

Notes on Algebra and Geometry of Polynomial Representations

Gennadiy Averkov*

August 23, 2021

Abstract

Consider a semi-algebraic set A in \mathbb{R}^d constructed from the sets which are determined by inequalities $p_i(x) > 0$, $p_i(x) \geq 0$, or $p_i(x) = 0$ for a given list of polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m . We prove several statements that fit into the following template. Assume that in a neighborhood of a boundary point the semi-algebraic set A can be described by an irreducible polynomial f . Then f is a factor of a certain multiplicity of some of the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m . Special cases when A is elementary closed, elementary open, a polygon, or a polytope are considered separately.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14P10, Secondary: 52B05

Key words and phrases. Irreducible polynomial, polygon, polytope, polynomial representation, real algebraic geometry, semi-algebraic set

1 Introduction

In what follows $x := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a variable vector in \mathbb{R}^d ($d \in \mathbb{N}$). The origin in \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by o . Given $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\rho > 0$ by $B^d(c, \rho)$ we denote the open Euclidean ball with center c and radius ρ . The abbreviations *int* and *bd* stands for the interior and boundary, respectively. By *dim* we denote the dimension. As usual, $\mathbb{R}[x] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ denotes the ring of polynomials in variables x_1, \dots, x_d and coefficients in \mathbb{R} . A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ which can be represented by

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f_{i,1}(x) > 0, \dots, f_{i,s_i}(x) > 0, g_i(x) = 0 \right\},$$

where $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, s_i\}$ and $f_{i,j}, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, is called *semi-algebraic*. Information on semi-algebraic sets can be found in [1], [7], and [5]. Obviously, every semi-algebraic set A can be expressed by

$$A = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Phi((\text{sign } p_1(x) \in E_1), \dots, (\text{sign } p_m(x) \in E_m)) \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where Φ is a boolean formula, $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, and E_1, \dots, E_m are non-empty subsets of $\{0, 1\}$. Vice versa, every set A given by (1.1) is semi-algebraic; see [7, Proposition 2.2.4] and [5,

*Work supported by the German Research Foundation within the Research Unit 468 “Methods from Discrete Mathematics for the Synthesis and Control of Chemical Processes”

Corollary 2.75]. We call (1.1) a *representation* of A by polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m . We distinguish several particular types of semi-algebraic sets. Let us introduce the following notations:

$$(p_1, \dots, p_m)_{\geq 0} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : p_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, p_m(x) \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$(p_1, \dots, p_m)_{> 0} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : p_1(x) > 0, \dots, p_m(x) > 0 \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$Z(p_1, \dots, p_m) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : p_1(x) = 0, \dots, p_m(x) = 0 \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Sets representable by (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4), respectively, are called *elementary closed semi-algebraic*, *elementary open semi-algebraic*, and *algebraic*, respectively.

Now we are ready to formulate our main results. First we give an informal interpretation of Theorem 1.1. Let f be an irreducible polynomial such that $Z(f)$ is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional algebraic surface. Consider a semi-algebraic set A given by (1.1). If the boundary of A coincides locally with a part of $Z(f)$, then f is a factor of some p_i . If A coincides locally with a part of $(f)_{\geq 0}$, then f is an odd-multiplicity factor of some p_i . Furthermore, if in a neighborhood of a boundary point the set A coincides locally with a part of $Z(f)$, then f is a factor of at least two different polynomials p_i or an even-multiplicity factor of at least one polynomial p_i .

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a semi-algebraic set in \mathbb{R}^d given by (1.1) and let f be a polynomial irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Then the following statements hold true.*

I. One has $\text{bd } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m Z(p_i)$.

II. If

$$\dim(\text{bd } A \cap Z(f)) = d - 1, \quad (1.5)$$

then f is a factor of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

III. If there exist $a \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\dim(Z(f) \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon)) = d - 1, \quad (1.6)$$

$$(f)_{\geq 0} \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon) = A \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon), \quad (1.7)$$

then (1.5) is fulfilled and, moreover, f is an odd-multiplicity factor of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

IV. If there exist $a \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\dim(Z(f) \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon)) = d - 1,$$

$$(f)_{> 0} \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon) = A \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon),$$

then (1.5) is fulfilled and, moreover, f is an odd-multiplicity factor of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

