Ab initio approach of the hydrogen insertion effects on the magnetic properties of ScFe²

A. F. Al Alam,^{*a*} S. F. Matar,^{*a**} N. Ouaini,^b and M. Nakhl^b

^aICMCB, CNRS, Université Bordeaux 1,

87 avenue du Docteur Albert Schweitzer, 33608 Pessac Cedex, France,

 b Université Saint-Esprit de Kaslik, Faculté des Sciences, B.P. Jounieh, Lebanon.

(Dated: November 6, 2018)

Abstract

The electronic and magnetic structures of $ScFe_2$ and of its dihydride $ScFe_2H_2$ are self-consistently calculated within the density functional theory (DFT) using the all electron augmented spherical wave (ASW) method with the local spin density approximation (LSDA) for treating effects of exchange and correlation. The results of the enhancement of the magnetization upon hydrogen insertion are assessed within an analysis of the chemical bonding properties from which we suggest that both hydrogen bond with iron and cell expansion effects play a role in the change of the magnitude of magnetization. In agreement with average experimental findings for both the intermetallic system and its dihydride, the calculated Fermi contact terms H_{FC} of the ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy for hyperfine field, at the two iron sites, exhibit an original inversion for the order of magnitudes upon hydriding.

PACS numbers: 07.55.Jg, 71.20.-b, 71.23

[∗] Corresponding author: matar@icmcb-bordeaux.cnrs.fr

I. INTRODUCTION

Binary alloys belonging to the Laves family AB_2 (A= rare earth or actinide, B = transition metal) crystallize either in a face centered cubic fcc $(C15)$ lattice or in a hexagonal lattice (C[1](#page-21-0)4); further, dihexagonal (C36) minority structure exists.^{1[,2](#page-21-1)} Within this family, $ScFe₂$ exhibits polymorphism and can exist in the three above crystalline states.^{[2](#page-21-1)} One of the basic aspects of the electronic properties of the pure $AB₂$ alloy systems is the identification of the origin of the magnetism which can be either due to the transition metal or induced by the A metal, depending on the chemical nature of the involved species.^{[3](#page-21-2)[,4](#page-21-3)} Among others,^{[5](#page-21-4)[,6](#page-21-5)} Smit and Buschow have studied the synthesis of the $ScFe₂$ compound^{[7](#page-21-6)} reporting experimental measurements for the average magnetic moment of iron and the effective hyperfine field H_{eff} . On the other hand, the interaction of these intermetallic phases with hydrogen was investigated in a number of works.[7](#page-21-6)[,8](#page-21-7)[,9](#page-21-8)[,10](#page-21-9)[,11](#page-21-10) Besides the large potential applications of Laves phases hydrides in the field of solid state storage of hydrogen for energetics,^{[12](#page-21-11)[,13](#page-21-12)} there is a basic interest in studying the magnetic structure and the electronic properties due to H insertion. At this level of investigation concerning ScFe₂, ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopic works show an increase for the average magnitudes of the magnetization and the hyperfine field for iron upon hydriding without specifically assigning a role for each one of the two iron sites.[7](#page-21-6) This leads to suggest an interplay between magnetovolume and chemical effects brought by the cell expansion when hydrogen is inserted. This original feature is addressed in this work. Further, a detailed atom-resolved study of the magnetism is provided and the nature of the non-rigid-band behavior within $ScFe₂$ and its dihydride are assessed.

II. CRYSTAL STRUCTURES

The $ScFe₂$ intermetallic system is experimentally stable in the hexagonal C14-type, $P6_3/mmc$ $P6_3/mmc$ $P6_3/mmc$ space group, Laves structure.⁶ For this alloy, Sc atoms are located in 4f sites at $(1/3, 2/3, 0.0661)$. As for Fe atoms, there are two crystallographic nonequivalent sites: Fe1(2a) at $(0, 0, 0)$ and Fe2(6h) at $(0.8357, 1.6714, 1/4)$. Fe2, Sc and Fe1 atoms have an occupancy ratio of 3 : 2 : 1. All sites mentioned in this report are in Wyckoff notation; numerical values are given in Refs.^{[14](#page-21-13)[,15](#page-21-14)[,16](#page-22-0)}. Smit and Buschow^{[7](#page-21-6)} charged an alloy sample with hydrogen gas, the composition of the formed hydride was found to correspond to ∼ 1.92 atoms of H per formula unit (fu). Furtheron, this hydride will be referred to as $ScFe₂H₂$. A sketch of the structure containing hydrogen is presented in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0)

FIG. 1: The hexagonal crystal structure of $ScFe₂H₂$ ('C14' Laves phase, space group $P6₃/mmc$). Sc (light grey), Fe1 (grey), Fe2 (black) and H (small light grey) are drawn in decreasing sphere sizes.

The choices for hydrogen insertion sites were done based on the neutron diffraction studies of Didisheim on the deuterated C14 compound $\text{Tr} \text{Mn}_2$.^{[16](#page-22-0)} Those studies show that hydrogen atoms in ternary hydrides, based on the C14-type, occupy interstitial tetrahedral-like A2B2 holes in positions such as: 24l, 12k, 6h1 and 6h2. Smit, Donkersloot and Buschow^{[39](#page-22-1)}, have hydrided $ScFe₂$ into $ScFe₂H₂$ based on that same study, suggesting that each type of these holes is partially occupied by H atoms. In the present work, calculations were done with the assumption that hydrogens are located in sites such as: $H1(6h1)$ at $(0.463, 0.926, 1/4)$ and $H2(6h2)$ at $(0.202, 0.404, 1/4)$. There are 12 of these tetrahedral holes per fu. Nevertheless,

