# Classification of the entangled States of $2 \times N \times N$

Shuo Cheng<sup> $a_*$ </sup>, Junli Li<sup> $a_\dagger$ </sup> and Cong-Feng Qiao<sup> $a,b_\ddagger$ </sup>

a) Dept. of Physics, Graduate University, the Chinese Academy of Sciences YuQuan Road 19A, 100049, Beijing, China

b) Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities (TPCSF), CAS

#### Abstract

We develop a novel method in classifying the multipartite entanglement state of  $2 \times N \times N$  under stochastic local operations and classical communication (SLOCC). In this method, all inequivalent classes of a genuine entangled state can be assorted directly without knowing the classification information of lower dimension ones for any given dimension N. It also gives a nature explanation for the non-local parameters remaining in the entanglement classes when  $N \geq 4$ .

Entanglement is at the heart of the quantum information theory (QIT) and is now thought as a physical resource to realize quantum information tasks, such as quantum cryptography [1, 2], superdense coding [3, 4], and quantum computation [5]. The study of entanglement improves our further understanding of quantum non-locality [6] and also is of particular interest in QIT. One such case is the classification of multipartite entanglement. Two quantum states can be employed to implement the same tasks in QIT and are thought to be equivalent while they are mutually convertible by Stochastic Local Operations and Classical Communication (SLOCC) [7].

Nevertheless, in practice the classification of multipartite entanglement and in high dimensions in the Hilbert space seems to be a formidable task [8]. Matrix decomposition keeps to be a useful tool as in the two-partite case [9, 10]. A widely adopted philosophy in dealing with this issue is first to know the classification of the state in lower dimension (or less partite) and then extend to the higher dimension case [11, 12] (or more partite [13, 14]) in an inductive way. However, nontrivial aspect emerges as the dimension increases, i.e. some non-local parameters may nest in the entangled states [15, 16]. In literature, in

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  chengshuo 05@mails.gucas.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>jlli04@mails.gucas.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>qiaocf@gucas.ac.cn

recent years investigations on the classification of  $2 \times M \times N$  states were performed [11, 12], where M and N are dimensions of the two partites in three-partite entangled states. As mentioned in [12] the classification of entangled states of  $2 \times M \times N$  tends to be more subtle when M = N. In this case the permutations of the two N-dimension partites may be assorted into different classes.

In this work, we present a straightforward way in getting a full class of entanglement states of  $2 \times N \times N$  system. The asymmetry of the two N dimension particles shows up in one of the classes. We develop a cubic grid form for the quantum state, in which the entangled classes that have continuous parameters can be explained naturally. This gives an instructive insight on the entanglement classes of 4 or more particles which have non-local parameters [7, 14].

To be more transparent, an arbitrary state of two-partite in dimension M and N can be expressed in the following general form

$$\Psi = \gamma_{00}|00\rangle + \gamma_{01}|01\rangle + \cdots \gamma_{0N}|0N\rangle + \gamma_{10}|10\rangle + \gamma_{11}|11\rangle + \cdots + \gamma_{1N}|1N\rangle + \vdots$$
  
$$\gamma_{M0}|M0\rangle + \gamma_{M1}|M1\rangle + \cdots \gamma_{MN}|MN\rangle , \qquad (1)$$

where  $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Eq.(1) can be further written in a more compact form

$$\Psi = (|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \cdots, |M\rangle) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{00} & \gamma_{01} & \cdots & \gamma_{0N} \\ \gamma_{10} & \gamma_{11} & \cdots & \gamma_{0N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{M0} & \gamma_{M1} & \cdots & \gamma_{MN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \\ \vdots \\ |N\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \psi_1^T \Gamma \psi_2 , \qquad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a  $M \times N$  complex matrix. Similarly, the entanglement state in  $2 \times N \times N$  system reads as

$$\Psi = \phi^0 \psi_1^T \Gamma_0 \psi_2 + \phi^1 \psi_1^T \Gamma_1 \psi_2 .$$
(3)

