Effects of Disorder in Location and Size of Fence Barriers on Molecular motion in Cell Membranes

Z. Kalay, P. E. Parris, and V. M. Kenkre

Consortium of the Americas for Interdisciplinary Science and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, USA

The effect of disorder in the energetic heights and in the physical locations of fence barriers encountered by transmembrane molecules such as proteins and lipids in their motion in cell membranes is studied theoretically. The investigation takes as its starting point a recent analysis of a periodic system with constant distances between barriers and constant values of barrier heights, and employs effective medium theory to treat the disorder. The calculations make possible, in principle, the extraction of confinement parameters such as mean compartment sizes and mean intercompartmental transition rates from experimentally reported published observations. The analysis should be helpful both as an unusual application of effective medium theory and as an investigation of observed molecular movements in cell membranes.

I. INTRODUCTION

The biophysics of cell membranes is an active field of current research, issues of interest being cell shaping and movement [1], cell division [2], signal transduction [3], and molecule trafficking [4]. Observations of the lateral movement of molecules on the surface of the cell [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] have given rise to the idea that the moving (transmembrane) molecules are confined within certain regions of the cell membrane. One possible source of this confinement has been suggested [11] as being collisions of membrane molecules protruding into the cytoplasm with the cytoskeleton [12]. The model views the molecules as moving freely, their motion being hampered as they traverse adjacent compartments. As the actin filament that forms the compartment boundary dissociates due to thermal fluctuations, the moving molecules is envisaged as overcoming the barrier potential and hopping to the adjacent compartment.

To our knowledge, two theoretical attempts have been made in the literature to analyze such barrier-hindered motion of transmembrane molecules. In both of them the molecule is looked upon as a random walker moving (in a 1-D system for simplicity) with periodically arranged semipermeable barriers. The more recent of the two attempts borrows the spirit of the earlier one [13] but avoids some of its shortcomings. The shortcomings include the appearance of an unfortunately unwieldy infinite series of terms which is difficult to handle, and the unavailability of explicit usable expressions for the mean square displacement, which is the quantity of direct comparison to the experiment [11]. It is that second (more recent) theoretical attempt [14] that we take as our starting point here and calculate by substantial modifications of that analysis the consequences of disorder on the effective diffusion constant and the molecular mean square displacement.

In the model analyzed in ref. [14], we represent the molecule as a random walker in a 1-D infinite chain of sites. The molecule, whose probability of occupation of the m-th site of the chain at time t is $P_m(t)$, hops via nearest neighbor transfer rates. The rate is F within a compartment and has a lower value f at the interface of compartments where there is a barrier hindering the molecular motion. There are $H + 1$ sites, equivalently H nearest neighbor bonds, within a compartment; for simplicity, H is taken to be even, with the site 0 at the center of one of the compartments. Specifically, the Master equation

$$\frac{dP_m}{dt} = F\left[P_{m+1} + P_{m-1} - 2P_m\right]$$
$$-(F - f)\sum_r' \left[P_{r+1} - P_r\right]\left(\delta_{m,r} - \delta_{m,r+1}\right) \tag{1}$$

describes that ordered system. The primed summation goes over sites $r = H/2 + (H + 1)l$ which lie to the left of each barrier, l taking all integer values.

Explicit expressions have been provided in ref. [14] for the time dependence of the mean square displacement of the molecule and for the effective diffusion constant, the compartment size H and the transfer rates f and F being reflected transparently in these calculated quantities. These are based on Eqs. (2) to appear in Section 2 below. The major element missing from that *periodic barrier* theory is the realistic effect of the compartment sizes and the barrier heights being not equal throughout the system, in other words of H and f being variable, i.e., disordered, quantities. Our interest in the present paper is to treat this important disorder effect. The tool we employ is effective medium theory: a disordered system is replaced by an ordered system properly structured to represent the original system. There is an extensive literature on the general subject of effective medium theory[15, 16, 17, 18, 19], and there is a long history of its application to problems involving normal and anomalous transport in disordered lattices. Recently, the approach has been applied with success to the study of transport in more complex environments,

including random graphs [20] and small world networks [21, 22, 23]. Some of those developments are naturally applicable to the present problem of molecular motion in cell membranes as we shall see below.

The motivation to extend our previous theory [14] to treat disordered f and H stems from the experimentally known fact that the barrier locations for the transmembrane molecular motion in the cellular membrane occur at positions that are by no means regular; the consequent variations are substantial within a system, about one order of magnitude, the compartment sizes sometimes being quoted as lying between $30\,nm$ and $240\,nm$ [11]. The precise situation in which the moving molecules find themselves at the barriers also varies, the result being a variation in the effective transfer rate.

We construct our effective medium theory considerations for the present problem in three parts. First, in Section 2, we take the compartment sizes to be all equal as in ref. [13] or [14] but allow the barrier heights, consequently the inter-compartment rates of molecular motion f, to be taken from each of several specific distribution functions with given mean, variance, and nature. In Section 3, we first take the inter-compartment rates to be constant throughout, but allow the compartment sizes H to vary, and then allow both H and f to be random variables. Fig. 1 illustrates the three respective cases as (a), (b) and (c).