We remark that (1.6) cannot be replaced by the weaker condition $\dim Z(f) = d - 1$ and $Z(f) \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, since the algebraic set $Z(f)$ corresponding to an irreducible polynomial f can have “parts” of dimensions strictly smaller than $\dim Z(f)$. In fact, for $d = 2$ the irreducible polynomial $f(x) := x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_1^3$ generates the cubic curve $Z(f)$ with isolated point at the origin. For $d = 3$, for the irreducible polynomial $f(x) = x_3^2 x_1 - x_2^2$ the set $Z(f)$ is the well-known *Whitney umbrella*, which is a two-dimensional algebraic surface with the one-dimensional “handle” $Z(x_2, x_3)$.

The rest of the introduction is devoted to statements for some special semi-algebraic sets (and special representations of semi-algebraic sets).

Corollary 1.2. *Let A be a semi-algebraic set given by*

$$A = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Phi((p_1(x) \geq 0), \dots, (p_m(x) \geq 0)) \right\},$$

where Φ is a boolean formula and $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x] \setminus \{0\}$, and let f be a polynomial irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Then the following statements hold true.

I. *If there exist $b \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\dim(Z(f) \cap B^d(b, \varepsilon)) = d - 1, \quad (1.8)$$

$$Z(f) \cap B^d(b, \varepsilon) = A \cap B^d(b, \varepsilon), \quad (1.9)$$

then (1.5) is fulfilled, and furthermore f is a factor of p_i and p_j for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $i \neq j$ or f is an even-multiplicity factor of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

II. *If there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that equalities (1.6), (1.7), (1.8), and (1.9) are fulfilled, then f is a factor of p_i and an odd-multiplicity factor of p_j for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $i \neq j$.*

□

Corollary 1.3. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x] \setminus \{0\}$ and $A := (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{\geq 0}$. Let f be a polynomial irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Assume that there exist $b \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that equalities (1.8) and (1.9) are fulfilled and additionally*

$$\dim(\text{int } A \cap Z(f)) = d - 1. \quad (1.10)$$

Then f is a factor of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that p_i is divisible by f , the factor f of p_i has even multiplicity. □

Corollary 1.4. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x] \setminus \{0\}$ and $A := (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{> 0}$. Let f be a polynomial irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Assume that there exist $b \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\dim(\text{bd } A \cap Z(f) \cap B^d(b, \varepsilon)) = d - 1, \quad (1.11)$$

$$B^d(b, \varepsilon) \setminus Z(f) = A \cap B^d(b, \varepsilon). \quad (1.12)$$

Then f is a factor of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that p_i is divisible by f , the factor f of p_i has even multiplicity. □

A subset P of \mathbb{R}^d is said to be a *polytope* if P is the convex hull of a non-empty and finite set of points; see [12]. It is known that a set P in \mathbb{R}^d is a polytope if and only if P is non-empty, bounded, and can be represented by $P = (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{\geq 0}$, where $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) are of degree one (the so-called *H-representation*). Thus, polytopes are just special elementary closed semi-algebraic sets. The study of polynomial representations of polygons and polytopes was initiated in [6] and [10]; see also the survey [11]. In [10] it was noticed that, if a d -dimensional polytope P is represented by

$$P = (q_1, \dots, q_m)_{\geq 0} \quad (1.13)$$

with $q_1, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, then $m \geq d$. In [8] it was conjectured that every d -dimensional polytope in \mathbb{R}^d can be represented by (1.13) with $m = d$. This conjecture was confirmed in [4] for simple polytopes (see also [3] for further generalizations). We recall that a d -dimensional polytope is called *simple* if each of its vertices is contained in precisely d facets. We refer to [1, Chapter 5] and [7, §6.5 and §10.4] for results on minimal representations of general elementary semi-algebraic sets. We are able to derive some necessary conditions on representations of polytopes consisting of d polynomials.

