

On a variance for twins of k -free numbers in arithmetic progressions *

Zaizhao MENG

In this paper, we give a new upper bound of Barban-Davenport-Halberstam type for twins of k -free numbers in arithmetic progressions.

1 Introduction

In recent years, Brüdern and others [1,2] have made a breakthrough in the circle method. They give successful treatment, through the circle method, of binary additive problems involving k -free numbers. Their results depend upon the variance for k -free numbers (or twins of k -free numbers) in arithmetic progressions.

In this paper, we give new results on the variance for twins of k -free numbers in arithmetic progressions. Such result is analogous to the Barban-Davenport-Halberstam theorem for the primes in arithmetic progressions.

Let $\mu_k(n)$ be the characteristic function of the k -free numbers,

$$\mu_k(n) = \sum_{d^k|n} \mu(d), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function.

Let

$$A_k(x; q, a) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \quad (1.2)$$

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N25.

$$g(q, a) = \sum_{\substack{u, v=1 \\ (u^k, q) | a, (v^k, q) | a+1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k} (q, u^k v^k) \quad (1.3)$$

By Lemma 3.1 in [2], we have

$$A_k(x; q, a) = q^{-1} g(q, a) x + O(x^{\frac{2}{k+1} + \varepsilon}).$$

We consider the variance

$$Y_k(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q |A_k(x; q, a) - q^{-1} g(q, a) x|^2. \quad (1.4)$$

In [2], Brüdern, Perelli and Wooley obtained

$$Y_k(x, Q) \ll x^{\frac{2}{k} + \varepsilon} Q^{2 - \frac{2}{k}} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1} + \varepsilon}, \quad 1 < Q \leq x. \quad (1.5)$$

In this paper, we obtain the following

THEOREM. Suppose that $1 < Q \leq x$ and that k is an integer with $k \geq 2$. Then

$$Y_k(x, Q) \ll x^{\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon} Q^{2 - \frac{1}{k} - \varepsilon} + x^{1 + \frac{2}{k}} \log Q + x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + \varepsilon}.$$

Actually, the first term is $Q^2 (\frac{x}{Q})^{\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon}$. For $k > 2$, this result is superior to (1.5) when $Q \gg x^{\frac{3}{4}}$.

We have

$$Y_k(x, Q) = S_1(x, Q) - 2xS_2(x, Q) + x^2S_3(x, Q) \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$S_1(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q A_k^2(x; q, a), \quad (1.7)$$

$$S_2(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q A_k(x; q, a) q^{-1} g(q, a), \quad (1.8)$$

$$S_3(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q q^{-2} g(q, a)^2. \quad (1.9)$$

We notice that the function $g(q, a)$ does not depend only on q and (a, q) , unlike most sequences investigated before.

In section 2-3, we discuss $S_2(x, Q)$ and $S_3(x, Q)$, these depend on the solutions of the corresponding congruences. In section 4-7, we discuss $S_1(x, Q)$ by the Hardy-Littlewood method on the line of Vaughan[9]. In section 8, we discuss the singular series $\mathfrak{S}(n)$. In section 9-10, we use the Hurwitz Zeta function to discuss the generating function of $\mathfrak{S}(uv)$. In section 11, we use a trick to avoid the difficulty of calculating some constants and then we obtain the Theorem.

Notation: Let $k > 1$ denote a positive integer. Throughout, ε is a sufficiently small positive number, the implicit constants in Vinogradov's notation \ll , and in Landau's O-notation, will depend at most on k, ε unless it is pointed out depend upon the corresponding parameters. $n \equiv a \pmod{q}$ may be written as $n \equiv a(q)$. The greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of integers a, b are denoted by (a, b) and $[a, b]$ respectively; $\mu(n)$ denotes the Möbius function and $\tau(n)$ denotes the divisor function; $[w]$ denotes the integer part of w and $e(\alpha) = \exp(2\pi i\alpha)$; $\sum_{a=1}^q '$ means $(a, q) = 1$. The letter p denotes a prime number, and write $p^t \parallel n$ when $p^t \mid n$ but $p^{t+1} \nmid n$. Let x denote a sufficiently large real number and Q be a positive real number with $1 < Q \leq x$.

2 The formula for $S_2(x, Q)$

For fixed positive integers u, v, q, r, s , let

$$J_1 = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (u^k, q) \mid a, (v^k, q) \mid a+1}}^q \sum_{\substack{n \leq x, n \equiv a \pmod{q} \\ r^k \mid n, s^k \mid n+1}} 1, \quad s_0 = \left(\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)} \right). \quad (2.1)$$

LEMMA 2.1. We have

$$J_1 = \frac{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0}{r^k s^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)} \left(s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} \right) x + O(1),$$

provided that

$$\left(\frac{(u^k, q) (r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, (v^k, q) \right) = \left(\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, s^k \right) = (r, s) = (q, u, v) = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We use an idea of [4]. Let $n = r^k b$, $a = (u^k, q)c$, then

$$J_1 = \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ (v^k, q) | (u^k, q)c+1}}^{q(u^k, q)^{-1}} \sum_{\substack{r^k b \leq x \\ r^k b \equiv (u^k, q)c \pmod{q}, s^k | r^k b + 1}} 1, \quad (r, s) = (q, u, v) = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Now,

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)} b \equiv \frac{(u^k, q)c}{(r^k, q)} \pmod{\frac{q}{(r^k, q)}}, \quad (r^k, q) | (u^k, q)c.$$

Since $\frac{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} = [(u^k, q), (r^k, q)] | q$, we write $c = \frac{(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} d$, then

$$J_1 = \#\{1 \leq b \leq xr^{-k}, 1 \leq d \leq \frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)} : (v^k, q) | (u^k, q) \frac{(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} d + 1,$$

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)} b \equiv \frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, q)} \frac{(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} d \pmod{\frac{q}{(r^k, q)}}, s^k | r^k b + 1.\}$$

We have

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)} b \equiv \frac{(u^k, q)d}{(r^k, u^k, q)} \pmod{\frac{q}{(r^k, q)}},$$

hence

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)} \frac{(r^k, u^k, q)}{(u^k, q)} b \equiv d \pmod{\frac{q}{(r^k, q)} \frac{(r^k, u^k, q)}{(u^k, q)}},$$

and

$$\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} | \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)} b. \quad (2.4)$$

Write $b = \frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)_{s_0}} b_1$, then

$$J_1 = \#\{1 \leq \frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)_{s_0}} b_1 \leq xr^{-k}, 1 \leq d \leq \frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)} : (v^k, q) | (u^k, q) \frac{(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} d + 1,$$

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)_{s_0}} b_1 \equiv d \pmod{\frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(r^k, q)(u^k, q)}}, s^k | r^k \frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)_{s_0}} b_1 + 1.\}$$

We have

$$\frac{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} d \equiv -1 \pmod{(v^k, q)}, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, (v^k, q) \right) = 1, \quad (2.6)$$

$$(v^k, q) | \frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\left(\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)s_0}, s^k\right) = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

We write

$$d = d_1 + m(v^k, q), \quad 1 \leq d_1 \leq (v^k, q), \quad 0 \leq m < \frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(v^k, q)(u^k, q)(r^k, q)},$$

then

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}b_1 \equiv d_1 + m(v^k, q) \pmod{\frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(r^k, q)(u^k, q)}} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}b_1 \equiv d_1 \pmod{(v^k, q)}. \quad (2.10)$$

If a prime $p \mid (v, q)$, then $p \mid v$, $p \mid q$, $p \nmid u$, again if $p \mid \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}$, then $p \mid r$, hence, by (2.6)

$p \mid \left(\frac{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, (v^k, q)\right) = 1$, this is a contradiction.

We deduce that

$$\left((v^k, q), \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}\right) = 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Now the congruence (2.10) has a unique solution modulus (v^k, q) .

Let $b_1 = b_0 + t(v^k, q)$, $1 \leq b_0 \leq (v^k, q)$, by (2.7) and (2.9)

$$\frac{r^k(v^k, q)}{(r^k, q)s_0}t \equiv d_1 - \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}b_0 + m(v^k, q) \pmod{\frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(r^k, q)(u^k, q)}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{r^k(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)s_0}(v^k, q)t \equiv -1 - \frac{r^k(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)s_0}b_0 \pmod{s^k}. \quad (2.13)$$

By (2.7), (2.10) and (2.12),

$$\frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}t \equiv \left(d_1 - \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}b_0\right)(v^k, q)^{-1} + m \pmod{\frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)}{(r^k, q)(u^k, q)(v^k, q)}}, \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$d_1 \equiv \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)s_0}b_0 \pmod{(v^k, q)}. \quad (2.15)$$

By (2.5),

$$\frac{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} d_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{(v^k, q)}. \quad (2.16)$$

By (2.14), we only want to count the number of t satisfying (2.13) and

$$\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0} (b_0 + t(v^k, q)) \leq x r^{-k},$$

that is

$$t \leq \frac{x(r^k, u^k, q) s_0}{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)} - \frac{b_0}{(v^k, q)}. \quad (2.17)$$

By (2.5), (2.15) and (2.16),

$$\frac{(u^k, q) r^k}{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0} b_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{(v^k, q)}. \quad (2.18)$$

Consequently, by (2.13) and (2.18),

$$((v^k, q), s^k) \mid 1 + \frac{(u^k, q) r^k}{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0} b_0. \quad (2.19)$$

By (2.3), (2.8) and (2.19), we have

$$(s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0}) \mid 1 + \frac{(u^k, q) r^k}{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0} b_0.$$

By (2.13) and $(s, s_0) = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} (s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)})^{-1} t \\ & \equiv (-s_0 - \frac{r^k (u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)} b_0) (s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)})^{-1} \pmod{s^k (s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)})^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the number of t is

$$\frac{x(r^k, u^k, q) s_0}{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)} (s^k (s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)})^{-1})^{-1} + O(1),$$

that is

$$J_1 = \frac{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0}{r^k s^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)} (s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}) x + O(1).$$

The lemma follows.

Now, we give the formula for $S_2(x, Q)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(x, Q) &= \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k}(q, u^k v^k) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (u^k, q) | a, (v^k, q) | a+1}}^q \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \\
&= \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k}(q, u^k v^k) \sum_{\substack{r^k \leq x \\ s^k \leq x+1}} \mu(r) \mu(s) J_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$S_2(x, Q) = S_{2A}(x, Q) + S_{2B}(x, Q), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$S_{2A}(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k}(q, u^k v^k) \sum_{\substack{r^k \leq x, s^k \leq x+1 \\ (2.1), (2.2)}} \mu(r) \mu(s) \frac{(r^k, u^k, q) s_0}{r^k s^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)} (s^k, \frac{r^k (u^k, q) (v^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}) x,$$

$$S_{2B}(x, Q) \ll \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k}(q, u^k v^k) \sum_{\substack{r^k \leq x \\ s^k \leq x+1}} 1,$$

and $\sum_{\substack{r^k \leq x, s^k \leq x+1 \\ (2.1), (2.2)}}$ means u, v, r, s, q satisfy (2.1) and (2.2).

We give upper bound for $S_{2B}(x, Q)$ first.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{2B}(x, Q) &\ll x^{\frac{2}{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(m) \tau(m)}{m^k} \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} (q, m^k) \\
&\ll x^{\frac{2}{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(m) \tau(m)}{m^k} \sum_{\substack{t | m^k \\ (q, m^k) = t}} \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ qt \leq Q}} q^{-1} (q, m^k) \ll x^{\frac{2}{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(m) \tau(m)}{m^k} \sum_{t | m^k} \sum_{qt \leq Q} q^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$S_{2B}(x, Q) \ll x^{\frac{2}{k}} \log Q. \quad (2.21)$$

By $(\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)} \frac{(r^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}) = (\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, \frac{r^k}{(r^k, u^k, q)}) = 1$, we have

$$s_0 = (\frac{(u^k, q)}{(r^k, u^k, q)}, \frac{r^k}{(r^k, q)}) = 1. \quad (2.22)$$

Since $(u, v) = 1$, the conditions (2.2) and (2.22) are equivalent to

$$(r, v, q) = (s, u, q) = (s, r) = 1. \quad (2.23)$$

By (2.2),

$$S_{2A}(x, Q) = x \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k} \sum_{\substack{r^k \leq x, s^k \leq x+1 \\ (2.23)}} \mu(r) \mu(s) r^{-k} s^{-k} (s^k, v^k, q) (r^k, u^k, q). \quad (2.24)$$

The summations of r, s can be completed to ∞ .

$$S_{2A}(x, Q) = c_1(Q)x + S_{2A_0}, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$c_1(Q) = \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k} \sum_{\substack{r, s=1 \\ (2.23)}}^{\infty} \mu(r)\mu(s)r^{-k}s^{-k}(s^k, v^k, q)(r^k, u^k, q), \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$S_{2A_0} \ll x \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \left(\sum_{\substack{r^k > x \\ s^k \leq x+1, (r, s)=1}} r^{-k} s^{-k}(s^k, v^k, q)(r^k, u^k, q) + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ s^k > x+1, (r, s)=1}} r^{-k} s^{-k}(s^k, v^k, q)(r^k, u^k, q) \right) = S_{2Aa} + S_{2Ab}, \text{ say.}$$

$$S_{2Aa} \ll x \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k}(s^k, v^k, q) \sum_{t|u} \sum_{\substack{r^k > x \\ (r, u)=t, (r, s)=1}} r^{-k}(t^k, q) \\ \ll x \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k}(s^k, v^k, q) \sum_{t|u, (t, s)=1} (t^k, q)t^{-k} \sum_{t^k r^k > x} r^{-k}.$$

