}{c_4 e^{4r} + c_3} \right) + B_0 \quad (19)$$

$$\phi = \frac{c_1}{4c_3} \ln \left( \frac{c_4 e^{4r} + c_3}{c_4 e^{4r} - c_3} \right) + \phi_0 \quad (20)$$

For any solution that returns to AdS asymptotically  $B_0 = 0$  and  $\phi_0$  is the dilaton value in the AdS limit.

### Solution with no event horizon

Let us first take the solution above and set  $B \equiv 0$  to find a zero temperature dilaton flow with manifest 4D Lorentz invariance. The solution can be recast (by setting  $c_3 = c_4\zeta$ ) in the form

$$e^{2A} = \sqrt{\frac{c_4}{2}} \sqrt{e^{4r} - \zeta^2 e^{-4r}} \quad (21)$$

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \left( \frac{e^{4r} + \zeta}{e^{4r} - \zeta} \right) + \phi_0 \quad (22)$$

To match to other results in the literature [12] one can rescale the  $x_4$  coordinates to effectively set  $c_4 = 1/2$ , set  $2u^2 = e^{2r}$  and  $\zeta = 4u_0^4$ . Reinstating the five sphere and moving to string frame one arrives at the 10D metric

$$ds^2 = e^{\phi/2} \left( \frac{u^2}{L^2} \mathcal{A}^2(u) dx_4^2 + \frac{L^2}{u^2} du^2 + L^2 d\Omega_5^2 \right) \quad (23)$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \left( 1 - \left( \frac{u_0}{u} \right)^8 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad e^\phi = \left( \frac{(u/u_0)^4 + 1}{(u/u_0)^4 - 1} \right)^{\sqrt{3/2}} \quad (24)$$

The four form is just that in pure AdS. This metric clearly becomes  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  at large  $u$  and has a deformation parameter  $u_0^4$  which has dimension four and no R-charge - this parameter is naturally identified with  $TrF^2$  in the gauge theory. Supersymmetry is therefore broken in this gauge theory and all  $SO(6)$  invariant operators should be expected to turn on. Amongst those is an equal mass for each of the six scalar fields - one would expect the scalar masses to rise to the scale of the supersymmetry breaking scale. Of course such an  $SO(6)$  invariant mass is invisible in the supergravity solution for the same reasons as the  $SO(6)$  scalar vev operator discussed above. Whether one should view the scalar mass as the perturbing interaction and  $TrF^2$  as the induced operator or vice versa is unclear. We will shed light on this issue below.

This geometry has been shown to be confining in [6, 10] and to break chiral symmetries when quarks are introduced [12] as we will discuss below. Note this geometry is also closely related to those of Constable and Myers [11] which have in addition non-trivial  $u$  dependence in the four form - the existence of this larger class of geometries suggest that there are multiple  $SO(6)$  invariant string modes that are invisible in the supergravity and that determine the dynamics. In the field theory one can imagine higher dimension operators and so forth that could play a role. These geometries typically also show confinement and chiral symmetry breaking though [16].

### Black hole geometry

Let us now turn to finding the high temperature phase of the dilaton flow geometry just explored.

To have a solution with a horizon we will choose constants such that the function  $B$  goes as a constant plus  $\ln r$  near  $r = 0$  ( $\bar{B} \sim \sqrt{3}/4 \ln r$ ). From (19) this gives the constraints

$$c_2 = \sqrt{3}c_3, \quad c_4 = c_3 \quad (25)$$

At this point we note that, with the definition of  $c_3$  ( $6c_3^2 \equiv (\frac{1}{4}c_1^2 + 2c_2^2)$ ),  $c_1$  vanishes so the dilaton profile in the non-extremal solution is just a constant. This result is a simple example of a ‘no hair’ theorem.

We have learnt that there is no black hole solution with a radially dependent dilaton. This means there is not a gravity dual of a high temperature theory with  $TrF^2$  switched on. One’s immediate response is to become worried that if the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory cannot be perturbed by a vev for  $TrF^2$  at finite temperature then the zero temperature model is suspect. In fact though we believe

it is telling us that the vev for  $TrF^2$  is not the fundamental perturbation but an operator induced by the dynamics. Instead we want to argue that the true perturbation to the Lagrangian of the gauge theory is the  $SO(6)$  invariant scalar mass, which is invisible in the supergravity theory. If this is the case then it is not at all unreasonable that the zero temperature theory should induce a vev for  $TrF^2$  whilst that operator should dissolve at finite temperature.

