

Campedelli surfaces with fundamental group of order 8

Margarida Mendes Lopes* Rita Pardini* Miles Reid

Abstract

Let S be a Campedelli surface (a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 2$), and $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$ an étale cover of degree 8. We prove that the canonical model \bar{Y} of Y is a complete intersection of four quadrics $\bar{Y} = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3 \cap Q_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$. As a consequence, Y is the universal cover of S , the covering group $G = \text{Gal}(Y/S)$ is the topological fundamental group $\pi_1 S$ and G cannot be the dihedral group D_4 of order 8.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 14J29.

1 Introduction

Let Y be a minimal surface of general type with $K_Y^2 = 16$ and $p_g = 7$, $q = 0$, having a free action by a group G of order 8. Write $\varphi: Y \dashrightarrow \bar{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ for the 1-canonical map, with image \bar{Y} . We prove the following:

Theorem 1.1 *The surface $\bar{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ is the complete intersection of 4 quadrics. It is isomorphic to the canonical model of Y .*

Theorem 1.1 is known if $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ by Miyaoka [Mi], Theorem B; in this case there are four linearly independent diagonal quadrics through \bar{Y} , which necessarily form a regular sequence defining \bar{Y} . We thus assume throughout that G is a group of order 8 and contains an element of order 4.

*The first author is a member of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry and Dynamical Systems, Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisboa. The second is a member of G.N.S.A.G.A.–I.N.d.A.M. This research was partially supported by the Italian project “Geometria delle varietà algebriche e dei loro spazi di moduli” (PRIN COFIN 2006) and by FCT (Portugal) through program POCTI/FEDER.

Corollary 1.2 *Let S be a Campedelli surface and $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$ an étale cover of degree 8. Then Y is the universal cover of S and the covering group $G = \text{Gal}(Y/S)$ is the topological fundamental group $\pi_1 S$.*

Corollary 1.3 *The dihedral group D_4 of order 8 is not the fundamental group of a Campedelli surface.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of two parts, the first of which is now quite standard (compare Reid [Re2], Naie [Na], Konno [Ko]):

Proposition 1.4 (i) *The canonical linear system $|K_Y|$ on Y is free and defines a morphism $\varphi: Y \rightarrow \bar{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ that is birational to its image.*

(ii) *If \bar{Y} is not a complete intersection of four quadrics, its quadric hull (the intersection of all quadrics containing \bar{Y}) is a 3-fold X of degree 4, 5 or 6.*

(iii) *Moreover, in these three cases, \bar{Y} is contained in a hypersurface F_d of \mathbb{P}^6 not containing X , of degree $d = 6, 4, 3$ respectively.*

The second part analyses the possible cases $\bar{Y} \subset X$, with ad hoc arguments involving the G -action to rule out each case; see Section 3.

1.1 The background

A Campedelli surface is a surface S of general type with $p_g = 0$, $K^2 = 2$. The algebraic fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(S)$ classifies finite étale covers $Y \rightarrow S$, and is the profinite completion of the topological fundamental group $\pi_1 S$. Results of Beauville [Be] and Reid [Re1], [Re2] (see also Mendes Lopes and Pardini [MP1]) guarantee that S has no irregular covers, and that an étale cover $Y \rightarrow S$ has degree ≤ 9 . The reasons underlying [Re1], Theorem 1.1 and all related results are as follows:

Principle 1.5 (1) *The automorphism group G acts on any intrinsically defined feature of Y : for example, the base points or base -2 -cycles of $|K_Y|$ occur in multiples of 8.*

(2) *If a subgroup $H \subset G$ normalises a subscheme $Z \subset Y$, its order $|H|$ divides the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z)$; for example, if Y has an intrinsically defined genus g pencil $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $H \subset G$ fixes $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$ then $|H|$ divides $\chi(\mathcal{O}_F) = g - 1$, where $F = \psi^*P$.*

It seems most likely that all groups of order ≤ 9 except the dihedral groups of order 8 and 6 occur as $\pi_1 S$. The case $|\pi_1 S| = 9$ was treated in detail in Mendes Lopes and Pardini [MP2]. Here we treat $|\pi_1 S| = 8$, patching up the incomplete manuscript [Re2]. Naie [Na] obtained similar results for $|\pi_1 S| = 6$ using similar methods. Campedelli surfaces with $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}/8$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$ are contained in passing in Barlow [Ba]. Beauville [Be2] constructs a family of Calabi–Yau 3-folds with π_1 the quaternion group H_8 , and Campedelli surfaces with the same π_1 are obtained by taking the unique invariant section $X_1 = 0$ of this.