Here,  $\phi$  represents the first qubit,  $\Gamma_{0,1}$  are  $N \times N$  complex matrices. For the true  $2 \times N \times N$ entangled state, i.e. in full entanglement of the N-dimension partites,  $r(\rho_{\phi}) = 2, r(\rho_{\psi_1}) =$  $N, r(\rho_{\psi_2}) = N$  with  $\rho_i = \text{Tr}_{jk}(\rho_{ijk})$  being the density matrix. Hereafter, we denote r the rank of matrix. Obviously, all entanglement information of the state  $2 \times N \times N$  resides in the matrix pair ( $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1$ ) in (3). Therefore, we can specify a typical state by using a 'matrix vector' form. That is

$$\Psi = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_0\\ \Gamma_1 \end{array}\right) \ . \tag{4}$$

While two invertible local operators (ILOs) act on the bipartite states, i.e.  $\psi'_1 = P^T \psi_1, \psi'_2 = Q\psi_2$ , the state  $\psi$  transforms as

$$\Psi' = P^T \otimes Q\Psi 
= \psi_1^T P \Gamma Q \psi_2 
= \psi_1^T \Gamma' \psi_2 ,$$
(5)

where  $\Gamma' = P\Gamma Q$ . Two  $2 \times N \times N$  states  $\widetilde{\Psi}$  and  $\Psi$  are said to be SLOCC equivalent if they are connected by ILO [7]. That is to say that  $\widetilde{\Psi} \sim \Psi$  whenever

$$\Psi = T \otimes P \otimes Q \Psi . \tag{6}$$

Here, T is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix which acts on  $\phi$ ; P and Q are both  $N \times N$  matrices acting on  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ , respectively. The transformation of the first partite T reads as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi^0 & \phi^1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_{00} & t_{01} \\ t_{10} & t_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 \\ \Gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (7)

Thus, as in (4), equation (6) can be formulated as

$$\widetilde{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} t_{00} & t_{01} \\ t_{10} & t_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P\Gamma_0 Q \\ P\Gamma_1 Q \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (8)$$

where  $\phi$  s and  $\psi$  s are suppressed.

To give a pictorial description of the state, we place the matrices  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  in parallel in space as shown in Fig.(1), the  $\Gamma_1$  in front and  $\Gamma_0$  in rear. In the figure, we take  $2 \times 3 \times 3$ case as an example and obtain a cubic grid form for the state. Each node in the grid corresponding to an element in the matrices pair ( $\Gamma_0$ ,  $\Gamma_1$ ) in Eq.(4). As a counterpart of the three elementary matrix operations [17], we define the following three elementary operations on the cubic grid. That is, **type 1**: interchange of two surfaces; **type 2**: multiplication of one surface by a nonzero scalar; **type 3**: addition of a scalar multiple of one surface to another surface. As a result, all ILOs in Eq.(6), which connect the two equivalent wave functions, can then be decomposed into a series of products of the three elementary operations defined.

With the above preparation, we can now proceed to the classification of  $2 \times N \times N$ state. The whole space of  $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$  can be partitioned into numbers of inequivalent sets

$$C_{n,l} = \{ (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1) | r_{\max}(\alpha \Gamma_0 + \beta \Gamma_1) = n, r_{\min}(\alpha \Gamma_0 + \beta \Gamma_1) = l \}, \qquad (9)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and are not equal to zero at the same time;  $n \in [0, N]$ . Obviously, every entangled state  $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$  must lie in one of the spaces  $C_{n, l}$  via the ILOs in equation (8), and each entangled class in set  $C_{n, l}$  is SLOCC inequivalent to any class in  $C_{m, k}$  if  $n \neq m$ or  $l \neq k$ , which can be noted as  $C_{n, l} \cdot C_{m, k} = \delta(m - n)\delta(l - k)$ . Therefore, the question now turns to the classification of entangled state in set  $C_{n, l}$ .