We are left with a unique black hole solution which in the unbarred quantities is thus

$$e^{2A} = \frac{c_4}{2} (e^{2r} + e^{-2r}) \quad (26)$$

$$B = \ln \left( \frac{e^{4r} - 1}{e^{4r} + 1} \right) \quad (27)$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 \quad (28)$$

This geometry should describe the high temperature phase of the  $SO(6)$  invariant scalar mass deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory. In fact by rescaling  $x_4$  to set  $c_4 = 1/2$  and defining  $e^{2r} = u^2 + \sqrt{u^4 - u_h^4}$  (which are asymptotically the same choices as for the dilaton flow geometry) this solution can be reduced to the usual Poincare coordinate form of five-dimensional AdS-Schwarzschild (4) with Hawking temperature  $T_H = \frac{u_h}{\pi}$ . We conclude that the scalar mass deformed gauge theory shares the same supergravity description at finite temperature as the unperturbed  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory!

### THERMODYNAMIC COMPUTATION

One way to test our assertion that AdS-Schwarzschild is the high temperature phase of the non-supersymmetric deformation of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory is to check the Hawking-Page phase transition makes sense. We will compute the Euclidean action for both solutions, specifying a black hole horizon at  $u = u_h$  and a dilaton flow singularity at  $u = u_0$ .

To make the comparison fair we must set the parameter  $c_4$  equal in the two geometries so they have the same large- $r$  AdS limit. We will perform the calculation in the Schwarzschild-type coordinates, rescaling the Euclidean time coordinate for the dilaton flow geometry as for our hard-wall calculation. Both geometries asymptote to  $AdS_5$  so we can set the same UV cut-off  $\Lambda$  in both cases, before taking the limit  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

The Euclidean action density per unit spatial volume for the black hole solution is

$$S_{BH} = -\frac{1}{4\pi G_5} \int_0^{\frac{\pi L^2}{u_h}} d\tau \int_{u_h}^{\Lambda} \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{4}R + \frac{3}{L^2} \right) dr \quad (29)$$

The trace of the Einstein equation gives  $R = -\frac{20}{L^2}$  so

$$S_{BH} = \frac{1}{2G_5 u_h L^3} \int_{u_h}^{\Lambda} u^3 du = \frac{1}{8G_5 u_h L^3} (\Lambda^4 - u_h^4) \quad (30)$$

The Euclidean action density per unit spatial volume for the dilaton flow solution is, having used the trace of the equation of motion to remove the scalar gradient term and allowing for the rescaling of Euclidean time, simply

$$S_{DF} = \frac{1}{2G_5 L^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi L^2}{u_h}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{u^4}{\Lambda^4}} d\tau \int_{u_0}^{\Lambda} \sqrt{-g} dr \quad (31)$$

This is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{DF} &= \frac{1}{2G_5 u_h L^3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{u_h^4}{\Lambda^4}} \int_{u_0}^{\Lambda} \left( u^3 - \frac{u_0^8}{u^5} \right) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{8G_5 u_h L^3} \sqrt{1 - \frac{u_h^4}{\Lambda^4}} \left( \Lambda^4 + \frac{u_0^8}{\Lambda^4} - 2u_0^4 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Hence, in the  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  limit, the difference in the actions is simply

$$S_{BH} - S_{DF} = \frac{1}{16G_5 u_h L^3} (4u_0^4 - u_h^4) \quad (33)$$

For a deformation scale  $u_0 > \frac{u_h}{\sqrt{2}}$  the dilaton flow solution is thermodynamically favoured whereas for a deformation scale  $u_0 < \frac{u_h}{\sqrt{2}}$  the AdS-Schwarzschild solution is favoured. The transition temperature is clearly  $T_c = \frac{\sqrt{2}u_0}{\pi L^2}$ .

The phase transition makes complete sense with our interpretation of the high and low temperature phases. For temperatures below the value of the supersymmetry breaking scale  $u_0$  (up to a factor of order unity) the non-supersymmetric SO(6) invariant scalar mass deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory is described by the dilaton flow geometry with an induced vev for  $TrF^2$ . As the temperature passes through the supersymmetry breaking scale there is a transition to the deconfined plasma described by AdS-Schwarzschild - here the vev of  $TrF^2$  is zero.

