

LOW ENERGY PION-PION ELASTIC SCATTERING IN SAKAI-SUGIMOTO MODEL

R.Parthasarathy ¹
Chennai Mathematical Institute
Plot.H1, SIPCOT IT Park, Padur Post
Siruseri 603103, India.

and

K.S.Viswanathan²
Department of Physics and IRMACS
Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, Canada V5A1S6.

Abstract

We have considered the holographic large N_c QCD model proposed by Sakai and Sugimoto and evaluated the non-Abelian DBI-action on the $D8$ -brane upto $(\alpha')^4$ terms. Restricting to the pion sector, these corrections give rise to four derivative contact terms for the pion field. We derive the Weinberg's phenomenological lagragian. The coefficients of the four derivative terms are determined in terms of g_{YM}^2 . The low energy pion-pion scattering amplitudes are evaluated. Numerical results are presented with the choice of $M_{KK} = 0.94 GeV$ and $N_c = 11$. The results are compared with the amplitudes calculated using the experimental phase shifts. The agreement with the experimental data is found to be satisfactory.

PACS Classification: 11.25.-w; 11.25.Uv

¹e-mail address:sarathy@cmi.ac.in

²e-mail address:kviswana@sfu.ca

I. Introduction

A study of pion-pion scattering is one of the methods to investigate the nature of the strong interactions. The standard approach at low energies is the use of the chiral perturbation theory, which enables one to calculate the scattering amplitudes near threshold [1]. The pion physics at low energies is described by the effective chiral lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = -\frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger) + \text{Tr}[M(U + U^\dagger)], \quad (1)$$

where $U = e^{\frac{2i}{f_\pi}\Pi(x)}$, $\Pi(x)$ is the pion field, $f_\pi \simeq 95\text{MeV}$, is the pion decay constant and $M = \frac{f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2}{4}$. Weinberg [2] obtained the pion-pion scattering amplitude from (1) as

$$A(s, t, u) = \frac{s - m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2}, \quad (2)$$

where s, t, u are the Mandelstam variables satisfying $s + t + u = 4m_\pi^2$.

The real scattering amplitudes of definite isospin $R^I(s, t, u)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^0(s, t, u) &= 3A(s, t, u) + A(t, s, u) + A(u, t, s), \\ R^1(s, t, u) &= A(t, s, u) - A(u, t, s), \\ R^2(s, t, u) &= A(t, s, u) + A(u, t, s), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} s &= 4(\vec{p}_\pi^2 + m_\pi^2), \\ t &= -2\vec{p}_\pi^2(1 - \cos\theta), \\ u &= -2\vec{p}_\pi^2(1 + \cos\theta). \end{aligned}$$

\vec{p}_π is the pion momentum in the center of mass frame and θ is the scattering angle. The partial wave isospin amplitudes are given by

$$T_\ell^I(s) = \frac{1}{64\pi} \sqrt{\left(1 - 4\frac{m_\pi^2}{s}\right)} \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta P_\ell(\cos\theta) R^I(s, t, u), \quad (4)$$

with $I = 0, 1, 2$. The current-algebra result (2) gives T_0^0 rising almost linearly with \sqrt{s} thereby violating the unitarity bound, namely, $|T_\ell^I| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ even below 1GeV. Dispersion theoretic study by Roy [3] gave the result for the above amplitudes consistent with the unitarity bound. However, the various parameters involved are to be determined by the experimental data.

Weinberg [1], in his phenomenological approach, suggested adding two more terms to (1) involving four pion field (four derivative contact terms) with arbitrary coefficients as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Weinberg} &= \mathcal{L}_{eff} + a \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U \partial_\nu U^\dagger \partial^\mu U \partial^\nu U^\dagger) \\ &+ b \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger \partial_\nu U \partial^\nu U^\dagger), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where a and b are dimensionless constants and \mathcal{L}_{eff} is given by (1). The effect of the additional terms in (5) on pion-pion scattering has been studied by Sannino and Schechter [4]. They required, arbitrarily, the contribution from these terms to be zero at threshold and consequently chose $b = -a$ and found for $a \simeq 1 \times 10^{-3}$. The four derivative contact terms' contribution pull the T_0^0 curve to avoid violation of unitarity below $\sqrt{s} = 1GeV$. While this feature is encouraging, the choice $b = -a$ and the numerical value for a are arbitrary. Various phenomenological studies [5,6,7,8] have been successful in obtaining the behaviour of the scattering amplitude, making use of the experimental data on phase shifts and are consistent with unitarity.

Recently, a holographic dual of QCD with N_f massless quarks, using $D4/D8-\bar{D}8$ brane configuration in Type II-A string theory, with in the frame work of AdS/CFT [9,10], has been proposed by Sakai and Sugimoto [11,12] (hereafter referred to as SS-1 and SS-2 respectively) describing low energy phenomena of large N_c QCD. The pion effective action obtained in this model is the Skyrme action. The chiral lagrangian derived from SS-1 and SS-2 is found to describe the axial coupling g_A and the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon [13,14,15,16]. Further, the spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry has a geometrical meaning in this model. As the model of SS-1, SS-2 describes the low energy QCD in the large N_c limit, it is worthwhile to examine this model to understand the low energy pion-pion scattering, in particular to derive (5) from this model.

It is the purpose of this paper to derive the lagrangian (5) from a holographic dual of QCD of SS-1 and SS-2. Though pion-pion scattering was considered in SS-2, their chiral lagrangian was just (1). By including the $(\alpha')^4$ corrections to the non-Abelian DBI action on the $D8$ -brane, we obtain (5) with the coefficients a and b determined in terms of g_{YM}^2 in the large N_c limit. Our results are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= -\frac{1}{2}C_4, \\
 b &= -C_4, \\
 C_4 &= \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} 0.5865 \times 10^{-3}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6}$$

Clearly, the arbitrariness in choosing a, b in [4] is removed and the coefficients are determined in terms of g_{YM}^2 . Our results show that $b \neq -a$. We have evaluated the partial wave scattering amplitudes in (4) using the value of g_{YM}^2 at large N_c and taking the Kaluza-Klein scale in SS-1 to be that of proton mass. Our results respect the unitarity bound for the amplitudes for $N_c \geq 11$ and are in good qualitative agreement with the experimental data.

