

Quantum Hall spin polarization at the Filling Factor $\nu = 5/2$

A. E. Feiguin,¹ E. Rezayi,² Kun Yang,³ C. Nayak,¹ and S. Das Sarma⁴

¹Microsoft Station Q, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

²Department of Physics, California State University, Los Angeles, California 90032, USA

³NHMFL, and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA

⁴Condensed Matter Theory Center, Department of Physics,
University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

(Dated: April 16, 2019)

We report on results of numerical studies of the spin polarization of the half filled second Landau level, which corresponds to the fractional quantum Hall state at filling factor $\nu = 5/2$. Our studies are performed using both exact diagonalization and Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) on the sphere. We find that for the Coulomb interaction the exact finite-system ground state is fully polarized, for shifts corresponding to both the Moore-Read Pfaffian state and its particle-hole conjugate (anti-Pfaffian). This result is found to be robust against small variations of the interaction. The low-energy excitation spectrum is consistent with spin-wave excitations of a fully-magnetized ferromagnet.

PACS numbers: 73.43.Cd, 5.10.Cc

Introduction – The most striking feature of the Laughlin state describing the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect at filling fraction $\nu = 1/3$ [1] is the appearance of quasiparticle excitations with fractional charge and fractional statistics. The idea of particles that do not behave as fermions or bosons, something that can occur in two spatial dimensions, is still a reason for wonder, and a motivation for seeking phases of matter with exotic excitations in low dimensions. The Laughlin wavefunction served as a foundation to explain all the odd-denominator incompressible FQH states [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. However, it does not include the possibility of an even-denominator state. Therefore, the quantum Hall plateau observed at $\nu = 5/2$ [7, 8, 9, 10] poses a special challenge.

While various theories have been proposed for this state [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18], much of the excitement has been generated by the possibility that it is a non-Abelian topological state. In ground-breaking work, Moore and Read [14] proposed the Pfaffian wavefunction as a description of electrons in an incompressible half-filled Landau level. Greiter *et al.* [18] noted that this state is the quantum Hall analogue of a $p + ip$ superconductor and conjectured that this ground state may be realized at $\nu = 5/2$. Recently, it was noted that there is another possible state, the so-called anti-Pfaffian state [16, 17], which would be degenerate in energy with the Pfaffian state in the absence of Landau level mixing. Since excitations above both the Pfaffian [19, 20, 21, 22] and anti-Pfaffian [16, 17] ground states are non-Abelian anyons, it has been suggested [23] that the $\nu = 5/2$ plateau can be a platform for topological quantum computation. Therefore, determining the nature of the $\nu = 5/2$ state has gained additional urgency, beyond FQH physics [24].

The existence of non-Abelian quasiparticles at $\nu = 5/2$ depends on (at least) the following premises: (i) Coulomb repulsion in the second LL (SLL) has a form conducive to pairing and (ii) the electrons are fully spin-polarized. There is strong evidence from numerics that (i) is satisfied [25, 26, 27, 28] (es-