FIG. 1: Schematic illustration of the different types of barrier disorder considered. In (a), the barriers are periodically-spaced, but have random energetic heights. In (b), the barrier heights are uniform, but the distances between barriers is random. In (c), both the barrier spacing and the barrier heights are independent random variables.

In each case we calculate physical observables typified by the effective diffusion constant. Whereas the f distributions we consider in Section 2 are arbitrary, the H-distributions we consider in Section are not arbitrary but determined by the specific f distributions we take to generate them. This allows us to make direct use of the analysis (in particular, the form of the transport propagators)

developed in ref. [14]. Fully arbitrary distributions for compartment size will be studied in a future publication. A graphical comparison of the predictions of our effective medium theory with the results of a numerical solution of the disordered problem, and concluding remarks are presented in Section 4. A brief analysis of the memory function that emerges from the effective medium theory is also given in the discussion in Section 2.

II. DISORDER IN BARRIER HEIGHTS

The system we investigate in the present paper is formally described by Eq. (1) as in ref. [14] but with the understanding that f and the primed locations r are disordered quantities. In the analysis of ref. [14], the solution of the ordered Eq. (1) for arbitrary initial probabilities $P_n(0)$ is expressed as

$$P_m(t) = \sum_n \chi_{m,n}(t) P_n(0)$$

where the transport propagator (which 'propagates' the solution from site n to site m) is given in the Laplace domain (ϵ being the Laplace variable and tildes denoting Laplace transforms) by

$$\widetilde{\chi}_{m,n} = \widetilde{\Psi}_{m-n} - \frac{F-f}{1+(F-f)\widetilde{\mu}} \qquad (2)$$
$$\times \sum_r{}' (\widetilde{\Psi}_{r-n+1} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{r-n})(\widetilde{\Psi}_{m-r} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{m-r-1}).$$

This is a slightly rewritten, but completely equivalent, version of Eq. (2) of ref. [14].

Here, the first term on the right hand side is the Laplace transform of the propagator $\Psi_{m-n}(t)$ of the system without barriers ($F = f$), and is characterized by a single index as a result of complete translational invariance at the site level. The second term describes the effect of the barriers between compartments. It is proportional to the difference $F - f$ and is characterized by a property of the barrierless system, viz., products of the propagator differences in the Laplace domain, summed over barrier locations, and is also characterized by $\mu(t)$, an appropriate summed combination of $\Psi(t)$'s (see ref. [14]), whose Laplace transform is given by

$$\widetilde{\mu}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{F}\left[\frac{\tanh(\xi/2)}{\tanh(\xi(H+1)/2)} - 1\right],$$

with $\xi = 2\sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{\epsilon/4F})$. In obtaining this result, one uses the known form of the Laplace transforms of the propagators for the system without barriers,

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_l = \frac{e^{-\xi|l|}}{2F\sinh\xi}. \qquad (3)$$

A. General Development

Our goal in this Section is to analyze the generalization of the system represented by Eq. (2) when the intercompartmental transition rates f vary in magnitude throughout the chain and are picked from a distribution function $\rho(f)$. The equation obeyed by the probabilities of occupation is

$$\frac{dP_m}{dt} = F\left[P_{m+1} + P_{m-1} - 2P_m\right]$$
$$- \sum_r'(F - f_r)\left[P_{r+1} - P_r\right](\delta_{m,r} - \delta_{m,r+1}) \qquad (4)$$

An exact analytic solution of Eq. (4) is practically impossible for large systems because the f_r's vary in a disordered fashion. Therefore, in the spirit of effective medium theory [15, 16, 17, 18, 19], we replace the actual disordered system with its many f's by an effective medium system characterized by a single quantity (memory function) $\mathcal{F}(t)$ which is time-dependent and to be determined from the distribution $\rho(f)$. The effective medium system is identical to the periodic system represented by Eq. (2) except that f is replaced by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\epsilon)$. This replacement means that the occupation probabilities in the effective medium system obey

$$\frac{dP_m(t)}{dt} = F\left[P_{m+1}(t) + P_{m-1}(t) - 2P_m(t)\right]$$
$$- \int_0^t dt'\left[F\delta(t - t') - \mathcal{F}(t - t')\right]$$
$$\times \sum_r'\left[P_{r+1}(t') - P_r(t')\right](\delta_{m,r} - \delta_{m,r+1}) \qquad (5)$$

Equation (5) differs from the ordered counterpart (1) in just one aspect: the appearance of the effective memory $\mathcal{F}(t)$ in place of the constant f. This memory, and the consequent convolution form for the equation, is an essential and well-known feature of the effective medium treatment. For long-time considerations, one replaces $\mathcal{F}(t)$ by its Markoffian approximation $\delta(t)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}(t')dt'$. Then the formal identity to Eq. (1) is exact.

To determine $\mathcal{F}$, we follow the effective medium prescription [15, 16, 17, 18, 19] of considering one defect in the otherwise periodic system (5) formed by replacing $\mathcal{F}$ by an f drawn from its probability distribution $\rho(f)$, solving the defect problem exactly in the Laplace domain, averaging the solution over the f's in the distribution, i.e., carrying out an ensemble average of the solutions, and then requiring that the ensemble-averaged solution is equal to the solution of the system without the defect.

