

Pressure-temperature phase diagram of ferromagnetic superconductors

V. P. Mineev

Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, INAC / SPSMS, 38054 Grenoble, France

(Dated: October 26, 2018)

The symmetry approach to the description of the (P, T) phase diagram of ferromagnet superconductors with triplet pairing is developed. Taking into account the recent experimental observations made on $UCoGe$ it is considered the case of a crystal with orthorhombic structure and strong spin-orbital coupling. It is shown that formation of ferromagnet superconducting state from a superconducting state is inevitably accompanied by the first order type transition.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Dw, 74.20.Rp, 74.20.De, 74.70.Tx

There are several metallic compounds demonstrating the coexistence of superconductivity and itinerant ferromagnetism. These are UGe_2 ,¹ $URhGe$,² and recently revealed $UCoGe$.³ The large band splitting and the high low temperature value of upper critical field^{4,5,6} in uranium ferromagnetic superconductors indicate that here we deal with Cooper pairing in the triplet state. The superconductivity in UGe_2 and $URhGe$ arises at temperatures far below the corresponding Curie temperature and coexists with ferromagnetism in some pressure interval such that at the (P, T) phase diagram the whole region occupied by the superconducting state is situated inside of more vast ferromagnetic region.^{7,8} The phase diagram of new ferromagnetic superconductor $UCoGe$ is found to be qualitatively different.⁹ At ambient pressure the ferromagnetism ($T_{Curie} = 2.8K$) coexists with superconducting state ($T_{sc} = 0.8K$) arising close to ferromagnetic instability. Then at applied pressure the Curie temperature is diminished such that no indication of ferromagnetic order has been observed above $P^* \approx 1 GPa$. The resistive superconducting transition is, however, quite stable in temperature and persists up to the highest measured pressure of about $2.4 GPa$.

The apparent intersection of pressure dependent transition lines $T_{Curie}(P)$ and $T_{sc}(P)$ raises the problem about the general properties of (P, T) phase diagram including the region of coexistence of ferromagnetic and triplet pairing superconducting states. It has its own peculiarity other than that of singlet superconductivity coexisting with ferromagnetism in form is known as the Anderson-Suhl or cryptoferromagnetic superconducting state (for review see¹⁰) characterized by formation of a transverse domain-like magnetic structure. The structure period or the domain size is larger than interatomic distance and smaller than the superconducting coherence length that decreases the depairing effect of the exchange field. The latter is irrelevant in the case of triplet superconductivity, hence there is no reason for formation of a cryptomagnetic state.

The region of coexistence of superconductivity and ferromagnetism is separated from the normal state by the region of ferromagnet normal state at $P < P^*$ and by the region of superconducting state at $P > P^*$. Let us look first at $P < P^*$ region.

All the mentioned ferromagnetic superconductors are

the metals with orthorhombic symmetry and magnetic moment directed along of one of the crystallographic axis which we choose as \hat{z} axis. The symmetry of the normal paramagnetic state is determined by the elements of group

$$G = D_2 \times U(1) \times R, \quad (1)$$

where $D_2 = (E, C_2^z, C_2^x, C_2^y)$ is the point symmetry group, $U(1)$ is the group of gauge transformations and R is the time reversal operation. At the transition to the normal ferromagnet state the symmetry reduces to the

$$G_M = D_2^M(C_2^z) \times U(1), \quad (2)$$

where $D_2^M(C_2^z) = (E, C_2^z, RC_2^x, RC_2^y)$ is so called magnetic class¹¹. The symmetries and the order parameters of unconventional superconducting states arising from the normal state with ferromagnetic order in orthorhombic crystals with strong spin-orbital coupling have been pointed out in the paper.¹² They belong to two different corepresentations A and B such that two ferromagnetic superconducting classes of different symmetry denoted A_1, A_2 and B_1, B_2 are related to each of them correspondingly. Then it was pointed out^{13,14} that superconducting states in triplet ferromagnet superconductors represent a special type of two band superconducting states, namely, consisting of pairing states occupied either by spin up or spin down electrons. The two-component order parameters have a form

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2}[-(\hat{x} + i\hat{y})\Delta_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) + (\hat{x} - i\hat{y})\Delta_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{k})]. \quad (3)$$

Here \hat{x}, \hat{y} are the unit vectors of the spin coordinate system pinned to the crystal axes.

$$\Delta_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) = -\eta_{\uparrow}f_{-}(\mathbf{k}), \quad \Delta_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_{\downarrow}f_{+}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (4)$$

Functions $f_{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ are odd functions of momentum directions of pairing particles on the Fermi surface. The general forms of these functions for the different corepresentations in ferromagnetic superconductors with orthorhombic symmetry are listed in the papers.^{12,14}

The complex order parameter amplitudes η_{\uparrow} and η_{\downarrow} are not completely independent:

$$\eta_{\uparrow} = |\eta_{\uparrow}|e^{i\varphi}, \quad \eta_{\downarrow} = \pm|\eta_{\downarrow}|e^{i\varphi}. \quad (5)$$

Thus, being different by their modulus they have the same phase with an accuracy $\pm\pi$. At the phase transition to the normal ferromagnet state the amplitudes of the both components of the superconducting order parameter turn to zero.

