

April, 2008

# Refined BPS state counting from Nekrasov's formula and Macdonald functions

Hidetoshi Awata and Hiroaki Kanno

*Graduate School of Mathematics  
Nagoya University, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan*

## Abstract

It has been argued that the Nekrasov's partition function gives the generating function of refined BPS state counting in the compactification of  $M$  theory on local Calabi-Yau spaces. We show that a refined version of the topological vertex we proposed before (hep-th/0502061) is a building block of the Nekrasov's partition function with two equivariant parameters. Compared with another refined topological vertex by Iqbal-Kozcaz-Vafa (hep-th/0701156), our refined vertex is expressed entirely in terms of the specialization of the Macdonald symmetric functions which is related to the equivariant character of the Hilbert scheme of points on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We provide diagrammatic rules for computing the partition function from the web diagrams appearing in geometric engineering of Yang-Mills theory with eight supercharges. Our refined vertex has a simple transformation law under the flop operation of the diagram, which suggests that homological invariants of the Hopf link is related to the Macdonald function.

# 1 Introduction

The problem of instanton counting is one of the important aspects of non-perturbative dynamics in gauge and string theory. The result is encoded in the partition function of topological gauge and string theories, which is often computed exactly by the duality and/or the localization principle. A celebrated example in gauge theory is the Nekrasov's partition function  $Z_{Nek}(\epsilon_i, a_\ell, \Lambda)$  that reproduces the Seiberg-Witten prepotential from the microscopic viewpoint of equivariant integration over the instanton moduli space [1]. On the string theory side, the topological vertex  $C_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}(q)$  is constructed based on the geometric transition, which is a duality of topological closed string to the Chern-Simons theory [2, 3]. The topological vertex provides a building block of all genus topological string amplitudes on local toric Calabi-Yau 3-fold. It is amusing that these two instanton counting problems are actually related in an appropriate setup, which is expected from geometric engineering [4, 5].

To compute the integration over the instanton moduli space of  $SU(N)$  gauge theory, Nekrasov considered the toric action on  $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2 \ni (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (e^{i\epsilon_1} z_1, e^{i\epsilon_2} z_2)$ , which induces the toric action on the moduli space of framed instantons. By the localization theorem, the integral becomes a sum over the contributions from each fixed point of the toric action, which is labeled by the set of  $N$  Young diagrams (the ‘‘colored’’ partitions)  $\{\mu_{\ell,i}\}_{\ell=1}^N$ ,  $(\mu_{\ell,1} \geq \mu_{\ell,2} \geq \dots \geq \mu_{\ell,i} \geq \mu_{\ell,i+1} \geq \dots)$ . As a consequence we obtain the Nekrasov's partition function [6]:

$$Z_{Nek}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_\ell, \Lambda) = \sum_{\{\mu_{\ell,i}\}} \frac{\Lambda^{2N|\mu|}}{\prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N n_{\alpha,\beta}^{\{\mu_{\ell,i}\}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_\ell)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $|\mu| = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \sum_{1 \leq i} \mu_{\ell,i}$  and

$$n_{\alpha,\beta}^{\{\mu_{\ell,i}\}} = \prod_{s \in \mu_\alpha} (-\ell_{\mu_\beta}(s)\epsilon_1 + (a_{\mu_\alpha}(s) + 1)\epsilon_2 + a_\beta - a_\alpha) \prod_{t \in \mu_\beta} ((\ell_{\mu_\alpha}(t) + 1)\epsilon_1 - a_{\mu_\beta}(t)\epsilon_2 + a_\beta - a_\alpha). \quad (1.2)$$

The parameter  $\Lambda$  of instanton expansion is introduced as a dynamical scale in the renormalization. The vacuum expectation values of the scalar fields in the vector multiplets are  $a_\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq N$ . Mathematically they are equivariant parameters for the action of maximal torus on the gauge group. We denote the leg-length and the arm-length at  $s = (i, j)$  with respect to the Young diagram  $\mu$  by  $\ell_\mu(i, j) = \mu_j^\vee - i$ , and  $a_\mu(i, j) = \mu_i - j$ , respectively. The relation to the (topological) string theory becomes transparent, if we

consider a five dimensional (“trigonometric”, or  $K$  theoretic) lift of the partition function by promoting the factors  $n_{\alpha,\beta}^{\{\mu_{\ell,i}\}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a_{\ell})$  in the denominator to

$$N_{\alpha,\beta}^{\{\mu_{\ell,i}\}}(t, q, Q_{\beta,\alpha}) = \prod_{s \in \mu_{\alpha}} \left(1 - t^{-\ell_{\mu_{\beta}(s)}} q^{-a_{\mu_{\alpha}(s)-1}} Q_{\beta,\alpha}\right) \prod_{t \in \mu_{\beta}} \left(1 - t^{\ell_{\mu_{\alpha}(t)+1}} q^{a_{\mu_{\beta}(t)}} Q_{\beta,\alpha}\right), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $t := e^{\epsilon_1}$ ,  $q := e^{-\epsilon_2}$ ,  $Q_{\beta,\alpha} = e^{a_{\beta}-a_{\alpha}}$ . We can show that, when  $q = t = e^{-g_s}$ , Nekrasov’s partition function is nothing but the topological string amplitude on an appropriate local toric Calabi-Yau 3-fold [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

All genus topological string amplitude on local Calabi-Yau manifold can be computed by a diagrammatic rule, in terms of the topological vertex;

$$C_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}(q) = q^{\frac{\kappa(\lambda_3)}{2}} s_{\lambda_2}(q^{\rho}) \sum_{\mu} s_{\lambda_1/\mu}(q^{\lambda_2^{\vee} + \rho}) s_{\lambda_3^{\vee}/\mu}(q^{\lambda_2 + \rho}), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $s_{\lambda/\mu}(x)$  is the (skew) Schur function and  $q^{\lambda+\rho}$  means the substitution  $x_i := q^{\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}}$ . The partition  $\lambda^{\vee}$  is defined by the transpose of the corresponding Young diagram. The definition of  $\kappa(\lambda)$  is given in Appendix A. Then a natural question is that, for generic parameters  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ , can we obtain  $Z_{Nek}(\epsilon_i, a_{\ell}, \Lambda)$  in a similar manner by generalizing the topological vertex  $C_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3}(q)$ ? This is the problem of constructing a refined vertex. An answer to this question has been given by Iqbal-Kozcaz-Vafa [13]. The refined topological vertex they proposed is

$$C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q) = \left(\frac{q}{t}\right)^{\frac{\|\mu\|^2 + \|\nu\|^2}{2}} t^{\frac{\kappa(\mu)}{2}} P_{\nu^{\vee}}(t^{-\rho}; q, t) \sum_{\eta} \left(\frac{q}{t}\right)^{\frac{|\eta| + |\lambda| - |\mu|}{2}} s_{\lambda^{\vee}/\eta}(t^{-\rho} q^{-\nu}) s_{\mu/\eta}(t^{-\nu^{\vee}} q^{-\rho}). \quad (1.5)$$

As before  $q^{\mu} t^{\rho}$  etc. means the specialization  $x_i := q^{\mu_i} t^{-i + \frac{1}{2}}$ . On the other hand, before the proposal in [13] we had introduced the following vertex in [14]<sup>1</sup>;

$$C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\vee}(q, t) = f_{\nu}(q, t)^{-1} P_{\mu}(t^{\rho}; q, t) \sum_{\eta} \left(\frac{t}{q}\right)^{\frac{|\eta|}{2}} (-1)^{|\lambda| + |\eta|} {}_{\iota} P_{\lambda^{\vee}/\eta^{\vee}}(t^{\mu^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q) P_{\nu/\eta}(q^{\mu} t^{\rho}; q, t). \quad (1.6)$$

It is convenient to introduce the conjugate vertex  $C^{\lambda\mu\nu}(q, t) := C_{\lambda^{\vee}\mu^{\vee}\nu^{\vee}}(t, q) (-1)^{|\lambda| + |\mu| + |\nu|}$ . Note that the conjugation involves the exchange of  $t$  and  $q$ . The refined vertex  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q)$

<sup>1</sup>We have slightly changed the original definition in [14] by improving the framing factor.

partly employs the Macdonald function  $P_\lambda(x; q, t)$  but there still remain the skew Schur functions<sup>2</sup>. Compared with it, our proposal eliminates the skew Schur functions completely and the vertex is expressed in terms of the (skew) Macdonald function  $P_{\lambda/\eta}(x; q, t)$ . The price for this elimination is that we have to introduce the involution  $\iota$  on the algebra of symmetric functions defined by  $\iota(p_n) = -p_n$ , where  $p_n(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^n$  is the power sum function. Since  $\{p_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  forms a basis of the algebra of the symmetric functions, the involution  $\iota$  is uniquely defined by the above relation. Finally  $f_\mu(q, t) := (-1)^{|\mu|} q^{\frac{||\mu||^2}{2}} t^{-\frac{||\mu^\vee||^2}{2}}$  is the framing factor proposed recently by Taki [15]. The refined vertex  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q)$  has a nice interpretation as the counting of “unisotropic” plane partitions, or by statistical mechanics of the melting crystal model [16, 13, 17]. Although the relation of our vertex to such a statistical model is unclear, our vertex is more symmetric than  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q)$ , since we have replaced all the (skew) Schur functions in the topological vertex by the (skew) Macdonald functions. However, it seems impossible to make  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\nu(q, t)$  completely symmetric under cyclic permutation.

In [13] it is claimed (see also the arguments in [15]) that one can reproduce the Nekrasov’s partition function from the refined topological vertex  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q)$ . As we mentioned already in [14], our vertex (1.6) also reproduces the  $SU(N)$  Nekrasov’s partition function, and here we will show it. Though  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q)$  and  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\nu(q, t)$  are different, they give the same result as far as we put trivial representations to external edges, which is the case when we compute the Nekrasov’s partition function by the method of topological vertex. The Schur functions and the Macdonald functions are two different basis of the space of symmetric functions. Thus  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q)$  and  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^\nu(q, t)$  can be regarded as expressions of the refined vertex in different basis. Hence they should give the same result after taking the summation over the partitions attached to internal edges.

In this paper we show that the refined topological vertex gives a building block of the  $K$  theoretic lift of the Nekrasov’s partition function. We would like to point out the following possible application. It has been argued the Nekrasov’s partition function gives the generating function of refined BPS state counting in the compactification of  $M$  theory on local Calabi-Yau spaces [11, 14, 13]. As far as we know (see appendix C for examples), the refined BPS state counting always gives integers, which is a refined version of the conjecture of the integrality of the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants [18, 19, 20]. Recently the conjecture is proved for local toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds [21, 22, 23]. The existence of the topological vertex is one of the important ingredients in the proofs. Hence one may expect the refined vertex is helpful to prove the integrality of the refined Gopakumar-

---

<sup>2</sup>However, this implies a nice interpretation in terms of plane partitions.

Vafa invariants for local toric case. Macdonald functions are also related with  $q$ -deformed Virasoro and  $\mathcal{W}$  algebras [?]. We hope these quantum groups play an important role in topological string theory and Yang-Mills theory.

The paper is organized as follows; In section 2 we introduce the  $K$  theoretic lift of the Nekrasov's partition function following a mathematical formulation in [27]. The  $K$  theoretic lift allows the Chern-Simons coupling  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and we find that the framing factor  $f_\mu(q, t)$  of the refined topological vertex arises naturally from the  $m$  dependence of the partition function. We also examine the symmetry of the partition function under  $r_L : (q, t) \rightarrow (q^{-1}, t^{-1})$  and  $r_R : (q, t) \rightarrow (t, q)$ . This is a necessary condition for the  $K$  theoretic lift to be interpreted as a character of  $Spin(4) = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ . In section 3 we review the idea of geometric engineering. When we compute the partition function using the refined topological vertex as a building block, we will fix a preferred direction, which we choose the horizontal left arrow  $(-1, 0)$  in this paper. The (dual) toric diagram in geometric engineering has a feature that we can arrange the diagram so that each vertex has a unique edge with the preferred direction. To emphasize the fact that we fix the preferred direction of the diagram, we will call it web diagram in following. We define our refined topological vertex in section 4. The gluing rules of the vertex to compute the partition function are also provided. In section 5 we consider four point functions obtained by gluing two refined vertices. We show that the four point function enjoys a rather simple transformation law under the flop operation of the web diagram. Based on this transformation law we argue a possible relation of our refined vertex to homological invariants of the Hopf link [25, 26]. Finally we present several examples of the computation of the partition function in sections 6, 7 and 8. In appendix A we summarize formulas for partition. In appendix B we give a definition of the Macdonald symmetric functions and collect several useful formulas. We present examples of the refined BPS state counting in appendix C. In appendix D we remark that our refined topological vertex can be expressed in terms of the  $q$ -Dunkl operator. Appendix E gives a table of notations for partitions used in this paper.

Here is the notation which we use in this article. The  $\lambda$  be the Young diagram, *i.e.*, the partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ , which is a sequence of non-negative integers such that  $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$  and  $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i < \infty$ .  $\lambda^\vee$  is its conjugate (dual) diagram.  $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda_1^\vee$  is the length and  $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$  is the weight. For each square  $s = (i, j)$  in  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(s) &:= \lambda_i - j, & a'(s) &:= j - 1, \\ \ell(s) &:= \lambda_j^\vee - i, & \ell'(s) &:= i - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

are the arm-length, arm-colength, leg-length and leg-colength, respectively<sup>3</sup>.

Let  $x$  be the set of variables  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . If  $|t^{-1}| < 1$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , the variable  $cq^{\lambda t^p}$  stands for  $x_i = cq^{\lambda_i} t^{\frac{1}{2}-i}$ . Let  $p_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^n$  be the power-sum in  $x$ . Despite the value of  $t$ , we denote

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(cq^{\lambda t^p}) &:= c^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (q^{n\lambda_i} - 1) t^{n(\frac{1}{2}-i)} + \frac{c^n}{t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}}}, \quad t, c \in \mathbb{C}, \\ &= c^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{n\lambda_i} t^{n(\frac{1}{2}-i)}, \quad |t^{-1}| < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Finally, we often use  $u := (qt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $v := (q/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

## 2 Structure of the Nekrasov's partition function

### 2.1 Partition function with Chern-Simons coupling

The five dimensional lift of Nekrasov's partition function of  $SU(N_c)$  theory is given by the summation over the set of  $N_c$  Young diagrams (or colored partitions)  $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{N_c}$  as follows;

$$Z^{\text{inst}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha, \Lambda) = \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}} \frac{\Lambda^{2N_c \cdot |\lambda|}}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\alpha, \beta}^{\{\lambda_\alpha\}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha)}.$$

The product in the denominator is the equivariant Euler character of the tangent space to the instanton moduli space  $M(N_c, k)$  at a fixed point  $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{N_c}$ ,  $|\lambda| = k$  of the toric action. Each factor is given by

$$N_{\alpha, \beta}^{\{\lambda_\alpha\}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha) = \prod_{s \in \lambda_\alpha} \left( 1 - e^{\ell_{\lambda_\beta}(s)\epsilon_1 - (a_{\lambda_\alpha}(s)+1)\epsilon_2 + a_\alpha - a_\beta} \right) \prod_{t \in \lambda_\beta} \left( 1 - e^{-(\ell_{\lambda_\beta}(t)+1)\epsilon_1 + a_{\lambda_\alpha}(t)\epsilon_2 + a_\alpha - a_\beta} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

The product  $\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\alpha, \beta}^{\{\lambda_\alpha\}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha)$  consists of  $2N_c k$  factors which agree to the complex dimensions of  $M(N_c, k)$ . In [27] and [28] the five dimensional lift is mathematically

---

<sup>3</sup>In [13] the definitions of the arm-length and the leg-length are exchanged.

identified as the  $K$  theoretic lift and it is computed as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z_m^{\text{inst}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha, \Lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \Lambda^{2N_c} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c+m)(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)} \right)^k \sum_i (-1)^i \text{ch} H^i(M(N_c, k), \mathcal{L}^m) \\
&= \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}} \frac{\left( \Lambda^{2N_c} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c+m)(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)} \right)^{|\lambda|}}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta} N_{\alpha, \beta}^{\{\lambda_\alpha\}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha)} \cdot \exp \left( m \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{s \in \lambda_\alpha} (a_\alpha - \ell'(s)\epsilon_1 - a'(s)\epsilon_2) \right), \quad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $M(N_c, k)$  is the framed moduli space of rank  $N_c$  torsion free sheaves  $E$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with  $c_2(E) = k$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is the line bundle over  $M(N_c, k)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L} := \det R^1(p_2)_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes (p_1)^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-\ell_\infty)), \quad (2.3)$$

with  $\mathcal{E}$  being the universal sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times M(N_c, k)$  and  $p_{1,2}$  is the projection to the first or the second component. Physically  $Z_{N_c, m}^{\text{inst}}$  is the instanton part of the partition function of  $SU(N_c)$  gauge theory on  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times S^1$  with eight supercharges and the power  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is identified as the coupling constants of the five dimensional Chern-Simons term [29].

Let  $(q, t) := (e^{\epsilon_2}, e^{-\epsilon_1})$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha := e^{-a_\alpha}$  and  $Q_{\alpha, \beta} := \mathbf{e}_\alpha / \mathbf{e}_\beta$ <sup>4</sup>, then  $Z_m^{\text{inst}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha, \Lambda)$  is written as

$$Z_m^{\text{inst}}(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{N_c}, \Lambda; q, t) = \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} (\Lambda^2 (-\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{-m})^{|\lambda_\alpha|} f_{\lambda_\alpha}(q, t)^{-m}}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta}(Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t)}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta}(Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t) &:= N_{\beta, \alpha}^{\{\lambda_\alpha\}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; a_\alpha), \\
&= \prod_{s \in \lambda_\alpha} \left( 1 - q^{a_{\lambda_\alpha}(s)} t^{\ell_{\lambda_\beta}(s)+1} Q_{\alpha, \beta} \right) \prod_{t \in \lambda_\beta} \left( 1 - q^{-a_{\lambda_\beta}(t)-1} t^{-\ell_{\lambda_\alpha}(t)} Q_{\alpha, \beta} \right) \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $|\lambda|$  is the number of boxes of  $\lambda$  and

$$f_\lambda(q, t) := \prod_{s \in \lambda} (-1) q^{a'(s) + \frac{1}{2}t^{-\ell'(s) - \frac{1}{2}}} = \prod_{(i, j) \in \lambda} (-1) q^{\lambda_i - j + \frac{1}{2}t^{-\lambda_j^y + i - \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (2.6)$$

is the framing factor<sup>5</sup> which has been proposed by Taki[15]. This is nothing but the  $q, t$  and  $m$  dependent part of the partition function. Note that the framing factor satisfies the following symmetry;

$$f_\lambda(q, t) = f_\lambda(q^{-1}, t^{-1})^{-1} = f_{\lambda^\vee}(t, q)^{-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

<sup>4</sup>The different conventions  $(q, t) := (e^{-\epsilon_2}, e^{\epsilon_1})$  and  $Q_{\beta, \alpha} := \mathbf{e}_\alpha / \mathbf{e}_\beta$  are also used in the literatures.