The propagator for the effective medium system (5) is,

in the Laplace domain,

$$\widetilde{\chi}_{m,n} = \widetilde{\Psi}_{m-n} - \frac{F - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}{1 + (F - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})\widetilde{\mu}}$$
$$\times \sum_r'(\widetilde{\Psi}_{r-n+1} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{r-n})(\widetilde{\Psi}_{m-r} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{m-r-1}) \qquad (6)$$

which is precisely Eq. (2) with the replacement of f by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. For the defective system made by introducing the rate f drawn from its probability distribution and placing it between the sites s and $s + 1$, the propagator is the sum of the propagator given above and an additional term so that the defective propagator is

$$\widetilde{\chi}_{m,n} + \left[\frac{(f - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})}{1 + (f - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})\widetilde{\beta}}\right](\widetilde{\chi}_{m,s} - \widetilde{\chi}_{m,s+1})(\widetilde{\chi}_{s+1,n} - \widetilde{\chi}_{s,n})$$

where, for notational convenience we have introduced the abbreviation

$$\widetilde{\beta} = -\widetilde{\chi}_{s+1,s} + \widetilde{\chi}_{s+1,s+1} + \widetilde{\chi}_{s,s} - \widetilde{\chi}_{s,s+1}.$$

The second term in the defective propagator describes the modification by the barrier lying between the sites s and $s + 1$. We get a different solution for every ensemble member, the difference being in the value of f. We require the self-consistency condition that the ensemble average over the f's give us simply $\widetilde{\chi}_{m,n}$. This must be true whatever the n in the propagator or whichever barrier s characterizes. Therefore, the ensemble average of the factor in the square brackets in the propagator expression above must vanish. This provides a prescription for obtaining the effective quantity $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ through the solution of the implicit equation

$$\int df\rho(f)\left[\frac{f - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}{1 + (f - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})\widetilde{\beta}}\right] = 0. \qquad (7)$$

The chain details are reflected in $\widetilde{\beta}$ and the randomness of the f's in ρ. There is no f-dependence in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$, although $\widetilde{\beta}$ is a function of ϵ as well as of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\epsilon)$. Because of the independent dependence of $\widetilde{\beta}$ on ϵ, the solution of Eq. (7) yields an explicit ϵ-dependence of the effective quantity $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\epsilon)$ that we seek. Different probability distributions result in different expressions for the effective medium quantity $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

For long times, the Markoffian approximation of the memory function is appropriate. This involves taking the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit of the Laplace transforms in the expressions above. We first see from Eq. (6) that, in this limit,

$$\widetilde{\beta} = -\widetilde{\chi}_{s+1,s} + \widetilde{\chi}_{s+1,s+1} + \widetilde{\chi}_{s,s} - \widetilde{\chi}_{s,s+1} \to \frac{1}{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(0)}.$$

This remarkable simplification allows us to conclude that, in the long time approximation, the effective value

of the intercompartmental rate is given trivially as the reciprocal of the ensemble average of the reciprocals of individual intercompartmental rates:

$$\frac{1}{f_{eff}} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(0)} = \int df \frac{\rho(f)}{f}. \tag{8}$$

Application of effective medium theory has thus reduced the disordered problem of interest in the present paper into the ordered effective problem which was completely analyzed in ref. [14]. Combining that analysis with Eq. (35), we find that the overall effective transfer rate for the diffusion of the molecule (taking into account both the existence of the compartments of size H and the existence of disorder in the rates f) is given by

$$F_{eff} = \frac{\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)}{\left[\frac{1}{F} + \frac{1}{H} \int df \frac{\rho(f)}{f}\right]}. \tag{9}$$

For large H (for instance if $H >> 1$), Eq. (9) simply states that the effective overall transfer rate is the harmonic mean of the intracompartment rate F and the effective intercompartmental rate f_{eff} reduced by the size of the compartments.

B. Specific Cases

Equation (9) is one of our main results for the case in which disorder appears only in the values of the intercompartmental rates: it allows us to translate the randomness of the intercompartmental rates as expressed in the form of the distribution $\rho(f)$ directly into the effective diffusion parameters of the system. We will now consider several different cases of $\rho(f)$ for purposes of illustration.

Two trivially expected results emerge in a straightforward fashion: If $\rho(f)$ has a non-zero value at $f = 0$ so that there is a non-zero probability of having disconnected sites, the effective hopping rate at long times must vanish. This is clear from Eq. (9) since $\rho(f)$ can be written as $\rho(f) = \delta(f) + R(f)$ where $R(f)$ is some distribution of f obeyed for all f except for $f = 0$. The integral in the denominator of Eq. 9 diverges, giving $F_{eff} = 0$. Similarly, if there is only a single value of the intercompartmental rate f, viz. g: $\rho(f) = \delta(f - g)$, Eq. (9) reduces to the corresponding expression in the analysis of the *ordered* system treated in ref. [14].