## ASPECTS OF THE PHASE TRANSITION

The identification of AdS-Schwarzschild as the high T phase of a non-supersymmetric theory and the dilaton flow as the low temperature phase of that same theory is quite remarkable. Our findings go some way towards explaining why the AdS-Schwarzschild gravity dual is a reasonable toy model of high-temperature QCD whereas the

zero-temperature supersymmetric D3-D7 model is quite unlike low-temperature QCD. The reason is that, at the supergravity level, the high-temperature phase hides the deformation which gives rise to QCD-like behaviour in the low-temperature phase - it would be apparent in the full string theory. We learn that in field theory language the universality of the high-temperature phase is a large- $N$  effect, whereas in the gravity language our result follows from a ‘no-hair’ theorem for the AdS black hole.

Both of the geometries have been studied in detail already in the literature, including in the presence of quarks, and we can look at a number of properties of the transition.

Below the critical temperature, the core of the dilaton flow geometry is repulsive to strings. The result is that a Wilson line calculation shows there to be a linear quark-antiquark potential as the string falls towards  $r = 0$  before settling a little away from the singularity at  $u_0$  [6, 12]. In keeping with the implied confinement there is a discrete spectrum of glueballs which are the eigenmodes of the Klein-Gordon equation on the geometry for the usual plane-wave ( $\propto e^{ik \cdot x_4}$ ) ansätze. Table I shows the masses of the lowest five scalar glueball states, in units of  $u_0/L^2$  (we can reproduce the field equations for these fluctuations in [6] but disagree on the numerical values for the masses)

| $n$   | 1   | 2   | 3    | 4    | 5    |
|-------|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| $M_n$ | 4.1 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 13.2 | 16.2 |

Table I: Lowest five glueball masses in the zero temperature dilaton flow geometry in units of the deformation scale  $u_0/L^2$ .

| $n$ | $\omega_n$                    |
|-----|-------------------------------|
| 1   | $\pm 3.119452 - 2.746676 i$   |
| 2   | $\pm 5.169521 - 4.763570 i$   |
| 3   | $\pm 7.187931 - 6.769565 i$   |
| 4   | $\pm 9.197199 - 8.772481 i$   |
| 5   | $\pm 11.202676 - 10.774162 i$ |

Table II: Lowest five glueball quasi-normal modes in the AdS-Schwarzschild geometry in units of  $\frac{u_h}{L^2}$ .

Above the critical temperature, the theory is in a deconfined phase. There is no longer a spectrum of glueball normal modes, rather the gravity dual admits a spectrum of unstable quasinormal modes which was calculated in [38]. The field theory interpretation of the quasinormal spectrum is to give the mass and decay width for a glueball excitation embedded in a thermal bath of SYM plasma. The finite decay timescale can be viewed as the timescale for the ‘melting’ of the glueball state. The

breaking of Lorentz symmetry means there is a nontrivial dispersion relation  $\omega(k)$  for a scalar glueball excitation [38]. Table II shows the lowest five quasinormal frequencies, which are measured in units of  $\frac{u_h}{L^2}$  which is  $\propto T$  - the natural scale of the lowest quasinormal frequency is the temperature.

Flavour degrees of freedom can be included by embedding D7 branes in each of the geometries discussed [15, 28, 29] - the results to date in these geometries use the probe or quenched limit [13]. The asymptotic profile of the D7 encodes the relationship between the hard quark mass  $m_q$  and the expectation value of the quark condensate  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$  [16]. Mesonic modes are dual to fluctuations derived from the DBI action of the D7 [14].

Below the critical temperature we find the probe D7 always wants to lie outside the deformation scale of the dilaton flow geometry for the embeddings of physical interest (usefully avoiding the singular region of the geometry). One finds there is a nonzero value of  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = 1.51u_0^3$  for zero  $m_q$ , indicating spontaneous breaking of a  $U(1)_R$  symmetry of the model (this symmetry is analogous to the axial  $U(1)_A$  of QCD) - this is a nice model of QCD-like behaviour since the dynamics of the quark condensate generation is included even if the full non-abelian chiral symmetry breaking is not present. There are discrete spectra corresponding to pion-like and sigma-like scalar excitations [10]. The lowest pion-like state is massless for  $m_q = 0$  and its mass grows in accordance with the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation for small  $m_q$ . In addition there is a tower of massive vector meson excitations dual to the Maxwell field on the D7 worldvolume. The numerical values for all these meson masses tend to the no-deformation result (equation (3.19) in [14]) for large  $m_q$ , that is  $M \sim 2\sqrt{2}m_q/L^2$  - we list them in Table III and display them in Figure I in units of  $u_0$ .

