

Higher order cohomology of arithmetic groups

Anton Deitmar

Abstract: Higher order cohomology of arithmetic groups is expressed in terms of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology. Generalizing results of Borel, it is shown that the latter can be computed using functions of (uniform) moderate growth. A higher order versions of Borel's conjecture is stated, asserting that the cohomology can be computed using automorphic forms.

Contents

1	General groups	2
2	Higher order cohomology of sheaves	4
3	Arithmetic groups	6
4	The higher order Borel conjecture	17

Introduction

In [2] we have defined higher order group cohomology in the following general context: Let Γ be a group and Σ a normal subgroup. For a ring R we define a sequence of functors H_q^0 from the category of $R[\Gamma]$ -modules to the category of R -modules. First, for an $R[\Gamma]$ -module V , one defines $H_1^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, V) = H^0(\Gamma, V) = V^\Gamma$ as the fixed point module. Inductively, $H_{q+1}^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, V)$ is the module of all $v \in V$ such that $\sigma v = v$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\gamma v - v$ is in $H_q^1(\Gamma, \Sigma, V)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. For every $q \geq 1$ the functor $H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, \cdot)$ is left-exact and we define the higher order group cohomology as the right derived functor

$$H_q^p = R^p H_q^0.$$

In the case of a Fuchsian group the choice $\Sigma = \Gamma_{\text{par}}$ = the subgroup generated by all parabolic elements, turned out to be the adequate choice for an Eichler-Shimura isomorphism result to hold, see [2]. For general arithmetic groups $\Gamma \subset G$, where G is a reductive linear group over \mathbb{Q} , a replacement for the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism is the isomorphism to (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology,

$$H^p(\Gamma, E) \cong H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E),$$

where E is a finite dimensional representation of G . In this paper we present a higher order analogue of this result, i.e., we will show isomorphism of higher order cohomology to (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology,

$$H_q^p(\Gamma, \Sigma, E) \cong H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E).$$

We will prove higher order versions of results of Borel by which one can compute the cohomology using spaces of functions with growth restrictions. We also state a higher order version of the Borel conjecture, proved by Franke [3], that the cohomology can be computed using automorphic forms.

Note that if $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) = 0$, then $H_q^p = H_1^p = H^p$ for every $q \geq 1$. Consequently, in the case of arithmetic groups, higher order cohomology is of interested only for rank-one groups.

1 General groups

Let R be a commutative ring with unit. Let Γ be a group and $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ a normal subgroup. Let I denote the augmentation ideal in the group algebra

$A = R[\Gamma]$. Let I_Σ denote the augmentation ideal of $R[\Sigma]$. As Σ is normal in Γ , the set AI_Σ is a 2-sided ideal in A . For $q \geq 1$ consider the ideal

$$J_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I^q + R[\Gamma]I_\Sigma.$$

So in particular, for $\Sigma = \{1\}$ one has $J_q = I^q$. On the other end, for $\Sigma = \Gamma$ one gets $J_q = I$ for every $q \geq 1$. For an A -module V define

$$H_q^p(\Gamma, \Sigma, V) = \text{Ext}_A^p(A/J_q, V).$$

This is the higher order cohomology of the module V , see [2]. Note that in the case $q = 1$, we get back the ordinary group cohomology, so

$$H_1^p(\Gamma, \Sigma, V) = H^p(\Gamma, V).$$

For convenience, we will sometimes suppress the Σ in the notation, so we simply write $H_q^p(\Gamma, V)$ or even $H_q^p(V)$ for $H_q^p(\Gamma, \Sigma, V)$.

For an R -module M and a set S we write M^S for the R -module of all maps from S to M . Then M^\emptyset is the trivial module 0. Up to isomorphism, the module M^S depends only on the cardinality of S . It therefore makes sense to define M^c for any cardinal number c in this way. Note that J_q/J_{q+1} is a free R -module. Define

$$N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_R J_q/J_{q+1}.$$

Then $N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)$ is a possibly infinite cardinal number.