Figure 1: The pictorial description of  $2 \times 3 \times 3$  state, where each node corresponds to a base vector. The dashed lines connect the corresponding elements of the parallel placed matrices  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$ . Assigning a coefficient to the base vector, we then obtain the corresponding matrix element of  $\Gamma_0$  or  $\Gamma_1$ .

For every  $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1) \in C_{N,l}$ , there exists an ILO transformation, like

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}E\\J_1\end{array}\right) = T \otimes P \otimes Q \left(\begin{array}{c}\Gamma_0\\\Gamma_1\end{array}\right) . \tag{10}$$

Here,  $r(J_1) = r_{\min}(\alpha \Gamma_0 + \beta \Gamma_1)$ ,  $J_1$  represents the matrix in the Jordan canonical form. A typical form of  $J_1$  reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{n_1}(\lambda_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n_2}(\lambda_2) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J_{n_k}(\lambda_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(11)

From Eq.(11) it is easy to prove  $l \leq N-1$ , which in special case of N = 2 agrees with the proposition given in Refs. [13, 18]. Eq.(10) maps set  $C_{N,l}$  to set  $c_{N,l}$ , where  $c_{N,l} \subseteq C_{N,l}$  and

$$c_{N,l} = \{ (E, J_1) | r(J_1) = l; (E, J_1) \in C_{N,l} \}.$$
(12)

Any two  $J_1$ s are taken to be equivalent as if they have the same form of Jordan blocks up to the operations of permutation.

**Theorem 1**  $\forall$   $(E, J_i) \in c_{N, l}$ , the set  $c_{N, l}$  is of the classification of  $C_{N, l}$ . (i) if two states are SLOCC equivalent then they can be transformed into the same matrix vector  $(E, J_1)$ ; (ii) if  $(E, J_2)$  is SLOCC equivalent with  $(E, J_1)$ , then  $(E, J_2) = (E, J_1)$  or  $(E, J_2) = (E, J_1 + \lambda E)$ .

## Proof:

(i) suppose there exists the following transformation

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_0'\\ \Gamma_1'\end{array}\right) = T' \otimes P' \otimes Q' \left(\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_0\\ \Gamma_1\end{array}\right) , \qquad (13)$$

according to equation (10)

$$\begin{pmatrix} E \\ J_1 \end{pmatrix} = T \cdot T'^{-1} \otimes P \cdot P'^{-1} \otimes Q \cdot Q'^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma'_0 \\ \Gamma'_1 \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (14)

(ii) suppose

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}E\\J_2\end{array}\right) = T' \otimes P' \otimes Q' \left(\begin{array}{c}E\\J_1\end{array}\right) , \qquad (15)$$

as noted beneath the Eq.(11) we have  $l \leq N - 1$ , and it follows that there are no zero elements in the pivot of T'. Then, T' can be decomposed as [19]

$$\begin{pmatrix} t'_{00} & t'_{01} \\ t'_{10} & t'_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} ,$$
(16)

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and both matrices on the righthand side of above equation are nonsingular. Now Eq.(15) becomes

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}E\\J_2\end{array}\right) = O_1 O_2 \left(\begin{array}{c}E\\J_1\end{array}\right) \ . \tag{17}$$

Here,

$$O_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ \lambda & 1 \end{array}\right), \quad O_2 = P' \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta\\ 0 & \gamma \end{array}\right) Q' . \tag{18}$$

For any  $O_2$  that satisfies Eq.(17), it follows that

$$O_{2} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J_{1} \end{pmatrix} = P' \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J_{1} \end{pmatrix} Q'$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} E \\ SJ_{n_{i}}(\frac{\gamma\lambda_{i}}{\alpha+\beta\lambda_{i}})S^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (19)$$

where  $J_1 = \sum_i \oplus J_{n_i}(\lambda_i)$ ,  $O_2$  maps  $(E, J_1)$  into itself up to some re-scalings of the eigenvalues. So

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}E\\J_2\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}1&0\\\lambda&1\end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c}E\\J_1\end{array}\right) . \tag{20}$$

QED.