Now

$$\sum_{t|u, (t, s)=1} (t^k, q)t^{-k} \sum_{t^k r^k > x} r^{-k} \\ \ll \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t, s)=1 \\ t^k \leq x}} (t^k, q)t^{-k} (x^{\frac{1}{k}} t^{-1})^{1-k} + \sum_{\substack{t|u \\ t^k > x}} (t^k, q)t^{-k} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t, s)=1 \\ t^k \leq x}} (t^k, q)t^{-1} + \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t, s)=1 \\ t^k > x}} (t^k, q)t^{-k}.$$

Hence

$$S_{2Aa} \ll x \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k}(s^k, v^k, q) (x^{\frac{1}{k}-1} \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t, s)=1 \\ t^k \leq x}} (t^k, q)t^{-1} + \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t, s)=1 \\ t^k > x}} (t^k, q)t^{-k}) \\ \ll S_{2Aa1} + S_{2Aa2}, \text{ say.}$$

Firstly, we estimate S_{2Aa1} ,

$$S_{2Aa1} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k} \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t, s)=1 \\ t^k \leq x}} t^{-1} \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} (s^k, v^k, q)(t^k, q) \\ \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k} \sum_{\substack{t|u \\ t^k \leq x}} t^{-1} \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} (s^k t^k, q) \\ \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \sum_{u, v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k} \sum_{\substack{t|u \\ t^k \leq x}} t^{-1} \sum_{j|s^k t^k} \sum_{qj \leq Q} q^{-1} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \log Q,$$

hence

$$S_{2Aa1} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \log Q. \quad (2.27)$$

Secondly, as the estimate of S_{2Aa1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{2Aa2} &\ll x \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} \sum_{u,v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k} (s^k, v^k, q) \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t,s)=1 \\ t^k > x}} (t^k, q) t^{-k} \\
&\ll x \sum_{u,v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^k v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k} \sum_{\substack{t|u, (t,s)=1 \\ t^k > x}} \sum_{q \leq Q} q^{-1} (s^k, v^k, q) (t^k, q) t^{-k} \\
&\ll x \log Q \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v^k} \sum_{s^k \leq x+1} s^{-k} \tau(s^k) (x^{\frac{1}{k}})^{1-2k+\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$S_{2Aa2} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon} \log Q. \quad (2.28)$$

By (2.27) and (2.28),

$$S_{2Aa} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \log Q + x^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon} \log Q. \quad (2.29)$$

In the same manner we have

$$S_{2Ab} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \log Q + x^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon} \log Q. \quad (2.30)$$

By (2.29) and (2.30),

$$S_{2A_0} \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}} \log Q + x^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon} \log Q. \quad (2.31)$$

By (2.20), (2.21), (2.25) and (2.31), we obtain

$$S_2(x, Q) = c_1(Q)x + O(x^{\frac{2}{k}} \log Q), \quad (2.32)$$

where $c_1(Q)$ is given by (2.26).

3 The formula for $S_3(x, Q)$

By (1.3) and (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
S_3(x, Q) &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q q^{-2} \sum_{\substack{u,v=1 \\ (u^k, q)|a, (v^k, q)|a+1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(uv)}{u^k v^k} (q, u^k v^k) \sum_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ (r^k, q)|a, (s^k, q)|a+1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(rs)}{r^k s^k} (q, r^k s^k).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$\Delta = \#\{1 \leq a \leq q : (u^k, q) \mid a, (r^k, q) \mid a, (v^k, q) \mid a + 1, (s^k, q) \mid a + 1\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then

$$(u, s, q) = (v, r, q) = 1,$$

and

$$\Delta = \#\{1 \leq m \leq \frac{q}{[(u^k, q), (r^k, q)]} : m[(u^k, q), (r^k, q)] + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{[(v^k, q), (s^k, q)]}\},$$

therefore,

$$([(u^k, q), (r^k, q)], [(v^k, q), (s^k, q)]) = 1,$$

and

$$\Delta = \frac{q}{[(u^k, q), (r^k, q)][(v^k, q), (s^k, q)]} = \frac{q(r^k, u^k, q)(s^k, v^k, q)}{(u^k, q)(r^k, q)(v^k, q)(s^k, q)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Hence, by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3),

$$S_3(x, Q) = c_1(Q), \quad (3.4)$$

where $c_1(Q)$ is given by (2.26).

4 Lemmas for $S_1(x, Q)$

As Vaughan had done in [9], our proof of the theorem uses the Hardy-Littlewood method(see [8]) and depends heavily on [2] where the bounds for

$$S(\alpha) = S_k(\alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) e(n\alpha), \quad (4.1)$$

and related expressions, are obtained.

Let $R = x^{1/2+\tau}$, where $\tau = \tau_k$ is a sufficiently small positive number($2\varepsilon < \tau < \frac{1}{10k}$), and let \mathfrak{M} denote the union of the intervals

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a) = \{\alpha : |q\alpha - a| \leq R^{-1}\}, \quad (4.2)$$

with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq x/R$ and $(q, a) = 1$, and $\mathcal{M} = (R^{-1}, 1 + R^{-1}] \setminus \mathfrak{M}$.

LEMMA 4.1. We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll x^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon} R^{1-\frac{1}{k}} + x^{2+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{2}{k}-3} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}+1+\varepsilon} R^{-2}.$$

Proof. This is Theorem 2 of [2] by taking $Q = x/R$, we note that $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq (R^{-1}, 1 + R^{-1}]$, the interval $(Q^{-1}, 1 + Q^{-1}]$ of [2] may be replaced by $(R^{-1}, 1 + R^{-1}]$.

Let

$$K(\alpha) = \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} e(uv\alpha). \quad (4.3)$$

When $Q \leq \sqrt{x}$ define

$$K_q(\alpha) = \sum_{u \leq Q/q} \sum_{v \leq x/qu} e(quv\alpha), \quad (4.4)$$

$$H_q(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{u \leq Q \\ q \nmid u}} \sum_{v \leq x/u} e(uv\alpha), & (q > 1), \\ 0, & (q = 1). \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

When $Q > \sqrt{x}$ define

$$K_q(\alpha) = \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}/q} \left(\sum_{v \leq x/qu} e(quv\alpha) + \sum_{\sqrt{x} < v \leq \min(Q, x/qu)} e(quv\alpha) \right), \quad (4.6)$$

$$H_q(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{u \leq \sqrt{x} \\ q \nmid u}} \left(\sum_{v \leq x/u} e(uv\alpha) + \sum_{\sqrt{x} < v \leq \min(Q, x/u)} e(uv\alpha) \right), & (q > 1), \\ 0, & (q = 1). \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

We have the following results on these functions (see the section 2 of Vaughan [9]).

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose that $Q \leq x$ and $q \in N$. Then

$$K(\alpha) = K_q(\alpha) + H_q(\alpha).$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2.9 of [9].

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose that $(a, q) = 1$ and $|q\alpha - a| \leq q^{-1}$. Then

$$K(\alpha) \ll (xq^{-1} + q) \log x.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2.10 of [9].

LEMMA 4.4. Suppose that $(a, q) = 1, q \in N$ and $\alpha = a/q + \beta$. Then

$$K_q(\alpha) = K_q(\beta) \ll \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}/q} \frac{x}{qu + x \|qu\beta\|}.$$

Moreover, if $|\beta| \leq 1/(2\sqrt{x})$, then

$$K_q(\alpha) \ll \frac{x \log x}{q + qx |\beta|}.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2.11 of [9].

LEMMA 4.5. Suppose that $(a, q) = 1, q \in N$ and $|q\alpha - a| \leq 1/(2\sqrt{x})$. Then

$$H_q(\alpha) \ll (\min(Q, \sqrt{x}) + q) \log x.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2.12 of [9].

By Dirichlet's theorem on diophantine approximation, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3,

$$\sup_{\mathcal{M}} |K(\alpha)| \ll R \log x \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}} |S(\alpha)|^2 K(\alpha) d\alpha &\ll x^{\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon} R^{2 - \frac{1}{k}} + x^{2 + \varepsilon} R^{\frac{2}{k} - 2} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1} + 1 + \varepsilon} R^{-1} \\ &\ll x^{1 + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\tau}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{k+1} - \frac{\tau}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

By (1.2) and (1.7),

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x, Q) &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{m, n \leq x \\ m \equiv n \pmod{q}}} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \mu_k(m) \mu_k(m+1) \\ &= 2 \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{m < n \leq x \\ m \equiv n \pmod{q}}} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \mu_k(m) \mu_k(m+1) + [Q] \sum_{n \leq x} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S_1(x, Q) = 2S_A(x, Q) + [Q] \sum_{n \leq x} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$S_A(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{m < n \leq x \\ m \equiv n \pmod{q}}} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \mu_k(m) \mu_k(m+1). \tag{4.11}$$

By (4.1), (4.3) and (4.11),

$$S_A(x, Q) = \int_{R^{-1}}^{1+R^{-1}} |S(\alpha)|^2 K(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} |S(\alpha)|^2 K(\alpha) d\alpha + \int_{\mathcal{M}} |S(\alpha)|^2 K(\alpha) d\alpha. \quad (4.12)$$

By (4.9) and (4.12),

$$S_A(x, Q) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} |S(\alpha)|^2 K(\alpha) d\alpha + O(x^{1+\frac{1}{k}-\frac{\tau}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{4}{k+1}-\frac{\tau}{2}}). \quad (4.13)$$

By (1.3)

$$g(q, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \frac{(n^k, q)}{n^k} \psi_k(n; q, a), \quad (4.14)$$

where $\psi_k(n; q, a)$ denotes the number of pairs u, v of natural numbers with $uv = n$, $(u, v) = 1$, $(u^k, q) | a$, $(v^k, q) | a + 1$. For fixed a, q , the function $\psi_k(n; q, a)$ is multiplicative in n , hence

$$g(q, a) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{(p^k, q)}{p^k} \psi_k(p; q, a)\right).$$

Let

$$f(q) = \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^k}\right)^{-1}, \quad h(q, a) = \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{(p^k, q)}{p^k} \psi_k(p; q, a)\right), \quad (4.15)$$

then, by (3.8) of [2],

$$g(q, a) = \varrho f(q) h(q, a), \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\varrho = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^k}\right). \quad (4.17)$$

By (3.9) and (3.10) of [2], we have

$$h(q, a) = \prod_{\substack{p|q \\ (p^k, q) | a(a+1)}} \left(1 - \frac{(p^k, q)}{p^k}\right), \quad (4.18)$$

and for co-prime natural numbers q_1, q_2

$$h(q_1 q_2, a) = h(q_1, a) h(q_2, a), \quad (4.19)$$

$h(q, a)$ is a multiplicative function of q .

We need the following sums of Gaussian type

$$G(q, a) = \sum_{b=1}^q g(q, b)e\left(\frac{ab}{q}\right), \quad H(q, a) = \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b)e\left(\frac{ab}{q}\right), \quad (4.20)$$

which by (4.16) are related by

$$G(q, a) = \varrho f(q)H(q, a). \quad (4.21)$$

As in [2], we introduce the following function

$$H(q) = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q |H(q, a)|^2. \quad (4.22)$$

We define

$$I(\beta) = \sum_{n \leq x} e(\beta n), \quad S^*(\alpha) = S^*(\alpha; q, a) = q^{-1}G(q, a)I\left(\alpha - \frac{a}{q}\right), \quad (4.23)$$

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \Delta(\alpha; q, a) = S(\alpha) - S^*(\alpha). \quad (4.24)$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a; T) = \{\alpha : |q\alpha - a| \leq T/x\}, \quad (4.25)$$

and $\mathfrak{M}(T)$ denote the union of the intervals $\mathfrak{M}(q, a; T)$ with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq T$ and $(q, a) = 1$, then

$$\mathfrak{M}(2T) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(T) = \bigcup_{\substack{T < q \leq 2T \\ a \leq q, (a,q)=1}} \{\alpha : |q\alpha - a| \leq T/x\} \quad \bigcup_{\substack{q \leq T \\ a \leq q, (a,q)=1}} \{\alpha : T/x < |q\alpha - a| \leq 2T/x\}.$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(x/R) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(1) \quad \bigcup_{1 \leq 2^j \leq x/R} \mathfrak{M}(2^{j+1}) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(2^j). \quad (4.26)$$

Hence, as the proof of Lemma 4.2 in [1],

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |S^*(\alpha)\Delta(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \log x \max_{1 \leq T \leq x/R} (U_1^*(T))^{1/2} (U_2^*(T))^{1/2} + \int_{\mathfrak{M}(1)} |S^*(\alpha)\Delta(\alpha)| d\alpha,$$

(4.27)

where

$$U_1^*(T) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(2T) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(T)} |S^*(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha, \quad U_2^*(T) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(2T)} |\Delta(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha. \quad (4.28)$$

LEMMA 4.6. Suppose that $1 \leq T \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(T)} |S(\alpha) - S^*(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll T^{3-\frac{2}{k}} x^{\frac{2}{k}-1+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}-1+\varepsilon} T^2.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 5.1 of [2].

LEMMA 4.7. Suppose that $1 \leq 2T \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{x}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(2T) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(T)} |S^*(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll x T^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. This is Lemma 5.2 of [2].