II. Brief Review of Sakai-Sugimoto model

The model in SS-1 (SS-2) starts with the near horizon limit of a configuration of N_c D4-branes wrapping a circle in the 4-direction and the N_f D8 and N_f $\bar{D}8$ branes placed at the anti-podal points of this circle. The D4 background consists of N_c flat D4-branes with one spatial world-volume direction compactified on S^1 and is given by the supergravity solution of Kruczenski, Mateos, Myers and Winters [17]. The space-time extension of the D4-brane and the D8/ $\bar{D}8$ -branes can be neatly given by the schematic diagram below.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D4	*	*	*	*	*					
D8/ $\bar{D}8$	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*

Here the * denotes the extended directions of the branes. The x^4 direction is compactified on a circle of radius M_{KK}^{-1} (M_{KK} is the Kaluza-Klein mass scale) with anti-periodic boundary condition for the fermions. The effect of this is to make the fermions massive, thereby completely breaking the supersymmetry. The massless modes of the 4-4 strings are the gauge fields, A_μ^{D4} ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$), scalar A_4^{D4} and Φ^i ($i = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$), all in the adjoint representation of the gauge group $U(N_c)$. It has been argued in [11,12] that the mass terms of the scalar A_4^{D4} and Φ^i are produced by one-loop corrections and their trace parts (massless) do not play important role in the low energy physics. Thus at energies lower than M_{KK} , we have pure Yang-Mills theory in (1 + 3) dimensions.

Next one introduces N_f flavours of quarks by placing a stack of N_f overlapping D8 and $\bar{D}8$ branes at the anti-podal points of S^1 (compactified x^4). From the 4 – 8 and 4 – $\bar{8}$ strings (the open strings with one end attached to the D4 -brane and the other end to the D8-brane and similarly with $\bar{D}8$ -brane) we obtain N_f flavors of massless fermions in the fundamental representation of the $U(N_c)$ gauge group. These fermions are interpreted as quarks in QCD. The chirality of the fermion created by the 4 – 8 strings is opposite to that created by the 4 – $\bar{8}$ strings (as $\bar{D}8$ -brane is D8-brane with opposite orientation). So the $U(N_f)_{D8} \times U(N_f)_{\bar{D}8}$ gauge symmetry of the N_f D8/ $\bar{D}8$ -pair is interpreted as the $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$ chiral symmetry of QCD.

The compactification of x^4 which is responsible for breaking the supersymmetry (with antiperiodic boundary condition for fermions) plays a crucial role in getting a geometric understanding of chiral symmetry breaking. To see this, we consider the D4-background supergravity solution [17] with x^4 as τ :

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{U}{R}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + f(U) d\tau^2) + \left(\frac{R}{U}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{dU^2}{f(U)} + U^2 d\Omega_4\right),$$

$$e^\phi = g_s \left(\frac{U}{R} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}; F_4 = dc_3 = \frac{2\pi N_c}{V_4} \epsilon_4; f(U) = 1 - \frac{U_{KK}^3}{U^3}, \quad (7)$$

where x^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and τ are the directions along which the $D4$ -brane is extended, $d\Omega_4, \epsilon_4, V_4 = \frac{8\pi^2}{3}$ are the line element, volume form and the volume of unit S^4 , $R(= R_{D4})$ and U_{KK} are parameters and the coordinate U is taken to be bounded from below ($U \geq U_{KK}$). $U = U_{KK}$ corresponds to a horizon in the supergravity solution. $g_s(= e^{\langle \phi \rangle})$ is the string coupling and $R^3 = \pi g_s N_c \ell_s^3$, ℓ_s being the string length. At $U = U_{KK}$ there is a conical singularity in (7). The conical singularity is avoided by using the fact that $\tau(= x^4)$ is periodic with period $\delta\tau = 2\pi \frac{2R^{\frac{3}{2}}}{U_{KK}^{\frac{3}{2}}}$.³ The Kaluza-Klein mass scale then is $M_{KK} = \frac{2\pi}{\delta\tau} = \frac{3U_{KK}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2R^{\frac{3}{2}}}$, below which the dual gauge theory is effectively the same as 4-dimensional YM theory, with $g_{YM}^2 = 4\pi^2 g_s \ell_s \frac{1}{\delta\tau}$. The parameters R, U_{KK} and g_s are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^3 &= \frac{g_{YM}^2 N_c \ell_s^2}{2M_{KK}}; & U_{KK} &= \frac{2}{9} g_{YM}^2 N_c M_{KK} \ell_s^2, \\ g_s &= \frac{g_{YM}^2}{2\pi M_{KK} \ell_s}; & M_{KK} &= \frac{3U_{KK}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2R^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

One introduces the flavors by placing a stack of N_f overlapping $D8$ and $\bar{D}8$ -branes at the anti-podal points of the compactified S^1 , producing the global $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$ chiral symmetry which is visible on the $D8$ and $\bar{D}8$ -branes as chiral gauge symmetry. In the probe limit $N_f \ll N_c$, the back-reaction of the $D8$ -branes (and $\bar{D}8$ -branes) on the background (7) is negligible. The induced