H atoms will occupy only 8 of these interstices with respect to the stoichiometry of $Sc_4Fe_8H_8$. The occupancy ratios of H1 and H2 atoms are the same as those of Fe2 and Fe1 in ScFe₂ respectively. Moreover, 12k and 24l interstices were tested. The performed calculations showed that they cannot reproduce magnitudes for the magnetic moments that are coherent with the expreriment. Values of the average magnetic moment for iron such as 1.865 and 2.065 μ _B, for 12k and 24l respectively, fail to compare with the experimental value of 2.23 μ_B ^{[7](#page-21-6)} These two A2B2 holes were discarded in favor of 6h1 and 6h2 intersitices which give more suitable values for the magnetic moments that agrees with experiment; these will be given later within the text. One can notice upon examining Fig. [1](#page-2-0) that Fe1 atoms are little bonded with H atoms. As a matter of fact, A2B2 holes are formed by two 3d transition metal atoms (Fe1 and Fe2) and two atoms of the strongly hydrogen attracting component (Sc), which explains H atoms bonds with Sc. As for H-Fe2 bonds, table [II](#page-12-0) reports shorter Fe2-H distances compared to Sc-H one, which favors the H intake into A2B2 sites formed by Fe2 with respect to those formed by Fe1. Keeping in mind the relatively large difference in atomic volume between Sc and Fe, the choice of the H insertion sites is in agreement with the Westlake criterion $(17 \text{ and therein cited Refs.)}$ $(17 \text{ and therein cited Refs.)}$ $(17 \text{ and therein cited Refs.)}$ that imposes a minimum interstitial hole size of 0.40 Å. Too small H-H distances $(d_{H-H} \geq 2.1\text{\AA})$ were avoided in order to respect the Switendick criterion.[18](#page-22-3) Table [I](#page-4-0) provides the crystal data of the two systems discussed in Ref.^{[7](#page-21-6)}. Note that there is a mismatch of the c/a ratio magnitudes for both experimentally prepared ScFe₂ and ScFe₂H₂ as stated in Ref.^{[7](#page-21-6)}, *i.e.*, $c/a = 1.636$ for ScFe₂ and $c/a = 1.611$ for $ScFe₂H₂$. Thus an anisotropic evolution accompanies the formation of the dihydride.

In this work hydrogen insertion effects within $ScFe₂$ are examined within three complementary approaches relevant (i) to the influence of volume expansion on the magnetic properties within the structure, (ii) to the chemical role of hydrogen and its influence on the changes of the magnetization for the dihydride lattice and (iii) to the crystal anisotropy occurring upon hydriding the alloy system.

	$\rm ScFe_2$	Expanded	ScFe ₂	ScFe ₂ H ₂
		$c/a=1.636$ $c/a=1.636$ $c/a=1.611$ $c/a=1.611$		
a(A)	4.963	5.250	5.277	5.277
$c(\AA)$	8.122	8.592	8.504	8.504
Volume	43.321	51.276	51.276	51.276
d_{Sc-Fe1}	2.915	3.084	3.100	3.100
		3.110		
d_{Sc-Fe2}	2.899	3.052	3.068	3.068
	2.952	3.084	3.100	3.100
$d_{Fe1-Fe2}$	2.470	2.592	2.603	2.603
		2.698		
d_{H1-Sc}				1.963
d_{H1-Fe2}				1.724
d_{H2-Sc}				1.973
d_{H2-Fe2}				1.698

TABLE I: ScFe₂ model systems: Crystal data for ScFe₂ and ScFe₂H₂^{[7](#page-21-6)} are presented in columns 1 and 4 respectively. Volume is given in (\AA^3/fu) . For more details see text.

III. COMPUTATIONAL FRAMEWORK

A. Electronic and magnetic properties

Among the self-consistent methods built within the density functional theory (DFT) (see for instance^{[19](#page-22-4)[,20](#page-22-5)[,21](#page-22-6)}) we use the augmented spherical wave (ASW) method^{[23](#page-22-7)[,24](#page-22-8)} which is an "all-electrons" method (non frozen core). The ASW method has proven its efficiency in similar topics of intermetallic systems and their hydrides.^{[25](#page-22-9)[,26](#page-22-10)} The analysis of the calculation results allows assigning a role to each atomic constituent in the magnetism and in the chemical bonding. The effects of exchange and correlation were treated within a local spin density approximation (LSDA) scheme.^{[27](#page-22-11)} All valence electrons were treated as band states. In the minimal ASW basis set, 24 24 24 we chose the outermost shells to represent the valence states and the matrix elements were constructed using partial waves up to $l_{max.} + 1 = 3$ for Sc and Fe and $l_{max.} + 1 = 2$ for H. The completeness of the valence basis set was checked for charge convergence meaning that charge residues are ≤ 0.1 for $l_{max.} + 1$. The self-consistent field calculations were run to a convergence of $\Delta Q = 10^{-8}$ for the charge density^{[28](#page-22-12)} and the accuracy of the method is in the range of about 10^{-7} eV regarding energy differences. Besides its construction within the DFT, the ASW method is based on the atomic sphere approximation (ASA) which assumes overlapping spheres centered on the atomic sites within which the potential has a spherical symmetry -central potential-. The volume of the spheres has to be equal to the cell volume because the wave equation is solved only in the spheres. This is unproblematic for closely packed structures like metals and intermetallics such as $ScFe₂$ itself. But for less compacked structures such as that of $ScFe₂H₂$ studied here, additional augmentation spheres, called empty spheres (ES) are introduced to represent the interstitial space without loss of crystal symmetry and to avoid an otherwise too large overlap between the actual atomic spheres. ES are "pseudo atoms" with zero atomic number. They receive charges from the neighboring atomic species and allow for possible covalency effects within the lattice. Within the ASW method, the sphere geometry optimization $(SGO)^{24}$ $(SGO)^{24}$ $(SGO)^{24}$ algorithm is used to generate ES without symmetry breaking. For ScFe₂H₂ one type of ES has been added. In total, 12 ES have been inserted into the Sc₄Fe₈H₈ structure. Besides obtaining the electronic band structure with site projected density of states (PDOS) for total spins (non spin polarized NSP configuration), as well as the spinresolved PDOS, the calculations allow discussing quantities such as the magnetic moments and their sign, the magnitude of the exchange splitting, as well as the spin densities at the core due to the ns polarization thanks to the d magnetic moment. These lead to the Fermi contact term of the hyperfine field H_{FC} which constitutes the major part of the hyperfine field obtained by ${}^{57}Fe$ Mössbauer spectroscopy.

