

Classical Worldsheets for String Scattering on Flat and AdS Spacetime

Charles M. Sommerfield¹ and Charles B. Thorn²

*Institute for Fundamental Theory
Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611*

Abstract

We present a study of the worldsheets that describe the classical limit of various string scattering processes. Our main focus is on string scattering in AdS spacetime because of its relation via the AdS/CFT correspondence to gluon scattering in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. But we also consider analogous processes in flat Minkowski spacetime which we compare to the AdS case. In addition to scattering of string by string we also find and study worldsheets describing the scattering of a string by external sources.

¹E-mail address: sommerfield@phys.ufl.edu

²E-mail address: thorn@phys.ufl.edu

1 Introduction

The exact tree amplitudes for the scattering of strings in flat spacetime can be obtained in many ways. For example, the path integral for the sum over worldsheets can be completely evaluated by choosing a parametrization that linearizes the worldsheet equations. Because of this, one doesn't really need a visualization of the "classical" worldsheet that would represent a saddle-point approximation to the path integral. But for string scattering on non-trivial backgrounds, for which an exact evaluation is not possible, the saddle-point approximation is frequently the only available approach to calculations. Then it becomes important to understand the physical meaning of various classical worldsheet solutions.

Because of the AdS/CFT correspondence [1], the scattering of field quanta of a conformal quantum field theory is dual to string scattering on an Anti-deSitter spacetime, and the strong 't Hooft coupling limit on the field side is dual to the classical limit on the string side. For example, Alday and Maldacena (AM) [2, 3] have employed this duality to calculate the scattering of gluons and their superpartners in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in the limit of strong coupling. This limit is described by the classical dynamics of string moving in an Anti-deSitter (AdS) spacetime. To describe the scattering one needs to find the classical worldsheet in Poincaré coordinates $x^\mu(\xi), z(\xi)$, with its boundary at $z = \infty$.³ Actually, it is easier to work with T-dual coordinates [4, 5] $y^\mu(\xi)$ and to use $r = R^2/z$ instead of z . These coordinates turn out to be the Poincaré coordinates of another AdS manifold. In terms of them, the boundary of the desired worldsheet is at $r = 0$, and, for the scattering of n ground state strings, the boundary conditions are simply that the worldsheet end at $r = 0$ on a closed polygon of n lightlike line segments, given by the momenta of the n external particles [2].

One can also use T-dual coordinates to describe string scattering in flat spacetime. This is usually not done, but we think it a useful and interesting exercise to examine the T-dual description of worldsheets in this case as well. In flat spacetime, choosing conformally flat worldsheet coordinates linearizes the equations of motion, and the worldsheet solution can be expressed in terms of Green functions, with appropriate boundary conditions, for the 2D Laplacian. Studying the solutions in flat spacetime helps clarify the physical nature of the boundary conditions used by AM in the worldsheet solutions on AdS applied to gluon scattering at strong coupling. For example, the scattering amplitudes can be explicitly related to finite time transition amplitudes in the lightcone gauge, and therefore can be obtained from a reduction formalism. In particular, it is interesting to compare the flat and AdS worldsheets as a way to illuminate the very different physics in the two cases: in the flat case the string tension T_0 is a constant implying that the energy of a stretched string is proportional to its length, whereas in the AdS case the effective tension depends on z , $T_{\text{eff}}(z)$, dropping to 0 at $z = \infty$ (or $r = 0$). The vanishing tension in the second case implies a continuous mass spectrum of string excitations which complicates the application of the reduction formalism (as with all theories with massless particles).

Thus in this article we set out to study the worldsheets appropriate to various scattering processes in both flat and AdS spacetime. In AdS, exact solutions for string motion are hard to come by. We look at the solutions used by AM for scattering of gluons by gluons, which were constructed from earlier ones found by Kruczenski [6], as well as a more general solution involving a gluon scattering off some kind of external source. This latter process, however, does not look like a gluon scattering from a heavy quark represented on AdS by a string stretching from a point at $z = 0$ out to $z = \infty$, a process we had hoped to understand. Instead, for this solution the end of the string interacting with the source is at $z = \infty$ ($r = 0$).

In all cases we display the solutions in the T-dual coordinates $y^\mu(\sigma, \tau)$ and, in the case of AdS, r . These coordinates are particularly nice because the interesting worldsheets are of finite extent in them, and they can be displayed graphically in a striking way. In the case of on-shell gluon scattering, the Minkowski part of the worldsheet in AdS is at first glance qualitatively very similar to the flat space worldsheet. The very different physics can only be understood after considering the quantitative differences due to the dynamics of the AdS radius r . We also find worldsheets for a gluon scattering from a 0-brane or a 1-brane in flat spacetime. These can be compared to the more general solution in AdS mentioned at the end of the previous paragraph, and we study them to gain insight into the physics of the latter solution.

³Here $\xi = (\xi^0, \xi^1)$ are the 2D worldsheet coordinates of the string.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next two sections provide background for the subsequent studies. In section 2 we discuss the T-duality transformation from the point of view of the phase-space action principle. Section 3 gives a brief discussion of the properties of the “single gluon” defined by AM using a 3-brane at fixed finite z_{IR} . We begin those studies in section 4 which discusses the worldsheets appropriate to n string scattering in flat space. All our solutions in AdS₅ are constructed from exact solutions on an AdS₃ submanifold that are found and described in section 5. Then section 6 discusses in this general framework the AdS₅ solution for 4 gluon scattering used by AM. Section 7 discusses a new solution in AdS₅ that describes a single gluon scattering from an external source. Section 8 employs lightcone gauge to compare the physics of the AdS 4-string solutions to that of the flat space solutions. Finally, to gain further insight into such external source scattering processes we study the worldsheets for scattering of a string off 0-brane and 1-brane sources in flat space in section 9. We include one appendix on useful facts about various covariant and lightcone worldsheet parametrizations, and a second on the relation between conformal transformations in 4 dimensional spacetime and isometry transformations of AdS₅.

2 T-duality and the Phase Space Worldsheet Action

We choose coordinates for AdS spacetime so that the line element is $ds^2 = R^2(dx_\mu dx^\mu + dz^2)/z^2$. Then the worldsheet action for a string moving on AdS₅ is in Nambu-Goto form⁴

$$S_{NG} = -T_0 \int d^2\xi \frac{R^2}{z^2} \sqrt{(\dot{x} \cdot x' + \dot{z} z')^2 - (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2)(x'^2 + z'^2)}. \quad (1)$$

With the introduction of a dynamical worldsheet metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ we get an equivalent form

$$S_{ws} \equiv \int d^2\xi \mathcal{L} = -\frac{T_0}{2} \int d^2\xi \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{R^2}{z^2} (\partial_\alpha x \cdot \partial_\beta x + \partial_\alpha z \partial_\beta z). \quad (2)$$

For $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills, the AdS/CFT correspondence gives $T_0 R^2 = \sqrt{g^2 N_c / 4\pi^2} = \sqrt{\lambda}$. The momenta conjugate to (x^μ, z) are $(\mathcal{P}^\mu, \Pi) = -R^2 T_0 \sqrt{g} g^{0\beta} \partial_\beta (x^\mu, z) / z^2$. Then the canonical hamiltonian density is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \dot{x} \cdot \mathcal{P} + \dot{z} \Pi - \mathcal{L} \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\frac{z^2}{T_0 R^2} (\mathcal{P}^2 + \Pi^2) + \frac{T_0 R^2}{z^2} (x'^2 + z'^2) \right) + \mu (x' \cdot \mathcal{P} + z' \Pi), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{g^{00} \sqrt{g}}, \quad \mu = -\frac{g^{01}}{g^{00}}. \quad (4)$$

If, instead, the canonical momentum is obtained from the Nambu-Goto action (1), \mathcal{H} and the coefficients of κ, μ are identically zero. This represents phase space constraints for which κ, μ are then introduced as Lagrange multipliers. In either case, the phase space Lagrange density is [7, 8]

$$\mathcal{L} = \dot{x} \cdot \mathcal{P} + \dot{z} \Pi - \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\frac{z^2}{T_0 R^2} (\mathcal{P}^2 + \Pi^2) + \frac{T_0 R^2}{z^2} (x'^2 + z'^2) \right) - \mu (x' \cdot \mathcal{P} + z' \Pi) \quad (5)$$

$$\rightarrow \dot{x} \cdot \mathcal{P} - \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\frac{z^2}{T_0 R^2} \mathcal{P}^2 + \frac{T_0 R^2}{z^2} x'^2 \right) - \mu x' \cdot \mathcal{P} + \frac{R^2 T_0}{2z^2} \left[\frac{(\dot{z} - \mu z')^2}{\kappa} - \kappa z'^2 \right], \quad (6)$$

where in the second line we have eliminated Π using its purely algebraic equation of motion. To discuss duality we simply replace $\mathcal{P} = q' \equiv T_0 y'$:

$$\mathcal{L} = T_0 \left(\dot{x} \cdot y' - \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\frac{z^2}{R^2} y'^2 + \frac{R^2}{z^2} x'^2 \right) - \mu (x' \cdot y') + \frac{R^2}{2z^2} \left[\frac{(\dot{z} - \mu z')^2}{\kappa} - \kappa z'^2 \right] \right) \quad (7)$$

⁴in this section we use $\dot{}$ and $'$ to represent $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1}$, respectively.

and we immediately see a symmetry up to surface terms under $x \leftrightarrow q/T_0 = y$, $z \rightarrow R^2/z$. This is T-duality. In the form written in (7), \mathcal{L} gives a good action principle for the variable x . Note that the absence of \dot{y} in \mathcal{L} implies a gauge symmetry under $y \rightarrow y + f(\xi^0)$. Varying y with open string topology implies $y' = R^2(\dot{x} - \mu x')/(\kappa z^2)$. Then varying x gives

$$\dot{y}' = \left[\frac{\kappa R^2}{z^2} x' + \mu y' \right]', \quad \left[\frac{\kappa R^2}{z^2} x' + \mu y' \right]_{\text{ends}} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Thus this version of the action principle implies the duality transformation in the form

$$y' = \frac{R^2}{\kappa z^2} (\dot{x} - \mu x'), \quad \dot{y} = \frac{\kappa R^2}{z^2} x' + \mu y' + f(\xi^0) = \frac{\kappa R^2}{z^2} x' + \mu \frac{R^2}{\kappa z^2} (\dot{x} - \mu x') + f(\xi^0) \quad (9)$$

with undetermined $f(\xi^0)$, which can, if desired, be removed by a gauge transformation, giving

$$y' = \frac{R^2}{\kappa z^2} (\dot{x} - \mu x'), \quad \dot{y} - \mu y' = \frac{\kappa R^2}{z^2} x'. \quad (10)$$

Also notice that the free-end boundary conditions on x imply $\dot{y}_{\text{ends}} = f(\xi^0)$ which implies that $d\Delta y/d\xi^0 = 0$, where Δy is the difference in the values of y at the two ends. In a gauge where $f(\xi^0) = 0$ y satisfies Dirichlet conditions $\dot{y}_{\text{ends}} = 0$ at the ends.