For the case when there are two values of the intercompartmental rate appearing with different weights:

$$\rho(f) = A_1 \delta(f - f_1) + A_2 \delta(f - f_2),$$

where obviously the normalization is $A_1 + A_2 = 1$, the overall effective rate is given by

$$F_{eff} = \frac{H+1}{\frac{H}{F} + \frac{A_2 f_1 + A_1 f_2}{f_1 f_2}}. \tag{10}$$

Note that, if either f_1 or f_2 is zero, F_{eff} vanishes as we have stated above.

Finally, we will exhibit the continuum limit of our results, selecting three specific distributions for the intercompartmental rates. We display the continuum limit expressions because, particularly for the problem of molecular motion in cell membranes, they are more directly applicable than their more general discrete counterparts. The continuum limit means that the lattice constant $a \to 0$, transforming hops among discrete sites on a chain to flow on a continuous line. As is well-known, and explicitly commented on elsewhere, (see, e.g., refs. [14, 24]), as $a \to 0$, it is necessary that $f, H \to \infty$ as $1/a$ but $F \to \infty$ as $1/a^2$. With this appropriate limiting behavior, the overall effective diffusion constant is given as

$$\lim_{a \to 0} F_{eff} a^2 = D_{eff} = \frac{D}{1 + \frac{D}{L} \int d\mathscr{D}_f \frac{\rho(\mathscr{D}_f)}{\mathscr{D}_f}} \tag{11}$$

$$\mathscr{D}_f = \lim_{a \to 0} fa$$

where D is the continuum limit of Fa^2, $\mathscr{D}_f$ is the continuum limit of fa, and L, the continuum limit of $(H+1)a$, is the size of the compartment. Factors such as $(H+1)/H$ collapse into 1. The ratio $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{D}_f/D$ is what is sometimes called [13] the permeability.

To illustrate the effect of the form of the distribution functions, we now consider several explicit realizations of $\rho(\mathscr{D}_f)$. We evaluate Eq. (11) for the three respective cases of a constant distribution in an interval, a biased distribution that peaks at a value related to the spread of the distribution, and a biased distribution that peaks at a value independent of the distribution spread. We use the normalization $\int \rho(\mathscr{D}_f) d\mathscr{D}_f = 1$.

1. Uniform distribution

If $\rho(\mathscr{D}_f)$ is a non-zero constant in an interval of values of $\mathscr{D}_f$, i.e., for $l < \mathscr{D}_f < u$, and vanishes otherwise, then we have from Eq. (11)

$$\frac{D_{eff}}{D} = \left[1 + \frac{D \ln(u/l)}{L(u-l)}\right]^{-1}. \tag{12}$$

2. Rayleigh distribution

If $\rho(\mathscr{D}_f)$ is a biased Gaussian, called sometimes a Rayleigh distribution:

$$\rho(\mathscr{D}_f) = \frac{\mathscr{D}_f e^{-\mathscr{D}_f^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sigma^2}, \tag{13}$$

where the mean is $\sigma\sqrt{\pi/2}$, and the variance $\sigma^2\left(\frac{4-\pi}{2}\right)$ is proportional to the square of the mean, we have

$$\frac{D_{eff}}{D} = \left[1 + \frac{D\sqrt{\pi/2}}{L\sigma}\right]^{-1}. \tag{14}$$

3. Rice distribution

To have two independently controllable parameters, one deciding the value at which the distribution peaks, and the other the spread, we consider what is called the Rice distribution:

$$\rho(\mathscr{D}_f) = \frac{\mathscr{D}_f}{\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{(\mathscr{D}_f^2 + v^2)}{2\sigma^2}} I_0\left(\mathscr{D}_f \frac{v}{\sigma^2}\right) \qquad (15)$$

the two parameters being σ and v. The mean is $\sigma\sqrt{\pi/2}L_{1/2}\left(-v^2/2\sigma^2\right)$, and the variance is $2\sigma^2 + v^2 - \pi\sigma^2/2L_{1/2}^2\left(-v^2/2\sigma^2\right)$. Here, $L_{1/2}(x) = e^{x/2}\left[(1-x)I_0(-x/2) - xI_1(-x/2)\right]$ is the Laguerre Polynomial of fractional order and $I_m(x)$ are modified Bessel Functions of the first kind. We find

$$\frac{D_{eff}}{D} = \left[1 + \frac{D\sqrt{\pi/2}}{L\sigma} e^{-v^2/4\sigma^2} I_0(v^2/4\sigma^2)\right]^{-1}. \qquad (16)$$

The distributions are plotted in Fig. 2. Note that the Rice distribution appears highly symmetric around its peak value although, like the Rayleigh distribution, it has the value 0 at the value $\mathscr{D}_f = 0$.

Notice that, in every case, the expression for the effective diffusion constant depends in essentially the same manner on the ratio of the system (barrier-less) diffusion constant D to the product of the compartment length and a characteristic $\mathscr{D}_f$ value. Except for numerical factors, the latter product is $(u-l)/\ln(u/l)$ for the uniform distribution, $\sigma/\sqrt{\pi/2}$ for the Rayleigh distribution, and $\sigma/\left[\sqrt{\pi/2}e^{-v^2/4\sigma^2}I_0(v^2/4\sigma^2)\right]$ for the Rice distribution.