The calculations are started by assuming a non-magnetic configuration meaning a spin degeneracy for all valence states and equal spin occupations. Such a configuration should not be confused with a paramagnet, which could be simulated either by a supercell calculation with random spin orientations or by calling for disordered local moment approaches based on the coherent potential CPA approximation^{[34](#page-22-13)} or the LDA+DMFT scheme.^{[35](#page-22-14)} Subsequent spin-polarized calculations with different initial spin populations can lead at self-consistency either to finite or zero local moments within an implicit long-range ferromagnetic order.

B. Chemical bonding properties

The interactions within the alloy lattice with inserted hydrogen can be described in the framework of chemical bonding. Some elaborate tools exist allowing to obtain information about the nature of such interactions between atomic constituents as well as the respective quantum states involved. This can be provided using overlap population S_{ij} (OP) leading to the so-called crystal orbital overlap population $(COOP)^{29}$ $(COOP)^{29}$ $(COOP)^{29}$ or alternatively introducing the Hamiltonian based population (H_{ij}) with the crystal orbital Hamiltonian population (COHP).[30](#page-22-16) Both approaches provide a qualitative description of the chemical interactions between two atomic species by assigning a bonding, non-bonding or anti-bonding character. A slight refinement of the COHP was recently proposed in form of the "covalent bond energy" ECOV which combines both COHP and COOP so as to make the resulting quantity independent of the choice of the zero of potential.^{[31](#page-22-17)} Our experience with both COOP^{[32](#page-22-18)} and $ECOV³³$ $ECOV³³$ $ECOV³³$ shows that they give similar general trends although COOP exaggerate the magnitude of anti-bonding states. The ECOV criterion implemented within the ASW method is used here for the description of the chemical bond.

IV. ScFe2 VERSUS VOLUME EFFECTS

Calculations were performed at the experimental volume of ScFe_2 .^{[7](#page-21-6)} In order to evaluate the magnetovolume effects, the computed results for the expanded hydrogen free $ScFe₂$ at the same lattice constants of the dihydride were addressed. As a matter of fact, such effects can be important in these intermetallic systems in as far as the onset of the magnetic moment is due to interband spin polarization, *i.e.*, it is mediated by the electron gas in a collective electrons approach. This is opposite to other systems, such as insulating oxydes where the magnetization is of intraband character, and hence, less affected by volume changes such as those induced by pressure (negative or positive). 32

A. NSP calculations

At self consistent convergence a progressive increase of BZ integration up to the value of 576 k-points, *i.e.*, 16 k-points in each direction of the irreducible wedge of the hexagonal BZ was used. A slight charge transfer of ∼ 0.104 electron is seen from Fe2 towards Sc and Fe1.

However its amount is not significant of an ionic behavior -rarely observed in the framework of ab initio calculations for such systems- 40 . Therefore it can be argued that the bonding is not mainly due to charge transfer but rather imposed by the hybridization between the different valence states. It is also important to mention that for all calculations (NSP as well as SP) the best evaluation of the radii for the different atomic species was assumed resulting in a better ASA overlap.

FIG. 2: Non magnetic site projected DOS of ScFe₂

1. Projected density of states PDOS

The PDOS for the ScFe₂ is given in Fig. [2](#page-7-0) with respect to occupancy ratios given in section II (twice more Fe than Sc). This is applied for all the other PDOS pannels in this work. The origin of energies along the x axis is taken with respect to the Fermi energy (E_F) ; this is equally followed in all other plots. Looking firstly at the general shape of the PDOS one can observe that the E_F level is situated at the peaks of both Fe1 and Fe2 with a predominance in terms of intensity for Fe2 states, *i.e.*, with respect to very low intensity scandium states. The similar skylines between the partial PDOS pointing to the mixing between Fe2, Fe1 and Sc states can be seen at the lower part of the valence band (VB), with mainly sp like states between -6 and -2.5 eV, as well as towards the top of VB (d) states). Such mixing will be analyzed later regarding the chemical bonding. Lastly, within the conduction band (CB) , $3d$ (Sc) states are found dominant. This is expected as scandium is located at the very beginning of the 3d period, with mainly empty d states.

2. Analysis of the NSP-PDOS within the Stoner theory

In as far as Fe1, Fe2 and Sc 3d states were treated as band states by our calculations, the Stoner theory of band ferromagnetism^{[21](#page-22-6)} can be applied to address the spin polarization. The total energy of the spin system results from the exchange and kinetic energies counted from a non-magnetic state. Formulating the problem at zero temperature, one can express the total energy as $E = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{m^2}{n(E_R)} \right]$ $\frac{m^2}{n(E_F)}$ [1 – In(E_F)]. Here I is the Stoner exchange-correlation integral which is an atomic quantity that can be derived from spin polarized calculations.^{[22](#page-22-21)} $n(E_F)$ is the PDOS value for a given species at the Fermi Level in the non-magnetic state. The product $In(E_F)$ from the expression above provides a criterion for the stability of the spin system. The change from a non-magnetic configuration towards spin polarization is favorable when $\text{In}(E_F) > 1$. The system then stabilizes through a gain of energy due to exchange. From Ref.^{[22](#page-22-21)}, I(Fe) = 0.4624 eV and the computed $n(E_F)$ values for Fe1 and Fe2 are \sim 3.047 and 3.286 eV⁻¹ respectively. The Stoner products for Fe1 and Fe2 are then ∼ 1.409 and ∼ 1.519 respectively. This means that the Stoner criterion is satisfied for the two iron sites, within ScFe₂.

