

Random Quantum Operations

Wojciech Bruzda¹, Valerio Cappellini¹, Hans-Jürgen Sommers², and Karol Życzkowski^{1,3}

¹“Mark Kac” Complex Systems Research Centre, Institute of Physics,
Jagiellonian University, ul. Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

²Fachbereich Physik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Duisburg, 47048 Duisburg, Germany

³Centrum Fizyki Teoretycznej, Polska Akademia Nauk, Al. Lotników 32/44, 02-668 Warszawa, Poland

(Dated: April 15, 2008)

Algorithms to construct random quantum operations (completely positive, trace preserving maps) are presented. We investigate spectral properties of the associated superoperator Φ , which sends the set of quantum states of size N into itself, and state a quantum analogue of the Frobenius-Perron theorem concerning the spectrum of stochastic matrices. We derive a general formula for the density of eigenvalues of Φ and show that for large N they are described by the real Ginibre ensemble of random matrices. We analyze the size of the spectral gap, which implies that a generic state of the system converges exponentially to an invariant state.

To process quantum information one needs to transform a given quantum state in a controlled way. Formally one describes any discrete transformation of a state by a completely positive, trace preserving map, called *quantum operation* [1]. The totality of all quantum operations, which act on a state of size N , forms a convex compact set \mathcal{S}_N of dimensionality $N^4 - N^2$ – see e.g. [2].

To describe open quantum systems or an effect of external noise one often uses random operations. On the other hand, random operations are on purpose applied to obtain pseudo-random quantum circuits [3].

The aim of this work is to construct a natural probability measure which covers the entire set \mathcal{S}_N and to present an efficient algorithm to construct a sample of random operations. We investigate spectral properties of superoperators associated with quantum operations and infer conclusions about the convergence of any initial state subjected to the repeated action of a given random operation to its invariant state.

We start reviewing the classical problem, in which classical information is encoded into a probability vector \vec{p} of length N . The set of all classical states of size N forms the probability simplex Δ_{N-1} . A discrete dynamics in this set is given by a transformation $p'_i = S_{ij}p_j$, where S is a real square matrix of size N , which is *stochastic*, i.e. a) $S_{ij} \geq 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$;

b) $\sum_{i=1}^N S_{ij} = 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

If a real matrix S satisfies assumptions (a) and (b) then i) the spectrum $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of S belongs to the unit circle, and the leading eigenvalue equals unity, $z_1 = 1$;

ii) the eigenspace associated with z_1 contains a real eigenstate \vec{p}_{inv} , which describes the invariant measure of S .

If additionally

c) $\sum_{j=1}^N S_{ij} = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$

then the matrix S is called *bistochastic* (doubly stochastic) and

iii) the maximally mixed state is invariant, $\vec{p}_{\text{inv}} = (1/N, \dots, 1/N)$.

This is a form of the well-known **Frobenius–Perron (FP) theorem** and its proof may be found e.g. in [4, 5].

To generate a random stochastic matrix it is convenient to start with a square matrix X from the complex Ginibre ensemble, all elements of which are independent complex random Gaussian variables. Then a random matrix

$$S_{ij} := |X_{ij}|^2 / \sum_{m=1}^N |X_{mj}|^2, \quad (1)$$

is stochastic [6], and each of its columns forms an independent random vector distributed uniformly in the probability simplex Δ_{N-1} .

Let us now discuss the quantum case, in which a state is described by a positive, normalized operator, $\rho \geq 0$, $\text{Tr}\rho = 1$. Let \mathcal{M}_N denote the set of all normalized states which act on a N -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_N . A quantum analogue of a stochastic matrix is given by a linear quantum map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N$ which preserves the trace, and is completely positive (i.e. the extended map, $\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}$, is positive for any size of the extension). Such a map, called a *quantum operation*, can be described by a matrix Φ of size N^2 ,

$$\rho' = \Phi\rho \quad \text{or} \quad \rho'_{m\mu} = \Phi_{n\nu}^{m\mu} \rho_{n\nu}. \quad (2)$$

It is convenient to reorder elements of this matrix [2, 7] defining the *dynamical matrix* D ,

$$D(\Phi) \equiv \Phi^R \quad \text{so that} \quad D_{m\nu}^{n\mu} = \Phi_{n\nu}^{m\mu}, \quad (3)$$

since the matrix D is Hermitian if the map Φ preserves Hermiticity. Note that the above equation can be interpreted as a definition of the operation of *reshuffling* (realignment) of a matrix X , written X^R . This definition is representation dependent, and corresponds to exchanging the position of some elements of a matrix.

