

Generation of quantum-dot cluster states with superconducting transmission line resonator

Zhi-Rong Lin, Fei-Yun Zhu, Tao Tu,^{*} Guang-Can Guo, and Guo-Ping Guo[†]

Key Laboratory of Quantum Information,

University of Science and Technology of China,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China

(Dated: January 26, 2023)

Abstract

We propose an efficient method to generate cluster states in spatially separated double quantum dots with a superconducting transmission line resonator (TLR). When the detuning between the double-dot qubits transition frequency and the frequency of the full wave mode in the TLR satisfies some conditions, an Ising-like operator between arbitrary two separated qubits can be achieved. Even including the main noise sources, it's shown that the high-fidelity cluster states could be generated in this solid system in just one step.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 42.50.Pq, 42.50.Dv

^{*}Electronic address: tutao@ustc.edu.cn

[†]Electronic address: gpguo@ustc.edu.cn

Entanglement is the essential resource for quantum information processing. Quantum entanglement is the root in quantum computation[1], quantum teleportation[2], quantum dense coding[3], and quantum cryptography[4]. However, it's challenging to create multiparticle entangled states in experiment. In 2001 Briegel and Raussendorf introduced a highly entangled states, the cluster states[5], which can be used to perform universal one way quantum computation. Up to now, various schemes are proposed to generate cluster states in many different types of physical system. Especially, it has been argued that the cluster states can be generated effectively in solid state system, such as superconductor charge qubit[6, 7, 8] and semiconductor quantum dot[9, 10, 11].

Electron spins in semiconductor quantum dots are one of the most promising candidates for a quantum bit, due to their potential of long coherence times[12, 13, 14]. Producing cluster states in quantum dots, has been discussed within Heisenberg interaction model[9] and Ising-like interaction model[10], where the long-term interaction inversely ratios to the distance between non-neighboring qubits. Recently Childress and Taylor *et al.* introduced a technique to electrically couple electron charge states or spin states associated with semiconductor double quantum dots to a TLR via capacitor[15, 16]. The qubit is encoded on the quantum double dot triplet and singlet states. The interaction Hamiltonian between the qubits and the TLR is a standard Jaynes-Cummings (JC) model[18]. A switchable long-range interaction can be achieved between any two spatially separated qubits with the TLR cavity field. The TLR acts as a quantum coherent data bus between qubits. This technique open a new avenue for quantum information implementation.

In this work, we find when the detuning between the qubits transition frequency and the frequency of the full wave mode in the TLR satisfies some conditions, an Ising-like operator between arbitrary two separated qubits can be achieved from the JC interaction. The highly entangled cluster states can be generated in one step with the auxiliary of an oscillating gradient magnetic field. Finally, we discuss the feasibility and the cluster states fidelity of our scheme.

The system we study includes N identical double-dot qubits capacitive coupling C_c with a TLR, as shown in Fig.(1). The TLR is coupled to input/output wiring with a capacitor c to transmit signals. Two electrons are localized in double-dot quantum molecule. The two electrons charge states, spin states and the corresponding eigenenergies are controlled by several electrostatic gates.




FIG. 1: (Color online) Schematic diagram of a TLR and several double quantum dots coupled system. A detailed circuit representation of the TLR cavity (blue) can be found in Fig.1 of Ref.[17]. An oscillating gradient magnetic field B_{ac} is applied to the double dots. The double dots are biased with an external potential Δ , and capacitive coupling C_c with the TLR. The TLR is connected to the input/output wiring with a capacitor c .

An external magnetic field is applied along axis z . The spin-aligned states ($|(1, 1) T_+\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|(1, 1) T_-\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$) and spin-anti-aligned states ($|(1, 1) T_0\rangle = (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $|(1, 1) S\rangle = (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$) are splitted due to Zeeman energy. The notation (n_l, n_r) indicates n_l electrons on the "left" dot and n_r electrons on the "right" dot. $|(1, 1) S\rangle$, $|(1, 1) T_0\rangle$ can be tuned by "slow adiabatic passage" to the eigenstates of the nuclear field or external static gradient magnetic field $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ [12]. In addition to the $(1, 1)$ subspace, the doubly-occupied state $|(0, 2) S\rangle$ is coupled to $|(1, 1) S\rangle$ via tunneling T_c . The $|(0, 2) S\rangle$ and $|(1, 1) S\rangle$ have a potential energy difference of Δ .