Lemma 1.1 (a) *For every $q \geq 1$ there is a natural exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_q^0(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H_{q+1}^0(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, V)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H_q^1(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H_{q+1}^1(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, V)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow H_q^p(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H_{q+1}^p(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H^p(\Gamma, V)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Suppose that for a given $p \geq 0$ one has $H^p(\Gamma, V) = 0$. Then it follows $H_q^p(\Gamma, V) = 0$ for every $q \geq 1$. In particular, if V is acyclic as Γ -module, then $H_q^p(\Gamma, V) = 0$ for all $p, q \geq 1$.*

Proof: Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_q/J_{q+1} \rightarrow A/J_{q+1} \rightarrow A/J_q \rightarrow 0.$$

As an A -module, J_q/J_{q+1} is isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}$ of copies of $R = A/I$. So we conclude that for every $p \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^p(J_q/J_{q+1}, V) \cong \prod_{\alpha} \mathrm{Ext}_A^p(R, V) \cong H^p(\Gamma, V)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma(q)}}.$$

The long exact Ext-sequence induced by the above short sequence is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(A/J_q, V) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(A/J_{q+1}, V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(J_q/J_{q+1}, V) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(A/J_q, V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(A/J_{q+1}, V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(J_q/J_{q+1}, V) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^2(A/J_q, V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^2(A/J_{q+1}, V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^2(J_q/J_{q+1}, V) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

This is the claim (a). For (b) we proceed by induction on q . For $q = 1$ the claim follows from $H_1^p(\Gamma, V) = H^p(\Gamma, V)$. Assume the claim proven for q and $H^p(\Gamma, V) = 0$. As part of the above exact sequence, we have the exactness of

$$H_q^p(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H_{q+1}^p(\Gamma, V) \rightarrow H^p(\Gamma, V)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma(q)}}.$$

By assumption, we have $H^p(\Gamma, V)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma(q)}} = 0$ and by induction hypothesis the module $H_q^p(\Gamma, V)$ vanishes. This implies $H_{q+1}^p(\Gamma, V) = 0$ as well. \square

Lemma 1.2 (Cocycle representation) *The module $H_q^1(\Gamma, V)$ is naturally isomorphic to*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(J_q, V)/\alpha(V),$$

where $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(J_q, V)$ is given by $\alpha(v)(m) = mv$.

Proof: This is Lemma 1.3 of [2]. \square

2 Higher order cohomology of sheaves

Let Y be a topological space which is path-connected and locally simply connected. Let $C \rightarrow Y$ be a normal covering of Y . Let Γ be the fundamental

group of Y and let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be the universal covering. The fundamental group Σ of C is a normal subgroup of Γ .

For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on Y define

$$H_q^0(Y, C, \mathcal{F}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, H^0(X, \pi^* \mathcal{F})).$$

Let $\text{Mod}(R)$ be the category of R -modules, let $\text{Mod}_R(Y)$ be the category of sheaves of R -modules on Y , and let $\text{Mod}_R(X)_\Gamma$ be the category of sheaves over X with an equivariant Γ -action. Then $H_q^0(Y, C, \cdot)$ is a left exact functor from $\text{Mod}_R(Y)$ to $\text{Mod}(R)$. We denote its right derived functors by $H_q^p(Y, C, \cdot)$ for $p \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that the universal cover X is contractible.*

- (a) *For each $p \geq 0$ one has a natural isomorphism $H_1^p(Y, C, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(Y, \mathcal{F})$.*
- (b) *If a sheaf \mathcal{F} is $H^0(Y, \cdot)$ -acyclic, then it is $H_q^0(Y, C, \cdot)$ -acyclic.*

Note that part (b) allows one to use flabby or fine resolutions to compute higher order cohomology.

Proof: We decompose the functor $H^0(Y, C, \cdot)$ into the functors

$$\text{Mod}_R(Y) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Mod}_R(X)_\Gamma \xrightarrow{H^0(X, \cdot)} \text{Mod}(R[\Gamma]) \xrightarrow{H^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, \cdot)} \text{Mod}(R).$$

The functor π^* is exact and maps injectives to injectives. We claim that $H^0(X, \cdot)$ has the same properties. For the exactness, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}_R(X)_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{H^0} & \text{Mod}(R[\Gamma]) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Mod}_R(X) & \xrightarrow{H^0} & \text{Mod}(R), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the forgetful functors. As X is contractible, the functor H^0 below is exact. The forgetful functors have the property, that a sequence upstairs is exact if and only if its image downstairs is exact. This implies that the above H^0 is exact. It remains to show that H^0 maps

injective objects to injective objects. Let $\mathcal{J} \in \text{Mod}_R(X)_\Gamma$ be injective and consider a diagram with exact row in $\text{Mod}(R[\Gamma])$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N \\ & & \downarrow \varphi & & \\ & & H^0(X, \mathcal{J}) & & \end{array}$$

The morphism φ gives rise to a morphism $\phi : M \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$, where $M \times X$ stands for the constant sheaf with stalk M . Note that $H^0(X, \phi) = \varphi$. As \mathcal{J} is injective, there exists a morphism $\psi : N \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M \times X & \longrightarrow & N \times X \\ & & \downarrow \phi & \swarrow \psi & \\ & & \mathcal{J} & & \end{array}$$

commutative. This diagram induces a corresponding diagram on the global sections, which implies that $H^0(X, \mathcal{J})$ is indeed injective.