3. Covalent bond energy ECOV

The analysis of the chemical bonding is done using the ECOV approach presented in section III.B . The corresponding plots are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-9-0)

Along the coordinate axis negative, positive and zero ECOV magnitudes (unitless) point to bonding, anti-bonding and non-bonding interactions respectively. Partial ECOV are

FIG. 3: Chemical bonding: non magnetic ECOV for ScFe₂.

given for the atomic pair interactions of Sc-Fe1, Sc-Fe2 and Fe1-Fe2 bonds. The other ECOV plots presented in this work equaly describe atomic-pair interactions. The change in bonding strength is proportional to the distance magnitudes given in table [I,](#page-4-0) *i.e.*, the shortest interatomic distances characterize the strongest interactions. The anti-bonding character of the strongest Fe1-Fe2 interaction at the top of the VB and at E_F points to the instability of the system in the non-magnetic NSP configuration. On the contrary, the bonding character of the Sc-Fe1 interaction up to E_F contributes to the stability of the system. The d band electrons crossed by the Fermi level are not all anti-bonding. A part of those electrons becomes non-bonding in the neighborhood of E_F , thus participating to the onset of the magnetic moment.

FIG. 4: Site and spin projected DOS of ScFqq (a) and the expanded hydrogen free ScFe₂ (b)

FIG. 5: Spin resolved chemical bonding: ↑ and ↓ ECOV-spin for Sc-Fe1 atomic pair interaction within ScFe₂.

B. Spin polarized calculations

As it can be expected for the magnetic configuration of $ScFe₂$, there is an energy stabilization of $\Delta E = 0.218$ eV per fu with respect to the NSP calculations. This agrees with the experimental ferromagnetic ground state whereby $\rm ScFe_2$ is considered as the only ferro-magnet among C14 stoichiometric transition metals compounds as described in Refs.^{[6](#page-21-5)[,36](#page-22-22)[,37](#page-22-23)}.

1. Magnetic moments

Magnetic moments are obtained from the charge difference between $\uparrow -spin$ and $\downarrow -spin$ of all valence states; their calculated values are listed in table [II.](#page-12-0) The computed values for both the average magnetic moment of iron and the magnetization per fu are

	ScFe ₂	Expanded	ScFe ₂	ScFe ₂ H ₂
		$c/a=1.636$ $c/a=1.636$ $c/a=1.611$ $c/a=1.611$		
m_{Sc}	-0.482	-0.676	-0.682	-0.347
m_{Fe1}	1.468	2.229	2.279	2.486
m_{Fe2}	1.560	2.101	2.118	1.967
$m_{Fe} >$	1.514	2.165	2.198	2.226
M	2.600	3.591	3.635	3.791
$H_{FC}^{total}(Fe1)$	-157	-240	-246	-203
$H_{FC}^{total}(Fe2)$	-162	-229	-226	-232
$H_{FC}^{core}(Fe1)$	-159	-252	-255	-272
$H_{FC}^{core}(Fe2)$	-164	-232	-231	-214
E_{rel}	24.733	26.661	26.671	0.000

TABLE II: Magnetic results for ScFe₂ calculated in this work. Magnetic moments and total values are given in μ_B . The core part H_{FC}^{core} of the fermi contact term of H_{eff} as well as H_{FC}^{total} which is the sum of both core and valence contributions have their calculated value listed in kGauss. E_{rel} represents the relative SP-energy per fu towards the most stable value of -89884.16355 eV corresponding to $ScFe₂H₂$. For more details see text.

 m_{Fe} >= 1.514 μ _B and $M = 2.600 \mu$ _B. Different experimental values were obtained by magnetic measurements; $M = 2.9 \ \mu_B^{-37}$ $M = 2.9 \ \mu_B^{-37}$ $M = 2.9 \ \mu_B^{-37}$ and $< m_{Fe} > = 1.2, 1.37, 1.45 \ \mu_B$ given in Refs.^{[36](#page-22-22)[,37](#page-22-23)[,38](#page-22-24)} respectively. Smit and Buschow also reported in Ref.^{[39](#page-22-1)} that $\langle m_{Fe} \rangle$ is equal to 1.14 μ_B for sub-stoichiometric ScFe_{1.96} and to 1.34 μ_B for over-stoichiometric ScFe_{2.05}. It can be then suggested that the values in this work are within the range of the experimental data. Also the scandium carries a negatif magnetic moment of $-0.482 \mu_B$. From this ScFe₂ is a ferrimagnet in its ground state, contrary to some experimental results^{[6](#page-21-5)[,7](#page-21-6)} which announce it as a ferromagnet. The same ordering was observed for yttrium, within $YFe₂$, which carries a magnetic moment of $-0.50 \mu_B$ ^{[40](#page-22-20)} Moreover, the 3d(Sc) orbital holds a calculated value of -0.347 μ _B which stands out as the largest contribution within the magnetic moment. The second largest contribution is that of the 4p(Sc) orbital with a value of $-0.103 \mu_B$. On the other hand, one can establish on analyzing the magnetic results for the expanded hydrogen free $ScFe₂$ given in table [II](#page-12-0) that $ScFe₂$ gives rise to an increase in the magnetic mo-