1.2 Representations of G and proof of Corollary 1.3

Let $Y \rightarrow S$ be the universal cover of a Campedelli surface with group G . Then G acts naturally on $H^0(K_Y)$ and $H^0(2K_Y)$. Since the G -action is free, $H^0(K_Y)$ is the regular representation of G minus the trivial rank 1 representation, and $H^0(2K_Y)$ is three times the regular representation (for example, by [Re4, Corollary 8.6]). Finally, the G -equivariant multiplication map

$$S^2 H^0(K_Y) \rightarrow H^0(2K_Y) \tag{1.1}$$

is surjective by Theorem 1.1.

These remarks allow one to show that the group G is not the dihedral group, and to describe explicitly Y and the G -action for all the remaining groups of order 8.

Let $G = D_4$ be the dihedral group of order 8. Write 1 for the trivial rank 1 representation, and ρ for the sole irreducible rank 2 representation; let $\chi_1 := \bigwedge^2 \rho$, χ_2 and χ_3 be the remaining rank 1 representations. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(K_Y) &= \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2 \oplus \chi_3 \oplus \rho^{\oplus 2}, \\ H^0(2K_Y) &= 1^{\oplus 3} \oplus \chi_1^{\oplus 3} \oplus \chi_2^{\oplus 3} \oplus \chi_3^{\oplus 3} \oplus \rho^{\oplus 6}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Using the decomposition of $H^0(K_Y)$, one computes:

$$S^2 H^0(K_Y) = 1^{\oplus 6} \oplus \chi_1^{\oplus 2} \oplus \chi_2^{\oplus 4} \oplus \chi_3^{\oplus 4} \oplus \rho^{\oplus 6}. \tag{1.3}$$

Clearly the equivariant map (1.1) cannot be surjective. This contradicts Theorem 1.1 and proves Corollary 1.3.

2 Proof of Proposition 1.4

The canonical map $\varphi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$ is a morphism by Ciliberto, Mendes Lopes and Pardini [CMP, Proposition 5.2] and is birational to its image \overline{Y} by [CMP, Proposition 5.3]. Thus \overline{Y} is an irreducible surface of degree 16. Since

$$\dim S^2 H^0(Y, K_Y) = \binom{8}{2} = 28 \quad \text{and} \quad h^0(Y, 2K_Y) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) + K_Y^2 = 24,$$

the multiplication map $S^2 H^0(Y, K_Y) \rightarrow H^0(Y, 2K_Y)$ has kernel of dimension ≥ 4 ; that is, \overline{Y} is contained in at least 4 linearly independent quadrics.

Let Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 be four linearly independent quadrics through \overline{Y} . We are done if \overline{Y} is an irreducible component of $Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_4$. For in turn, if any of Q_1 or $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ or $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ or $Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_4$ is reducible, then $\deg \overline{Y} < 16$. This is impossible, so $\overline{Y} = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_4$ is a complete intersection of 4 quadrics. Then \overline{Y} is Gorenstein with $K_{\overline{Y}} = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}}(1)$ and $K_Y = \varphi^* K_{\overline{Y}}$. Therefore it has canonical singularities and is the canonical model of Y .

Write $\text{Quad}(\overline{Y}) \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ for the *quadric hull* of \overline{Y} , the intersection of all the quadrics through \overline{Y} , following [Re3] and Konno [Ko]. The alternative to \overline{Y} a complete intersection of four quadrics is that $\text{Quad}(\overline{Y})$ has a component X strictly containing \overline{Y} . Then X is a 3-fold of degree 4, 5 or 6 and is the unique component of $\text{Quad}(\overline{Y})$ containing \overline{Y} .

Indeed, by elementary inequalities due to Castelnuovo, an irreducible m -fold X spanning \mathbb{P}^N is contained in at most

$$\binom{N - m + 2}{2} - \min\{\deg X, 2(N - m) + 1\} \quad (2.1)$$

linearly independent quadrics. See for example the discussion in [Re3] or [Ko, Corollary 1.5]. The equality $X = \text{Quad}(\overline{Y})$ follows by [Ko, Corollary 2.6]. The estimate on d follows from (2.1) or by [Ko, Proposition 1.3].