We consider three states $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|(0, 2) S\rangle$, $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ respectively with eigenenergies $-dB$, Δ , dB , where $dB = 1/2g^*\mu_B(B_z^L - B_z^R)$. B_z^L , B_z^R are the static nuclear field in "left" and "right"

dot. Setting $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta - dB$, we can get two new states in the basis of $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |(0, 2)S\rangle$

$$|+\rangle = -\sin\theta |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \cos\theta |(0, 2)S\rangle \quad (1)$$

$$|-\rangle = \cos\theta |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \sin\theta |(0, 2)S\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\tan\theta = -2T_c/(\Omega + \tilde{\Delta})$ and $\Omega = \sqrt{4T_c^2 + \tilde{\Delta}^2}$. Ω is the energy gap between the eigenstates $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$. We can choose $\tilde{\Delta} = 0$ in order to suppress the fluctuations in control electronics and nuclear field gradient[15], then $|+\rangle = (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |(0, 2)S\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $|-\rangle = (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |(0, 2)S\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$.

If the quantum molecule inter-dot tunneling T_c is tuned to zero, we can get the single-qubit reversion Hamiltonian $H_i = \tilde{\Delta} |(0, 2)S\rangle \langle(0, 2)S| = 1/2\tilde{\Delta}(I - \sigma_x^i)$. However the energy gap of the double-dot Ω is sensitive to the fluctuations in T_c , which could enhance charge relaxation and induce additional dephasing[15]. In order to avoid adjusting T_c during the operation time, we can apply an oscillating gradient magnetic field to the double dots to achieve single-qubit reversion, which can be implemented with an auxiliary magnetic coil. The amplitude of the oscillating field B_{ext}^R in the "right" dot is larger than B_{ext}^L in the "left" dot. If the magnet field oscillation frequency is coincidental with the double-dot energy gap, in the rotating-wave approximation the single-qubit reversion Hamiltonian in the interaction picture can be expressed as

$$H_i = -\frac{1}{4}g^*\mu_B\Delta B |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \langle\uparrow\downarrow| = \eta(I + \sigma_x^i) = \eta\sigma_x^i, \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta B = B_{ext}^R - B_{ext}^L$, $\eta = -1/8g^*\mu_B\Delta B$.

Next we introduce a TLR of length L , with capacitance per unit length C_0 , and characteristic impedance z_0 . Neglecting the higher energy modes, we can only consider the full wave mode, with the wavevector $k = \frac{\pi}{L}$, and frequency $\omega = \frac{k}{C_0Z_0}$ [16]. After the quantization, the TLR can be described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{cavity}} = \omega a^\dagger a, \quad (4)$$

where $\hbar = 1$, a^\dagger, a are the creation and annihilation operators for the full wave mode of the TLR. The voltage in the TLR can be expressed as

$$V_{TLR}(x) = \sqrt{\omega/LC_0}(a^\dagger + a) \cos[kx + \phi], \quad (5)$$

where $\phi = \arctan 2\pi c/LC_0$.

In the interaction picture, the interaction between N qubits and the TLR can be described by the Hamiltonian[18]

$$H_{\text{int}} = g_0 \sum_{i=1}^N (e^{i\delta t} a^\dagger \sigma_-^i + e^{-i\delta t} a \sigma_+^i), \quad (6)$$

where $\sigma_+^i = |+\rangle \langle -|$, $\sigma_-^i = |-\rangle \langle +|$, $\sigma_x^i = \sigma_+^i + \sigma_-^i$, $\delta = \omega - \Omega$. Here we can presently assume the coupling strength is homogenous. The overall coupling coefficient can be described by[16]

$$g_0 = \omega \frac{C_c}{2C_{\text{tot}}} \sqrt{\frac{2z_0}{R_Q}}, \quad (7)$$

where $R_Q = h/e^2 \approx 26k\Omega$. C_{tot} is the total capacitance of the double-dot.