ments $(\Delta m/m)$ for all the atomic species upon volume expansion. This increase is around 64% for the average magnetic moment of iron. Experimental values^{[39](#page-22-1)} are found such as $\Delta m/m = 0.96$ and 0.37 for ScFe_{1.96} and ScFe_{2.05} respectively. The fact that the calculated value ranges between these two experimental values is related to the stoichiometric ordered lattice assumed by the calculations. Furthermore, the values $\Delta m/m = 0.46, 0.75$ and 0.87 for Fe2, Sc and Fe1 respectively show that this increase is not at the same rate for the different species. This can be explained in terms of a reduction in contact between the scandium and iron atoms.[39](#page-22-1) The interatomic distances values in table [I](#page-4-0) confirm this explanation where it is found that the distance between the atomic pair Sc-Fe2 is smaller than the Sc-Fe1 distance. This is opposite to the ScFe₂ alloy system where $d_{\text{Sc-Fe1}} < d_{\text{Sc-Fe2}}$ and $m_{Fe1} < m_{Fe2}$. Lastly, table [II](#page-12-0) reports a higher m_{Fe2} magnitude with respect to m_{Fe1} for the intermetallic $ScFe₂$. This order of magnitudes is not respected for the expanded hydrogen free $ScFe₂$, where m_{Fe2} is smaller than m_{Fe1} . The calculations show an increase in the difference between \uparrow and \downarrow –spin for the d states upon volume expansion for both Fe1 and Fe2. This increase is around 85 and 46% for Fe1 and Fe2 respectively. This major difference explains the inversion for the order of magnitudes brought by volume expansion.

2. Hyperfine field H_{FC}

Another significant result extracted from these calculations is the Fermi contact term of the hyperfine field (H_{FC}) (see table [II\)](#page-12-0). The effective magnetic field H_{eff} acting on a nucleus is considered as the sum of four contributions; (i) H_i , the internal field which is the magnetic field at the nucleus generated from an externally applied field, (ii) H_{FC} , the Fermi contact term, based on the spin density at the nucleus for the ns quantum states caused by the polarization of the s electrons by the d moments, (iii) H_{orb} , which is the field arising from the orbital magnetic moment and (iv) H_{dip} , representing the dipole interaction with the surrounding atoms. In a non-relativistic description, H_{FC} is expressed by the formula: $H_{FC} = -\frac{8\pi}{3}$ $\frac{3\pi}{3}\gamma_N\{(\Phi_1(0))^2-(\Phi_1(0))^2\}$. Where γ_N is the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio and the quantities between brackets are the densities of s electrons at the nucleus $(r = 0)$ for \uparrow and \downarrow -spin respectively. The calculated H_{FC} values are such as: $H_{FC}^{total}(Fe1) = -157$ kGauss and $H_{FC}^{total}(Fe2) = -162$ kGauss. Based on ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, reported experimental values of H_{eff} ^{[7](#page-21-6)} are -167 and -174 kGauss for Fe1 and Fe2 respectively.

Other experimental values of the average hyperfine field were observed to be −170 kGauss for Fe1 and -176 kGauss for Fe2 for ScFe_{1.96}.^{[39](#page-22-1)} Magnitudes of -220 and -203 kGauss, for the two crystallographic iron sites 2a and 6h respectively, are found for $ScFe_{2.05}$ in Ref.^{[39](#page-22-1)}. This is an experimental evidence of the inversion for the order of magnitudes of H_{eff} in the intermetallic ScFe₂ due to stoichiometric changes. Such small departures from stoichiometry cannot be studied in the scheme of the calculations performed in this work; they require other schematic representations such as with the CPA.[34](#page-22-13) The difference between calculated and experimental values can be related to different origins relevant to (i) the fact that the local spin density approximation cannot treat with sufficient accuracy the polarization of core wave functions, 41 (ii) the non-stoichiometry of the experimetally prepared alloys and the subsequent disorder within the solid solutions. An explanation for this peculiar behavior, i.e. the difference between calculated and measured values, can be found by decomposing H_{FC} into its major contributions namely the one from the core 1s, 2s and 3s electrons H_{FC}^{core} and the one from the valence 4s electrons H_{FC}^{val} . While H_{FC}^{core} usually is strictly proportional to the magnetic moment, H_{FC}^{val} contains large contributions from the neighboring atoms. The calculated H_{FC}^{total} values presented whitin this work are the sum of these two parts. Considering only the H_{FC}^{core} contribution, values such as -159 and -164 kGauss were obtained for Fe1 and Fe2 respectively. This is a slight enhancement of the calculations with respect to experimental measurements.

3. Projected density of states PDOS

The PDOS curves for the spin polarized SP configuration of $ScFe₂$ are shown in Fig. [4\(](#page-10-0)a). Within the VB two energy regions can be identified, from -7.5 to -5 eV, low intensity itinerant s, p states of all constituents are found; this is followed by larger intensity peaks mainly due to $3d$ (Fe) up to and above E_F . Exchange splitting can be seen to mainly affect the latter as it is expected from the above analysis of the magnetizations. \uparrow –spin states, for both Fe1 and Fe2 at E_F , are concentrated in sharp and narrow PDOS peaks, contrary to \downarrow −spin states that are found in PDOS minima. Fe1 and Fe2 peaks within the energy range $[-1.3, -0.3]$ eV for \uparrow –spin states are similar to those corresponding to [0, 1] eV for \downarrow –spin states. This shift in spectral weight for \uparrow –spin states below the Fermi level and for \downarrow −spin states above E_F corresponds to the onset of magnetic moments carried by Fe1