Finally, in the three cases for d , crude estimates give that the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^6, \mathcal{O}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(k))$$

has rank

$$\begin{aligned} &= 252 \quad \text{for } d = 4, k = 6, \quad \text{whereas } h^0(6K_Y) = 248; \\ &\geq 105 \quad \text{for } d = 5, k = 4, \quad \text{whereas } h^0(4K_Y) = 104; \\ &\geq 58 \quad \text{for } d = 6, k = 3, \quad \text{whereas } h^0(3K_Y) = 56 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

(compare [Re3] and [Ko, Lemma 1.8]). This proves Proposition 1.4.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We exclude the cases of Proposition 1.4, (ii) by studying the G -action on $\overline{Y} \subset X$, treating separately the cases $\deg X = 4, 5$ or 6 . In any case, X is linearly normal, since $Y \rightarrow \overline{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ is given by the complete canonical system, and is regular, since Y is.

3.1 G -invariant linear systems on Y

The following lemmas group together a number of restrictions on G -invariant linear systems on Y , that we use several times in what follows. Their proofs are applications of Principle 1.5.

Lemma 3.1 *A G -invariant linear system $|D|$ on Y with $D^2 = 2$ has a fixed part.*

Proof Assume by contradiction that $|D|$ has no fixed part. Since G acts on the base locus of $|D|$, $D^2 = 2$ implies $|D|$ is free. Hence $|D|$ defines a G -equivariant 2-to-1 morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Since we assume that G has an element of order 4, this contradicts Beauville [Be, Corollary 5.8].

Lemma 3.2 *Let $|F|$ be a G -invariant pencil on Y with $K_Y F \leq 8$. Then $|F|$ is free and $K_Y F = 8$.*

Proof Since $K_Y F \leq 8$, the index theorem gives $F^2 \leq (K_Y F)^2 / K_Y^2 \leq 4$. Now F^2 , equal to the degree of the base locus of $|F|$, is divisible by 8 by Principle 1.5, so $F^2 = 0$ and $|F|$ is free. If $K_Y F < 8$, the general $F \in |F|$ is nonsingular of genus $g \leq 4$, contradicting [CMP, Lemma 2.2], so $K_Y F = 8$.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset |K_Y|$ be a G -invariant subsystem of projective dimension ≥ 3 . Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) \mathcal{D} is free; or
- (2) \mathcal{D} has base locus consisting of 8 transversal base points.

In particular, \mathcal{D} is not composed with a pencil.

Proof If \mathcal{D} has a nonzero fixed part Z , write $\mathcal{D} = M + Z$ with mobile $|M|$. The G -action takes Z to itself, so Z is the pullback from $S = Y/G$ of a divisor Z_0 that satisfies $K_S Z_0 \equiv Z_0^2 \pmod{2}$; therefore $MZ = (K_Y - Z)Z$ is divisible by 16. Connectedness of canonical divisors gives $MZ > 0$, and thus $16 \leq MZ \leq K_Y M \leq K_Y^2 = 16$. We get:

$$M^2 = K_Y Z = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad K_Y M = 16, \quad Z^2 = -16.$$

Since $|M|$ is mobile and $M^2 = 0$, it is contained in a multiple of a G -invariant free pencil, say $|M| \subset |nF|$ with $K_Y F = 16/n$; Lemma 3.2 implies $n \leq 2$. But $n = \dim |nF| \geq \dim \mathcal{D} \geq 3$, a contradiction.

Therefore \mathcal{D} has no fixed part. Since G acts on the base scheme of \mathcal{D} , the number ν of base points is divisible by 8. If $\nu > 8$ or $\nu = 8$ and the base points are not transversal, two curves of \mathcal{D} have no free intersections, hence \mathcal{D} is composed with a pencil. Write $D = nF$, with $|F|$ a G -invariant pencil and $n \geq 3 = \dim \mathcal{D}$. Then $F^2 = 0$ by Lemma 3.2, contradicting $16 = D^2 = n^2 F^2$.