For every  $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1) \in C_{N-1, l}$ , there exists an ILO transformation satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\Lambda \\
\Gamma
\end{pmatrix} = T \otimes P \otimes Q \begin{pmatrix}
\Gamma_0 \\
\Gamma_1
\end{pmatrix},$$
(21)

where  $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1) \in C_{N-1, l}$ ;  $r(\Lambda) = N - 1$ ,  $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $r(\Gamma) = l$ , and (see appendix A)

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & 0 & c_{05} & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & 0 & c_{15} & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & 0 & c_{25} & 0 \\ r_{30} & r_{31} & r_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & c \\ r & B \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

with  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\times$  means no constraint on the elements. Without lossing

generality we only take a  $6 \times 6$  matrix as an example here.

 $\Gamma$  can be further simplified according to vector c, r. There are four cases in general, i.e.,  $1)(c = 0, r = 0); 2)(c \neq 0, r = 0); 3)(c = 0, r \neq 0); 4)(c \neq 0, r \neq 0)$ . The corresponding forms of  $\Gamma^{c,r}$  can be transformed into

$$\Gamma^{00} = \begin{pmatrix}
\times & \times & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\times & \times & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{10} = \begin{pmatrix}
\times & \times & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma^{01} = \begin{pmatrix}
\times & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\times & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\times & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Gamma^{11} = \begin{pmatrix}
\times & 0 & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\times & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

while keeping  $\Lambda$  fixed. In the case of  $\Gamma^{00}$ , it has already been in the form of  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ ; in the other three cases the results are just the enlargement of the block B in  $\Gamma$ s. After redefining the B, one can employ the same procedure recursively on these three matrices. And, in the end the  $\Gamma$  can be definitely transformed into the form of

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0\\ 0 & B \end{array}\right) \,. \tag{24}$$

Note that B may be recursively enlarged to be the whole matrix of  $\Gamma$ . Eq.(21) maps  $C_{N-1, l}$  into  $c_{N-1, l}$ , where  $c_{N-1, l} \subseteq C_{N-1, l}$  and

$$c_{N-1,l} = \{(\Lambda,\Gamma) | r(\Gamma) = l; \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}; (\Lambda,\Gamma) \in C_{N,l} \}.$$
(25)

 $J_1$  represents the Jordan canonical form of A in Eq.(24).

**Theorem 2**  $\forall (\Lambda, \Gamma) \in c_{N-1, l}$ , the set  $c_{N-1, l}$  is of the classification of  $C_{N-1, l}$ . (i) suppose two states are SLOCC equivalent, they can be transformed into the same matrix vector  $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ ; (ii) suppose  $(\Lambda, \Gamma')$  is SLOCC equivalent with  $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ ,  $(\Lambda, \Gamma') = (\Lambda, \Gamma)$   $(\Gamma' = \Gamma$ means Js are equivalent in the condition of theorem 1 and B' = B).

Proof:

(i) since in every step of transformation only invertible operators take part in, the proof of this statement is straightforward.

(ii) suppose

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \Gamma' \end{pmatrix} = T' \otimes P' \otimes Q' \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (26)$$

as in the proof of theorem 1, we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \Lambda\\ \Gamma'\end{array}\right) = O_1 O_2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \Lambda\\ \Gamma\end{array}\right) \ . \tag{27}$$

Here,

$$O_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ \lambda & 1 \end{array}\right), \quad O_2 = P' \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta\\ 0 & \gamma \end{array}\right) Q'.$$

$$(28)$$

According to the definition of  $c_{N-1,l}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda' \\ J_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(29)

Note the fact that there always exist the  $P_0$  and  $Q_0$  which enable (see Appendix B)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \Lambda Q_0 \\ P_0 \Gamma Q_0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(30)

The above equation means that the superposition of  $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$  maps  $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$  only into itself. Then, Eq.(27) can be transformed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \Gamma' \end{pmatrix} = P' P_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix} Q_0^{-1} Q'$$
$$= P'' \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix} Q'' .$$
(31)