and Fe2. One can attribute this to a Stoner rigid-band magnetism at first sight. But PDOS weights at \uparrow and \downarrow −spin populations are not the same. This mismatch between both spin populations is mainly due to the 3d(Fe2) states peaks at ~ 1 eV for the \downarrow −spin states. This implies a non rigid-band shift which rules the magnetism of this system unlike α Fe.^{[21](#page-22-6)} Magnetism arising in this way is called "covalent magnetism".^{[43](#page-23-1)} Such behavior was formerly shown for ZrFe₂.^{[44](#page-23-2)} One also notices that the peaks at ~ -0.7 eV for $\downarrow -spin$ 3d(Sc) states are more intense than those for \uparrow –spin states, a magnetic moment is carried by Sc with smaller magnitude and opposite direction to those carried by Fe1 and Fe2. The moment of scandium is provided by the covalent Sc-Fe1 bond, rather than by a rigid energy shift of non-magnetic PDOS, whence its negative sign -notice the Sc-Fe1 overlap around −0.7 eV for ↓ −spin PDOS -. On the other hand, the SP-PDOS for the expanded hydrogen free ScFe₂, given in Fig. [4,](#page-10-0) show through the intense peaks at ~ -0.5 eV, a larger \downarrow −spin states occupation near E_F in respect with the \uparrow -spin states. This feature, brought by volume expansion, is responsible of the inversion for the order of magnitudes of magnetic moments (see section IV.B.1). Another important feature is the Sc intense peak for $\downarrow -spin$ at \sim −0.5 eV which is shifted closer to E_F and is found more intense with respect to the scandium peak at ~ -0.7 eV in Fig. [4\(](#page-10-0)b). This peak is responsible for the increasing of the magnetic moment for Sc (see section IV.B.1) which is related to volume expansion.

4. Spin resolved chemical bonding

The Sc-Fe1 interactions for \downarrow and \uparrow –spin states curves are given in Fig. [5.](#page-11-0) The plots show that the \downarrow −spin bonding is stronger with respect to the \uparrow −spin one. This points to spin resolved chemical bonding. A peak at ~ -0.7 eV is pointing for the Sc-Fe1 \downarrow −spin interactions confirming this bond contribution to the magnetic moment carried by scandium.

V. ScFe2 AND HYDROGEN EFFECTS

For ScFe_2H_2 , computed at the experimental data given in Ref.^{[7](#page-21-6)}, the BZ integration within the self-consistent calculations was carried out up to 1024 k -points. Charge transfer within $ScFe₂H₂$ shows a departure of ~ 0.55 electron from Sc spheres to Fe1, Fe2 and empty spheres. This slight transfer, not significant of ionic effects, signals a redistribution of the two s

electrons of Sc over its three valence basis sets thus providing it with a larger d character arising from its mixing with $3d$ (Fe1) and $3d$ (Fe2). The covalent bond between the metal species and H can be rather dicussed using the PDOS and ECOV criteria. The magnetic configuration of the dihydride is, as expected, energetically stabilized by $\Delta E = 0.436$ eV and 15.451 eV per fu compared to the NSP calculations performed for $ScFe₂H₂$ and the SP calculations for ScFe₂ respectively. The relative energies per fu (E_{rel}) for the magnetic SP configuration, reported in table [II,](#page-12-0) describe the difference between the most stable ScFe_2H_2 energy and the energies of the other computed systems. The values for E_{rel} show that while the volume expansion destabilizes the system, the hydriding restores it to an even more stable state. Using an equation giving the binding energy of the hydride system follwoing^{[15](#page-21-14)} for 2H per fu: $E_B = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}[E(\text{ScFe}_2\text{H}_2)-E(\text{ScFe}_2)-E(\text{H}_2)],$ a calculation for the binding energy of the system due to hydrogen is performed and the resulting value of −0.92 eV.mol[−]¹ is found in agreement with values for other systems.[15](#page-21-14) This shows the important role of hydrogen in the stabilization of the intermetallic system.

A. Magnetic moments

Magnetic moments values derived from spin polarized calculations are given in table [II.](#page-12-0) The average magnetic moment for iron is of 2.226 μ _B which agrees with the experimental result of 2.23 μ_B given in Ref.^{[7](#page-21-6)}. This computed value corresponds to the increase $\Delta m/m =$ 0.67, which is an enhancement of 1.3% over the increase brought by the volume expansion. Moreover, the respective $\Delta m/m$ values for metal species (with respect to ScFe₂) show a decrease of 11% for Sc as well as an increase of 35 and 104% for Fe2 and Fe1 respectively. This can be explained by an arrangement of the H atoms in the tetrahedral sites surrounding the Sc atoms, thus shielding the Fe1 sublattice from the Sc sublattice, as already suggested by Smit et al.[39](#page-22-1), meaning a reduction in terms of contact between Sc and Fe1. Regarding the already mentioned role of the Sc-Fe1 interaction (see section IV.A.3) in the onset of the magnetic moment on scandium, this reduction is concomitant with a more pronounced magnetic moment for Fe1 and a less large one for Sc -in agreement with the computations- . The magnetic moment values given in table [II](#page-12-0) for Fe1 and Fe2 are 2.486 and 1.967 eV respectively. This inversion for the order of magnitudes, was already observed and explained for the expanded hydrogen free $ScFe₂$ (see section IV.B.1).

B. Hyperfine field

The Fermi contact term of the hyperfine field calculated values for the dihydride are such as: $H_{FC}^{total}(Fe1) = -203$ kGauss and $H_{FC}^{total}(Fe2) = -232$ kGauss. The H_{FC}^{core} computed values are such as −272 and −214 kGauss for Fe1 and Fe2 respectively. Experimental findings in Ref.^{[7](#page-21-6)} of -239 and -300 kGauss correspond to H_{eff} . These values were given without being assigned to the two crystallographic sites (6h and 2a) for iron. Since the Fe1 sublattice is shielded by hydrogen from the Sc sublattice, the contact between Fe1 atoms and the neighboring atomic species is reduced, consequently it can be assumed that H_{FC}^{core} contribution is the one measured by the experiment for Fe1. It follows that the largest experimental value of -300 kGauss for H_{eff} can be attributed to Fe1 for which the calculations have given a H_{FC}^{core} equal to -272 kGauss. On the contrary, Fe2 atoms interactions with neighboring species are more important, hence the measured hyperfine field corresponds to the sum of both core and valence contributions of H_{FC} . Then the experimental value of −239 kGauss is assumed for Fe2, in agreement with the computed value of -232 kGauss for $H_{FC}^{total}(Fe2)$. On the other hand, the orders of magnitudes for H_{FC} (see table [II\)](#page-12-0) show that the highest value of H_{FC} corresponds to the larger m_{Fe} magnitude. Considering the core part for Fe1 on the one hand and the total contribution for H_{FC} of Fe2 on the other hand, we can propose that this tendency is preserved upon hydriding.