Due to the theorem of Choi [8], the map Φ is completely positive (CP) if and only if the dynamical matrix D is positive, $D \geq 0$. Any completely positive map can

be written in the *Kraus form* [9],

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho' = \sum_i A_i \rho A_i^\dagger. \quad (4)$$

The set of Kraus operators A_i allows one to write down the linear superoperator,

$$\Phi = \sum_i A_i \otimes \bar{A}_i. \quad (5)$$

The map Φ is *trace preserving*, $\text{Tr} \rho' = \text{Tr} \rho = 1$, if $\sum_i A_i^\dagger A_i = \mathbb{1}_N$. This condition is equivalent to a partial trace condition imposed on the dynamical matrix,

$$\text{Tr}_A D(\Phi) = \mathbb{1}_N, \quad (6)$$

which implies $\text{Tr} D = N$.

Since the dynamical map of an operation Φ is positive and normalized, the rescaled matrix D/N may be considered as a state in an extended Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ of size N^2 . Stochastic maps and states on the extended space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ are related by the so-called *Jamiołkowski isomorphism* [2, 10]. Making use of the maximally entangled bipartite state $|\psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N |i, i\rangle$, this can be expressed as $D(\Phi)/N = (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1})|\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+|$.

A quantum map is called *unital* if it leaves the maximally mixed state invariant. It is so if the Kraus operators satisfy $\sum_i A_i A_i^\dagger = \mathbb{1}_N$. The unitality condition may also be written in a form $\text{Tr}_B D = \mathbb{1}_N$, dual to (6). A CP quantum map which is trace preserving and unital is called *bistochastic*.

Spectral properties of the operator Φ are characterized by the following **quantum analogue of Frobenius–Perron theorem**.

Let Φ be a complex square matrix of size N^2 , so that it represents an operator acting in a composite Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{N^2} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Let us order its complex eigenvalues according to their moduli, $|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_{N^2}| \geq 0$.

Assume that Φ represents a stochastic quantum map, i.e. it satisfies

$$a') \Phi^R \geq 0; \quad b') \sum_k \Phi_{kk} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{so that} \quad \text{Tr}_A \Phi^R = \mathbb{1},$$

where the reshuffling operation, denoted by R , is defined in eq. (3). Then

i') the spectrum $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{N^2}$ of Φ belongs to the unit circle, and the leading eigenvalue equals unity, $z_1 = 1$,

ii') one of the corresponding eigenstates forms a matrix ω of size N which is positive, normalized ($\text{Tr} \omega = 1$) and is invariant under the action of the map, $\Phi(\omega) = \omega$.

If additionally

c') $\text{Tr}_B \Phi^R = \mathbb{1}$, then the map is called *bistochastic* and iii') the maximally mixed state is invariant, $\omega = \mathbb{1}/N$.

Proof. Assumption (a') implies that the quantum map is completely positive while (b') implies the trace preserving property. Hence Φ is a linear map which sends the convex compact set \mathcal{M}_N of mixed states into itself. Due

to the Schauder fixed–point theorem [11] such a transformation has a fixed point—an invariant state $\omega \geq 0$ such that $\Phi\omega = \omega$. Thus $z_1 = 1$ and all eigenvalues fulfil $|z_i| \leq 1$, since otherwise the assumption that Φ maps the compact set \mathcal{M}_N into itself would be violated. \square




FIG. 1: Spectra of an ensemble of random superoperators Φ : a) 800 operations for $N = 2$ and b) 300 operations for $N = 3$.

These spectral properties are similar to those of the classical stochastic matrices discussed in [12]. As noted in [13] the spectrum of Φ is symmetric with respect to the real axis – see Fig. 1.

