Entropy Function and AdS_2/CFT_1 Correspondence

Ashoke Sen

Harish-Chandra Research Institute Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad 211019, INDIA

E-mail: sen@mri.ernet.in, ashokesen1999@gmail.com

Abstract

Wald's formula for black hole entropy, applied to extremal black holes, leads to the entropy function formalism. We manipulate the entropy computed this way to express it as the logarithm of the ground state degeneracy of a dual quantum mechanical system. This provides a natural definition of the extremal black hole entropy in the full quantum theory. Our analysis also clarifies the relationship between the entropy function formalism and the Euclidean action formalism.

Wald's formula $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ $[1, 2, 3, 4]$ gives an expression for the entropy of a black hole in terms of the field configurations near the horizon in any general coordinate invariant theory of gravity including those with higher derivative terms in the action. For an extremal black hole whose near horizon geometry has an AdS_2 factor, this formula may be encoded in the entropy function formlism that reduces the problem of computing the entropy into a purely algebraic problem for spherically symmetric black holes [\[5\]](#page-8-4) and a problem involving solution of simple differential equations for rotating black holes [\[6\]](#page-8-5) (see [\[7\]](#page-8-6) for a review and other references).

The original Wald formula was derived from classical considerations. For various reasons one would like to find a generalization of this formula in the quantum theory. In fact once we begin including higher derivative corrections to the action in string theory, the notion of classical action becomes ambiguous since in different equivalent descriptions of the theory related by duality the classical actions differ. Thus black hole entropy computed using the classical action does not respect the duality symmetries of the theory. Various approaches to addressing this problem have been suggested, e.g. using the one particle irreducible $(1PI)$ effective action instead of the classical action in computing the entropy $[8, 9, 10, 11, 7]$ $[8, 9, 10, 11, 7]$ $[8, 9, 10, 11, 7]$ $[8, 9, 10, 11, 7]$ $[8, 9, 10, 11, 7]$, or, in the special case of $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ supersymmetric string theories in four dimensions, the OSV formula [\[12,](#page-8-11) [13,](#page-9-0) [14,](#page-9-1) [15\]](#page-9-2).

We shall follow a different approach to this problem. Our goal will be to begin with the expression for the entropy computed in the classical theory, and relate it via AdS/CFT correspondence [\[16,](#page-9-3)[17,](#page-9-4)[18\]](#page-9-5) to the logarithm of the ground state degenearcy in a dual quantum mechanics living on the boundary of AdS_2 . The latter can then be regarded as the definition of the extremal black hole entropy in the full quantum theory. Related discussion on AdS_2/CFT_1 correspondence can be found in [\[19,](#page-9-6) [20,](#page-9-7) [21,](#page-9-8) [22,](#page-9-9) [23,](#page-9-10) [24,](#page-9-11) [25,](#page-9-12) [26,](#page-10-0) [27,](#page-10-1) [28\]](#page-10-2).

Before describing the details of our analysis, it will be prudent to summarize its physical content. The original Wald's formula for black hole entropy holds for non-extremal black holes, and in applying this result to extremal black holes we must define the entropy of an extremal black hole as a limit of the entropy of a non-extremal black hole. In particular the entropy function formalism computes the entropy of an extremal black hole only in this sense. This can be given an alternate interpretation as follows. If we consider a black hole that is close to being extremal, we expect its near horizon geometry to develop a long AdS_2 throat, but unlike in the case of an extremal black hole where the throat is infinitely long, the throat for a non-extremal black hole will be capped by a regular horizon. Neverheless since the throat can be made as long as we want by going close to extremality, we expect that we should be able to decouple the asymptotic region from the AdS_2 throat and view the near horizon configuration as a black hole solution in AdS_2 [\[21,](#page-9-8) [22,](#page-9-9) [29,](#page-10-3) [30,](#page-10-4) [26\]](#page-10-0). This solution, known as the Jackiw-Teitelboim black hole [\[31,](#page-10-5)[32,](#page-10-6)[33\]](#page-10-7), has been displayed explicitly in eq.[\(9\)](#page-4-0). The entropy computed by the entropy function method can be interpreted as the entropy of this black hole solution in AdS_2 . We find that this agrees with the entropy computed using the Euclidean action approach [\[34\]](#page-10-8), in agreement with the general result that for a regular black hole solution the Wald entropy and the one computed using the Euclidean action formalism coincide [\[1,](#page-8-0) [35\]](#page-10-9). The Euclidean action on the other hand can be related to the partition function of the quantum mechanics living on the boundary of AdS_2 via the AdS/CFT correspondence. By studying in detail the temperature dependence of the bulk and the boundary side of the computation one finds that the Wald entropy can be interpreted as the logarithm of the ground state degeneracy of this dual quantum mechanics. The latter can then be taken as the definition of the entropy of an extremal black hole even in the full quantum theory.

