

# $G_2$ -HOLONOMY METRICS CONNECTED WITH A 3-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

BAZAIKIN YA. V. AND MALKOVICH E. G.

ABSTRACT. We construct complete noncompact Riemannian metrics with  $G_2$ -holonomy on noncompact orbifolds that are  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bundles with the twistor space  $\mathcal{Z}$  as a spherical fiber.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This article addressing  $G_2$ -holonomy metrics is a natural continuation of the study of  $Spin(7)$ -holonomy metrics which was started in [1]. We consider an arbitrary 7-dimensional compact 3-Sasakian manifold  $M$  and discuss the existence of a smooth resolution of the conic metric over the twistor space  $\mathcal{Z}$  associated with  $M$ .

Briefly speaking, a manifold  $M$  is 3-Sasakian if and only if the standard metric on the cone over  $M$  is hyper-Kähler. Each manifold of this kind  $M$  is closely related to the twistor space  $\mathcal{Z}$  which is an orbifold with a Kähler–Einstein metric. We consider the metrics that are natural resolutions of the standard conic metric over  $\mathcal{Z}$ :

$$\bar{g} = dt^2 + A(t)^2(\eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2) + B(t)^2(\eta_4^2 + \eta_5^2) + C(t)^2(\eta_6^2 + \eta_7^2), \quad (*)$$

where  $\eta_2$  and  $\eta_3$  are the characteristic 1-forms of  $M$ ,  $\eta_4, \eta_5, \eta_6,$  and  $\eta_7$  are the forms that annul the 3-Sasakian foliation on  $M$ , and  $A, B,$  and  $C$  are real functions.

One of the main results of the article is the construction (in the case when  $M/SU(2)$  is Kähler) of a  $G_2$ -structure which is parallel with respect to (\*) if and only if the following system of ordinary differential equations is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= \frac{2A^2 - B^2 - C^2}{BC}, \\ B' &= \frac{B^2 - C^2 - 2A^2}{CA}, \\ C' &= \frac{C^2 - 2A^2 - B^2}{AB}. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

In case (\*\*) we thus see that (\*) has holonomy  $G_2$ ; hence, (\*) is Ricci-flat. The system of equations (\*\*) was previously obtained in [2] in the particular case  $M = SU(3)/S^1$ .

For a solution to (\*\*) to be defined on some orbifold or manifold, some additional boundary conditions are required at  $t_0$  that we will state them later. These conditions cannot be satisfied unless  $B = C$ , which leads us to the functions that give rise to the solutions found originally in [3] when  $M = S^7$  and  $M = SU(3)/S^1$ . If  $B = C$  then (\*) is defined on the total space of an  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  over a quaternionic-Kähler orbifold  $\mathcal{O}$ . In general,  $\mathcal{N}$  is an orbifold except in the event that  $M = S^7$  and  $M = SU(3)/S^1$ . Note that it is unnecessary for  $\mathcal{O}$  to be Kähler in case  $B = C$ .

Finally, we consider the well-known examples of the 3-Sasakian manifolds constructed in [4] and describe the topology of the corresponding orbifolds  $\mathcal{N}$ .

## 2. CONSTRUCTION OF A PARALLEL $G_2$ -STRUCTURE

The definition of 3-Sasakian manifolds, their basic properties, and further references can be found in [1]. We mainly take our notation from [1].

Let  $M$  be a 7-dimensional compact 3-Sasakian manifold with characteristic fields  $\xi^1, \xi^2$ , and  $\xi^3$  and characteristic 1-forms  $\eta_1, \eta_2$ , and  $\eta_3$ . Consider the principal bundle  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  with the structure group  $Sp(1)$  or  $SO(3)$  over the quaternionic-Kähler orbifold  $\mathcal{O}$  associated with  $M$ . We are interested in the special case when  $\mathcal{O}$  additionally possesses a Kähler structure.