For a sheaf \mathcal{F} on Y it follows that

$$H^p(Y, \mathcal{F}) = R^p(H^0(Y, \mathcal{F})) = R^p H^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, \mathcal{F}) \circ H_\Gamma^0 \circ \pi^* = H_1^p(Y, C, \mathcal{F}).$$

Now let \mathcal{F} be acyclic. Then we conclude $H_1^p(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for every $p \geq 1$, so the Γ -module $V = H^0(X, \pi^* \mathcal{F})$ is Γ -acyclic. The claim follows from Lemma 1.1. □

3 Arithmetic groups

Let G be a semisimple Lie group with compact center and let $X = G/K$ be its symmetric space. Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be an arithmetic subgroup which is torsion-free, and let $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ be a normal subgroup. Let $Y = \Gamma \backslash X$, then Γ is the fundamental group of the manifold Y , and the universal covering X of Y is contractible. This means that we can apply the results of the last section.

Theorem 3.1 *Let (σ, E) be a finite dimensional representation of G . There is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_q^p(\Gamma, \Sigma, E) \cong H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E),$$

where the right hand side is the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology.

Proof: Let \mathcal{F}_E be the locally constant sheaf on Y corresponding to E . Let Ω_Y^p be the sheaf of complex valued p -differential forms on Y . Then $\Omega_Y^p \otimes \mathcal{F}_E$ is the sheaf of \mathcal{F}_E -valued differential forms. These form a fine resolution of \mathcal{F}_E :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_E \rightarrow C^\infty \otimes \mathcal{F}_E \xrightarrow{d \otimes 1} \Omega_Y^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_E \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\pi^* \Omega_Y^\bullet = \Omega_X^\bullet$, we conclude that $H_q^p(\Gamma, \Sigma, E)$ is the cohomology of the complex $H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, H^0(X, \Omega_X^\bullet \otimes E))$. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} be the Lie algebras of G and K respectively, and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition. Then $H^0(X, \Omega^p \otimes \mathcal{F}_E) = (C^\infty(G) \otimes \bigwedge^p \mathfrak{p})^K \otimes E$. Mapping a form ω in this space to $(1 \otimes x^{-1})\omega(x)$ one gets an isomorphism to $(C^\infty(G) \otimes \bigwedge^p \mathfrak{p} \otimes E)^K$, where K acts diagonally on all factors and Γ now acts on $C^\infty(G)$ alone. The claim follows. \square

Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ act on C^∞ as algebra of left invariant differential operators. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on G , see [4], Section 2.A.2. Recall that a function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ is said to be of *moderate growth*, if for every $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ one has $Df(x) = O(\|x\|^a)$ for some $a > 0$. The function f is said to be of *uniform moderate growth*, if the exponent a above can be chosen independent of D . Let $C_{\text{mg}}^\infty(G)$ and $C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G)$ denote the spaces of functions of moderate growth and uniform moderate growth respectively.

Let \mathfrak{z} be the center of the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathcal{A}(G)$ denote the space of functions $f \in C^\infty(G)$ such that

- f is of moderate growth,
- f is right K -finite, and
- f is \mathfrak{z} -finite.

Proposition 3.2 (a) For $\Omega = C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G), C_{\text{mg}}^\infty(G), C^\infty(G)$ one has

$$H_q^1(\Gamma, \Sigma, \Omega) = 0$$

for every $q \geq 1$.