<sup>5</sup>In terms of  $\|\lambda\|^2 := \sum_i \lambda_i^2 = 2 \sum_{s \in \lambda} (a(s) + \frac{1}{2})$ , this is  $f_\lambda(q, t) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} q^{\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|^2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda^\vee\|^2}$ .

Let  $u = (qt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $v = (q/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . We have the following four equivalent expressions of  $N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t)$ ;

**Proposition.**

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t) &= \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} \left(1 - Q q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1}\right) \prod_{(i,j) \in \mu} \left(1 - Q q^{-\mu_i + j - 1} t^{-\lambda_j^\vee + i}\right), \\ &= \prod_{(i,j) \in \mu} \left(1 - Q q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1}\right) \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} \left(1 - Q q^{-\mu_i + j - 1} t^{-\lambda_j^\vee + i}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t) &= \Pi_0 \left(-v^{-1} Q q^\lambda t^\rho, t^{\mu^\vee} q^\rho\right) / \Pi_0 \left(-v^{-1} Q t^\rho, q^\rho\right), \\ &= \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - Q q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1}\right) / \left(1 - Q t^{1-i} q^{-j}\right), \quad |q^{-1}|, |t^{-1}| < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t) &= \Pi_0 \left(-v^{-1} Q t^{-\lambda^\vee} q^{-\rho}, q^{-\mu} t^{-\rho}\right) / \Pi_0 \left(-v^{-1} Q q^{-\rho}, t^{-\rho}\right), \\ &= \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - Q t^{-\lambda_i^\vee + j} q^{-\mu_j + i - 1}\right) / \left(1 - Q q^{i-1} t^j\right), \quad |q|, |t| < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t) &= \Pi \left(Q q^\lambda t^\rho, q^{-\mu} t^{-\rho}; q, t\right) / \Pi \left(Q t^\rho, t^{-\rho}; q, t\right), \\ &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(Q q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i+1}; q)_\infty (Q t^{j-i}; q)_\infty}{(Q q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i}; q)_\infty (Q t^{j-i+1}; q)_\infty}, & |q| < 1 \\ \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(Q q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j - 1} t^{j-i}; q^{-1})_\infty (Q q^{-1} t^{j-i+1}; q^{-1})_\infty}{(Q q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j - 1} t^{j-i+1}; q^{-1})_\infty (Q q^{-1} t^{j-i}; q^{-1})_\infty}, & |q^{-1}| < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0(-x, y) &:= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} p_n(x) p_n(y) \right\} = \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i y_j), \\ \Pi(vx, y; q, t) &:= \exp \left\{ \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}}} p_n(x) p_n(y) \right\} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i,j} \frac{(ux_i y_j; q)_\infty}{(vx_i y_j; q)_\infty}, & |q| < 1 \\ \prod_{i,j} \frac{(u^{-1} x_i y_j; q^{-1})_\infty}{(v^{-1} x_i y_j; q^{-1})_\infty}, & |q^{-1}| < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Here  $(x; q)_\infty$  is the  $q$ -shifted factorial  $(x; q)_\infty := \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (1 - q^k x)$ . Equivalence of these three expressions are explained in appendix A. The first formula is given by [6] and the second and the the third formulas are by [30]. In this article, we mainly use the first two expressions, *i.e.*, (2.8) and (2.9).



## 2.2 Another form of the partition function

Let us transform the Nekrasov's partition function  $Z_m^{\text{inst}}$  so that it becomes transparent to compare it with the amplitude constructed by the method of topological vertex. Using (A.31),

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} (\lambda_i - j) - \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\mu_i - j + 1) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_i - j) - \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} (\mu_i - j + 1), \quad (2.13)$$

we can show

$$N_{\mu,\lambda}(Q^{-1}; q, t) = N_{\mu^\vee, \lambda^\vee}(Q; t, q) (vQ)^{-|\lambda| - |\mu|} f_\mu(q, t) / f_\lambda(q, t). \quad (2.14)$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\alpha < \beta}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\beta, \lambda_\alpha}(Q_{\beta, \alpha}; q, t) &= \prod_{\alpha < \beta}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\beta^\vee, \lambda_\alpha^\vee}(Q_{\alpha, \beta}; t, q) \\ &\times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \left( v^{N_c-1} \prod_{\beta=1}^{\alpha-1} Q_{\beta, \beta+1}^\beta \prod_{\beta=\alpha}^{N_c-1} Q_{\beta, \beta+1}^{N_c-\beta} \right)^{-|\lambda_\alpha|} f_{\lambda_\alpha}(q, t)^{-N_c+2\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

thus the Nekrasov's formula is rewritten as

$$Z_m^{\text{inst}} = \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_c}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \Lambda_{\alpha, m}^{|\lambda_\alpha|} f_{\lambda_\alpha}(q, t)^{N_c-m-2\alpha+1}}{\prod_{\alpha < \beta}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta}(Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t) N_{\lambda_\beta^\vee, \lambda_\alpha^\vee}(Q_{\alpha, \beta}; t, q) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha}(1; q, t)}, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\alpha, m} := v^{N_c-1} \Lambda^{2N_c} (-\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{-m} \prod_{\beta=1}^{\alpha-1} Q_{\beta, \beta+1}^\beta \prod_{\beta=\alpha}^{N_c-1} Q_{\beta, \beta+1}^{N_c-\beta}. \quad (2.17)$$

For example, for  $SU(2)$  theory we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_m^{\text{inst}} &= \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \frac{\Lambda^{4|\lambda|} v^{|\lambda|} (-\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{-m} Q_H^{|\lambda|} f_{\lambda_1}(q, t)^{1-m} f_{\lambda_2}(q, t)^{-1-m}}{N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(Q_H; q, t) N_{\lambda_2^\vee, \lambda_1^\vee}(Q_H; t, q) N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_1}(1; q, t) N_{\lambda_2, \lambda_2}(1; q, t)} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \Lambda^{4|\lambda|} v^{|\lambda|} (-\mathbf{e}_\alpha)^{-m} (f_{\lambda_1}(q, t) f_{\lambda_2}(q, t))^{-m} Q_H^{|\lambda|} q^{-\|\lambda_2\|^2} t^{-\|\lambda_1^\vee\|^2} Z_{\lambda_1}(q, t) Z_{\lambda_2}(q, t) \\ &\quad \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - Q_H q^{-j} t^{-i+1})}{(1 - Q_H q^{\lambda_{1,i} - j} t^{\lambda_{2,j}^\vee - i+1})} \frac{(1 - Q_H t^{-j} q^{-i+1})}{(1 - Q_H t^{\lambda_{2,i}^\vee - j} q^{\lambda_{1,j} - i+1})}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\lambda(q, t) &:= \prod_{s \in \lambda} (1 - t^{-\ell_\lambda(s)} q^{-a_\lambda(s)-1})^{-1} (1 - t^{-\ell_\lambda(s)-1} q^{-a_\lambda(s)})^{-1} \\ &= \frac{(-v)^{|\lambda|} t^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda^\vee\|^2} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|^2}}{N_{\lambda, \lambda}(1; q, t)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and  $Q_H = Q_{12}$ . It is this form of the Nekrasov's partition function that is obtained from the refined topological vertex with formulas of the Macdonald functions.

### 2.3 Symmetry as a character of $Spin(4)$

If the Nekrasov's partition function gives the generating function of refined BPS state counting in the compactification of  $M$  theory on local Calabi-Yau spaces, it has to be a character of  $Spin(4) \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ , since the spin of massive BPS particle in five dimensions is a representation of  $Spin(4)$ . In general, if a function  $f(u, v)$  in two variables  $(u, v)$  are invariant under both  $u \rightarrow u^{-1}$  and  $v \rightarrow v^{-1}$ ,  $f(u, v)$  can be expanded in terms of a linear combination of  $Spin(4)$  characters

$$f(t, q) = \sum_{(s_L, s_R)} a_{(s_L, s_R)} \chi_{s_L}(u) \chi_{s_R}(v), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\chi_n(z) := z^n + z^{n-2} + \dots + z^{-n+2} + z^{-n} = \frac{z^{n+1} - z^{-n-1}}{z - z^{-1}}, \quad (2.21)$$

is the character of the irreducible representation of  $SU(2)$  with spin  $n/2$ . Hence, if the  $k$ -instanton part  $Z^{(k)}(q, t)$  of the partition function is invariant under the transformations  $r_L : (q, t) \rightarrow (q^{-1}, t^{-1})$  and  $r_R : (q, t) \rightarrow (t, q)$ .  $Z^{(k)}(q, t)$  is expanded as

$$Z^{(k)}(q, t) = \sum_{(s_L, s_R)} a_{(s_L, s_R)}^{(k)} \chi_{s_L}(u) \chi_{s_R}(v), \quad (2.22)$$

with rational coefficients  $a_{(s_L, s_R)}^{(k)}$ . Recall that  $u = \sqrt{qt}$  and  $v = \sqrt{q/t}$ . Actually we will find an appropriate scaling of the partition function depending on the instanton number is necessary for the genuine invariance under the above transformations. If the partition function takes the form (2.22), then the  $k$ -instanton part of the free energy  $F^{(k)}(q, t)$  is also a linear combination of  $Spin(4)$  characters. Furthermore, if the pole structure of the free energy is appropriate, we can factor out the character of the half-hypermultiplet and subtract the multicovering contribution to obtain the expansion of the total free energy in the Gopakumar-Vafa form;

$$\begin{aligned} F = \log Z &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F^{(k)}(Q_\beta; q, t) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} \sum_{(j_L, j_R)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_\beta^{(j_L, j_R)} u^{n \cdot j_L} v^n}{n(u^n v^n - 1)(u^n - v^n)} \chi_{n \cdot j_L}(u) \chi_{n \cdot j_R}(v) Q_\beta^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

The coefficients  $N_\beta^{(j_L, j_R)}$  of the expansion (2.23) are conjectured to be non-negative integers, since from the viewpoint of the Calabi-Yau compactification of  $M$  theory they are interpreted as multiplicities of the five dimensional BPS particles arising from  $M2$  branes wrapping on a two-cycle  $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  in the Calabi-Yau 3-fold  $X$ . We have checked the integrality of the refined BPS state counting for  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  up to instanton number two. The result is presented in appendix C.

Since the transformation  $(q, t) \rightarrow (t^{-1}, q^{-1})$  is compensated by the transpose of colored partitions, we have

$$\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; t^{-1}, q^{-1}) = \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha^\vee, \lambda_\beta^\vee} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t) . \quad (2.24)$$

By (2.14), we find

$$\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}; q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = \left(\frac{q}{t}\right)^{N_c |\lambda|} \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t) . \quad (2.25)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}, |\lambda|=k} \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; t^{-1}, q^{-1})} &= \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}, |\lambda|=k} \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t)} \\ \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}, |\lambda|=k} \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}; q^{-1}, t^{-1})} &= \left(\frac{t}{q}\right)^{N_c |\lambda|} \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}, |\lambda|=k} \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Thus if we can prove

$$\sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}, |\lambda|=k} \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}; q, t)} = \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}, |\lambda|=k} \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t)} \quad (2.27)$$

then the following ‘‘renormalized’’ partition function [28]

$$\tilde{Z}^{\text{inst}}(\mathbf{e}_\alpha, \Lambda; q, t) = \sum_{\{\lambda_\alpha\}} \frac{(v^{-1} \Lambda^2)^{N_c |\lambda|}}{\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta} (Q_{\alpha, \beta}; q, t)} , \quad (2.28)$$

is invariant under both reflections  $r_L$  and  $r_R$ . It is easy to see that the property (2.27) is valid for  $N_c = 2$ , since the exchange of two partitions effectively induces  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{-1}$ . For  $N_c > 2$  the validity of (2.27) seems to be non-trivial. The overall reflection of the roots  $\mathbf{e}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{-1}$  cannot be induced by any permutation of colored partitions. However,

we have checked by explicit computations that (2.27) is true for  $N_c = 3$  and  $k = 1, 2$ . This is consistent with the computation in appendix C, where we obtain the results of refined BPS state counting for the Nekrasov's partition function of  $SU(3)$  theory.

Similarly the symmetry of Nekrasov's partition function with the Chern-Simons coupling can be derived by using the symmetry of the framing factor (2.7). Because of (A.15), the factor  $N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t)$  enjoys the following duality relations

$$N_{\lambda,\mu}(vQ; q, t) = N_{\mu,\lambda}(v^{-1}Q; q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = N_{\mu^\vee, \lambda^\vee}(v^{-1}Q; t, q). \quad (2.29)$$

From the expression (2.8), we also have

$$N_{\lambda,\mu}(vQ; q, t) = N_{\mu,\lambda}(vQ^{-1}; q, t) Q^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} f_\lambda(q, t) / f_\mu(q, t). \quad (2.30)$$

Since

$$N_{\lambda,\mu}(v^2Q; q, t) N_{\mu,\lambda}(v^2Q^{-1}; q, t) = N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t) N_{\mu,\lambda}(Q^{-1}; q, t) v^{2(|\lambda|+|\mu|)}, \quad (2.31)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t) N_{\mu,\lambda}(Q^{-1}; q, t) v^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} &= N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q^{-1}; q^{-1}, t^{-1}) N_{\mu,\lambda}(Q; q^{-1}, t^{-1}) v^{-|\lambda|-|\mu|}, \\ &= N_{\lambda^\vee, \mu^\vee}(Q^{-1}; t, q) N_{\mu^\vee, \lambda^\vee}(Q; t, q) v^{-|\lambda|-|\mu|}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Thus the Nekrasov's partition function  $Z_m^{\text{inst}}$  has the following symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} Z_m^{\text{inst}}(Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_c}, v^{-N_c}\Lambda; q, t) &= Z_{-m}^{\text{inst}}(Q_1^{-1}, \dots, Q_{N_c}^{-1}, v^{N_c}\Lambda; q^{-1}, t^{-1}), \\ &= Z_{-m}^{\text{inst}}(Q_1^{-1}, \dots, Q_{N_c}^{-1}, v^{N_c}\Lambda; t, q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

### 3 Geometric engineering and toric geometry

In this section following [4, 5, 8, 11], we review the toric geometry that is necessary for geometric engineering. Geometric engineering tells how to obtain  $\mathcal{N} = 2$   $SU(N_c)$  super Yang-Mills theory with  $N_f$  fundamental matters from type II(A) string theory on local Calabi-Yau manifold  $K_S$ , the canonical bundle of a 4-cycle  $S$ . The (toric) geometry of the 4-cycle  $S$  can be described by the (dual) toric diagram. The prescription of the geometric engineering implies that the toric diagram of  $S$  has  $N_c$  horizontal internal edges (“color”  $D5$  branes) and  $N_f$  horizontal external edges (“flavor”  $D5$  branes). For example, the vertical distance of “color”  $D5$  branes represents vacuum expectation values of the Higgs fields or the mass of  $W$  bosons. The matter fermions are given by fundamental strings

connecting a “color”  $D5$  brane and a “flavor”  $D5$  brane. The vertical distance of “color”  $D5$  brane and “flavor”  $D5$  brane represents the mass of matter fermions.

One of the properties of toric diagrams that arise from geometric engineering is that each vertex has a unique horizontal edge. In the following we will consider toric diagrams in which we specify the horizontal edges as distinguished. In the computation by the method of topological vertex, we cut the horizontal edges. Then the contribution of each component is given by computing the amplitude of “the vertex on a strip” [31]. By gluing these contributions we obtain the partition function for the local toric Calabi-Yau manifold  $K_S$ .

In the compactification of type IIA string theory on local Calabi-Yau manifold,  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric  $SU(N)$  gauge theory is geometrically engineered by ALE fibration of  $A_{N-1}$  type over the rational curve  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . The fiber consists of a chain of  $N - 1$  rational curves whose intersection form is given by the minus of the Cartan matrix of  $A_{N-1}$ . The holomorphic 2-cycles in the fiber are in one to one correspondence with the positive roots of  $A_{N-1}$ . The (dual) toric diagram takes the form of “ladder” diagram with  $N$  parallel (horizontal) edges. In toric diagram the faces correspond to compact four-cycles (divisors).




Figure 1: Ladder diagram for  $SU(N)$  gauge theory. There are  $N + 1$  possible toric diagrams ( $m = 0, \dots, N$ ).

In the ladder diagram of ALE fibration over  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , we find  $N - 1$  divisors, all of which are  $\mathbf{P}^1$  fibration over  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , namely the Hirzebruch surfaces. The degree of the Hirzebruch surface can be fixed by the (relative) slopes of the vertical edges of the face. We will denote the Hirzebruch surface of degree  $n$  by  $\mathbf{F}_n$ . It is known that for each  $N$  there are  $N + 1$  types of such geometry, which we label by  $m = 0, 1, \dots, N$  [8, 11]. The integer  $m$  is related to the coupling constant of five dimensional Chern-Simons coupling. Let

us call such geometry toric  $SU(N)_m$  geometry. We can characterize the toric  $SU(N)_m$  geometry by saying that its compact four cycles are  $\{\mathbf{F}_{N-2+m}, \mathbf{F}_{N-4+m}, \dots, \mathbf{F}_{-N+2+m}\}$ .




Figure 2: Subdiagram of the  $k$ -th divisor of  $SU(N)_m$  geometry ( $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ )

The Kähler parameters of  $SU(N)_m$  geometry are  $T_B$  of the base space  $\mathbf{P}^1$  and  $T_{F_i}$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ ) of the fiber which is a chain of  $(N-1)$   $\mathbf{P}^1$ 's. In the subdiagram of Figure 1, the rational curve of both side edges correspond to the fiber of  $\mathbf{F}_{N-2k+2}$  and their Kähler parameters are  $T_{F_k}$ . On the other hand, if we denote the Kähler parameters of the upper edge and lower edge,  $T_{B_k}$  and  $T_{B_{k+1}}$ , respectively. The difference is related to the degree of the Hirzebruch surface as follows,

$$T_{B_k} - T_{B_{k+1}} = (N - 2k + m)T_{F_k} . \quad (3.1)$$

From the recursion relation (3.1) we find, if  $N + m = 2r + 1$  is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{B_r} &:= T_B , \\ T_{B_i} &= T_B + \sum_{j=i}^r (N + m - 2j)T_{F_j} , \quad (1 \leq i \leq r-1) , \\ T_{B_i} &= T_B + \sum_{j=r+1}^{i-1} (2j - N - m)T_{F_j} , \quad (r+1 \leq i \leq N) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and if  $N + m = 2r$  is even,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{B_r} &= T_{B_{r+1}} := T_B , \\ T_{B_i} &= T_B + \sum_{j=i}^{r-1} (N + m - 2j)T_{F_{r-j}} , \quad (1 \leq i \leq r-1) , \\ T_{B_i} &= T_B + \sum_{j=r+1}^{i-1} (2j - N - m)T_{F_{r+j}} , \quad (r+2 \leq i \leq N) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In (3.2) and (3.3) we take the first relations as initial conditions in solving (3.1).