LEMMA 4.8. We have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} |S(\alpha) - S^*(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll x^{2+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{2}{k}-3} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}+1+\varepsilon} R^{-2}.$$

Proof. By taking $T = x/R$ in Lemma 4.6, the lemma follows.

LEMMA 4.9. We have

$$\sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} |\Delta(\alpha; q, a)|^2 H_q(\alpha) d\alpha \ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{2}{k}-3} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{4}{k+1}+\varepsilon} R^{-2}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, the lemma follows.

LEMMA 4.10. We have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(1)} |S^*(\alpha)\Delta(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll x^{\frac{2}{k+1}+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 4.6

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(1)} |S^*(\alpha)\Delta(\alpha)| d\alpha &\ll \int_{1-1/x}^{1+1/x} |S^*(\alpha)\Delta(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll \int_0^{x^{-1}} |S^*(\alpha)\Delta(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll (\int_0^{x^{-1}} |S^*(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha)^{1/2} (\int_0^{x^{-1}} |\Delta(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha)^{1/2} \\ &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} (x^{\frac{2}{k}-1+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}-1+\varepsilon})^{1/2} \ll x^{\frac{2}{k+1}+\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

the lemma follows.

By (4.28), Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7,

$$U_1^*(T) \ll xT^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon}, \quad U_2^*(T) \ll T^{3-\frac{2}{k}}x^{\frac{2}{k}-1+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}-1+\varepsilon}T^2. \quad (4.29)$$

Hence, by (4.26), (4.27), (4.29) and Lemma 4.10,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} |S^*(\alpha)\Delta(\alpha)| d\alpha &\ll \log x \max_{1 \leq T \leq x/R} (xT^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon})^{1/2} (T^{3-\frac{2}{k}}x^{\frac{2}{k}-1+\varepsilon} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}-1+\varepsilon}T^2)^{1/2} + \\ &x^{\frac{2}{k+1}+\varepsilon} \\ &\ll x^{1+\frac{1}{2k}+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{2k}-1} + x^{\frac{2}{k+1}+\frac{1}{2k}+\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{1}{2k}-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{2}{k+1}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these with Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} |S^*(\alpha; q, a)\Delta(\alpha; q, a)H_q(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ \ll x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{2k}-1} + x^{1+\frac{2}{k+1}+\frac{1}{2k}+\varepsilon} R^{-\frac{1}{2k}-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{k+1}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

LEMMA 4.11. We have

$$\sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} |\Delta(\alpha; q, a)|^2 |K(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll x^{1+\frac{1}{k}-\frac{\tau}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{4}{k+1}-\frac{\tau}{2}}.$$

Proof. We use the method of (4.8) in [9]. Let $\mathfrak{M}_0(1, 1) = \{\alpha : |\alpha - 1| \leq 1/x\}$ and for $j \geq 1$

$$\mathfrak{M}_j(q, a) = \begin{cases} \{\alpha : 2^{j-1}x^{-1} < |q\alpha - a| \leq 2^jx^{-1}\}, & \text{when } q \leq 2^{j-1}; \\ \{\alpha : |q\alpha - a| \leq 2^jx^{-1}\}, & \text{when } 2^{j-1} < q \leq 2^j. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_j = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \leq 2^j \\ (a, q) = 1}} \mathfrak{M}_j(q, a).$$

Choose J so that $2^{J-1} < x/R \leq 2^J$. For $1 \leq a \leq q \leq x/R$, $(a, q) = 1$,

then

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq J \\ q \leq 2^j}} \mathfrak{M}_j(q, a), \quad \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq J} \mathfrak{M}_j.$$

By Lemme 4.4, when $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_j(q, a)$ with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq 2^j$, $(a, q) = 1$,

$$K_q(\alpha) \ll 2^{-j}x \log x. \quad (4.31)$$

By Lemma 4.6 and (4.31),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q ' \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} | \Delta(\alpha; q, a) |^2 | K_q(\alpha) | d\alpha &\ll x^{\frac{2}{k}+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{2-\frac{2}{k}} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \\ &\ll x^{2+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{2}{k}-2} + x^{1+\frac{4}{k+1}+\varepsilon} R^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

By Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.9 and (4.32), the lemma follows.

By (4.23), (4.24) and Lemma 4.2, when $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a)$,

$$\begin{aligned} | S(\alpha) |^2 K(\alpha) &= | S^*(\alpha; q, a) |^2 K(\alpha) \\ &+ 2(\Re(\overline{S^*(\alpha; q, a)} \Delta(\alpha; q, a)))(K_q(\alpha) + H_q(\alpha)) + | \Delta(\alpha; q, a) |^2 K(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.13), (4.30) and Lemma 4.11,

$$S_A(x, Q) = S_{A1}(x, Q) + S_{A2}(x, Q) + O(x^{1+\frac{1}{k}-\frac{\tau}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{4}{k+1}-\frac{\tau}{2}}), \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$S_{A1}(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q ' \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} | S^*(\alpha; q, a) |^2 K(\alpha) d\alpha, \quad (4.34)$$

$$S_{A2}(x, Q) = 2 \sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q ' \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} (\Re(\overline{S^*(\alpha; q, a)} \Delta(\alpha; q, a))) K_q(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

by (4.21) and (4.23)

$$S_{A2}(x, Q) = 2\varrho \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-1} f(q) \sum_{a=1}^q ' \int_{-1/qR}^{1/qR} (\Re(\overline{H(q, a) I(\beta)} \Delta(\frac{a}{q} + \beta; q, a))) K_q(\beta) d\beta. \quad (4.35)$$

5 The estimate of $S_{A2}(x, Q)$

LEMMA 5.1. We have

$$\sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{r|q} \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) g(r, b) = q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q ' G(q, a) e\left(\frac{-ab}{q}\right).$$

Proof. By (4.20) and $\sum_{a=1}^q ' e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) = \sum_{r|(q,n)} r \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q ' G(q, a) e\left(\frac{-ab}{q}\right) \\ &= q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q ' e\left(\frac{-ab}{q}\right) \sum_{t=1}^q g(q, t) e\left(\frac{at}{q}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{r|q} r \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ r|t-b}}^q g(q, t).$$

Hence

$$q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q G(q, a) e\left(\frac{-ab}{q}\right) = q^{-1} \sum_{r|q} r \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ r|t-b}}^q g(q, t). \quad (5.1)$$

By (4.14),

$$\sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \equiv b(r)}}^q g(q, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \frac{(n^k, q)}{n^k} \sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \equiv b(r)}}^q \psi_k(n; q, t), \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$\psi_k(n; q, t) = \#\{u, v \geq 1 : uv = n, (u, v) = 1, (u^k, q) | t, (v^k, q) | t + 1\}.$$

Write $t = b + rl$, $q = rm$, and l runs through complete residues modulus m , we want to count the number of l .

We have

$$(u^k, rm) | b + rl, (v^k, rm) | b + 1 + rl.$$

Let

$$(u^k, r) = r_1, (v^k, r) = r_2, (r_1, r_2) = 1,$$

then

$$r_1 | b, r_2 | b + 1,$$

$$\left(\frac{u^k}{r_1}, m\right) | \frac{b}{r_1} + \frac{r}{r_1} l, \left(\frac{v^k}{r_2}, m\right) | \frac{b+1}{r_2} + \frac{r}{r_2} l.$$

These congruences have a unique solution of l modulus $(\frac{u^k}{r_1}, m)(\frac{v^k}{r_2}, m)$, therefore the number of l is $\frac{m}{(\frac{u^k}{r_1}, m)(\frac{v^k}{r_2}, m)} = \frac{mr_1 r_2}{(u^k, r_1 m)(v^k, r_2 m)}$.

Since $(r_1, r_2) = (r_1, v) = (r_2, u) = 1$, the number of l is

$$\frac{mr_1 r_2}{(u^k, r_1 r_2 m)(v^k, r_1 r_2 m)} = \frac{mr_1 r_2}{(n^k, r_1 r_2 m)}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{t=1 \\ t \equiv b(r)}}^q g(q, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \frac{(n^k, q)}{n^k} \sum_{u, v} * \frac{mr_1 r_2}{(n^k, r_1 r_2 m)},$$

where $\sum_{u,v}^*$ means $(u^k, r) = r_1 \mid b$, $(v^k, r) = r_2 \mid b+1$, $uv = n$, $(u, v) = 1$, $u, v \geq 1$, $q = rm$.

Let $r = r_1 r_2 \Delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (q, n^k) &= (r_1 r_2 \Delta m, u^k v^k) = (r_1 r_2 \Delta m, u^k)(r_1 r_2 \Delta m, v^k) = (r_1 \Delta m, u^k)(r_2 \Delta m, v^k) \\ &= r_1 r_2 (\Delta m, \frac{u^k}{r_1})(\Delta m, \frac{v^k}{r_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\frac{u^k}{r_1}, r_2 \Delta) = (\frac{v^k}{r_2}, r_1 \Delta) = 1$, we have $(\Delta, \frac{u^k v^k}{r_1 r_2}) = 1$,

hence

$$(q, n^k) = r_1 r_2 (m, \frac{u^k}{r_1})(m, \frac{v^k}{r_2}) = (r_1 r_2 m, u^k)(r_1 r_2 m, v^k) = (r_1 r_2 m, (uv)^k).$$

So, by (5.1) and (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q {}'G(q, a) e(\frac{-ab}{q}) &= \sum_{r \mid q} \mu(\frac{q}{r}) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{u,v}^* \frac{r m r_1 r_2}{q} \\ &= \sum_{r \mid q} \mu(\frac{q}{r}) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \frac{1}{n^k} \sum_{u,v}^* r_1 r_2 = \sum_{r \mid q} \mu(\frac{q}{r}) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \frac{(n^k, r)}{n^k} \psi_k(n; r, b), \end{aligned}$$

by (4.14), the lemma follows.

Write

$$L = \sum_{a=1}^q {}' \overline{H(q, a)} \Delta(\frac{a}{q} + \beta; q, a),$$

then, by (4.20) and (4.24),

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q {}' e(\frac{-ab}{q}) \Delta(\frac{a}{q} + \beta; q, a) \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} (\mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1)) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q {}' e(\frac{a}{q}(n-b)) - q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q {}' G(q, a) e(\frac{-ab}{q}) e(\beta n). \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} U(y) &= \sum_{n \leq y} (\mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1)) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q {}' e(\frac{a}{q}(n-b)) - q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q {}' G(q, a) e(\frac{-ab}{q}) \\ &= U_1(y) - U_2(y). \end{aligned}$$

and using $e(n\beta) = e(x\beta) - 2\pi i \beta \int_n^x e(y\beta) dy$, we have

$$L = U(x) e(x\beta) - 2\pi i \beta \int_1^x U(y) e(y\beta) dy. \quad (5.3)$$

We estimate $U_1(y)$ first.

Write

$$E(x; q, a) = A_k(x; q, a) - q^{-1} g(q, a) x. \quad (5.4)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1(y) &= U_1([y]) = \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{\substack{n \leq [y] \\ r|n-b}} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \sum_{r|(q, n-b)} r \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \\
&= \sum_{r|q} r \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{\substack{n \leq [y] \\ r|n-b}} \mu_k(n) \mu_k(n+1) \\
&= \sum_{r|q} \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) g(r, b)[y] + \sum_{r|q} r \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) E([y]; r, b),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2(y) &= \sum_{n \leq [y]} q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q 'G(q, a) e\left(\frac{-ab}{q}\right) \\
&= q^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) \sum_{a=1}^q 'G(q, a) e\left(\frac{-ab}{q}\right) [y].
\end{aligned}$$

We need an obvious result on $Y_k(x, Q)$.

LEMMA 5.2. For all Q, x , we have

$$Y_k(x, Q) \ll x^2 \log Q + Q^2.$$

Proof. By (1.4) and $g(q, a) \ll 1$,

$$Y_k(x, Q) \ll \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q (x/q + 1)^2 \ll \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q (x^2 q^{-2} + 1) \ll x^2 \log Q + Q^2,$$

the lemma follows.