(b) If $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$, then one has

$$H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(G)) \neq 0.$$

Proof: In order to prove (a), it suffices by Lemma 1.1 (b), to consider the case $q = 1$. A 1-cocycle is a map $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\alpha(\gamma\tau) = \gamma\alpha(\tau) + \alpha(\gamma)$ holds for all $\gamma, \tau \in \Gamma$. We have to show that for any given such map α there exists $f \in \Omega$ such that $\alpha(\tau) = \tau f - f$. To this end consider the symmetric space $X = G/K$ of G . Let $d(xK, yK)$ for $x, y \in G$ denote the distance in X induced by the G -invariant Riemannian metric. For $x \in G$ we also write $d(x) = d(xK, eK)$. Then the functions $\log \|x\|$ and $d(x)$ are equivalent in the sense that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C}d(x) \leq \log \|x\| \leq Cd(x)$$

or

$$\|x\| \leq e^{Cd(x)} \leq \|x\|^{C^2}$$

holds for every $x \in G$. We define

$$\mathcal{F} = \{y \in G : d(y) < d(\gamma y) \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}\}.$$

As Γ is torsion-free, this is a fundamental domain for the Γ left translation action on G . In other words, \mathcal{F} is open, its boundary is of measure zero, and there exists a set of representatives $R \subset G$ for the Γ -action such that $\mathcal{F} \subset R \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Next let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ with $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\int_G \varphi(x) dx = 1$. Then set $u = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}} * \varphi$, where $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the characteristic function of the set A and $*$ is the convolution product $f * g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x) dy$. Let C be the support of φ , then the support of u is a subset of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}C$ and the sum $\sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} u(\tau^{-1}x)$ is locally finite in x . More sharply, for a given compact unit-neighborhood V there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $x \in G$ one has

$$\#\{\tau \in \Gamma : u(\tau^{-1}xV) \not\subseteq \{0\}\} \leq N.$$

This is to say, the sum is uniformly locally finite. For a function h on G and $x, y \in G$ we write $L_y h(x) = h(y^{-1}x)$. Then for a convolution product one has $L_y(f * g) = (L_y f) * g$, and so

$$\sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} u(\tau^{-1}x) = \left(\sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} L_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}} \right) * \varphi.$$

The sum in parenthesis is equal to one on the complement of a nullset. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} u(\tau^{-1}x) \equiv 1.$$

Set

$$f(x) = - \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} \alpha(\tau)(x) u(\tau^{-1}x).$$

Lemma 3.3 *The function f lies in the space Ω .*

Proof: Since the sum is uniformly locally finite, it suffices to show that for each $\tau \in \Gamma$ we have $\alpha(\tau)(x)u(\tau^{-1}x) \in \Omega$ where the $O(\|\cdot\|^d)$ estimate is uniform in τ . By the Leibniz-rule it suffices to show this separately for the two factors $\alpha(\tau)$ and $L_\tau u$. For $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ we have

$$D(L_\tau u) = (L_\tau \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}}) * (D\varphi).$$

This function is bounded uniformly in τ , hence $L_\tau u \in C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G)$. Now $\alpha(\tau) \in \Omega$ by definition, but we need uniformity of growth in τ . We will treat the case $\Omega = C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G)$ here, the case C_{mg}^∞ is similar and the case $C^\infty(G)$ is trivial, as no growth bounds are required.

So let $\Omega = C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G)$ and set

$$S = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\} : \gamma\overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then S is a finite symmetric generating set for Γ . For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let \mathcal{F}_γ be the set of all $x \in G$ with $d(x) < d(\gamma x)$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}} \mathcal{F}_\gamma$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \bigcap_{s \in S} \mathcal{F}_s$. We claim that $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. As the intersection runs over fewer elements, one has $\mathcal{F} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. For the converse note that for every $s \in S$ the set $s\overline{\mathcal{F}}/K$ lies in $X \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/K$, therefore \mathcal{F}/K is a connected component of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/K$. By the invariance of the metric, we conclude that $x \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ if and only if $d(xK, eK) < d(xK, \gamma^{-1}K)$. This implies that \mathcal{F}_γ/K is a convex subset of X . Any intersection of convex sets remains convex, therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/K$ is convex and hence connected, and so $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/K = \mathcal{F}/K$, which means $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}$.

Likewise we get $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \bigcap_{s \in S} \overline{\mathcal{F}_s}$. The latter implies that for each $x \in G \setminus \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ there exists $s \in S$ such that $d(s^{-1}x) < d(x)$. Iterating this and using the fact that the set of all $d(\gamma x)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is discrete, we find for each $x \in G \setminus \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ a chain of elements $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ such that $d(x) > d(s_1^{-1}x) > \dots > d(s_n^{-1} \dots s_1^{-1}x)$ and $s_n^{-1} \dots s_1^{-1}x \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. The latter can be written as $x \in s_1 \dots s_n \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Now let $\tau \in \Gamma$ and suppose $u(\tau^{-1}x) \neq 0$. Then $x \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}C$, so, choosing C small enough, we can assume $x \in s\tau\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ for some $s \in S \cap \{e\}$. As the other case is similar, we can assume $s = e$. It suffices to assume $x \in \tau\overline{\mathcal{F}}$, as we only need the estimates on the dense open set $\Gamma\mathcal{F}$. So then it follows $\tau = s_1 \dots s_n$.