Corollary 1.5. *Let P be a d -dimensional polytope in \mathbb{R}^d with m facets such that*

$$P = (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{\geq 0} = (q_1, \dots, q_d)_{\geq 0},$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, \dots, q_d \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ and p_1, \dots, p_m are of degree one. Then every p_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, is a factor of precisely one polynomial q_j with $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Furthermore, for i and j as above, the factor p_i of p_j is of odd multiplicity. \square

Corollary 1.5 improves Proposition 2.1(i) from [10]. In [6] it was shown that every convex polygon P in \mathbb{R}^2 can be represented by two polynomials. We are able to determine the precise structure of such minimal representations.

Corollary 1.6. *Let P be a convex polygon in \mathbb{R}^2 with $m \geq 7$ edges and let*

$$P = (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{\geq 0} = (q_1, q_2)_{\geq 0},$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ and p_1, \dots, p_m are of degree one. Then there exist $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that $\{q_1, q_2\} = \{p_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_m^{k_m} g_1, g_2\}$ and the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. k_1, \dots, k_m are odd ;
2. g_1, g_2 are not divisible by p_i for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$;
3. $g_2(y) = 0$ for every vertex y of P .

\square

It is not hard to see that the the set $(q_1, q_2)_{\geq 0}$ in Corollary 1.6 does not depend on the concrete choice of odd numbers k_1, \dots, k_m . More precisely, for g_1, g_2 as in Corollary 1.6 we have $P = (p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m g_1, g_2)_{\geq 0}$. In [6] the polynomials q_1, q_2 representing P were defined in such a way that $g_1 = 1$ and $k_1 = \dots = k_m = 1$; see Fig. 1 for an illustration of this result and Corollary 1.6. We also remark that the assumption $m \geq 7$ cannot be relaxed in general, since Corollary 1.6 would not hold if P is a centrally symmetric hexagon. In fact, assume that P is a centrally symmetric hexagon and p_1, \dots, p_6 are polynomials of degree one such that $Z(p_1) \cap P, \dots, Z(p_6) \cap P$ are consecutive edges of P . Then $P = (q_1, q_2)_{\geq 0}$ for $q_1 := p_1 p_3 p_5$ and $q_2 := p_2 p_4 p_6$; see Fig. 2. It will be seen from the proof of Corollary 1.6 that the assumption $m \geq 7$ can be relaxed to $m \geq 5$ for the case when P does not have parallel edges.




Figure 1. Illustration to Corollary 1.6 and the result on representation of convex polygons by two polynomials




Figure 2. Centrally symmetric hexagon P represented by $P = (q_1, q_2)_{\geq 0}$ for $q_1 = p_1 p_3 p_4$ and $q_2 = p_2 p_4 p_6$

2 Examples

We wish to give several examples illustrating the presented results. Each of the examples below is supplied with a figure referring to the case $d = 2$. Let

$$A := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d > 0 \text{ and } ((x_1 - 1)^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 \leq 1 \text{ or } x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 \leq 1)\},$$

see Fig. 3. By Theorem 1.1, if A is given by (1.1), then the polynomials x_d , $(x_1 - 1)^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 - 1$, $(x_1 + 1)^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 - 1$ are factors of odd multiplicity of some of the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m .




Figure 3. Illustration to Theorem 1.1

The set

$$A := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (1 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_d^2)(x_d + 2)^2 \geq 0\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (1 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_d^2)(x_d + 2) \geq 0, x_d + 2 \geq 0\}, \quad (2.2)$$

depicted in Fig. 4 is the disjoint unit of a closed unit ball centered at o and a hyperplane given by the equation $x_d + 2 = 0$. By Corollary 1.2(I), if A is given by (1.1), then $x_d + 2$ is a factor of at least two polynomials p_i or a factor of even multiplicity of at least one polynomial p_1, \dots, p_m . From (2.1) and (2.2) we see that both of these possibilities are indeed realizable. Fig. 5 depicts the semi-algebraic set

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_d \geq 0, (1 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_d^2)x_d \geq 0\}, \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_d \geq 0, (1 - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_d^2)x_d^2 \geq 0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By Corollary 1.2(II), if A is given by (1.1) with $E_1 = \dots = E_m = \{0, 1\}$, the polynomial x_d is a factor of at least two polynomials p_i and an odd-multiplicity factor of at least one polynomial p_i . By (2.3) we see that the above conclusion cannot be strengthened. In fact, (2.3) provides a representation $A = (p_1, p_2)_{\geq 0}$ such that x_d is an odd-multiplicity factor of precisely one polynomial p_i .