From the slope of each edge in Figure 1, we can also compute the framing index by the rule to be explained in section 4.2. Let us denote the index of left, right, upper and lower edges by  $n_{L,k}$ ,  $n_{R,k}$ ,  $n_{B,k}$  and  $n_{B,k+1}$ , respectively. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} n_{B,k} &= (m + 2 - k, -1) \wedge (N - 1 - k, +1) = -(N + m - 2k + 1) \\ n_{L,k} &= (+1, 0) \wedge (k - N, +1) = +1 \\ n_{R,k} &= (-1, 0) \wedge (k - m, -1) = +1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that  $n_{L,k}$  and  $n_{R,k}$  are independent of  $k$ . By definition the framing index changes the sign, if we reverse the orientation of the edge, or replace the representation associated to the edge by its transpose. We will use these framing indices in the computation of the partition function by gluing the refined topological vertices.

## 4 Refined topological vertex

In [14], we defined the refined topological vertex which is written not by the Schur functions but by the Macdonald functions. Here we slightly modify it by improving the framing factor.

### 4.1 Refined topological vertex

Let  $P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t)$  and  $\langle P_\lambda | P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t}$  be the Macdonald function in the infinite number of variables  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and its scalar-product, respectively, defined in the appendix B. We introduce an involution  $\iota$  acting on the power-sum  $p_n$  as  $\iota(p_n) = -p_n$  e.g.,

$$\iota p_n(q^\lambda t^\rho) = - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (q^{n\lambda_i} - 1) t^{n(\frac{1}{2}-i)} - \frac{1}{t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that  $\iota p_n(t^\rho) = -p_n(t^\rho) = p_n(t^{-\rho})$ .

Let  $V_{\mu\lambda}^\nu$  be the refined topological vertex (without framing factor) as follows; <sup>6</sup>

$$V_{\mu\lambda}^\nu := P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) \sum_{\sigma} \iota P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma^\vee}(-t^{\lambda^\vee} q^\rho; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma}(q^\lambda t^\rho; q, t) v^{|\sigma|},$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Although we will show the Nekrasov formula is represented by our refined topological vertex  $V_{\mu\lambda}^\nu$ , one can also produce it by the following another vertex without involution

$$U_{\mu\lambda}^\nu = P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) \sum_{\sigma} P_{\mu/\sigma}(q^{-\lambda} t^{-\rho}; q^{-1}, t) P_{\nu/\sigma}(q^\lambda t^\rho; q^{-1}, t) \langle P_\sigma | P_\sigma \rangle_{q,t}.$$

$$V_{\mu}^{\lambda\nu} := P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{\rho}; t, q) \sum_{\sigma} P_{\mu^{\vee}/\sigma^{\vee}}(-t^{\lambda^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q) \iota P_{\nu/\sigma}(q^{\lambda} t^{\rho}; q, t) v^{|\sigma|} = \iota V_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here the specialization for the Macdonald functions are

$$P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) = \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{(-1)t^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{a'(s)}}{1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}}, \quad P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{\rho}; t, q) = \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{(-1)q^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-a'(s)}}{1 - q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)}}, \quad |q|, |t| > 1. \quad (4.3)$$

From (B.31), (B.30) and (B.28), one can show the following symmetry <sup>7</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\lambda}(q, t)^{-1} V_{\lambda \bullet}^{\bullet} &= V_{\bullet \lambda}^{\bullet} = V_{\bullet \bullet}^{\lambda}. \\ g_{\mu}(q, t)^{-1} V_{\mu \bullet}^{\nu} &= g_{\nu}(q, t)^{-1} V_{\nu \bullet}^{\mu}. \\ V_{\bullet \lambda}^{\nu} &= V_{\bullet \nu}^{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$g_{\lambda}(q, t) := \frac{v^{|\lambda|}}{\langle P_{\lambda} | P_{\lambda} \rangle_{q,t}} = \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{q}{t} \frac{1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}}{1 - q^{a(s)+1} t^{\ell(s)}}, \quad (4.5)$$

which satisfies

$$g_{\lambda}(q, t) = g_{\lambda}(q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = g_{\lambda^{\vee}}(t, q)^{-1}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let  $C_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}(q, t)$  be the refined topological vertex as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}(q, t) &:= P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) \sum_{\sigma} \iota P_{\mu^{\vee}/\sigma^{\vee}}(-t^{\lambda^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma}(q^{\lambda} t^{\rho}; q, t) v^{|\sigma| - |\nu|} f_{\nu}(q, t)^{-1}, \\ &= V_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu} v^{-|\nu|} f_{\nu}(q, t)^{-1}, \\ C_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}(q, t) &:= C_{\mu^{\vee}\lambda^{\vee}\nu^{\vee}}(t, q) (-1)^{|\lambda| + |\mu| + |\nu|}, \\ &= P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{\rho}; t, q) \sum_{\sigma} P_{\nu^{\vee}/\sigma^{\vee}}(-t^{\lambda^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q) \iota P_{\mu/\sigma}(q^{\lambda} t^{\rho}; q, t) v^{-|\sigma| + |\nu|} f_{\nu}(q, t) \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The lower and the upper indices correspond to the in-coming and the out-going representations, respectively, and the edge of the topological vertex is ordered in the clock wise. Although we do not use in this article, the following vertices may also be useful,

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\mu}_{\lambda\nu}(q, t) &:= C_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}(q, t) v^{|\mu| + |\nu|} f_{\mu}(q, t) f_{\nu}(q, t), \\ &= P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) \sum_{\sigma} \iota P_{\mu^{\vee}/\sigma^{\vee}}(-t^{\lambda^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma}(q^{\lambda} t^{\rho}; q, t) v^{|\sigma| + |\mu|} f_{\mu}(q, t), \\ C^{\mu\lambda}_{\nu}(q, t) &:= C^{\mu\lambda}_{\nu}(q, t) v^{-|\mu| - |\nu|} f_{\mu}(q, t)^{-1} f_{\nu}(q, t)^{-1} = C^{\mu\vee}_{\lambda^{\vee}\nu^{\vee}}(t, q) (-1)^{|\lambda| + |\mu| + |\nu|}. \\ &= P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{\rho}; t, q) \sum_{\sigma} P_{\nu^{\vee}/\sigma^{\vee}}(-t^{\lambda^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q) \iota P_{\mu/\sigma}(q^{\lambda} t^{\rho}; q, t) v^{-|\sigma| - |\mu|} f_{\mu}(q, t)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

---

<sup>7</sup>If we replace Macdonald functions  $P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t)$ 's in  $V_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}$  by "normalized" Macdonald functions  $\tilde{P}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) := P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) \sqrt{g_{\lambda}(q, t)/g_{\mu}(q, t)}$ , then the  $g$  factors in (4.4) disappear. Because of that  $P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(y; t, q) = P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(y; t, q)$ , all results in this article are the same even if we use another Macdonald functions  $\tilde{P}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t)$ .






Figure 3: Refined topological vertex : The representation for the preferred direction, *i.e.*, the middle index  $\lambda$ , is insigated by the big arrow.

Note that, in the  $q = t$  case, the topological vertex in [3] is

$$C_{\mu\lambda\nu}(q) = s_\lambda(q^\rho) \sum_{\sigma} s_{\mu/\sigma}(q^{\lambda^\vee+\rho}) s_{\nu^\vee/\sigma}(q^{\lambda+\rho}) \prod_{s \in \nu} q^{a(s)-\ell(s)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Since  $s_{\mu/\sigma}(q^{\lambda^\vee+\rho}) = \iota s_{\mu/\sigma}(q^{-\lambda-\rho})$ , which follows from (A.29), our refined topological vertex  $\lim_{t \rightarrow q} C_{\mu\lambda^\vee}(q, t)$  coincides with the topological vertex  $C_{\mu\lambda\nu}(q)$ . Note that, since Macdonald functions can be realized by bosons [32], our refined topological vertex is realized by bosons.

## 4.2 Gluing rule

Here we show our Feynman rule to construct a partition function from a toric-diagram. Let us consider a graph with tri-valent vertices and edges. Each edge is associated with an integer vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  along the edge. So that the tri-valent vertex with  $i$ -th,  $j$ -th and  $k$ -th edges ordered counter-clockwise is associated with a triplet of integer vectors  $(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_k)$ . If we chose these vectors to be outgoing, they should satisfy the following conditions

$$\mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_j = 1, \quad (\mathbf{v}_j \wedge \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{v}_k \wedge \mathbf{v}_i = 1), \quad (4.10)$$

with  $\mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_j := v_{i,1}v_{j,2} - v_{i,2}v_{j,1}$ . These correspond to the Calabi-Yau condition and the smoothness condition. One of these three vectors should be preferred one and we denote it by big arrow. Note that if we choose the middle edge as the preferred direction;  $\mathbf{v}_j = (-1, 0)$ , then the condition (4.10) implies  $\mathbf{v}_i = (a, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_k = (b, -1)$  with  $a + b = 1$ .

Let  $(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_k)$  and  $(\mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{i'}, \mathbf{v}_{j'})$  be the vectors associated with the vertices at the origin and at the end of the vector  $\mathbf{v}_k$  of the  $k$ -th edge, respectively. If we chose so that  $\mathbf{v}_i$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j$  are incoming and  $\mathbf{v}_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{i'}$  are outgoing, then the framing index  $n_k$  of the




Figure 4: Gluing rule

$k$ -th edge is defined as

$$n_k := \mathbf{v}_i \wedge \mathbf{v}_{i'} = \mathbf{v}_j \wedge \mathbf{v}_{j'}. \quad (4.11)$$

Each edge is associated also with a Young diagram  $\lambda$  and a Kähler parameter  $Q \in \mathbb{C}$  so that the propagator corresponding to the  $k$ -th edge is defined as

$$Q_k^{|\lambda_k|} f_{\lambda_k}(q, t)^{n_k}. \quad (4.12)$$

## 5 Four point functions

Here we show how to calculate the partition functions. The building blocks for them are the following four-point functions.

### 5.1 Building blocks

Assume that each vertex has a horizontal edge, which we take as the preferred direction. Fix the orientation of the preferred direction, say  $(-1, 0)$ , then we have four possibilities of the configuration of two horizontal edges [Fig. 5]. Although the slopes and directions of "vertical," *i.e.*, non-horizontal, edges can be arbitrary, we wrote the simplest one whose internal edges is orthogonal to the preferred direction and we tentatively take the orientation of "vertical" edges from the top to the bottom. The framing index is 1, 0, 0 and  $-1$ , respectively. They are independent of the slope of "vertical" edges, but change the sign according to the orientations.

We order the three edges at each vertex in the clockwise direction such that the preferred direction is in the middle position. This fixed the ordering of three edges uniquely. The lower and upper indices of the refined vertex correspond to the incoming




Figure 5: Four-point function : The framing indices of the internal lines are 1, 0, 0 and  $-1$  from the left.

and the outgoing representation. Then the following four point functions are building blocks for the partition function;

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mu,\lambda_1,\lambda_2}{}^\nu(Q; q, t) &:= \sum_{\eta} C_{\mu\lambda_1}{}^\eta(q, t) C_{\eta\lambda_2}{}^\nu(q, t) Q^{|\eta|} f_{\eta}(q, t), \\
Z_{\mu,\lambda_1}{}^{\lambda_2,\nu}(Q; q, t) &:= \sum_{\eta} C_{\mu\lambda_1}{}^\eta(q, t) C^{\nu\lambda_2}{}_{\eta}(q, t) Q^{|\eta|}, \\
Z_{\mu}{}^{\lambda_1}{}_{\lambda_2}{}^\nu(Q; q, t) &:= \sum_{\eta} C^{\eta\lambda_1}{}_{\mu}(q, t) C_{\eta\lambda_2}{}^\nu(q, t) Q^{|\eta|}, \\
Z_{\mu}{}^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\nu}(Q; q, t) &:= \sum_{\eta} C^{\eta\lambda_1}{}_{\mu}(q, t) C^{\nu\lambda_2}{}_{\eta}(q, t) Q^{|\eta|} f_{\eta}(q, t)^{-1}. \tag{5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mu}{}^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\nu}(Q; q, t) &= \sum_{\eta^\vee} C_{\eta^\vee\lambda_1}{}^{\mu^\vee}(t, q) C_{\nu^\vee\lambda_2}{}^{\eta^\vee}(t, q) (-1)^{|\mu|+|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2|+|\nu|} Q^{|\eta|} f_{\eta}(t, q), \\
&= Z_{\nu^\vee,\lambda_2^\vee,\lambda_1^\vee}{}^{\mu^\vee}(Q; t, q) (-1)^{|\mu|+|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2|+|\nu|}. \tag{5.2}
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that  $Z_{\mu}{}^{\lambda_1}{}_{\lambda_2}{}^\nu(Q; q, t)$  relates with  $Z_{\mu,\lambda_1}{}^{\lambda_2,\nu}(Q; q, t)$  by the flop. If we take the orientation of "vertical" edges from the bottom to the top, the sign of the framing index change. The corresponding four point functions are written by  $C^{\mu}{}_{\lambda\nu}(q, t)$ 's and  $C_{\mu}{}^{\lambda\nu}(q, t)$ 's and they are same as those in (5.1) up to the framing factors  $f_{\mu}(q, t)^{\pm 1} f_{\nu}(q, t)^{\pm 1}$  for the outer "vertical" edges.

Using the symmetry of our refined topological vertex  $V_{\bullet,\lambda}{}^\nu = V_{\bullet,\nu}{}^\lambda$  we can show the following symmetry

$$Z_{\bullet,\mu}{}^{\nu,\bullet}(Q; q, t) = \sum_{\eta} C_{\bullet,\mu}{}^\eta(q, t) C^{\bullet\nu}{}_{\eta}(q, t) Q^{|\eta|},$$

$$Z_{\bullet, \mu \nu, \bullet}(Q; q, t) \sim \sum_{\eta} C_{\bullet \eta \mu}(q, t) C^{\bullet \eta \nu}(q, t) Q^{|\eta|}$$

Figure 6: “Slicing” invariance

$$= \sum_{\eta} C_{\bullet \eta \mu}(q, t) C^{\bullet \eta \nu}(q, t) Q^{|\lambda|} v^{|\mu| - |\nu|} f_{\mu}(q, t) / f_{\nu}(q, t), \quad (5.3)$$

which change preferred directions.

## 5.2 OPE formula

Here we show some formulas to calculate the above four-point functions. Let us denote a symmetric function  $f$  in the set of variables  $(x_1^1, x_2^1, \dots, x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots, x_1^N, x_2^N, \dots)$  by  $f(\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N) = f(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^N)$ . To calculate the above four-point functions, the essential part is the following Cauchy formula for the skew-Macdonald function

$$\sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}/\nu^{\vee}}(y; t, q) = \Pi_0(x, y) \sum_{\lambda} P_{\mu^{\vee}/\lambda^{\vee}}(y; t, q) P_{\nu/\lambda}(x; q, t), \quad (5.4)$$

with  $\Pi_0(x, y)$  in (2.12) and the following adding formula

$$\sum_{\mu} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) P_{\mu/\nu}(y; q, t) = P_{\lambda/\nu}(x, y; q, t). \quad (5.5)$$

Using these we have

**Lemma.** Let  $x, y, z$  and  $w$  be sets of variables and  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma_1, \eta, \sigma_2} P_{\mu^{\vee}/\sigma_1^{\vee}}(x; t, q) P_{\eta/\sigma_1}(y; q, t) P_{\eta^{\vee}/\sigma_2^{\vee}}(z; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma_2}(w; q, t) \alpha^{|\sigma_1|} \beta^{|\eta|} \gamma^{|\sigma_2|}, \\ &= \sum_{\eta} P_{\mu^{\vee}/\eta^{\vee}}(x, \alpha\beta z; t, q) P_{\nu/\eta}(\beta\gamma y, w; q, t) (\alpha\beta\gamma)^{|\eta|} \Pi_0(y, \beta z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = a/b$ ,  $\beta = b/c$ ,  $\gamma = c/d$ , then, from (B.13), (5.4) and (5.5), the left-hand side of the above equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma_1, \eta, \sigma_2} P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma_1^\vee} \left( \frac{x}{a}; t, q \right) P_{\eta/\sigma_1} (by; q, t) P_{\eta^\vee/\sigma_2^\vee} \left( \frac{z}{c}; t, q \right) P_{\nu/\sigma_2} (dw; q, t) a^{|\mu|} d^{-|\nu|}, \\
&= \sum_{\sigma_1, \eta, \sigma_2} P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma_1^\vee} \left( \frac{x}{a}; t, q \right) P_{\sigma_1^\vee/\eta^\vee} \left( \frac{z}{c}; t, q \right) P_{\sigma_2/\eta} (by; q, t) P_{\nu/\sigma_2} (dw; q, t) a^{|\mu|} d^{-|\nu|} \Pi_0 \left( by, \frac{z}{c} \right), \\
&= \sum_{\eta} P_{\mu^\vee/\eta^\vee} \left( \frac{x}{a}, \frac{z}{c}; t, q \right) P_{\nu/\eta} (by, dw; q, t) a^{|\mu|} d^{-|\nu|} \Pi_0 \left( by, \frac{z}{c} \right), \\
&= \sum_{\eta} P_{\mu^\vee/\eta^\vee} \left( x, \frac{a}{c}z; t, q \right) P_{\nu/\eta} \left( \frac{b}{d}y, w; q, t \right) \left( \frac{a}{d} \right)^{|\eta|} \Pi_0 \left( y, \frac{b}{c}z \right), \tag{5.7}
\end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Note that for  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Pi_0(cx, y) = \Pi_0(x, cy)$ , and for our involution  $\iota$  in (4.1),  $\Pi_0(\iota x, y) = \Pi_0(x, \iota y) = \Pi_0(x, y)^{-1}$ . Successively using this lemma, we have the following OPE formula, which is useful to calculate more general diagrams;

**Proposition.** Let  $x^i$ 's be sets of variables,  $c_{i, i+1} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $c_{i, j} := \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} c_{i, i+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2N-1}\}} \prod_{i=1}^N P_{\lambda_{2i-2}^\vee/\lambda_{2i-1}^\vee} (x^{2i-1}; t, q) P_{\lambda_{2i}/\lambda_{2i-1}} (x^{2i}; q, t) \prod_{i=1}^{2N-1} c_{i, i+1}^{|\lambda_i|}, \\
&= \sum_{\eta} P_{\lambda_0^\vee/\eta^\vee} (\{c_{1, 2i-1} x^{2i-1}\}_{i=1}^N; t, q) P_{\lambda_{2N}/\eta} (\{x^{2i} c_{2i, 2N}\}_{i=1}^N; q, t) c_{1, 2N}^{|\eta|} \\
& \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Pi_0 (x_{2i}, c_{2i, 2j-1} x_{2j-1}), \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

for any integer  $N \geq 2$ .

Therefore the number of Young diagrams to perform summation reduces from  $2N - 1$  to one. If  $\lambda_0$  or  $\lambda_{2N}$  is a trivial representation, then since  $P_{\bullet/\lambda}(x; q, t) = \delta_{\bullet, \lambda}$ , the number of Young diagrams to perform summation becomes zero. The trace over  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{2N}$  is also performed by the trace formula explained in the next section. If we realize the Macdonald polynomials by bosons as [32], this OPE formula comes from the operator product expansion of vertex operators.