Next consider Dirichlet conditions on x , $\dot{x}_{\text{ends}} = 0$. Then

$$y'_{\text{ends}} = -\frac{R^2 \mu}{\kappa z^2} x' = -\frac{\mu}{\kappa^2} (\dot{y} - \mu y' - f(\xi^0)) \quad (11)$$

which, in a gauge where $f(\xi^0) = 0$, are precisely analogous to the free end condition on x . This condition would follow as a free end condition on y from the action obtained from (7) by substituting $\dot{x} \cdot y' \rightarrow \dot{y} \cdot x'$. Indeed, this substitution must be made to get a good action principle for the variable y . We find

$$\dot{x} \cdot y' - \dot{y} \cdot x' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0} (x \cdot y') - \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (x \cdot \dot{y}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0} (y \cdot x') + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (y \cdot \dot{x}) \quad (12)$$

so the substitution is achieved by adding a surface term to the action. Doing this and reversing the logic, we conclude that the Nambu-Goto form of the action for the T-dual variables y is

$$\tilde{S}_{NG} = -T_0 \int d^2 \xi \frac{R^2}{r^2} \sqrt{(\dot{y} \cdot y' + \dot{r} r')^2 - (\dot{y}^2 + \dot{r}^2)(y'^2 + r'^2)}. \quad (13)$$

Either action principle has identical consequences for the dual pair x, y modulo the gauge invariance appropriate to the action chosen.

For closed-string topology, these duality results will follow if we only require y' to be periodic, allowing y to shift by a function of ξ^0 upon traversing a period: that is y is required to be periodic up to a gauge transformation.

Finally we determine the worldsheet metric in terms of the x, z or the $y = q/T_0, r \equiv R^2/z$ by varying the action with respect to κ, μ , and using the duality relations (with $f(\xi^0) = 0$):

$$\mu = \frac{\dot{x} \cdot x' + \dot{z} \cdot z'}{x'^2 + z'^2} = \frac{\dot{y} \cdot y' + \dot{r} \cdot r'}{y'^2 + r'^2}, \quad (14)$$

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{(\dot{x} \cdot x' + \dot{z} z')^2 - (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2)(x'^2 + z'^2)}{(x'^2 + z'^2)^2} = \frac{(\dot{y} \cdot y' + \dot{r} r')^2 - (\dot{y}^2 + \dot{r}^2)(y'^2 + r'^2)}{(y'^2 + r'^2)^2}. \quad (15)$$

3 Defining the strongly coupled “gluon”

Alday and Maldacena were principally interested in using the AdS/CFT correspondence to study the scattering of gluons in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. For purposes of comparing to work of Bern, Dixon, and Smirnov (BDS) [9] they needed to define on-shell amplitudes with a definite number of gluons at strong coupling. Strictly speaking all these amplitudes are zero due to infrared divergences: the non-vanishing physical observables are jets with an indefinite number of gluons. Thus an IR cutoff that enables the definition of single gluon states is needed. One practical infrared cutoff is to use dimensional regularization, continuing to $D = 4 + \epsilon$. This is used by BDS in their perturbative calculations, which establish the definition of single gluons using the dressed gluon propagator.

However the notion of a dressed single-gluon propagator is obscure in the string description. Instead AM define single gluons as open AdS strings with ends moving on a D3 brane at fixed $z = z_{IR} < \infty$. They then take $z_{IR} \rightarrow \infty$ after continuing to $D = 4 + \epsilon$ dimensions. In the string description the IR divergences are due to the huge degeneracy of single open-string mass eigenstates when the effective rest tension vanishes as $z_{IR} \rightarrow \infty$.⁵ This is the string dual to the huge degeneracy in the field description due to the presence of many massless gluons. Fixing the ends of the open string representing a gluon to $z = z_{IR}$ breaks this degeneracy by introducing an energy cost to stretching an open string with ends fixed to the brane. It is not a confining energy cost (it stays finite at infinite separation of the string ends), but a finite classical energy cost is enough to discretize the semi-classical energy near zero, producing a gap which enables the lowest mass eigenstate, which is the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supermultiplet containing the gluon, to be filtered out by the reduction formalism.

At strong coupling the string dynamics is classical, and it is significant that the unstretched classical open string (i.e. $x' = 0$ for all ξ^1), corresponding to the ground state of the string and identified with the gluon, solves the full set of classical AdS string equations at constant z : $\dot{z} = z' = 0$. Such a solution requires $\dot{x} \cdot \dot{x} = 0$, i.e. the unstretched open string moves freely on the brane at the speed of light—it is a massless point particle. In T-dual variables this condition reads $y' \cdot y' = 0$. In other words the reduction formalism applied at finite z_{IR} (which supplies a mass gap) will require the classical worldsheet in T-dual space for gluon scattering amplitudes to end at $z = z_{IR}$ on light-like line segments corresponding to the momenta of the on-shell gluons. This is precisely the prescription employed by Alday and Maldacena.

If z_{IR} is finite the open string modeling the single gluon has internal structure that can be analyzed semi-classically. Indeed, the model is mathematically equivalent to the models of $Q\bar{Q}$ mesons studied in the context of AdS/CFT inspired models of QCD. For example, in [13] the rotational motion of such an open string, with ends fixed to a 3-brane at fixed z is solved numerically. An interesting outcome of that work is the leading Regge trajectory $J(E^2)$, described by a straight open string rotating rigidly about its midpoint. The asymptotic behavior of the trajectory at small E and near ionization threshold, which we translate to the gluon parameters, was obtained analytically. For $E \sim 0$, it behaves linearly with E^2 : $J(E^2) \sim \alpha' E^2 z_{IR}^2 / R^2$. That is, the AdS open string for finite z_{IR} behaves like a massless relativistic string at low energy [7]. The effective tension of this slightly stretched string is $T_{\text{eff}} = T_0 R^2 / z_{IR}^2$ and drops to zero when the IR cutoff is removed, $z_{IR} \rightarrow \infty$, causing the mass spectrum to become continuous from zero. At higher energy the energy spectrum shows a threshold $E_I = R^2 / (\pi \alpha' z_{IR})$ at which there is an accumulation of energy levels. J becomes infinite as $E \rightarrow E_I$ from below, in a manner similar to the Bohr levels in hydrogen just below ionization threshold. Specifically, $E(J) \sim E_I (1 - \alpha^2 / (8J^2))$, where $\alpha = 4\pi^2 R^2 / (\alpha' \Gamma(1/4)^4)$. This behavior at large J agrees with the nonrelativistic model of two particles with equal mass, given by the energy of a static string stretching from $z = z_{IR}$ to $z = \infty$, interacting with a Coulomb potential $-\alpha/r$. Here α is precisely equal to the value predicted by the AdS/CFT correspondence for the $Q\bar{Q}$ static force in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory in the strong 't Hooft coupling limit [10].

⁵At finite z_{IR} a string with ends fixed at finite z_{IR} has an energy gap at $N = \infty$ (see [10–12]).

4 Covariant four open string scattering in flat space

We begin our study of classical worldsheets with a translation of known results for scattering of strings in flat Minkowski space to the T-dual description:

$$\frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial x} = i \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial y^\mu}{\partial y} = -i \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x}, \quad (16)$$

where we parameterize the worldsheet using the upper half complex plane $z = x + iy$. Then for n -string scattering we have

$$x^\mu(z) = -i \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{(y_r - y_{r-1})^\mu}{\pi} \log |z - u_r|, \quad (17)$$

$$y^\mu(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{y_r^\mu}{\pi} \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{u_{r+1} - x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{u_r - x}{y} \right). \quad (18)$$

Here the momenta carried by the strings are $p_r = y_r - y_{r-1}$, and the Koba-Nielsen variable of string r is u_r . For definiteness we take $0 = u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{n-1} = 1$ and $u_0 = -\infty$, $u_n = \infty$. The x^μ satisfy Neumann conditions $\partial x^\mu / \partial y = 0$ on the real axis $y = 0$ (away from the points $x = u_r$), whereas the y^μ satisfy Dirichlet conditions on the real axis: $y^\mu(x, 0) = y_r^\mu$ on the interval $u_r < x < u_{r+1}$. In T-dual variables the y^μ are discontinuous where the string momenta enter the worldsheet. Specializing to $n = 4$:

$$x^\mu(z) = -i \sum_{r=1}^3 \frac{(y_r - y_{r-1})^\mu}{\pi} \log |z - u_r|, \quad (19)$$

$$y^\mu(z) = \frac{y_0^\mu}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{y} \right) + \frac{y_1^\mu}{\pi} \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{u - x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{y} \right) \\ + \frac{y_2^\mu}{\pi} \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{1 - x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{u - x}{y} \right) + \frac{y_3^\mu}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1 - x}{y} \right). \quad (20)$$

Strictly speaking, conformal gauge also requires the constraints $(\partial x^\mu / \partial x)(\partial x_\mu / \partial x) = (\partial x^\mu / \partial y)(\partial x_\mu / \partial y)$ and $(\partial x^\mu / \partial x)(\partial x_\mu / \partial y) = 0$. These would be expected to hold only on-shell though. For the classical string on-shell means $(y_{i+1} - y_i)^2 = 0$. But even then these constraints only hold when the Koba-Nielsen variables are at a stationary point of the action, which corresponds to a saddle point of the integration. (For example $u = u_0 \equiv t/(s+t)$ for the four point function). Away from the saddle point the u integrand is off-shell in the classical sense. Integration over the whole range puts the amplitude on-shell in the quantum sense. Indeed we know that the integrated Koba-Nielsen integrands do satisfy the quantum operator Virasoro constraints. It is interesting that the classical Virasoro constraints do hold, though, at the saddle points.