We begin with a brief review of the entropy function formalism in a classical theory of gravity.^{[1](#page-2-0)} Extremal black holes will be defined to be those with an AdS_2 factor in the near horizon geometry [\[36,](#page-10-10)[37\]](#page-10-11). More precisely the near horizon geometry of an extremal black hole will have the structure of a compact space K , containing the compact directions of the theory and also the angular coordinates of space-time, fibered over an AdS_2 space labelled by the time coordinate t and the radial variable r . It will be useful to regard the theory in this background as a two dimensional theory obtained as a result of compactifying the fundamental theory on K [\[6\]](#page-8-5). We can then describe the dynamics in the near horizon geometry of the black hole by a theory of gravity coupled to a set of abelian gauge fields $A_{\mu}^{(I)}$ and a set of neutral scalar fields $\{\phi_s\}$, integrating out all other fields. Let \mathcal{L}_0 be the classical Lagrangian density and Γ_0 be the classical action describing the dynamics of these massless fields:

$$
\Gamma_0[g_{\mu\nu}, \{A_\mu^{(I)}\}, \{\phi_s\}] = \int d^2x \sqrt{-\det g} \mathcal{L}_0 \,. \tag{1}
$$

In this theory we consider a general field configuration consistent with the $SO(2, 1)$ isometry

¹For a generic black hole often the string coupling constant at the horizon is fixed by the attractor mechanism and cannot be freely adjusted. We are implicitly assuming that we work with a black hole for which the string coupling constant at the horizon can be taken to be small either because it is not fixed or by adjusting some charges. Only in such cases the classical approximation makes sense. However once we arrive at a statistical interpretation of the classical Wald entropy using this approximation, we shall use the statistical entropy as the definition of Wald entropy in the full quantum theory.

of AdS_2 . This is of the form:

$$
ds^{2} = v\left(-r^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}}\right), \qquad \phi_{s} = u_{s}, \qquad F_{rt}^{(I)} = e^{I}, \qquad (2)
$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^{(I)} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{(I)} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{(I)}$ and v , $\{u_s\}$ and $\{e^{I}\}\$ are constants labelling the background. Note that there are no parameters explicitly labelling the magnetic charges; they are encoded in the components of the gauge field strengths along the compact directions and appear as discrete parameters labelling the two dimensional theory. We now define:

$$
f(\vec{u}, v, \vec{e}) \equiv \sqrt{-\det g} \,\mathcal{L}_0 = v \,\mathcal{L}_0 \tag{3}
$$

evaluated in the background [\(2\)](#page-3-0). Then the black hole entropy is given by

$$
S_{BH}(\vec{q}) = 2\pi \left(e^I q_I - f(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{e}) \right) \tag{4}
$$

at

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_s} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial e^I} = q_I. \tag{5}
$$

The first two sets of equations in [\(5\)](#page-3-1) follow from equations of motion whereas the last equation follows from the definition of electric charge [\[5\]](#page-8-4).

Let us now make an analytic continuation $t \to -i\tau$ to express [\(2\)](#page-3-0) as a solution in Euclidean space-time. We get

$$
ds^{2} = v \left(r^{2} d\tau^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}} \right), \qquad \phi_{s} = u_{s}, \qquad F_{r\tau}^{(I)} = -i e^{I}. \tag{6}
$$

At the next step we introduce new coordinates (η, θ) through the following series of transformations:

$$
z = \tau + i r^{-1}, \quad w = (1 + iz)/(1 - iz), \quad \tanh(\eta/2)e^{i\theta} = w.
$$
 (7)