Let us analyze briefly some particular cases of quantum operations. For any unitary rotation, $\Phi(\rho) = U\rho U^\dagger$ the Kraus form (4) consists of a single operator only, $A_1 = U$. Thus according to (5) the superoperator is given by a unitary matrix $\Phi = U \otimes \bar{U}$ of size N^2 . Denote the eigenphases of U by α_i where $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then the spectrum of Φ consists of N^2 phases given by $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. All diagonal phases for $i = j$ are equal to zero, hence the leading eigenvalue of the superoperator Φ , $z_1 = 1$, exhibit a multiplicity not smaller than N .

Consider now a quantum map for which Φ^R is diagonal. This special case can be treated as *classical*, since then Φ describes a classical dynamics in Δ_{N-1} , while the generalized quantum version of the FP theorem reduces to its standard version. Reshaping a diagonal dynamical matrix of size N^2 one obtains then a matrix S of size N , where $S_{ij} = \Phi_{ij}$, (no summation performed!). Then as-

sumption (a') (all diagonal elements of Φ^R are positive) gives (a), while the trace preserving condition (b') implies the probability preserving condition (b). Similarly, the additional condition (c') for quantum unitality gives condition (c) which imposes that the uniform vector is invariant under multiplication by a bistochastic matrix S . Similarly, conclusions (i'), (ii') and (iii') of the quantum version of the theorem imply conclusions (i–iii) of the standard (classical) Frobenius–Perron theorem.

We are interested in defining an ensemble of random operations [2]. A simple choice of *random external fields* [14], defined as a convex combination of k unitary transformations, $\rho' = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i V_i \rho V_i^\dagger$, produces bistochastic operations only.

Let us then consider first a method of constructing

random states by $N \times M$ rectangular random complex matrices of the Ginibre ensemble [15]. Taking

$$\rho := XX^\dagger / \text{Tr}XX^\dagger, \quad (7)$$

we get a positive normalized state ρ . For $M = 1$ we obtain a recipe to generate random pure states, while for $M = N$ the measure induced by the Ginibre ensemble coincides with the Hilbert–Schmidt measure in \mathcal{M}_N [16] and the average purity, $\langle \text{Tr}\rho^2 \rangle_{\text{HS}}$, scales as $1/N$ [2, 6].

Here we propose an analogous algorithm of constructing a random operation:

- 1) Fix $M \geq 1$ and take a $N^2 \times M$ random complex Ginibre matrix X ;
- 2) Find the positive matrix $Y := \text{Tr}_A XX^\dagger$ and its square root \sqrt{Y} ;
- 3) Write the dynamical matrix (*Choi matrix*)

$$D = \left(\mathbb{1}_N \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y}} \right) XX^\dagger \left(\mathbb{1}_N \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y}} \right); \quad (8)$$

- 4) Reshuffle the Choi matrix according to (3) to obtain the superoperator $\Phi = D^R$, and use it as in (2) to produce a random map.

It is not difficult to check that the relation (6) holds due to (8), so the random map preserves the trace. Such a renormalization to obtain the Choi matrix was independently used in [17]. This method is simple to apply for numerical simulations, and exemplary spectra obtained in the case $M = N^2$ are shown in Fig.1.

For larger N , the subleading eigenvalue modulus $r = |z_2|$ is smaller, so the convergence rate of any initial ρ_0 to the invariant state ω occurs faster. To demonstrate this effect we studied the decrease of an average trace distance in time, $L(t) = \langle \text{Tr}|\Phi^t(\rho_0) - \omega| \rangle_\psi$, where the average is performed over an ensemble of initially pure random states, $\rho_0 = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. Numerical results confirm an exponential convergence, $L(t) \sim \exp(-\alpha t)$. The mean convergence rate $\langle \alpha \rangle_\Phi$, averaged over an ensemble of random operations, increases with the dimension N like $\log N$, with slope very close to unity – see Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: a) Average trace distance of random pure states to the invariant state of Φ as a function of time for $N = 4(\bullet)$, $6(\blacksquare)$, $8(\star)$; b) mean convergence rate $\langle \alpha \rangle_\Phi$ as a function of the system size N , plotted in log scale.