The field  $\xi^1$  generates a locally free action of the circle  $S^1$  on  $M$ , and the metric on the twistor space  $\mathcal{Z} = M/S^1$  is a Kähler–Einstein metric. It is obvious that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is topologically a bundle over  $\mathcal{O}$  with fiber  $S^2 = Sp(1)/S^1$  (or  $S^2 = SO(3)/S^1$ ) associated with  $\pi$ . Consider the obvious action of  $SO(3)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The two-fold cover  $Sp(1) \rightarrow SO(3)$  determines the action of  $Sp(1)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , too. Now, let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a bundle over  $\mathcal{O}$  with fiber  $R^3$  associated with  $\pi$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{O}$  is embedded in  $\mathcal{N}$  as the zero section, and  $\mathcal{Z}$  is embedded in  $\mathcal{N}$  as a spherical section. The space  $\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{O}$  is diffeomorphic to the product  $\mathcal{Z} \times (0, \infty)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{N}$  can be assumed to be the projectivization of the bundle  $\mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  of [1]. In general,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a 7-dimensional orbifold; however, if  $M$  is a regular 3-Sasakian space then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a 7-dimensional manifold.

Let  $\{e^i\}, i = 0, 2, 3, \dots, 7$ , be an orthonormal basis of 1-forms on the standard Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^7$  (the numeration here is chosen so as to emphasize the connection with the constructions of [1] and to keep the original notation wherever possible). Putting  $e^{ijk} = e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$ , consider the following 3-form  $\Psi_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^7$ :

$$\Psi_0 = -e^{023} - e^{045} + e^{067} + e^{346} - e^{375} - e^{247} + e^{256}.$$

A differential 3-form  $\Psi$  on an oriented 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $N$  defines a  $G_2$ -structure if, for each  $p \in N$ , there exists an orientation-preserving isometry  $\phi_p : T_p N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$  defined in a neighborhood of  $p$  such that  $\phi_p^* \Psi_0 = \Psi|_p$ . In this case the form  $\Psi$  defines the unique metric  $g_\Psi$  such that  $g_\Psi(v, w) = \langle \phi_p v, \phi_p w \rangle$  for  $v, w \in T_p N$  [3]. If the form  $\Psi$  is parallel ( $\nabla \Psi = 0$ ) then the holonomy group of the Riemannian manifold  $N$  lies in  $G_2$ . The parallelness of the form  $\Psi$  is equivalent to its closeness and cocloseness [5]:

$$d\Psi = 0, \quad d * \Psi = 0. \tag{1}$$

Note that the form  $\Phi_0 = e^1 \wedge \Psi_0 - * \Psi_0$ , where  $*$  is the Hodge operator in  $\mathbb{R}^7$ , determines a  $Spin(7)$ -structure on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  with the orthonormal basis  $\{e^i\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots,7}$ .

Locally choose an orthonormal system  $\eta_4, \eta_5, \eta_6, \eta_7$  that generates the annihilator of the vertical subbundle  $\mathcal{V}$  so that

$$\omega_1 = 2(\eta_4 \wedge \eta_5 - \eta_6 \wedge \eta_7), \quad \omega_2 = 2(\eta_4 \wedge \eta_6 - \eta_7 \wedge \eta_5), \quad \omega_3 = 2(\eta_4 \wedge \eta_7 - \eta_5 \wedge \eta_6),$$

where the forms  $\omega_i$  correspond to the quaternionic-Kähler structure on  $\mathcal{O}$ . It is clear that  $\eta_2, \eta_3, \dots, \eta_7$  is an orthonormal basis for  $M$  annulling the one-dimensional

foliation generated by  $\xi^1$ ; therefore, we can consider the metric of the following form on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{Z}$ :

$$\bar{g} = dt^2 + A(t)^2(\eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2) + B(t)^2(\eta_4^2 + \eta_5^2) + C(t)^2(\eta_6^2 + \eta_7^2). \quad (2)$$

Here  $A(t)$ ,  $B(t)$ , and  $C(t)$  are defined on the interval  $(0, \infty)$ .

We suppose that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Kahler orbifold; therefore,  $\mathcal{O}$  has the closed Kahler form that can be lifted to the horizontal subbundle  $\mathcal{H}$  as a closed form  $\omega$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that we locally have

$$\omega = 2(\eta_4 \wedge \eta_5 + \eta_6 \wedge \eta_7).$$

If we now put

$$e^0 = dt, \quad e^i = A\eta_i, \quad i = 2, 3, \quad e^j = B\eta_j, \quad j = 4, 5, \quad e^k = C\eta_k, \quad k = 6, 7,$$