The complex coordinate $z \equiv \tau + i r^{-1}$ describes the Euclidean AdS_2 as an upper half plane, and the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ isometries of AdS_2 act on z as fractonal linear transformations. In the w coordinate system the upper half plane is mapped into the interior of a unit disk. Finally $(\tanh \frac{1}{2}\eta, \theta)$ are the usual polar coordinates on the unit disk in the w-plane. In the (η, θ) coordinates the solution [\(6\)](#page-3-2) appears as

$$
ds^{2} \equiv g_{\mu\nu}^{E} dy^{\mu} dy^{\nu} = v \left(d\eta^{2} + \sinh^{2} \eta d\theta^{2} \right) \qquad \phi_{s} = u_{s}, \qquad F_{\theta\eta}^{(I)} = i e^{I} \sinh \eta \,. \tag{8}
$$

We now note that under the analytic continuation $\theta \to i\tilde{t}$ and coordinate change $\tilde{r} = \cosh \eta$, [\(8\)](#page-3-3) becomes

$$
ds^{2} = v \left[-(\tilde{r}^{2} - 1)d\tilde{t}^{2} + (\tilde{r}^{2} - 1)^{-1}d\tilde{r}^{2} \right], \qquad \phi_{s} = u_{s}, \qquad F_{\tilde{r}\tilde{t}}^{(I)} = e^{I}. \tag{9}
$$

This can be thought of as a black hole solution in AdS_2 space with regular horizons at $\tilde{r} = \pm 1$ [\[31,](#page-10-5)[32,](#page-10-6)[33\]](#page-10-7). This is in fact the solution that we get if we take a black hole close to extremality and examine its throat region [\[21,](#page-9-8) [22\]](#page-9-9). To see this we can take a Reissner-Nordstrom metric

$$
ds^{2} = -(1 - a/\rho)(1 - b/\rho)d\tau^{2} + \frac{d\rho^{2}}{(1 - a/\rho)(1 - b/\rho)} + \rho^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}),
$$
 (10)

and consider the limit $\lambda \to 0$ at fixed $(\tilde{r}, \tilde{t}, a)$ with

$$
\rho = \lambda \widetilde{r} + \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad b = a - 2\lambda, \quad \tau = a^2 \widetilde{t}/\lambda. \tag{11}
$$

In this limit the (\tilde{r}, \tilde{t}) part of the metric [\(10\)](#page-4-1) reduces to [\(9\)](#page-4-0) with $v = a^2$. In contrast if we had taken the extremal limit $a = b$ first and then taken the near horizon limit, we would have arrived at the metric given in [\(2\)](#page-3-0) [\[7\]](#page-8-6).

We now return to our analysis of the solution (8) which can be regarded as the Euclidean continuation of the black hole solution (9) . The boundary of the unit disk in the w plane is at $\eta = \infty$, but we shall regulate the volume of AdS_2 by putting an upper cut-off η_{max} on η . Thus we take (η, θ) to lie in the range

$$
0 \le \eta \le \eta_{max}, \quad 0 \le \theta < 2\pi, \quad (\eta, \theta) \equiv (\eta, \theta + 2\pi). \tag{12}
$$

Let us evaluate the classical action in this background. First note that the analytic continuation $t \to -i\tau$ gives an extra factor of $-i$ and replaces $\sqrt{-\det g}$ by $\sqrt{\det g}$. Since \mathcal{L}_0 is a scalar it remains unchanged under a coordinate transformation, i.e. the value of \mathcal{L}_0 evaluated in the background [\(8\)](#page-3-3) is the same as that evaluated in the background [\(2\)](#page-3-0). According to [\(3\)](#page-3-4), [\(4\)](#page-3-5) this is given by $(e^{I}q_{I} - (2\pi)^{-1}S_{BH}(\vec{q}))/v$. $\sqrt{\det g} d^{2}x$ is also invariant under a general coordinate transformation. Thus on-shell the action is given by

$$
\Gamma_0 = -i \int d\eta d\theta \sqrt{\det g^E} \mathcal{L}_0 = -2\pi i v \int_0^{\eta_{max}} d\eta \sinh \eta \mathcal{L}_0
$$

= $-2\pi i (\cosh \eta_{max} - 1) f = i (\cosh \eta_{max} - 1) (S_{BH}(\vec{q}) - 2\pi e^I q_I).$ (13)