An interesting feature of the T-dual worldsheet is that it is finite in extent. We display this graphically in Fig. 1. It is striking that, plotted in y space, the boundary of the worldsheet is a finite polygon of lightlike straight line segments. It is important to realize that in the conformally flat coordinates x, y , these segments are the images of points on the x axis—the points where the boundary values of y are discontinuous. Since in the x, y coordinates the problem is just a Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation, the solution is uniquely determined by the values of y specified on the boundary, even if there are discontinuities in these boundary values. In Fig. 1 the Dirichlet data are just the four corners of the polygon. The reason that the discontinuities in y^μ are mapped to straight lines in this figure is that, in the upper half plane near a discontinuity at say $x + iy = u_r$, the different components of y^μ are related to their boundary values by the same Green functions and hence are linearly related to each other. Thus, as u_r is approached from different directions in the upper half plane, the solution $y^\mu(x, y)$ approaches different points on a straight line joining the two boundary values on either side of u_r . It might seem strange that giving data at 4 points is enough to determine the solution, but we must remember that if we parametrize the worldsheet with coordinates in y^μ -space (e.g. y^1, y^2), the equations for the worldsheet are nonlinear and quite different from the Laplace equation.




Figure 1: The worldsheet in dual y space for the scattering solution of a string in flat space. The kinematics is $s, t < 0$. The case shown is $u = 0.5$ or $s = t$.

5 The string worldsheet on AdS_3

Next we turn to a consideration of the worldsheet solution found by AM which controls gluon scattering at strong coupling in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. We will look in more detail at their method and find additional solutions whose interpretation is quite different.

Starting from the Nambu-Goto action (13) in terms of T-dual variables which we call y'^μ , r' ,⁶ we restrict ourselves to AdS_3 and make the Ansatz, closely related to one proposed earlier by Kruczenski [6], and used by AM:

$$r' = w(\xi^0)e^{\xi^0}, \quad y'^0 = e^{\xi^0} \cosh \xi^1, \quad y'^1 = e^{\xi^0} \sinh \xi^1, \quad y'^2 = y'^3 = 0. \quad (21)$$

The Lagrangian whose stationary point determines $w(\xi^0)$ is then

$$L = -\frac{\sqrt{1 - (w + \dot{w})^2}}{w^2} \quad (22)$$

and the ensuing Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$-\frac{d}{d\xi^0} \frac{w + \dot{w}}{w^2 \sqrt{1 - (w + \dot{w})^2}} = -\frac{w + \dot{w}}{w^2 \sqrt{1 - (w + \dot{w})^2}} - 2\frac{\sqrt{1 - (w + \dot{w})^2}}{w^3} \quad (23)$$

where the dot indicates derivative with respect to ξ^0 .

Note that for a static solution, $\dot{w} = 0$, we immediately find $w = \pm\sqrt{2}$. For positive r' one would take $w = \sqrt{2}$ which is the starting point of AM. To find nonstatic solutions we can use the conservation law that follows from L to find

$$E = \frac{1 - w(w + \dot{w})}{w^2 \sqrt{1 - (w + \dot{w})^2}}, \quad (24)$$

⁶In this section the prime no longer indicates a derivative.

$$\dot{w} = \frac{1 - w^2 - E^2 w^4 \pm |w| \sqrt{E^4 w^4 + (w^2 - 1)E^2}}{w(1 + E^2 w^2)}, \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{d\xi^0}{d|w|} = \frac{|w|}{1 - w^2} \left[1 \pm \frac{|w|}{\sqrt{w^4 + (w^2 - 1)/E^2}} \right]. \quad (26)$$

The condition that $(\partial y'/\partial \xi^1) \cdot (\partial y'/\partial \xi^1) = 0$ at $r' = 0$ requires that the region $\xi^0 \rightarrow -\infty$ be part of the solution. For generic E^2 the singularities arising from the zeros of the square root are integrable, thereby leaving only $|w| \rightarrow 1$ and $|w| \rightarrow \infty$ as possibilities. At large $|w|$ the integrand behaves as $-d|w|/|w|$ implying $\xi^0 \sim -\log |w|$ or $|w| \sim e^{-\xi^0}$. But then $r' \sim O(1)$. This is not acceptable since, for the application to gluon scattering, we need the corner to be at $r' = 0$.

We next examine the behavior near $|w| = 1$ which is singular only for the upper sign in (26):

$$d\xi^0 \sim -d|w| \frac{1}{|w| - 1} = -d \log ||w| - 1|. \quad (27)$$

This singularity corresponds to $\xi^0 \rightarrow +\infty$. We conclude, therefore, that the solution for generic E^2 does not include the light-like corner at $r' = 0$.

For the special case $E^2 = -1/4$, however, the zeros of the square root in (26) coalesce and the singularity becomes a pole at $|w| = \sqrt{2}$. This corresponds to the desired behavior $\xi^0 \rightarrow -\infty$. Then (26) becomes

$$\frac{d\xi^0}{d|w|} = -\frac{|w|(2 - |w|)}{(2 - w^2)(|w| - 1)} \quad (28)$$

which integrates to

$$C e^{\xi^0} = \frac{1}{|w| - 1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} - |w|}{\sqrt{2} + |w|} \right)^{1/\sqrt{2}} \equiv f(|w|) \quad (29)$$

where C is an integration constant. Note that as $C \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the static solution $|w| = \sqrt{2}$. We get a completely different solution by choosing $C = 1$ and taking $w > 0$ in order that $r' \geq 0$. Both solutions have $E^2 = -1/4$. A plot of $w(\xi^0)$ for the nonstatic solution is given in Fig. 2. Note that $w(\xi^0)$ approaches the




Figure 2: w plotted against ξ^0

static solution $\sqrt{2}$ as $\xi^0 \rightarrow -\infty$.

It will prove convenient in what follows to replace ξ^0 as one of the worldsheet parameters by w . Then we can write both the static and nonstatic solutions using a common notation. We have

$$y^0 = f(w) \cosh \xi^1, \quad y^1 = f(w) \sinh \xi^1, \quad r' = g(w) f(w) \quad (30)$$

where $g(w) = \sqrt{2}$ for the static solution and $g(w) = w$ for the nonstatic solution.

6 The four corner solution on AdS₅

In the previous section we described a worldsheet solution for the dual variables that lies in an AdS₃ subspace of AdS₅ by assuming (30) along with $y'^2 = y'^3 = 0$. Any such solution can be extended into AdS₅ by means of SO(4,2) rotations and boosts of the embedding coordinates

$$Y'^{\mu} = \frac{R}{r'} y'^{\mu}, \quad Y'^{-1} = \frac{1}{2r'} (R^2 + r'^2 + y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu}), \quad Y'^4 = \frac{1}{2r'} (R^2 - r'^2 - y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu}) \quad (31)$$

which are such that

$$(Y'^{-1})^2 - (Y'^4)^2 - Y'^{\mu} Y'_{\mu} = R^2. \quad (32)$$

Notice that Y'^4 and Y'^{-1} enter the left side just as space-like and time-like coordinates respectively. Thus we are free to reinterpret the AdS₃ with the relabeling $4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4$ so that $Y'^4 = 0$ in the new interpretation. Then if we make rotations given by the angle θ in the (0,-1) plane and ϕ in the new (1,2) plane as well as a boost through hyperbolic angle α in the (0,4) plane, we obtain a new dual AdS₅ solution:

$$r = R \frac{2r' R}{(R^2 + r'^2 + y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu})(\cos \theta + \sin \theta \sinh \alpha) + 2y'^0 R(\sin \theta - \cos \theta \sinh \alpha)} \quad (33)$$

$$y^0 = R \frac{\cosh \alpha [(2y'^0 R \cos \theta - (R^2 + r'^2 + y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu}) \sin \theta)]}{(R^2 + r'^2 + y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu})(\cos \theta + \sin \theta \sinh \alpha) + 2y'^0 R(\sin \theta - \cos \theta \sinh \alpha)} \quad (34)$$

$$y^1 = R \frac{(R^2 - r'^2 - y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu}) \cos \phi + 2y'^1 R \sin \phi}{(R^2 + r'^2 + y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu})(\cos \theta + \sin \theta \sinh \alpha) + 2y'^0 R(\sin \theta - \cos \theta \sinh \alpha)} \quad (35)$$

$$y^2 = R \frac{2y'^1 R \cos \phi - (R^2 - r'^2 - y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu}) \sin \phi}{(R^2 + r'^2 + y'^{\mu} y'_{\mu})(\cos \theta + \sin \theta \sinh \alpha) + 2y'^0 R(\sin \theta - \cos \theta \sinh \alpha)} \quad (36)$$

$$y^3 = 0. \quad (37)$$

More precisely, what we are doing here is viewing the original solution from the point of view of different frames as well as different Poincaré patches.