Let $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. As α maps to $\Omega = C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G)$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exist $C(D, \gamma), a(\gamma) > 0$ such that

$$|D\alpha(\gamma)(x)| \leq C(D, \gamma) \|x\|^{a(\gamma)}.$$

The cocycle relation of α implies

$$\alpha(\tau)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha(\gamma_j)(s_{j-1}^{-1} \dots s_1^{-1}x).$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
|D\alpha(\tau)(x)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n C(D, s_j) \|s_{j-1}^{-1} \dots s_1^{-1} x\|^{a(s_j)} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n C(D, s_j) e^{Cd(s_{j-1}^{-1} \dots s_1^{-1} x)a(s_j)} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n C(D, s_j) e^{Cd(x)a(s_j)} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n C(D, s_j) \|x\|^{C^2 a(s_j)} \\
&\leq nC_0(D) \|x\|^{a_0},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(D) = \max_j C(D, s_j)$ and $a_0 = C^2 \max_j d(s_j)$. It remains to show that n only grows like a power of $\|x\|$. To this end let for $r > 0$ denote $N(r)$ the number of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $d(\gamma) \leq r$. Then a simple geometric argument shows that

$$N(r) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}\mathcal{F}} \text{vol} \left(\bigcup_{\gamma: d(\gamma) \leq r} \gamma\mathcal{F}/K \right) \leq C_1 \text{vol}(B_{2r}),$$

where B_{2r} is the ball of radius $2r$ around eK . Note that for the homogeneous space X there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $\text{vol}B_{2r} \leq e^{C_2 r}$. Now $n \leq N(d(x))$ and therefore

$$n \leq C_1 \text{vol}B_{2d(x)} \leq C_1 e^{C_2 d(x)} \leq C_1 \|x\|^{C_3}$$

for some $C_3 > 0$. Together it follows that there exists $C(D) > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$|D\alpha(\tau)(x)| \leq C(D) \|x\|^a.$$

This is the desired estimate which shows that $f \in \Omega$. The lemma is proven. \square

To finish the proof of part (a) of the proposition, we now compute for $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma f(x) - f(x) &= f(\gamma^{-1}x) - f(x) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} \alpha(\tau x)u(\tau^{-1}x) - \alpha(\tau)(\gamma^{-1}x)u(\tau^{-1}\gamma^{-1}x) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} \alpha(\tau)(x)u(\tau^{-1}x) + \alpha(\gamma)(x) \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} u((\gamma\tau)^{-1}x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} \alpha(\gamma\tau)(x)u((\gamma\tau)^{-1}x) \end{aligned}$$

The first and the last sum cancel and the middle sum is $\alpha(\gamma)(x)$. Therefore, part (a) of the proposition is proven.

We now prove part (b). Let $Q = C^\infty(G)/\mathcal{A}(G)$. We have an exact sequence of Γ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(G) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

This results in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G)^\Gamma \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \xrightarrow{\phi} Q^\Gamma \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(G)) \rightarrow 0.$$

The last zero comes by part (a) of the proposition. We have to show that the map ϕ is not surjective. So let $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-zero group homomorphism and let $u \in C^\infty(G)$ as above with $\sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} u(\tau^{-1}x) = 1$, and u is supported in \overline{FC} for a small unit-neighborhood C . Set

$$h(x) = - \sum_{\tau \in \Gamma} \chi(\tau)u(\tau^{-1}x).$$

Then for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the function

$$h(\gamma^{-1}x) - h(x) = \chi(\gamma)$$

is constant and hence lies in $\mathcal{A}(G)^\Gamma$. This means that the class $[h]$ of h in Q lies in the Γ -invariants Q^Γ . As $\chi \neq 0$, the function f is not in $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$, and therefore ϕ is indeed not surjective. \square

Proposition 3.4 *For every $q \geq 1$ there is an exact sequence of continuous G -homomorphisms,*

$$0 \rightarrow H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_*^\infty(G)) \xrightarrow{\phi} H_{q+1}^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_*^\infty(G)) \xrightarrow{\psi} C_*^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where ϕ is the inclusion map and $*$ can be \emptyset , umg, or mg.