FIG. 2: Plots of Rayleigh(solid) and Rice(dashed) distributions for various parameter values. For the Rice distribution, σ=0.05 in all cases. Distributions are normalized such that $\int_0^\infty dx\rho(x) = 1$. Here, x represents $\mathscr{D}_f$.

C. Determination of the Memory Function

The full exploitation of the convolution in Eq. (5) and of the consequent memory effects in the motion has sel-

dom been carried out in the literature. The few exceptions are in the context of percolative systems in which the long time diffusion constant vanishes at the percolation point [16], of the power law tail analysis given by one of the present authors [18], and prescriptions provided by another of the present authors [19] for the determination of memory functions for stress distribution in granular compacts. In this subsection we briefly show how to explicitly calculate the memory function $\mathcal{F}$ in the Laplace domain following a prescription similar to that given in the last of the above references [19]. Equations (9) and (10) of ref. [19] should be compared to (7) above and (35) below in the present analysis. We recall that the propagators $\Psi_l(t)$ for the original problem (nearest neighbor rates F and no barriers) are $I_l(2Ft)e^{-2Ft}$ and therefore their Laplace transforms can be written in terms of hyperbolic functions as given in Eq. (3). This allows us to write

FIG. 3: Evaluation of the memory function produced by our effective medium theory. Plotted is the $\epsilon-$dependence of the normalized $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$, taking ϵ real for simplicity in display and normalizing it to F. Shown is the case when the distribution of intercompartmental rates f is a sum of two weighted delta-functions: the rates are either f_1 or f_2. Our evaluation shows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ equals the (weighted) arithmetic mean (WAM) of the two rates for large ϵ and their (weighted) harmonic mean (WHM) for small ϵ, the latter representing the effective long time intercompartmental rate, as expected. The parameter values chosen for this plot are: $f_1 = 0.1F$, $f_2 = 0.2F$, $H = 10$, $\alpha = 0.5$.

$$\widetilde{\beta} = 2(\widetilde{\Psi}_1 - \widetilde{\Psi}_0) \qquad (17)$$

$$-\frac{g - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}{F^2(1 + (g - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})\widetilde{\mu})}\left(1 - 2\epsilon\widetilde{\Psi}_0 + \epsilon^2\sum_r \widetilde{\Psi}_{s-r}^2\right),$$

$$\sum_r{}' \widetilde{\Psi}_{s-r}^2 = \frac{\coth\xi(H+1)}{4F^2\sinh^2\xi}. \qquad (18)$$

$$(19)$$

In the light of this expression for $\widetilde{\beta}$, Eq. (7) yields:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} = \int df \frac{\rho(f)}{f + (\Gamma - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})}, \qquad (20)$$

where

$$\Gamma = -\frac{(1 + \widetilde{\mu}F) - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\widetilde{\mu}}{\zeta + \theta((1 + \widetilde{\mu}F) - \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\widetilde{\mu})}. \qquad (21)$$

In order to write the expressions in a compact way, we have defined:

$$\theta = \frac{\coth(\xi/2) - 1}{F} - \zeta,$$

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\mu}F^2}(1 - 2\coth(\xi/2) + \coth^2(\xi/2)\coth\xi(H + 1)).$$

$$(22)$$

We can now solve for $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ for a given $\rho(f)$ by using Eq. (20) as outlined for a different case in ref. [19]. As a special case of the distribution we use the case when the intercompartmental rate takes on one of two values with different weights:

$$\rho(f) = \alpha\delta(f - f_1) + (1 - \alpha)\delta(f - f_2). \qquad (23)$$

Then Eq. (20) gives us, for $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3 + b\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^2 + c\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} + d = 0, \qquad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= -(f_1 + f_2 - 1/\theta) - \eta/\theta\widetilde{\mu}, \\
c &= f_1 f_2 - [\widetilde{\mu}(f_2 + \alpha(f_1 - f_2)) + (1 + \widetilde{\mu}F) \\
&\quad - \eta(f_1 + f_2)]/\theta\widetilde{\mu}, \\
d &= [\eta f_1 f_2 - (f_2 + \alpha(f_1 - f_2))(1 + \widetilde{\mu}F)]/\theta\widetilde{\mu}, \\
\eta &= (\zeta + \theta(1 + \widetilde{\mu}F)).
\end{aligned} \qquad (25)$$

The numerical solution of the cubic equation yields the memory function explicitly in the Laplace domain. It is plotted in Fig. 3. We see that, at $\epsilon = 0$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ tends to the value of its Markoffian approximation which is the weighted harmonic mean of the two rates f_1 and f_2. We also see that it tends to the weighted arithmetic mean of the two rates as $\epsilon \to \infty$. The intermediate behavior corresponds to intermediate times. We defer to a forthcoming publication [25] a detailed application of these and related memory developments.

III. DISORDER IN BARRIER PLACEMENT AND IN INTERCOMPARTMENTAL RATES

We now turn our attention to a generalization of the problem which involves disorder in the placement of the barriers rather than in their heights. We first take the latter to be the same throughout the chain.