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$U(y) = \sum_{r|q} r \mu\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) E([y]; r, b).$$

Hence

$$L \ll \sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([x]; r, b)| + |\beta| \int_1^x \sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([y]; r, b)| dy.$$

By (4.35), Lemma 4.4 and $I(\beta) \ll x/(1+x|\beta|)$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{A2}(x, Q) &\ll \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-1} \int_0^{1/qR} \frac{x}{(1+x\beta)} \frac{x \log x}{q(1+x\beta)} \left(\sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([x]; r, b)| \right. \\
&+ \beta \int_1^x \sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([y]; r, b)| dy \Big) d\beta \ll \int_0^{R^{-1}} \frac{x^2 \log x}{(1+x\beta)^2} M(\beta) d\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(\beta) \ll$$

$$\sum_{\substack{q \leq x/R \\ q \leq 1/\beta R}} q^{-2} \left(\sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([x]; r, b)| + \beta \int_1^x \sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([y]; r, b)| dy \right).$$

(5.5)

When $r \mid q$, we have $\sum_{b=1}^q |E(y; r, b)| = qr^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^r |E(y; r, b)|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{q \leq x/R \\ q \leq 1/\beta R}} q^{-2} \sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([x]; r, b)| \ll \sum_{r \leq x/R} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([x]; r, b)| \sum_{\substack{q \leq x/R \\ r|q}} q^{-1} \\ & \ll \log x \sum_{r \leq x/R} r^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([x]; r, b)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy inequality and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{q \leq x/R \\ q \leq 1/\beta R}} q^{-2} \sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([x]; r, b)| \\ & \ll \log x \left(\sum_{r \leq x/R} \sum_{b=1}^r r^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{r \leq x/R} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([x]; r, b)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \ll \log^{3/2} x \left(x^{\frac{2}{k} + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{2 - \frac{2}{k}} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1} + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} \ll x^{1+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + x^{\frac{2}{k+1} + \varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

this contributes to $S_{A_2}(x, Q)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll (x^{1+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + x^{\frac{2}{k+1} + \varepsilon}) \int_0^{R^{-1}} \frac{x^2 \log x}{(1+x\beta)^2} d\beta \\ & \ll x \log x (x^{1+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + x^{\frac{2}{k+1} + \varepsilon}) \ll x^{2+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + x^{1+\frac{2}{k+1} + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term of $M(\beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \beta \int_1^x \sum_{r|q} r \mu^2\left(\frac{q}{r}\right) \sum_{b=1}^q h(q, b) |E([y]; r, b)| dy \\ & \ll \beta \int_1^x \log x \sum_{r \leq x/R} r^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([y]; r, b)| dy \\ & \ll \beta \int_1^{x/R} \log x \sum_{r \leq x/R} r^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([y]; r, b)| dy + \beta \int_{x/R}^x \log x \sum_{r \leq x/R} r^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([y]; r, b)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy inequality and Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \int_1^{x/R} \log^{3/2} x \left(\sum_{r \leq x/R} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([y]; r, b)|^2 \right)^{1/2} dy \\ & \ll \beta \int_1^{x/R} \log^{3/2} x (y^2 \log x + (\frac{x}{R})^2)^{1/2} dy \\ & \ll x^2 R^{-2} \beta \log^2 x, \end{aligned}$$

this contributes to $S_{A_2}(x, Q)$

$$\ll x^2 R^{-2} \log^2 x \int_0^{R^{-1}} \frac{x^2 \log x}{(1+x\beta)^2} \beta d\beta \ll x^2 R^{-2} \log^4 x.$$

By Cauchy inequality and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \int_{x/R}^x \log x \sum_{r \leq x/R} r^{-1} \sum_{b=1}^r |E([y]; r, b)| dy \\ & \ll \beta \int_{x/R}^x \log^{3/2} x \left(x^{\frac{2}{k} + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{2 - \frac{2}{k}} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1} + \varepsilon} \right)^{1/2} dy \ll \beta (x^{2+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + x^{1+\frac{2}{k+1} + \varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

this contributes to $S_{A_2}(x, Q)$

$$\ll x^{2+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + x^{1+\frac{2}{k+1}+\varepsilon}.$$

Consequently,

$$S_{A2}(x, Q) \ll x^{2+\varepsilon} R^{\frac{1}{k}-1} + x^{1+\frac{2}{k+1}+\varepsilon} + x^2 R^{-2} \log^4 x. \quad (5.6)$$

6 The estimate of $S_{A1}(x, Q)$

By (4.2), (4.23) and (4.34),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A1}(x, Q) &= \sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} q^{-2} |G(q, a) I(\beta)|^2 K\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right) d\beta - \\ &\sum_{q \leq x/R} \sum_{a=1}^q \int_{1/qR \leq |\beta| \leq 1/2} q^{-2} |G(q, a) I(\beta)|^2 K\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right) d\beta \\ &= S_B(x, Q) - S_C(x, Q), \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

say.

We consider $S_C(x, Q)$ first. By Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} S_C(x, Q) &\ll \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q |G(q, a)|^2 \int_{1/qR \leq |\beta| \leq 1/2} \min(x^2, \beta^{-2}) \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{x}{u+x \|u\frac{a}{q} + u\beta\|} d\beta \\ &\ll \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}} \int_{1/qR \leq |\beta| \leq 1/2} \frac{x\beta^{-2}}{u+x \|u\frac{a}{q} + u\beta\|} d\beta \\ &\ll \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}} \int_{u/qR \leq |\gamma| \leq u/2} u \frac{x\gamma^{-2}}{u+x \|u\frac{a}{q} + \gamma\|} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} ux \sum_{0 < |j| \leq u/2} \int_{j-1/2 \leq \gamma \leq j+1/2} \frac{\gamma^{-2}}{u+x \|u\frac{a}{q} + \gamma\|} d\gamma &\ll ux \sum_{0 < |j| \leq u/2} \int_{-1/2 \leq \gamma \leq 1/2} \frac{j^{-2}}{u+x \|u\frac{a}{q} + \beta + j\|} d\beta \\ &\ll ux \sum_{0 < |j| \leq u/2} j^{-2} \int_{-1/2 \leq \gamma \leq 1/2} \frac{1}{u+x |\beta|} d\beta \ll u \log x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_C(x, Q) &\ll \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}} u \log x \\ &+ \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}} \int_{u/qR \leq |\gamma| \leq 1/2} u \frac{x\gamma^{-2}}{u+x \|u\frac{a}{q} + \gamma\|} d\gamma \\ &= S_{C1}(x, Q) + S_{C2}(x, Q), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2 of [2], for any $Q \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{Q < q \leq 2Q} q^{-2} f^2(q) H(q) \ll Q^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon}. \quad (6.2)$$

Hence

$$S_{C1}(x, Q) \ll x \log x \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} f^2(q) H(q) \ll x \log x. \quad (6.3)$$

LEMMA 6.1. We have

$$S_{C2}(x, Q) \ll x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + 2\tau}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{C2}(x, Q) &\ll \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q ' |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}} x \int_{u/qR \leq |\gamma| \leq 1/2} \gamma^{-2} d\gamma \\ &\ll \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q ' |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq \sqrt{x}} x \frac{qR}{u} \\ &\ll xR \log x \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^q ' |G(q, a)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By partial summation and (6.2),

$$S_{C2}(x, Q) \ll xR \log x \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-1} H(q) f(q)^2 \ll xR \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon} \ll x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + 2\tau},$$

the lemma follows.

By Lemma 6.1 and (6.3),

$$S_C(x, Q) \ll x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + 2\tau}.$$

Hence

$$S_{A1}(x, Q) = S_B(x, Q) + O(x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + 2\tau}). \quad (6.4)$$

7 The estimate of $S_B(x, Q)$

We have

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |I(\beta)|^2 K\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right) d\beta = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \leq x} \sum_{\substack{uv \leq x, u \leq Q \\ n_1 - n_2 + uv = 0}} e\left(uv \frac{a}{q}\right).$$

By (6.1),

$$S_B(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q ' |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} e\left(uv \frac{a}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{m, n \leq x \\ n = m + uv}} 1.$$

Hence

$$S_B(x, Q) = \sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q ' |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} e(uv \frac{a}{q}) [x - uv]. \quad (7.1)$$

By (6.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} (x - uv) \sum_{q > x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q ' |G(q, a)|^2 \\ & \ll \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} (x - uv) (x/R)^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon} \ll (x/R)^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon} \sum_{u \leq Q} u \sum_{v \leq x/u} (x/u - v) \\ & \ll (x/R)^{\frac{1}{k}-1+\varepsilon} \sum_{u \leq Q} u (x/u)^2 \ll x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + 2\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{q \leq x/R} q^{-2} \sum_{a=1}^q ' |G(q, a)|^2 \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} 1 \ll x \log x.$$

Hence

$$S_B(x, Q) = \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} (x - uv) \mathfrak{S}(uv) + O(x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + 2\tau}) = S_E(x, Q) + O(x^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} + 2\tau}), \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^q ' q^{-2} |G(q, a)|^2 e(\frac{an}{q}), \quad (7.3)$$

$$S_E(x, Q) = \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v \leq x/u} (x - uv) \mathfrak{S}(uv). \quad (7.4)$$

8 The formula for $\mathfrak{S}(n)$

Write

$$J(q) = J(q; n) = \sum_{a=1}^q ' |H(q, a)|^2 e(\frac{an}{q}), \quad (8.1)$$

then, by (4.21) and (7.3),

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \varrho^2 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{-2} f(q)^2 J(q).$$

By (6.2), $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ converges absolutely.

LEMMA 8.1. For any natural numbers q_1, q_2 , $(q_1, q_2) = 1$, we have

$$J(q_1 q_2) = J(q_1)J(q_2),$$

the function $J(q)$ is multiplicative.

Proof. By (4.19) and $h(q, a + mq) = h(q, a)$, write $a = a_1 q_2 + a_2 q_1$, $b = b_1 q_2 + b_2 q_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & J(q_1 q_2) \\ &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{q_1} \left| \sum_{a_2=1}^{q_2} \left| \sum_{b_1=1}^{q_1} h(q_1, b_1 q_2) e\left(\frac{a_1 q_2 b_1}{q_1}\right) \right|^2 e\left(\frac{a_1 n}{q_1}\right) \right| \sum_{b_2=1}^{q_2} \left| \sum_{b_1=1}^{q_1} h(q_2, b_2 q_1) e\left(\frac{a_2 q_1 b_2}{q_2}\right) \right|^2 e\left(\frac{a_2 n}{q_2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{q_1} \left| \sum_{b_1=1}^{q_1} h(q_1, b_1 q_2) e\left(\frac{a_1 q_2 b_1}{q_1}\right) \right|^2 e\left(\frac{a_1 n}{q_1}\right) \sum_{a_2=1}^{q_2} \left| \sum_{b_2=1}^{q_2} h(q_2, b_2 q_1) e\left(\frac{a_2 q_1 b_2}{q_2}\right) \right|^2 e\left(\frac{a_2 n}{q_2}\right) \\ &= J(q_1)J(q_2), \end{aligned}$$

the lemma follows.

We need to give a formula for $J(p^t)$, here p is a prime number and $t \geq 1$.

We have

$$J(p^t) = \sum_{a=1}^{p^t} |H(p^t, a)|^2 e\left(\frac{an}{p^t}\right) - \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ p|a}}^{p^t} |H(p^t, a)|^2 e\left(\frac{an}{p^t}\right) = J_1(p^t) - J_2(p^t), \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(p^t) &= \sum_{a=1}^{p^t} |H(p^t, a)|^2 e\left(\frac{an}{p^t}\right), \\ J_2(p^t) &= \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ p|a}}^{p^t} |H(p^t, a)|^2 e\left(\frac{an}{p^t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8.2. When $t > k$

$$J(p^t) = 0.$$

Proof. When $t > k$, by (4.20),

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(p^t) &= \sum_{a=1}^{p^t} \left| \sum_{b=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b) e\left(\frac{ab}{p^t}\right) \right|^2 e\left(\frac{an}{p^t}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b_1, b_2=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b_1) h(p^t, b_2) \sum_{a=1}^{p^t} e\left(\frac{a(b_1 - b_2)}{p^t}\right) e\left(\frac{an}{p^t}\right) \\ &= p^t \sum_{\substack{b_1, b_2=1 \\ b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t}}}^{p^t} h(p^t, b_1) h(p^t, b_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= p^t \sum_{b=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b)h(p^t, b+n).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(p^t) &= \sum_{b_1, b_2=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b_1)h(p^t, b_2) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ p|a}}^{p^t} e\left(\frac{a(b_1-b_2+n)}{p^t}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b_1, b_2=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b_1)h(p^t, b_2) \sum_{a=1}^{p^t-1} e\left(\frac{a(b_1-b_2+n)}{p^{t-1}}\right) \\ &= p^{t-1} \sum_{\substack{b_1, b_2=1 \\ b_1-b_2+n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t-1}}}}^{p^t} h(p^t, b_1)h(p^t, b_2). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.9) of [2], for $t > k$, one has $h(p^t, a) = h(p^t, b)$ whenever $a \equiv b \pmod{p^k}$. Hence

$$J_2(p^t) = p^{t-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b)h(p^t, b+n) \sum_{\substack{b_2=1 \\ b_2 \equiv b+n \pmod{p^{t-1}}}}^{p^t} = p^t \sum_{b=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b)h(p^t, b+n) = J_1(p^t),$$

therefore

$$J(p^t) = J_1(p^t) - J_2(p^t) = 0,$$

the lemma follows.

From now on, we suppose $1 \leq t \leq k$.

By (4.7) of [2],

$$h(p^t, a) = \begin{cases} 1 - p^{t-k}, & \text{if } p^t \mid a(a+1), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8.3)$$

For fixed p^t, n , write

$$\Phi_1 = \Phi_1(p^t) = \#\{b : p^t \mid b(b+1), b = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\},$$

$$\Phi_2 = \Phi_2(p^t) = \#\{b : p^t \mid b(b+1), p^t \mid (b+n)(b+n+1), b = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\}, \quad (8.4)$$

$$\Phi_3 = \Phi_3(p^t) = \#\{b : p^t \nmid b(b+1), p^t \mid (b+n)(b+n+1), b = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\},$$

$$\Phi_4 = \Phi_4(p^t) = \#\{b : p^t \nmid b(b+1), b = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\},$$

$$\Phi_5 = \Phi_5(p^t) = \#\{b_1, b_2 : p^t \mid b_1(b_1+1), p^t \mid b_2(b_2+1), b_1-b_2+n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t-1}}, b_1, b_2 = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\}.$$

(8.5)

LEMMA 8.3. Suppose that $1 \leq t \leq k$. Then

$$J_1(p^t) = p^{2t} - 4p^{2t-k} + p^{3t-2k}\Phi_2.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 8.2, by (8.3),

$$J_1(p^t) = p^t \sum_{b=1}^{p^t} h(p^t, b)h(p^t, b+n) = p^t(J_A + J_B),$$

where

$$J_A = \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ p^t | b(b+1)}}^{p^t} (1 - p^{t-k})h(p^t, b+n), \quad J_B = \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ p^t \nmid b(b+1)}}^{p^t} h(p^t, b+n).$$

Firstly, we deal with J_A .