Figure 4. Illustration to Corollary 1.2(I) **Figure 5.** Illustration to Corollary 1.2(II)

Fig. 6 presents the semi-algebraic set

$$A := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : (1 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_d^2) x_d^2 \geq 0 \right\}.$$

which serves as an illustration of Corollary 1.3. By Corollary 1.3, if $A = (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{\geq 0}$ for $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, some of these polynomials are divisible by x_d , and furthermore, if p_i is divisible by x_d , the multiplicity of the factor x_d of p_i is even. Fig. 7 depicts the semi-algebraic set

$$A := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (1 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_d^2) x_d^2 > 0 \}$$

illustrating Corollary 1.4. By Corollary 1.4, if $A = (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{> 0}$ for some polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, then x_d is a factor of at least one p_i and f cannot be a factor of p_i of odd multiplicity. We notice that Corollary 1.4 is in a certain sense an analogue of Corollary 1.3 for elementary open semi-algebraic sets (since the conclusions of both the corollaries are the same).





Figure 6. Illustration to Corollary 1.3 **Figure 7.** Illustration to Corollary 1.4

Finally, we present examples of semi-algebraic sets for which we can verify that they are not elementary semi-algebraic (see also similar examples given in [1, p. 24]). We define the closed semi-algebraic set

$$A := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d = 0 \text{ or } (x_1 - 3)^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 \leq 1 \text{ or } (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 \leq 1 \text{ and } x_d \geq 0) \right\},$$

see Fig. 8. We can show that A is not elementary closed. In fact, let us assume the contrary, that is $A = (p_1, \dots, p_m)_{\geq 0}$ for some polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then, by Theorem 1.1(III) applied for $a = 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we get that x_d is a factor of odd multiplicity of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since (1.10) is fulfilled for $f = x_d$, we can apply Corollary 1.3 obtaining that x_d is a factor of even multiplicity of p_i , a contradiction. Now we introduce the open semi-algebraic set

$$A := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 < 1 \text{ and } x_d > 0 \text{ or } (x_1 - 3)^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 < 1 \text{ and } x_d \neq 0 \right\},$$

see Fig. 9. By Theorem 1.1(IV) and Corollary 1.4 (applied for $f(x) = x_d$) A is not elementary open.




Figure 8. A closed semi-algebraic set which is not elementary closed




Figure 9. An open semi-algebraic set which is not elementary open

3 Preliminaries and auxiliary statements

A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is said to be *irreducible* over $\mathbb{R}[x]$ if f is non-constant and f cannot be represented as a product of two non-constant polynomials over $\mathbb{R}[x]$. A polynomial p is said to be a *factor* of q if $q = pg$ for some polynomial g . An irreducible factor f of p is said to have *multiplicity* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if f^k is a factor of p but f^{k+1} is not a factor of p .

Below we give background information on commutative algebra and algebraic geometry; see also [2] and [9]. Let R be a commutative ring. Then a subset I of R is said to be an *ideal* if I is an additive group and for every $f \in I$ and $g \in R$ one has $fg \in I$. An ideal I of R is said to be *prime* if for every product $fg \in I$ with $f, g \in R$, one has $f \in I$ or $g \in I$. The (*Krull*) *dimension* of a commutative ring R is the maximal length of a sequence of prime ideals I_1, \dots, I_k satisfying $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq I_k \subsetneq R$. The *factor ring* R/I is defined as the set $\{x + I : x \in R\}$ with the addition and multiplication induced by R .

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a commutative ring and I, J be ideals in R such that I is prime and $I \subseteq J$. Then $\dim(R/J) \leq \dim(R/I)$ with equality if and only if $I = J$.*

Proof. It is known that every ideal X of R/J has the form $X = P/J := \{x + I : x \in P\}$, where P is an ideal in R with $J \subseteq P$; see [2, p. 9 of Chapter 2]. Furthermore, X is prime in R/J if and only if P is prime in R . Using this observation we readily get that the dimension of R/J is the maximal length of sequence of prime ideals I_1, \dots, I_k satisfying $J \subseteq I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq I_k \subsetneq R$. If I is properly contained in J , then I, I_1, \dots, I_k is the chain of prime ideals containing I , and we get that the dimension of R/I is strictly larger than the dimension of R/J . \square