Ryang [14] has conducted an investigation of the behavior of the solution employed by AM under more general SO(4,2) transformations. AM take $\theta = \phi = \pi/4$ and $\sinh \alpha = v$. In the following, we restrict attention to $\phi = \alpha = 0$. Using the AdS₃ Ansatz (30)

$$r' = g(w)f(w), \quad y'^0 = f(w) \cosh \xi^1, \quad y'^1 = f(w) \sinh \xi^1, \quad y'^2 = y'^3 = 0 \quad (38)$$

we find

$$r = R \frac{g(w)}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (39)$$

$$y^0 = R \frac{\cosh \xi^1 \cos \theta - c(w) \sin \theta}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (40)$$

$$y^1 = R \frac{-s(w)}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (41)$$

$$y^2 = R \frac{\sinh \xi^1}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (42)$$

$$y^3 = 0 \quad (43)$$

where

$$c(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f(w) [\{g(w)\}^2 - 1] + \frac{1}{f(w)} \right), \quad s(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f(w) [\{g(w)\}^2 - 1] - \frac{1}{f(w)} \right), \quad (44)$$

We assume that $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ so as to avoid $r < 0$ and find, for $g(w) = \sqrt{2}$,

$$r = R \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\cosh \xi^0 \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (45)$$

$$y^0 = R \frac{\cosh \xi^1 \cos \theta - \cosh \xi^0 \sin \theta}{\cosh \xi^0 \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (46)$$

$$y^1 = R \frac{-\sinh \xi^0}{\cosh \xi^0 \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (47)$$

$$y^2 = R \frac{\sinh \xi^1}{\cosh \xi^0 \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (48)$$

$$y^3 = 0. \quad (49)$$

We illustrate this solution in Figs. 3-5 for several angles θ . Note that in this case $c(w) = \cosh \xi^0$ and $s(w) = \sinh \xi^0$. For $\pi/4 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ we can make use of the symmetry under $\theta \leftrightarrow \pi - \theta$, $\xi^0 \leftrightarrow \xi^1$, $y^1 \leftrightarrow -y^2$ and $y^0 \leftrightarrow -y^0$. Notice that when $\theta > 0$ these solutions show four corners, but when $\theta = 0$ a pair of these corners is sent to infinity, leaving only two corners.

The physical meaning of a solution is found by looking in the Minkowski target space of y^μ at points where $r = 0$ (or almost 0). Using (45) we find that $r = 0$ when $|\xi^0|$ or $|\xi^1|$ or possibly both are infinite. There are four cases, where one or the other of $|\xi^0|$ and $|\xi^1|$ are infinite, with the other finite:

$$\xi^0 \rightarrow \infty : \quad y_a^\mu = R(-\tan \theta, -\sec \theta, 0, 0) \quad (50)$$

$$\xi^1 \rightarrow \infty : \quad y_b^\mu = R(\cot \theta, 0, \csc \theta, 0) \quad (51)$$

$$\xi^0 \rightarrow -\infty : \quad y_c^\mu = R(-\tan \theta, \sec \theta, 0, 0) \quad (52)$$

$$\xi^1 \rightarrow -\infty : \quad y_d^\mu = R(\cot \theta, 0, -\csc \theta, 0). \quad (53)$$

In the interpretation of these solutions as describing scattering of glue by glue, the differences of these quantities are proportional to the (incoming) momenta of the massless gluons. We should then have

$$(y_a - y_b)^2 = (y_b - y_c)^2 = (y_c - y_d)^2 = (y_d - y_a)^2 = R^2 [\sec^2 \theta + \csc^2 \theta - (\tan \theta + \cot \theta)^2] = 0 \quad (54)$$

which is indeed the case. Furthermore, we can identify the Mandelstam variables for the gluon scattering process as

$$s = -(y_a - y_c)^2 = -4R^2 \sec^2 \theta \quad (55)$$




Figure 3: r and y^0 plotted against y^1 and y^2 for $\theta = 0$.

$$t = -(y_b - y_d)^2 = -4R^2 \csc^2 \theta \quad (56)$$

so that $t/s = \cot^2 \theta$.

Using the duality transformation (10) we can translate this solution to the original coordinate space, with $z = R^2/r$ in place of r :

$$z = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}} (\cosh \xi^0 \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta) \quad (57)$$

$$x^0 = i \frac{R}{2} \sinh \xi^0 \sinh \xi^1 \quad (58)$$

$$x^1 = i \frac{R}{2} (\xi^1 \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^0 \sinh \xi^1 \sin \theta) \quad (59)$$

$$x^2 = i \frac{R}{2} (\xi^0 \sin \theta + \sinh \xi^0 \cosh \xi^1 \cos \theta) \quad (60)$$

$$x^3 = 0. \quad (61)$$

Note the world sheet invariance under $\theta \rightarrow \pi/2 - \theta$, $\xi^0 \leftrightarrow \xi^1$, $y^1 \leftrightarrow -y^2$, $x^1 \leftrightarrow x^2$. The fact that the coordinates are all imaginary shows that the physical scattering process described is classically inaccessible, analogous to reflection above a barrier in one-dimensional quantum mechanics.

7 The one-corner solution for AdS_5

We next examine the properties that follow from using the nonstatic solution in the embedding into AdS_5 . This is accomplished by choosing $g(w) = w$. This new solution is given by Eqs. (39)-(43)

$$\bar{r} = R \frac{w}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (62)$$




Figure 4: r and y^0 plotted against y^1 and y^2 for $\theta = \pi/12$.

$$\bar{y}^0 = R \frac{\cosh \xi^1 \cos \theta - c(w) \sin \theta}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (63)$$

$$\bar{y}^1 = R \frac{-s(w)}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (64)$$

$$\bar{y}^2 = R \frac{\sinh \xi^1}{c(w) \cos \theta + \cosh \xi^1 \sin \theta} \quad (65)$$

$$\bar{y}^3 = 0. \quad (66)$$

We illustrate the result in Fig. 6 for $\theta = \pi/4$. What we see are two light-like lines in the $r = 0$ hyperplane joined at one corner. They are connected at their other ends by a curve for which $r \neq 0$. However, having made the $SO(4,2)$ transformation it is now meaningful to extend the domain of w to the region $w < 1$ where r remains positive.⁷ If we do this Fig. 6 now is transformed to Fig. 7.

We are once again interested in what happens when $\bar{r} = 0$. This occurs when $w \rightarrow 0$ (assuming $\theta > 0$), when $w \rightarrow \sqrt{2}$ and when $\xi^1 \rightarrow \pm\infty$. We now have

$$w \rightarrow 0 : \quad \bar{y}_a^\mu = R(\cot \theta, -\csc \theta \operatorname{sech} \xi^1, \csc \theta \tanh \xi^1, 0) \quad (67)$$

$$\xi^1 \rightarrow \infty : \quad \bar{y}_b^\mu = R(\cot \theta, 0, \csc \theta, 0) \quad (68)$$

$$w \rightarrow \sqrt{2} : \quad \bar{y}_c^\mu = R(-\tan \theta, \sec \theta, 0, 0) \quad (69)$$

$$\xi^1 \rightarrow -\infty : \quad \bar{y}_d^\mu = R(-\tan \theta, 0, -\csc \theta, 0). \quad (70)$$

⁷We thank Martin Kruczenski for stressing this possibility to us.




Figure 5: r and y^0 plotted against y^1 and y^2 for $\theta = \pi/4$.

The curve described by (67) is a semi-circle of radius $\csc\theta$ lying in the plane given by $\bar{r} = 0$ and constant $\bar{y}^0 = \cot\theta$ that runs between the points \bar{y}_b^μ and \bar{y}_d^μ . The two lines that run from \bar{y}_c^μ to \bar{y}_b^μ and from \bar{y}_c^μ to \bar{y}_d^μ are lightlike and lie in the plane given by $\bar{r} = 0$ and $\bar{y}^0 \sin\theta + \bar{y}^1 = \cos\theta$. The two light-like line segments can be identified with the incoming momenta of two gluons involved in a scattering process off an external source. The process is described in terms of the dual coordinates \bar{y}^μ of a string, one end of which stays fixed at \bar{y}_c (corresponding to a free end in \bar{x} space) and the other end of which follows the semi-circle. The fact that this semi-circular part of the boundary is at constant \bar{y}^0 means that the source conserves energy throughout the scattering process, in other words the source is static.

To find the coordinate-space representation of this solution we calculate

$$\bar{r}'\dot{\bar{r}} + \bar{y}' \cdot \dot{\bar{y}} = 0, \quad \frac{\dot{\bar{y}}^2 + \dot{\bar{r}}^2}{\bar{y}'^2 + \bar{r}'^2} = \frac{4\dot{w}^2}{(2-w^2)^2} \quad (71)$$

which yields

$$\mu = 0, \quad \kappa = -2i \frac{w-1}{w(2-w)}. \quad (72)$$

Then the duality conditions (10) read

$$\frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial \xi^1} = \frac{R^2}{\kappa \bar{r}^2} \frac{\partial \bar{y}^\mu}{\partial \xi^0} = -i \frac{R^2}{\bar{r}^2} \frac{2-w^2}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{y}^\mu}{\partial w} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial w} = \frac{R^2 \kappa}{\bar{r}^2} \frac{\partial \bar{y}^\mu}{\partial \xi^1} \frac{\partial \xi^0}{\partial w} = i \frac{R^2}{\bar{r}^2} \frac{2}{2-w^2} \frac{\partial \bar{y}^\mu}{\partial \xi^1} \quad (74)$$

which leads to

$$\bar{x}^0 = iR \frac{(2-w^2)}{2w^2} c'(w) \sinh \xi^1 \quad (75)$$

$$\bar{x}^1 = iR \frac{2-w^2}{2w^2} \left(s'(w) \sinh \xi^1 \sin\theta - \xi^1 \cos\theta \frac{w^2}{2-w^2} \right) \quad (76)$$

$$\bar{x}^2 = iR \frac{2-w^2}{2w^2} c'(w) \cosh \xi^1 \cos\theta - iR \sin\theta \left(\frac{1}{w} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \log \frac{\sqrt{2}-w}{\sqrt{2}+w} \right), \quad (77)$$