We note that $\Phi_1 = 2$, $\Phi_3 = 2 - \Phi_2$, $\Phi_4 = p^t - 2$.

By (8.3),

$$\begin{aligned} J_A &= (1 - p^{t-k})^2\Phi_2 + (1 - p^{t-k}) \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ p^t | b(b+1), p^t \nmid (b+n)(b+n+1)}}^{p^t} 1 \\ &= (1 - p^{t-k})^2\Phi_2 + (1 - p^{t-k})(2 - \Phi_2) = 2(1 - p^{t-k}) + (1 - p^{t-k})(-p^{t-k})\Phi_2, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$J_A = 2(1 - p^{t-k}) - p^{t-k}(1 - p^{t-k})\Phi_2.$$

Now, we deal with J_B . By (8.3),

$$J_B = (1 - p^{t-k})\Phi_3 + \Phi_4 - \Phi_3 = p^t - 2 - p^{t-k}\Phi_3 = p^t - 2 - p^{t-k}(2 - \Phi_2),$$

hence

$$J_B = p^t - 2 - 2p^{t-k} + p^{t-k}\Phi_2.$$

Therefore

$$J_1(p^t) = p^t(2(1 - p^{t-k}) - p^{t-k}(1 - p^{t-k})\Phi_2 + p^t - 2 - 2p^{t-k} + p^{t-k}\Phi_2) = p^{2t} - 4p^{2t-k} + p^{3t-2k}\Phi_2,$$

the lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.4. We have

$$J_2(p) = p^2(1 - 2p^{-k})^2.$$

Proof. By (4.20) and (8.2),

$$J_2(p) = \left(\sum_{b=1}^p h(p, b) \right)^2,$$

and

$$\sum_{b=1}^p h(p, b) = \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ p|b(b+1)}}^p (1 - p^{1-k}) + \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ p \nmid b(b+1)}}^p 1 = 2(1 - p^{1-k}) + p - 2 = p - 2p^{1-k}.$$

Hence

$$J_2(p) = p^2(1 - 2p^{-k})^2,$$

the lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.5. Suppose that $1 < t \leq k$. Then

$$J_2(p^t) = p^{t-1}(p^{t+1} - 4p^{t-k+1} + p^{2t-2k}\Phi_5).$$

Proof. By (4.20) and (8.2),

$$J_2(p^t) = p^{t-1}M,$$

where

$$M = \sum_{\substack{b_1, b_2=1 \\ b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t-1}}}}^{p^t} h(p^t, b_1)h(p^t, b_2).$$

By (8.3), we obtain

$$M = M_A + M_B,$$

where

$$M_A = \sum_{\substack{b_1=1 \\ p^t | b_1(b_1+1)}}^{p^t} \sum_{\substack{b_2=1 \\ b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t-1}}}}^{p^t} (1 - p^{t-k})h(p^t, b_2),$$

$$M_B = \sum_{\substack{b_1=1 \\ p^t \nmid b_1(b_1+1)}}^{p^t} \sum_{\substack{b_2=1 \\ b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{t-1}}}}^{p^t} h(p^t, b_2).$$

Again, by (8.3) and (8.5),

$$M_A = M_{A1} + M_{A2},$$

where

$$M_{A1} = (1 - p^{t-k})^2\Phi_5,$$

$$M_{A2} = (1 - p^{t-k})(\Phi_6 - \Phi_5),$$

and

$$\Phi_6 = \#\{b_1, b_2 : p^t \mid b_1(b_1 + 1), b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0(p^{t-1}), b_1, b_2 = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\} = 2p.$$

Hence

$$M_{A2} = (1 - p^{t-k})(2p - \Phi_5),$$

and

$$M_A = (1 - p^{t-k})^2 \Phi_5 + (1 - p^{t-k})(2p - \Phi_5) = 2p(1 - p^{t-k}) - p^{t-k}(1 - p^{t-k})\Phi_5.$$

Write

$$\Phi_7 = \#\{b_1, b_2 : p^t \nmid b_1(b_1 + 1), b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0(p^{t-1}), b_1, b_2 = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\},$$

$$\Phi_8 = \#\{b_1, b_2 : p^t \nmid b_1(b_1 + 1), p^t \mid b_2(b_2 + 1), b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0(p^{t-1}), b_1, b_2 = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\},$$

then

$$\Phi_7 = p(p^t - 2), \quad \Phi_8 = 2p - \Phi_5.$$

By (8.3),

$$M_B = M_{B1} + M_{B2},$$

where

$$M_{B1} = (1 - p^{t-k})\Phi_8, \quad M_{B2} = \Phi_7 - \Phi_8.$$

Hence

$$M_B = (1 - p^{t-k})\Phi_8 + \Phi_7 - \Phi_8 = p(p^t - 2) - p^{t-k}\Phi_8 = p(p^t - 2) - p^{t-k}(2p - \Phi_5).$$

We obtain

$$M_B = p(p^t - 2) - 2p^{t-k+1} + p^{t-k}\Phi_5,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M &= 2p(1 - p^{t-k}) - p^{t-k}(1 - p^{t-k})\Phi_5 + p(p^t - 2) - 2p^{t-k+1} + p^{t-k}\Phi_5 \\ &= p^{t+1} - 4p^{t-k+1} + p^{2t-2k}\Phi_5, \end{aligned}$$

the lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.6 We have

$$\Phi_2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p^t \mid n(n+1), p^t \nmid n(n-1), \\ 1, & \text{if } p^t \nmid n(n+1), p^t \mid n(n-1), \\ 2, & \text{if } p^t \mid n(n+1), p^t \mid n(n-1), \\ 0, & \text{if } p^t \nmid n(n+1), p^t \nmid n(n-1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (8.4),

$$\Phi_2 = \#\{b : p^t \mid b(b+1), p^t \mid (b+n)(b+n+1), b = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\},$$

the condition $p^t \mid b(b+1)$ means $b = p^t, p^t - 1$. If $p^t \mid n(n+1)$, then $b = p^t$ satisfies the condition $p^t \mid (b+n)(b+n+1)$; If $p^t \mid n(n-1)$, then $b = p^t - 1$ satisfies the condition $p^t \mid (b+n)(b+n+1)$; If $p^t \nmid n(n+1)$ and $p^t \nmid n(n-1)$, then neither $b = p^t$ nor $b = p^t - 1$ satisfies the condition $p^t \mid (b+n)(b+n+1)$. The lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.7 We have

if $t = 1$, then $\Phi_5 = 4$; if $1 < t \leq k$, then

$$\Phi_5 = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \mid n, \\ 2, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \nmid n, p^{t-1} \mid (n+1), p^{t-1} \mid (n-1), \\ 1, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \nmid n, p^{t-1} \mid (n+1), p^{t-1} \nmid (n-1), \\ 1, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \nmid n, p^{t-1} \nmid (n+1), p^{t-1} \mid (n-1), \\ 0, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \nmid n, p^{t-1} \nmid (n+1), p^{t-1} \nmid (n-1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (8.5),

$$\Phi_5 = \#\{b_1, b_2 : p^t \mid b_1(b_1+1), p^t \mid b_2(b_2+1), b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0(p^{t-1}), b_1, b_2 = 1, 2, \dots, p^t\},$$

we need to count the number of b_1, b_2 that satisfy the conditions (*): $p^t \mid b_1(b_1+1)$, $p^t \mid b_2(b_2+1)$, $b_1 - b_2 + n \equiv 0(p^{t-1})$.

We have $b_1, b_2 = p^t, p^t - 1$.

If $t = 1$, then $\Phi_5 = 4$ is valid. We suppose that $t > 1$.

If $p^{t-1} \mid n$, then $b_1 = b_2 = p^t$ and $b_1 = b_2 = p^t - 1$ satisfy the conditions (*);

If $p^{t-1} \nmid n$, $p^{t-1} \mid (n+1), p^{t-1} \mid (n-1)$, then $b_1 = p^t, b_2 = p^t - 1$ and $b_1 = p^t - 1, b_2 = p^t$ satisfy the conditions (*);

If $p^{t-1} \nmid n$, $p^{t-1} \mid (n+1), p^{t-1} \nmid (n-1)$, then only $b_1 = p^t, b_2 = p^t - 1$ satisfies the conditions (*); if $p^{t-1} \nmid n$, $p^{t-1} \nmid (n+1), p^{t-1} \mid (n-1)$ then only $b_1 = p^t - 1, b_2 = p^t$

satisfies the conditions (*);

if $p^{t-1} \nmid n$, $p^{t-1} \nmid (n+1)$, $p^{t-1} \nmid (n-1)$ then there are not b_1, b_2 satisfy the conditions (*).

The lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.8 Suppose that $1 \leq t \leq k$. Then

$$J(p^t) = p^{3t-2k-1}(p\Phi_2 - \Phi_5).$$

Proof. By (8.2), Lemma 8.3 and Lemma 8.4,

$$J(p) = J_1(p) - J_2(p) = p^2 - 4p^{2-k} + p^{3-2k}\Phi_2 - p^2(1 - 2p^{-k})^2 = p^{2-2k}(p\Phi_2 - 4).$$

We suppose $1 < t \leq k$. By (8.2), Lemma 8.3 and Lemma 8.5,

$$\begin{aligned} J(p^t) &= J_1(p^t) - J_2(p^t) = p^{2t} - 4p^{2t-k} + p^{3t-2k}\Phi_2 - p^{t-1}(p^{t+1} - 4p^{t-k+1} + p^{2t-2k}\Phi_5) \\ &= p^{3t-2k-1}(p\Phi_2 - \Phi_5), \end{aligned}$$

the lemma follows.

By Lemma 8.1, Lemma 8.2 and Lemma 8.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(n) &= \varrho^2 \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{t=1}^k p^{-2t} f(p^t)^2 J(p^t)\right) = \varrho^2 \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{t=1}^k p^{-2t} \left(\frac{p^k}{p^k-2}\right)^2 p^{3t-2k-1} (p\Phi_2 - \Phi_5)\right) \\ &= \varrho^2 \prod_p \left(1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^k p^{t-1} (p\Phi_2 - \Phi_5)\right), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \varrho^2 \prod_p \Delta_p, \tag{8.6}$$

where

$$\Delta_p = 1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^k p^{t-1} (p\Phi_2 - \Phi_5). \tag{8.7}$$

Write

$$\Pi_1 = \prod_{p|n(n+1)} \Delta_p, \quad \Pi_{1A} = \prod_{\substack{p|n(n+1) \\ p|n(n-1)}} \Delta_p, \quad \Pi_{1B} = \prod_{\substack{p|n(n+1) \\ p \nmid n(n-1)}} \Delta_p, \quad \Pi_1 = \Pi_{1A}\Pi_{1B}, \tag{8.8}$$

$$\Pi_2 = \prod_{p \nmid n(n+1)} \Delta_p, \quad \Pi_{2A} = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid n(n+1) \\ p|n-1}} \Delta_p, \quad \Pi_{2B} = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid n(n+1) \\ p \nmid n-1}} \Delta_p, \quad \Pi_2 = \Pi_{2A}\Pi_{2B}, \tag{8.9}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \varrho^2 \Pi_{1A} \Pi_{1B} \Pi_{2A} \Pi_{2B}. \quad (8.10)$$

For fixed n, p , we write

$$p^{\beta_1} \parallel n, \quad p^{\beta_2} \parallel n+1, \quad p^{\beta_3} \parallel n-1.$$

We consider Π_{1A} first.

LEMMA 8.9 We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{1A} = & \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p^k \nmid n}} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{p^k | n} (1 + 2(p^k - 2)^{-1}) \prod_{\substack{2^k | n+1 \\ \text{or } 2^k | n-1}} (1 + (2^k - 4)(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \times \\ & \prod_{\substack{2^k \nmid n+1 \\ 2^k \nmid n-1, 2 \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\Pi_{1A} = \prod_{\substack{p^k \nmid n \\ p|n}} \Delta_p \prod_{p^k | n} \Delta_p \prod_{2 \nmid n} \Delta_2. \quad (8.11)$$

If $p | n(n+1)$, $p | n(n-1)$ and $p \nmid n$, then $p = 2, \beta_1 = 0, \min(\beta_2, \beta_3) = 1$.

Hence, by Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 8.7,

$$\Phi_2(2) = 2, \Phi_5(2) = 4, \text{ and then } 2\Phi_2(2) - \Phi_5(2) = 0.$$

When $1 < t \leq k, 2 \nmid n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2(2^t) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \leq \max(\beta_2, \beta_3), \\ 0, & \text{if } t > \max(\beta_2, \beta_3). \end{cases} \\ \Phi_5(2^t) &= \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } t = 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 < t \leq \max(\beta_2, \beta_3) + 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } t > \max(\beta_2, \beta_3) + 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Write $\beta = \max(\beta_2, \beta_3) \geq 2$. We have

$$2\Phi_2(2^t) - \Phi_5(2^t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t = 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 < t \leq \beta, \\ -1, & \text{if } t = 1 + \beta, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 + \beta < t \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\Delta_2 = \begin{cases} 1 + (2^k - 2)^{-2} \left(\sum_{3 \leq t \leq \beta} 2^{t-1} - 2^\beta \right), & \text{if } \beta < k, \\ 1 + (2^k - 2)^{-2} \sum_{3 \leq t \leq k} 2^{t-1}, & \text{if } \beta \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\Delta_2 = \begin{cases} 1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}, & \text{if } \beta < k, \\ 1 + (2^k - 4)(2^k - 2)^{-2}, & \text{if } \beta \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (8.12)$$

We suppose that $p \mid n(n+1)$, $p \mid n(n-1)$ and $p \mid n$, then $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$.

By Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 8.7,

$$p\Phi_2(p) - \Phi_5(p) = 2p - 4,$$

$$\Phi_2(p^t) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p^t \mid n, \\ 0, & \text{if } p^t \nmid n, \end{cases}$$

and for $1 < t \leq k$,

$$\Phi_5(p^t) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \mid n, \\ 0, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$p\Phi_2(p^t) - \Phi_5(p^t) = \begin{cases} 2p - 2, & \text{if } t \leq \beta_1 \\ -2, & \text{if } t = 1 + \beta_1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 + \beta_1 < t \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\Delta_p = \begin{cases} 1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2}(2p - 4) + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \left(\sum_{2 \leq t \leq \beta_1} p^{t-1}(2p - 2) - 2p^{\beta_1} \right), & \text{if } \beta_1 < k, \\ 1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2}(2p - 4) + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \left(\sum_{2 \leq t \leq k} p^{t-1}(2p - 2) \right), & \text{if } \beta_1 \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Consequently

$$\Delta_p = \begin{cases} 1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \beta_1 < k, \\ 1 + 2(p^k - 2)^{-1}, & \text{if } \beta_1 \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (8.13)$$

By (8.11), (8.12) and (8.13), the lemma follows.

Secondly, we consider Π_{1B} .

LEMMA 8.10 We have

$$\Pi_{1B} = \prod_{\substack{p^k \nmid n+1 \\ p \mid n+1, p \nmid n-1}} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p^k \mid n+1 \\ p \nmid n-1}} (1 + (p^k - 4)(p^k - 2)^{-2}).$$

Proof. We have $p \mid n(n+1), p \nmid n(n-1), \beta_1 = \beta_3 = 0, \beta_2 \geq 1$, and by Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 8.7,

$$p\Phi_2(p) - \Phi_5(p) = p - 4,$$

for $1 < t \leq k$,

$$\Phi_2(p^t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p^t \mid n+1, \\ 0, & \text{if } p^t \nmid n+1, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_5(p^t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \mid n+1, \\ 0, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \nmid n+1, \end{cases}$$

then

$$p\Phi_2(p^t) - \Phi_5(p^t) = \begin{cases} p-1, & \text{if } t \leq \beta_2 \\ -1, & \text{if } t = 1 + \beta_2, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 + \beta_2 < t \leq k, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta_p = \begin{cases} 1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2}(p-4) + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \left(\sum_{2 \leq t \leq \beta_2} p^{t-1}(p-1) - p^{\beta_2} \right), & \text{if } \beta_2 < k, \\ 1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2}(p-4) + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \left(\sum_{2 \leq t \leq k} p^{t-1}(p-1) \right), & \text{if } \beta_2 \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Consequently

$$\Delta_p = \begin{cases} 1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \beta_2 < k, \\ 1 + (p^k - 4)(p^k - 2)^{-2}, & \text{if } \beta_2 \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (8.14)$$

By (8.8) and (8.14), the lemma follows.

Now, we consider Π_2 . By $p \nmid n(n+1)$, Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 8.7,

$$\Phi_2(p^t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p^t \mid n-1, \\ 0, & \text{if } p^t \nmid n-1, \end{cases} \quad (8.15)$$

and for $1 < t \leq k$

$$\Phi_5(p^t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \mid n-1, \\ 0, & \text{if } p^{t-1} \nmid n-1. \end{cases} \quad (8.16)$$

LEMMA 8.11 We have

$$\Pi_{2A} = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid n(n+1) \\ p^k \nmid n-1, p \mid n-1}} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p \nmid n(n+1) \\ p^k \nmid n-1}} (1 + (p^k - 4)(p^k - 2)^{-2}).$$

Proof. We have $p \nmid n(n+1), p \mid (n-1), \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0, \beta_3 \geq 1$, and by Lemma 8.6, Lemma 8.7, (8.15) and (8.16),

$$p\Phi_2(p) - \Phi_5(p) = p - 4,$$

and for $1 < t \leq k$, by (8.15) and (8.16),

$$p\Phi_2(p^t) - \Phi_5(p^t) = \begin{cases} p - 1, & \text{if } t \leq \beta_3 \\ -1, & \text{if } t = 1 + \beta_3, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 + \beta_3 < t \leq k, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta_p = \begin{cases} 1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2}(p - 4) + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \left(\sum_{2 \leq t \leq \beta_3} p^{t-1}(p - 1) - p^{\beta_3} \right), & \text{if } \beta_3 < k, \\ 1 + (p^k - 2)^{-2}(p - 4) + (p^k - 2)^{-2} \left(\sum_{2 \leq t \leq k} p^{t-1}(p - 1) \right), & \text{if } \beta_3 \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Consequently

$$\Delta_p = \begin{cases} 1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \beta_3 < k, \\ 1 + (p^k - 4)(p^k - 2)^{-2}, & \text{if } \beta_3 \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (8.17)$$

By (8.9) and (8.17), the lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.12 We have

$$\Pi_{2B} = \prod_{p \nmid n(n+1)(n-1)} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}).$$

Proof. We have $p \nmid n(n+1)(n-1), \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$, and by Lemma 8.6, Lemma 8.7, (8.15) and (8.16),

$$p\Phi_2(p) - \Phi_5(p) = -4,$$

and for $1 < t \leq k$,

$$\Phi_2(p^t) = 0, \quad \Phi_5(p^t) = 0,$$

and

$$p\Phi_2(p^t) - \Phi_5(p^t) = 0, \text{ and } \Delta_p = 1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2},$$

the lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.13 We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(n) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p^k \nmid n}} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{p^k | n} (1 + 2(p^k - 2)^{-1}) \prod_{\substack{2^k | n+1 \\ \text{or } 2^k | n-1}} (1 + (2^k - 4)(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \times \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{2^k \nmid n+1 \\ 2^k \nmid n-1, 2 \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p^k \nmid n+1 \\ p | n+1, p \nmid n-1}} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p^k | n+1 \\ p \nmid n-1}} (1 + (p^k - 4)(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \times \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{p \nmid n(n+1) \\ p^k \nmid n-1, p | n-1}} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p \nmid n(n+1) \\ p^k | n-1}} (1 + (p^k - 4)(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{p \nmid n(n+1)(n-1)} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 8.9, Lemma 8.10, Lemma 8.11, Lemma 8.12 and (8.10), the lemma follows.

LEMMA 8.14 We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(n) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{2^k | n+1 \\ \text{or } 2^k | n-1}} (1 + (2^k - 4)(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{2^k \nmid n+1 \\ 2^k \nmid n-1, 2 \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \times \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{2 | n \\ 2^k \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{2^k | n} (1 + 2(2^k - 2)^{-1}) \prod_{\substack{p^k | n \\ p \neq 2}} \frac{p^k - 2}{p^k - 4} \prod_{\substack{p^k | n+1 \\ p \neq 2}} \frac{p^k - 3}{p^k - 4} \prod_{\substack{p^k | n-1 \\ p \neq 2}} \frac{p^k - 3}{p^k - 4}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 8.13 and

$$\prod_{p \nmid n(n+1)(n-1)} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) = \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p | n(n+1)(n-1) \\ p \neq 2}} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2})^{-1},$$

the lemma follows at once.

9 The function $G(u; s)$

By (7.4),

$$S_E(x, Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}(uv)(uv)^{-s} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds, \quad (9.1)$$

where $\int_{(2)}$ means $\int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty}$.

Write

$$G(u; s) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}(uv)v^{-s}, \quad \Re s > 1,$$

then

$$S_E(x, Q) = \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds. \quad (9.2)$$

Let

$$G_1(u, s) = \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ 2^k | uv}}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}(uv)v^{-s}, \quad G_2(u, s) = \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ 2 | uv, 2^k \nmid uv}}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}(uv)v^{-s},$$

$$G_3(u, s) = \sum_{\substack{2 \nmid uv, v=1 \\ 2^k \nmid uv+1, 2^k \nmid uv-1}}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}(uv)v^{-s}, \quad G_4(u, s) = \sum_{\substack{2 \nmid uv, v=1 \\ 2^k \mid uv+1 \text{ or } 2^k \mid uv-1}}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}(uv)v^{-s},$$

then

$$G(u; s) = G_1(u, s) + G_2(u, s) + G_3(u, s) + G_4(u, s). \quad (9.3)$$

As in [3], for integer a , let

$$\xi_k = e^{2\pi i/k} = e(\frac{1}{k}), \quad \zeta(s; \xi_k^a) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \xi_k^{am} m^{-s}, \quad \zeta(s; a, k) = \sum_{n \equiv a(k)} n^{-s}, \quad \Re s > 1,$$

and for $0 < a \leq 1$, let $\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+a)^{-s}$, $\Re s > 1$.

When $1 \leq a \leq k$, we have $\zeta(s; a, k) = k^{-s} \zeta(s, \frac{a}{k})$.

For odd integer, we define the multiplicative functions $g_1(d), g_2(d)$ as follows

$$g_1(p^t) = \frac{2}{p^k - 4}, \quad g_2(p^t) = \frac{1}{p^k - 4}, \quad t \geq 1, p > 2, \quad (9.4)$$

then

$$\mu(d)^2 g_1(d) \ll \tau(d) d^{-k}, \quad \mu(d)^2 g_2(d) \ll d^{-k}. \quad (9.5)$$

For fixed u, d_1, d_2, d_3 and $\Re s > 1$, write

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(s) &= H_1(s; u, d_1, d_2, d_3) = \sum_{\substack{2^k \mid uv, d_1^k \mid uv \\ d_2^k \mid uv+1, d_3^k \mid uv-1}} v^{-s}, \\ H_2(s) &= H_2(s; u, d_1, d_2, d_3) = \sum_{\substack{2 \mid uv, d_1^k \mid uv \\ d_2^k \mid uv+1, d_3^k \mid uv-1}} v^{-s}, \\ H_3(s) &= H_3(s; u, d_1, d_2, d_3) = \sum_{\substack{d_1^k \mid uv \\ d_2^k \mid uv+1, d_3^k \mid uv-1}} v^{-s}, \\ H_4(s) &= H_4(s; u, d_1, d_2, d_3) = \sum_{\substack{2^k \mid uv+1, d_1^k \mid uv \\ d_2^k \mid uv+1, d_3^k \mid uv-1}} v^{-s}, \\ H_5(s) &= H_5(s; u, d_1, d_2, d_3) = \sum_{\substack{2^k \mid uv-1, d_1^k \mid uv \\ d_2^k \mid uv+1, d_3^k \mid uv-1}} v^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8.14 and (9.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(n) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{2^k \mid n+1 \\ \text{or } 2^k \mid n-1}} (1 + (2^k - 4)(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \times \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{2^k \nmid n+1 \\ 2^k \nmid n-1, 2^k \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{2 \mid n \\ 2^k \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{2^k \mid n} (1 + 2(2^k - 2)^{-1}) \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1^k | n \\ (d_1, 2)=1}} \mu(d_1)^2 g_1(d_1) \sum_{\substack{d_2^k | n+1 \\ (d_2, 2)=1}} \mu(d_2)^2 g_2(d_2) \sum_{\substack{d_3^k | n-1 \\ (d_3, 2)=1}} \mu(d_3)^2 g_2(d_3).$$

If we write

$$h(d_1, d_2, d_3) = \mu(d_1 d_2 d_3)^2 g_1(d_1) g_2(d_2) g_2(d_3),$$

then, by (9.5),

$$h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \ll \tau(d_1) d_1^{-k} d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k}, \quad (9.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(n) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{2^k | n+1 \\ \text{or } 2^k | n-1}} (1 + (2^k - 4)(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \times \\ &\prod_{\substack{2^k \nmid n+1 \\ 2^k \nmid n-1, 2 \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{2 | n \\ 2^k \nmid n}} (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \prod_{2^k | n} (1 + 2(2^k - 2)^{-1}) \times \\ &\sum_{\substack{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1 \\ d_1^k | n, d_2^k | n+1, d_3^k | n-1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3). \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

LEMMA 9.1 For $\Re s > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(u, s) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2})(1 + 2(2^k - 2)^{-1}) \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) H_1(s), \\ G_2(u, s) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2})(1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) (H_2(s) - H_1(s)), \\ G_3(u, s) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2})(1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) (H_3(s) - H_2(s) - \\ &H_4(s) - H_5(s)), \\ G_4(u, s) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2})(1 + (2^k - 4)(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) (H_4(s) + \\ &H_5(s)), \\ G(u, s) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) (2^{k+1}(2^k - 2)^{-2} H_1(s) + \\ &(1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) H_3(s) + 2^k (2^k - 2)^{-2} H_4(s) + 2^k (2^k - 2)^{-2} H_5(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (9.3) and (9.7), the lemma follows.