This is however not the complete contribution to Γ_0 ; we can get additional contribution from the boundary terms at $\eta = \eta_{max}$. To determine the form of the boundary contribution, we make a change of coordinates

$$
\widetilde{\eta} = \eta_{max} - \eta, \qquad \widetilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\eta_{max}} \theta.
$$
\n(14)

In these coordinates $\tilde{\theta}$ labelling the coordinate along the boundary has period

$$
\beta = \pi \, e^{\eta_{max}} \,. \tag{15}
$$

Furthermore the metric and the gauge field strengths near the boundary take the form

$$
ds^{2} = v \left[d\widetilde{\eta}^{2} + \left(e^{-\widetilde{\eta}} - e^{\widetilde{\eta} - 2\eta_{max}} \right)^{2} d\widetilde{\theta}^{2} \right] = v \left[d\widetilde{\eta}^{2} + e^{-2\widetilde{\eta}} d\widetilde{\theta}^{2} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left(\beta^{-2} \right) ,
$$

\n
$$
F_{\widetilde{\eta} \widetilde{\theta}}^{(I)} = i e^{I} \left(e^{-\widetilde{\eta}} - e^{\widetilde{\eta} - 2\eta_{max}} \right) = i e^{I} e^{-\widetilde{\eta}} + \mathcal{O} \left(\beta^{-2} \right) .
$$
\n(16)

Now the boundary term in the action is given by some local expression involving the various fields integrated along the boundary. Due to translation symmetry along $\tilde{\theta}$, the integration along the boundary gives a factor of β multiplying the integrand. On the other hand the form of the solution given in [\(16\)](#page-5-0) shows that the integrand is given by a β -independent term plus a contribution of order β^{-2} . Thus up to correction terms of order β^{-1} , the boundary contribution must be proportional to the length β of the boundary circle.^{[2](#page-5-1)} Together with [\(13\)](#page-4-2) this gives

$$
\Gamma_0 = -i \left[S_{BH}(\vec{q}) - 2\pi e^I q_I + \beta K(\vec{q}) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1}) \right] , \qquad (17)
$$

for some constant $K(\vec{q})$. Conventionally the terms linear in β are removed by adjusting the boundary terms [\[38\]](#page-10-12), but we shall not need to worry about them. One point to note is that the β independent term in $i\Gamma_0$ is precisely $S_{BH}(\vec{q}) - 2\pi q_I e^I$ without any additional normalization factor; this will be important in what follows.

Let us compare this with the Euclidean action formalism [\[34\]](#page-10-8). According to this the action Γ_0 is related to the energy E, entropy S_{BH} , charges q_I and the chemical potential $\mu^I \equiv$ $i \oint d\widetilde{\theta} A_{\widetilde{\theta}}^{(I)}$ $\Big|_{\eta_{max}}$ via the relations:^{[3](#page-5-2)}

$$
i\Gamma_0 = S_{BH} - \beta E + \mu^I q_I. \tag{18}
$$

Now for the classical background fields [\(8\)](#page-3-3) we have

$$
\oint d\tilde{\theta} A_{\tilde{\theta}}^{(I)} \Big|_{\eta_{max}} = -\int_{\eta \le \eta_{max}} d\eta \, d\theta \, F_{\theta\eta}^{(I)} = -2\pi i \, e^I \left(\cosh \eta_{max} - 1 \right) = -ie^I \left(\beta - 2\pi + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1}) \right) \,. \tag{19}
$$

²This line of argument is similar to the one used in [\[38\]](#page-10-12) in the context of AdS_3 space.

³Note that our definition [\(5\)](#page-3-1) of the electric charge is such that a point charge q_I will induce a term $q_I \int A_{\mu}^{(I)} dx^{\mu}$ in the action.

This gives

$$
i\Gamma_0 = S_{BH}(\vec{q}) - 2\pi e^I q_I - \beta \left(E - e^I q_I \right). \tag{20}
$$

This agrees with [\(17\)](#page-5-3) in the $\beta \to \infty$ limit for the choice

$$
E(\vec{q}) = -K(\vec{q}) + e^I q_I. \qquad (21)
$$

This is in accordance with the general result that for a regular black hole the Wald entropy and the one computed using Euclidean action formalism agree [\[1,](#page-8-0) [35\]](#page-10-9). Earlier exploration of the direct relation between the entropy function formalism and the Euclidean action formalism can be found in $[39, 40]$ $[39, 40]$.