then the forms  $\Psi_0$  and  $*\Psi_0$  become

$$\Psi_1 = -e^{023} - \frac{B^2 + C^2}{4}e^0 \wedge \omega_1 - \frac{B^2 - C^2}{4}e^0 \wedge \omega + \frac{BC}{2}e^3 \wedge \omega_2 - \frac{BC}{2}e^2 \wedge \omega_3,$$

$$\Psi_2 = C^2 B^2 \Omega - \frac{B^2 + C^2}{4}e^{23} \wedge \omega_1 - \frac{B^2 - C^2}{4}e^{23} \wedge \omega + \frac{BC}{2}e^{02} \wedge \omega_2 + \frac{BC}{2}e^{03} \wedge \omega_3,$$

where  $\Omega = \eta_4 \wedge \eta_5 \wedge \eta_6 \wedge \eta_7 = -\frac{1}{8}\omega_1 \wedge \omega_1 = -\frac{1}{8}\omega_2 \wedge \omega_2 = -\frac{1}{8}\omega_3 \wedge \omega_3$ .

It is now obvious that  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are defined globally and independently of the local choice of  $\eta_i$ ; consequently, they uniquely define the metric  $\bar{g}$  given locally by (2). Then the condition (1) that the holonomy group lies in  $G_2$  is equivalent to the equation

$$d\Psi_1 = d\Psi_2 = 0. \quad (3)$$

**Theorem.** *If  $\mathcal{O}$  possesses a Kahler structure then (2) on  $\mathcal{N}$  is a smooth metric with holonomy  $G_2$  given by the form  $\Psi_1$  if and only if the functions  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  defined on the interval  $[t_0, \infty)$  satisfy the system of ordinary differential equations*

$$A' = \frac{2A^2 - B^2 - C^2}{BC}, \quad B' = \frac{B^2 - C^2 - 2A^2}{CA}, \quad C' = \frac{C^2 - 2A^2 - B^2}{AB} \quad (4)$$

with the initial conditions

1.  $A(0) = 0$  and  $|A'(0)| = 2$ ;
2.  $B(0), C(0) \neq 0$ , and  $B'(0) = C'(0) = 0$ ;
3. the functions  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  have fixed sign on the interval  $(t_0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.**

In [1] the following relations were obtained, closing the algebra of forms:

$$\begin{aligned} de^0 &= 0, \\ de^i &= \frac{A'_i}{A_i}e^0 \wedge e^i + A_i\omega_i - \frac{2A_i}{A_{i+1}A_{i+2}}e^{i+1} \wedge e^{i+2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \pmod{3}, \\ d\omega_i &= \frac{2}{A_{i+2}}\omega_{i+1} \wedge e^{i+2} - \frac{2}{A_{i+1}}e^{i+1} \wedge \omega_{i+2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

By adding the relation  $d\omega = 0$  and carrying out some calculations to be omitted here, we obtain the sought system.

The smoothness conditions for the metric at  $t_0$  are proven by analogy with the case of holonomy  $Spin(7)$  which was elaborated in [1]. We only note that, taking

the quotient of the unit sphere  $S^3$  by the Hopf action of the circle, we obtain the sphere of radius  $1/2$ , which explains the condition  $|A'(0)| = 2$ .

In case  $B = C$  the system reduces to the pair of equations

$$A' = 2 \left( \frac{A^2}{B^2} - 1 \right), \quad B' = -2 \frac{A}{B}$$

whose solution gives the metric

$$\bar{g} = \frac{dr^2}{1 - r_0^4/r^4} + r^2 \left( 1 - \frac{r_0^4}{r^4} \right) (\eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2) + 2r^2 (\eta_4^2 + \eta_5^2 + \eta_6^2 + \eta_7^2).$$

The regularity conditions hold. This smooth metric was originally found in [3] in the event that  $M = SU(3)/S^1$  and  $M = S^7$  (observe that we need not require  $\mathcal{O}$  to be Kahler when  $B = C$ ).

In the general case  $B \neq C$  system (4) can also be integrated [2]. However, the resulting solutions do not enjoy the regularity conditions.