### 5.3 Computations of four point functions

Here we apply the OPE formula to the above building blocks. Let  $x^\alpha$  and  $y^\alpha$  be the set of variables as  $x^\alpha = q^{\lambda^\alpha} t^\rho$  and  $y^\alpha = t^{\lambda^\alpha} q^\rho$ , respectively. Then

$$Z_{\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}{}^\nu(Q; q, t) = P_{\lambda_1}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\lambda_2}(t^\rho; q, t) f_\nu(q, t)^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{\sigma_1, \eta, \sigma_2} P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma_1^\vee}(-\iota y^1; t, q) P_{\eta/\sigma_1}(x^1; q, t) P_{\eta^\vee/\sigma_2^\vee}(-\iota y^2; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma_2}(x^2; q, t) v^{|\sigma_1|+|\sigma_2|} (v^{-1}Q)^{|\eta|}, \\
Z_{\mu, \lambda_1}^{\lambda_2, \nu}(Q; q, t) &= P_{\lambda_1}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\lambda_2^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q) \\
& \times \sum_{\sigma_1, \eta, \sigma_2} P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma_1^\vee}(-\iota y^1; t, q) P_{\eta/\sigma_1}(x^1; q, t) P_{\eta^\vee/\sigma_2^\vee}(-y^2; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma_2}(\iota x^2; q, t) v^{|\sigma_1|-|\sigma_2|} Q^{|\eta|}, \\
Z_{\mu}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2^\vee}(Q; q, t) &= P_{\lambda_1^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q) P_{\lambda_2}(t^\rho; q, t) f_\mu(q, t) f_\nu(q, t)^{-1} \\
& \times \sum_{\sigma_1, \eta, \sigma_2} P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma_1^\vee}(-y^1; t, q) P_{\eta/\sigma_1}(\iota x^1; q, t) P_{\eta^\vee/\sigma_2^\vee}(-\iota y^2; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma_2}(x^2; q, t) v^{-|\sigma_1|+|\sigma_2|} Q^{|\eta|}. \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.6), they reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{\nu}(Q; q, t) &= P_{\lambda_1}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\lambda_2}(t^\rho; q, t) f_\nu(q, t)^{-1} \\
& \times \sum_{\eta} \iota P_{\mu^\vee/\eta^\vee}(-y^1, -Qy^2; t, q) P_{\nu/\eta}(Qx^1, x^2; q, t) (vQ)^{|\eta|} \Pi_0(-v^{-1}Qx^1, y^2)^{-1}, \\
Z_{\mu, \lambda_1}^{\lambda_2, \nu}(Q; q, t) &= P_{\lambda_1}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\lambda_2^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q) \\
& \times \sum_{\eta} P_{\mu^\vee/\eta^\vee}(-\iota y^1, -vQy^2; t, q) P_{\nu/\eta}(v^{-1}Qx^1, \iota x^2; q, t) Q^{|\eta|} \Pi_0(-Qx^1, y^2), \\
Z_{\mu}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2^\vee}(Q; q, t) &= P_{\lambda_1^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q) P_{\lambda_2}(t^\rho; q, t) f_\mu(q, t) f_\nu(q, t)^{-1} \\
& \times \sum_{\eta} P_{\mu^\vee/\eta^\vee}(-y^1, -v^{-1}Q\iota y^2; t, q) P_{\nu/\eta}(vQ\iota x^1, x^2; q, t) Q^{|\eta|} \Pi_0(-Qx^1, y^2). \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Pi_0(-Qx^1, y^2)/\Pi_0(-Qt^\rho, q^\rho) = N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(vQ; q, t)$ , the instanton part, for example,  $Z_{\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{\nu}(Q; q, t)/Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}^{\bullet}(Q; q, t)$  is written not by  $\Pi_0(-v^{-1}Qx^1, y^2)$ 's but by  $N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(Q; q, t)$ 's.

Note that

$$Z_{\mu, \lambda_1}^{\lambda_2, \nu}(Q; q, t) = Z_{\nu, \lambda_2}^{\lambda_1, \mu}(Q; q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = Z_{\nu^\vee, \lambda_2^\vee}^{\lambda_1^\vee, \mu^\vee}(Q; t, q) (-1)^{|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2|+|\mu|+|\nu|}. \quad (5.11)$$

## 5.4 Flop operation

The flop invariance of the topological vertex is shown in [31, 33]. One can show the flop invariance of the refined topological vertex as follows. First,

$$Z_{\mu}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2^\vee}(Q; q, t) = Z_{\mu, \lambda_2}^{\lambda_1, \nu}(Q^{-1}; q, t) v^{|\nu|-|\mu|} Q^{|\mu|+|\nu|} \frac{\Pi_0(-Qx^1, y^2) f_\mu(q, t)}{\Pi_0(-Q^{-1}x^2, y^1) f_\nu(q, t)}. \quad (5.12)$$

Next, from (2.29) and (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_0(-Qx^1, y^2)/\Pi_0(-Qt^\rho, q^\rho)}{\Pi_0(-Q^{-1}x^2, y^1)/\Pi_0(-Q^{-1}t^\rho, q^\rho)} &= \frac{N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(vQ; q, t)}{N_{\lambda_2, \lambda_1}(vQ^{-1}; q, t)}, \\
&= \frac{N_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(vQ; q, t)}{N_{\lambda_1^\vee, \lambda_2^\vee}(v^{-1}Q^{-1}; t, q)} = Q^{|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2|} \frac{f_{\lambda_1}(q, t)}{f_{\lambda_2}(q, t)}, \quad (5.13)
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 7: Flop invariance

Thus we have the following flop invariance <sup>8</sup>,

$$\frac{Z_{\mu, \lambda_2, \lambda_1, \nu}(Q; q, t)}{Z_{\mu', \bullet, \bullet, \nu'}(Q; q, t)} = \frac{Z_{\mu, \lambda_2, \lambda_1, \nu}(Q^{-1}; q, t)}{Z_{\mu', \bullet, \bullet, \nu'}(Q^{-1}; q, t)} v^{|\nu|-|\mu|} Q^{|\mu|+|\lambda_1|+|\lambda_2|+|\nu|} \frac{f_{\lambda_1}(q, t) f_{\mu}(q, t)}{f_{\lambda_2}(q, t) f_{\nu}(q, t)} \times v^{-|\nu'|+|\mu'|} Q^{-|\mu'|+|\nu'|} \frac{f_{\nu'}(q, t)}{f_{\mu'}(q, t)}. \quad (5.14)$$

The denominator corresponds to the perturbative part.

## 5.5 Finite $N$ Macdonald polynomial and Homological invariants

In the case of that  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$  is the trivial representation, the above diagrams are written by the Macdonald polynomials with finite number of variables. Note that  $P_{\lambda/\mu}(ax^1, bx^2; q, t)$  with  $x^\alpha = q^{\lambda^\alpha} t^\rho$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  be the Macdonald function in the power-sum

$$p_n(ax^1) + \nu p_n(bx^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \{a^n (q^{n\lambda_{1,i}} - 1) - b^n (q^{n\lambda_{2,i}} - 1)\} t^{n(\frac{1}{2}-i)} + \frac{a^n - b^n}{t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}}}. \quad (5.15)$$

For any integer  $N \geq \ell(\lambda)$ ,

$$p_n(q^\lambda t^\rho) + \nu p_n(t^{-N} t^\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (q^{n\lambda_i} - 1) t^{n(\frac{1}{2}-i)} + \frac{1 - t^{-nN}}{t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( a q^{\lambda_i} t^{\frac{1}{2}-i} \right)^n, \quad (5.16)$$

which are power-sum symmetric polynomials in  $N$  variables. Furthermore,

$$N_{\lambda, \bullet}(vQ; q, t) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vQ t^{1-i} q^{j-1}) = \frac{P_\lambda^N(t^{-\rho}; q, t)}{P_\lambda(t^{-\rho}; q, t)}, \quad \text{for } vQ = t^N,$$

<sup>8</sup>The flop invariance for  $C_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{(IKV)}(t, q)$  is discussed recently in [38].

$$N_{\bullet,\lambda}(vQ; q, t) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - v^{-1}Qq^{1-j}t^{i-1}) = \frac{P_{\lambda}^N(t^{\rho}; q, t)}{P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t)}, \quad \text{for } v^{-1}Q = t^{-N} \quad (5.17)$$

where  $P_{\lambda}^N(x; q, t)$  be the Macdonald polynomial in  $N$  variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ . Therefore, some factors in  $Z_{\mu, \lambda_1}^{\lambda_2, \nu}(\cdot; \cdot) / Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(\cdot; \cdot)$  and  $Z_{\mu}^{\lambda_2, \lambda_1, \nu}(\cdot; \cdot) / Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(\cdot; \cdot)$  might be written by the Macdonald polynomials in the finite number of variables.

In the case of that  $\mu$  and one of the  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1$  or  $2$ ) is the trivial representation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Z_{\bullet, \lambda}^{\bullet, \nu}(Q; q, t)}{Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(Q; q, t)} &= P_{\nu}(q^{\lambda}t^{\rho}, vQ^{-1}t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) v^{-|\nu|} Q^{|\nu|} N_{\lambda, \bullet}(vQ; q, t), \\ \frac{Z_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\lambda, \nu}(Q^{-1}; q, t)}{Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(Q^{-1}; q, t)} &= P_{\nu}(q^{\lambda}t^{\rho}, vQ^{-1}t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) N_{\bullet, \lambda}(vQ^{-1}; q, t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

If  $v^{-1}Q = t^N$  with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Z_{\bullet, \lambda}^{\bullet, \nu}(Q; q, t)}{Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(Q; q, t)} &= P_{\nu}^N(q^{\lambda}t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) t^{N|\nu|} N_{\lambda, \bullet}(qt^{N-1}; q, t), \\ \frac{Z_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\lambda, \nu}(Q^{-1}; q, t)}{Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(Q^{-1}; q, t)} &= P_{\nu}^N(q^{\lambda}t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) N_{\bullet, \lambda}(t^{-N}; q, t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

On the other hand, if  $vQ = t^N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Z_{\bullet, \lambda}^{\bullet, \nu}(Q; q, t)}{Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(Q; q, t)} &= P_{\nu}(q^{\lambda}t^{\rho}, vQ^{-1}t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda}^N(t^{\rho}; q, t) v^{-|\nu|} Q^{|\nu|}, \\ \frac{Z_{\bullet, \bullet}^{\lambda, \nu}(Q^{-1}; q, t)}{Z_{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet}(Q^{-1}; q, t)} &= P_{\nu}(q^{\lambda}t^{\rho}, vQ^{-1}t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda}^N(t^{\rho}; q, t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

They are a candidate for the  $SU(N)$  homological invariants.

Note that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\lambda, \nu}(q, t) := C_{\bullet}^{\lambda, \nu}(q, t) v^{|\nu|} f_{\nu}(q, t) = P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\nu}(q^{\lambda}t^{\rho}; q, t), \quad (5.21)$$

has a nice symmetry

$$\mathcal{W}_{\lambda, \nu}(q, t) = \mathcal{W}_{\nu, \lambda}(q, t), \quad (5.22)$$

and  $\mathcal{W}_{\lambda, \nu}(q, q)$  is large  $N$  limit of the homological invariants.

## 6 One loop diagrams

Some one loop diagrams which corresponds to the trace of the vertex operators can be calculated by the following trace formula.



## 6.1 Trace formula

First we have the following lemma ;

**Lemma.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be sets of variables and  $a, b$  and  $c := ab \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $|c| < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} P_{\lambda^\vee/\mu^\vee}(x; t, q) P_{\lambda/\mu}(y; q, t) a^{|\lambda|} b^{|\mu|} &= \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{\Pi_0(ac^k x, y)}{1 - c^{k+1}}, \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{p_n(ax) p_n(-y) - c^n}{1 - c^n} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $F(x, y)$  denote the left-hand side of the above equation. Then it follows from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \sum_{\lambda, \mu} P_{\lambda^\vee/\mu^\vee}(ax; t, q) P_{\lambda/\mu}(y; q, t) (ab)^{|\mu|}, \\ &= \sum_{\lambda, \mu} P_{\mu^\vee/\lambda^\vee}(ax; t, q) P_{\mu/\lambda}(y; q, t) (ab)^{|\mu|} \Pi_0(ax, y), \\ &= \sum_{\lambda, \mu} P_{\mu^\vee/\lambda^\vee}(abx; t, q) P_{\mu/\lambda}(y; q, t) a^{|\mu|} b^{|\lambda|} \Pi_0(ax, y). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Therefore

$$F(x, y) = F(cx, y) \Pi_0(ax, y) = F(0, y) \prod_{k \geq 0} \Pi_0(ac^k x, y), \quad |c| < 1. \quad (6.3)$$

But

$$F(0, y) = \sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda/\lambda}(y; t, q) c^{|\lambda|} = \sum_{\lambda} c^{|\lambda|} = \prod_{n > 0} (1 - c^n)^{-1}, \quad |c| < 1, \quad (6.4)$$

and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

From the above lemma and (5.8) we obtain the following trace formula;

**Proposition.** For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x^i = x^{2N+i}$ 's be sets of variables,  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{2N}$ ,  $c_{i,i+1} = c_{2N+i, 2N+i+1} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $c_{i,j} := \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} c_{i,k+1}$  and  $c := c_{1, 2N+1} = \prod_{i=1}^{2N} c_{i,i+1}$ . If  $|c| < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2N}\}} \prod_{i=1}^N P_{\lambda_{2i-2}^\vee/\lambda_{2i-1}^\vee}(x^{2i-1}; t, q) P_{\lambda_{2i}/\lambda_{2i-1}}(x^{2i}; q, t) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{2N} c_{i,i+1}^{|\lambda_i|}, \\ &= \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - c^{k+1}} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=i+1}^{i+N} \Pi_0(x^{2i}, c^k c_{2i, 2j-1} x^{2j-1}), \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1 - c^n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+N} c_{2i, 2j-1}^n p_n(x^{2i}) p_n(-x^{2j-1}) - c^n \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$




Figure 8: Examples for the trace formula : The framing indices for the internal lines of  $Z_4$  are all one.

*Proof.* From (5.8) and (6.1), the left-hand side of the above equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\lambda, \eta} P_{\lambda^\vee / \eta^\vee} (\{c_{1,2i-1} x^{2i-1}\}_{i=1}^N; t, q) P_{\lambda / \eta} (\{x^{2i} c_{2i,2N}\}_{i=1}^N; q, t) c_{1,2N}^{|\eta|} c_{2N,2N+1}^{|\lambda|} \\
& \quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Pi_0 (x^{2i}, c_{2i,2j-1} x^{2j-1}), \\
& = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Pi_0 (x^{2i}, c_{2i,2j-1} x^{2j-1}) \cdot \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N \Pi_0 (\{x^{2i} c_{2i,2N+1}\}_{i=1}^N, \{c^k c_{1,2i-1} x^{2i-1}\}_{i=1}^N)}{1 - c^{k+1}}, \quad (6.6)
\end{aligned}$$

here  $\Pi_0 (\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N, \{y^j\}_{j=1}^M) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^M \Pi_0 (x^i, y^j)$ . Then the left-hand side of (6.5) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Pi_0 (x^{2i}, c_{2i,2j-1} x^{2j-1}) \cdot \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - c^{k+1}} \prod_{i,j=1}^N \Pi_0 (x^{2i}, c_{2i,2N+2j-1} x^{2N+2j-1} c^k), \\
& = \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - c^{k+1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Pi_0 (x^{2i}, c^k c_{2i,2j-1} x^{2j-1}) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq i \leq N} \Pi_0 (x^{2i}, c^k c_{2i,2N+2j-1} x^{2j-1}) \quad (6.7)
\end{aligned}$$

which equals to the second line of (6.5).  $\square$

From this trace formula, we can calculate one loop diagram if the loop does not contain preferred directions and also the framing factors cancel out.

## 6.2 Examples for $N = 2$ and 4

For an example of the trace formula for  $N = 2$ , let

$$Z_2 := \sum_{\mu, \nu} C_{\mu \bullet}^{\nu} (q, t) C^{\mu \bullet}_{\nu} (q, t) \Lambda^{|\mu|} Q^{|\nu|},$$

$$= \sum_{\mu, \nu} P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma_1^\vee}(-\iota q^\rho; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma_1}(t^\rho; t, q) P_{\nu^\vee/\sigma_2^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q) P_{\mu/\sigma_2}(t^\rho; t, q) v^{|\sigma_1| - |\sigma_2|} \Lambda^{|\mu|} Q^{|\nu|}. \quad (6.8)$$

Then from (6.5) with  $(c_{1,2}, c_{2,3}, c_{3,4}, c_{4,5}) = (\Lambda, v, Q, v^{-1})$  and  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) = (-\iota q^\rho, t^\rho, -q^\rho, t^\rho)$ , it follows that  $c = Q\Lambda$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{2,3} & c_{2,5} \\ c_{4,5} & c_{4,7} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v & Q \\ v^{-1} & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.9)$$

thus

$$Z_2 = \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{\Pi_0(t^\rho, -c^k v q^\rho) \Pi_0(t^\rho, -c^k v^{-1} q^\rho)}{\Pi_0(t^\rho, -c^k Q q^\rho) \Pi_0(t^\rho, -c^k \Lambda q^\rho)} \frac{1}{1 - c^{k+1}}. \quad (6.10)$$

From (1.8), we obtain

$$Z_2 = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1 - c^n} \left\{ \frac{v^n + v^{-n} - \Lambda^n - Q^n}{(t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})(q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}})} - c^n \right\} \right\}. \quad (6.11)$$

For an example for  $N = 4$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4 &:= \sum_{\{\mu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 C_{\mu_\alpha} \cdot^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}(q, t) f_{\mu_{\alpha+1}}(q, t), \\ &= \sum_{\{\mu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^4 P_{\mu_\alpha^\vee/\sigma_\alpha^\vee}(-\iota q^\rho) P_{\mu_{\alpha+1}^\vee/\sigma_\alpha^\vee}(t^\rho) v^{|\sigma_\alpha| - |\mu_\alpha|} Q^{|\mu_\alpha|}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

with  $\mu_5 = \mu_1$ . Then from (6.5) with  $(c_{2\alpha, 2\alpha+1}, c_{2\alpha-1, 2\alpha}) = (v, v^{-1} Q_\alpha)$  and  $(x^{2\alpha}, x^{2\alpha-1}) = (t^\rho, -\iota q^\rho)$ , it follows that

$$Z_4 = \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - c^{k+1}} \prod_{i=1}^4 \prod_{j=i+1}^{i+4} \Pi_0(t^\rho, c^k c_{2i, 2j-1} q^\rho)^{-1}, \quad (6.13)$$

where  $c = Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 Q_4$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{2,3} & c_{2,5} & c_{2,7} & c_{2,9} \\ c_{4,5} & c_{4,7} & c_{4,9} & c_{4,11} \\ c_{6,7} & c_{6,9} & c_{6,11} & c_{6,13} \\ c_{8,9} & c_{8,11} & c_{8,13} & c_{8,15} \end{pmatrix} = v \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Q_2 & Q_2 Q_3 & Q_2 Q_3 Q_4 \\ 1 & Q_3 & Q_3 Q_4 & Q_3 Q_4 Q_1 \\ 1 & Q_4 & Q_4 Q_1 & Q_4 Q_1 Q_2 \\ 1 & Q_1 & Q_1 Q_2 & Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.14)$$

And thus

$$Z_4 = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1 - c^n} \left\{ \frac{v^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (1 + Q_\alpha^n + Q_\alpha^n Q_{\alpha+1}^n + Q_\alpha^n Q_{\alpha+1}^n Q_{\alpha+2}^n)}{(t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})(q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}})} - c^n \right\} \right\}, \quad (6.15)$$

where  $Q_{i+4} = Q_i$ .