³Let $y = h(U_{KK}) \sqrt{f(U)}$. Then, $dU = \frac{2\sqrt{f(U)} dy}{h(U_{KK}) (\frac{df}{dU})}$. The metric at $U = U_{KK}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (ds)^2|_{U=U_{KK}} &= \left(\frac{U_{KK}}{R} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} [\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \frac{y^2}{h^2(U_{KK})} d\tau^2 + \frac{4R^3}{U_{KK}^3 h^2(U_{KK}) (\frac{df}{dU})^2|_{U=U_{KK}}} dy^2 \\ &+ \frac{R^3}{U_{KK}} d\Omega_4^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us set $\frac{4R^3}{U_{KK}^3 h^2(U_{KK}) (\frac{df}{dU})^2|_{U=U_{KK}}} = 1$, determining $h(U_{KK})$ as $\frac{2R^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3U_{KK}^{\frac{3}{2}}}$. Then,

$$(ds)^2|_{U=U_{KK}} = \left(\frac{U_{KK}}{R} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} [\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \frac{y^2}{h^2(U_{KK})} d\tau^2 + dy^2 + \frac{R^3}{U_{KK}} d\Omega_4^2].$$

Now, consider $dy^2 + \frac{y^2}{h^2(U_{KK})} d\tau^2$. Assuming τ periodic with period $2\pi\beta$, let $\phi = \beta^{-1}\tau$, so that ϕ has a period 2π . Then, $dy^2 + \frac{y^2}{h^2(U_{KK})} d\tau^2 = dy^2 + \alpha^2 y^2 d\phi^2$, with $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{h(U_{KK})}$. This is a standard cone with singularity at $y = 0$. When $\alpha = 1$, we have $dy^2 + y^2 d\phi^2 = dX^2 + dY^2$, the conical singularity disappears and the period of τ is $2\pi\beta = 2\pi h(U_{KK})$ which is $2\pi \frac{2R^{\frac{3}{2}}}{U_{KK}^{\frac{3}{2}}}$.

metric on the $D8$ -brane embedded in the $D4$ background (7) with $U = U(\tau)$ is

$$(ds)_{D8}^2 = \left(\frac{U}{R}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \left\{ \left(\frac{U}{R}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} f(U) + \left(\frac{R}{U}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{U'^2}{f(U)} \right\} d\tau^2 + \left(\frac{R}{U}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} U^2 d\Omega_4^2, \quad (9)$$

where $U' = \frac{dU}{d\tau}$.

The submanifold of the background (7) spanned by τ and U has the geometry of a cigar where the minimum value of U at the tip of the cigar is U_{KK} . The $D8$ and $\bar{D}8$ -branes placed at the antipodals of S^1 are well separated giving the $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$ chiral symmetry. If the $D8$ and $\bar{D}8$ -branes smoothly join at some point $U = U_0 > U_{KK}$, then the chiral symmetry $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$ spontaneously breaks to $U(N_f)_{L+R}$. This can be seen by following the curve $U(\tau)$ to $U = U_0$ at which $U' = 0$. To see this, we consider the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action on the $D8$ -brane. The Chern-Simons term does not affect the solution of the equations of motion [11,12,16,18]. The DBI-action on the $D8$ -brane is

$$S = T_8 \int d^4x d\tau d^4\Omega e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det g_i}, \quad (10)$$

where $T_8 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8 \ell_s^9}$ is the tension of the $D8$ -brane and g_i is the induced metric given by (9). Using (9), we find (10) as

$$S = \frac{\tilde{T}_8}{g_s} \int d\tau U^4 \sqrt{f(U) + \left(\frac{R}{U}\right)^3 \frac{U'^2}{f(U)}}, \quad (11)$$

where \tilde{T}_8 includes the integration of all coordinates except τ . As the integrand in (11) is independent of τ , the equation of motion gives the 'energy' conservation as

$$\frac{U^4 f(U)}{\sqrt{f(U) + \left(\frac{R}{U}\right)^3 \frac{U'^2}{f(U)}}} = \text{constant} = U_0^4 \sqrt{f(U_0)}, \quad (12)$$

where we assume that there is a point U_0 at which the profile $U(\tau)$ has a minimum, $U'(U = U_0) = 0$. The solution in which as $U \rightarrow \infty$, τ goes to 0, L (say) has been analysed by Aharony, Sonnenschein and Yankielowicz [18] and for an asymptotic separation of L , the configuration stretches down to a minimum at $U = U_0 > U_{KK}$. At $U = U_0$, the $D8$ -branes and the $\bar{D}8$ -branes overlap, breaking the chiral symmetry $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$ to $U(N_f)_{L+R}$. Thus, the chiral symmetry breaking has a geometric description, namely, the $D8$ -branes and $\bar{D}8$ -branes meeting at $U = U_0 > U_{KK}$. Recently, Bergman, Seki and Sonnenschein [26] and Dhar and Nag [26] have modified SS-1 model by

incorporating the open string tachyon between $D8$ and $\bar{D}8$ branes, particularly important when they meet and showed that tachyon condensation is responsible for the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry.

In order to describe the $D8$ -branes in the probe approximation, it is found to be convenient to introduce new coordinates $(y, z) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$ with $U_{KK}r^2 = U^3 - U_{KK}^3$ and $\theta = \frac{3U_{KK}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2R^{\frac{3}{2}}}\tau$ so that the metric written in (y, z) is smooth and consider the probe $\bar{D}8$ -brane placed at $y = 0$ extended along the z direction. This brane configuration corresponds to a $D4/D8/\bar{D}8$ system representing $U(N_c)$ QCD with N_f massless flavors. (see SS-1 and SS-2 for details). This system has $SO(5)$ symmetry corresponding to the rotations of S^4 . As QCD does not have $SO(5)$ symmetry, states invariant under $SO(5)$ rotations alone are considered. The induced metric (9) can be written as [11,12]

$$\begin{aligned} (ds)_{D8}^2 &= \left(\frac{U(z)}{R}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \frac{4}{9} \left(\frac{R}{U(z)}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{U_{KK}}{U(z)} dz^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{R}{U(z)}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} U^2(z) d\Omega_4^2, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with $U^3(z) = U_{KK}^3 + U_{KK}z^2$, and the $D8$ -brane extends along x^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and z directions, wrapping around S^4 . The gauge field on the $D8$ -brane has nine components, A_μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$), A_z , A_i ($i = 5, 6, 7, 8$) and to focus on the $SO(5)$ singlet states, A_i 's are set equal to zero. Further, A_μ and A_z are taken to be independent of the coordinates on S^4 . An effective action for mesons is obtained in SS-1 (SS-2) from the non-Abelian DBI-action of the probe $D8$ -brane in a gauge $A_z = 0$. The gauge field $A_\mu(x^\mu, z)$ is expanded as