Figure 6: \bar{r} and \bar{y}^0 plotted against \bar{y}^1 and \bar{y}^2 for $\theta = \pi/4$, as w runs from 1 to $\sqrt{2}$.

to which we can add real parts that are independent of ξ^1 and w . These coordinates are concentrated at a real spacetime point. As chosen the worldsheet in coordinate space is entirely imaginary and therefore classically inaccessible as in reflection above a barrier. As a consequence the scattering amplitude corresponding to this solution is exponentially suppressed. We also give the $w \rightarrow 0$ boundary curve in coordinate space:

$$\bar{x}^0 \sim \frac{iR}{2} \sinh \xi^1 \quad (78)$$

$$\bar{x}^1 \sim -\frac{iR}{w} \sin \theta \sinh \xi^1 = -\frac{2\bar{x}^0 \sin \theta}{w} \quad (79)$$

$$\bar{x}^2 \sim \frac{iR}{2} \left(\cos \theta \cosh \xi^1 - \frac{2 \sin \theta}{w} \right) = \frac{iR}{2} \left(\cos \theta \sqrt{1 - 4x^{02}/R^2} - \frac{2 \sin \theta}{w} \right) \quad (80)$$

$$(81)$$

and we see that \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 go to $i\infty$ for $w \rightarrow 0$. The fact that \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 follow a time dependent (imaginary) trajectory shows that the source is not simply a D-brane.

8 Worldsheets for AdS and flat space on the lightcone.

Working in lightcone gauge enables an interesting comparison of the four-corner scattering solution for 4 strings in AdS₅ to that for 4 strings in flat Minkowski space. Actually, for the solutions we are considering $x^3 = y^3 = 0$, so for them lightcone time is ordinary time and p^+ is ordinary energy.

At first glance the worldsheets in y -space describing string-string scattering in AdS and flat space (compare for example the figure on the right of Fig. 5 to Fig. 1), show little qualitative difference: they are both similar surfaces spanning a polygon of light-like line segments. To gain more insight into the very different physics in the two cases it is helpful to express them in light-cone parameters.

$$\tau(\xi) \equiv i \frac{x^+}{R} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}R} (x^0 + x^3) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sinh \xi^1 \sinh \xi^0. \quad (82)$$




Figure 7: \bar{r} and \bar{y}^0 plotted against \bar{y}^1 and \bar{y}^2 for $\theta = \pi/4$, as w runs from 0 to $\sqrt{2}$.

Because τ satisfies the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \xi^0} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \xi^1} \right) \quad (83)$$

we can consistently define $\sigma(\xi)$ by

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi^1} = \frac{R^2}{z^2} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \xi^0}, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \xi^0} = -\frac{R^2}{z^2} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \xi^1} \quad (84)$$

from which we find, after a little manipulation and a particular choice of integration constant

$$\sigma(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\cos \theta \cosh \xi^1 - \sin \theta \cosh \xi^0}{\sin \theta \cosh \xi^1 + \cos \theta \cosh \xi^0} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{y^0}{R} = \frac{y^+}{R}. \quad (85)$$

This choice for σ is the one that makes the density of p^+ , $\partial y^+ / \partial \sigma$ uniform along the string. Thus τ and σ are the usual parameters of GGRT [7].

One can invert the relation between ξ^0 , ξ^1 and τ , σ by solving quadratic equations:

$$\tanh^2 \xi^0 = \frac{\sinh \beta + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \beta + \delta^2}}{\cosh \beta + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \beta + \delta^2}} \quad (86)$$

$$\tanh^2 \xi^1 = \frac{-\sinh \beta + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \beta + \delta^2}}{\cosh \beta + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \beta + \delta^2}} \quad (87)$$

where

$$\beta = \log \left(\frac{1 - \sigma \sqrt{2} \tan \theta}{\tan \theta + \sigma \sqrt{2}} \right), \quad \delta = -2\sqrt{2}\tau. \quad (88)$$

The square roots must be taken so that $(\text{sgn } \xi^0)(\text{sgn } \xi^1) = -\text{sgn } \tau$. Plugging these expressions into (33) or (35) then gives the solution in lightcone gauge.

This physical parametrization combines information from the worldsheet in x variables and the T-dual y variables. For example, we can follow the temporal evolution of the string described by the worldsheet

solution from very early times $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ to very late times $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. For simplicity we take the symmetric case $\theta = \pi/4$, corresponding to $s = t$. Then the T-dual coordinates are particularly simple

$$y^1 = R\sqrt{2}\frac{-\sinh \xi^0}{\cosh \xi_0 + \cosh \xi^1}, \quad y^2 = R\sqrt{2}\frac{\sinh \xi^1}{\cosh \xi_0 + \cosh \xi^1}. \quad (89)$$

We first examine the initial state by taking $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ at fixed σ , or $\delta \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed β . From the explicit mapping to lightcone parameters we find, as $\delta \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\tanh^2 \xi^0 \sim 1 - \frac{1}{\delta}e^{-\beta} + O(\delta^{-2}) \quad (90)$$

$$\tanh^2 \xi^1 \sim 1 - \frac{1}{\delta}e^{\beta} + O(\delta^{-2}) \quad (91)$$

$$y^1 \sim R\sqrt{2}\frac{-\tanh \xi^0}{1 + e^{\beta}} + O(\delta^{-1}) \sim \mp R\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} + O(\tau^{-1}) \quad (92)$$

$$y^2 \sim R\sqrt{2}\frac{\tanh \xi^1}{1 + e^{-\beta}} + O(\delta^{-1}) \sim \pm R\frac{1 - \sqrt{2}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} + O(\tau^{-1}), \quad (93)$$

where we have taken the signs in the numerator according to $\text{sgn } \xi^0 \text{sgn } \xi^1 = +$ since $\tau < 0$. The range of σ is $-1 < \sigma\sqrt{2} < 1$. Then the initial state is two strings which form parallel straight lines in the y^1, y^2 plane. For the limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ the forms are the same except that the relative signs of y^1, y^2 are reversed. For $\tau = 0$ either $y^1 = 0$ or $y^2 = 0$. The evolution of the strings with τ is indicated in Fig. 8. We see that asymptopia




Figure 8: Lightcone evolution of two string scattering at 90° . At $\tau = -\infty$ the two strings are parallel straight lines in y -space (a). As τ evolves the two strings curve with their ends fixed as their centers approach the origin. At $\tau = 0$ the two strings are stretched along the coordinate axes with their centers touching at the origin. At this point they exchange ends and then the centers of the newly formed strings recede until the strings are again parallel straight lines at $\tau = +\infty$ (b).

is reached by a power law in τ . As we shall soon discover, this is in contrast to the exponential approach in flat space. The power law approach is the signature that the single string in AdS_5 , representing a collection of unbound gluons, has a continuous mass spectrum.

Now turning to flat space, we recall that, for the kinematic regime $s, t < 0$ considered here, the lightcone-gauge flat-space imaginary $x^+ \equiv -i\tau$ solution is easily obtained by the conformal mapping of the lightcone

string diagram to the upper half plane [15]:

$$x^k = -i\frac{p_1^k}{\pi} \log|z-1| - i\frac{p_2^k}{\pi} \log|z-u| - i\frac{p_3^k}{\pi} \log|z| \quad (94)$$

$$y^k = -\frac{p_1^k}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} - \frac{p_2^k}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{u-x}{y} + \frac{p_3^k}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{y} \quad (95)$$

$$\tau + i\sigma = \frac{p^+}{\pi} (\log(z-1) - \log(z-u) + \log z). \quad (96)$$

Here we work in the frame where $p_1^+ = -p_2^+ = p_3^+ = -p_4^+ \equiv p^+ > 0$. Calling $\zeta = e^{\pi(\tau+i\sigma)/p^+}$ we find that the inverse mapping is

$$z = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \zeta \pm \sqrt{(\zeta+1-2u)^2 + 4u(1-u)} \right). \quad (97)$$

The lightcone worldsheet for this kinematic regime is a two-sheeted strip $-\infty < \tau < +\infty$, $0 < \sigma < p^+$ joined along a cut from the branch-point $\zeta = 2u - 1 + 2i\sqrt{u(1-u)}$. Since $|\zeta| = 1$ at this point the branch-point is on the $\tau = 0$ axis. The cut may be chosen at fixed σ and with $\tau > 0$. In flat space u is a modular parameter which is integrated over the range $0 < u < 1$. However to compare with the classical AdS solution this last integral should also be approximated by its saddle-point, the value of u which extremizes the action.

For purposes of a simple comparison, we might as well consider the symmetric case $s = t$ where the saddle point must be $u = 1/2$, and for which the branch-point is at $\tau + i\sigma = p^+/2$. (For the AdS solutions $s = t$ corresponds to the choice $\theta = \pi/4$.) In this special case, the Minkowski string solution is

$$x_+^i = i\frac{p_1^i}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{\zeta - 1 + \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{2} \right| + i\frac{p_2^i}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{\zeta + \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{2} \right| + i\frac{p_3^i}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{\zeta + 1 + \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{2} \right| \quad (98)$$

$$x_-^i = i\frac{p_1^i}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{\zeta - 1 - \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{2} \right| + i\frac{p_2^i}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{\zeta - \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{2} \right| + i\frac{p_3^i}{\pi} \log \left| \frac{\zeta + 1 - \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}{2} \right|. \quad (99)$$

Here x_{\pm}^i are the solutions on the two sheets, joined on a cut running from $\tau + i\sigma = ip^+/2$ to $\tau = +\infty$. The asymptotic scattering region is at $\tau = \mp\infty$, which corresponds to $\zeta = 0, \infty$ and $z = 0, u = 1/2, 1, \infty$. For example, the behavior as $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ ($\zeta \rightarrow 0$) is

$$x_+^i \sim i\frac{p_1^i \tau}{p^+} + i\frac{p_1^i + p_2^i}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{2} + O(e^{\pi\tau/p^+}) \quad (100)$$

$$x_-^i \sim i\frac{p_2^i + p_3^i}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{p_3^i \tau}{p^+} + O(e^{\pi\tau/p^+}). \quad (101)$$

In contrast to the power behaved approach in AdS, in flat space the approach to the asymptotic solution is exponential in τ because the normal mode frequencies are discrete. This simply reflects the fact that the string confines in flat space but not in AdS.