To explain these findings we need to analyze spectral properties of an ensemble of random operations. Consider first a random Choi matrix D obtained by the above

algorithm from a Ginibre matrix X , generated according to the distribution $\propto \exp(-\text{Tr}XX^\dagger)$. Then D is of the Wishart type and has the distribution

$$P(D) \propto \int dX \exp(-\text{Tr}XX^\dagger) \delta(D - WXX^\dagger W^\dagger), \quad (9)$$

where $W := \mathbb{1} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{Y}}$. The integral on the right hand side can be rewritten with help of another Delta function,

$$\int dY \int dX \exp(-\text{Tr}Y) \delta(D - WXX^\dagger W^\dagger) \delta(Y - \text{Tr}_A XX^\dagger). \quad (10)$$

Using the Delta function property we arrive at

$$P(D) \propto \det(D^{M-N^2}) \delta(\text{Tr}_A D - \mathbb{1}), \quad (11)$$

which shows that there are no other constraints on the distribution of the Choi matrix, besides the partial trace condition and positivity. This is equivalent to saying that the M Kraus matrices A_i , which form a map Φ , constitute a $MN \times N$ truncated part of a unitary matrix U of size NM . A natural assumption is that the matrix U is distributed according to the Haar measure. Unitarity constraints become weak for large N , so the non-Hermitian $N \times N$ truncations A_i are described by the Ginibre ensemble [15]: Their spectra cover uniformly the disk of radius $1/\sqrt{N}$ in the complex plane [18].

Therefore we are in position to present an alternative algorithm of generating the same ensemble of random operations, which has a simple physical interpretation:

- (1') Chose a random unitary matrix U according to the Haar measure on $U(NM)$;
- (2') Construct a random map defined by

$$\rho' = \text{Tr}_M[U(\rho \otimes |\nu\rangle\langle\nu|)U^\dagger]. \quad (12)$$

Hence this random operation corresponds to an interaction with an M -dimensional environment, initially in a random pure state $|\nu\rangle$. Of a special importance is the case $M = N^2$, for which the term with the determinant in (11) disappears, so the matrices D are generated according to the measure analogous to the Hilbert-Schmidt measure. This case provides thus generic dynamical matrices of a full rank and can be recommended for numerical implementation.

To analyze spectral properties of a superoperator Φ let us use the Bloch representation of a state ρ ,

$$\rho = \sum_{i=0}^{N^2-1} \tau_i \lambda^i, \quad (13)$$

where λ^i are generators of $\text{SU}(N)$ such that $\text{tr}(\lambda^i \lambda^j) = \delta^{ij}$ and $\lambda^0 = \mathbb{1}/\sqrt{N}$. Since $\rho = \rho^\dagger$, the generalized Bloch vector $\vec{\tau} = [\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{N^2-1}]$ is real. Thus the action of the map Φ can be represented as

$$\tau' = \Phi(\tau) = C\tau + \kappa, \quad (14)$$

where C is a real asymmetric contraction matrix of size $N^2 - 1$ while $\vec{\kappa}$ is a translation vector, which vanishes for bistochastic maps. Their elements can be expressed in terms of the Kraus operators, e.g. $C_{ij} = \text{Tr} \sum_k \lambda^i A_k \lambda^j A_k^\dagger$, while $\kappa_i = \text{Tr} \lambda^i \lambda^0 \sum_k \tau_k A_k^\dagger$. Thus there exists a real representation of the superoperator

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \kappa & C \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Eigenvalues of C , denoted by $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{N^2-1}$, are also eigenvalues of Φ . We are going to study the case $M = N^2$, for which the distribution (11) simplifies. Like for the real Ginibre ensemble [19] one may derive in this case the measure in the space of eigenvalues Λ_i of C

$$d\mu(\Lambda) = \left| \prod_i d\Lambda_i \right| \prod_{k < l} |\Lambda_k - \Lambda_l| G(\Lambda), \quad (16)$$

where $G(\Lambda)$ is given by the distribution of (real) traces,

$$G(\Lambda) := \left\langle \prod_{\nu=1}^{N^2-1} \delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N^2-1} (\Lambda_i)^\nu - \text{Tr} C^\nu \right) \right\rangle \quad (17)$$

with the average

$$\langle f(C) \rangle := \int dC d\kappa \Theta(D \geq 0) f(C). \quad (18)$$

The domain of integration is given by the conditions for complete positivity, $D \geq 0$, which is not easy to work with, even for $N = 2$. For large N we can expect that these conditions do not play an important role, so the dependence $G(\Lambda)$ is weak, and the measure for C can be described by the real Ginibre ensemble of non-Hermitian Gaussian matrices. The spectrum of such random matrices consists of a component on the real axis, the distribution of which is given asymptotically by the step function $P(x) = \frac{1}{2}\Theta(x-1)\Theta(1-x)$ [20], while remaining eigenvalues cover uniformly the unit circle according to the *Girko distribution* [21].