An algebraic set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be *irreducible* if whenever V is represented by $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_1, V_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ are algebraic sets, it follows that $V_1 = V$ or $V_2 = V$. Given a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we introduce the ideal

$$\mathcal{I}(A) := \{p \in \mathbb{R}[x] : p(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A\}.$$

It is known that an algebraic set V in \mathbb{R}^d is irreducible if and only if the ideal $\mathcal{I}(V)$ of the ring $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is prime; see [9, Proposition 3, p. 195] and [7, Theorem 2.8.3(ii)]. The notion of dimension of a (semi-algebraic) set can be defined in several equivalent ways; for details see [7, §2.8]. We shall employ the following algebraic definition. The *dimension* of a semi-algebraic set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined as the dimension of the ring $\mathbb{R}[x]/\mathcal{I}(A)$. Let A_1, \dots, A_m be semi-algebraic sets in \mathbb{R}^d . Then

$$\dim(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m) = \max \{\dim A_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}, \quad (3.1)$$

see [7, Proposition 2.8.5(i)].

We present several statements devoted to irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{R}[x]$ that define $(d-1)$ -dimensional algebraic sets. Given a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, by ∇p we denote the gradient of p . The following statement can be found in [7, Theorem 4.5.1].

Theorem 3.2. *Let f be a polynomial irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $\mathcal{I}(Z(f)) = \{fg : g \in \mathbb{R}[x]\}$.

(ii) The polynomial f has a non-singular zero, i.e., for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ one has $f(y) = 0$ and $\nabla f(y) \neq 0$.

(iii) $\dim Z(f) = d - 1$.

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f, p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Let f be irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and let $\dim Z(f) = d - 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $\dim(Z(f) \cap Z(p)) = d - 1$.

(ii) $Z(f) \subseteq Z(p)$.

(iii) f is a factor of p .

Proof. It suffices to verify (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), since the implications (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) are trivial.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that (i) is fulfilled. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 3.2 yields $\mathcal{I}(Z(f)) = \{fg : g \in \mathbb{R}[x]\}$. Consequently, since f is irreducible, the ideal $\mathcal{I}(Z(f))$ is prime. Obviously, $\mathcal{I}(Z(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z(f, p))$. Furthermore,

$$\dim \mathbb{R}[x]/\mathcal{I}(Z(f, p)) = \dim Z(f, p) \stackrel{(i)}{=} d - 1 = \dim Z(f) = \dim \mathbb{R}[x]/\mathcal{I}(Z(f))$$

and, by Lemma 3.1, it follows that $\mathcal{I}(Z(f)) = \mathcal{I}(Z(f, p))$. The latter equality yields $Z(f) = Z(f, p)$; see [9, Proposition 8, p. 34]. Since $Z(f, p) = Z(f) \cap Z(p)$, the statement (ii) readily follows.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Since $Z(f) \subseteq Z(p)$ it follows that $\mathcal{I}(Z(p)) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(Z(f))$ and hence $p \in \mathcal{I}(Z(f))$. But then, by the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 3.2, it follows that f is a factor of p . □

As a direct consequence of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii) of Lemma 3.3 we obtain

Lemma 3.4. *Let f and g be polynomials irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and let $\dim Z(f) = \dim Z(g) = d - 1$. Then $\dim(Z(f) \cap Z(g)) = d - 1$ if and only if f and g coincide up to a constant multiple.* □

Proposition 3.5. *Let f be a polynomial irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and such that $\dim Z(f) = d - 1$. Then*

$$\dim Z(f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} f) \leq d - 2.$$

Proof. Even though this statement is known (see [7, Proposition 3.3.14]), we wish to give a short proof. We assume that $\dim Z(f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} f) = d - 1$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, one has $Z(f) \subseteq Z(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} f)$, a contradiction to the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 3.2. □

4 The proofs

Now we are ready to prove the main result and its corollaries. In the proofs we shall deal with polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m . Throughout the proofs f_1, \dots, f_n will denote the polynomials irreducible over $\mathbb{R}[x]$ which are involved in the *prime factorization* of the product $p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$ (see [9, p. 149]), i.e.