A. Disorder in Barrier Placement Only

Let us consider the following distribution for barrier heights:

$$\rho(f) = \alpha\delta(f - g) + (1 - \alpha)\delta(f - F). \qquad (26)$$

Here, the intercompartmental transition rate is either g or F with probabilities α and $1 - \alpha$ respectively. When the barrier heights are distributed according to Eq. (26), it is as if, starting with exactly the same system as in ref. [14] (periodic barriers of the same height g), we replace the barriers randomly and independently with probability $1 - \alpha$ with links that have transfer rates F, which is the intracompartmental transfer rate. Therefore, the barriers between some of the compartments are now removed which means that some of the compartments are merged. The compartment sizes always are in multiples of $(H + 1)a$ (the smallest compartment size) where a is the lattice constant. In this system, the compartment size, which is the distance between the consecutive barriers, will be a random variable whose distribution will depend on the parameter α.

The distribution of distance between two consecutive barriers is easy to calculate. Consider N points in a discreet linear space. To each point we will assign a number s_i which is either 1 or 0. We will think of the points in this space as the intercompartmental links in our original problem. In this picture, a point i with $s_i = 1$ will represent a link with transfer rate F and a point with $s_i = 0$ will stand for a link with transfer rate g, which we have been calling a barrier. Then according to Eq. (26), 0's will occur with probability α and 1's with $1 - \alpha$. If we let σ be the number of elements in a contiguous sequence of 1's, the distance between two consecutive barriers is simply given by $(\sigma + 1)(H + 1)a$. Note that $\sigma = 0$ corresponds to the case in which the distance between two consecutive barriers is the maximum value $(H + 1)a$. When all these arguments are taken into account, the number distribution of σ is found to be given by

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma) = \delta_{\sigma,0}\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}(1 - s_j)(1 - s_{j+1})$$

$$+(1 - \delta_{\sigma,0})\sum_{j=1}^{N-\sigma-1}(1 - s_j)\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\sigma-1}s_{j+i+1}\right)(1 - s_{j+\sigma+1}),$$

$$(27)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ is the number distribution of σ in a *particular realization* of a 1-D chain as described in the beginning of this section. The first and second terms in Eq. (27) count the occurrence of compartments of sizes $(H + 1)a$ and $(\sigma + 1)(H + 1)a$ respectively. As s_i's are independently distributed, we can write:

$$\langle s_i \rangle = 1 - \alpha, \qquad (28)$$

FIG. 4: The ensemble averaged probability distribution $P(\sigma, \alpha)$, in the limit as $N \to \infty$, as a function of σ (left) and as a function of its parameter α (right). The continuous lines in the plots correspond to our formula in Eq. (32).

where the angular brackets mean an ensemble average over all realizations of 1-D chains with intercompartmental transition rates sampled from Eq. (26). Then the ensemble averaged number distribution is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{N}(\sigma) \rangle = (N - \sigma - 1)\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)^\sigma, \qquad (29)$$

and therefore the probability distribution for σ is

$$\langle P(\sigma) \rangle = \frac{\langle \mathcal{N}(\sigma) \rangle}{\sum_{\sigma=0}^{N-1} \langle \mathcal{N}(\sigma) \rangle}. \qquad (30)$$

As we are interested in particularly in infinite chains, we take the limit $N \to \infty$. The probability distribution for σ becomes

$$\langle P_{N \to \infty}(\sigma) \rangle = \alpha(1 - \alpha)^\sigma. \qquad (31)$$

Then the ensemble averaged compartment size distribution would be given by

$$P(\sigma, \alpha) = \alpha(1 - \alpha)^{\frac{q}{H+1}-1}, \qquad (32)$$

where $q = (\sigma + 1)(H + 1)$ is the dimensionless compartment size. The mean and variance of $P(\sigma, \alpha)$ are given by

$$\overline{q} = \frac{H + 1}{\alpha}, \qquad (33)$$

$$(\Delta q)^2 = \overline{(q^2)} - \overline{(q)}^2 = (H + 1)^2 \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha^2}. \qquad (34)$$

In Fig. 4 we display this distribution: plotted against σ for three values of α on the left side and against α for three values of σ, as shown. The left plot shows that, in all cases the distribution is peaked at vanishing compartment size. The right plot shows that, with the exception of the case $\alpha = 0$, the distribution vanishes for both extremes $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, but rises and drops for intermediate values.

The general theory we have developed in Section II now provides us, in light of the distribution we have obtained

above, with a prescription to calculate the effective long time transfer rate F_{eff} in terms of the mean (dimensionless) compartment size $\overline{q}$ and the rates F and f:

$$F_{eff} = \frac{\overline{q}}{1/f + (\overline{q} - 1)/F}. \qquad (35)$$

In the continuum limit, obtained by multiplying F_{eff} by a^2 and letting a tend to zero appropriately, we note that H also tends to infinity, producing the limit of $\overline{q}a$ as the mean compartment size Q which has dimensions of length. We get

$$\frac{D_{eff}}{D} = \left[1 + \frac{D}{Q\mathscr{D}_f}\right]^{-1}. \qquad (36)$$

B. Disorder also in the Intercompartmental Rates

We now give expressions for the effective hopping rate and diffusion constant when both the heights and places of the barriers are random.