Figure 9:  $U(1)$  partition function,  $\chi^y$  genus and Elliptic genus

## 7 $U(1)$ partition function, $\chi_y$ genus and Elliptic genus

The Nekrasov's  $U(1)$  partition function, the  $\chi_y$  genus and the elliptic genus are realized by our refined topological vertex as shown in [14]. Since the diagrams for  $U(1)$  theory has trivial framing, the vertex in [14] and the improved vertex in the present paper give the same answer.

### 7.1 $U(1)$ partition function

First, the  $U(1)$  partition function is written as follows. Let

$$Z := \sum_{\lambda} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} C_{\bullet\lambda\bullet}(q, t) C^{\bullet\lambda\bullet}(q, t), \quad (7.1)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\lambda} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{\rho}; t, q), \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \prod_{s \in \lambda} v^{-1} \Lambda \frac{1}{(1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1})(1 - q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)}),} \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

from (4.3). This coincides with the  $U(1)$  Nekrasov's formula with  $t = e^{\epsilon_1}$  and  $q = e^{-\epsilon_2}$  ( (3.5) of [30] and (3.4) of [6]).

Using the Cauchy-formula (B.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\lambda} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{\rho}; t, q), \\ &= \prod_{i, j \geq 1} (1 - \Lambda t^{\frac{1}{2}-i} q^{\frac{1}{2}-j}), \quad |q^{-1}|, |t^{-1}| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} \left( \Lambda t^{\frac{1}{2}-i} q^{\frac{1}{2}-j} \right)^n \right\}, \quad |q^{-1}|, |t^{-1}| < 1, \\
&= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\Lambda^n}{(t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})(q^{\frac{n}{2}} - q^{-\frac{n}{2}})} \right\}, \tag{7.3}
\end{aligned}$$

and also by an analytic continuation,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\Lambda^n}{(t^{\frac{n}{2}} - t^{-\frac{n}{2}})(q^{-\frac{n}{2}} - q^{\frac{n}{2}})} \right\}, \\
&= \exp \left\{ \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} \left( \Lambda t^{\frac{1}{2}-i} q^{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^n \right\}, \quad |q|, |t^{-1}| < 1, \\
&= \prod_{i,j \geq 1} (1 - \Lambda t^{\frac{1}{2}-i} q^{j-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}, \quad |q|, |t^{-1}| < 1, \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) \iota P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{-\rho}; t, q), \tag{7.4}
\end{aligned}$$

here involution  $\iota(p_n) = -p_n$  should be acted on  $p_n$ .

## 7.2 $\chi_y$ genus

Next, the  $\chi_y$  genus is realized as follows. Let

$$\tilde{Z} := \sum_{\lambda, \nu} (v^{-1}Q)^{|\nu|} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} C_{\bullet \bullet}^{\nu}(q, t) C^{\bullet \bullet \nu}(q, t). \tag{7.5}$$

Then

$$\tilde{Z} = \sum_{\lambda, \nu} (v^{-1}Q)^{|\nu|} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} P_{\lambda}(t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(-q^{\rho}; t, q) P_{\nu}(q^{\lambda} t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\nu^{\vee}}(-t^{\lambda^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q). \tag{7.6}$$

From (4.3) and (B.18) we have

$$\tilde{Z} = \sum_{\lambda} \Pi_0(-v^{-1}Qq^{\lambda}t^{\rho}, t^{\lambda^{\vee}}q^{\rho}) \prod_{s \in \lambda} v^{-1} \Lambda \frac{1}{(1 - q^{a(s)}t^{\ell(s)+1})(1 - q^{-a(s)-1}t^{-\ell(s)})}. \tag{7.7}$$

If we separate out the part  $\tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}} := \sum_{\nu} (v^{-1}Q)^{|\nu|} C_{\bullet \bullet}^{\nu}(q, t) C^{\bullet \bullet \nu}(q, t) = \Pi_0(-v^{-1}Qq^{\rho}, q^{\rho})$ , which is independent of  $\Lambda$ , then  $Z^{\text{inst}} := \tilde{Z}/\tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}}$  is from (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{\text{inst}} &= \sum_{\lambda} (v^{-1}\Lambda)^{|\lambda|} \frac{N_{\lambda, \lambda}(Q; q, t)}{N_{\lambda, \lambda}(1; q, t)}, \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} \prod_{s \in \lambda} v^{-1} \Lambda \frac{1 - Qq^{a(s)}t^{\ell(s)+1}}{1 - q^{a(s)}t^{\ell(s)+1}} \frac{1 - Qq^{-a(s)-1}t^{-\ell(s)}}{1 - q^{-a(s)-1}t^{-\ell(s)}}. \tag{7.8}
\end{aligned}$$

This coincides with the  $\chi_y$  genus (20) of [34] with  $Q = y$ ,  $v^{-1}\Lambda = Q^{\text{LLZ}}$  and  $(q, t) = (1/t_1, t_2)$  or  $(1/t_2, t_1)$ .

### 7.3 Elliptic genus

Finally, the elliptic genus is written as follows. Let

$$\tilde{Z} := \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} Q_1^{|\mu|} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} Q_2^{|\nu|} C_{\mu\lambda}{}^\nu(q, t) C^{\mu\lambda}{}_\nu(q, t). \quad (7.9)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z} = & \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) \sum_{\sigma} {}_t P_{\mu\nu/\sigma}{}^\nu(-t^{\lambda^\vee} q^\rho; t, q) P_{\nu/\sigma}(q^\lambda t^\rho; q, t) Q_1^{|\mu|} \Lambda^{|\lambda|} Q_2^{|\nu|} \\ & \times P_{\lambda^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q) \sum_{\eta} {}_t P_{\mu/\eta}(q^\lambda t^\rho; q, t) P_{\nu^\vee/\eta}{}^\nu(-t^{\lambda^\vee} q^\rho; t, q) v^{|\sigma| - |\eta|}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

From the trace formula (6.5) and (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z} = & \sum_{\lambda} \prod_{s \in \lambda} v^{-1} \Lambda \frac{1}{(1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}) (1 - q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)})} \\ & \times \prod_{k > 0} \frac{\Pi_0(-Q_1^k Q_2^{k-1} q^\lambda t^\rho, t^{\lambda^\vee} q^\rho) \Pi_0(-Q_1^{k-1} Q_2^k q^\lambda t^\rho, t^{\lambda^\vee} q^\rho)}{\Pi_0(-v Q_1^k Q_2^k q^\lambda t^\rho, t^{\lambda^\vee} q^\rho) \Pi_0(-v^{-1} Q_1^k Q_2^k q^\lambda t^\rho, t^{\lambda^\vee} q^\rho)} \frac{1}{1 - Q_1^k Q_2^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

If we factor out the  $\Lambda$ -independent part

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}} := & \sum_{\mu, \nu} Q_1^{|\mu|} Q_2^{|\nu|} C_{\mu\bullet}{}^\nu(q, t) C^{\mu\bullet}{}_\nu(q, t) \\ = & \prod_{k > 0} \frac{\Pi_0(-Q_1^k Q_2^{k-1} t^\rho, q^\rho) \Pi_0(-Q_1^{k-1} Q_2^k t^\rho, q^\rho)}{\Pi_0(-v Q_1^k Q_2^k t^\rho, q^\rho) \Pi_0(-v^{-1} Q_1^k Q_2^k t^\rho, q^\rho)} \frac{1}{1 - Q_1^k Q_2^k}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

then  $Z^{\text{inst}} := \tilde{Z} / \tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}}$  is from (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\text{inst}} = & \sum_{\lambda} (v^{-1} \Lambda)^{|\lambda|} \prod_{k \geq 0} \frac{N_{\lambda, \lambda}(v Q_1^{k+1} Q_2^k; q, t) N_{\lambda, \lambda}(v Q_1^k Q_2^{k+1}; q, t)}{N_{\lambda, \lambda}(Q_1^k Q_2^k; q, t) N_{\lambda, \lambda}(v^2 Q_1^{k+1} Q_2^{k+1}; q, t)}, \\ = & \sum_{\lambda} \prod_{k \geq 0} \prod_{s \in \lambda} v^{-1} \Lambda \frac{(1 - v Q_1^{k+1} Q_2^k q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}) (1 - v Q_1^k Q_2^{k+1} q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1})}{(1 - Q_1^k Q_2^k q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}) (1 - v^2 Q_1^{k+1} Q_2^{k+1} q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1})} \\ & \times \frac{(1 - v Q_1^{k+1} Q_2^k q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)}) (1 - v Q_1^k Q_2^{k+1} q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)})}{(1 - Q_1^k Q_2^k q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)}) (1 - v^2 Q_1^{k+1} Q_2^{k+1} q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

This coincides with the elliptic genus (24) of [34] with

with  $v^{-1} Q_1 = y$ ,  $Q_1 Q_2 = p$  and  $(q, t) = (1/t_1, t_2)$  or  $(1/t_2, t_1)$ .

## 8 $SU(N_c)$ Partition function

The Nekrasov's  $SU(N_c)$  partition function is also realized by our refined topological vertex as mentioned in [14].




Figure 10:  $SU(2)$  partition function : The framing indices for the bottom and the right internal line is  $-1$  and those for the top and the left internal lines are one.

## 8.1 Pure $SU(2)$ Partition function

For example, the pure  $SU(2)$  partition function without Chern-Simons couplings is written as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{Q_1, Q_2}^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(q, t) &:= \sum_{\mu} C_{\bullet \lambda_1}^{\mu}(q, t) C_{\mu \lambda_2}^{\bullet}(q, t) Q_{12}^{|\mu|} f_{\mu}(q, t), \\
&= \sum_{\mu} P_{\lambda_1}(t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\mu}(q^{\lambda_1} t^{\rho}; q, t) \iota P_{\mu^{\vee}}(-t^{\lambda_2^{\vee}} q^{\rho}; t, q) P_{\lambda_2}(t^{\rho}; q, t) (v^{-1} Q_{12})^{|\mu|}, \\
&= \Pi_0 \left( -v^{-1} Q_{12} q^{\lambda_1} t^{\rho}, t^{\lambda_2^{\vee}} q^{\rho} \right)^{-1} P_{\lambda_1}(t^{\rho}; q, t) P_{\lambda_2}(t^{\rho}; q, t), \tag{8.1}
\end{aligned}$$

from (B.19), where  $Q_{ij} = Q_i / Q_j$ . The dual part is

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{Q_2^{-1}, Q_1^{-1}}^{\lambda_2^{\vee}, \lambda_1^{\vee}}(t, q) &= \sum_{\nu} C_{\bullet \lambda_2^{\vee}}^{\nu^{\vee}}(t, q) C_{\nu^{\vee} \lambda_1^{\vee}}^{\bullet}(t, q) Q_{12}^{|\nu|} f_{\nu}(t, q), \\
&= \sum_{\nu} C^{\bullet \lambda_2}_{\nu}(q, t) C^{\nu \lambda_1}_{\bullet}(q, t) Q_{12}^{|\nu|} f_{\nu}(q, t)^{-1} (-1)^{|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|}. \tag{8.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (4.3) and (B.19), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z} &:= \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} Z_{Q_1, Q_2}^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(q, t) Z_{Q_2^{-1}, Q_1^{-1}}^{\lambda_2^{\vee}, \lambda_1^{\vee}}(t, q) (\Lambda Q_{12})^{|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|} f_{\lambda_1}(q, t) / f_{\lambda_2}(q, t), \\
&= \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \Pi_0 \left( -v^{-1} Q_{12} q^{\lambda_1} t^{\rho}, t^{\lambda_2^{\vee}} q^{\rho} \right)^{-1} \Pi_0 \left( -v^{-1} Q_{21} t^{\lambda_1^{\vee}} q^{\rho}, q^{\lambda_2} t^{\rho} \right)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{s \in \lambda_2} v^{-1} \Lambda \frac{1}{(1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}) (1 - q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)})} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{s \in \lambda_1} v^{-1} \Lambda \frac{1}{(1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}) (1 - q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)})}. \tag{8.3}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 11:  $SU(N_c)$  partition function: The framing indices for the longitudinal lines are  $N-1-m, N-3-m, \dots, 1-N-m$  from the top to the bottom. Those for the left and the right internal lines are 1 and  $-1$ , respectively.

If we factor out the  $\Lambda$ -independent part  $\tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}} := Z_{Q_1, Q_2}^{\bullet, \bullet}(q, t) Z_{Q_2^{-1}, Q_1^{-1}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(t, q)$ , then  $Z^{\text{inst}} := \tilde{Z} / \tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}}$  coincides with the  $SU(2)$  Nekrasov's formula ( (3.5) of [30] and (5.2) of [6]).

## 8.2 Pure $SU(N_c)$ Partition function

The pure  $SU(N_c)$  partition function with Chern-Simons terms is written as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_c}}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_c}}(q, t) \\
& := \sum_{\{\mu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} C_{\mu_{\alpha-1} \lambda_\alpha}^{\mu_\alpha}(q, t) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c-1} Q_{\alpha, \alpha+1}^{|\mu_\alpha|} f_{\mu_\alpha}(q, t), \\
& = \sum_{\{\mu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \sum_{\sigma_\alpha} \iota P_{\mu_{\alpha-1}^\vee / \sigma_\alpha}^{\mu_\alpha} \left( -t^{\lambda_\alpha^\vee} q^\rho; t, q \right) P_{\lambda_\alpha}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\mu_\alpha / \sigma_\alpha}(q^{\lambda_\alpha} t^\rho; q, t) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c-1} v^{|\sigma_\alpha| - |\mu_\alpha|} Q_{\alpha, \alpha+1}^{|\mu_\alpha|},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$



with  $Q_{\alpha,\beta} = Q_\alpha/Q_\beta$  and  $\mu_0 = \mu_{N_c} = 0$ . Note that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{N_c} = 0$ . From the OPE formula (5.8), we have

$$Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_c}}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_c}}(q, t) = \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \Pi_0 \left( -v^{-1} Q_{\alpha,\beta} q^{\lambda_\alpha} t^\rho, t^{\lambda_\beta^\vee} q^\rho \right)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} P_{\lambda_\alpha}(t^\rho; q, t). \quad (8.5)$$

The dual part is

$$Z_{Q_{N_c}^{-1}, \dots, Q_1^{-1}}^{\lambda_{N_c}^\vee, \dots, \lambda_1^\vee}(t, q) = \sum_{\{\mu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} C^{\mu_\alpha \lambda_\alpha}_{\mu_{\alpha-1}}(q, t) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c-1} Q_{\alpha, \alpha+1}^{|\mu_\alpha|} f_{\mu_\alpha}(q, t)^{-1} (-1)^{|\lambda_\alpha|}, \quad (8.6)$$

with  $Q_{\alpha,\beta} = Q_\alpha/Q_\beta$ . Then, using  $\Lambda_{\alpha,m}$  in (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_m &:= \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_c}} Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_c}}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_c}}(q, t) Z_{Q_{N_c}^{-1}, \dots, Q_1^{-1}}^{\lambda_{N_c}^\vee, \dots, \lambda_1^\vee}(t, q) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \Lambda_{\alpha,m}^{|\lambda_\alpha|} f_{\lambda_\alpha}(q, t)^{N_c - m - 2\alpha + 1}, \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_c}} \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \Pi_0 \left( -v^{-1} Q_{\alpha,\beta} q^{\lambda_\alpha} t^\rho, t^{\lambda_\beta^\vee} q^\rho \right)^{-1} \Pi_0 \left( -v^{-1} Q_{\beta,\alpha} t^{\lambda_\alpha^\vee} q^\rho, q^{\lambda_\beta} t^\rho \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} f_{\lambda_\alpha}(q, t)^{-m} \prod_{s \in \lambda_\alpha} \frac{v^{-1} \Lambda(-Q_\alpha)^{-m}}{(1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}) (1 - q^{-a(s)-1} t^{-\ell(s)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

with  $\mu_0 = \mu_{N_c} = \nu_0 = \nu_{N_c} = 0$ . If we factor out the  $\Lambda$ -independent part  $\tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}} := Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_c}}^{\bullet, \dots, \bullet}(q, t) Z_{Q_{N_c}^{-1}, \dots, Q_1^{-1}}^{\bullet, \dots, \bullet}(t, q)$ , then  $Z_m^{\text{inst}} := \tilde{Z}_m / \tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}}$  coincides with the  $SU(N_c)$  Nekrasov's formula.

## 9 $SU(N_c)$ with $N_f = 2N_c$

The partition functions with fundamental matters are also realized by the refined topological vertex as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{2N_c-1}}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2N_c-1}}(q, t) \\ &:= \sum_{\{\mu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} C_{\mu_{2\alpha-2} \lambda_{2\alpha-1}}^{\mu_{2\alpha-1}}(q, t) C_{\mu_{2\alpha-1}}^{\mu_{2\alpha} \lambda_{2\alpha}}(q, t) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2N_c-1} Q_{\alpha, \alpha+1}^{|\mu_\alpha|}, \\ &= \sum_{\{\mu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \sum_{\sigma_{2\alpha-1}} \iota P_{\mu_{2\alpha-2}^\vee / \sigma_{2\alpha-1}^\vee} \left( -t^{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}^\vee} q^\rho; t, q \right) P_{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\mu_{2\alpha-1} / \sigma_{2\alpha-1}}(q^{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}^\vee} t^\rho; q, t) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\sigma_{2\alpha}} P_{\mu_{2\alpha-1}^\vee / \sigma_{2\alpha}^\vee} \left( -t^{\lambda_{2\alpha}^\vee} q^\rho; t, q \right) P_{\lambda_{2\alpha}^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q) \iota P_{\mu_{2\alpha} / \sigma_{2\alpha}}(q^{\lambda_{2\alpha}^\vee} t^\rho; q, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} v^{|\sigma_{2\alpha-1}|-|\sigma_{2\alpha}|} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2N_c-1} Q_{\alpha,\alpha+1}^{|\mu_\alpha|}, \quad (9.1)$$

with  $\mu_0 = \mu_{2N_c} = \sigma_0 = \sigma_{2N_c} = 0$ . Same as the pure  $SU(N_c)$  case, from the OPE formula (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{2N_c-1}}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2N_c-1}}(q, t) \\ &= \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \Pi_0 \left( -v^{\frac{(-1)^{\alpha+(-1)^\beta}}{2}} Q_{\alpha,\beta} q^{\lambda_\alpha} t^\rho, t^{\lambda_\beta^\vee} q^\rho \right)^{(-1)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} P_{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\lambda_{2\alpha}^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q), \\ &= \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \frac{\Pi_0(-Q_{2\alpha,2\beta-1} q^{\lambda_{2\alpha}} t^\rho, t^{\lambda_{2\beta-1}^\vee} q^\rho) \Pi_0(-Q_{2\alpha-1,2\beta} q^{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}} t^\rho, t^{\lambda_{2\beta}^\vee} q^\rho)}{\Pi_0(-v Q_{2\alpha,2\beta} q^{\lambda_{2\alpha}} t^\rho, t^{\lambda_{2\beta}^\vee} q^\rho) \Pi_0(-v^{-1} Q_{2\alpha-1,2\beta-1} q^{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}} t^\rho, t^{\lambda_{2\beta-1}^\vee} q^\rho)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} P_{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}}(t^\rho; q, t) P_{\lambda_{2\alpha}^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q). \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

The dual part is

$$Z_{Q'_{2N_c-1}, \dots, Q'_1}^{\lambda_{2N_c-1}^\vee, \dots, \lambda_1^\vee}(t, q) = \sum_{\{\nu_\alpha\}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} C^{\nu_{2\alpha-1} \lambda_{2\alpha-1}} \nu_{2\alpha-2}(q, t) C^{\nu_{2\alpha-1} \lambda_{2\alpha}} \nu_{2\alpha}(q, t) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2N_c-1} Q'_{\alpha,\alpha+1}^{|\mu_\alpha|} (-1)^{|\lambda_{2\alpha-1}|}, \quad (9.3)$$

with  $Q'_{\alpha,\beta} = Q'_\alpha / Q'_\beta$  and  $Q'_{2\alpha-1} = Q_{2\alpha-1}$ .