Proof: This follows from Lemma 1.1 together with Propostion 3.2 (a). □

The space $C^\infty(G)$ carries a natural topology which makes it a nuclear topological vector space. For every $q \geq 1$, the space $H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C^\infty(G))$ is a closed subspace. If Γ is cocompact, then one has the isotypical decomposition

$$H_1^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C^\infty(G)) = C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) = \overline{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)(\pi)},$$

and $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)(\pi) \cong m_\Gamma(\pi)\pi^\infty$, where the sum runs over the unitary dual \hat{G} of G , and for $\pi \in \hat{G}$ we write π^∞ for the space of smooth vectors in π . The multiplicity $m_\Gamma(\pi) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the multiplicity of π as a subrepresentation of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$, i.e.,

$$m_\Gamma(\pi) = \dim \text{Hom}_G(\pi, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)).$$

Finally, the direct sum $\overline{\bigoplus}$ means the closure of the algebraic direct sum in $C^\infty(G)$. We write \hat{G}_Γ for the set of all $\pi \in \hat{G}$ with $m_\Gamma(\pi) \neq 0$.

Let $\pi \in \hat{G}$. A smooth representation (β, V_β) of G is said to be *of type* π , if it is of finite length and every irreducible subquotient is isomorphic to π^∞ . For a smooth representation (η, V_η) we define the π -*isotype* as

$$V_\eta(\pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\bigoplus_{\substack{V_\beta \subset V_\eta \\ \beta \text{ of type } \pi}} V_\beta},$$

where the sum runs over all subrepresentations V_β of type π .

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose Γ is cocompact and let $* \in \{\emptyset, \text{mg}, \text{umg}\}$. We write $V_q = V$. For every $q \geq 1$ there is an isotypical decomposition*

$$V_q = \overline{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}_\Gamma} V_q(\pi)},$$

and each $V_q(\pi)$ is of type π itself. The exact sequence of Proposition 3.4 induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_q(\pi) \rightarrow V_{q+1}(\pi) \rightarrow (\pi^\infty)^{m_\Gamma(\pi)N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(a)} \rightarrow 0$$

for every $\pi \in \hat{G}_\Gamma$.

Proof: We will prove the theorem by reducing to a finite dimensional situation by means of considering infinitesimal characters and K -types. For this let $\hat{\mathfrak{z}} = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{C})$ be the set of all algebra homomorphisms from \mathfrak{z} to \mathbb{C} . For a \mathfrak{z} -module V and $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ let

$$V(\chi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in V : \forall z \in \mathfrak{z} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} (z - \chi(z))^n v = 0\}$$

be the *generalized χ -eigenspace*. Since \mathfrak{z} is finitely generated, one has

$$V(\chi) = \{v \in V : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \forall z \in \mathfrak{z} (z - \chi(z))^n v = 0\}.$$

For $\chi \neq \chi'$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ one has $V(\chi) \cap V(\chi') = 0$. Recall that the algebra \mathfrak{z} is free in r generators, where r is the absolute rank of G . Fix a set of generators z_1, \dots, z_r . The map $\chi \mapsto (\chi(z_1), \dots, \chi(z_r))$ is a bijection $\hat{\mathfrak{z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$. We equip $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ with the topology of \mathbb{C}^r . This topology does not depend on the choice of the generators z_1, \dots, z_r .

Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a discrete cocompact subgroup. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$ be the set of all $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ such that the generalized eigenspace $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)(\chi)$ is non-zero. The $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$ is discrete in $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}$, more sharply there exists $\varepsilon_\Gamma > 0$ such that for any two $\chi \neq \chi'$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$ there is $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $|\chi(z_j) - \chi'(z_j)| > \varepsilon_\Gamma$.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $*$ $\in \{\emptyset, \text{mg}, \text{umg}\}$. For every $q \geq 1$ and every $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ the space $V_q(\chi) = H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_*^\infty(G))(\chi)$ coincides with*

$$\bigcap_{z \in \mathfrak{z}} \ker(z - \chi(z))^{2^q - 1},$$

and is therefore a closed subspace of V_q . The representation of G on $V_q(\chi)$ is of finite length.