To analyze the spectra of random operators Φ one needs to set the scale. The mean purity of a random state σ of size N^2 behaves as N^{-2} [6] and $D = N\sigma$,

thus the average $\text{Tr} D^2 = \text{Tr} \Phi \Phi^\dagger$ is of the order of unity. Hence, the rescaled matrix $\Phi' := N\Phi$ of size $K = N^2$ has the normalization $\text{Tr} \Phi' (\Phi')^\dagger \approx K$, which assures that the radius of the circle is equal to unity.

Thus we arrive at the following conjecture: for large N the statistical properties of a rescaled random superoperator $N\Phi$ are described by the real Ginibre ensemble. We confirmed this conjecture by a detailed numerical investigation. Fig. 3 shows the density of complex eigenvalues of random super operators for $N = 10$ and the distribution $P(x)$ of the real eigenvalues. As the spectrum of the rescaled operator $\Phi' = N\Phi$ tends to be localized in the unit circle, we infer that the size of the subleading eigenvalue $r = |z_2|$ of Φ behaves as $1/N$, hence the convergence rate α scales as $\ln N$.




FIG. 3: a) Distribution of complex eigenvalues of 10^4 rescaled random operators Φ' already for $N = 10$ can be approximated by the circle law. b) Distribution of real eigenvalues $P(x)$ of Φ' plotted for $N = 2, 3, 7$ and 14 tends to the step function, characteristic of real Ginibre ensemble.

In this work we analyzed spectral properties of superoperators, formulated a quantum analogue of the Frobenius–Perron theorem, defined an ensemble of random operations, presented an explicit algorithm to generate them and showed an exponential convergence to the invariant state.

It is a pleasure to thank W. Słomczyński for helpful discussions. We acknowledge financial support by the SFB Transregio-12 project, the European grant COCOS, and a grant number N202 099 31/0746 of Polish Ministry of Science and Education.

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
[2] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, *Geometry of Quantum States*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
[3] J. Emerson, E. Livine, and S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 060302 (2005); W. G. Brown et al. arXiv:0802.2675
[4] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *The Theory of Majorizations and Its Applications* (Academic Press, New York, 1979)
[5] D. S. Bernstein, *Matrix Mathematics*, (Princeton Uni-

versity Press, Princeton, 2005).
[6] K. Życzkowski and H.-J. Sommers, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34**(35), 7111–7125 (2001).
[7] E. C. G. Sudarshan et al. *Phys. Rev.* **121**, 920 (1961).
[8] M.-D. Choi, *Linear Alg. Appl.* **10**, 285 (1975).
[9] K. Kraus, *Ann. Phys.* **64**, 311 (1971).
[10] A. Jamiolkowski, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **3**, 275 (1972).
[11] J. Schauder, *Studia Math.* **2**, 171–180 (1930).
[12] K. Życzkowski, M. Kuś, W. Słomczyński and H.-J. Sommers, *J. Phys. A* **36**, 3425–3450 (2003).
[13] B. M. Terhal et al. *Phys. Rev.* **A61**, 22301 (2000).

- [14] R. Alicki and K. Lendi, *Quantum Dynamical Semigroups and Their Applications*, (Springer, Berlin, 1987)
- [15] J. Ginibre, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 440 (1965).
- [16] H.-J. Sommers et al. *J. Phys.* **A 37**, 8457 (2004).
- [17] K. M.R. Audenaert and S. Scheel, arXiv:0709.0824
- [18] K. Życzkowski et al. *J. Phys.* **A 33**, 2045 (2000).
- [19] N. Lehmann and H.-J. Sommers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 941 (1991).
- [20] H.-J. Sommers *J. Phys.* **A 40**, F671 (2007); P.J. Forrester and T. Nagao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 050603 (2007).
- [21] M. L. Mehta *Random Matrices* II ed. (Academic, New York, 1991).