In the case of that  $\lambda_{2\alpha}$ 's for even integer  $2\alpha$  are trivial representations, let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z} &:= \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{2N_c-1}} Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{2N_c-1}}^{\lambda_1, \bullet, \lambda_3, \dots, \bullet, \lambda_{2N_c-1}}(q, t) Z_{Q'_{2N_c-1}, \dots, Q'_1}^{\lambda_{2N_c-1}^\vee, \bullet, \dots, \lambda_3^\vee, \bullet, \lambda_1^\vee}(t, q) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \Lambda_\alpha^{|\lambda_{2\alpha-1}|} f_{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}}(q, t)^{-1}, \\ \Lambda_\alpha &:= v^{-1} \Lambda \prod_{\beta=1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{Q_{2\beta-1}}{Q'_{2\beta}} \prod_{\beta=\alpha}^{N_c} \frac{Q'_{2\beta}}{Q_{2\beta-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

Let  $Z^{\text{inst}} := \tilde{Z} / \tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}}$  with  $\tilde{Z}^{\text{pert}} := Z_{Q_1, \dots, Q_{2N_c-1}}^{\bullet, \dots, \bullet}(q, t) Z_{Q'_{2N_c-1}, \dots, Q'_1}^{\bullet, \dots, \bullet}(t, q)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\text{inst}} &= \sum_{\{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}\}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \Lambda_\alpha^{|\lambda_{2\alpha-1}|} f_{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}}(q, t)^{-1}}{\prod_{\alpha < \beta} (N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta}(Q_{\alpha,\beta}; q, t) N_{\lambda_\beta^\vee, \lambda_\alpha^\vee}(Q'_{\alpha,\beta}; t, q))^{(-1)^{\alpha+\beta}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}, \lambda_{2\alpha-1}}(1; q, t)}, \\ &= \sum_{\{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}\}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \Lambda_\alpha^{|\lambda_{2\alpha-1}|}}{\prod_{\alpha < \beta} (N_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta}(Q_{\alpha,\beta}; q, t) N_{\lambda_\beta, \lambda_\alpha}(Q'_{\beta,\alpha}; q, t))^{(-1)^{\alpha+\beta}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} N_{\lambda_{2\alpha-1}, \lambda_{2\alpha-1}}(1; q, t)} \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

coincides with the  $SU(N_c)$  partition function with  $N_f = 2N_c$ .




Figure 12:  $SU(N_c)$  partition function with  $N_f = 2N_c$ : The framing index for the longitudinal internal lines are all  $-1$ .

## Acknowledgments

We would like thank T. Eguchi, A. Iqbal, Y. Konishi, H. Konno, S. Minabe, S. Moriyama, H. Nakajima, N. Nekrasov, H. Ochiai, N. Reshetikhin, J. Shiraishi, M. Taki, K. Yoshioka and C. Vafa for discussions and helpful correspondence. Especially we are grateful to M. Taki for sharing his result [38] before submitting the paper to arXiv. Part of the results in this paper was presented in the workshops “Infinit analysis workshop 2005” (27–30 September, 2005) at Tambara Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, “Strings 2006” (19–24 June, 2006) at Beijin frendship hotel and “Progress of String Theory and Quantum Field Theory” (7-10 December, 2007) at Osaka City University. Authors would like to thank the organizers for the invitation to the workshop and for hospitality. The work of H.K. is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research [#19654007] from the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

## Appendix A : Useful Formula for Partition

### A.1 Combinatorial identities

The following two propositions are useful, when we show several equivalent expressions of partition function. For proofs see [14].

**Proposition 1.**

$$\sum_{s \in \mu} q^{a_\lambda(s)} t^{\ell_\mu(s)+1} + \sum_{s \in \lambda} q^{-a_\mu(s)-1} t^{-\ell_\lambda(s)} = \frac{t-1}{1-q} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} - 1) t^{j-i} . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

By the exchange  $(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow (\lambda^\vee, \mu^\vee)$  and  $(q, t) \rightarrow (t, q)$ , we obtain the transposed version;

$$\sum_{s \in \mu} q^{a_\mu(s)+1} t^{\ell_\lambda(s)} + \sum_{s \in \lambda} q^{-a_\lambda(s)} t^{-\ell_\mu(s)-1} = \frac{q-1}{1-t} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (t^{\lambda_i^\vee - \mu_j^\vee} - 1) q^{j-i} . \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In this formula we are aware of the problem of the domain of the convergence of the geometric series in  $t$ . We understand that the geometric series is computed in an appropriate domain in the complex  $t$ -plane and then analytically continued to the whole plane as rational function with a pole at  $t = 1$  (and  $q = 1$ ).

**Proposition 2.**

$$(t-1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{\lambda_i} t^{-i} = (q^{-1}-1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t^{-\lambda_i^\vee} q^i . \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Taking  $(q, t) \rightarrow (q^{-1}, t^{-1})$  we have the analytically continued version;

$$(t^{-1} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-\lambda_i} t^i = (q - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t^{\lambda_i^\vee} q^{-i}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

When  $q = t$  these propositions become

$$\sum_{s \in \mu} q^{a_\lambda(s) + \ell_\mu(s) + 1} + \sum_{s \in \lambda} q^{-a_\mu(s) - \ell_\lambda(s) - 1} = - \sum_{1 \leq i, j < \infty} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j + j - i} - q^{j - i}), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\sum_{s \in \mu} q^{a_\mu(s) + \ell_\lambda(s) + 1} + \sum_{s \in \lambda} q^{-a_\lambda(s) - \ell_\mu(s) - 1} = - \sum_{1 \leq i, j < \infty} (q^{\lambda_i^\vee - \mu_j^\vee + j - i} - q^{j - i}), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$(q - 1) \sum_i q^{\lambda_i - i} = (q^{-1} - 1) \sum_i q^{i - \lambda_i^\vee}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The last equality can be rewritten as

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-\lambda_i^\vee + i - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-i + \frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{i - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

This formula may be regarded as our rule of analytic continuation in deriving the two lemmas.

By using these propositions 1 and 2, one can derive the following relations, respectively

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in \mu} \frac{1}{1 - Q q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1}} \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} \frac{1}{1 - Q q^{-\mu_i + j - 1} t^{-\lambda_j^\vee + i}} = \frac{\Pi(Q t^\rho, t^{-\rho})}{\Pi(Q q^\lambda t^\rho, q^{-\mu} t^{-\rho})}, \quad Q \in \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\Pi(Q q^\lambda t^\rho, q^{-\mu} t^{-\rho}) = \begin{cases} \Pi_0 \left( -Q \left( \frac{t}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\lambda^\vee} q^{-\rho}, q^{-\mu} t^{-\rho} \right), \\ \Pi_0 \left( -Q \left( \frac{t}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^\lambda t^\rho, t^{\mu^\vee} q^\rho \right), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Where  $\Pi(x, y)$  and  $\Pi_0(x, y)$  are the Cauchy kernel and its conjugate in (B.17) and (B.18), respectively. These represent the equivalence between several expressions of the Nekrasov formula.

## A.2 Factors in Nekrasov's formula

We have the following formula for the Young diagrams, which corresponds to the factor  $N_{\lambda, \mu}(Q; q, t)$  in Nekrasov's formula;

**Proposition.** For any integers  $M_\lambda \geq \ell(\lambda)$  and  $M_{\lambda\mu} \geq \ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu)$ , the following  $n_{\lambda,\mu}(q, t)$  has four equivalent expressions;

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\lambda,\mu}(q, t) &= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} q^{-\mu_i + j - 1} t^{-\lambda_j^\vee + i}, \\ &= \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{-\mu_i + j - 1} t^{-\lambda_j^\vee + i}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\lambda,\mu}(q, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{M_\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\mu^\vee}} \left( q^{\lambda_i} t^{\mu_j^\vee} - 1 \right) t^{1-i} q^{-j} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{\lambda_i - j - M_{\mu^\vee}} t^{1-i} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} t^{\mu_j^\vee + 1 - i - M_\lambda} q^{-j}, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{M_\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\mu^\vee}} \left( q^{\lambda_i} t^{\mu_j^\vee} - 1 \right) t^{1-i} q^{-j} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{j-1 - M_{\mu^\vee}} t^{1-i} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} t^{i - M_\lambda} q^{-j}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\lambda,\mu}(q, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{M_\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\lambda^\vee}} \left( t^{-\lambda_i^\vee} q^{-\mu_j} - 1 \right) q^{i-1} t^j + \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda^\vee} t^{-\lambda_i^\vee + j + M_{\lambda^\vee}} q^{i-1} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu^\vee} q^{-\mu_j + i - 1 + M_\mu} t^j, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{M_\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\lambda^\vee}} \left( t^{-\lambda_i^\vee} q^{-\mu_j} - 1 \right) q^{i-1} t^j + \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda^\vee} t^{1-j + M_{\lambda^\vee}} q^{i-1} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu^\vee} q^{-i + M_\mu} t^j, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\lambda,\mu}(q, t) &= \frac{1}{1-q} \left\{ t \sum_{i=1}^{M_{\lambda\mu}+1} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\lambda\mu}} - \sum_{i=1}^{M_{\lambda\mu}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\lambda\mu}+1} \right\} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} - 1) t^{j-i}, \\ &= \frac{t-1}{1-q} \sum_{i,j=1}^{M_{\lambda\mu}} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} - 1) t^{j-i} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-q} \left( t \sum_{j=1}^{M_{\lambda\mu}} (q^{-\mu_j} - 1) t^{j - M_{\lambda\mu} - 1} - \sum_{i=1}^{M_{\lambda\mu}} (q^{\lambda_i} - 1) t^{M_{\lambda\mu} + 1 - i} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

with  $\lambda_{M_{\lambda\mu}+1} = \mu_{M_{\lambda\mu}+1} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Equivalence between (A.11) and (A.12) is given in [6]. From (A.15), we get (A.13) from (A.12). By using (A.17) and (A.26), (A.12) reduces to (A.14).  $\square$

Note that

$$v n_{\lambda,\mu}(q, t) = v^{-1} n_{\mu,\lambda}(q^{-1}, t^{-1}), \quad n_{\mu,\lambda}(q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = n_{\mu^\vee, \lambda^\vee}(t, q), \quad (\text{A.15})$$

with  $v := (q/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The factor  $N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t)$  in Nekrasov's formula is given by

$$N_{\lambda,\mu}(Q; q, t) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left\{ \sum_{n>0} \frac{-Q^n}{n} n_{\lambda,\mu}(q^n, t^n) \right\}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

This completes the proof of the proposition in subsection 2.1.

### A.3 Formula for Partition

We have the following formula for the Young diagrams, which translates the summation in squares into that in lows:

**Proposition.** For all integers  $N \geq \ell(\lambda)$

$$(1-q) \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{j-1} t^{-i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^N (1-q^{\lambda_i}) t^{-i+1}, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$(1-q) \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1} = (t-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i} + t \sum_{i=1}^N q^{\lambda_i} (q^{-\mu_i} - t^{N-i}). \quad (\text{A.18})$$

*Proof.* The first line is by  $\sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} q^{j-1} = (1-q^{\lambda})/(1-q)$ .

The proof of the second line is similar to that in [35].

$$\begin{aligned} (1-q) \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1} &= (1-q) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell(\mu) - i + 1} t^k q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{i+k}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mu_{i+k} - \mu_{i+k+1} - 1} q^\ell, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell(\mu) - i + 1} t^k (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{i+k}} - q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{i+k+1}}), \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} t^{j-i+1} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} - q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{j+1}}), \\ &= (t-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} t^{j-i} q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} + t \sum_{i=1}^N q^{\lambda_i} (q^{-\mu_i} - t^{N-i}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

In the last equality, we used

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{j+1}} t^{j-i+1} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+1} q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{N+1}} t^{N+1-i}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

with  $\mu_{N+1} = 0$ . □

Note that in the  $t = q$  and  $\lambda = \mu$  case, (A.18) reduce to the formula of the Maya diagram: The length from a black box to a white one or black one is  $(\lambda_i - i) + (\lambda_j^\vee - j) + 1$  (the hook length) or  $(\lambda_i - i) - (\lambda_j - j)$ , respectively,

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{(\lambda_i - i) + (\lambda_j^\vee - j) + 1} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} q^{(\lambda_i - i) - (\lambda_j - j)} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sum_{i < j \leq \lambda_i + N} q^{j-i}. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

We can rewrite (A.18) as follows, which is suitable for taking  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit;

**Proposition.** For all integers  $N \geq \ell(\lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-q) \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1} &= \left( t \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+1} \right) q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i}, \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+1} q^{\lambda_i} (q^{-\mu_j} - 1) t^{j-i} + t \sum_{i=1}^N q^{\lambda_i} (q^{-\mu_i} - 1), \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+1} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} - 1) t^{j-i} + t \sum_{i=1}^N (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_i} - 1) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N (q^{\lambda_i} - 1) t^{N-i+2}, \tag{A.22}
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-q) \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} \left( q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1} - q^{-j} t^{-N+i} \right) \\
&+ (1-q) \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} \left( q^{-\mu_i + j - 1} t^{-\lambda_j^\vee + i} - q^{j-1} t^{N-i+1} \right) \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} - 1) t^{j-i}. \tag{A.23}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The (A.17)  $\times t^N$  subtracted from the (A.18) leaves

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-q) \left\{ \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} q^{\lambda_i - j} t^{\mu_j^\vee - i + 1} - \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{j-1} t^{N-i+1} \right\} \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} q^{\lambda_i - \mu_j} t^{j-i} + t \sum_{i=1}^N (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_i} - t^{N-i}). \tag{A.24}
\end{aligned}$$

By replacing  $q, t$  and  $\lambda$  with  $1/q, 1/t$  and  $\mu$ , respectively, and multiplied by  $t$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-q) \left\{ \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} q^{-\mu_i + j - 1} t^{-\lambda_j^\vee + i} - \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} q^{-j} t^{-N+i} \right\} \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} q^{\lambda_j - \mu_i} t^{i-j} + \sum_{i=1}^N (q^{\lambda_i - \mu_i} - t^{-N+i}). \tag{A.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding together these two equations, we have the proposition.



By using (A.17), we have following formula, which is used in the analytic continuation;  
**Proposition.** For all integers  $N \geq \ell(\lambda)$  and  $M \geq \lambda_1$ ,

$$\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N (q^{\lambda_i} - 1) t^{\frac{1}{2}-i} + \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^M \left(t^{-\lambda_i^\vee} - 1\right) q^{i-\frac{1}{2}} = 0. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

*Proof.* Similar to (A.17), for all integers  $M \geq \lambda_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^M \left(1 - t^{-\lambda_i^\vee}\right) q^{i-1} &= (1 - t^{-1}) \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda^\vee} t^{1-j} q^{i-1}, \\ &= (1 - t^{-1}) \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} t^{1-i} q^{j-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Therefore, with (A.17),

$$(1 - q) \sum_{i=1}^M \left(1 - t^{-\lambda_i^\vee}\right) q^{i-1} = (1 - t^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - q^{\lambda_i}) t^{1-i}. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

□

Note that in the  $t = q$  case, (A.26) reduces to the formula of the Maya diagram: The black boxes and the white ones are at  $\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $-(\lambda_i^\vee - i + \frac{1}{2})$  of the Maya diagram, respectively,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N q^{\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^M q^{-\lambda_i^\vee + i - \frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1-N}^M q^{i-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

Hence  $\sum_{i \geq 1} q^{\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i \geq 1} q^{-\lambda_i^\vee + i - \frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{i-\frac{1}{2}} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta(q)$ .

Since

$$\{n - j\}_{j=1}^m \cup \{-m + j - 1\}_{j=1}^n = \{j\}_{j=-m}^{n-1} = \{n - j\}_{j=1}^n \cup \{-m + j - 1\}_{j=1}^m, \quad (\text{A.30})$$

for any non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we have

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} (\lambda_i - j) - \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\mu_i - j + 1) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_i - j) - \sum_{(i,j) \in \mu} (\mu_i - j + 1). \quad (\text{A.31})$$

## Appendix B : Formula for the Macdonald Symmetric Function

In this appendix we recapitulate basic properties of the Macdonald symmetric function [35].

## B.1 Definition for the Macdonald Symmetric Function

There are various bases of the ring of symmetric functions, that are the inductive limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of the symmetric polynomials in  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ , for example, the monomial symmetric function, the power-sum symmetric function and so on. They are indexed by the Young diagram, *i.e.*, the partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ , which is a sequence of non-negative integers such that  $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$  and  $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i < \infty$ . The monomial symmetric function  $m_\lambda(x)$  is defined by

$$m_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\sigma} x_1^{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} x_2^{\lambda_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the summation is over all distinct permutations of  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ .

The power-sum symmetric function  $p_\lambda(x)$  is defined by

$$p_\lambda(x) = p_{\lambda_1}(x) p_{\lambda_2}(x) \cdots, \quad p_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^n. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

We introduce an inner-product on the ring of symmetric functions in the following manner; for any symmetric functions  $f$  and  $g$ , in power-sums  $p_\lambda$ 's,

$$\langle f(p), g(p) \rangle_{q,t} := f(p^*) g(p) \Big|_{\text{constant part}}, \quad p_n^* := n \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - t^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

or equivalently

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle_{q,t} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} \prod_{r \geq 1} r^{m_r} m_r! \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_i}}{1 - t^{\lambda_i}}, \quad \lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

with  $m_r \equiv \#\{i \mid \lambda_i = r\}$ .