3.2 The case $\deg X = 4$

In this case, by Fujita [Fu1], X is either a quartic scroll $\mathbb{F}(a, b, c)$ with $a + b + c = 4$, or the cone over the Veronese surface $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. By Proposition 1.4, (iii), there is a sextic hypersurface containing \bar{Y} and not containing X .

If X is a scroll, the birational transform of its unique ruling by planes is a G -invariant pencil $|F|$ on Y with $K_Y F \leq 6$, contradicting Lemma 3.2.

If X is the cone over V_4 , the linear subsystem $\mathcal{D} \subset |K_Y|$ formed by hyperplanes through its vertex define a G -equivariant map $\psi: Y \rightarrow V_4$. By Proposition 3.3, \mathcal{D} is either free or has 8 simple base points. In the latter case, $\deg \psi = 2$ contradicts [Be, Corollary 5.8], as in Lemma 3.1. So \mathcal{D} is free, and $\psi: Y \rightarrow V_4 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ is a morphism of degree 4. The G -action on \mathbb{P}^2 fixes some point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ by Lemma 3.4 below, whereas $\psi^{-1}P$ consists of ≤ 4 points or trees of -2 -curves, on which G cannot act freely. This is a contradiction.

Lemma 3.4 *Let G be a group of order 2^r acting on \mathbb{P}^2 . Then there is a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ fixed by the whole of G .*

Proof Indeed, G has nontrivial centre, so a central element g of order 2. The action of g on \mathbb{P}^2 must fix an isolated point P and a line L . For any $h \in G$, by the conjugacy principle, the element hgh^{-1} is an involution with isolated fixed point $h(P)$. But $hgh^{-1} = g$, so that $h(P) = P$.

3.3 The case $\deg X = 5$

This is the hard case of the proof, and we break it into several steps.

STEP 1. *X is a normal del Pezzo variety with $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-2)$.* Recall from the start of the proof that we assume that X is linearly normal and regular. By [Fu3, Theorem 2.1] (or [Fu2] in the nonsingular case) X is either a normal del Pezzo variety of index 2 or a cone from a point vertex over a (weak) del Pezzo surface $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. If X is a cone, the subsystem $\mathcal{D} \subset |K_Y|$ given by hyperplanes through its vertex defines a G -equivariant map $\psi: Y \rightarrow V_5$. By Proposition 3.3, ψ is onto the surface V_5 , and

$$\deg V_5 \cdot \deg \psi = 5 \deg \psi = 8 \text{ or } 16$$

provides a contradiction.

STEP 2. *$\overline{Y} \cap \text{Sing } X$ is a finite set.* If $\text{Sing } X$ is positive dimensional, it contains a single line L ([Fu3, Theorem 2.7]). Apply Proposition 3.3 to the subsystem $\mathcal{D} \subset |K_Y|$ given by hyperplanes of \mathbb{P}^6 through L ; then \mathcal{D} has no fixed part, so L is not contained in \overline{Y} .

STEP 3. *The general section C of \overline{Y} is nonsingular.* Let Σ be a general hyperplane section of X and set $C = \Sigma \cap \overline{Y}$. The surface Σ is a (possibly singular) del Pezzo surface of degree 5, nonsingular along C by Step 2, so that C is a Cartier divisor on Σ . Write $A = -K_\Sigma = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(1)$ for the restriction of a hyperplane to Σ . Since $AC = -K_\Sigma C = 16$, the index theorem gives $C^2 \leq (AC)^2/A^2 = \frac{256}{5}$, so $C^2 \leq 51$. The curve C is the birational image of a general canonical curve of Y , so has geometric genus 17. On the other hand, the arithmetic genus of $C \subset \Sigma$ is given by $2p_a C - 2 = C^2 + K_\Sigma C = C^2 - 16$. There are thus two possibilities:

- (a) $C^2 = 48$ and C is nonsingular, or
- (b) $C^2 = 50$ and C has a single node or cusp.

If case (b) holds for the general hyperplane section of \overline{Y} , the codimension 1 part of the singular locus of \overline{Y} is a line L , necessarily invariant under the action of G . The system of hyperplanes through L then give the same contradiction to Proposition 3.3 as in Step 2.