We now return to our main goal, which is to give an interpretation of the entropy S_{BH} appearing in [\(17\)](#page-5-3) in terms of an appropriate conformal quantum mechanics living at the boundary of AdS_2 . For this we recall that $e^{i\Gamma_0}$ is the classical partition function of the theory on AdS_2 ^{[4](#page-6-0)} Since we are working in the approximation where the theory in the bulk is treated classically, one would expect this to be the partition function of the dual quantum mechanics living at the boundary $\eta = \eta_{max}$. There is however one additional point we should remember. The usual rules of AdS/CFT correspondence [\[17,](#page-9-4)[18\]](#page-9-5) tells us that for every gauge field $A_{\mu}^{(I)}$ in the bulk we have a conserved charge Q_I in the boundary theory. Furthermore, in the presence of a non-zero $A_{\mu}^{(I)}$ field at the boundary, $e^{i\Gamma_0}$, instead of calculating the partition function, is actually expected to calculate the expectation value of $e^{iQ_I \oint d\tilde{\theta} A_{\tilde{\theta}}^{(I)}}$. Now from [\(19\)](#page-5-4) we have

$$
e^{iQ_I \oint d\tilde{\theta} A_{\tilde{\theta}}^{(I)}} = e^{Q_I e^I (\beta - 2\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1})}.
$$
\n(22)

Thus if H denotes the Hamiltonian generating θ translation^{[6](#page-6-2)} in the dual quantum mechanics living at $\eta = \eta_{max}$ then, according to AdS/CFT correspondence,^{[7](#page-6-3)}

$$
e^{i\Gamma_0} = Tr\left(e^{-\beta H + (\beta - 2\pi)Q_I e^I + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1})}\right) = Tr\left(e^{-\beta H' - 2\pi Q_I e^I + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1})}\right), \qquad H' \equiv H - e^I Q_I. \tag{23}
$$

⁴Note that we have used the normalization and sign conventions appropriate for Lorentzian signature spacetime. However the explicit $-i$ factor in the expression [\(13\)](#page-4-2) for Γ_0 reflects that we are carrying out the path integral after analytic continuation to Euclidean signature space-time.

⁵Note that a change in \vec{e} not only induces a change in the boundary value of $\{A_{\mu}^{(I)}\}$, but also induces a change in the values of other fields via the attractor mechanism. The effect of all these other changes can be included in the Hamiltonian H of the boundary theory, thereby making H dependent on \vec{e} . Gauge fields are special, since besides the $-i\beta e^I$ term in [\(19\)](#page-5-4) which, being proportional to β , can be included as a correction to H, there is a β independent contribution $2\pi i e^I$. This cannot be regarded as a β independent correction to H.

⁶Since $\tilde{\theta}$ translation induces a rotation about the origin in the w-plane, H can be identified with $(L_{-1} + L_1)$ up to an additive constant and a proportionality factor.

⁷Conventionally one interprets the location of the boundary as providing an ultraviolet cut-off of the boundary theory. Here we shall find it more convenient to regard β as providing an infrared cut-off, keeping the ultraviolet cut-off fixed.

In the $\beta \to \infty$ limit the right hand side gets its dominant contribution from the ground states of H'. If $d(\vec{q})$ denotes the degeneracy of ground states carrying Q_I eigenvalue q_I and E' denotes the ground state H' eigenvalue, then in this limit eq.[\(23\)](#page-6-4) takes the form

$$
e^{i\Gamma_0} = e^{-\beta E'} \sum_{\vec{q}} d(\vec{q}) e^{-2\pi q_I e^I}.
$$
 (24)

In the semiclassical limit when $d(\vec{q})$ is large, the dominant contribution to the sum comes from the maximum of the summand as a function of \vec{q} . This gives

$$
e^I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \ln d(\vec{q})}{\partial q^I}, \qquad i\Gamma_0 = -\beta E' + \ln d(\vec{q}) - 2\pi q_I e^I. \tag{25}
$$