Consider

$$\rho(f) = (1 - \alpha)\delta(f - F) + \eta(f, \alpha), \qquad (37)$$

where $\eta(f, \alpha)$ is a distribution normalized to α, with the understanding that $\eta(0, \alpha) = 0$. According to Eq. (37), and the development in Section III A, a fraction α of the intercompartmental links are barriers whose heights are sampled from the distribution $\eta(f, \alpha)$ and the rest are just intracompartmental links with transition rates F that in turn give rise to the variability in compartment sizes. Note that the statistics of different compartment size distributions do not change even if the barrier heights are not the same. Therefore the compartment size distribution can still be obtained from Eq. (31). Thus we get

$$F_{eff} = \frac{\frac{H+1}{H}}{\frac{1}{F}\left(\frac{H+1-\alpha}{H}\right) + \frac{1}{H}\int df \frac{\eta(f,\alpha)}{f}}, \qquad (38)$$

and the effective diffusion constant in the continuum limit becomes

$$\frac{D_{eff}}{D} = \left[1 + \frac{D}{L}\int d\mathscr{D}_f \frac{\eta(\mathscr{D}_f, \alpha)}{\mathscr{D}_f}\right]^{-1}. \qquad (39)$$

Here, $\int_0^\infty dx \eta(x, \alpha) = \alpha$, x being f and $\mathscr{D}_f$ in the two respective equations above. Note that when $\alpha = 0$, so that there are no barriers, $\eta(x, 0)$ vanishes identically as it is a positive function, and the results reduce to $F_{eff} = F$ and $D_{eff} = D$.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper has a twin purpose, an investigation of the effect of disorder on molecular motion in cell mem-

branes, and the development of effective medium theory approaches in new practical directions. We have discussed the first context briefly in the Introduction. Single fluorescent video imaging [26, 27] and single particle tracking [28, 29] are the two most common ways of observing laterally moving molecules on live cell membranes. The latter can provide information about motion at very short times such as confinement effects. Our theory is positioned to address the results of both measurement techniques. Our results allow us to describe the effect of disorder both in the location and the size of fence barriers, and the motion of both proteins and lipids in cell membranes. These barrier parameter variations arise from the dynamics of the cytoskeleton and of the transmembrane molecules. Observational determination of such variations should be possible through the use of modern methods involving optical tweezers [30] and electron tomography techniques [31] that enable the imaging of the actin filaments and the cell surface. These experimental data can be used in conjunction with the calculations we have presented to extract information about compartment sizes and their spatial fluctuations, as well as about the energetic barrier magnitudes and their fluctuations. As more detail becomes available about the membrane dynamics from experiment, it will be straightforward to give further quantitative shape to the theory we have provided here.

The second context of our study lies in presenting effective medium calculations in systems which are partly ordered and partly disordered. This line of research has been recently taken by two of the present authors in their study of transport on small world networks, particularly of the Neumann-Watts kind [21, 22, 23]. In those systems standard rings (finite chains with periodic boundary conditions) with nearest neighbor hopping rates for the random walker form the ordered part and additional small world connections make up the disordered part. Effective medium theories developed for those systems envisage the effective system as the rings with additional *periodically placed* connections. Here, for the cell membrane problem, the standard chain forms the ordered part, and the disordered barriers (in magnitude and location) the disordered part. Our effective medium theory here takes the effective system as the chain with periodically placed barriers of constant magnitude.

Our starting point in this paper has been Eq. (4) which is impossible to solve in practice because of the enormous number of irregularities. Effective medium considerations have yielded as a general result the prescription (7) to calculate $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ appearing in the effective medium Eq. (5) as a function of the Laplace variable ϵ, starting from known random distributions. We have illustrated the procedure to carry out this prescription for a simple case which results in a cubic equation for $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$, viz. (24). Its solution is in Fig. 3 and shows physically expected limiting results. Long time approximations to the memory $\mathcal{F}(t)$ are appropriate if the interest lies in long time description of the transport of the random walker (e.g., of


FIG. 5: Excellent agreement for sufficiently long times of our effective medium theory with numerically obtained solutions of the Master equation with disordered barrier heights typified by three Rice distributions with different parameters, ($v = 0.1$, $s = 0.02$), ($v = 0.2$, $s = 0.06$) and ($v = 0.4$, $s = 0.02$), respectively denoted by dashed, solid and dash-dotted curves in both the main figure which depicts the instantaneous transfer rate $F(t)$ normalized to F, and in the inset which shows the corresponding probability distributions ρ. Long time predictions (effective transfer rates) of our effective medium theory, shown by horizontal dotted lines, are reached asymptotically in each case. See text for other details including the observed dips.

the molecules in the cell membranes.) In such a case we have developed a quite general result, Eq. (11), which transparently connects the distribution of intercompartmental rates $\rho(f)$ to the effective diffusion constant. We have illustrated these results for several specific cases of the distribution $\rho(f)$ including a Rayleigh and a Rice distribution, and also employed the general development to shed light on effects of disorder in barrier placement as well as in intercompartmental rates.