9 String Scattering from external sources in flat target space

We have interpreted the one-corner solution for a worldsheet in AdS as describing a gluon scattering off a time-independent external source. The closest flat-space analogue of such a solution is the scattering of an open string off a p -brane. In this section we study two such possibilities $p = 0, 1$ and compare them to the AdS case.

We first consider a fixed 0-brane source in flat Minkowski space. Thus one end of an open string satisfies Dirichlet conditions on its spatial coordinates $\vec{x}(0, \tau) = 0$, but Neumann conditions on its time component $x^{0r}(0, \tau) = 0$. The other end is fully Neumann: $x^{\mu l}(\pi, \tau) = 0$. To construct Green functions with mixed




Figure 9: The worldsheet in dual y space for the scattering solution of a string in flat space ending on a 0-brane. The kinematics is in the unphysical region where $\cos\theta = (1+u^2)/2u > 1$. The case shown is $u = 0.2$ or $\cos\theta = 1.04/4 = 2.6$. The 0-brane sits on the boundary curve in the $y^0 = 0$ surface. The normal derivatives of y^1, y^2 to this boundary curve in this plane vanish. The spatial coordinates are complex with $y^2 = y^{1*}$.

boundary conditions it is convenient to map the worldsheet to the upper right quadrant of the complex plane. Then for Neumann (Dirichlet) on the x -axis and Dirichlet (Neumann) on the y -axis we get

$$G_{NN}(z, z') = \log|z - z'| + \log|z - z'^*| + \log|z + z'^*| + \log|z + z'| = \log|z^2 - z'^2| + \log|z^2 - z'^{*2}| \quad (102)$$

$$G_{ND}(z, z') = \log|z - z'| + \log|z - z'^*| - \log|z + z'^*| - \log|z + z'| \quad (103)$$

$$G_{DN}(z, z') = \log|z - z'| - \log|z - z'^*| + \log|z + z'^*| - \log|z + z'| \quad (104)$$

$$G_{DD}(z, z') = \log|z - z'| - \log|z - z'^*| - \log|z + z'^*| + \log|z + z'| = \log|z^2 - z'^2| - \log|z^2 - z'^{*2}|. \quad (105)$$

The 0-brane solution, $y^k(z)$ ($x^k(z)$), can be constructed using G_{DN} (G_{ND}) respectively and $y^0(z)$ ($x^0(z)$) using G_{DD} (G_{NN}) respectively. In the first case we impose for the spatial components, Dirichlet conditions on the positive real axis and Neumann on the imaginary axis: $\partial y^k/\partial x = 0$. $y^k(x, 0) = y_1^k$, $0 < x < u$; $y^k(x, 0) = y_2^k$, $u < x < 1$; $y^k(x, 0) = y_3^k$, $1 < x < \infty$; and for the time components Dirichlet conditions on both axes. This gives $y^0(x, 0) = y_1^0$, $0 < x < u$; $y^0(x, 0) = y_2^0$, $u < x < 1$; $y^0(x, 0) = y_3^0$, $1 < x < \infty$; $y^0(0, y) = y_0^0$, $0 < y < \infty$.

$$y^k(x, y) = y_3^k + \frac{y_1^k - y_2^k}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{u+x}{y} \right] + \frac{y_2^k - y_3^k}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right] \quad (106)$$

$$y^0(x, y) = y_0^0 + 2 \frac{y_1^0 - y_2^0}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{y} + \frac{y_1^0 - y_2^0}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u-x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{u+x}{y} \right] \\ + \frac{y_2^0 - y_3^0}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right] \quad (107)$$




Figure 10: The worldsheet in dual y space for the scattering solution of a string in flat space ending on a 0-brane for $u = 0.5$.

We next display the derivatives of the y 's:

$$\frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x} = \frac{y_1^k - y_2^k}{\pi} \left[\frac{-4uxy}{[y^2 + (u-x)^2][y^2 + (u+x)^2]} \right] + \frac{y_2^k - y_3^k}{\pi} \left[\frac{-4xy}{[y^2 + (1-x)^2][y^2 + (1+x)^2]} \right] \quad (108)$$

$$\frac{\partial y^k}{\partial y} = \frac{y_1^k - y_2^k}{\pi} \left[\frac{-2u(u^2 + y^2 - x^2)}{[y^2 + (u-x)^2][y^2 + (u+x)^2]} \right] + \frac{y_2^k - y_3^k}{\pi} \left[\frac{-2(1+y^2 - x^2)}{[y^2 + (1-x)^2][y^2 + (1+x)^2]} \right] \quad (109)$$

for the spatial components and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial y^0}{\partial x} &= 2 \frac{y_1^0 - y_0^0}{\pi} \frac{y}{y^2 + x^2} + \frac{y_1^0 - y_2^0}{\pi} \left[\frac{-2y(y^2 + x^2 + u^2)}{[y^2 + (u-x)^2][y^2 + (u+x)^2]} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{y_2^0 - y_3^0}{\pi} \left[\frac{-2y(y^2 + x^2 + 1)}{[y^2 + (1-x)^2][y^2 + (1+x)^2]} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial y^0}{\partial y} &= 2 \frac{y_1^0 - y_0^0}{\pi} \frac{-x}{y^2 + x^2} + \frac{y_1^0 - y_2^0}{\pi} \left[\frac{-2x(u^2 - y^2 - x^2)}{[y^2 + (u-x)^2][y^2 + (u+x)^2]} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{y_2^0 - y_3^0}{\pi} \left[\frac{-2x(1 - y^2 - x^2)}{[y^2 + (1-x)^2][y^2 + (1+x)^2]} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

for the time components.

We next impose the conformal constraints. They should only hold on shell which for the classical case we take to mean $y_0^0 - y_1^0 = y_3^0 - y_0^0 = 0$ and $(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2)^2 - (y_1^0 - y_2^0)^2 = (\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3)^2 - (y_2^0 - y_3^0)^2 = 0$. That is the initial and final 0-brane have zero energy and the initial and final open string momenta are light-like. Then

$$\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial y^0}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial y^0}{\partial y} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \frac{xy(1+u^2+2y^2-2x^2) [(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2)^2(1+u^2) + 2u(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2) \cdot (\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3)]}{[y^2 + (u-x)^2][y^2 + (u+x)^2][y^2 + (1-x)^2][y^2 + (1+x)^2]}. \quad (112)$$

Setting this to zero requires the modulus u to satisfy

$$(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2)^2(1+u^2) + 2u(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2) \cdot (\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3) = 0. \quad (113)$$

Defining the scattering angle by $(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2) \cdot (\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3) = -\cos\theta(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2)^2$ we see that the roots are

$$u_{\pm} = \cos\theta \pm \sqrt{\cos^2\theta - 1} = e^{\pm i\theta} \quad (114)$$

and are real only for $\cos^2\theta > 1$. If $\cos\theta > 1$, it follows that $0 < u_- < 1 < u_+$, so u_- is the root in the assumed range⁸.

To recap, the solution with the on-shell condition imposed is

$$y^k(x, y) = y_3^k + \frac{y_1^k - y_2^k}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u_- - x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{u_- + x}{y} \right] + \frac{y_2^k - y_3^k}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right] \quad (115)$$

$$y^0(x, y) = y_0^0 + \frac{y_1^0 - y_2^0}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u_- - x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{u_- + x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right]. \quad (116)$$

Finally we evaluate the action on these solutions, but with u not yet fixed. The integrals converge only if the on-shell conditions $(y_1 - y_2)^2 = (y_2 - y_3)^2 = 0$ and $y_3^0 = y_1^0 = y_0^0$ are imposed⁹. In that case

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dx dy \left[\left(\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial y^0}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial y^0}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{2}{\pi} (\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2) \cdot (\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3) \log \frac{1+u}{1-u} - \frac{2}{\pi} (\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2)^2 \log \frac{1-u^2}{4u}. \quad (118)$$

One can easily check that the condition that S is stationary under variation in u is the same as that obtained by imposing the conformal constraints.

To study the 0-brane solutions with $\cos\theta > 1$, we have to allow complex solutions. Let us define initial and final momenta $\vec{k} = \vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2$, $\vec{k}' = \vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3$, and assume scattering is in the 12 plane. Then consider $\vec{k} = (a, a^*)$, $\vec{k}' = (a^*, a)$. Then $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}' = 2|a|^2$ and $\vec{k}^2 = \vec{k}'^2 = a^2 + a^{*2}$, and $\cos\theta = 2|a|^2/(a^2 + a^{*2}) > 1$. Writing $a = \alpha + i\beta$, with $0 < \beta < \alpha$ we have $u_- = (\alpha - \beta)/(\alpha + \beta)$, which we can solve for $\beta/\alpha = (1 - u_-)/(1 + u_-)$. Finally we can set $\vec{y}_3 = 0$ and $y_0^0 = 0$. Then

$$\text{Re } y^1(x, y) = \text{Re } y^2(x, y) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u_- - x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{u_- + x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right] \quad (119)$$

$$\text{Im } y^1(x, y) = -\text{Im } y^2(x, y) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{1-u_-}{1+u_-} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u_- - x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{u_- + x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right] \quad (120)$$

$$y^0(x, y) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2u_-}}{1+u_-} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u_- - x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{u_- + x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right]. \quad (121)$$

We see that α simply sets the overall scale, and u_- determines the scattering angle according to $\cos\theta = (1 + u_-^2)/2u_-$. To visualize the surface we can plot y^0 versus say $\text{Re } y^1, \text{Im } y^1$. as we do in Figs. 9 and 10.