$$A_\mu(x^\mu, z) = U^{-1}(x) \partial_\mu U(x) \psi_+(z) + \sum_{n \geq 1} B_\mu^{(n)}(x) \psi_n(z), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+(z) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{z}{U_{KK}}\right), \\ U(x) &= \exp\left(\frac{2i}{f_\pi} \Pi(x)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with $\Pi(x)$ as the pion field. The mode function $\psi_n(z)$ satisfies

$$-U(z) \partial_z \left\{ \frac{U^3(z)}{U_{KK}^2} \partial_z \psi_n \right\} = \lambda_n \psi_n, \quad (16)$$

with the normalization

$$\tilde{T}_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2 R^3 \int dz \frac{1}{U(z)} \psi_n(z) \psi_m(z) = \delta_{nm}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_8 = \frac{2}{3}R^{\frac{3}{2}}U_{KK}^{\frac{1}{2}}T_8V_4g_s^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

An effective 4-dimensional action for the pions was obtained by SS-1 (SS-2) from the DBI action on the $D8$ -brane, by omitting the second term in (14) and integrating the z -coordinate as

$$S = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \text{Tr}(U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x))^2 + \frac{1}{32e^2} \text{Tr}[U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x), U^{-1}(x)\partial_\nu U(x)]^2 \right\}, \quad (19)$$

where one sets

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{54\pi^4}(g_{YM}^2 N_c)M_{KK}^2 N_c &= f_\pi^2, \\ \frac{27\pi^7}{2b} \frac{1}{(g_{YM}^2 N_c)N_c} &= e^2; \quad b = 15.24. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This action coincides with the Skyrme action [19], upon identifying f_π as the pion decay constant. The action for vector mesons along with their properties were obtained in [11,12].

In [11], pion-pion scattering, pion-vector meson interaction and decays of vector mesons were studied. In the study of pion-pion scattering, it was found in [12] that when the infinite tower of massive vector mesons were included, scattering was governed only by the chiral lagrangian (the first term in (19)) for pions. This term is exactly the same as (1) and so the 'Weinberg terms' in (5) are not obtained in the above holographic description. Phenomenologically, the pion- pion scattering has been described by including scalar and ρ -meson exchanges, as in [4] and in [20]. Recently, Harada, Matsuzaki and Yamawaki [21] studied the implications of the Sakai-Sugimoto model with hidden local symmetry [22]. By keeping $n = 1$ vector meson (rho meson) in (14) and integrating the remaining ($n > 1$) mesons, they obtain a lagrangian containing pions, scalars and rho meson fields. This gives a better estimate of $\rho-\pi-\pi$ coupling. By using this lagrangian to describe pion-pion scattering, one can relate the phenomenological approach [4,20] to SS-1/2 model. In [21], (their eqn.27) the resulting lagrangian contains besides the Skyrme term, $O(p^4)$ terms. They [21] consider quantum loop corrections to describe low energy pion-pion scattering. We are considering the tree level of the SS-1 (SS-2) but include the α'^4 terms to describe the low energy pion-pion scattering. This in effect captures the results of the quantum calculations of [21]. This motivates us to consider α'^4 terms in the DBI action on the $D8$ -branes. In the next section, we show that the 'Weinberg terms' in (5) are obtained from the DBI-action on the $D8$ -brane

by considering $(\alpha')^4$ terms. Further, the coefficients a and b will be determined in terms of $g_{\tilde{Y}M}^2$.

III. DBI-Action on $D8$ -Brane

The non-Abelian DBI-action on the $D8$ -brane is

$$S_{D8}^{DBI} = T_8 \int d^9x e^{-\phi} \text{Str} \sqrt{-\det(g_{MN} + (2\pi\alpha')F_{MN})}, \quad (21)$$

where $\alpha' = \ell_s^2$ is the Regge slope parameter, $T_8 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8 \ell_s^9}$ is the tension of the $D8$ -brane. In (21), g_{MN} is the induced metric given in (13) with M, N taking values $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$, Str is the symmetric trace and

$$F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M + i[A_M, A_N], \quad (22)$$

where A_M is the gauge field. The gravitational energy of the $D8$ -brane is $S_{D8}^{DBI}|_{A_M=0}$ and subtracting this as vacuum energy relative to the gauge sector, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{D8}^{DBI} = S_{D8}^{DBI} - S_{D8}^{DBI}|_{A_M=0} &= T_8 \int d^9x e^{-\phi} \text{Str} \{ \sqrt{-\det(g_{MN} + (2\pi\alpha')F_{MN})} \\ &\quad - \sqrt{-\det g_{MN}} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The right hand side above is expanded as in [23] to give

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{D8}^{DBI} &= \frac{T_8}{4} (2\pi\alpha')^2 \int d^9x e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det g_{MN}} \text{Tr} \left(F_{MN} F^{MN} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} (2\pi\alpha')^2 \{ F_{MN} F^{RN} F^{ML} F_{RL} + \frac{1}{2} F_{MN} F^{RN} F_{RL} F^{ML} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} F_{MN} F^{MN} F_{RL} F^{RL} - \frac{1}{8} F_{MN} F^{RL} F^{MN} F_{RL} \} + O(\alpha'^4) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In above, the α'^4 terms are contained in $\{\}$. Such terms have been earlier considered in the fluctuation analysis in the background intersecting branes [24] and in the computation of soliton mass [15] in the Sakai-Sugimoto model. We [25] have included them in our earlier study of pion-pion scattering.