Comparing this with [\(17\)](#page-5-3), or equivalently [\(20\)](#page-6-5), and noting that $E' = E - e^{I} q_{I}$ as a consequence of [\(23\)](#page-6-4), we see that the microscopic and the macroscopic results agree if we identify

$$
e^{S_{BH}(\vec{q})} = d(\vec{q})\,. \tag{26}
$$

Eq.[\(26\)](#page-7-0) gives a statistical interpretation of the Wald entropy $S_{BH}(\vec{q})$ computed using the classical entropy function. It is then natural to associate the entropy of the extremal black hole in the full quantum theory to ln $d(\vec{q})$. Using the rules of AdS/CFT correspondence we can try to reexpress this as an appropriate computation in the bulk theory. Thus for example if $Z(\beta, \vec{e})$ denotes the full quantum partition function for a fixed asymptotic value of $\oint d\tilde{\theta} A_{\tilde{\theta}}^{(I)}$ given by [\(19\)](#page-5-4), then the quantum version of [\(24\)](#page-7-1) in the $\beta \to \infty$ limit is

$$
Z(\beta, \vec{e}) = e^{-\beta E'} \sum_{\vec{q}} d(\vec{q}) e^{-2\pi q_I e^I} . \qquad (27)
$$

Note that since the quantum mechanics living on the boundary can depend on the background electric field, E' and $d(\vec{q})$ could also depend on \vec{e} .

Finally we would like to point out that for primitive charge vectors the quantum entropy defined this way refers strictly to the entropy associated with single centered black holes and will not capture the entropies of multi-centered black holes or any other configuration with the same total charge. This in particular will imply that this entropy will not suffer from wall crossing or the problems associated with entropy enigma [\[15\]](#page-9-2).

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Justin David, Rajesh Gopakumar and Dileep Jatkar for useful discussions. I would also like to thank the people of India for their generous support to research in string theory.