| $m_q$ | $M_\pi L^2$ | $M_\sigma L^2$ | $M_{vector} L^2$ |
|-------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| 0.10  | 0.7         | 3.1            | 2.9              |
| 0.50  | 1.9         | 3.5            | 3.3              |
| 1.00  | 3.1         | 4.1            | 3.9              |
| 2.00  | 5.7         | 6.0            | 6.0              |
| 3.00  | 8.5         | 8.6            | 8.6              |
| 4.00  | 11.3        | 11.4           | 11.4             |

Table III: the mass of the pion, sigma and rho meson modes as a function of the quark mass in the low temperature dilaton flow geometry - all in units of  $u_0$ .

Above the critical temperature the physically relevant D7 embeddings in the black hole geometry [16, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34] give  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = 0$  for  $m_q = 0$  - there is no chiral symmetry breaking and hence no pion-like meson. The D7 can either end on the black hole horizon (small  $m_q$ ) or for large




FIG. 1: Pion (blue), sigma (red) and vector (green) masses as a function of quark mass - all in units of  $u_0$ . The line shows the large- $m_q$  limit.

enough  $m_q$  it has sufficient tension to support itself away from the black hole - there is a first-order phase transition in the behaviour of (quenched) quark matter as one raises the quark mass in the plasma background. In the former case there are quasinormal spectra representing the melting of scalar and vector mesonic excitations in the hot background [26, 36, 37]. In the latter case there are discrete spectra of scalar and vector meson masses with scale set by  $m_q$  which tend to the values in [14] as  $m_q \gg T$ . Our transition behaves in the same way as long as the quarks are sufficiently light ( $m_q < 0.92\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}T}{2}$ ) [26]. In the undeformed theory this bound implies that the mesons melt once the temperature of the background becomes of order the meson mass since the meson masses are  $\sim \frac{m_q}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ . In our case the pion-like meson is an exception to this - one can have a massless pion that does not ‘melt’ until the background reaches some finite temperature.

| $n$ | $\omega_n$               |
|-----|--------------------------|
| 1   | $\pm 2.1988 - 1.7595 i$  |
| 2   | $\pm 4.2119 - 3.7749 i$  |
| 3   | $\pm 6.2155 - 5.7773 i$  |
| 4   | $\pm 8.2172 - 7.7781 i$  |
| 5   | $\pm 10.2181 - 9.7785 i$ |

Table IV: the scalar mesonic quasinormal frequencies in the high T phase ( $m_q = 0$ ) - in units of  $\frac{u_h}{L^2}$ .

There are recent results concerning a lower bound for the ratio of viscosity to entropy density of a strongly-coupled field theory [27],  $\frac{\eta}{s} \geq \frac{1}{4\pi}$ , where the equality is for the deconfined phase of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM theory (for a review see [19]). Our findings show that strict equality also applies to certain non-supersymmetric theories in their deconfined phase, physically due to the universality of this phase in the large- $N$  limit.

We can perform an estimate of the deconfinement temperature in our model. The mass of the lowest-lying vector state for zero quark mass can be compared to the mass of the  $\rho$  meson, experimentally 776MeV. The vector mass is  $2.80u_0/L^2$  and the deconfinement temperature is  $T_c = \frac{\sqrt{2}u_0}{\pi L^2}$ . This gives an estimate for the deconfinement temperature of  $T_c \sim 124$  MeV. This is very similar to the estimate produced by the ‘hard-wall’ model [18] and is somewhat low compared to real QCD.

## CONCLUSION

We have found the finite-temperature version of a chiral symmetry breaking dilaton flow. What we find is that for a temperature sufficiently high that the black hole radius is greater than the deformation scale associated to the chiral symmetry breaking by a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$ , the geometry undergoes a first-order phase transition to the AdS-Schwarzschild geometry. From the gauge-theory perspective, the dilaton flow solution results from turning on a  $SO(6)$  invariant scalar mass deformation. This deformation is not described by a SUGRA mode, but one does observe that the non-trivial dilaton profile describes a running coupling and the operator  $TrF^2$  is ‘induced’. We have found that at high temperature this does not happen and  $TrF^2$  remains zero. Incorporating other results already in the literature one can see that the transition corresponds not just to a deconfinement transition but also a simultaneous chiral symmetry restoration transition if (quenched) quarks are introduced into the theory. We believe this is the simplest, four dimensional, AdS/CFT derived caricature of a QCD-like theory.