Now, focussing on $SO(5)$ singlets by taking $A_i = 0$ and A_μ independent of the coordinates of S^4 , the coordinates of S^4 are integrated to give

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{D8}^{DBI} &= \left(\frac{2}{3} T_8 R^{\frac{3}{2}} U_{KK}^{\frac{1}{2}} V_4 g_s^{-1} \right) [(2\pi\alpha')^2 \int d^4x dz \text{Tr} \{ \frac{1}{4} \frac{R^3}{U(z)} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\nu\sigma} \\ &\quad + \frac{9}{8} \frac{U^3(z)}{U_{KK}} \eta^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu z} F_{\nu z} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{12}(2\pi\alpha')^4 \int d^4x dz Tr \left\{ \frac{R^6}{U^4(z)} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} \eta^{\rho\delta} \eta^{\alpha\beta} (F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\delta\sigma} F_{\nu\beta} F_{\rho\sigma} \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{8} F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\beta\delta} F_{\nu\sigma} F_{\alpha\rho} + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\beta\sigma} F_{\alpha\rho} F_{\nu\delta} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\nu\sigma} F_{\alpha\rho} F_{\beta\delta}) \\
& + \frac{9}{4} \frac{R^3}{U_{KK}} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} \eta^{\alpha\beta} (2F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\beta\sigma} F_{\nu z} F_{\alpha z} + F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\sigma z} F_{\nu\beta} F_{\alpha z} \\
& + F_{\mu z} F_{\sigma\nu} F_{\beta z} F_{\lambda z} - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\beta z} F_{\nu\sigma} F_{\alpha z} + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\beta\sigma} F_{\alpha z} F_{\nu z} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\sigma z} F_{\alpha z} F_{\nu\beta} + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu z} F_{\beta z} F_{\alpha\lambda} F_{\nu\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} F_{\beta z} F_{\mu z} F_{\nu\sigma} F_{\alpha\lambda} \\
& - F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\nu\sigma} F_{\alpha z} F_{\beta z}) \\
& \left. + \frac{81U^4(z)}{16U_{KK}^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu z} F_{\sigma z} F_{\nu z} F_{\lambda z} + F_{\mu z} F_{\sigma z} F_{\lambda z} F_{\nu z} \right) \right\}, \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

where the prefactor is just \tilde{T}_8 given in (18) and we have displayed the $(\alpha')^4$ -correction terms in the second $\{\}$ expression.

The above action (25) is general upto $(\alpha')^4$ terms and the gauge fields $A_\mu(x, z)$ and $A_z(x, z)$ can be expanded using complete sets of functions of z . A 4-dimensional effective action is obtained by integrating z . We now work in $A_z = 0$ gauge. We are interested in the terms in (25) contributing to pion-pion scattering only, in particular of the form (5). In (25) the first two terms have been considered in SS-2 [12] for low energy $\pi - \pi$ scattering. The use of (14) led to the result that the low energy behaviour of the $\pi - \pi$ scattering amplitude is governed only by the Π^4 vertex coming from the lowest derivative term in U , namely $(U^{-1}\partial_\mu U)^2$, giving the first term in (5). Now, when (14) is substituted in the $(\alpha')^4$ terms in (25), it is seen that the last two terms of (5) come from the last two terms of (25) without involving $B_\mu^n(x)$. So, as far as a description of pion-pion scattering is concerned, the above is equivalent to using (14) without the second term and consistently omitting the Skyrme term in the final expression. In view of this we consider $A_\mu(x, z)$ as

$$A_\mu(x, z) = U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x) \psi_+(z), \quad (26)$$

where $\psi_+(z)$ and $U(x)$ are given in (15). From (26), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\mu\nu}(x, z) &= [U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x), U^{-1}(x)\partial_\nu U(x)]\psi_+(z) (\psi_+(z) - 1), \\
F_{z\mu}(x, z) &= U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x) \partial_z \psi_+(z) \equiv U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x) \hat{\phi}_0(z), \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{\phi}_0(z) = \frac{U_{KK}^2}{\pi} \frac{1}{U^3(z)}, \quad (28)$$

which follows from (15) and the relation $U^3(z) = U_{KK}^3 + U_{KK}z^2$ below (13). Substituting (27) and (28) in (25), we encounter the following z -integrals which

are evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{\psi_+^2(z)(\psi_+(z)-1)^2}{U(z)} dz &= \frac{15.246}{\pi^4}, \\
\int U^3(z) \hat{\phi}_0^2(z) dz &= \frac{U_{KK}^2}{\pi}, \\
\int U^4(z) \hat{\phi}_0^4(z) dz &= \frac{1.275 U_{KK}}{\pi^4}, \\
\int \psi_+^2(z)(\psi_+(z)-1)^2 \hat{\phi}_0^2(z) dz &= \frac{7.455}{U_{KK} \pi^6}, \\
\int \frac{\psi_+^4(z)(\psi_+(z)-1)^4}{U^4(z)} dz &= \frac{43.738}{U_{KK}^3 \pi^8}.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Introducing $\ell_\mu = U^{-1}(x) \partial_\mu U(x)$, the action (25) after the z integration using (29) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{D8}^{DBI} &= \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left\{ \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \ell_\mu \ell^\mu + \frac{1}{32e^2} [\ell_\mu, \ell_\nu]^2 \right\} \\
&- \frac{1}{24} \tilde{T}_8 (2\pi\alpha')^4 \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left[\left(\frac{2 \times 43.738 R^6}{\pi^8 U_{KK}^3} \right) \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} \eta^{\rho\delta} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \{ [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\delta, \ell_\sigma] [\ell_\nu, \ell_\beta] [\ell_\rho, \ell_\alpha] - \frac{1}{8} [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\beta, \ell_\delta] [\ell_\nu, \ell_\sigma] [\ell_\alpha, \ell_\rho] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\beta, \ell_\sigma] [\ell_\alpha, \ell_\rho] [\ell_\nu, \ell_\delta] - \frac{1}{4} [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\nu, \ell_\sigma] [\ell_\alpha, \ell_\rho] [\ell_\beta, \ell_\delta] \right\} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{9 \times 7.455 R^3}{U_{KK}^2 \pi^6} \right) \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \{ 2[\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\beta, \ell_\sigma] \ell_\nu \ell_\alpha \\
&\quad + [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] \ell_\sigma [\ell_\nu, \ell_\beta] \ell_\alpha + \ell_\mu [\ell_\sigma, \ell_\nu] \ell_\beta [\ell_\lambda, \ell_\alpha] - \frac{1}{2} [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] \ell_\beta [\ell_\nu, \ell_\sigma] \ell_\alpha \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\beta, \ell_\sigma] \ell_\alpha \ell_\nu + \frac{1}{2} [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] \ell_\sigma \ell_\alpha [\ell_\nu, \ell_\beta] + \frac{1}{2} \ell_\mu \ell_\beta [\ell_\alpha, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\nu, \ell_\sigma] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \ell_\beta \ell_\mu [\ell_\nu, \ell_\sigma] [\ell_\alpha, \ell_\lambda] - [\ell_\mu, \ell_\lambda] [\ell_\nu, \ell_\sigma] \ell_\alpha \ell_\beta \} \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{81 \times 1.275}{8 U_{KK} \pi^4} \right) \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\lambda\sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ell_\mu \ell_\sigma \ell_\nu \ell_\lambda + \ell_\mu \ell_\nu \ell_\sigma \ell_\lambda \right\} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where we have identified