C. Projected density of states PDOS

A visual inspection of the spin projected PDOS of $ScFe₂H₂$ represented in Fig. [6](#page-18-0) shows two small energetic intervals ranging from -8 to -5.5 eV and from -11 to -10 eV respectively. The similar skylines between the different atomic species featuring in these two energy regions describe the hybridization of the metallic species with hydrogen. The sharper and narrower nature of these PDOS peaks, compared to those of $ScFe₂$ [Fig. [4\(](#page-10-0)a)] and the expanded ScFe_2 [Fig. [4\(](#page-10-0)b)], point to a larger localization of the states in the dihydride system. This is due to hydrogen intake and not to volume expansion.

FIG. 6: Site and spin projected PDOS of $ScFe₂H₂$. For the sake of clear presentation, hydrogen contribution was multiplied by 10.

D. Chemical bonding

To find an explanation for the magnetic behavior, chemical bonding plots with ECOV criterion (shown in fig. [7\)](#page-19-0) for hydrogen interactions with the metal species from which the lattice is built are analyzed. In as far as H_{FC} originates mainly from the spin polarization of valence states via interactions with the magnetic neighbors, the inversion for the order of magnitudes mentioned earlier for H_{FC} is expained by the fact that both hydrogen insertion and shielding (see section V.A) reduce Fe1 interaction with neighbors. Fe1 atoms become further isolated upon hydriding, and tend towards magnetic behaviors of the same kind as in Fe metal. In this so-called weak ferromagnetism the majority spin subband as well as the minority spin subband are partially depleted.[45](#page-23-3) This is plotted in Fig. [7](#page-19-0) where the Fe1-H interaction is anti-bonding through all the VB. This anti-bonding behavior confirms this

FIG. 7: Chemical bonding: non-magnetic ECOV within ScFe_2H_2 for the atomic pair interactions Fe1-H, Fe2-H and Sc-H.

reduction in contact between Fe1 and the other atomic species.

VI. ScFe2 AND ANISOTROPY CHANGES

For the sake of addressing anisotropy effects, an additional expanded hydrogen free ScFe_2 model was calculated with the experimental value of the c/a ratio of the alloy system.^{[7](#page-21-6)} The computed magnitudes and signs for the magnetic moments as well as for H_{FC} are reported in table [II.](#page-12-0) An analysis of these results demonstrates that the change of the c/a ratio does not affect the general trends of the magnetic behavior. Moreover, the already observed inversion (upon volume expansion) for the order of magnitudes for both magnetic moments and H_{FC} is conserved. It can be then concluded that the anisotropy changes are of negligible influence on the magnetic behavior of $ScFe₂H₂$, *i.e.*, with respect to both volume expansion and hydrogen insertion effects.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work local spin density functional (LSDF) investigations of the hydrogen insertion effects on the magnetism and bonding within the $C14$ ScFe₂ laves phase have been undertaken. In order to address these features, we performed ab initio all electrons computations of the electronic band structure and of the bonding properties for $ScFe₂$ and its dihydride $ScFe₂H₂$ as well as for the expanded hydrogen free $ScFe₂$ system at the dihydride volume. Contrary to former studies^{[6](#page-21-5)[,36](#page-22-22)[,37](#page-22-23)} which described the magnetic behavior of $ScFe₂$ by means of a rigid-band shift, our results point to a "covalent magnetism" -like behavior. The analysis of the electronic structures and of the chemical bonding properties using the covalent bond energy (ECOV) criterion leads to suggest that the volume expansion increases the magnitudes for both magnetic moments and H_{FC} . As for the chemical effect of hydriding, it further enhances the magnitude of the total magnetic moment, while the magnetic moments of the different atomic species show different behaviors. Furthermore, H_{FC} values exhibit orders of magnitudes which are proportional to those of the magnetic moments of Fe1 and Fe2 within ScFe₂, *i.e.*, both $m(Fe2)$ and $H_{FC}^{total}(Fe2)$ are larger than $m(Fe1)$ and $H_{FC}^{total}(Fe1)$. Volume expansion introduces an inversion for this order of magnitudes but respects the proportionality. However, upon hydriding, one should consider the core and valence contributions to H_{FC} . As a matter of fact, inserted H atoms shield the Fe1 sublattice, thus reducing contact with other sublattices. This leads to experimentally measured values of the hyperfine field for Fe1 concomitant with the calculated H_{FC}^{core} which is proportional to the magnetic moment of the onsite d-electrons. On the other hand, in as far as the hydriding process introduces an anisotropic expansion to the $ScFe₂$ unit cell, further computations were performed for an expanded hydrogen free $ScFe₂$ model at the experimental c/a ratio of $ScFe₂$ alloy system. The analysis of the results of these calculations helped showing that the anisotropy changes have no significant effects on the general magnetic behavior.

Acknowledgments

Computational facilities were provided within the intensive numerical simulation facilities network M3PEC of the University Bordeaux 1, partly financed by the Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine.