In concluding, we display a comparison of the results of our effective medium theory with numerical solutions of the disordered Master equation for various realizations of f-disorder, with H held constant. We see in Fig. 5 that the agreement is excellent at sufficiently long times. We considered several different kinds of distribution and found the results to be essentially identical. The results displayed in Fig. 5 correspond to three Rice distributions with different parameters, ($v = 0.1$, $s = 0.02$), ($v = 0.2$, $s = 0.06$) and ($v = 0.4$, $s = 0.02$), the probability distribution functions being shown in the inset. The same three kinds of curve, dashed, solid and dash-dotted curves respectively, used to display the distributions are used correspondingly in the main figure to show the results of the numerical solution of Eq. (4) followed by performing an ensemble average. The specific plots are of the instan-

taneous transfer rate $F(t)$, normalized to the barrier-less system transfer rate F. This $F(t)$ is one half the time derivative of the (dimensionless) mean square displacement, and should not be confused with the memory $\mathcal{F}(t)$. Two features are visible: dips below the eventual asymptotic values, and the coincidence of the asymptotic values with horizontal dotted lines that represent our effective medium theory. The dips arise from the fact that the random walker is assumed to start initially at the center of one of the compartments: repeated encounters with walls when the effective transfer rate drops are responsible for the dips. A detailed discussion of the dips is given in ref. [14]. The asymptotic coincidence of the numerical solutions with the effective medium theory provides graphical validation of the latter.

Acknowledgments

[1] McMahon H T and Gallop J L 2005 Science **438** 590
[2] Higashi T, Suzuki K, and Otsuji N 1981 J. of Bacteriology **146** 1117
[3] Krauss G, 2001 *Biochemistry of Signal Transduction and Regulation, 2nd edition* (Darmstadt: John Wiley & Sons)
[4] Maxfield F R and Tabas I 2005 Science **438** 612
[5] Edidin M, Kuo S C, and Sheetz M P 1991 Science **254** 1379
[6] Edidin M, Zuniga M C, and Sheetz M P 1994 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **91** 3378
[7] Sako Y, Nagafuchi A, Tsukita S, Takeichi M, and Kusumi A 1998 J. Cell Biol. **140** 1227
[8] Tomishige M, Sako Y, and Kusumi A 1998 J. Cell Biol. **142** 989
[9] Simson R, Yang B, Moore S E, Doherty P, Walsh F S, and Jacobson K A 1998 Biophysical Journal **74** 297
[10] Capps G G, Pine S, Edidin M, and Zuniga M C 2004 Biophysical Journal **86** 2896
[11] Kusumi A, Nakada C, Ritchie K, Murase K, Suzuki K, Murakoshi H, Kasai R S, Kondo J, and Fujiwara T 2005 Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. **34** 351
[12] Howard J 2001 *Mechanics of Motor Proteins and the Cytoskeleton* (Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates)
[13] Powles G J, Mallett M J D, Rickayzen G, and Evans W A B 1992 Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **436** 391
[14] Kenkre V M, Giuggioli L and Kalay Z 2008 Phys. Rev. E (accepted for publication)
[15] Kirkpatrick S 1973 Rev. Mod. Phys. **45** 574; 1971 Phys. Rev. Lett. **27** 1722
[16] Odagaki T and Lax M 1981 Phys. Rev. B **24** 5284 Odagaki T, Lax M, and Puri A 1983 Phys. Rev. B **28** 2755 Webman I 1981 Phys. Rev. Lett. **47** 1496
[17] Haus J W and Kehr K W 1987 Phys. Repts. **150** 263
[18] Parris P E 1987 Phys. Rev. B **36** 5437 Parris P E 1989 Phys. Rev. B **39** 9343 Parris P E and Bookout B D 1996 Phys. Rev. B **53** 629
[19] Kenkre V M 2001 Granular Materials **3** 23
[20] Zwanzig R 1995 J. Chem. Phys. **103** 9397
[21] Parris P E and Kenkre V M 2006 Phys. Rev. E **72** 056119
[22] Candia J, Parris P E, and Kenkre V M 2007 J. Stat. Phys. **129** 323
[23] Parris P E, Candia J and Kenkre V M, "Random Walk Access Times on Partially Disordered Complex Networks: an Effective Medium Theory", University of New Mexico preprint
[24] Kenkre V M and Wong Y M 1980 Phys. Rev. B **22** 5716
[25] Kenkre V M, Kalay Z and Parris P E 2008 (unpublished)
[26] Murakoshi H , Iino R, Kobayashi T, Fujiwara T, Ohshima C, Yoshimura A, Kusumi A 2004 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **101** 7317
[27] Zhang F, Crise B, Su B, Hou Y, Rose J K, Bothwell A, Jacobson K 1991 J. Cell Biol. **115** 75
[28] Qian H, Sheetz M P, Elson E L 1991 Biophys. J. **60** 910
[29] Tsuji A, Kawasaki K, Ohnishi S, Merkle H, Kusumi A 1988 Biochemistry **27** 7447
[30] Tomishige M, Sako Y and Kusumi A 1998 J. Cell Biol. **142** 989
[31] Morone N, Fujiwara T, Murase K, Kasai R S, Ike H, Yuasa S, Usukura J and Kusumi A 2006 J. Cell Biol. **174** 851