References

- [1] R. M. Wald, "Black hole entropy in the Noether charge," Phys. Rev. D 48, 3427 (1993) [\[arXiv:gr-qc/9307038\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9307038).
- [2] T. Jacobson, G. Kang and R. C. Myers, "On Black Hole Entropy," Phys. Rev. D 49, 6587 (1994) [\[arXiv:gr-qc/9312023\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9312023).
- [3] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, "Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy," Phys. Rev. D 50, 846 (1994) [\[arXiv:gr-qc/9403028\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9403028).
- [4] T. Jacobson, G. Kang and R. C. Myers, "Black hole entropy in higher curvature gravity," [arXiv:gr-qc/9502009.](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9502009)
- [5] A. Sen, "Black hole entropy function and the attractor mechanism in higher derivative gravity," JHEP 0509, 038 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0506177\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0506177).
- [6] D. Astefanesei, K. Goldstein, R. P. Jena, A. Sen and S. P. Trivedi, "Rotating attractors," JHEP 0610, 058 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0606244\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0606244).
- [7] A. Sen, "Black Hole Entropy Function, Attractors and Precision Counting of Microstates," [arXiv:0708.1270](http://arxiv.org/abs/0708.1270) [hep-th].
- [8] G. L. Cardoso, B. de Wit, J. Kappeli and T. Mohaupt, "Asymptotic degeneracy of dyonic $N = 4$ string states and black hole entropy," JHEP 0412, 075 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0412287\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0412287).
- [9] A. Sen, "Entropy function for heterotic black holes," JHEP 0603, 008 (2006) $\arXiv:hep-th/0508042$.
- [10] D. P. Jatkar and A. Sen, "Dyon spectrum in CHL models," JHEP 0604, 018 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0510147\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510147).
- [11] J. R. David, D. P. Jatkar and A. Sen, "Dyon spectrum in generic N = 4 supersymmetric $Z(N)$ orbifolds," [arXiv:hep-th/0609109.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0609109)
- [12] H. Ooguri, A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Black hole attractors and the topological string," [arXiv:hep-th/0405146.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0405146)
- [13] D. Shih and X. Yin, "Exact black hole degeneracies and the topological string," [arXiv:hep-th/0508174.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0508174)
- [14] G. L. Cardoso, B. de Wit, J. Kappeli and T. Mohaupt, "Black hole partition functions and duality," [arXiv:hep-th/0601108.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0601108)
- [15] F. Denef and G. W. Moore, "Split states, entropy enigmas, holes and halos," [arXiv:hep-th/0702146.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0702146)
- [16] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38, 1113 (1999)] [\[arXiv:hep-th/9711200\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711200).
- [17] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory," Phys. Lett. B 428 , 105 (1998) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9802109\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9802109).
- [18] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998) $\arXiv:hep-th/9802150$.
- [19] A. Strominger, "AdS(2) quantum gravity and string theory," JHEP 9901, 007 (1999) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9809027\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9809027).
- [20] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, "Entropy of 2D black holes from counting microstates," Phys. Rev. D 59, 081501 (1999) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9810251\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9810251).
- [21] J. M. Maldacena, J. Michelson and A. Strominger, "Anti-de Sitter fragmentation," JHEP 9902, 011 (1999) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9812073\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9812073).
- [22] M. Spradlin and A. Strominger, "Vacuum states for AdS(2) black holes," JHEP 9911, 021 (1999) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9904143\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9904143).
- [23] J. Navarro-Salas and P. Navarro, "AdS(2)/CFT(1) correspondence and near-extremal black hole entropy," Nucl. Phys. B 579, 250 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-th/9910076\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9910076).
- [24] M. Caldarelli, G. Catelani and L. Vanzo, "Action, Hamiltonian and CFT for 2D black holes," JHEP **0010**, 005 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0008058\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0008058).
- [25] M. Cadoni, P. Carta, D. Klemm and S. Mignemi, "AdS(2) gravity as conformally invariant mechanical system," Phys. Rev. D 63, 125021 (2001) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0009185\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0009185).
- [26] A. Giveon and A. Sever, "Strings in a 2-d extremal black hole," JHEP 0502 (2005) 065 [\[arXiv:hep-th/0412294\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0412294).
- [27] T. Azeyanagi, T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, "Near Extremal Black Hole Entropy as Entanglement Entropy via AdS2/CFT1," Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 064005 [\[arXiv:0710.2956](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.2956) $\vert \text{hep-th} \vert$.
- [28] T. Hartman and A. Strominger, "Central Charge for AdS_2 Quantum Gravity," [arXiv:0803.3621](http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.3621) [hep-th].
- [29] A. J. M. Medved, "Reissner-Nordstroem near extremality from a Jackiw-Teitelboim perspective," [arXiv:hep-th/0111091.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0111091)
- [30] A. J. M. Medved, "Near-extremal spherically symmetric black holes in arbitrarydimensional spacetimes," [arXiv:hep-th/0112056.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0112056)
- [31] C. Teitelboim, "The Hamiltonian Structure Of Two-Dimensional Space-Time And Its Relation With The Conformal Anomaly," In *Christensen, S.m. (Ed.): Quantum Theory Of Gravity*, 327-344.
- [32] R. Jackiw, "Liouville Field Theory: A Two-Dimensional Model For Gravity?," In *Christensen, S.m. (Ed.): Quantum Theory Of Gravity*, 403-420
- [33] R. Jackiw, "Lower Dimensional Gravity," Nucl. Phys. B 252, 343 (1985).
- [34] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, "Action Integrals And Partition Functions In Quantum Gravity," Phys. Rev. D 15, 2752 (1977).
- [35] S. Dutta and R. Gopakumar, "On Euclidean and noetherian entropies in AdS space," Phys. Rev. D 74, 044007 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0604070\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0604070).
- [36] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and H. S. Reall, "Near-horizon symmetries of extremal black holes," Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 4169 (2007) [\[arXiv:0705.4214](http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.4214) [hep-th]].
- [37] P. Figueras, H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and M. Rangamani, "Extremal vacuum black holes in higher dimensions," [arXiv:0803.2998](http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.2998) [hep-th].
- [38] P. Kraus and F. Larsen, "Microscopic black hole entropy in theories with higher derivatives," [arXiv:hep-th/0506176.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0506176)
- [39] N. V. Suryanarayana and M. C. Wapler, "Charges from Attractors," Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 5047 (2007) [\[arXiv:0704.0955](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.0955) [hep-th]].
- [40] O. J. C. Dias and P. J. Silva, "Euclidean analysis of the entropy functional formalism," Phys. Rev. D 77, 084011 (2008) [\[arXiv:0704.1405](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.1405) [hep-th]].