The Macdonald symmetric function  $P_\lambda = P_\lambda(x; q, t)$  is uniquely specified by the following orthogonality and normalization,

$$\langle P_\lambda, P_\mu \rangle_{q,t} = 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$P_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} u_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu, \quad u_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbf{Q}(q, t). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Here we used the dominance partial ordering on the Young diagrams defined as  $\lambda \geq \mu \Leftrightarrow |\lambda| = |\mu|$  and  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i \geq \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i$  for all  $i$ .

The scalar-product is given by

$$\langle P_\lambda | P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t} = \prod_{s \in \lambda} \frac{1 - q^{a(s)+1} t^{\ell(s)}}{1 - q^{a(s)} t^{\ell(s)+1}}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

which satisfies

$$\langle P_\lambda | P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t} = \left(\frac{q}{t}\right)^{|\lambda|} \langle P_\lambda | P_\lambda \rangle_{q^{-1}, t^{-1}} = \langle P_{\lambda^\vee} | P_{\lambda^\vee} \rangle_{t,q}^{-1}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

If we define

$$g_\lambda(q, t) := \frac{v^{|\lambda|}}{\langle P_\lambda | P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t}}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

with  $v = (q/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then

$$g_\lambda(q, t) = g_\lambda(q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = g_{\lambda^\vee}(t, q)^{-1}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The skew-Macdonald symmetric function  $P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t)$  is defined by

$$P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) := P_\mu^*(x; q, t) P_\lambda(x; q, t), \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where  $*$  is acted on the power-sum as  $p_n^* := n \frac{1-q^n}{1-t^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}$ . Finally let  $\iota P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t)$  be the skew-Macdonald function with the involution  $\iota$  acting on the power-sum  $p_n$  as  $\iota(p_n) = -p_n$ .

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  be two sets of variables. Then we have

$$\sum_{\mu} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) P_{\mu/\nu}(y; q, t) = P_{\lambda/\nu}(x, y; q, t), \quad (\text{B.12})$$

where  $P_{\lambda/\nu}(x, y; q, t)$  denotes the skew-Macdonald function in the set of variables  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, y_1, y_2, \dots)$ .

## B.2 Symmetries and Cauchy Formulas

Next we turn to show the basic properties of the (skew) Macdonald symmetric function. The Macdonald function enjoys the following symmetries

$$P_{\lambda/\mu}(Qx; q, t) = Q^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t), \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t), \quad (\text{B.14})$$

$$P_{\lambda^\vee/\mu^\vee}(vx; t, q) = \frac{g_\lambda(q, t)}{g_\mu(q, t)} \omega_{q,t} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t), \quad \omega_{q,t}(p_n) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1-q^n}{1-t^n} p_n, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

with the end-morphism  $\omega_{q,t}$ . In the  $t = q$  case, the Schur function has the following symmetries

$$s_{\lambda^\vee}(x) = \iota s_\lambda(-x) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} \iota s_\lambda(x), \quad (\text{B.16})$$

however, the Macdonald function does not have.

The following Cauchy formula is especially important;

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} g_{\lambda}(q, t) P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda}(y; q, t) &= \Pi(vx, y) := \exp \left\{ \sum_{n>0} \frac{v^n}{n} \frac{1-t^n}{1-q^n} p_n(x) p_n(y) \right\}. \\ &= \prod_{k \geq 0} \prod_{i, j} \frac{1-tv x_i y_j q^k}{1-v x_i y_j q^k}, \quad |q| < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

If we act on the variables  $y$  with the end-morphism  $\omega_{q,t}$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(y; t, q) &= \Pi_0(x, y) := \exp \left\{ \sum_{n>0} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} p_n(x) p_n(y) \right\}. \\ &= \prod_{i, j} (1 + x_i y_j), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

If we act with the involution  $\iota$ , then  $\Pi(x, y)$  and  $\Pi_0(x, y)$  are mapped to their inverse,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} g_{\lambda}(q, t) P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) \iota P_{\lambda}(y; q, t) &= \Pi(vx, y)^{-1}, \\ \sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}(x; q, t) \iota P_{\lambda^{\vee}}(y; t, q) &= \Pi_0(x, y)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

The Cauchy formulas for the skew-Macdonald function are

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{g_{\lambda}(q, t)}{g_{\mu}(q, t)} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda/\nu}(y; q, t) &= \Pi(vx, y) \sum_{\lambda} P_{\mu/\lambda}(y; q, t) P_{\nu/\lambda}(x; q, t) \frac{g_{\nu}(q, t)}{g_{\lambda}(q, t)}, \\ \sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) P_{\lambda^{\vee}/\nu^{\vee}}(y; t, q) &= \Pi_0(x, y) \sum_{\lambda} P_{\mu^{\vee}/\lambda^{\vee}}(y; t, q) P_{\nu/\lambda}(x; q, t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

Using this formula successively, we obtain the following trace formula

**Proposition.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be sets of variables and  $a, b$  and  $c := ab \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $|c| < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} P_{\lambda^{\vee}/\mu^{\vee}}(x; t, q) P_{\lambda/\mu}(y; q, t) a^{|\lambda|} b^{|\mu|} &= \prod_{k \geq 0} \Pi_0(ac^k x, y) \prod_{n > 0} \frac{1}{1-c^n}, \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n} \frac{p_n(ax) p_n(-y)}{1-c^n} \right\} \prod_{n > 0} \frac{1}{1-c^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Note that

$$\Pi(vx, y; q, t) = \Pi(v^{-1}x, y; q^{-1}, t^{-1}) = \omega_{t,q}(x) \omega_{t,q}(y) \Pi(v^{-1}x, y; t, q), \quad (\text{B.22})$$

### B.3 Specialization Formulas

Here we give the specialization formulas for the special variables. In the case of  $|t| > 1$ , one can set the variables  $x$  to the principal specialization  $x = t^\rho$  that stands for  $x_i = t^{\frac{1}{2}-i}$ . The following are the formulas for the principal specialization [35] ( $a = a(s)$  and  $\ell = \ell(s)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} (-1) t^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{a'} \frac{1 - q^{-a'} t^{\ell' - N}}{1 - q^{a'} t^{\ell' + 1}}, & \iota P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} t^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\ell'} \frac{1 - q^{a'} t^{-\ell' - N}}{1 - q^{a'} t^{\ell' + 1}}, \\ P_\lambda(t^{-\rho}; q, t) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} t^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\ell'} \frac{1 - q^{a'} t^{-\ell' + N}}{1 - q^{a'} t^{\ell' + 1}}, & \iota P_\lambda(t^{-\rho}; q, t) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} (-1) t^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{a'} \frac{1 - q^{-a'} t^{\ell' + N}}{1 - q^{a'} t^{\ell' + 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.23})$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\lambda^\vee}(q^\rho; t, q) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-a'} \frac{1 - q^{a' - N} t^{-\ell'}}{1 - q^{-a - 1} t^{-\ell}}, & \iota P_{\lambda^\vee}(q^\rho; t, q) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} (-1) q^{-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\ell'} \frac{1 - q^{-a' - N} t^{\ell'}}{1 - q^{-a - 1} t^{-\ell}}, \\ P_{\lambda^\vee}(q^{-\rho}; t, q) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} (-1) q^{-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\ell'} \frac{1 - q^{-a' + N} t^{\ell'}}{1 - q^{-a - 1} t^{-\ell}}, & \iota P_{\lambda^\vee}(q^{-\rho}; t, q) &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-a'} \frac{1 - q^{a' + N} t^{-\ell'}}{1 - q^{-a - 1} t^{-\ell}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.24})$$

**Proposition.**

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda(q, t) \frac{P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t)}{P_{\lambda^\vee}(q^\rho; t, q)} &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} q^{a'(s)} t^{-\ell'(s)} \frac{1 - q^{-a'(s)} t^{\ell'(s) - N}}{1 - q^{a'(s) - N} t^{-\ell'(s)}}, \\ &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} q^{-a'(s)} t^{\ell'(s)} \left(\frac{q}{t}\right)^N \frac{1 - q^{a'(s)} t^{-\ell'(s) + N}}{1 - q^{-a'(s) + N} t^{\ell'(s)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

Note that  $q = t$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  case, (B.25) reduces to

$$S_\lambda(q^\rho) = q^{\frac{\kappa_\lambda}{2}} S_{\lambda^\vee}(q^\rho), \quad |q^{-1}| < 1. \quad (\text{B.26})$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\lambda, \mu}(q, t) := P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) P_\mu(q^\lambda t^\rho; q, t), \quad (\text{B.27})$$

has a nice symmetry

**Proposition.**

$$\mathcal{W}_{\lambda, \mu}(q, t) = \mathcal{W}_{\mu, \lambda}(q, t). \quad (\text{B.28})$$

*Proof.* From (6.6) of [35], VI,

$$P_\lambda(t^{N-i}; q, t) P_\mu(q^{\lambda_i} t^{N-i}; q, t) = P_\mu(t^{N-i}; q, t) P_\lambda(q^{\mu_i} t^{N-i}; q, t). \quad (\text{B.29})$$

□

## B.4 $N \rightarrow \infty$ case

By using the formula of the analytic continuation (A.26), we have

**Proposition.**

$$P_{\mu^\vee/\nu^\vee} \left( -t^{\pm\lambda^\vee} q^{\pm\rho}; t, q \right) = \frac{g_\mu(q, t)}{g_\nu(q, t)} P_{\mu/\nu} \left( q^{\mp\lambda} t^{\mp\rho}; q, t \right), \quad |q^{\mp 1}|, |t^{\pm 1}| < 1. \quad (\text{B.30})$$

In the principal case

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) g_\lambda(q, t) \prod_{s \in \lambda} (-1) q^{-a(s)} t^{\ell(s)} &= P_\lambda(t^{-\rho}; q, t) g_\lambda(q, t) = P_{\lambda^\vee}(-q^\rho; t, q), \\ &= \iota P_\lambda(t^\rho; q, t) g_\lambda(q, t) = \iota P_{\lambda^\vee}(-q^{-\rho}; t, q) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.31})$$

## Appendix C : Refined BPS State Counting

From the instanton expansion of the Nekrasov's partition function;

$$Z_{Nek} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda^k Z_k(t, q, Q_\alpha), \quad (\text{C.1})$$

we can compute the refined Gopakumar-Vafa integer invariant  $N_\beta^{(j_L, j_R)}$  as follows. We expect the following multi-cover structure of the partition function

$$Z_{Nek} = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(t^n, q^n, Q_B^n, Q_\alpha^n)}{n} \right), \quad (\text{C.2})$$

from the argument of Gopakumar-Vafa type. Assuming the scale parameter  $\Lambda$  is proportional to the Kähler parameter  $Q_B$  of the base space  $\mathbf{P}^1$  of ALE fibration, we expand

$$G(t, q, Q_B, Q_\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_B^k G_k(q, t, Q_\alpha), \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where

$$G_k(q, t, Q_\alpha) = \sum_{\{\ell_\alpha\}} \sum_{(j_L, j_R)} \frac{N_{k, \{\ell_\alpha\}}^{(j_L, j_R)}}{(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})} \chi_{j_L}(u) \chi_{j_R}(v) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} Q_\alpha^{\ell_\alpha} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

and  $\chi_j(x)$  is the irreducible character of  $SU(2)$  with spin  $j$ . We have introduced the notations  $u^2 = t \cdot q$  and  $v^2 = q/t$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $\Lambda^k \sim Q_B^k$ , up to  $k = 4$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1(t, q, Q_\alpha) &= Z_1(t, q, Q_\alpha), \\
G_2(t, q, Q_\alpha) &= Z_2(t, q, Q_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} (Z_1(t, q, Q_\alpha))^2 - \frac{1}{2} Z_1(t^2, q^2, Q_\alpha^2), \\
G_3(t, q, Q_\alpha) &= Z_3(t, q, Q_\alpha) - Z_2(t, q, Q_\alpha) Z_1(t, q, Q_\alpha) + \frac{1}{3} (Z_1(t, q, Q_\alpha))^3 - \frac{1}{3} Z_1(t^3, q^3, Q_\alpha^3), \\
G_4(t, q, Q_\alpha) &= Z_4(t, q, Q_\alpha) - Z_3(t, q, Q_\alpha) Z_1(t, q, Q_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} (Z_2(t, q, Q_\alpha))^2 \\
&\quad + Z_2(t, q, Q_\alpha) (Z_1(t, q, Q_\alpha))^2 - \frac{1}{4} (Z_1(t, q, Q_\alpha))^4 - \frac{1}{2} Z_2(t^2, q^2, Q_\alpha^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} (Z_1(t^2, q^2, Q_\alpha^2))^2. \tag{C.5}
\end{aligned}$$

There is a cancellation of  $Z_1(t^4, q^4, Q_\alpha^4)$  in the computation of  $G_4(t, q, Q_\alpha)$ .

In [14] we reported some results for  $SU(2)$  theory with no Chern-Simons coupling. This corresponds to the refined GV invariants for the local Hilzebruch surface of  $\mathbf{F}_0$ . For  $SU(2)$  theory the expansion at instanton number  $k$  becomes

$$G_k(q, t, Q_F) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(j_L, j_R)} \frac{N_{kB+nF}^{(j_L, j_R)}}{(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})} \chi_{j_L}(u) \chi_{j_R}(v) v^{2k} Q_F^{k+n}, \tag{C.6}$$

where  $Q_F$  is the Kähler parameter of the fiber  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . The analysis of the symmetry of the Nekrasov's partition function made in section 2 instructs us to factor out  $v^{2k} Q_F^k$  in computing  $N_{kB+nF}^{(j_L, j_R)}$ . Our results are

$$N_{B+nF}^{(j_L, j_R)} = \delta_{j_L, 0} \delta_{j_R, n+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{C.7}$$

for one instanton and

$$\bigoplus_{(j_L, j_R)} N_{2B+nF}^{(j_L, j_R)}(j_L, j_R) = \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^n \bigoplus_{m=1}^{n-\ell+1} \left[ \frac{m+1}{2} \right] \left( \frac{\ell-1}{2}, \frac{3\ell+2m}{2} \right). \tag{C.8}$$

for two instantons.

We have computed the invariants of  $SU(2)$  theory with the Chern-Simons coupling  $m = 1, 2$ , which are expected to give the refined GV invariants for local  $\mathbf{F}_1$  and  $\mathbf{F}_2$ . It has been known that the GV invariants of  $\mathbf{F}_0$  and  $\mathbf{F}_2$  are simply related by a “shift” of the Kähler parameters. We have found this relation survives for the refined GV invariants up to instanton number three. To describe the result neatly, let  $G_k^{(m)}(q, t, Q_F)$  be the coefficients of the instanton expansion (C.3) for local  $\mathbf{F}_m$ . Then what we have checked is

$$G^{(2)}_k(t, q, Q_F) = Q_F^k \cdot G^{(0)}_k(t, q, Q_F), \quad (1 \leq k \leq 3), \tag{C.9}$$

which implies  $N_{kB+nF}^{(j_L, j_R)}$  for local  $\mathbf{F}_0$  is the same as  $N_{kB+(n+k)F}^{(j_L, j_R)}$  for local  $\mathbf{F}_2$ . We would like to stress this is somewhat surprising result, since the refined GV invariants are not BPS protected quantities and they may jump under the deformation of complex structures<sup>9</sup>. For the GV invariants which is BPS protected, the agreement of the invariants may be explained by the fact that  $\mathbf{F}_2$  is obtained from  $\mathbf{F}_0$  from the deformation of complex structure<sup>10</sup>. However, for BPS non-protected quantities it is not certain that the same arguments applies. In any case what we have found supports the expectation that on non compact Calabi-Yau manifold the refined GV invariants are actually invariants under the complex structure deformation which is pointed out in [11].

For local  $\mathbf{F}_1$  the invariants are qualitatively different from local  $\mathbf{F}_0$  at one instanton. We have

$$N_{B+nF}^{(j_L, j_R)} = \delta_{j_L, 0} \delta_{j_R, n} . \quad (\text{C.10})$$

For  $\mathbf{F}_0$  and  $\mathbf{F}_2$  the right spin  $j_R$  at one instanton is always half-integer, while for  $\mathbf{F}_1$  it is integer. However, at two instanton our computation shows that the refined GV invariants of  $\mathbf{F}_1$  are related to  $\mathbf{F}_0$  quite similarly to the relation between  $\mathbf{F}_0$  and  $\mathbf{F}_2$ . We have checked

$$G_{2k}^{(1)}(t, q, Q_F) = Q_F^k \cdot G_k^{(0)}(t, q, Q_F), \quad (k = 1) . \quad (\text{C.11})$$

It has been pointed out that for even instanton number we could expect the GV invariants of local  $\mathbf{F}_0$  and local  $\mathbf{F}_1$  are related [7]. It is tempting to conjecture that the above relation is valid for any  $k$ .

For general values of the Chern-Simons coupling our preliminary computation shows that the refined invariants has no simple relation to those of local  $\mathbf{F}_{0,1,2}$ . Even wrong the structure of  $Spin(4)$  character seems lost in this region. This may be related to that fact the five dimensional theory is physically not well defined for these Chern-Simons coupling.

For  $SU(3)$  case the computation of the refined invariants gets more involved. The corresponding local toric Calabi-Yau geometry is the ALE fibration of  $A_2$  type over  $\mathbf{P}$  and we have two Kähler parameters  $Q_1 := e^{-t_{F_1}}$  and  $Q_2 := e^{-t_{F_2}}$  for the fiber. The instanton expansion takes the following form;

$$G_k(q, t, Q_i) = \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(j_L, j_R)} \frac{N_{\beta(n_1, n_2)}^{(j_L, j_R)}}{(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})} \chi_{j_L}(u) \chi_{j_R}(v) v^{3k} Q_1^{k+n_1} Q_2^{k+n_2} , \quad (\text{C.12})$$

---

<sup>9</sup>However, for local CY the deformation of complex structure may not be well-defined, because of non-compactness of the total space.

<sup>10</sup>We thank Y. Konishi and S. Minabe for discussion on this issue.



where  $\beta(n_1, n_2) := kB + n_1F_1 + n_2F_2$  represents two cycles wrapping  $k$  times on the base space. The analysis of the symmetry of the Nekrasov's partition function made in section 2 instructs us to factor out  $v^{3k}(Q_1Q_2)^k$ . At one instanton we found that the spin contents for the homology class  $B + n_1F_1 + n_2F_2$  is

$$(0, n_{\max}) \oplus (0, n_{\max} - 1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (0, |n_1 - n_2|) , \quad (\text{C.13})$$

where  $n_{\max} := \max(n_1, n_2)$ . We note that the left spin always vanishes at one instanton. When  $n_1 = 0$  or  $n_2 = 0$  the geometry reduces to local  $\mathbf{F}_1$  and the above result is consistent of the refined GV invariants of local  $\mathbf{F}_1$ . At two instanton since we cannot find any simple rule for the refined GV invariants, let us present a few list of our computation. When  $n_1 = 0$  or  $n_2 = 0$ , the result is again consistent with (C.8) in view of the relation (C.11).