STEP 4. *Conclusion of the proof.* We continue to use the notation of Step 3. The canonical class of C calculated on Y is $K_C = (K_Y + C)|_C = \mathcal{O}_C(2A)$. Calculated on Σ , it is $(K_\Sigma + C)|_C = \mathcal{O}_C(-A + C)$. Therefore the Cartier divisor $D = C - 3A$ on Σ restricted to C is linearly equivalent to zero. Consider the exact sequence of sheaves on Σ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-3A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^1(\Sigma, -3A) = 0$ by Kodaira vanishing, or by well known results on del Pezzo surfaces, it follows that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(D)) = 1$, so D is a Cartier divisor linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.

Now $-K_\Sigma D = AD = 1$, and $D^2 = 48 - 96 + 45 = -3$. This is a contradiction. Indeed, $AD = 1$ and A very ample implies that D is a line on Σ . But then D is nonsingular, and because it is a Cartier divisor, Σ is nonsingular near D , so $D^2 = -1$.

3.4 The case $\deg X = 6$

Assume $\deg X = 6$. By Proposition 1.4 and its proof, the linear system of cubics of \mathbb{P}^6 containing \overline{Y} restricts on X to a positive dimensional linear system $|N|$ of surfaces of degree 2. Now X is not ruled by planes (because it is linearly normal of degree 6 and regular), so that the moving part of $|N|$ must be a pencil of quadrics.

The birational transform of $|N|$ on Y is then a G invariant pencil $|F|$ with $K_Y F \leq 6$ and contradicts Lemma 3.2.

References

- [Ba] R.N. Barlow, *Some new surfaces with $p_g = 0$* , Duke Math. J. **51** (1984) 889–904
- [Be] A. Beauville, *L'application canonique pour les surfaces de type général*, Inv. Math. **55** (1979) 121–140

- [Be2] A. Beauville, *A Calabi-Yau threefold with non-abelian fundamental group*, in *New trends in algebraic geometry* (Warwick, 1996) 13–17
- [CMP] C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopes and R. Pardini, *Surfaces with $K^2 < 3\chi$ and finite fundamental group*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **14** (2007) 1081–1098.
- [Fu1] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized varieties with Δ -genus zero*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **22** (1975) 103–115
- [Fu2] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized varieties of total deficiency one I, II and III*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **32** (1980) 709–725, *ibid.* **33** (1981) 415–434, *ibid.* **36** (1984) 75–89
- [Fu3] T. Fujita, *Projective varieties of Δ -genus one*, in “Algebraic and Topological Theories”, to the memory of T. Miyata, Kinokuniya (1985) 149–175
- [Ko] K. Konno, *On the quadric hull of a canonical surface*, *Algebraic geometry*, de Gruyter, Berlin (2002) 217–235
- [Mi] Y. Miyaoka, *On numerical Campedelli surfaces*, in *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, Papers dedicated to K. Kodaira, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo (1977) 113–118
- [MP1] M. Mendes Lopes and R. Pardini, *On the algebraic fundamental group of surfaces with $K^2 \leq 3\chi$* , *J. Differential Geometry* **77** (2007) 188–199
- [MP2] M. Mendes Lopes and R. Pardini, *Numerical Campedelli surfaces with fundamental group of order 9*, *J.E.M.S.* **10** (2008) 457–476
- [Na] Daniel Naie, *Numerical Campedelli surfaces cannot have the symmetric group as the algebraic fundamental group*, *J. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1999) 813–827
- [Re1] M. Reid, *π_1 for surfaces with small K^2* , *Algebraic geometry* (Copenhagen, 1978), Springer LNM **732** 534–544
- [Re2] M. Reid, *Surfaces with $p_g = 0$, $K_S^2 = 2$* , preprint available at <http://www.warwick.ac.uk/staff/Miles.Reid/surf/>

- [Re3] M. Reid, *Quadrics through a canonical surface*, in Algebraic geometry (L'Aquila, 1988), Springer LNM **1417**, Berlin, 1990 191–213
- [Re4] M. Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1 (1987) 345–414

Authors' addresses:

Margarida Mendes Lopes,
Departamento de Matemática,
Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa,
Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal
e-mail: mmlopes@math.ist.utl.pt

Rita Pardini,
Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università di Pisa,
Largo B. Pontecorvo, 5, 56127 Pisa, Italy
e-mail: pardini@dm.unipi.it

Miles Reid
Math Institute,
Univ. of Warwick,
Coventry CV4 7AL
e-mail: Miles.Reid@warwick.ac.uk