⁸If we want to stay in the physical region, with $\cos^2\theta < 1$, u lies on the unit circle and we have to reexamine the boundary conditions. In this case $\tan^{-1}(u \pm x)/y$ will have discontinuous $y \rightarrow 0$ behavior at $x = \pm \text{Re}u$.

⁹For completeness we give the action off-shell, where we introduce two cutoffs: $x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2$ and a symmetric ϵ cutoff surrounding the singular points $x = 0, u, 1$:

$$S = \frac{2}{\pi} (\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2) \cdot (\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3) \log \frac{1+u}{1-u} + \frac{2}{\pi} (y_1^0 - y_2^0)(y_2^0 - y_3^0) \log \frac{1-u^2}{4u} + \frac{1}{\pi} [(y_1 - y_2)^2 - 2(y_1^0 - y_2^0)(y_1^0 + y_3^0 - 2y_0^0)] \log u + \frac{1}{\pi} [(y_1 - y_2)^2 + (y_2 - y_3)^2 + 2(y_1^0 - y_3^0)^2] \log 2 + \frac{1}{\pi} [2(y_1^0 - y_0^0)^2 - (y_1 - y_2)^2 - (y_2 - y_3)^2] \log \epsilon - \frac{2}{\pi} (y_3^0 - y_0^0)^2 \log R. \quad (117)$$

These figures should be compared to the one corner AdS worldsheet on the right of Fig. 7. They share a single corner between light-like segments the other ends of which are connected by a curve at fixed y^0 . Note however that in this 0-brane case the end of the string following this curve is at a fixed position in transverse coordinate space.

It would be desirable to find an AdS worldsheet describing the scattering of a gluon off a heavy quark at a fixed location on the AdS boundary, $z = 0$. The fixed static quark would be described by a string running from the quark location at $z = 0$ on a line at a fixed point in space to $z = \infty$ ($r = 0$). The gluon scattering would take place near $r = 0$ far from the end tied to the quark. Since the string near that end would possess very large tension, most of the total energy of the string would reside near the quark where the string would hardly be perturbed by the scattering. We haven't been able to find such a solution but it is interesting to study a flat space analogue which shares this feature; Consider the scattering of an open string off a 1-brane. In this process y^0 and a spatial coordinate, say y^3 satisfy DD boundary conditions and the remaining spatial coordinates y^1, y^2 satisfy DN boundary conditions. Then y^3 has the same form as y^0 :

$$y^3(x, y) = y_0^3 + 2\frac{y_1^3 - y_0^3}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{y} + \frac{y_1^3 - y_2^3}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u-x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{u+x}{y} \right] + \frac{y_2^3 - y_3^3}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right]. \quad (122)$$

The conformal constraint now reads:

$$(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2)^2 - 2(y_1 - y_2) \cdot (y_0 - y_1) + u^2[(\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3)^2 - 2(y_2 - y_3) \cdot (y_0 - y_1)] + 2u(\vec{y}_1 - \vec{y}_2) \cdot (\vec{y}_2 - \vec{y}_3) = 0 \quad (123)$$

where it is understood that the scalar product of the two-vector $y_0 - y_1$ with a four-vector $y_1 - y_2$ or $y_2 - y_3$ uses only the 3, 0 components of the four-vector. Here we take on-shell to mean $(y_1 - y_2)^2 = (y_2 - y_3)^2 = 0$ (full 4-vector scalar product) and $(y_0 - y_1)^2 = (y_3 - y_0)^2 = 0$ (2-vector scalar product involving 0, 3 components).




Figure 11: The worldsheet in dual y space for the scattering solution of a string in flat space ending on a 1-brane for $u = 0.5$ and $a' = 0$. The left figure shows y^3 and the right y^0 .

To study the 1-brane solution (see Figs. 11 and 12), let us take a simplified kinematics, with $(y_1^3 - y_2^3) = (y_2^3 - y_3^3) = 0$ and $y_0^3 - y_1^3 = y_0^0 - y_1^0 \equiv P = y_3^3 - y_0^3 = y_3^0 - y_0^0$. Let us also take a frame where $y_1^1 - y_2^1 = y_2^2 - y_3^2 = a$




Figure 12: The worldsheet in dual y space for the scattering solution of a string in flat space ending on a 1-brane for $u = 0.9$ and $a' = 0$.

and $y_1^2 - y_2^2 = y_2^1 - y_3^1 = a'$. Then the 1-brane solution reads

$$y^1 = \frac{a}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{u+x}{y} \right] + \frac{a'}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right] \quad (124)$$

$$y^2 = \frac{a'}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{u+x}{y} \right] + \frac{a}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right] \quad (125)$$

$$y^3 = -\frac{2P}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{y} \quad (126)$$

$$y^0 = -\frac{2P}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{x}{y} - \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + a'^2}}{\pi} \left[\tan^{-1} \frac{u-x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{u+x}{y} - \tan^{-1} \frac{1-x}{y} + \tan^{-1} \frac{1+x}{y} \right]. \quad (127)$$

The conformal constraint reads

$$P = \frac{(1+u^2)(a^2+a'^2) + 4uaa'}{2\sqrt{a^2+a'^2}(1-u^2)} \quad (128)$$

and the scattering angle is given by $\cos \theta = -2aa'/(a^2 + a'^2)$. As a metaphor for the AdS situation, y^3 is simulating the AdS radius z and a heavy quark would be simulated by taking P large or u near unity (see Fig. 12).

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Martin Kruczenski for very helpful discussions. This research was supported in part by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-97ER-41029.

A Covariant and lightcone gauges

In this section we review a variety of possible worldsheet coordinate choices one can make, including conformal and lightcone parameters.

- Conformal gauge for a Minkowski world sheet is $g_{00} = -g_{11}$, $g_{01} = 0$.

$$S_{ws} \rightarrow \int d\xi^0 d\xi^1 \frac{R^2 T_0}{2z^2} [\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2 - x'^2 - z'^2] \quad (129)$$

$$\dot{x} \cdot x' + \dot{z} \cdot z' = \dot{x}^2 + x'^2 + \dot{z}^2 + z'^2 = 0. \quad (130)$$

The equations of motion in this gauge are:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0} \frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{z^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \frac{x^{\mu'}}{z^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^0} \frac{\dot{z}}{z^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \frac{z'}{z^2} + \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2 - x'^2 - z'^2}{z^3} = 0. \quad (131)$$

For a Euclidean worldsheet, $i\xi^0 \rightarrow \tau$, $\xi_1 = \sigma$ and we have

$$iS_{ws} \rightarrow - \int d\tau d\sigma \frac{R^2 T_0}{2z^2} [\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2 + x'^2 + z'^2] \quad (132)$$

$$\dot{x} \cdot x' + \dot{z} \cdot z' = \dot{x}^2 - x'^2 + \dot{z}^2 - z'^2 = 0 \quad (133)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{z^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{x^{\mu'}}{z^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \frac{\dot{z}}{z^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \frac{z'}{z^2} + \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2 + x'^2 + z'^2}{z^3} = 0. \quad (134)$$

- In preparation for lightcone gauge [8], we consider another family of covariant gauges:

$$S_{ws} \rightarrow \int d\xi^0 d\xi^1 \frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2 - \frac{R^4 T_0^2}{z^4} (x'^2 + z'^2) \right] \quad (135)$$

$$\dot{x} \cdot x' + \dot{z} \cdot z' = \dot{x}^2 + \dot{z}^2 + \frac{R^4 T_0^2}{z^4} (x'^2 + z'^2) = 0. \quad (136)$$

The equations of motion in this gauge are:

$$\ddot{x}^\mu - \left(\frac{T_0^2 R^4}{z^4} x^{\mu'} \right)' = 0. \quad (137)$$

The motivation for this class of gauges is that the equations of motion are consistent with identifying ξ^0 with a linear combination of the x^μ 's

- Lightcone time is $x^+ = (x^0 + x^3)/\sqrt{2}$. This leaves $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2)$ and $x^- = (x^0 - x^3)/\sqrt{2}$ as spatial coordinates. Lightcone parametrization of the string means $\xi^0 = x^+$ and $\mathcal{P}^+ = 1$, where \mathcal{P}^+ is the momentum conjugate to x^- . Then in this parametrization

$$S_{ws} \rightarrow \int dx^+ \int_0^{p^+} d\sigma \frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \dot{z}^2 - \frac{R^4 T_0^2}{z^4} (\mathbf{x}'^2 + z'^2) \right].$$

For a closed string one must also impose the constraint $\int_0^{p^+} d\sigma (\mathbf{x}' \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} + z' \dot{z}) = 0$. The equation of motion for \mathbf{x} following from this action is

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \left(\frac{R^4 T_0^2 \mathbf{x}'}{z^4} \right)'$$

To put the AdS string action in a form similar to the lightcone worldsheet action read off from planar graph summation [5], we do the T-dual transformation

$$\mathbf{q}' = \dot{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{R^4 T_0^2}{z^4} \mathbf{x}'.$$

The integrability condition for these equations for \mathbf{q} implies the equation of motion for \mathbf{x} , and the integrability condition for the equations for \mathbf{x} imply equations of motion for \mathbf{q} , which are implied by the worldsheet Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left[-\mathbf{q}'^2 + \frac{z^4}{R^4 T_0^2} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2 + \dot{z}^2 - \frac{R^4 T_0^2}{z^4} z'^2 \right].$$

Note that the \mathbf{q} terms in this Lagrangian have the opposite sign from simply substituting the dual relations into the x space Lagrangian. This is necessary to produce the correct equations of motion, and, as explained in section 2, the correct action does follow from the proper treatment of duality using the phase space action principle. Notice that the $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ dependence is negligible near the boundary of AdS ($z = 0$). The intuitive origin of such terms is explained in the foundational papers on the lightcone worldsheet [5, 16, 17]. We just mention here that a loop is represented on the QFT worldsheet by a line segment at fixed σ on which $\dot{\mathbf{q}} = 0$. Thus terms in the action that energetically favor this condition will be gradually brought into the worldsheet action as one includes more and more loops. It is very plausible that in the strong 't Hooft coupling limit a mean field treatment of the sum over loops can be represented by a bulk term in the action similar to the $\dot{\mathbf{q}}^2$ term in the AdS string action.