$(n_1, n_2)$	spin contents
$(1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)$	$\emptyset$
$(2, 0), (0, 2)$	$(0, \frac{5}{2})$
$(2, 1), (1, 2)$	$(0, \frac{5}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{3}{2})$
$(3, 0), (0, 3)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, 4) \oplus (0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{5}{2})$
$(2, 2)$	$(0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus 2(0, \frac{5}{2}) \oplus 2(0, \frac{3}{2}) \oplus 2(0, \frac{1}{2})$
$(3, 1), (1, 3)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, 4) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 3) \oplus 2(0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus 3(0, \frac{5}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{3}{2})$
$(4, 0), (0, 4)$	$(1, \frac{11}{2}) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 5) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 4) \oplus 2(0, \frac{9}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{5}{2})$
$(3, 2), (2, 3)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, 4) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 3) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ $\oplus (0, \frac{9}{2}) \oplus 3(0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus 5(0, \frac{5}{2}) \oplus 4(0, \frac{3}{2}) \oplus 2(0, \frac{1}{2})$
$(4, 1), (1, 4)$	$(1, \frac{11}{2}) \oplus (1, \frac{9}{2}) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 5) \oplus 3(\frac{1}{2}, 4) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 3)$ $\oplus 3(0, \frac{9}{2}) \oplus 5(0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus 3(0, \frac{5}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{3}{2})$
$(5, 0), (0, 5)$	$(\frac{3}{2}, 7) \oplus (1, \frac{13}{2}) \oplus (1, \frac{11}{2}) \oplus 2(\frac{1}{2}, 6) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 5) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 4)$ $\oplus 2(0, \frac{11}{2}) \oplus 2(0, \frac{9}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus (0, \frac{5}{2})$
$(3, 3)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, 5) \oplus 2(\frac{1}{2}, 4) \oplus 2(\frac{1}{2}, 3) \oplus 2(\frac{1}{2}, 2) \oplus 2(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ $\oplus (0, \frac{11}{2}) \oplus 3(0, \frac{9}{2}) \oplus 6(0, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus 8(0, \frac{5}{2}) \oplus 8(0, \frac{3}{2}) \oplus 6(0, \frac{1}{2})$

## Appendix D : $q$ -Dunkl operator realization for the refined topological vertex

In this appendix, we use the Macdonald polynomials  $P_\lambda^N(x; q, t)$  in the finite number of variables  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ . In this case, since the formula (B.30) does not hold, our

refined topological vertex in (4.2) is different from that in (??). Here we assume that  $|q|, |t| < 1$ , and use the refined topological vertex in (4.2).

Let  $Y_i$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) be the  $q$ -Dunkl operator [36] [37] acting on the variables  $x_i$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ );

$$Y_i(x) = t^{-\frac{N}{2}} T_i T_{i+1} \cdots T_{N-1} \omega T_1^{-1} \cdots T_{i-1}^{-1},$$

$$T_i = t^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1 - tx_i/x_{i+1}}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} (s_i - 1), \quad (\text{D.1})$$

here

$$s_i = (i, i + 1), \quad \omega = \tau_N s_{N-1} \cdots s_1, \quad \tau_n(x_i) = q^{\delta_{i,N}} x_i. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

They commute each other

$$[Y_i(x), Y_j(x)] = 0, \quad (\text{D.3})$$

and the Macdonald polynomials are eigen-functions of any symmetric operator  $f$  in them

$$f(Y_1(x), \dots, Y_N(x)) P_\lambda^N(x; q, t) = f(q^{\lambda_1} t^{\frac{1}{2}-1}, \dots, q^{\lambda_N} t^{\frac{1}{2}-N}) P_\lambda^N(x; q, t). \quad (\text{D.4})$$

Let  $\tilde{Y}_i(x)$  be the dual  $q$ -Dunkl operator which is given by replacing  $q$  with  $t$  in  $Y_i(x)$ , that is,

$$f(\tilde{Y}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{Y}_N(x)) P_\lambda^N(x; t, q) = f(t^{\lambda_1} q^{\frac{1}{2}-1}, \dots, t^{\lambda_N} q^{\frac{1}{2}-N}) P_\lambda^N(x; t, q). \quad (\text{D.5})$$

Note that  $Y_i(x)$  and  $\tilde{Y}_i(x)$  may not be commute each other.

Using these (dual)  $q$ -Dunkl operator, the refined topological vertex is written as follows;

$$V_{\mu\lambda}{}^\nu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\sigma} v^{|\sigma|} (-1)^{|\mu| - |\sigma|} P_{\nu/\sigma}^N(Y(x); q, t) w_{t,q} \left( \iota P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma^\vee}^N(\tilde{Y}(x); t, q) P_{\lambda^\vee}^N(x; t, q) \right) |_{x=tp},$$

$$V_{\mu}{}^{\lambda\nu} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\sigma} v^{|\sigma|} (-1)^{|\mu| - |\sigma| - |\lambda|} P_{\mu^\vee/\sigma^\vee}^N(\tilde{Y}(x); t, q) w_{q,t} \left( \iota P_{\nu/\sigma}^N(Y(x); q, t) P_{\lambda}^N(x; q, t) \right) |_{x=tq}. \quad (\text{D.6})$$

Here  $\omega_{q,t}$  is the following involution,

$$\omega_{q,t}(p_n) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - t^n} p_n, \quad \omega_{q,t} \frac{P_\lambda^N(x; q, t)}{\langle P_\lambda^N | P_\lambda^N \rangle_{q,t}} = P_{\lambda^\vee}^N(x; t, q). \quad (\text{D.7})$$

Therefore the summation in the Young diagrams in the Nekrasov formula is formally performed by using these  $q$ -Dunkl operators. For example, the  $SU(2)$  partition function is

$$\tilde{Z}_0 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_0 \left( -Q_1 Y(x), \tilde{Y}(z) \right)^{-1} \Pi_0 \left( -Q_2 Y(w), \tilde{Y}(y) \right)^{-1}$$

$$\times \Pi_0(-\Lambda x, y) \Pi_0(-\Lambda z, w)|_{x=z=t^\rho, y=w=q^\rho}. \quad (\text{D.8})$$

In the  $SU(N_c)$  case, let

$$D_0 := \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} \Pi_0(-\Lambda x^\alpha, y^\alpha),$$

$$D_\alpha := \prod_{\beta=\alpha+1}^{N_c} \Pi_0\left(-Q_{\alpha,\beta} Y(x^\alpha), \tilde{Y}(x^\beta)\right)^{-1} \Pi_0\left(-Q_{\beta,\alpha} Y(y^\beta), \tilde{Y}(y^\alpha)\right)^{-1}, \quad 0 < \alpha < N_c, \quad (\text{D.9})$$

and  $D'_\alpha := D_\alpha \omega_{t,q}(x^\alpha) \omega_{q,t}(y^\alpha)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_0 &= \sum_{\lambda_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha} V_{\bullet \lambda_1}^{\mu_1} V_{\mu_1 \lambda_2}^{\mu_2} \cdots V_{\mu_{N_c-2} \lambda_{N_c-1}}^{\mu_{N_c-1}} V_{\mu_{N_c-1} \lambda_{N_c}}^{\bullet} \\ &\quad \times V_{\bullet \lambda_{N_c} \nu_{N_c-1}}^{\lambda_{N_c}} V_{\nu_{N_c-1} \lambda_{N_c-1}}^{\lambda_{N_c-1}} \nu_{N_c-2} \cdots V_{\nu_2 \lambda_2 \nu_1}^{\lambda_2} V_{\nu_1 \lambda_1}^{\lambda_1} \bullet \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c} Q_{B^\alpha}^{|\lambda_\alpha|} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N_c-1} v^{-|\mu_\alpha| - |\nu_\alpha|} Q_{\alpha, \alpha+1}^{|\mu_\alpha|} Q_{\alpha+1, \alpha}^{|\nu_\alpha|}, \\ &= D'_{N_c-1} \cdots D'_2 D_1 D_0 |_{x^\alpha=t^\rho, y^\alpha=q^\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.10})$$

Since  $\omega_{t,q}(x) \omega_{q,t}(y) \Pi_0(x, y) = \Pi_0(x, y)$ , we have the following  $q$ -Dunkl operator realization for the Nekrasov's formula

$$\tilde{Z}_0 = D_{N_c-1} \cdots D_2 D_1 D_0 |_{x^\alpha=t^\rho, y^\alpha=q^\rho}. \quad (\text{D.11})$$

## Appendix E : Notation for Partition

For each square  $s = (i, j)$  in the Young diagram of a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ , we define

$$a_\lambda(s) := \lambda_i - j, \quad \ell_\lambda(s) := \lambda_j^\vee - i, \quad a'(s) := j - 1, \quad \ell'(s) := i - 1, \quad (\text{E.1})$$

where  $\lambda_j^\vee$  denotes the conjugate (dual) diagram. They are called arm-length, leg-length, arm-colength and leg-colength, respectively. The hook length  $h_\lambda(s)$  and the content  $c(s)$  at  $s$  are given by

$$h_\lambda(s) := a_\lambda(s) + \ell_\lambda(s) + 1, \quad c(s) := a'(s) - \ell'(s). \quad (\text{E.2})$$

The weight  $|\lambda|$  and  $\|\lambda\|^2$  are

$$|\lambda| := \sum_i \lambda_i, \quad \|\lambda\|^2 := \sum_i \lambda_i^2 = 2 \sum_{s \in \lambda} (a(s) + \frac{1}{2}). \quad (\text{E.3})$$

We also need the following integer

$$n(\lambda) := \sum_{s \in \lambda} \ell'(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (i-1)\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{\vee} (\lambda_i^{\vee} - 1) = \sum_{s \in \lambda} \ell_{\lambda}(s) . \quad (\text{E.4})$$

Similarly we have

$$n(\lambda^{\vee}) := \sum_{s \in \lambda} a'(s) = \sum_{s \in \lambda} a_{\lambda}(s) . \quad (\text{E.5})$$

They are related to the integer  $\kappa(\lambda)$  as follows;

$$\kappa(\lambda) := 2 \sum_{s \in \lambda} (j-i) = 2(n(\lambda^{\vee}) - n(\lambda)) = |\lambda| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (\lambda_i - 2i) . \quad (\text{E.6})$$

Here we list their relations ;

---

$ \lambda , \ \lambda\ ^2, n, \kappa$	$\sum_i$	$\sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda}$	$\sum_{s \in \lambda}$
$ \lambda $	$= \sum_i \lambda_i$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} 1$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} 1,$
$\parallel$			
$ \lambda^\vee $	$= \sum_j \lambda_j^\vee.$		
$\frac{1}{2} \ \lambda\ ^2$	$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i^2$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_i - j + \frac{1}{2})$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} (a(s) + \frac{1}{2}).$
$\frac{1}{2} \ \lambda^\vee\ ^2$	$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \lambda_j^{\vee 2}$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_j^\vee - i + \frac{1}{2})$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} (\ell(s) + \frac{1}{2}),$
$n(\lambda)$	$= \sum_i (i-1) \lambda_i$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (i-1)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} \ell'(s),$
$\parallel$			
$\frac{1}{2} (\ \lambda^\vee\ ^2 -  \lambda^\vee )$	$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \lambda_j^\vee (\lambda_j^\vee - 1)$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_j^\vee - i)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} \ell(s).$
$n(\lambda^\vee)$	$= \sum_j (j-1) \lambda_j^\vee$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (j-1)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} a'(s),$
$\parallel$			
$\frac{1}{2} (\ \lambda\ ^2 -  \lambda )$	$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i (\lambda_i - 1)$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_i - j)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} a(s).$
$\frac{1}{2} \kappa(\lambda)$	$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i (\lambda_i + 1 - 2i),$		$\sum_{s \in \lambda} c(s),$
$\parallel$			
$n(\lambda^\vee) - n(\lambda)$	$= \sum_i i (\lambda_i^\vee - \lambda_i)$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (j - i)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} (a'(s) - \ell'(s)),$
$\parallel$			
$\frac{1}{2} (\ \lambda\ ^2 - \ \lambda^\vee\ ^2)$	$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i^{\vee 2})$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j^\vee + i - j)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} (a(s) - \ell(s)).$
$n(\lambda^\vee) + n(\lambda) +  \lambda $	$= \sum_i (i - \frac{1}{2}) (\lambda_i + \lambda_i^\vee)$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (i + j - 1)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} (a'(s) + \ell'(s) + 1),$
$\parallel$			
$\frac{1}{2} (\ \lambda\ ^2 + \ \lambda^\vee\ ^2)$	$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_i^{\vee 2})$	$= \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j^\vee - i - j + 1)$	$= \sum_{s \in \lambda} (a(s) + \ell(s) + 1),$
$\parallel$			
$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i (\lambda_i - 1 + 2i),$			$\sum_{s \in \lambda} h(s).$

---

## References

- [1] N. Nekrasov, “Seiberg-Witten Prepotential from Instanton Counting,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7**, (2003) 831, [arXiv:hep-th/0206161](#).
- [2] M. Aganagic, M. Mariño and C. Vafa, “All Loop Topological String Amplitudes From Chern-Simons Theory,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **247**, (2004) 467, [arXiv:hep-th/0206164](#).
- [3] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Mariño and C. Vafa, “The Topological Vertex,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **254**, (2005) 425, [arXiv:hep-th/0305132](#).
- [4] S. Katz, A. Klemm and C. Vafa, “Geometric Engineering of Quantum Field Theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **497**, (1997) 173, [arXiv:hep-th/9609239](#).
- [5] S. Katz, P. Mayr and C. Vafa, “Mirror Symmetry and Exact Solution of  $4D \mathcal{N} = 2$  Gauge Theories I,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **1**, (1998) 53, [arXiv:hep-th/9706110](#).
- [6] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, “Instanton Counting on Blowup I,” *Invent. Math.* **162**, (2005) 313, [arXiv:math.AG/0306198](#).
- [7] A. Iqbal and A.-K. Kashani-Poor, “Instanton Counting and Chern-Simons Theory,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7**, (2003) 457, [arXiv:hep-th/0212279](#).
- [8] A. Iqbal and A.-K. Kashani-Poor, “ $SU(N)$  Geometries and Topological String Amplitudes,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **10**, (2006) 1, [arXiv:hep-th/0306032](#).
- [9] T. Eguchi and H. Kanno, “Topological Strings and Nekrasov’s Formulas, JHEP **0312**, (2003) 006, [arXiv:hep-th/0310235](#).
- [10] T. Eguchi and H. Kanno, “Geometric transitions, Chern-Simons theory and Veneziano type amplitudes,” *Phys. Lett.* **B585**, (2004) 163, [arXiv:hep-th/0312234](#).
- [11] T. Hollowood, A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, “Matrix Models, Geometric Engineering and Elliptic Genera, JHEP **0803**, (2008) 069, [arXiv:hep-th/0310272](#).
- [12] Jian Zhou, “Curve Counting and Instanton Counting,” [arXiv:math.AG/0311237](#).
- [13] A. Iqbal, C. Kozcaz and C. Vafa, “The Refined Topological Vertex,” [arXiv:hep-th/0701156](#).

- [14] H. Awata and H. Kanno, “Instanton counting, Macdonald function and the moduli space of  $D$ -branes,” *JHEP* **0505**, (2005) 039, [arXiv:hep-th/0502061](#).
- [15] M. Taki, “Refined Topological Vertex and Instanton Counting,” *JHEP* **0803**, (2008) 048, [arXiv:0710.1776\[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin and C. Vafa, “Quantum Calabi-Yau and Classical Crystals,” [arXiv:hep-th/0309208](#).
- [17] A. Iqbal, C. Kozcaz and K. Shabbir, “Refined Topological Vertex, Cylindric Partitions and  $U(1)$  Adjoint Theory,” [arXiv:0803.2260\[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, “M theory and Topological Strings I,” [arXiv:hep-th/9809187](#).
- [19] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, “M theory and Topological Strings II,” [arXiv:hep-th/9812127](#).
- [20] S. Katz, A. Klemm and C. Vafa, “M-theory, topological strings and spinning black holes,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3** (1999) 1445, [arXiv:hep-th/9910181](#).
- [21] Pan Peng, “A Simple Proof of Gopakumar-Vafa Conjecture for Local Toric Calabi-Yau Manifolds,” [arXiv:math.AG/0410540](#).
- [22] Y. Konishi, “Pole Structure of Topological String Free Energy,” *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **42** (2006), 173, [arXiv:math.AG/0411357](#).
- [23] Y. Konishi, “Integrality of Gopakumar-Vafa Invariants of Local Toric Calabi-Yau Threefolds,” *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **42** (2006), 605, [arXiv:math.AG/0504188](#).
- [24] H. Awata, H. Kubo, S. Odake and J. Shiraishi, “Quantum  $W_N$  Algebras and Macdonald Polynomials,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **179**, (1996) 401.
- [25] S. Gukov, A. Schwarz and C. Vafa, “Khovanov-Rozansky Homology and Topological Strings,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **74** (2005) 53, [arXiv:hep-th/0412243](#).
- [26] S. Gukov, A. Iqbal, C. Kozcaz and C. Vafa, “Link Homologies and the Refined Topological Vertex,” [arXiv:0705.1368\[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, “Instanton Counting on Blowup II.  $K$ -theoretic Partition Function,” [arXiv:math.AG/0505553](#).



- [28] L. Göttsche, H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, “ $K$ -theoretic Donaldson Invariants via Instanton Counting,” [arXiv:math.AG/0611945](#).
- [29] Y. Tachikawa, “Five-dimensional Chern-Simons terms and Nekrasov’s instanton counting, JHEP **0402** (2004) 050, [arXiv:hep-th/0401184](#).
- [30] Nikita Nekrasov and Andrei Okounkov, “Seiberg-Witten Theory and Random Partitions,” *The unity of mathematics*, Progr. Math. **244**, (2006) 525, [arXiv:hep-th/0306238](#).
- [31] A. Iqbal and A.-K. Kashani-Poor, “The Vertex on a Strip,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **10**, (2006) 317, [arXiv:hep-th/0410174](#).
- [32] H. Awata, S. Odake and J. Shiraishi, “Integral Representations of the Macdonald Symmetric Polynomials”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996) 647-666.
- [33] Y. Konishi and S. Minabe, “Flop Invariance of the Topological Vertex,” *Internat. J. Math.* **19** (2008), 27, [arXiv:math.AG/0601352](#).
- [34] Jun Li, Kefeng Liu and Jian Zhou, “Topological String Partition Functions as Equivariant Indices,” [arXiv:math.AG/0412089](#).
- [35] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [36] Ivan Cherednik, “Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald conjectures,” *Annals of Math.* **141** (1995), 191–216.
- [37] Anatol N. Kirillov and Masatoshi Noumi, “Affine Hecke algebras and raising operators for Macdonald polynomials,” [arXiv:q-alg/9605004](#).
- [38] M. Taki “Flop Invariance of Refined Topological Vertex and Link Homologies,” [arXiv:\(to appear\)](#).