If the interaction time satisfies

$$\delta\tau = 2k\pi, \quad (8)$$

the evolution operator for the interaction Hamiltonian (6) can be expressed as[19]

$$U(\tau) = \exp(-i\frac{\lambda}{2}\tau(\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_x^i)^2) = \exp(-i\lambda\tau \sum_{j>i=1}^N \sigma_x^i \sigma_x^j), \quad (9)$$

where $\lambda = g_0^2/2\delta$.

When $\tilde{\Delta}$ is changed to zero, the qubits would be resonantly coupled with the TLR. An oscillating gradient magnetic field is applied to all the qubits at the same time. In the interaction picture, the total Hamiltonian of the system can be written as

$$H_{\text{tot}} = g_0 \sum_{i=1}^N (e^{i\delta t} a^\dagger \sigma_-^i + e^{-i\delta t} a \sigma_+^i) + \eta \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_x^i. \quad (10)$$

When the operation time satisfies the condition (8), we can obtain the total evolution operator

$$U(\tau) = \exp(-i\eta\tau \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_x^i - i\lambda\tau \sum_{j>i=1}^N \sigma_x^i \sigma_x^j). \quad (11)$$

When $\eta = (N - 1)\lambda$, the total evolution operator is given by

$$U(\tau) = \exp(-4i\lambda\tau \sum_{j>i=1}^N \frac{1 + \sigma_x^i}{2} \frac{1 + \sigma_x^j}{2}). \quad (12)$$

The initial state of N qubits can be prepared in the state $\bigotimes_{i=1}^N |-\rangle_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^N (|0\rangle_i + |1\rangle_i)$ by tuning the external potential to the working point ($\tilde{\Delta} = 0$) by "slow adiabatic passage"[12]. If the interaction time satisfies

$$4\lambda\tau = (2n + 1)\pi, \quad (13)$$

with n being integer, the spatially separated double quantum dots can be generated to the cluster states

$$|\Psi\rangle_N = \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^N (|0\rangle_i (-1)^{N-i} \prod_{j=i+1}^N \sigma_x^j + |1\rangle_i), \quad (14)$$

where $|0(1)\rangle_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|-\rangle_i \pm |+\rangle_i)$ are the eigenstates of σ_x^i with the eigenvalues ± 1 . The effective coupling coefficient $g_0 \frac{2T_c}{\Omega}$ can be tuned by external potential Δ . When Δ is changed, the states $|0(1)\rangle$ would change according to Eq(1)(2), but the expression (14) of the cluster states is changeless. When the cluster states is generated at the time of τ , we can remove the oscillating magnetic field and change Δ to decouple all the qubits to the TLR. Then the cluster states can be preserved.

Next, we would discuss the feasibility of our scheme. Both the conditions (8) and (13) are satisfied whenever $\delta = g_0 \sqrt{4k/(2n+1)}$. From Eq.(7) the coupling coefficient g_0 can be up to $\omega/16$ with a large coupling capacitor $C_c \simeq 2C_{tot}$. For $k=1$, $n=0$, $\omega/2\pi = 2GHz$ and $g_0/2\pi = 125MHz$, the operation time is $\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\delta} = 4ns$.

The photon decay rate $\kappa = \omega/Q$ is the primary noise we concern in the TLR. The frequency ω is $2\pi \times 2GHz$ in our situation. The quality factor of the TLR can be up to 10^6 in report[20]. For $Q = 1 \times 10^5$, the photon decay time $1/\kappa \sim 50\mu s$ is 4 order longer than the operation time τ .

Since the photon decay time in the TLR is as long as $50\mu s$, the noise mainly roots in the charge-base relaxation and the additional dephasing in double-dots in this system. According to Ref[15], the charge-based relaxation time can be in μs timescale and the addition dephasing time $T_{2,\alpha} \sim \Omega T_{2,bare}^2$ is about $100ns$ at the optimal working point ($\tilde{\Delta} \approx 0$), where $T_{2,bare}$ can be up to $10ns$. The operation time $\tau \sim 4ns$ is much shorter than the relaxation and additional dephasing time of the qubits.