The equation  $\Lambda = P'' \Lambda Q''$  requires P'' and Q'' take the following form

$$P'' = \begin{pmatrix} S & Y \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}; \ Q'' = \begin{pmatrix} S^{-1} & 0 \\ X & q \end{pmatrix} , \tag{32}$$

respectively. Here,  $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$ ;  $p, q \neq 0$ . Further constraints are enforced by the form of  $\Gamma$  in  $c_{n-1, l}$ . P'', Q'' require the transformed  $\Gamma'$  taking the same form of Eq.(22)

$$\Gamma' = P'' \Gamma Q'' = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & 0 & c'_{05} & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & 0 & c'_{15} & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & 0 & c'_{25} & 0 \\ r'_{30} & r'_{31} & r'_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(33)

Therefore, P'' and Q'' can only take the following forms

$$P'' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} & a_{02} & \times & 0 & y_0 \\ a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & \times & 0 & y_1 \\ a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{21} & \times & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & y_3 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & 1 & y_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q'' = \begin{pmatrix} b_{00} & b_{01} & b_{02} & \times & 0 & 0 \\ b_{10} & b_{11} & b_{12} & \times & 0 & 0 \\ b_{20} & b_{21} & b_{21} & \times & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & 1 & 0 \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & q \end{pmatrix}.$$
(34)

In  $P'' \Gamma Q''$  only the block elements of  $a_{ij}$  act on vector c in  $\Gamma$ . So, from Eq.(34) we get that if P'' is invertible, then  $a_{ij}$  is also invertible, and  $\{a_{ij}\}^{-1} = \{b_{ij}\}$ . Because there is no invertible operator that can transform a nonzero vector c to a zero one, c = 0 and  $c \neq 0$ cases are therefore ILO inequivalent. The same argument applies to the other elements of B. Step by step, the block  $a_{ij}$  gets smaller and smaller, and in the end it will merely act on the J block of  $\Gamma$ . According to theorem 1 we have

$$P'' \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sum_i \oplus J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) & 0\\ 0 & B \end{array} \right) Q'' = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sum_i \oplus J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) & 0\\ 0 & B \end{array} \right) , \tag{35}$$

thus

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \Lambda\\ \Gamma'\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Lambda\\ \Gamma\end{array}\right) \ . \tag{36}$$



Figure 2: The four graphics which show the procedure of factoring out the redundant parameters. Blank nodes represent 0 and the solid nodes represent 1 if not specified. (I) is the initial state in the cubic form. (II) is transformed from (I) by subtracting the front surface from the back one. (III) shows the flushing out of the redundant parameter. It gets out the cubic from the points a, b, c through the dashed surfaces respectively. (IV) shows the case of two redundant parameters. Different from (III), there are two loops in this cubic. Two parameters will be trapped by the loops and can not be factorized out.

### QED.

In the  $c_{N-1,l}$  case, we see that the *B* block breaks the symmetry of the whole matrix. The same procedure can be directly applied to the  $c_{N-2,l}$  case, and so on. The classification of the entangled state  $2 \times N \times N$  will be accomplished in the end after repeatedly taking the above mentioned measure. However, there are still redundant parameters in the entangled class, the eigenvalues of Jordan forms. Taking one class of  $c_{5,2}$  as an example, Fig.(2) exhibits the procedure of how redundant parameters are factorized out through three types of operations. Different from the other three diagrams, there are two loops in IV of Fig.(2) which can not be further simplified via elementary operations on cubic grid. As a result there are two parameters which will stay in the loops. However, these two parameters are not totally free, which can be easily obtained from the equivalent relation of [12]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_1 \\ 1 - \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \\ \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (37)

The situation becomes complicated with more parameters involving in. To get a deep understanding of the behavior of these non-local parameters, we still need more investigations.

In conclusion, we put forward a novel method in classifying the entangled pure states of  $2 \times N \times N$ . A remarkable feature which is different from previous ones in the literature is that it needs no classification information of lower dimension cases first. And the application of Jordan decomposition which is now an ordinary subroutine of many mathematical softwares makes the method practical when partite dimension N tends to be large. Last, but not least, the pictorial configuration of the entangled states on the grids gives an intuitive demonstration for the non-local parameters, and is very efficient in eliminating the redundant parameters.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(NSFC) with numbers 10491306,10521003,10775179 and by the Scientific Research Fund of GUCAS with number 055101BM03.