The superconducting order parameter form (3) is quite natural in ferromagnetic state with spin up - spin down band splitting. We shall use another form of the order parameter which is more appropriate when the ferromagnetism (but not superconductivity !) is suppressed by pressure, and the band splitting plays no role. So, we choose the order parameter consisting of sum of real and imaginary parts. For the states S_{A_1} and S_{A_2} they are

$$\mathbf{d}_{A_1}(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_1 \varphi_1(\mathbf{k}) + i\eta_2 \varphi_2(\mathbf{k}), \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{d}_{A_2}(\mathbf{k}) = i\eta_1 \varphi_1(\mathbf{k}) + \eta_2 \varphi_2(\mathbf{k}), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\varphi_1(\mathbf{k}) = u_1 \hat{x} k_x + u_3 \hat{y} k_y, \quad \varphi_2(\mathbf{k}) = u_2 \hat{x} k_y + u_4 \hat{y} k_x, \quad (8)$$

and u_1, \dots are real functions of k_x^2, k_y^2, k_z^2 . Due to the property (5) the amplitudes η_1 and η_2 can be chosen having equal phase factors. Both states with the order parameters (6) and (7) belong to the same corepresentation A and have common critical temperature of transition from the normal ferromagnet state. But they obey the different symmetry (belong to different ferromagnetic superconducting classes)

$$G_{A_1} = D_2(C_2^z) = (E, C_2^z, RC_2^x, RC_2^y), \quad (9)$$

and

$$G_{A_2} = \tilde{D}_2(C_2^z) = (E, C_2^z, RC_2^x e^{i\pi}, RC_2^y e^{i\pi}). \quad (10)$$

They also differ each other by the direction of the Cooper pair spin momentum

$$\mathbf{S}_{A_1} = i \frac{\langle \mathbf{d}_{A_1}^* \times \mathbf{d}_{A_1} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{d}_{A_1}^* \mathbf{d}_{A_1} \rangle} \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{A_2} = -\mathbf{S}_{A_1}. \quad (12)$$

Here the angular brackets mean the averaging over the Fermi surface.

At the second order type transition from the normal ferromagnet to the superconducting ferromagnet the states S_{A_1} and S_{A_2} appear in the ferromagnet domains with the opposite direction of magnetization¹². Thus the order parameter of superconducting state proves to be coordinate dependent and can be written as

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}) = e^{i\alpha(\mathbf{r})} \eta_1(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_1(\mathbf{k}) + e^{-i\alpha(\mathbf{r}) + i\pi/2} \eta_2(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_2(\mathbf{k}), \quad (13)$$

where the phase $\alpha = 0$ in the magnetization up domains with S_{A_1} superconducting state and $\alpha = \pi/2$ in the magnetization down domains with S_{A_2} superconducting

state. The phase is changed near atomic thickness ferromagnet domain walls in the layer of the order of superconducting coherence length. At the phase transition to the normal ferromagnet state the amplitudes of the both components of the superconducting order parameter (13) turn to zero.

Let us consider now what is going on at $P > P^*$. Here, at temperature decrease, the system can pass from the normal to the superconducting state. It is quite natural to think that it happens by means of the second order type transition to conventional superconducting state S_1 with the order parameter

$$\mathbf{d}_{S_1}(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_1 \varphi_1(\mathbf{k}) \quad (14)$$

having the full point symmetry of the crystal lattice and relating to the trivial superconducting class

$$G_{s_1} = D_2 \times R. \quad (15)$$

As any one component superconducting state formed directly from a nonmagnetic normal state by means of the second order type transition this state is nonmagnetic.¹⁵ The spontaneous magnetism appears at a transition to the ferromagnet state where the superconducting order parameter acquires the second component. By means of the second order phase transition the state S_1 can turn only to the homogeneous superconducting ferromagnet state S_{A_1} with symmetry group G_{A_1} which is the subgroup of G_{s_1} . So, we come to the ferromagnet state with only one type of superconducting domains. The second type of superconducting domains formed by the state S_{A_2} can not be smoothly created from the state S_1 simply because its symmetry group G_{s_1} does not contain G_{A_2} as its subgroup.