$$p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m = f_1^{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot f_n^{s_n}$$

for some $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$ the polynomials f_i and f_j do not coincide up to a constant multiple.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define

$$\Psi(x) := \Phi((\text{sign } p_1(x) \in E_1), \dots, (\text{sign } p_m(x) \in E_m)).$$

Part I: Let $x_0 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m Z(p_i)$, that is $p_i(x_0) \neq 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the sign of every $p_i(x)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, remains constant on $B^d(x_0, \varepsilon)$. It follows that $\Psi(x)$ is constant for $x \in B^d(x_0, \varepsilon)$. Consequently, either $B^d(x_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq A$ or $B^d(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap A = \emptyset$. Hence x_0 is either an interior or an exterior point of A , and we get the conclusion of Part I.

Part II: By Part I we have $\text{bd } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m Z(f_i)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} d - 1 &\stackrel{(1.5)}{=} \dim(\text{bd } A \cap Z(f)) \leq \dim\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m Z(p_i)\right) \cap Z(f)\right) \\ &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \dim(Z(p_i) \cap Z(f)) \leq d - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim Z(f) = d - 1$ and for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ one has $\dim(Z(p_i) \cap Z(f)) = d - 1$. Then Lemma 3.3 yields the assertion of Part II.

Part III: Let $a \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy (1.6) and (1.7). From (1.6) it follows that $\dim Z(f) = d - 1$. By Proposition 3.5, there exists $a' \in Z(f) \cap B^d(a, \varepsilon)$ such that $\nabla f(a') \neq o$. We choose $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $B^d(a', \varepsilon') \subseteq B^d(a, \varepsilon)$ and $\nabla f(x) \neq o$ for every $x \in B^d(a', \varepsilon')$. Let us show that

$$Z(f) \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon') \subseteq \text{bd } A. \quad (4.1)$$

Consider an arbitrary point $x \in Z(f) \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon')$. In view of (1.7) we have $x \in A$. On the other hand, since $f(x) = 0$ and $\nabla f(x) \neq o$, there exists a sequence $(x^k)_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ of points from $B^d(a', \varepsilon')$ such that $f(x^k) < 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x^k \rightarrow x$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $x^k \notin (f)_{\geq 0}$ and $x^k \in B^d(a, \varepsilon)$, in view of (1.7) it follows that $x^k \notin A$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, x is a point of A and is a limit of a sequence of points lying outside A . The latter implies (4.1). Since $f(a') = 0$ and $\nabla f(x) \neq o$ for every $x \in Z(f) \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon')$ it follows that $Z(f) \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon')$ is an infinitely differentiable manifold of dimension $d - 1$, where the notion dimension is used in the sense of differential geometry. It is known that in the above case the Krull dimension of $Z(f) \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon')$ is also equal to $d - 1$; see [7, Proposition 2.8.14]. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d - 1 &= \dim(Z(f) \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon')) \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \dim(Z(f) \cap \text{bd } A \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon')) \\ &\leq \dim(Z(f) \cap \text{bd } A) \leq \dim(Z(f)) = d - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim(Z(f) \cap \text{bd } A) = d - 1$. By Part II, it follows that f coincides, up to a constant multiple, with f_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $f = f_1$. By Lemma 3.4, we can choose $a'' \in Z(f) \cap B^d(a', \varepsilon')$ such that $f_i(a'') \neq 0$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. This means the sign of the polynomials f_i , $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, remains constant on $B^d(a'', \varepsilon'')$. We prove the statement of Part III by contradiction. Assume that whenever f is factor of p_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, this factor is of even multiplicity. Since $\nabla f(a'') \neq o$, we can choose $x_0, y_0 \in B^d(a'', \varepsilon'')$ such that $f(x_0) > 0$ and $f(y_0) < 0$. Since the signs of f_2, \dots, f_n do not change on $B^d(a'', \varepsilon'')$ and since $f_1 = f$ appears with an even multiplicity only, we obtain $\text{sign } p_j(x_0) = \text{sign } p_j(y_0)$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $\Psi(x_0) = \Psi(y_0)$. But by (1.7), $x_0 \in A$ and $y_0 \notin A$, which implies that $\Psi(x_0) \neq \Psi(y_0)$, a contradiction.