# Appendix

# A The matrix form of $\Gamma$

For every  $(\Lambda, \Gamma) \in c_{n-1,l}$ ,

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & c_1 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & c_2 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & c_3 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & x & c_4 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & x & c_5 \\ r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & r_4 & r_5 & x \end{pmatrix}$$
(38)

x must be zero for  $r_{max}(\alpha \Lambda + \beta \Gamma) = N - 1$ . And vector c, r are both nonzero, for  $r(\rho_{\psi_1}) = N, r(\rho_{\psi_2}) = N$ . Keeping  $\Lambda$  invariant,  $\Gamma$  can be transformed into

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 1 \\ r'_1 & r'_2 & r'_3 & r'_4 & r'_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(39)

 $r'_5$  must be zero because of  $r_{max}(\alpha \Lambda + \beta \Gamma) = N - 1$ . Then  $\Gamma$  becomes

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(40)

Taking the same logic  $\Gamma$  finally becomes

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & 0 & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$
(41)

and at the same time  $\Lambda$  is unchanged.

## **B** The Superpositions of $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$

Here we take  $6 \times 6$  matrix as an example. We need only to consider the *B* block, since the *J* block is clear according to the theorem 1. For

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

 $\Gamma + \lambda \Lambda$  reads as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$(43)$$

In (43) the  $\lambda$  at positions (3, 3) and (4, 4) (we start from (0,0)) can be eliminated directly, and we then have

Here, the  $\lambda$  at (2,2) can be eliminated as well via elementary operations. Because the elementary operations are also played on  $\Lambda$  at the same time, in order to make the  $\Lambda$  unchanged, the matrix (44) now becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$
(45)

By these procedures, all the parameters can be eliminated, and thus we get the Eq.(30).

## References

- [1] A.K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).
- [2] C.H. Bennett, F. Bessette, G. Grassard, L. Salvail, and J. Smolin, J. Cryptology 5, 3 (1992).
- [3] C.H. Bennett and S.J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2881 (1992).
- [4] K. Mattle, H. Weinfurter, P.G. Kwiat, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4656 (1996).
- [5] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2000).
- [6] D.M. Greeberger, M.A. Horne, and A. Zeilinger, Going beyond Bell's theorem, in Bell's theorem, Quantum theory and Conceptions of the Universe, M. Kafatos (ed.), (Kluwer, Dordrecht 1989) pp.73-76.
- [7] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J.I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 62, 062314 (2000).
- [8] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. A 65, 052112 (2002).
- [9] Marcio F. Cornelio and A.F.R. de Toledo Piza, Phys. Rev. A 73, 032314 (2006).
- [10] A Acín, A Andrianov, E Jané, and R Tarrach, J. Phys. A **34**, 6725 (2001).
- [11] Lin Chen and Yi-Xin Chen, Phys. Rev. A 73, 052310 (2006).
- [12] Lin Chen, Yi-Xin Chen, and Yu-Xue Mei, arXiv: quant-ph/0604184.
- [13] L. Lamata, J. León, D. Salgado, and E. Solano, Phys. Rev. A 74, 052336 (2006).
- [14] L. Lamata, J. León, D. Salgado, and E. Solano, Phys. Rev. A 75, 022318 (2007).
- [15] N Linden and S Popescu, Fortsch. Phys. 46, 567 (1998).
- [16] N. Linden, S. Popescu, and A. Sudbery, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 243 (1999).
- [17] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, (Cambridge University, Cambridge England, 1985).
- [18] Anna Sanpera, Rolf Tarrach, and Guifré Vidal, Phys. Rev. A 58, 826 (1998).
- [19] Gilbert Strang, *Linear Algegra and Its Applications*, (Thomson Learning, United States of America, 1988).