The space $V_q(\chi)$ is non-zero only if $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$. One has a decomposition

$$H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_*^\infty(G)) = \overline{\bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma} H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_*^\infty(G))(\chi)}.$$

The exact sequence of Proposition 3.4 induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_q(\chi) \rightarrow V_{q+1}(\chi) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}_\chi} m_\Gamma(\pi) N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q) \pi \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof: All assertions, except for the exactness of the sequence, are clear for $q = 1$. We proceed by induction. Fix $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$. Since $V_q(\chi) = V_q \cap V_{q+1}(\chi)$ one gets an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_q(\chi) \rightarrow V_{q+1}(\chi) \xrightarrow{\psi_\chi} V_1(\chi)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)}.$$

Let $v \in V_1(\chi)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)}$. As ψ is surjective, one finds $u \in V_{q+1}$ with $\psi(u) = v$. We have to show that one can choose u to lie in $V_{q+1}(\chi)$. We have $(z - \chi(z))v = 0$ for every $z \in \mathfrak{z}$. Therefore $(z - \chi(z))u \in V_q$. Inductively we assume the decomposition to hold for V_q , so we can write

$$(z_j - \chi(z_j))u = \sum_{\chi' \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma} u_{j, \chi'},$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $u_{j, \chi'} \in \ker(z - \chi(z))^{2^{q-1}}$ for every $z \in \mathfrak{z}$. For every $\chi' \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma \setminus \{\chi\}$ we fix some index $1 \leq j(\chi') \leq r$ with $|\chi(z_{j(\chi')}) - \chi'(z_{j(\chi')})| > \varepsilon_\Gamma$. On the space

$$\overline{\bigoplus_{\chi': j(\chi')=j} V_q(\chi')}$$

the operator $z_j - \chi(z_j)$ is invertible and the inverse $(z_j - \chi(z_j))^{-1}$ is continuous. We can replace u with

$$u - \sum_{\chi' \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma \setminus \{\chi\}} (z_{j(\chi')} - \chi(z_{j(\chi')}))^{-1} u_{j(\chi'), \chi'}.$$

We end up with u satisfying $\psi(u) = v$ and

$$(z_1 - \chi(z_1)) \cdots (z_r - \chi(z_r))u \in V_q(\chi) = \bigcap_{z \in \mathfrak{z}} \ker(z - \chi(z))^{2^{q-1}}.$$

So for every $z \in \mathfrak{z}$ one has

$$0 = (z_1 - \chi(z_1)) \cdots (z_r - \chi(z_r))(z - \chi(z))^{2^{q-1}} u,$$

which implies

$$(z - \chi(z))^{2^{q-1}} u \in \ker((z_1 - \chi(z_1)) \cdots (z_r - \chi(z_r))).$$

As the set $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$ is countable, one can, depending on χ , choose the generators z_1, \dots, z_r in a way that $\chi(z_j) \neq \chi'(z_j)$ holds for every j and every $\chi' \in$

$\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma \setminus \{\chi\}$. Therefore the operator $(z_1 - \chi(z_1)) \cdots (z_r - \chi(z_r))$ is invertible on $V_q(\chi')$ for every $\chi' \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma \setminus \{\chi\}$ and so it follows $(z - \chi(z))^{2^{q-1}} u \in V_q(\chi) \subset \ker(z - \chi(z))^{2^{q-1}}$ and therefore $u \in \ker((z - \chi(z))^{2^q})$. Since this holds for every z it follows $u \in V_{q+1}(\chi)$ and so ψ_χ is indeed surjective. One has an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_q(\chi) \rightarrow V_{q+1}(\chi) \rightarrow V_1(\chi)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the sum over all $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$ we arrive at an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_q \rightarrow \overline{\bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma} V_{q+1}(\chi)} \rightarrow V_1^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we get a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V_q & \longrightarrow & \overline{\bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma} V_{q+1}(\chi)} & \longrightarrow & V_1^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_q & \longrightarrow & V_{q+1} & \longrightarrow & V_1^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where i is the inclusion. By the 5-Lemma, i must be a bijection. The proposition follows. \square