The proof of Part IV is omitted, since it is analogous to the proof of Part III. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Part I: Let $b \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy (1.8) and (1.9). From (1.8) it follows that $\dim Z(f) = d - 1$. By Proposition 3.5, there exists $b' \in Z(f) \cap B^d(b, \varepsilon)$ such that $\nabla f(b') \neq o$. Choose $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $B^d(b', \varepsilon') \subseteq B^d(b, \varepsilon)$ and $\nabla f(x) \neq o$ for every

$x \in B^d(b', \varepsilon')$. Using arguments analogous to those from the proof of Theorem 1.1(III) we show the inclusion $Z(f) \cap B^d(b', \varepsilon') \subseteq \text{bd } A$ and (1.5). Hence, by Theorem 1.1(II), f coincides, up to a constant multiple, with f_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $f = f_1$. If f is a factor of p_i and p_j for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $i \neq j$, we are done. We consider the opposite case, that is, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ the polynomial f is a factor of precisely one polynomial p_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, say p_1 . We show by contradiction that in this case the factor f of p_1 has even multiplicity. Assume the contrary, i.e., the factor f of p_1 has odd multiplicity. Analogously to the arguments from the proof of Theorem 1.1, we choose $b'' \in Z(f)$ and $\varepsilon'' > 0$ such that $B^d(b'', \varepsilon'') \subseteq B^d(b', \varepsilon')$ and $f_i(x) \neq 0$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and every $x \in B^d(b'', \varepsilon'')$. By the choice of b'' and ε'' we have $\text{sign } p_i(x) = \text{sign } p_i(b'')$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ and $x \in B^d(b'', \varepsilon'')$. Since $\nabla f(b'') \neq o$, there exist points $x_0, y_0 \in B^d(b'', \varepsilon'')$ such that $f(x_0)f(y_0) < 0$. Then $p_1(x_0)p_1(y_0) < 0$. Consequently, either $p_1(x_0) > 0$ or $p_1(y_0) > 0$. Without loss of generality we assume that $p_1(x_0) > 0$. It follows that $(p_i(x_0) \geq 0) \equiv (p_i(b'') \geq 0)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $x_0 \in A$. But since $f(x_0) \neq 0$, in view of (1.9), we get $x_0 \notin A$, a contradiction.

Part II: By Theorem 1.1(III) f is a factor of odd multiplicity of some p_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Furthermore, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $i \neq j$ the polynomial f is a factor of p_j , since otherwise we would get a contradiction to Part I. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By Corollary 1.2(I), f is a factor of some p_i , say p_1 . Without loss of generality we assume that $f_1 = f$. Let us show that the factor f of p_1 is of even multiplicity. Assume the contrary. In view of Proposition 3.5, we can choose $a' \in \text{int } A \cap Z(f)$ such that $\nabla f(a') \neq o$. We fix $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $\nabla f(x) \neq o$ for every $x \in B^d(a', \varepsilon')$. By Lemma 3.4 we can choose $a'' \in B^d(a', \varepsilon')$ such that $f_i(a'') \neq 0$ for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Fix $\varepsilon'' > 0$ such that for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ the sign of f_i remains constant on $B^d(a'', \varepsilon'')$. Since $\nabla f(a'') \neq o$, there exist x_0 and y_0 in $B^d(a'', \varepsilon'')$ with $f(x_0)f(y_0) < 0$. Hence $p_1(x_0)p_1(y_0) < 0$, and we get that either x_0 or y_0 does not belong to A , a contradiction. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Equality (1.11) implies (1.5), and hence, by Theorem 1.1(II), f is a factor of p_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The rest of the proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 1.3. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let us prove the first part of the assertion. Assume the contrary, say p_1 is a factor of both q_1 and q_2 . Then within the $(d-1)$ -dimensional affine space $Z(p_1)$ the facet $P \cap Z(p_1)$ of P is represented by $d-2$ polynomials q_3, \dots, q_d in the following way