pecially when finite layer thickness is taken into account [29]). Recent experiments which are consistent with a quasiparticle charge $e/4$ [30, 31] give further support to this hypothesis, but cannot rule out Abelian paired states which also could have $e/4$ quasiparticle charge. However, there is less evidence that (ii) holds. In GaAs, the Zeeman energy is approximately 50 times smaller than the cyclotron energy as a result of effective mass and g -factor renormalizations, so the magnetic field need not fully polarize the electron spins. Electron-electron interactions, which are roughly comparable to the cyclotron energy in current experiments at $\nu = 5/2$, (or even larger, see Ref.[32]) can, therefore, determine the spin physics of the ground state (which is what happens at $\nu = 1, 1/3$, where the ground state would be spontaneously polarized even if the Zeeman energy were precisely zero). While the Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian states are fully spin-polarized, there are also paired states which are not fully-polarized [13, 33, 34], such as the so-called $(3, 3, 1)$ state. Therefore, the experiments observing charge $e/4$ quasiparticles do not rule them out. Experiments which seek to directly probe the spin polarization at $\nu = 5/2$ are inconclusive.[35] The application of a modest in-plane magnetic field destroys the FQHE [8, 9, 36]. This was interpreted quite naturally as direct evidence that the $5/2$ FQH state is spin-unpolarized. However, spin-singlet wavefunctions [11] proposed to describe the $5/2$ FQH state proved to have very poor overlap with the ground state wavefunction determined by exact diagonalization for small systems. Furthermore, the $5/2$ plateau is observed over a very large range of perpendicular magnetic fields, ranging from 2T [32] to 12T [37]. Since the $5/2$ state can obviously survive very large Zeeman energies, it is questionable whether the destruction of the state with tilt is due to the the increase of the Zeeman energy. A more plausible scenario [11, 25] is that the destruction of the $5/2$ state is due to the orbital coupling [29] of the in-plane field. Efforts [35] to directly measure the $5/2$ spin-polarization through the resistively-detected NMR technique have so far been unsuccessful although similar measurements




FIG. 1: Low-energy spectrum of system with $N_e = 10$ electrons and shift $S = 3$ on the sphere obtained with exact diagonalization for: a) Coulomb interactions and b) Coulomb interactions with the V_1 pseudopotential varied to maximize the overlap between the numerical ground state and the Moore-Read state for the case of spin-fully polarized electrons.

[38] at $\nu = 1/2$ have shown that the system remains partially polarized up to magnetic fields of ≈ 8 T, which is approximately twice the magnetic field at which the $5/2$ state is typically observed. Taken together, all of this experimental evidence provides a confusing and contradictory picture for the spin physics of the $5/2$ plateau, with both fully spin-polarized and partially- or even unpolarized states being plausible, particularly at low magnetic fields. Point contact tunneling experiments hint that the anti-Pfaffian is the state realized at $\nu = 5/2$, although the $(3,3,1)$ state [13] is nearly as good a fit to the data [31]. Since the $(3,3,1)$ state [13, 34] is Abelian and unpolarized, while the Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian states are non-Abelian and polarized, determining the polarization of the $5/2$ state addresses the issue of whether or not it is non-Abelian. Clearly, it is imperative that the issue of the spin-polarization of the $5/2$ state is resolved by a serious numerical calculation, which is what we achieve in this work.

For the last 25 years numerical methods have had strong predictive power in the study of FQH systems, and have become a fundamental validation tool for theories. In seminal work [25], Morf showed that in a half-filled SLL, the fully polarized state has lower energy than the spin singlet state in systems of up to 10 electrons. Based on this result, he argued that the electrons in the SLL are fully polarized at $\nu = 5/2$, which ran counter to the prevalent view at the time (based on tilted-field experiments [36]). Later, Park *et al* [15] compared the energies of different ground-state candidates, and concluded that a polarized Pfaffian is favored against a polarized composite fermion sea, and unpolarized composite fermion sea. Recently, Dimov *et al* [33] reached the same conclusion by comparing the Pfaffian and Halperin's $(3,3,1)$ state [13, 34] using variational Monte Carlo. In all these works, all trial




FIG. 2: Ground-state energies obtained with DMRG, as a function of polarization $P = 2S_{\text{tot}}/N_e$, for $N_e = 10$. We show results for a shift $S = 3$, corresponding to the Pfaffian, and $S = -1$, corresponding to the anti-Pfaffian. We also show results for intermediate shifts. Lines are a guide to the eyes.

states have energies that are substantially higher than the unpolarized ground state energy at $\nu = 5/2$ obtained by Morf.