We now finish the proof of the theorem. We keep the notation V_q for the space $\mathbb{H}_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_*^\infty(G))$. For a given $\chi \in \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\Gamma$ the G -representation $V_q(\chi)$ is of finite length, so the K -isotypical decomposition

$$V_q(\chi) = \overline{\bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{K}} V_q(\chi)(\tau)}$$

has finite dimensional isotypes, i.e., $\dim V_q(\chi)(\tau) < \infty$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ be the algebra of all $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\text{Ad}(k)D = D$ for every $k \in K$. Then the action of $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ commutes with the action of each $k \in K$, and so $K \times U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ acts on every smooth G -module. For $\pi \in \hat{G}$ the $K \times U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module $V_\pi(\tau)$ is irreducible and $V_\pi(\tau) \cong V_{\pi'}(\tau')$ as a $K \times U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module implies $\pi = \pi'$ and $\tau = \tau'$, see [4], Proposition 3.5.4. As $V_q(\chi)(\tau)$ is finite dimensional, one gets

$$V_q(\chi)(\tau) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \hat{G} \\ \chi\pi = \chi}} V_q(\chi)(\tau)(\pi),$$

where $V_q(\chi)(\tau)(\pi)$ is the largest $K \times U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -submodule of $V_q(\chi)(\tau)$ with the property that every irreducible subquotient is isomorphic to $V_\pi(\tau)$. Let

$$V_q(\pi) = \overline{\bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{K}} V_q(\chi_\pi)(\tau)(\pi)}.$$

The claims of the theorem follow from the proposition. □

4 The higher order Borel conjecture

Let (σ, E) be a finite dimensional representation of G . In [1], A. Borel has shown that the inclusions $C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G) \hookrightarrow C_{\text{mg}}^\infty(G) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(G)$ induce isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H^0(\Gamma, C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G)) \otimes E) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H^0(\Gamma, C_{\text{mg}}^\infty(G)) \otimes E) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H^0(\Gamma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E). \end{aligned}$$

In [3], J. Franke proved a conjecture of Borel stating that the inclusion $\mathcal{A}(G) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(G)$ induces an isomorphism

$$H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}(G)) \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H^0(\Gamma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E).$$

Conjecture 4.1 (Higher order Borel conjecture) *For every $q \geq 1$, the inclusion $\mathcal{A}(G) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(G)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, \mathcal{A}(G)) \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E).$$

We can prove the higher order version of Borel's result.

Theorem 4.2 *For each $q \geq 1$, the inclusions $C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G) \hookrightarrow C_{\text{mg}}^\infty(G) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(G)$ induce isomorphisms in cohomology:*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_{\text{umg}}^\infty(G)) \otimes E) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C_{\text{mg}}^\infty(G)) \otimes E) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathfrak{g},K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Sigma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let Ω be one of the spaces $\mathbb{C}_{\text{unig}}^\infty(G)$ or $\mathbb{C}_{\text{mg}}^\infty(G)$.

We will now leave Σ out of the notation. By Proposition 3.4 we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_q^0(\Gamma, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{q+1}^0(\Gamma, \Omega) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, \Omega)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \rightarrow 0,$$

and the corresponding long exact sequences in (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology. For each $p \geq 0$ we get a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, \Omega) \otimes E) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H_{q+1}^0(\Gamma, \Omega) \otimes E) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H^0(\Gamma, \Omega) \otimes E)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\ H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H_q^0(\Gamma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H_{q+1}^0(\Gamma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{g}, K}^p(H^0(\Gamma, C^\infty(G)) \otimes E)^{N_{\Gamma, \Sigma}(q)}. \end{array}$$

Borel has shown that γ is an isomorphism and that α is an isomorphism for $q = 1$. We prove that β is an isomorphism by induction on q . For the induction step we can assume that α is an isomorphism. Since the diagram continues to the left and right with copies of itself where p is replaced by $p - 1$ or $p + 1$, we can deduce that β is an isomorphism by the 5-Lemma. \square

By Proposition 3.2 (b) this proof cannot be applied to $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

References

- [1] **Borel, A.:** *Regularization theorems in Lie algebra cohomology.* Applications. Duke Math. J. 50 (1983), no. 3, 605–623.
- [2] **Deimar, A.:** *Higher order group cohomology and the Eichler-Shimura map.* to appear in: J. reine u. angew. Math.
- [3] **Franke, J.:** *Harmonic analysis in weighted L_2 -spaces.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 31 (1998), no. 2, 181–279.
- [4] **Wallach, N.:** *Real Reductive Groups I.* Academic Press 1988.

Mathematisches Institut
Auf der Morgenstelle 10

72076 Tübingen
Germany
deitmar@uni-tuebingen.de

22.10.2018