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{9}{2} \tilde{T}_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2 \frac{U_{KK}}{\pi} &= f_\pi^2, \\
\frac{15.246 R^3}{4\pi^4} \tilde{T}_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2 &= \frac{1}{32 e^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

which are same as in (20).

The first two terms in (30) reproduce the Skyrme model with the identification (31). From (20) (and (31)), it is encouraging to observe that the N_c -dependence of f_π and e , in the large N_c limit for fixed 'tHooft coupling $g_{YM}^2 N_c$ goes as $O(\sqrt{N_c})$ and $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_c}})$ respectively, in agreement with the results of large N_c QCD. The effective action for pions, from the holographic QCD upto $(\alpha')^4$ terms is (30).

Now, using $\ell_\mu = U^{-1}(x)\partial_\mu U(x)$, the first two terms in (30) give (1). The last two terms in (30) give precisely the 'Weinberg terms' in (5) with the coefficients a and b determined as

$$\begin{aligned} a &= -\frac{1}{54} \left(\frac{81 \times 1.275}{8 U_{KK} \pi^4} \right) \tilde{T}_8 (2\pi\alpha')^4, \\ b &= -\frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{81 \times 1.275}{8 U_{KK} \pi^4} \right) \tilde{T}_8 (2\pi\alpha')^4. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

This is our main result, namely, the 'Weinberg terms' are obtained from the D8-brane DBI-action in the Sakai-Sugimoto model with their coefficients determined. Using (8) and the relation

$$\tilde{T}_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2 = \left(\frac{2}{3} T_8 R^{\frac{3}{2}} U_{KK}^{\frac{1}{2}} V_4 g_s^{-1} \right) (2\pi\alpha')^2 = \frac{1}{54\pi^3} M_{KK} N_c \ell_s^{-2}, \quad (33)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} a &= -\frac{1.173 \times 10^{-3}}{4 g_{YM}^2}, \\ b &= -\frac{1.173 \times 10^{-3}}{2 g_{YM}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

which have been displayed earlier in (6). As desired, these coefficients are dimensionless. The remaining terms in (30) give rise to pion-pion scattering leading to four and six pions in the final state.

IV. Effective Lagrangian for pions - Low energy pion-pion scattering

A lagrangian describing pions upto four derivatives of $U(x)$ is given by the first two terms and last two terms in (30). The second term in (30) is the familiar Skyrme term introduced by Skyrme to stabilize the soliton. The holographic model reproduces this term. This term can also contribute to $\pi - \pi \rightarrow \pi - \pi$ scattering. As explained earlier (paragraph before (26)), in [12], it was shown that when massive vector mesons are invoked, the contribution from the Skyrme term gets cancelled by the vector meson exchange diagrams. The resulting contribution to low energy pion-pion scattering amplitude comes from the four derivatives of $U(x)$ in the first and last two terms in (30). These are exactly in

(5) with the coefficients in (6). There is an attempt to realize pion mass term in SS-1 and SS-2 model by introducing instantons in S^4 [16]. This, in view of Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation suggests quark mass and it is not clear, at present, how to introduce quark masses in Sakai-Sugimoto model, though there are attempts in this direction [26]. In view of this, we add to the lagrangian for pions obtained from SS-1 and SS-2 model, a mass term for pions by hand as in (1).

The pion-pion scattering amplitude from (5) and (34,6) is given by

$$A(s, t, u) = \frac{s - m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2} - \frac{2C_4}{f_\pi^4} \{(t - 2m_\pi^2)^2 + (u - 2m_\pi^2)^2 + (s - 2m_\pi^2)^2\} \quad (35)$$

which can be verified using the results in [4] with $a = b/2$. The partial wave scattering amplitudes can be calculated from (3) and (4). It is found that the $I = 1$ amplitude $R^1(s, t, u)$ is independent of the $(\alpha')^4$ corrections and so we do not consider it here. The other amplitudes are found as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\ell=0}^{I=0}(s) &= \frac{1}{64\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{s}} \left[\frac{2}{f_\pi^2} (2s - m_\pi^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{10 C_4}{f_\pi^4} \{2(s - 2m_\pi^2)^2 + s^2 + \frac{1}{3}(s - 4m_\pi^2)^2\} \right], \\ T_0^2(s) &= -\frac{1}{64\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{s}} \left[\frac{2(s - 2m_\pi^2)}{f_\pi^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4 C_4}{f_\pi^4} \{s^2 + 2(s - 2m_\pi^2)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(s - 4m_\pi^2)^2\} \right], \\ T_2^2(s) &= -\frac{1}{64\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{s}} \frac{8 C_4}{15 f_\pi^4} (s - 4m_\pi^2)^2, \\ T_2^0(s) &= -\frac{1}{48\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{s}} \frac{C_4}{f_\pi^4} (s - 4m_\pi^2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