In Fig. 1 we present the full spectrum of a system with $N_e = 10$ electrons obtained using exact diagonalization on the sphere at half-filling, with the shift $S = 3$ corresponding to the Moore-Read (MR) Pfaffian state. All values are in units of e^2/ℓ_0 , where $\ell_0 = \sqrt{\hbar c/eB}$ is the magnetic length. The ground state is fully magnetized ($S_{\text{tot}} = N_e/2 = 5$), and also has the same orbital angular momentum ($L = 0$) as the MR state; the overlap between the numerical ground state and the MR state in this case is 70%. We also find that the full magnetization is a robust property of the ground state when some interaction parameters are varied. In in the same figure we present results of the same system with a slightly modified Hamiltonian, in which the V_1 pseudopotential is tuned to maximize the overlap between the numerical ground state and the Moore-Read state for spin-fully polarized electrons; the overlap is 98% in this case. (Notice that the overlaps on the sphere are larger than on the torus [26]) Just as in the Coulomb case, the ground state is fully polarized. What is noteworthy about this spectrum is that the first excited state has $L = 1$ and $S_{\text{tot}} = 4 = N_e/2 - 1$; this is what we expect for the lowest-energy spin-wave excitation on top of a fully-magnetized ferromagnetic ground state. While the spectrum of the Coulomb case does not quite show such behavior at this particular system size, we believe it is a finite-size artifact; we expect for larger system sizes the lowest-energy excitation should be a spin-wave, just as we see for $\delta V_1 = 0.0375$.

In Fig. 2 we plot the ground-state energies of a system with $N_e = 10$ electrons at half-filling, as a function of the polarization $P = 2S_{\text{tot}}/N_e$ obtained with the DMRG method. We present results at shift values $S = 3$ and $S = -1$, corresponding to the Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian respectively, and also, for




FIG. 3: Ground-state energies obtained with DMRG, as a function of $1/N_e$, for different values of polarization P , and shift $S = 3$. Energies are in units of the magnetic length and have been rescaled following Ref.[25]. Lines are a guide to the eyes.

completeness, at intermediate values. We have found excellent agreement with exact diagonalization results, with errors in the sixth digit, establishing the accuracy of the technique. In all cases, the evidence clearly shows that the fully polarized state has lower energy, and that the energy increases monotonically with decreasing polarization. For shifts $S = 0, 1, 2$, the energy differences only appear in the fourth digit. One possible interpretation is that these values of the shift correspond to excitations above the Pfaffian and anti-Pfaffian ground states. If these excitations were skyrmion-like (i.e. with many reversed spins), we would expect the ground state at these values of the shift to be a spin-singlet. The addition of a Zeeman energy to the Hamiltonian will even more strongly rule out the possibility of an unpolarized or even partially-polarized ground-state, even for the lowest magnetic field ($\approx 3T$) observation [32] of the $5/2$ FQH state.

In Fig.3 we show the ground-state energy as a function of the number of electrons N_e for different values of the polarization P , shift $S = 3$, and zero Zeeman splitting. We have rescaled the energies by a factor $\sqrt{N_\Phi}/2N_e$ to take into account finite-size effects on the sphere. [25, 44] Our data reproduces the results obtained by Morf [25] in smaller systems, and we extend the study to $N_e = 14$ for the unpolarized systems, and $N_e = 26$ for the fully polarized states. Notice that the calculations at finite polarization involve a much larger Hilbert space. Moreover, the Hamiltonian now includes terms mixing spin, making these calculations computationally expensive, and preventing us from reaching larger system sizes. Based on extrapolations with the number of DMRG states, we estimate our errors to be 10^{-3} for the largest systems considered, which is of the order of the symbol size. As previously noticed in Ref.[25], the results at finite polarizations exhibit very strong finite-size effects. This makes any attempt to extrapolate energies to the thermodynamic limit unreliable, even using the larger system sizes studied here.