We only consider the case: $\mu(d_1 d_2 d_3)^2 = 1$, $(d_2 d_3, u) = 1$. Write

$$\frac{2^k d_1^k u}{(u, 2^k d_1^k)} b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \quad \frac{2^k d_1^k u}{(u, 2^k d_1^k)} b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}, \quad (9.8)$$

$$\begin{cases} d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \\ d_2^k \overline{d_2^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}, \end{cases} \quad (9.9)$$

$$A_1 \equiv -b_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + b_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k} \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}, \quad 1 \leq A_1 \leq d_2^k d_3^k, (A_1, d_2 d_3) = 1, \quad (9.10)$$

$$\frac{d_1^k u}{(u, d_1^k)} b_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \quad \frac{d_1^k u}{(u, d_1^k)} b_6 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}, \quad (9.11)$$

$$A_3 \equiv -b_5 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + b_6 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k} \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}, \quad 1 \leq A_3 \leq d_2^k d_3^k, (A_3, d_2 d_3) = 1, \quad (9.12)$$

$$\frac{d_1^k u}{(u, d_1^k)} b_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^k d_2^k}, \quad \frac{d_1^k u}{(u, d_1^k)} b_8 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}, \quad (9.13)$$

$$\begin{cases} d_3^k \overline{(d_3^k)} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^k d_2^k}, \\ 2^k d_2^k \overline{(2^k d_2^k)} \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}, \end{cases} \quad (9.14)$$

$$A_4 \equiv -b_7 d_3^k \overline{(d_3^k)} + b_8 2^k d_2^k \overline{(2^k d_2^k)} \pmod{2^k d_2^k d_3^k}, \quad 1 \leq A_4 \leq 2^k d_2^k d_3^k, (A_4, 2d_2 d_3) = 1, \quad (9.15)$$

$$\frac{d_1^k u}{(u, d_1^k)} b_9 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \quad \frac{d_1^k u}{(u, d_1^k)} b_{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^k d_3^k}, \quad (9.16)$$

$$\begin{cases} 2^k d_3^k \overline{\{2^k d_3^k\}} \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \\ d_2^k \overline{\{d_2^k\}} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^k d_3^k}, \end{cases} \quad (9.17)$$

$$A_5 \equiv -b_9 2^k d_3^k \overline{\{2^k d_3^k\}} + b_{10} d_2^k \overline{\{d_2^k\}} \pmod{2^k d_2^k d_3^k}, \quad 1 \leq A_5 \leq 2^k d_2^k d_3^k, (A_5, 2d_2 d_3) = 1. \quad (9.18)$$

LEMMA 9.2 For $\Re s > 1$, we have

$$H_1(s) = \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^s}{2^{ks} d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_1, d_2^k d_3^k), \quad H_3(s) = \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_3, d_2^k d_3^k),$$

$$H_4(s) = \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_4, 2^k d_2^k d_3^k), \quad H_5(s) = \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_5, 2^k d_2^k d_3^k).$$

Proof. We have

$$2^k \mid uv, d_1^k \mid uv, d_2^k \mid uv + 1, d_3^k \mid uv - 1.$$

Write $a = \frac{2^k d_1^k}{(u, 2^k d_1^k)}$, $v = av_1$, then

$$uav_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \quad uav_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}.$$

By (9.8) and (9.9),

$$\begin{cases} v_1 \equiv -b_1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \\ v_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{d_3^k}, \end{cases}$$

hence, by (9.10), we obtain $v_1 \equiv A_1 \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}$ and

$$H_1(s) = a^{-s} \sum_{v_1 \equiv A_1 \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}} v_1^{-s} = a^{-s} \zeta(s; A_1, d_2^k d_3^k).$$

Similarly, the formulas for $H_3(s)$, $H_4(s)$ and $H_5(s)$ follow from (9.11), (9.12), (9.13), (9.14), (9.15), (9.16), (9.17) and (9.18), and the lemma follows.

We use the following results of [3].

Let $G(s) = -i(2\pi)^{s-1} \Gamma(1-s)$, then

$$\zeta(s; a, k) = G(s) k^{-s} (e^{\frac{1}{2}i\pi s} \zeta(1-s; \xi_k^a) - e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\pi s} \zeta(1-s; \xi_k^{-a})). \quad (9.19)$$

By Lemma 9.1 and Lemma 9.2 ($\Re s > 1$),

$$\begin{aligned} G(u; s) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \times \\ &\quad \{ 2^{k+1} (2^k - 2)^{-2} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^s}{2^{ks} d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_1, d_2^k d_3^k) \\ &\quad + (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_3, d_2^k d_3^k) \\ &\quad + 2^k (2^k - 2)^{-2} \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_4, 2^k d_2^k d_3^k) \\ &\quad + 2^k (2^k - 2)^{-2} \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_5, 2^k d_2^k d_3^k) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.20)$$

We take (9.20) as the analytic continuation of $G(u; s)$, $\Re s > \frac{1}{k} - 1$, and $G(u; s)$ has at most one simple pole at $s = 1$.

Write

$$G_{(1)}(u, s) = \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^s}{2^{ks} d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_1, d_2^k d_3^k),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{(3)}(u, s) &= \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_3, d_2^k d_3^k), \\
G_{(4)}(u, s) &= \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_4, 2^k d_2^k d_3^k), \\
G_{(5)}(u, s) &= \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{(u, d_1^k)^s}{d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_5, 2^k d_2^k d_3^k),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
G(u; s) &= \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) 2^{k+1} (2^k - 2)^{-2} G_{(1)}(u, s) \\
&\quad + \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) (1 - 4(2^k - 2)^{-2}) G_{(3)}(u, s) \\
&\quad + \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) 2^k (2^k - 2)^{-2} G_{(4)}(u, s) \\
&\quad + \varrho^2 \prod_{p \neq 2} (1 - 4(p^k - 2)^{-2}) 2^k (2^k - 2)^{-2} G_{(5)}(u, s).
\end{aligned} \tag{9.21}$$

10 The formula for $S_E(x, Q)$

Let $T > 1$, by (9.2),

$$S_E(x, Q) = \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\int_{2+iT}^{2+i\infty} + \int_{2-iT}^{2+iT} + \int_{2-i\infty}^{2-iT}) u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds.$$

We have

$$\sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\int_{2+iT}^{2+i\infty} + \int_{2-i\infty}^{2-iT}) u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \ll \sum_{u \leq Q} u^{-2} x^3 T^{-1} \ll x^3 T^{-1},$$

therefore

$$S_E(x, Q) = \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-iT}^{2+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds + O(x^3 T^{-1}) = A + O(x^3 T^{-1}), \tag{10.1}$$

where

$$A = \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-iT}^{2+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds.$$

Let $\frac{1}{k} - 1 + \tau \leq \sigma < 0$, by residue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds + \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-iT}^{\sigma-iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma+iT}^{2+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds + \text{Res}_{s=0,1} \left(\sum_{u \leq Q} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 10.1 For fixed $\frac{1}{k} - 1 + \tau \leq \sigma \leq 2$, $|t| \geq 1$ and $s = \sigma + it$, we have the Stirling

formula

$$\Gamma(s) = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|} |t|^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{it(\log|t|-1)} e^{\lambda \frac{i\pi}{2}(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} (1 + O(|t|^{-1})),$$

where $\lambda = 1$ if $t > 0$, and $\lambda = -1$ if $t < 0$; and

$$G(u; s) \ll |t| + |t|^{1-\sigma}, \quad \frac{1}{k} - 1 + \tau \leq \Re s \leq 2, \quad |t| \geq 1.$$

Proof. The first part is the Corollary 3.3.3 of [5], or see 4.42 of [6].

By Theorem 7.1.1 of [5], for $-1 < \Re s \leq 2$, $y \geq 0$

$$\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{0 \leq n \leq y} \frac{1}{(n+a)^s} + \frac{(y+a)^{1-s}}{s-1} + \frac{y-[y]-\frac{1}{2}}{(y+a)^s} - s \int_y^\infty \frac{u-[u]-\frac{1}{2}}{(u+a)^{s+1}} du.$$

When $\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we choose $y = 1$, then

$$\zeta(s, a) = a^{-s} + (1+a)^{-s} + \frac{(1+a)^{1-s}}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2}(1+a)^{-s} - s \int_1^\infty \frac{u-[u]-\frac{1}{2}}{(u+a)^{s+1}} du,$$

hence

$$\zeta(s, a) \ll a^{-\sigma} + |t|, \quad |t| \geq 1.$$

When $\frac{1}{k} - 1 < \Re s \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we choose $y = |t| \geq 1$, then

$$\zeta(s, a) \ll a^{-\sigma} + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq |t|} \frac{1}{(n+a)^\sigma} + |t|^{1-\sigma} + |s| \int_{|t|}^\infty \frac{u-[u]-\frac{1}{2}}{(u+a)^{s+1}} du,$$

by partial integrations,

$$|s| \int_{|t|}^\infty \frac{u-[u]-\frac{1}{2}}{(u+a)^{s+1}} du \ll |t|^{1-\sigma} + |t|^2 |t|^{-\sigma-1} \ll |t|^{1-\sigma}.$$

We have

$$\zeta(s, a) \ll a^{-\sigma} + |t| + |t|^{1-\sigma}, \quad \frac{1}{k} - 1 + \tau \leq \Re s \leq 2, \quad |t| \geq 1.$$

By (9.6), (9.21) and $1 \leq A_1, A_3, A_4, A_5 \ll d_2^k d_3^k$,

$$G(u; s) \ll 1 + |t| + |t|^{1-\sigma}, \quad \frac{1}{k} - 1 + \tau \leq \Re s \leq 2, \quad |t| \geq 1,$$

the lemma follows.

By Lemma 10.1,

$$\sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds, \quad \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma+iT}^{2+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \ll x^3 Q^{1-\sigma} T^{-\frac{1}{k}},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \\ &+ \text{Res}_{s=0,1} \left(\sum_{u \leq Q} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \right) + O(x^3 Q^{1-\sigma} T^{-\frac{1}{k}}). \end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

Write

$$B_1 = \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} G_{(1)}(u, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds,$$

then, by (9.19),

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^s}{2^{ks} d_1^{ks}} \zeta(s; A_1, d_2^k d_3^k) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \\
&= \sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^s}{2^{ks} d_1^{ks}} G(s) (d_2 d_3)^{-ks} (e^{\frac{1}{2}i\pi s} \zeta(1-s; \xi_{d_2^k d_3^k}^{A_1}) - \\
&e^{\frac{-1}{2}i\pi s} \zeta(1-s; \xi_{d_2^k d_3^k}^{-A_1})) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds = B_{11} + B_{12}, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^s}{2^{ks} d_1^{ks}} G(s) (d_2 d_3)^{-ks} e^{\frac{1}{2}i\pi s} \zeta(1-s; \xi_{d_2^k d_3^k}^{A_1}) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^s}{2^{ks} d_1^{ks}} G(s) (d_2 d_3)^{-ks} e^{\frac{1}{2}i\pi s} \xi_{d_2^k d_3^k}^{A_1 m} m^{-(1-s)} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \\
&= e^{\frac{1}{2}i\pi\sigma} x^{\sigma+1} u^{-\sigma} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{\sigma}}{2^{k\sigma} d_1^{k\sigma}} (d_2 d_3)^{-k\sigma} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \xi_{d_2^k d_3^k}^{A_1 m} m^{-1+\sigma} \int_{-T}^T u^{-it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{it}}{2^{kti} d_1^{kti}} G(\sigma+it) (d_2 d_3)^{-kti} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi t} m^{it} \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{x^{it}}{(\sigma+it)(1+\sigma+it)} dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-T}^T u^{-it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{it}}{2^{kti} d_1^{kti}} G(\sigma+it) (d_2 d_3)^{-kti} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi t} m^{it} \frac{x^{it}}{(\sigma+it)(1+\sigma+it)} dt \\
&\ll (\int_1^T + \int_{-T}^{-1}) u^{-it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{it}}{2^{kti} d_1^{kti}} G(\sigma+it) (d_2 d_3)^{-kti} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi t} m^{it} \frac{x^{it}}{(\sigma+it)(1+\sigma+it)} dt + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $1 \leq T_1 \leq T_2 \leq 2T_1$. By Lemma 10.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T_1}^{T_2} u^{-it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{it}}{2^{kti} d_1^{kti}} G(\sigma+it) (d_2 d_3)^{-kti} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi t} m^{it} \frac{x^{it}}{(\sigma+it)(1+\sigma+it)} dt \\
&= -i \int_{T_1}^{T_2} u^{-it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{it}}{2^{kti} d_1^{kti}} (2\pi)^{\sigma+it-1} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} t^{1-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-it(\log t-1)} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}(1-\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} (1+O(t^{-1})) \times \\
&(d_2 d_3)^{-kti} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi t} m^{it} \frac{x^{it}}{(\sigma+it)(1+\sigma+it)} dt \ll T_1^{-\frac{3}{2}-\sigma},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T_1}^{T_2} u^{it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{-it}}{2^{-kti} d_1^{-kti}} G(\sigma-it) (d_2 d_3)^{kti} e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi t} m^{-it} \frac{x^{-it}}{(\sigma-it)(1+\sigma-it)} dt \\
&= -i(2\pi)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} u^{it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{-it}}{2^{-kti} d_1^{-kti}} (2\pi)^{-it} t^{1-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{it(\log t-1)} (1+O(t^{-1})) \times \\
&(d_2 d_3)^{kti} m^{-it} \frac{x^{-it}}{(\sigma-it)(1+\sigma-it)} dt \\
&= i(2\pi)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} u^{it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{-it}}{2^{-kti} d_1^{-kti}} (2\pi)^{-it} t^{-\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} e^{it(\log t-1)} (d_2 d_3)^{kti} m^{-it} x^{-it} dt + O(T_1^{-\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Write

$$B = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} u^{it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{-it}}{2^{-kti} d_1^{-kti}} (2\pi)^{-it} t^{-\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} e^{it(\log t-1)} (d_2 d_3)^{kti} m^{-it} x^{-it} dt = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} t^{-\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} e^{iF(t)} dt,$$

where

$$F(t) = t \log u - t \log(u, 2^k d_1^k) + kt \log(2d_1 d_2 d_3) - t \log(2\pi) + t(\log t - 1) - t \log(mx).$$

$$F''(t) = t^{-1},$$

therefore, by Lemma 4.4 of [7] and by partial integrations,

$$\int_{T_1}^{T_2} e^{iF(t)} dt \ll T_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad B \ll T_1^{-1-\sigma}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{-T}^T u^{-it} \frac{(u, 2^k d_1^k)^{it}}{2^{kti} d_1^{kti}} G(\sigma + it) (d_2 d_3)^{-kti} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi t} m^{it} \times \frac{x^{it}}{(\sigma + it)(1 + \sigma + it)} dt \ll 1,$$

and, by (9.6),

$$B_{11} \ll \sum_{u \leq Q} x^{\sigma+1} u^{-\sigma} \ll x^{\sigma+1} Q^{1-\sigma}.$$