We can also introduce the dual description in the lightcone friendly covariant gauge. At the same time it is convenient to use $r = R^2/z$ instead of z to represent the AdS radial dimension.

$$q^{\mu\nu} = \dot{x}^\mu, \quad \dot{q}^\mu = \frac{R^4 T_0^2}{z^4} x^{\mu\nu} = \frac{r^4 T_0^2}{R^4} x^{\mu\nu}. \quad (138)$$

In these variables the action and reparametrization constraints read

$$S_{ws} \rightarrow \int d\xi^0 d\xi^1 \frac{1}{2} \left[-q'^2 - T_0^2 r'^2 + \dot{r}^2 \frac{R^4}{r^4} + \dot{q}^2 \frac{R^4}{r^4 T_0^2} \right] \quad (139)$$

$$\dot{q} \cdot q' + T_0^2 \dot{r} \cdot r' = q'^2 + T_0^2 r'^2 + \dot{r}^2 \frac{R^4}{r^4} + \dot{q}^2 \frac{R^4}{r^4 T_0^2} = 0. \quad (140)$$

We can pass directly to lightcone gauge from here by simply choosing $q^+ = \sigma$, in which case the constraints just turn into formulas for q^- :

$$\dot{q}^- = \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{q}' + T_0^2 \dot{r} \cdot r', \quad q^{-'} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{q}'^2 + T_0^2 r'^2 + \dot{r}^2 \frac{R^4}{r^4} + \dot{\mathbf{q}}^2 \frac{R^4}{r^4 T_0^2} \right). \quad (141)$$

The duality transformation in conformal gauge, for a Euclidean worldsheet is

$$\dot{y} = iR^2 \frac{x'}{z^2}, \quad y' = -iR^2 \frac{\dot{x}}{z^2}, \quad (142)$$

where at the same time it is still convenient to use $r = R^2/z$. Then the Euclidean worldsheet action for the y 's is

$$S_E = \int d\tau d\sigma \frac{R^2 T_0}{2r^2} (y^2 + y'^2 + \dot{r}^2 + r'^2). \quad (143)$$

We emphasize that this is *not* the Euclidean worldsheet action for x, z expressed in terms of y, r , which would have the opposite sign in front of the y terms.

B AdS and Conformal Transformations

B.1 Poincaré Transformations

Lorentz Transformations $y'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu y^\nu$, $r' = r$:

$$Y'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu Y^\nu, \quad Y'^{-1} = Y^{-1}, \quad Y'^4 = Y^4. \quad (144)$$

Translations $y'^\mu = y^\mu + a^\mu$, $r' = r$:

$$\begin{aligned} Y'^\mu &= Y^\mu + a^\mu (Y^{-1} + Y^4) \\ Y'^{-1} &= Y^{-1} + a^\mu Y^\mu + \frac{a^2}{2} (Y^{-1} + Y^4), \quad Y'^4 = Y^4 - a^\mu Y^\mu - \frac{a^2}{2} (Y^{-1} + Y^4). \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

Note that $r' = r$ because $(Y^{-1} + Y^4)$ is invariant under this transformation.

B.2 Scaling

Scaling $y'^\mu = sy^\mu$, $r' = sr$:

$$Y'^\mu = Y^\mu, \quad Y'^{-1} = \gamma(Y^{-1} + vY^4), \quad Y'^4 = \gamma(Y^4 + vY^{-1}) \quad (146)$$

with $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-v^2}$, $s = \sqrt{(1-v)/(1+v)}$.

B.3 Special Conformal Transformations

First consider a 04 boost

$$Y'^0 = \gamma(Y^0 + vY^4), \quad Y'^4 = \gamma(Y^4 + vY^0) \quad (147)$$

translated to Poincaré coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r'} &= \frac{1+\gamma}{2r} + \frac{1-\gamma}{2r}(r^2 + y \cdot y) + \gamma v \frac{y^0}{r} \\ y'^0 &= \frac{\gamma y^0 - \gamma v(r^2 + y \cdot y)/2 + \gamma v/2}{(1+\gamma)/2 + (1-\gamma)(r^2 + y \cdot y)/2 + \gamma v y^0} \\ y'^k &= \frac{y^k}{(1+\gamma)/2 + (1-\gamma)(r^2 + y \cdot y)/2 + \gamma v y^0}. \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

We see that the action on the y 's is a mixture of translations scaling and special conformal transformation in the 0 direction. We can isolate special conformal transformations by preceding this boost by a translation and scaling, $y^0 = \alpha \bar{y}^0 + \beta$, $y^k = \alpha \bar{y}^k$, $r = \alpha \bar{r}$. β is chosen to remove the constant term in the numerator:

$$1 + \beta^2 + \frac{2\beta}{v} = 0, \quad \beta = \frac{\pm\sqrt{1-v^2} - 1}{v}. \quad (149)$$

Choosing the upper sign leads to

$$\begin{aligned} y'^0 &= \frac{\alpha \bar{y}^0 - \alpha^2 \gamma v (\bar{r}^2 + \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y})/2}{2(\gamma-1)\sqrt{1-v^2}/\gamma v^2 + \alpha^2(1-\gamma)(\bar{r}^2 + \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y})/2 + 2(\gamma-1)y^0/v\gamma} \\ y'^k &= \frac{\alpha \bar{y}^k}{2(\gamma-1)\sqrt{1-v^2}/\gamma v^2 + \alpha^2(1-\gamma)(\bar{r}^2 + \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y})/2 + 2(\gamma-1)y^0/v\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

Then choosing $\alpha = 2(\gamma-1)\sqrt{1-v^2}/\gamma v^2$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} y'^0 &= \frac{\bar{y}^0 - (\gamma-1)(\bar{r}^2 + \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y})/v\gamma}{1 - (\gamma-1)^2(\bar{r}^2 + \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y})/v^2\gamma^2 + 2(\gamma-1)y^0/v\gamma} \\ y'^k &= \frac{\bar{y}^k}{1 - (\gamma-1)^2(\bar{r}^2 + \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y})/v^2\gamma^2 + 2(\gamma-1)y^0/v\gamma} \\ r' &= \frac{\bar{r}}{1 - (\gamma-1)^2(\bar{r}^2 + \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y})/v^2\gamma^2 + 2(\gamma-1)y^0/v\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (151)$$

This is seen to be a special conformal transformation

$$y'^\mu = \frac{y^\mu - a^\mu y \cdot y}{1 + a \cdot a y \cdot y - 2a \cdot y} \quad (152)$$

on the y 's with $a^\mu = ((\gamma-1)/v\gamma, \vec{0})$ when $r = 0$ (Note that the transformation takes $r = 0$ to $r' = 0$.) With $r > 0$ it is seen to be a special conformal transformation in the five dimensional AdS space.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998), hep-th/9711200;
S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett.* **B428**, 105 (1998), hep-th/9802109;
E. Witten, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253 (1998), hep-th/9802150.
- [2] L. F. Alday and J. Maldacena, *JHEP* **0706** (2007) 064 [arXiv:0705.0303 [hep-th]].
- [3] L. F. Alday and J. Maldacena, *JHEP* **0711** (2007) 068 [arXiv:0710.1060 [hep-th]].
- [4] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B72** (1974) 461.
- [5] K. Bardakci and C. B. Thorn, *Nucl. Phys. B* **626** (2002) 287 [arXiv:hep-th/0110301].
- [6] M. Kruczenski, *JHEP* **0212** (2002) 024 [arXiv:hep-th/0210115].
- [7] P. Goddard, J. Goldstone, C. Rebbi and C. B. Thorn, *Nucl. Phys. B* **56** (1973) 109.
- [8] R. R. Metsaev, C. B. Thorn and A. A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **596** (2001) 151 [arXiv:hep-th/0009171].
- [9] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and V. A. Smirnov, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 085001 [arXiv:hep-th/0505205].
- [10] S.-J. Rey and J. Yee, hep-th/9803001;
J. Maldacena, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 4859 (1998), hep-th/9803002.
- [11] C. G. Callan and A. Guijosa, *Nucl. Phys. B* **565** (2000) 157 [arXiv:hep-th/9906153].
- [12] I. R. Klebanov, J. Maldacena and C. B. Thorn, *JHEP* **0604** (2006) 024 [arXiv:hep-th/0602255].
- [13] M. Kruczenski, D. Mateos, R. C. Myers and D. J. Winters, *JHEP* **0307** (2003) 049 [arXiv:hep-th/0304032].
- [14] S. Ryang, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 894 [arXiv:0710.1673 [hep-th]].
- [15] S. Mandelstam, *Nucl. Phys. B* **64** (1973) 205.
- [16] C. B. Thorn, *Nucl. Phys. B* **637** (2002) 272 [Erratum-ibid. B **648** (2003) 457] [arXiv:hep-th/0203167].
- [17] S. Gudmundsson, C. B. Thorn and T. A. Tran, *Nucl. Phys. B* **649** (2003) 3 [arXiv:hep-th/0209102].