In Fig.4 we show the ground-state energy as a function of $1/N_e$ for a shift $S = -1$, corresponding to the anti-Pfaffian. Notice that this calculation involves four more orbitals than the previous case, making it computationally more demanding. An extrapolation to the thermodynamic limit yields a




FIG. 4: Ground-state energies obtained with DMRG, as a function of $1/N_e$, for different values of polarization P and shift $S = -1$, corresponding to the anti-Pfaffian. Dashed lines indicate a linear extrapolation in $1/N_e$.

value of $E(P = 1) = -0.364$, identical to the best available estimate for the Pfaffian [27], as expected for the particle-hole conjugate state. Interestingly,

Method – The existing numerical evidence suggests that the half-filled SLL is either fully-polarized, or partially-polarized. However, the latter possibility has not been explored, probably due to numerical limitations. In this letter we overcome these limitations by combining exact diagonalization with the recently introduced Density Matrix Renormalization Group method (DMRG) for studying FQH states on the spherical geometry [27]. This DMRG approach relies on concepts of exact diagonalization and numerical renormalization group, and yields variational results in a reduced basis, in the form of a matrix-product state. Contrary to other variational methods, it does not rely on an ansatz or prior knowledge of a trial wavefunction. The obtained energies are quasi-exact, in the sense that the accuracy is under control, and improves as the number of states in the basis is increased[39, 40]. We have typically used 4000 DMRG states, which exploits the limits of our computational capability.

The Hamiltonian that describes a Landau Level is dictated by the Coulomb interaction between electrons, making this the quintessential strongly correlated problem. In the spherical geometry, it is written in an angular momentum representation, which is parametrized by Haldane’s pseudopotentials V_L [41, 42] that describe the interaction between two electrons with relative angular momentum L . [43] In the lowest LL, V_1 dominates, explaining why the Laughlin state yields such a good description at $\nu = 1/3$, since it is the exact ground state of a hard-core Hamiltonian with $V_L = 0$ for $L \neq 1$. However, in the second LL (SLL), the relative magnitude of the pseudopotentials is such that V_3 becomes comparable to V_1 , therefore introducing a competition between pairing and Coulomb repulsion, crucial to stabilize the Pfaffian. (Notice that even- L pseudopotentials only become relevant for partially polarized or unpolarized states).

Results – Incompressible states at filling fractions ν are characterized on the sphere by a number of electrons N_e and flux quanta N_Φ obeying the relation $N_\Phi = N_e/\nu - S(\nu)$, where $S(\nu)$ is the so called shift function. The shift for the

Pfaffian $\nu = 5/2$ state is $S = 3$, and its particle-hole conjugate, the anti-Pfaffian, is at $S = -1$. In the absence of Landau-level mixing, these states become energetically degenerate in the thermodynamic limit.

the partially polarized states show a smoother behavior here than the one observed for $S = 3$, indicating that finite-size effects may play a less important role. This allows one to estimate the ground-state energy of the unpolarized state in the thermodynamic limit, $E(P = 0) = -0.358$. This result is substantially lower than the variational energy for the (3,3,1) state, $E_{331} = -0.331$, obtained by Dimov *et al.* [33], indicating that the competing unpolarized state may not be a known paired state.

Discussion – In interpreting this data, it is worth remembering that our Hamiltonian is fully spin-rotation invariant since we do not keep the Zeeman term. Therefore, any polarization which develops is a result of spontaneous symmetry breaking and will be accompanied by gapless Goldstone bosons (i.e. spin waves). If the ground state is fully polarized, then the $S_{\text{tot}} = N/2$ multiplet will have the lowest energy. The other multiplets will have energies which are higher by $\sim 1/N$ since the spectrum of a ferromagnet is $\omega \propto k^2$ as a consequence of the conservation of the order parameter. If the ground state is partially-polarized, then some $0 < S_{\text{tot}} < N/2$ multiplet will have the lowest energy. The other multiplets will have energies which are higher by $\sim 1/N$ since, again, there is a ferromagnetic order parameter which is conserved. If the ground state spontaneously breaks spin-rotational symmetry but does not have a ferromagnetic moment, such as the (3,3,1) state, then the ground state in a finite system will be a spin-singlet, but the gap to other multiplets will be $\sim 1/\sqrt{N}$ since the order parameter is not conserved. Finally, if the ground state is a spin-singlet in the thermodynamic limit, then the lowest energy state will have $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$ and there will be a finite gap to the other multiplets, even in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Our data is most consistent with a ferromagnetic ground state. Extrapolating to larger system sizes, we expect that the $S_{\text{tot}} = N/2$ multiplet will continue to have the lowest energy, but the gap to other multiplets will shrink as $\sim 1/N$.