Finally, we would calculate the fidelity of the cluster states of our scheme. For simplicity, we assume the background charge noise, nuclear spin-related dephasing and control electronics fluctuations are Gaussian. These noises would lead to the fluctuation of the parameter λ via the electric potential difference Δ and the nuclear field gradient dB . Suppose $\Delta_i(t) = \Delta + \epsilon_i(t)$, $dB_i(t) = dB + \zeta_i(t)$, $\langle \epsilon_i(t) \rangle = \langle \zeta_i(t) \rangle = 0$, $\langle \epsilon_i(t) \epsilon_j(t') \rangle = \int S_{1,ij}(\omega) e^{i\omega(t-t')} d\omega$, $\langle \zeta_i(t) \zeta_j(t') \rangle = \int S_{2,ij}(\omega) e^{i\omega(t-t')} d\omega$ (i labeling the i-th qubit). The fluctuation of the oscillating gradient magnetic field would result in the fluctuation of the parameter η . The fluctuations of λ and η would add an unwanted phase θ_i

to the desired value π [24]. Including the fluctuations, the evolution operator (12) should be rewritten in the form of

$$U(\tau) = \exp(-i\pi \sum_{j>i=1}^N \frac{1+\sigma_x^i}{2} \frac{1+\sigma_x^j}{2}) \exp(-i \int_0^\tau \delta\eta(t) dt \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_x^i) \exp(-i \int_0^\tau \delta\lambda(t) dt \sum_{j>i=1}^N \sigma_x^i \sigma_x^j), \quad (15)$$

where $\lambda(t) = \lambda + \delta\lambda(t)$ and $\eta(t) = \eta + \delta\eta(t)$. Since $\delta\lambda + \frac{\delta\eta}{N-1}$, $\delta\eta + (N-1)\delta\lambda$ are larger than $\delta\lambda$, $\delta\eta$, we can written the unwanted phase $\theta_i = \int_0^\tau 4(\delta\lambda(t) + \frac{\delta\eta(t)}{N-1}) dt = \theta_{1,i} + \theta_{2,i}$, where $\theta_{1,i} = \int_0^\tau 4\delta\lambda(t) dt$ and $\theta_{2,i} = \int_0^\tau 4\frac{\delta\eta(t)}{N-1} dt$.

Since δ , λ satisfy Gaussian distribution, $\theta_{1,i}$, $\theta_{2,i}$, θ_i have Gaussian distribution $G(0, \sigma_{1,i}^2)$, $G(0, \sigma_{2,i}^2)$, $G(0, \sigma_i^2)$. Ignoring the correlative fluctuations, the variance of $\theta_{1,i}$ at the optimal working point is