It is seen that the partial wave $\ell = 2$ amplitudes are dependent only on the α'^4 terms. The dimensionless coupling C_4 in (6) is independent of N_c, M_{KK}, ℓ_s , the parameters of the Sakai-Sugimoto model. It depends only on the Yang-Mills coupling g_{YM}^2 . However, as we are working in the large N_c holographic QCD, its numerical value cannot be fixed completely. The scale at which the 5-dimensional action is reduced to 4-dimensional action is the Kaluza-Klein scale, i.e., M_{KK} . In SS-1 and SS-2, it was found that the choice $M_{KK} \simeq 0.94 GeV$ is appropriate. We use the relation (20) or (31), to express g_{YM}^2 as

$$g_{YM}^2 = \frac{54\pi^4 f_\pi^2}{M_{KK}^2 N_c^2} = \frac{51.43}{N_c^2}, \quad (37)$$

where $f_\pi = 93MeV$ and $M_{KK} = 0.94GeV$ are used. From (37), the values for g_{YM}^2 at $M_{KK} = 0.94GeV$ depend on N_c . The contribution of the α'^4 terms to the low energy pion-pion scattering involves f_π^2 (as given by (30), (31)) and for its numerical value we have chosen $f_\pi \simeq 95MeV$. The contribution of the α'^4 terms to the low energy pion-pion scattering involves g_{YM}^2 as given in (34). The Yang-Mills coupling at large N_c and at $M_{KK} = 0.94GeV$ is given in (37) with in the SS-model and this involves N_c . Eqn.37 is consistent with the relation $\lambda N_c \simeq 50$ as found in the Table.1 of [14]. Instead of the usual practice of large N_c calculations of taking the leading order N_c terms in the expansion and setting $N_c = 3$ at the end, we have chosen to use directly 'large' N_c values, since (34) involves only g_{YM}^2 which is evaluated at $M_{KK} = 0.94GeV$ in (37). The 'large' value is chosen to be consistent with the unitarity bound of the scattering amplitude. By numerical calculations using various values of N_c starting from 3, we find the lowest value of N_c which makes the scattering amplitude ≤ 0.5 , as 11.

V. Numerical Results and Discussion

We have evaluated the partial wave scattering amplitudes in (36) for $\pi - \pi \rightarrow \pi - \pi$ scattering below $1GeV$ for various values of N_c and find that the lowest N_c value for which the amplitude T_0^0 is within the unitarity bound, i.e., $|T_0^0| \leq 0.5$ is $N_c = 11$. With this value, we find $C_4 = 1.38 \times 10^{-3}$ and a and b are obtained using (6).

In Fig.1, we have plotted the amplitudes T_0^0 with \sqrt{s} evaluated using (1) and (5), using our result for C_4 for $N_c = 11$. The points + are obtained using (36) with $N_c = 3$. They follow nearly the current algebra result. They, besides violating the unitarity bound, do not agree with the experimental data in Fig.2. The 'almost linearly rising' behaviour of the current-algebra result is ameliorated by the α'^4 corrections added as in (36) with $N_c = 11$.

The experimental data on the phase shifts δ_0^0 are taken from the analysis of Kamiński, Peláez and Ynduráin [27]. Using these phase shifts, we have evaluated the amplitude T_0^0 and compared with our theoretical results with $N_c = 11$ in Fig.2.

From this figure (Fig.2), it is seen that the experimental curve is satisfactorily explained by the theoretical amplitude in (36) with $N_c = 3$. It is useful to compare our theoretical curve with [4] (their Fig.7; with $b = -a$ and for $a = 0.5, 0.7, 1$ in units of 10^{-3}). The curves in [4] show the vanishing of the T_0^0 amplitudes after $\sqrt{s} = 1GeV$ while the experimental amplitudes vanish around \sqrt{s} less than 1 GeV in agreement with our theory, bringing in the holographic QCD description closer to realistic QCD. In [28], meson-meson scattering am-

plitude was considered using non-linear and linear chiral lagrangians and a best fit for T_0^0 with various values of their $m_{bare}(\sigma)$ is obtained. This fit is in agreement with our theoretical curve in holographic QCD approach.

Now, we consider $\ell = 0; I = 2$ amplitude T_0^2 . This evaluated using (36) and plotted in Fig.3 along with the results obtained using the experimental phase shifts from [24]. It is seen from the Fig.3, that our theoretical amplitude upto $\sqrt{s} = 0.6GeV$ are in reasonable agreement with the experimental amplitude calculated from the phase shifts. In this case, the lowest inelastic process is $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi\rho$ and as the ρ -meson mass is 770 MeV, the above description is inadequate after this value for \sqrt{s} as we have not taken into account the rho production.

We now consider $\ell = 2; I = 2$ amplitude T_2^2 . This is evaluated using (36) with $M_{KK} = 0.94GeV$ and $N_c = 11$ and is plotted in Fig.4, along with the experimental amplitude calculated using the phase shifts from [24]. The agreement with the experiment is reasonable. The amplitude T_2^0 can be calculated from (36). However, as seen in [24] (their Fig.7.1c), the phase shifts δ_2^0 are near zero till about 1 GeV. The theoretical values are consistent with zero.

To summarize, we have considered the holographic large N_c QCD proposed by Sakai and Sugimoto and restricting to the pion sector, evaluated the effective action upto α'^4 terms. The effective chiral lagrangian for pions is derived and this coincides with the lagrangian suggested by Weinberg. The couplings of the four derivative contact terms are determined in terms of g_{YM}^2 . In the spirit of large N_c , these couplings are re-expressed in terms of the pion decay constant, Kaluza-Klein scale and N_c . Using $M_{KK} = 0.94GeV$ and $f_\pi = 95MeV$, we find that $N_c = 11$ gives the T_0^0 amplitude within the unitarity bound. For these values, the partial wave amplitudes are evaluated and compared with the results obtained from experimental phase shifts. The agreement with the experimental data is found to be satisfactory within about 20 percent. It will be interesting to consider baryons within this approach and examine the baryon-meson coupling. This work is under progress.