In conclusion, we have numerically established that the ground-state of the FQH Hamiltonian at filling fraction $\nu = 5/2$, even in the zero Zeeman energy limit, is fully spin-polarized. We believe that our results and the recent findings [29] of the expected topological degeneracy on the torus, when taken together with the observation of charge $e/4$ quasiparticles at $5/2$ [30, 31], make a strong case for the $5/2$ state to be non-Abelian. Our results should encourage efforts to observe non-Abelian anyons at this quantum Hall state and use them for topological quantum computation.

We thank I. Dimov and R. Morf for discussions. This research has been supported by Microsoft Station Q, the NSF under DMR-0704133 (K.Y.) and DMR-0411800 (CN), the DOE under DE-FG03-02ER-45981 (EHR), and by LPS-NSA-CMTC (SDS).

- [2] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 605 (1983).
- [3] B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1583 (1984).
- [4] J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 199 (1989).
- [5] N. Read, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1502 (1990).
- [6] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 2290 (1992).
- [7] R. Willett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1776 (1987).
- [8] M.P. Lilly *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 824 (1999).
- [9] W. Pan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3530 (1999).
- [10] J. P. Eisenstein, K. B. Cooper, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 076801 (2002).
- [11] F. D. M. Haldane and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 956 (1988).
- [12] B.I.Halperin, P.A.Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7312 (1993).
- [13] B. I. Halperin, Helv. Phys. Acta **56**, 75 (1983).
- [14] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).
- [15] K.Park *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 10167R (1998).
- [16] M. Levin, B. I. Halperin, and B. Rosenow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 236806 (2007).
- [17] S.-S. Lee, S. Ryu, C. Nayak, and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 236807 (2007).
- [18] M. Greiter, X. G. Wen, and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **374**, 567 (1992).
- [19] C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **479**, 529 (1996).
- [20] N. Read and E. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 16864 (1996).
- [21] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10267 (2000).
- [22] D. A. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 268 (2001).
- [23] S. Das Sarma, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 166802 (2005).
- [24] C. Nayak, *et al.*, arXiv:0707.1889.
- [25] R. H. Morf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1505 (1998).
- [26] E. H. Rezayi, F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4685 (2000).
- [27] A. E. Feiguin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. (in press).
- [28] Xin Wan, Kun Yang, and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 256804 (2006).
- [29] Michael. R. Peterson, Th. Jolicoeur, S. Das Sarma, preprint arXiv:0803.0737.
- [30] M. Dolev *et al.*, arXiv:0802.0930.
- [31] I. P. Radu, *et al.*, arXiv:0803.3530; Science (in press).
- [32] C. Dean *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 146803 (2008).
- [33] I.Dimov, B.I.Halperin, and C.Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 126804 (2008).
- [34] T-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1186 (1995).
- [35] J. Eisenstein, private communication.
- [36] J. Eisenstein *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** 997 (1988).
- [37] W. Pan *et al.* Solid State Commun. **119**, 641 (2000).
- [38] L.A.Tracy *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 086801 (2007).
- [39] S.R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992); S.R. White, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 10345 (1993).
- [40] U. Schollwöck, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 259 (2005), and references therein.
- [41] F. D. M. Haldane Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 605 (1983).
- [42] G. Fano, F. Ortolani, and E. Colombo, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 2670 (1986).
- [43] In order to fix the total spin in the DMRG we have added a term λS^2 to the Hamiltonian, where λ is a large constant, and worked with a fixed S_{tot}^z .
- [44] R. H. Morf, N. dAmbrumenil, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 075408 (2002).