$$\sigma_{1,i}^2 = \left(\frac{2g_0^2}{\Omega\delta^2}\right)^2 \left\langle \left(\int_0^\tau \epsilon^2(t) + \zeta^2(t) dt \right)^2 \right\rangle, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\int_0^\tau \epsilon^2(t) dt \right)^2 \right\rangle &= \left(\int S_{1,i}(\omega) d\omega \right)^2 \tau^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\int S_{1,i}(\omega) \frac{\sin\omega\tau}{\omega\tau} d\omega \right)^2 \tau^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\int_0^\tau \zeta^2(t) dt \right)^2 \right\rangle &= \left(\int S_{2,i}(\omega) d\omega \right)^2 \tau^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\int S_{2,i}(\omega) \frac{\sin\omega\tau}{\omega\tau} d\omega \right)^2 \tau^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the low frequency noise, $S_i(\omega)$ has a high frequency cutoff $\gamma \ll \frac{1}{\tau}$. Therefore we can get $\left\langle \left(\int_0^\tau \epsilon^2(t) dt \right)^2 \right\rangle = 3 \left(\int S_{1,i}(\omega) d\omega \right)^2 \tau^2$, $\left\langle \left(\int_0^\tau \zeta^2(t) dt \right)^2 \right\rangle = 3 \left(\int S_{2,i}(\omega) d\omega \right)^2 \tau^2$. Assuming $\frac{1}{T_{bare}^4} = \left(\int S_{1,i}(\omega) d\omega \right)^2 + \left(\int S_{2,i}(\omega) d\omega \right)^2$, we can obtain the variance $\sigma_{1,i}^2 = \frac{12g_0^4}{\delta^4} \left(\frac{\tau}{\Omega T_{2,bare}^2} \right)^2$. Taking $T_{2,bare} \approx 10ns$ from the Ref[21, 22, 23], the variance of $\theta_{1,i}$ is $\sigma_{1,i} = 0.022\pi$. The fluctuation of the oscillating gradient magnetic field roots in the electronics noise. We can reduce the fluctuation in a small value with better high- and low-frequency filtering technique. Supposing $\sigma_{\Delta B}/\Delta B \sim 2\%$, the variance of $\theta_{2,i}$ is $\sigma_{2,i} = 0.006\pi$. So θ_i has an Gaussian distribution $G(0, (0.023\pi)^2)$. The fidelity of N qubits cluster states is calculated according to the formula $F = |2^{-N} \sum_{z_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i}} e^{-\frac{\theta_j^2}{2\sigma_i^2}} e^{i\theta_j} d\theta_j \right)^{z_j z_{j+1}}|^2$ from Ref[24], as shown in Fig.(2). The fidelity of a 30-qubit cluster states can be 96.2%. From the above discussion, our proposal to generate high-fidelity cluster states seems experimentally implementable.

In conclusion, we proposed a realizable scheme to generate cluster states in only one step




FIG. 2: The fidelity of N-qubit cluster states.

in a new scalable solid-state system, where the spatially separated semiconductor double-dots are capacitively coupled with a TLR. An effective, switchable long-range interaction can be achieved between any two double-dot qubits with the TLR cavity field. Due to the long relaxation and additional dephasing time at the optimal working point, the present scheme seems implementable within today techniques.

This work was funded by National Fundamental Research Program, the Innovation funds from Chinese Academy of Sciences, NCET-04-0587, and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 60121503, 10574126, 10604052).

-
- [1] J. Gruska, Quantum computing (London: McGraw-Hill, New York, 1999)
 - [2] C. H. Bennett et al. , Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895(1993)
 - [3] C. H. Bennett, Stephen J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2881 (1992)
 - [4] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991)
 - [5] H. J. Briegel and R. Raussendorf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 910 (2001)
 - [6] T. Tanamoto et al. , Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 230501 (2006)
 - [7] J. Q. You et al. , Phys. Rev. A. **75**, 052319 (2007)
 - [8] Z. Y. Xue and Z. D. Wang, Phys. Rev. A. **75**, 064303 (2007)
 - [9] M. Borhani and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. A. **71**, 034308(2005)
 - [10] G. P. Guo et al. , Phys. Rev. A. **75**, 050301 (2005)
 - [11] Y. S. Weinstein, C. S. Hellberg, and J. Levy, Phys. Rev. A. **72**, 020304 (2005)

- [12] J. M. Taylor et al. , Phys. Rev. B. **76**, 035315 (2007)
- [13] V. N. Golovach, A. Khaetskii, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 016601 (2004)
- [14] A. V. Khaetskii and Y. V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 12639 (2000)
- [15] J. M. Taylor and M. D. Lukin, arXiv: cond-mat/0605144.
- [16] L. Childress, A. S. Sorensen, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 042302 (2004).
- [17] A. Blais et al. , Phys. Rev. A. **75**, 032329 (2007)
- [18] H. Zhang et al., arXiv: quant-ph/0802.1308 (2008)
- [19] S. B. Zheng, Phys. Rev. A. **66**, 060303(R) (2002)
- [20] B. A. Mazin et al. , Proc. SPIE **4849**,283 (2002).
- [21] J. R. Petta et al. , Science **309**, 2180 (2005)
- [22] A. S. Bracher et al. , Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 047402 (2005)
- [23] F. H. L. Koppens et al. , Science **309**, 134 (2005)
- [24] M. S. Tame, M. Paternostro and M. S. Kim, International Journal of Quantum Information, **4**, 689 (2006).