

A DSM proof of surjectivity of monotone nonlinear mappings

A. G. Ramm

1 Introduction

It is well-known that a continuous monotone function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} xf(x)/|x| = \infty, \quad (1)$$

is surjective, i.e., the equation $f(x) = y$ is solvable for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, the monotonicity of f implies

$$[f(x) - f(s)](x - s) \geq 0, \quad \forall x, s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, taking $y = 0$ without loss of generality, one concludes from (1) that $f(x) \leq 0$ for $x \leq 0$ and $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \geq 0$. Since f is continuous, it follows that there is a point x_0 such that $f(x_0) = 0$.

If $y \neq 0$ is an arbitrary real number, then the function $F(x) = f(x) - y$ satisfies inequality (2) with F in place of f , provided that (2) holds for f . Condition (1) is also satisfied for F if it holds for f :

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xF(x)}{|x|} = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{xf(x)}{|x|} - \frac{xy}{|x|} \right) = \infty.$$

Conditions (1) and (2) are generalized for nonlinear mappings F in a real Hilbert space H as follows:

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(u, F(u))}{\|u\|} = \infty, \quad (3)$$

and

$$(F(u) - F(v), u - v) \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in H. \quad (4)$$

here (u, v) stands for the inner product in H .

We want to prove that if F is twice Fréchet differentiable and conditions (3)-(4) hold, then F is surjective, i.e., the equation

$$F(u) = h \tag{5}$$

is solvable for every $h \in H$. This is a basic result in the theory of monotone operators (see, e.g., [1], [3]). Our aim is to give a simple and short proof of this result based on the Dynamical Systems Method (DSM) developed in [2].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $F : H \rightarrow H$ is Fréchet differentiable mapping satisfying conditions (3), (4). Then equation (5) is solvable for any h .*

bf Remark 1. If in (4) one has a strict inequality for $u \neq v$, then the solution to (5) is unique.

Remark 2. Condition (4) and Fréchet differentiability imply that $A := F'(u) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in H$

Remark 3. The Fréchet differentiability assumption can be weakened to semi-continuity (see, e.g., [1]), but then the proof loses its elementary character.

Our proof is elementary and is suitable for undergraduate students: it requires a very limited background.

2 Proof

Let us formulate the steps of our proof.

Step 1. For any $a = \text{const} > 0$ the equation

$$F(u_a) + au_a = h \tag{6}$$

has a unique solution u_a .

Step 2.

$$\sup_{0 < a < 1} \|u_a\| < c, \quad c = \text{const} > 0. \tag{7}$$

By c we denote various constants independent of a .

Step 3. Using (7), select a sequence $u_n = u_{a_n}$, $a_n \rightarrow 0$, weakly convergent in H to an element u :

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{8}$$

From (6) and (8) it follows that

$$F(u_n) \rightarrow h, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (9)$$

From (8), (9) and (4) one concludes that u solves (5).

Let us give a *detailed proof*.

Step 1. Consider the problem:

$$\dot{v} = -A_a^{-1}[F(v) + av - h], \quad v(0) = 0. \quad (10)$$

Here $\dot{v} := \frac{dv}{dt}$, $A_a := A + aI$, $A := F'(v)$. Problem (10) is a version of a DSM. We claim that:

- a) problem (10) has a unique global solution, i.e., the solution defined for all $t \in [0, \infty)$,
- b) there exists $v(\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t)$, and
- c) $F(v(\infty)) + av(\infty) = h$.

Claim a) follows from local solvability of problem (10) and a uniform with respect to t bound on the norm $\|v(t)\|$. This bound is obtained below (see (13)).

Denote $\|F(v(t)) + av(t) - h\| := g(t)$, $\dot{g} := \frac{dg}{dt}$. Using (10), one gets

$$g\dot{g} = ((F'(v) + aI)\dot{v}, F(v(t)) + av(t) - h) = -g^2.$$

Thus

$$g(t) = g(0)e^{-t}. \quad (11)$$

From (11) and (10) one gets

$$\|\dot{v}\| \leq \frac{g(0)}{a}e^{-t}, \quad (12)$$

where the estimate $\|A_a^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{a}$ was used. This estimate holds because $A = F'(v(t)) \geq 0$ by the monotonicity of F . Integrating (12) from t to infinity yields

$$\|v(t) - v(\infty)\| \leq \frac{g(0)}{a}e^{-t}. \quad (13)$$

Note that if $\|\dot{v}\| \leq g(t)$ and $g(t) \in L^1(0, \infty)$, then $v(\infty)$ exists by the Cauchy criterion for the existence of a limit:

$$\|v(t) - v(s)\| \leq \int_s^t g(\tau) d\tau \rightarrow 0, \quad t, s \rightarrow \infty, \quad t > s.$$

It follows from (12) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\dot{v}\| = 0. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, passing to the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (10), one gets

$$0 = -A_a^{-1}(v(\infty))[F(v(\infty)) + av(\infty) - h]. \quad (15)$$

Applying the operator $A_a(v(\infty))$ to equation (15), one sees that $v(\infty)$ solves equation (6). Uniqueness of the solution to (6) is easy to prove: if v and w solve (6), then

$$F(v) - F(w) + a(v - w) = 0, \quad a > 0.$$

Multiply this equation by $v - w$, use the monotonicity of F (see (4)), and conclude that $v = w$. Step 1 is completed.

Step 2. Let us prove (7). Multiply (6) by $\frac{u_a}{\|u_a\|}$ and get

$$\frac{(F(u_a), u_a)}{\|u_a\|} + a\|u_a\| = \frac{(f, u_a)}{\|u_a\|}. \quad (16)$$

Since $a > 0$ and $\frac{(f, u_a)}{\|u_a\|} \leq \|f\|$, one gets

$$\frac{(F(u_a), u_a)}{\|u_a\|} \leq \|f\|. \quad (17)$$

From (17) and (3) the desired estimate (7) follows. Step 2 is completed.

Step 3. Let us prove that (4), (8) and (9) imply (5). Let $\eta \in H$ be arbitrary, and $s > 0$ be a small number. Note that $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $g_n \rightarrow g$ imply $(u_n, g_n) \rightarrow (u, g)$. Using (4), one gets:

$$(F(u_n) - F(u - s\eta), u_n - u + s\eta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in H, \quad s > 0. \quad (18)$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (18). Then, using (8) and (9), one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} (h - F(u - s\eta), s\eta) &\geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in H, \quad s > 0, \\ \text{or} & \\ (h - F(u - s\eta), \eta) &\geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in H, \quad s > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Let $s \rightarrow 0$ and use the continuity of F . Then (19) implies

$$(h - F(u), \eta) \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in H. \quad (20)$$

Taking $\eta = h - F(u)$ in (20), one concludes that $F(u) = h$. Step 3 is completed. Theorem 1 is proved. \square

References

- [1] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [2] A.G. Ramm, *Dynamical systems method*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- [3] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, Monotone operators*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

Alexander G.Ramm

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS
66506-2602, USA

email: ramm@math.ksu.edu