**Acknowledgements:** ET would like to thank STFC for his studentship funding. We are grateful to Johanna Erdmenger, Ingo Kirsch and Kazuo Ghoroku for comments on the manuscript.

---

[1] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].  
 [2] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].  
 [3] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 505 [arXiv:hep-th/9803131].  
 [4] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109].  
 [5] A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. B **454** (1999) 270 [arXiv:hep-th/9902125].  
 [6] S. S. Gubser, arXiv:hep-th/9902155.

[7] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **449** (1999) 39 [arXiv:hep-th/9812017].  
 [8] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **458** (1999) 226 [arXiv:hep-th/9904036].  
 [9] K. Ghoroku, M. Tachibana and N. Uekusa, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 125002 [arXiv:hep-th/0304051].  
 [10] I. Brevik, K. Ghoroku, A. Nakamura, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D15**:57-68, 2006 [arXiv:hep-th/0505057].  
 [11] N. R. Constable and R. C. Myers, JHEP **9911** (1999) 020 [arXiv:hep-th/9905081].  
 [12] K. Ghoroku and M. Yahiro, Phys. Lett. B **604** (2004) 235 [arXiv:hep-th/0408040].  
 [13] A. Karch and E. Katz, JHEP **0206**, 043 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205236].  
 [14] M. Kruczenski, D. Mateos, R. C. Myers and D. J. Winters, JHEP **0307** 049, 2003 [arXiv:hep-th/0304032].  
 [15] J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, I. Kirsch and E. Threlfall, arXiv:0711.4467 [hep-th].  
 [16] J. Babington, J. Erdmenger, N. J. Evans, Z. Guralnik and I. Kirsch, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 066007 [arXiv:hep-th/0306018].  
 [17] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, Commun. Math. Phys. **87** (1983) 577.  
 [18] C. P. Herzog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 091601 [arXiv:hep-th/0608151].  
 [19] D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, arXiv:0704.0240 [hep-th].  
 [20] J. Polchinski and M. J. Strassler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 031601 [arXiv:hep-th/0109174].  
 [21] M. Gunaydin, L. J. Romans and N. P. Warner, Phys. Lett. B **154** (1985) 268.  
 [22] M. Pernici, K. Pilch and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl. Phys. B **259** (1985) 460.  
 [23] M. Gunaydin, L. J. Romans and N. P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. B **272** (1986) 598.  
 [24] A. Buchel and J. T. Liu, [arXiv:hep-th/0305064].  
 [25] K. Ghoroku, M. Ishihara and A. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. **D25** (2007) 046005 arXiv:[hep-th/0612244].  
 [26] C. Hoyos, K. Landsteiner and S. Montero, arXiv:[hep-th/0612169].  
 [27] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 081601 [arXiv:hep-th/0104066].  
 [28] M. Grana and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 126005, [arXiv: hep-th/0106014].  
 [29] M. Bertolini, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda and R. Marotta, Nucl. Phys. B **621**, 157 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0107057].  
 [30] R. Apreda, J. Erdmenger, N. Evans and Z. Guralnik, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 126002 [arXiv:hep-th/0504151].  
 [31] I. Kirsch, Fortsch. Phys. **52** (2004) 727 [arXiv:hep-th/0406274].  
 [32] D. Mateos, R. C. Myers and R. M. Thomson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 091601 [arXiv:hep-th/0605046].  
 [33] D. Mateos, R. C. Myers and R. M. Thomson, JHEP **0705** (2007) 067 [arXiv:hep-th/0701132].  
 [34] T. Albash, V. G. Filev, C. V. Johnson and A. Kundu, arXiv:hep-th/0605088.  
 [35] C. Hoyos, K. Landsteiner and S. Montero, JHEP **0704** 031, 2007 [arXiv:hep-th/0612169].  
 [36] K. Peeters, J. Sonnenschein and M. Zamaklar, Phys. Rev. **D74** 106008, 2006 [arXiv:hep-th/0606195].  
 [37] R.C. Myers, A. O. Starinets and R. M. Thomson, JHEP **0711** 091, 2007 [arXiv:hep-th/0706.0162].  
 [38] A. O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 124013 [arXiv:hep-th/0207133].