Acknowledgement

One of us (K.S.V) acknowledges the warm hospitality at the Chennai Mathematical Institute. This research is supported by an operating grant from Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada. Useful correspondence with J.R.Peláez is acknowledged with thanks.

References

1. S.Weinberg, *Physica* **A96**, 327 (1979).
2. S.Weinberg, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **17**, 616 (1966).
3. S.M.Roy, *Phys.Lett.* **36**, 353 (1971).
4. F.Sannino and J.Schechter, *Phys.Rev.* **D52**, 96 (1995).
5. B.Ananthanarayanan and P.Buttiker, “Pion-Pion scattering in Chiral Perturbation and Dispersion Relation Theories”, arXiv:hep-ph/9902461.
6. J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, *Ann.Phys. (N.Y.)* **158**, 142 (1984); *Nucl.Phys.* **B250**, 465 (1985).
7. G.Colangelo, J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, *Nucl.Phys.* **B603**, 125 (2001).
8. J.R.Peláez and F.J.Ynduráin, *Phys.Rev.* **D71**, 074016 (2005).
9. J.M.Maldacena, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2**, 331 (1998); arXiv:hep-th/9711200.
10. S.S.Gubser, I.R.Klebanov and A.M.Polyakov, *Phys.Lett.* **B428**, 105 (1998); arXiv:hep-th/9802109. E.Witten, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2**, 253 (1998); arXiv:hep-th/9802150. O.Aharony, S.S.Gubser, J.M.Maldacena, H.Ooguri and Y.Oz, *Phys.Rep.* **323**, 183 (2000); arXiv:hep-th/9905111.
11. T.Sakai and S.Sugimoto, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **113**, 843 (2005); arXiv:hep-th/0412141.
12. T.Sakai and S.Sugimoto, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **114**, 1083 (2006); arXiv:hep-th/0507073.
13. D.K.Hong, M.Rho, H-U.Yee and P.Yi, “Chiral Dynamics of Baryons from String Theory”, arXiv:hep-th/0701276.
14. D.K.Hong, M.Rho, H-U.Yee and P.Yi, “Dynamics of Baryons from String Theory and Vector Dominance”, arXiv: hep-th/07052632.
15. H.Hata, T.Sakai, S.Sugimoto and S.Yamato, “Baryons from Instantons in holographic QCD”, arXiv: hep-th/0701280.
16. K.Nawa, H.Suganuma and T.Kojo, “Baryons in holographic QCD”, arXiv: hep-th/0612187.
K.Hashimoto, T.Hirayama and A.Miwa, “Holographic QCD and Pion Mass”, arXiv: hep-th/0703024.
17. M.Kruczenski, D.Mateos, R.C.Myers and D.J.Winters, *JHEP*, **5**, 041 (2004); arXiv: hep-th/0311270.
18. O.Aharony, J.Sonnenschein and S.Yankielowicz, “A Holographic Model of Deconfinement and Chiral Symmetry Restoration” , arXiv: hep-th/0604161.

19. T.H.R.Skyrme, Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond. **A260**, 127 (1961); Nucl.Phys. **31**, 556 (1962).
20. M.Harada, F.Sannino and J.Schechter, Phys.Rev. **D54**, 1991 (1996); **D69**, 034005 (2004), M.Harada, *PiPi scattering and scalar mesons in an effective chiral lagrangian*, hep-ph/0009051.
21. M.Harada, S.Matsuzaki and K.Yamawaki, Phys.Rev. **D74**, 076004 (2006).
22. M.Bando, T.Kugo, S.Uehara, K.Yamawaki and T.Yanagida, Phys.Rev.Lett. **54**, 1215 (1985); M.Harada, T.Kugo and K.Yamawaki, Prog.Theor.Phys. **91**, 801 (1994).
23. A.A.Tseytlin, Nucl.Phys. **B501**, 41 (1997).
24. S.Nagaoka, Prog.Theo.Phys. **110**, 1219 (2004).
25. R.Parthasarathy and K.S.Viswanathan, “ $(\alpha')^4$ Corrections in Holographic Large N_c QCD and $\pi - \pi$ Scattering”, arXiv: hep-th/0707512.
26. O.Bergman, S.Seki and J.Sonnenschein, “Quark mass and condensates in HQCD”, arXiv: hep-th/0708.2839; A.Dhar and P.Nag, “Sakai-Sugimoto model, tachyon condensation and chiral symmetry breaking”, arXiv: hep-th/0708.3233.
27. R.Kamiński, J.R.Peláez and F.J.Ynduráin, “The pion-pion scattering amplitude. III: Improving the analysis with forward dispersion relations and Roy equations”, arXiv: hep-ph/0710.1150.
28. D.Black, A.H.Fariborz, S.Moussa, S.Nasri and J.Schechter, Phys.Rev. **D64**, 014031 (2001). D.Black and J.Gaunt, “Study of scalar mesons in chiral Lagrangian frameworks”, arXiv: hep-ph/0801.2472.




Figure 1: Continuous linearly rising curve is from (1). The points + and the dotted curve are from (36) with $M_{KK} = 0.94\text{GeV}$ and $N_c = 3$ and $N_c = 11$ respectively.




Figure 2: T_0^0 amplitude from (36) (dotted curve) including α'^4 corrections and the experimental data from [27]




Figure 3: T_0^2 amplitude from (36) (dotted curve) including α'^4 terms and the experimental data from [27]




Figure 4: T_2^2 amplitude from (36) (dotted curve), only α'^4 terms contribute and the experimental data from [27]