- ¹ F. Laves, *Theory of Alloy Phases*. (American Society for Metals, Cleveland, OH, 1956) p. 124.
- ² *Constitution Diagrams of Binary and Multicomponent Systems Based on Iron Handbook.* (Metallurgiya, Mosco, 1986).
- ³ A. M. Boring, R. C. Albers, G. H. Schadler, A. C. Lawso, P. Weinberger and N. E. Christensen. Phys. Rev. B 36, 5507 (1987).
- ⁴ T. Konishi, K. Mamiya, K. Morikawa, K. Kobayashi, T. Mizokawa, A. Fujimori, F. Iga, H. Kawanada, Y. Nishihara, A. Delin and O. Eriksson. J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 88, 303 (1998).
- ⁵ O. I. Bodak , B. Ya. Kotur , I. S. Gavrilenko , V. Ya. Mrkiv and G. I. Ivanchenko. Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR, Ser A, 4, 366 (1978) (in Russian).
- ⁶ S. Ishida and S. Asano. J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 54, 12, 4688-4694, (1985).
- ⁷ P. H. Smit and K. H. J. Buschow. Phys. Rev. B, 21, (1980).
- ⁸ V. V. Burnasheva, A. W. Ivanov, V. A. Yartys', K. N. Semenenko. Izv Akad Nauk SSSR, Ser Neorg Mater, 17, 980 (1981) (in Russian).
- ⁹ K. N. Semenenko, R. A. Sirotina, A. P. Savchenkova, V. V. Burnasheva, M. V. Lototskii, E. E. Fokina, S. L. Troitskaya and V. N. Fokin. J. Less-Comon Met. 106, 349 (1985).
- ¹⁰ D. Niarcos, P. J. Viccaro, B. D. Dunlap and A. T. Aldred. Hyperfine interact. 9, 563, (1981).
- ¹¹ V. V. Burnasheva, E. E. Fokina, V. N. Fokin, S. L. Troitskaya and K. N. Semenenko. Zh Neorg Khim, 29, 1379, (1984) (in Russian).
- ¹² L. Schlapbach and A. Züttel. Nature 414 (2001), p. 353.
- ¹³ A. Züttel. Mater. Today 6 (2003), p. 24.
- ¹⁴ *International Tables for Crystallography, Volume A : Space-Group Symmetry.* Ed. Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 5^{th} edition, (2002).
- ¹⁵ S. Hong and C. L. Fu. Phys. Rev. B, 66, 094109, 1-6, (2002).
- J. J. Didisheim, K. Yvon, D. Shaltiel and P. Fischer, Solid State Commun. , 31, 47 (1979).
- D. G. Westlake. J. Less Common Met. , 91, 275 (1983).
- A. E. Switendick. Z. Phys. Chem. N. F. , 117, 89 (1979).
- P. Hohenberg, W. Kohn. Phys. Rev. B, 136, 864 (1964).
- W. Kohn, L. J. Sham. J. Phys. Rev. A, 140, 1133 (1965).
- J. K¨ubler, V. Eyert, *Electronic structure calculations* in *Materials Science and Technology. Vol. 3A: Electronic and Magnetic Properties of Metals and Ceramics*, Part I. Volume Editor K. H. J. Buschow (VCH, Verlag, Weinheim), 1-145 (1992).
- J. F. Janak. Phys. Rev. B 16, 255 (1977).
- A. R. Williams, J. Kübler and C. D. Gelatt Jr. . Phys. Rev. B, 19, 6094 (1979).
- V. Eyert, *The Augmented Spherical Wave Method – A Comprehensive Treatment*, Lect. Notes Phys. 719 (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 2007).
- S. F. Matar, Phys. Rev. B, 75, 104422 (2007).
- B. Chevalier, S. F. Matar, Phys. Rev. B, 70, 174408 (2004).
- S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, and M. Nusair. Can. J. Phys. 58, 1200 (1980).
- V. Eyert, J. Comput. Phys. 124, 271 (1996).
- ²⁹ R. Hoffmann. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. , **26**, 846 (1987).
- R. Dronskowski, P. E. Blöchl. J. Phys. Chem. , **97**, 8617 (1993).
- G. Bester, M. Fähnle. J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 13, 11541 (2001).
- S. F. Matar. Prog. Solid State Chem. 31, 239 (2003).
- S. F. Matar, A. Houari, M. A. Belkhir. Phys. Rev. B 75 254109 (2007).
- A. M. N. Niklasson, J. M. Wills, M. I. Katsnelson, I. A. Abrikosov, O. Eriksson and B. Johannsson. Phys. Rev. B, 67 235105 (2003).
- ³⁵ I. A. Nekrasov, K. Held, N. Blümer, A. I. Poteryaev, V. I. Anisimov and D. Vollhardt. Eur. phys. j. B Cond. matter phys. 18 55 (2000).
- K. Ikeda, T. Nakamichi, T. Yamada and M. Yamamoto. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. , 36, 611 (1974).
- G. Sankar and W. E. Wallace. Magn. Lett. $\bf{.1}, 3$ (1976).
- Y. Nishihara and Y. Yamaguchi. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. , **54**, 1689 (1985).
- P. H. Smit, H. C. Donckersloot and K. H. J. Buschow. J. Appl. Phys. , 53, 2640 (1982).
- $40\,$ V. Paul-Boncour and S. F. Matar. Phys. Rev. B, 70, 184435 (2004).
- M. Richter *Electronic structure and Magnetism of lanthanide, actinide, and transition metal*

systems. Ed. Technical University of Dresden. Germany. (1997).

- P. Mohn, S. F. Matar. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 191, 234 (1999).
- A. R. Williams, R. Zeller, V. L. Moruzzi and C. D. Gelatt. J. Appl. Phys. , 52, 2067 (1981).
- P. Mohn, K. Schwarz, Physica B, 130, 26 (1985).
- J. Friedel, in *The Physics of Metals*, edited by J. M. Ziman . Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (1969).