Similar situation occurs if instead of conventional superconducting state the system pass first to nonconventional superconducting state with the order parameter

$$\mathbf{d}_{S_2}(\mathbf{k}) = \eta_2 \varphi_2(\mathbf{k}), \quad (16)$$

having the symmetry group or superconducting class

$$G_{s_2} = D_2^s(C_2^z) \times R, \quad D_2^s = (E, C_2^z, e^{i\pi} C_2^x, e^{i\pi} C_2^y). \quad (17)$$

This state by the second order phase transition can be transformed only to the homogeneous superconducting ferromagnet state S_{A_2} with symmetry group G_{A_2} which is the subgroup of G_{s_2} . The superconducting domains formed by the state S_{A_1} can not be smoothly created from the state S_2 because its symmetry group G_{s_2} does not contain G_{A_1} as its subgroup. Thus, the formation of multi domain superconducting ferromagnet state (13) from homogeneous superconducting state either conventional type with an order parameter (14), or nonconventional type with an order parameter (16) by means the second order type transitions is impossible.

We come to the conclusion that formation of multi domain ferromagnet superconducting state at $P > P^*$ is inevitably related with first order type transition. Either,




FIG. 1: Schematic pressure - temperature phase diagrams: (a) and (b) (see the text). Here, N is the normal paramagnetic phase, F is the ferromagnet phase, S_1 is the homogeneous superconducting phase, $F + S_{A_1} + S_{A_2}$ is multi domain ferromagnet superconducting phase. The thin lines are the lines of the second order transitions, the thick lines are the lines of the first order transitions.

at temperature decrease first we have second order type transition to the homogeneous superconducting state followed at lower temperature by the first order type tran-

sition to the multi domain superconducting ferromagnet state (see Fig.1a). Or, there is only one first order type transition from the normal paramagnet state to the multi domain superconducting ferromagnet state (see Fig.1b). Both of these possibilities are compatible with an important observation made by resistivity measurements on polycrystalline specimens of $UCoGe$ ⁹ that the superconducting phase transition in the paramagnetic state becomes much broader than in the magnetically ordered phase. To establish complete pressure-temperature phase diagram of single crystal $UCoGe$ the application of the other experimental methods is desirable.

The external field of about 100 Oe directed along the easy magnetization axis of $UCoGe$ transforms it from the multi domain to the single domain ferromagnet⁶. The transformation process should be accompanied by weakening of the first order phase transition depicted at Fig.1 and smooth conversion of it to the second order type transition.

In conclusion, we have found the pressure-temperature phase diagram of a ferromagnetic triplet superconductor with strong spin-orbital coupling and orthorhombic crystal structure. Its shape is dictated by the symmetry of the order parameter. The experimental revelation of such type phase diagram in $UCoGe$ or similar compounds can serve as the direct indication to the type of superconducting state we deal with.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is indebted to M. Houzet and A. Buzdin for valuable discussions, to D.Aoki and J.Flouquet for the interest to the work and to A. Muratov and S. Blundell for the kind assistance in the manuscript preparation.

¹ S.S.Saxena, P.Agarwal, K.Ahilan, F.M.Grosche, R.K.W.Hasselwimmer, M.J.Steiner, E.Pugh, I.R.Walker, S.R.Julian, P.Monthoux, G.G.Lonzarich, A.Huxley, I.Sheikin, D.Braithwaite and J.Flouquet, Nature **406**, 587 (2000).
² D.Aoki, A. Huxley, E.Ressouche, D.Braithwaite, J.Flouquet, J.-P.Brison, E.Lhotel and C.Paulsen, Nature **413**, 613 (2001).
³ N.T.Huy, A.Gasparini, D.E. de Nijs, Y.Huang, J.C.P.Klaasse, T.Gortenmulder, A. de Visser, A.Hamann, T.Gorlach, and H.v. Lohneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 067006 (2007).
⁴ A.Huxley, I. Sheikin, E.Ressouche, N.Kernavanois, D.Braithwaite, R.Calemzuk and J.Flouquet, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 144519 (2001).
⁵ F.Hardy and A.D.Huxley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 247006 (2005)
⁶ N.T.Huy, D.E. de Nijs, Y.Huang, and A. de Visser, Phys.Rev.Lett. **100**, 077002 (2008)
⁷ A.Huxley, E.Ressouche, B.Grenier, D.Aoki, J.Flouquet and C.Pfleiderer, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, 1945

(2003).

⁸ F.Hardy, A.Huxley, J.Flouquet, B.Salce, G.Knebel, D.Braithwaite, D.Aoki, M.Uhlarz, C.Pfleiderer, Physica B **359-361**, 1111 (2005).
⁹ E.Hassinger, D.Aoki, G.Knebel, and J.Flouquet, cond-mat/0804.0500 and Journ. Phys. Soc. Jap. to be published (2008).
¹⁰ L.N.Bulaevskii, A.I.Buzdin, M.L.Kulic, and S.V.Panjukov, Adv. Phys. **34**, 175 (1985).
¹¹ L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz "Electrodynamics of Continuous Media", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984.
¹² V.P.Mineev, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 134504 (2002).
¹³ K.V.Samokhin and M.B.Walker, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 174501 (2002).
¹⁴ V.P.Mineev and T.Champel, Phys. Rev.B **69**, 144521 (2004).
¹⁵ V.P.Mineev, K.V.Samokhin "Introduction to unconventional Superconductivity", Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1999.