The same proof works for B_{12} , then

$$B_{12} \ll x^{\sigma+1} Q^{1-\sigma},$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} G_{(1)}(u, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \ll x^{\sigma+1} Q^{1-\sigma}.$$

Similarly, we deduce

$$\sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} G_{(j)}(u, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \ll x^{\sigma+1} Q^{1-\sigma}, \quad j = 3, 4, 5.$$

By (9.21),

$$\sum_{u \leq Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \ll x^{\sigma+1} Q^{1-\sigma}. \quad (10.3)$$

Let $T \rightarrow \infty$, by (10.1), (10.2) and (10.3),

$$S_E(x, Q) = \text{Res}_{s=0,1} \left(\sum_{u \leq Q} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \right) + O(x^{\sigma+1} Q^{1-\sigma}). \quad (10.4)$$

We have

$$\text{Res}_{s=1} \left(\sum_{u \leq Q} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \right) = c_2(Q) x^2, \quad (10.5)$$

where $c_2(Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \leq Q} u^{-1} Res_{s=1} G(u; s)$, $c_2(Q)$ is independence of x .

We need the value $\zeta(0; a, k) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{k}$, $1 \leq a \leq k$.

$$Res_{s=0} \left(\sum_{u \leq Q} u^{-s} G(u; s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \right) = x \sum_{u \leq Q} G(u; 0). \quad (10.6)$$

LEMMA 10.2 We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(1)}(u, 0) &= c_{31}Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), & \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(3)}(u, 0) &= c_{33}Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), \\ \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(4)}(u, 0) &= c_{34}Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), & \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(5)}(u, 0) &= c_{35}Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{31}, c_{33}, c_{34}, c_{35}$ are independence of Q .

Proof. We only consider the case $(d_i, d_l) = 1, i \neq l$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(1)}(u, 0) &= \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \zeta(0; A_1, d_2^k d_3^k) \\ &= \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \left(\frac{1}{2} - A_1 d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10.7)$$

We have

$$\sum_{\substack{u \leq Q \\ (m, u)=1}} 1 = Q \sum_{t|m} \mu(t) t^{-1} + O(\tau(m)),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \sum_{\substack{u \leq Q \\ (d_2 d_3, u)=1}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \left(Q \sum_{t|d_2 d_3} \mu(t) t^{-1} + O(\tau(d_2 d_3)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore, by (9.6),

$$\sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} Q \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \sum_{t|d_2 d_3} \mu(t) t^{-1} + O(1). \quad (10.8)$$

Write

$$C = \sum_{\substack{u \leq Q \\ (d_2 d_3, u)=1}} A_1 = \sum_{\substack{u \leq Q \\ (d_2 d_3, u)=1}} \{-b_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + b_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}\}',$$

where $A_1 = \{-b_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + b_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}\}'$ means $1 \leq A_1 \leq d_2^k d_3^k, (A_1, d_2 d_3) = 1$.

Let $(u, 2^k d_1^k) = j$, $u = ju_1$, then, by (9.8), (9.9) and (9.10),

$$2^k d_1^k u_1 b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, \quad 2^k d_1^k u_1 b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k},$$

and

$$C = \sum_{j_1=1}^{d_2^k} ' \sum_{j_2=1}^{d_3^k} \{-j_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + j_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}\}' \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} D,$$

where

$$D = \#\{u_1 : u_1 \leq Qj^{-1}, (u_1, 2^k d_1^k j^{-1}) = 1, 2^k d_1^k u_1 j_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, 2^k d_1^k u_1 j_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}\}.$$

$$D = \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) \sum_{\substack{u \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1} \\ u 2^k d_1^k t j_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_2^k}, u 2^k d_1^k t j_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d_3^k}}} 1 = \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) \sum_{\substack{u \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1} \\ u \equiv J \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}}} 1,$$

where

$$J \equiv \overline{2^k d_1^k t j_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k}} + \overline{2^k d_1^k t j_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}} \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}, \text{ and } 1 \leq J \leq d_2^k d_3^k. \quad (10.9)$$

We have

$$\sum_{\substack{u \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1} \\ u \equiv J \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}}} 1 = \begin{cases} Qj^{-1} t^{-1} d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} + O((Qj^{-1} t^{-1} d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k})^{\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon}), & \text{if } d_2^k d_3^k \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1}, \\ 1, & \text{if } d_2^k d_3^k > Qj^{-1} t^{-1} \geq J, \\ 0, & \text{if } d_2^k d_3^k \geq J > Qj^{-1} t^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{u \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, u)=1 \\ (d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) A_1 d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} \\ &= \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} \sum_{j_1=1}^{d_2^k} ' \sum_{j_2=1}^{d_3^k} \{-j_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + j_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}\}' \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) \sum_{\substack{u \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1} \\ u \equiv J \pmod{d_2^k d_3^k}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(d_1, 2)=1} \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) \sum_{d_2^k d_3^k \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} \times \\ & \quad \sum_{j_1=1}^{d_2^k} ' \sum_{j_2=1}^{d_3^k} \{-j_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + j_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}\}' (Qj^{-1} t^{-1} d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} + O((Qj^{-1} t^{-1} d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k})^{\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon})) \\ &+ \sum_{(d_1, 2)=1} \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) \sum_{d_2^k d_3^k > Qj^{-1} t^{-1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} \sum_{j_1=1}^{d_2^k} ' \sum_{\substack{j_2=1 \\ 1 \leq J \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1}}}^{d_3^k} \{-j_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + \\ & j_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}\}'. \end{aligned}$$

By (9.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(d_1, 2)=1} \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) \sum_{\substack{(d_2 d_3, 2)=1 \\ d_2^k d_3^k \leq Qj^{-1} t^{-1}}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} \times \\ & \quad \sum_{j_1=1}^{d_2^k} ' \sum_{j_2=1}^{d_3^k} \{-j_1 d_3^k \overline{d_3^k} + j_2 d_2^k \overline{d_2^k}\}' (Qj^{-1} t^{-1} d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} + O((Qj^{-1} t^{-1} d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k})^{\frac{1}{k} + \varepsilon})) \end{aligned}$$

$$= Q \sum_{(d_1 d_2 d_3, 2)=1} \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) j^{-1} t^{-1} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) d_2^{-2k} d_3^{-2k} \sum_{a=1}^{d_2^k d_3^k} 'a + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}),$$

we note that : if $tj > Q$, this will produce the error term $O(1)$, therefore the above formula is valid.

By (9.6) and (10.9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(d_1, 2)=1} \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t) \sum_{d_2^k d_3^k > Q j^{-1} t^{-1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} \times \\ & \sum_{j_1=1}^{d_2^k} ' \sum_{\substack{j_2=1 \\ 1 \leq J \leq Q j^{-1} t^{-1}}}^{d_3^k} ' \{-j_1 d_3^k \bar{d}_3^k + j_2 d_2^k \bar{d}_2^k\}' \\ & \ll \sum_{(d_1, 2)=1} \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t)^2 \sum_{d_2^k d_3^k > Q j^{-1} t^{-1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) d_2^{-k} d_3^{-k} d_2^k d_3^k Q j^{-1} t^{-1} \\ & \ll Q \sum_{(d_1, 2)=1} \sum_{j|2^k d_1^k} \sum_{t|2^k d_1^k j^{-1}} \mu(t)^2 j^{-1} t^{-1} \sum_{d_2^k d_3^k > Q j^{-1} t^{-1}} h(d_1, d_2, d_3) \ll Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (10.7) and (10.8),

$$\sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(1)}(u, 0) = c_{31} Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}),$$

where c_{31} is independence of Q .

Similarly, by the same method, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(3)}(u, 0) &= c_{33} Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), \quad \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(4)}(u, 0) = c_{34} Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), \\ \sum_{u \leq Q} G_{(5)}(u, 0) &= c_{35} Q + O(Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where c_{33}, c_{34}, c_{35} are independence of Q , the lemma follows.

Consequently, for $\frac{1}{k} - 1 + \tau \leq \sigma < 0$, by Lemma 10.2, (9.21), (10.4), (10.5) and (10.6),

$$S_E(x, Q) = c_2(Q) x^2 + c_3 x Q + O(x^{\sigma+1} Q^{1-\sigma} + x Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}),$$

by choosing $\sigma = \frac{1}{k} - 1 + \tau < 0$, we deduce

$$S_E(x, Q) = c_2(Q) x^2 + c_3 x Q + O(x^{\frac{1}{k}+\tau} Q^{2-\frac{1}{k}-\tau} + x Q^{\frac{1}{k}+\varepsilon}), \quad (10.10)$$

where $c_2(Q)$ is independence of x , and c_3 is independence of x, Q .

11 Proof of the Theorem

When $k = 2$, the Theorem follows from Lemma 5.2. We suppose that $k > 2$.

We also note that $R = x^{\frac{1}{2}+\tau}$. By (4.33), (5.6), (6.4), (7.2) and (10.9),

$$S_1(x, Q) = 2c_2(Q)x^2 + 2c_3xQ + [Q] \sum_{n \leq x} \mu_k(n)\mu_k(n+1) + O(x^{\frac{1}{k}+\tau}Q^{2-\frac{1}{k}-\tau} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}+2\tau}) \quad (11.1)$$

By Theorem 1 of [4],

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu_k(n)\mu_k(n+1) = \varrho x + O(x^{\frac{2}{k+1}}),$$

where ϱ is defined by (4.17).

Hence, by (1.5), (1.6), (2.32), (3.4) and (11.1),

$$Y_k(x, Q) = c_4(Q)x^2 + c_5xQ + O(x^{\frac{1}{k}+\tau}Q^{2-\frac{1}{k}-\tau} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}+2\tau}),$$

and

$$c_4(Q)x^2 + c_5xQ + O(x^{\frac{1}{k}+\tau}Q^{2-\frac{1}{k}-\tau} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}+2\tau}) \ll x^{\frac{2}{k}+\varepsilon}Q^{2-\frac{2}{k}} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}+\varepsilon}, \quad (11.2)$$

where $c_4(Q)$ is independence of x , and c_5 is independence of x, Q . (11.2) is valid for all

$1 < Q \leq x$.

For fixed Q , we divide both sides of (11.2) by x^2 and let $x \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce $c_4(Q) = 0$

for all $Q > 1$.

hence

$$Y_k(x, Q) = c_5xQ + O(x^{\frac{1}{k}+\tau}Q^{2-\frac{1}{k}-\tau} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}+2\tau}),$$

and

$$c_5xQ + O(x^{\frac{1}{k}+\tau}Q^{2-\frac{1}{k}-\tau} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}+2\tau}) \ll x^{\frac{2}{k}+\varepsilon}Q^{2-\frac{2}{k}} + x^{\frac{4}{k+1}+\varepsilon}, \quad (11.3)$$

We divide both sides of (11.3) by xQ , by choosing $Q = x^{\frac{5}{6}}$ and let $x \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$c_5 = 0$.

Consequently,

$$Y_k(x, Q) = O(x^{\frac{1}{k}+\tau} Q^{2-\frac{1}{k}-\tau} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2k}+2\tau}),$$

since τ is a sufficiently small positive number, the Theorem follows at once.

References

- [1] J. Brüdern, A. Granville, A. Perelli, R. C. Vaughan & T. D. Wooley, On the exponential sum over k -free numbers, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London A* 356 (1998) 739-761.
- [2] J. Brüdern, A. Perelli & T. D. Wooley, Twins of k -free numbers and their exponential sum, *Michigan Math.J.* 47 (2000) 173-190.
- [3] T.Estermann, On the representations of a number as the sum of two products, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 31(1930) 123-133.
- [4] Z.Z.Meng, Some new results on k -free numbers, *J.Number Theory*, 121(2006) 45-66.
- [5] C.D.Pan.& C.B.Pan, *The foundation of analytic number theory*(in Chinese),Beijing, Science Press, 1997.
- [6] E.C.Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, Oxford University Press,1952.
- [7] E.C.Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd edn, revised by D.R.Heath-Brown(Oxford University Press,1986).
- [8] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*,2nd edn,Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 125(Cambridge University Press,1997).
- [9] R. C. Vaughan, A variance for k -free numbers in arithmetic progressions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 91(2005) 573-597.

School of Mathematical Sciences

Peking University

Beijing, 100871, P.R.China

E-mail: mzzh@math.pku.edu.cn