$$P \cap Z(p_1) = \{x \in Z(p_1) : q_3(x) \geq 0, \dots, q_d(x) \geq 0\}.$$

This yields a contradiction to the fact that a k -dimensional convex polytope cannot be represented (in the above form) by less than k polynomials; see [10, Corollary 2.2]. The second part of the assertion follows directly from Theorem 1.1(III). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6. For $j \in \{1, 2\}$ denote by I_j the set of indices $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ for which p_i is a factor of q_j . By Corollary 1.3 it follows that $I_1 \cup I_2 = \{1, \dots, m\}$. Furthermore, $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$, by Corollary 1.5. Let us show that either I_1 or I_2 is empty. Assume the contrary. We show that then there exist $i \in I_1$ and $j \in I_2$ such that the edges $Z(p_i) \cap P$ and $Z(p_j) \cap P$ of P are not adjacent and not parallel. Since $m \geq 7$, after possibly exchanging the roles of q_1 and q_2 , we may assume that the cardinality of I_2 is at least four. Let us take an arbitrary $i \in I_1$. Then there exist at least two sides of the form $Z(p_j) \cap P$, $j \in I_2$, which are not adjacent to $Z(p_i) \cap P$. One of these sides is not parallel to $Z(p_i) \cap P$. The intersection point y of $Z(p_i)$ and $Z(p_j)$ lies outside P and fulfills the equalities $q_1(y) = q_2(y) = 0$, a contradiction to the inclusion $(q_1, q_2)_{\geq 0} \subseteq P$. Hence I_1 or I_2 is empty. Without loss of generality we assume that $I_2 = \emptyset$.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ let k_i be the multiplicity of the factor p_i of p_1 . Then $q_1 = p_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_m^{k_m} g_1$ for some polynomial g_1 , and Statements 1 and 2 follow directly from Theorem 1.1(III).

It remains to verify Condition 2 (which involves $g_2 = q_2$). This condition can be deduced from Proposition 2.1(ii) in [10], but below we also give a short proof. We argue by contradiction. Let y be a vertex of P with $g_2(y) > 0$. Up to reordering the sequence p_1, \dots, p_m we may assume that $p_1(y) = 0$. Clearly, any point y' lying in $Z(p_1) \setminus P$ and sufficiently close to y fulfills the conditions $q_1(y') = 0$ and $q_2(y') > 0$. Hence $y' \in P$, a contradiction to the inclusion $(q_1, q_2)_{\geq 0} \subseteq P$. \square

References

- [1] C. Andradas, L. Bröcker, and J. M. Ruiz, *Constructible sets in real geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 33, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR 98e:14056
- [2] R. B. Ash, *Basic abstract algebra*, Dover Publications Inc., Mineola, NY, 2007, For graduate students and advanced undergraduates. MR 2007i:00001
- [3] G. Averkov, *Representing elementary semi-algebraic sets by a few polynomial inequalities: A constructive approach*, 14pp., Preprint arXiv:0804.2134, 2008+.
- [4] G. Averkov and M. Henk, *Representing simple d -dimensional polytopes by d polynomial inequalities*, submitted, 2007+.
- [5] S. Basu, R. Pollack, and M.-F. Roy, *Algorithms in Real Algebraic Geometry*, second ed., Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. MR 2007b:14125
- [6] A. Bernig, *Constructions for the theorem of Bröcker and Scheiderer*, Master's thesis, Universität Dortmund, 1998.
- [7] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, and M.-F. Roy, *Real Algebraic Geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, Translated from the 1987 French original, Revised by the authors. MR 2000a:14067
- [8] H. Bosse, M. Grötschel, and M. Henk, *Polynomial inequalities representing polyhedra*, Math. Program. **103** (2005), no. 1, Ser. A, 35–44. MR 2006k:52018
- [9] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. MR 93j:13031
- [10] M. Grötschel and M. Henk, *The representation of polyhedra by polynomial inequalities*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **29** (2003), no. 4, 485–504. MR 2004b:14098
- [11] M. Henk, *Polynomdarstellungen von Polyedern*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **109** (2007), no. 2, 51–69.
- [12] G. M. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR 96a:52011

GENNADIY AVERKOV, UNIVERSITÄTSPLATZ 2, INSTITUTE OF ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY,
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, OTTO-VON-GUERICKE UNIVERSITY OF MAGDEBURG,
39106 MAGDEBURG, GERMANY
e-mail: gennadiy.averkov@gmail.com
web: <http://fma2.math.uni-magdeburg.de/~averkov>