### 3. EXAMPLES

Some interesting family of examples arises when we consider the 7-dimensional biquotients of the Lie group  $SU(3)$  as 3-Sasakian manifolds. Namely, let  $p_1, p_2,$  and  $p_3$  be pairwise coprime positive integers. Consider the following action of  $S^1$  on the Lie group  $SU(3)$ :

$$z \in S^1 : A \mapsto \text{diag} (z^{p_1}, z^{p_2}, z^{p_3}) \cdot A \cdot \text{diag} (1, 1, z^{-p_1-p_2-p_3}).$$

This action is free; moreover, it was demonstrated in [4] that there is a 3-Sasakian structure on the orbit space  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{p_1, p_2, p_3}$ . Moreover, the action of  $SU(2)$  on  $SU(3)$  by right translations

$$B \in SU(2) : A \mapsto A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

commutes with the action of  $S^1$  and can be pushed forward to the orbit space  $\mathcal{S}$ . The corresponding Killing fields will be the characteristic fields  $\xi_i$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . Therefore, the corresponding twistor space  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{p_1, p_2, p_3}$  is the orbit space of the following action of the torus  $T^2$  on  $SU(3)$ :

$$(z, u) \in T^2 : A \mapsto \text{diag} (z^{p_1}, z^{p_2}, z^{p_3}) \cdot A \cdot \text{diag} (u, u^{-1}, z^{-p_1-p_2-p_3}). \quad (5)$$

**Lemma.** *The space  $\mathcal{Z}_{p_1, p_2, p_3}$  is diffeomorphic to the orbit space of  $U(3)$  with respect to the following action of  $T^3$ :*

$$(z, u, v) \in T^3 : A \mapsto \text{diag} (z^{-p_2-p_3}, z^{-p_1-p_3}, z^{-p_1-p_2}) \cdot A \cdot \text{diag} (u, v, 1). \quad (6)$$

It suffices to verify that each  $T^3$ -orbit in  $U(3)$  exactly cuts out an orbit of the  $T^2$ -action (5) in  $SU(3) \subset U(3)$ .

Action (6) makes it possible to describe the topology of  $\mathcal{Z}$  and, consequently, the topology of  $\mathcal{N}$  clearly. Here we use the construction of [6]. Consider the submanifold  $E = \{(u, [v]) \mid u \perp v\} \subset S^5 \times \mathbb{C}P^2$ . It is obvious that  $E$  is diffeomorphic to  $U(3)/S^1 \times S^1$  (the "right" part of (6)) and is the projectivization of the  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -bundle

$\tilde{E} = \{(u, v) \mid u \perp v\} \subset S^5 \times \mathbb{C}^3$  over  $S^5$ . By adding the trivial one-dimensional complex bundle over  $S^5$  to  $\tilde{E}$ , we obtain the trivial bundle  $S^5 \times \mathbb{C}^3$  over  $S^5$ .

The group  $S^1$  acts from the left by the automorphisms of the vector bundle  $\tilde{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{Z} = S^1 \backslash \tilde{E}$  is the projectivization of the  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -bundle  $S^1 \backslash \tilde{E}$  over the weighted complex projective space  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}P^2(q_1, q_2, q_3) = S^1 \backslash S^5$ , where  $q_i = (p_{i+1} + p_{i+2})/2$  for  $p_i$  all odd and  $q_i = (p_{i+1} + p_{i+2})$  otherwise.

The above implies that the bundle  $S^1 \backslash \tilde{E}$  is stably equivalent to the bundle  $S^1 \backslash (S^5 \times \mathbb{C}^3)$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ . The last bundle splits obviously into the Whitney sum  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \xi^{q_i}$ , where  $\xi$  is an analog of the one-dimensional universal bundle of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Corollary.** *The twistor space  $\mathcal{Z}$  is diffeomorphic to the projectivization of a two-dimensional complex bundle over  $\mathbb{C}P^2(q_1, q_2, q_3)$  which is stably equivalent to  $\xi^{q_1} \oplus \xi^{q_2} \oplus \xi^{q_3}$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bazaikin Ya. V. On the new examples of complete noncompact  $Spin(7)$ -holonomy metrics. Siberian Math. J. 48(2007). P 778–783
- [2] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, C. N. Pope. Cohomogeneity one manifolds of  $Spin(7)$  and  $G(2)$  holonomy// Phys. Rev. D. 2002 V. 65, N 10. P 106004.
- [3] R. L. Bryant, S. L. Salamon. On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy// Duke Math. J. 1989. V. 58, N 3. P. 829–850.
- [4] C. P. Boyer, K. Galicki, B. M. Mann. The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds. J. reine angew. Math. **455** (1994), P. 183-220.
- [5] A. Gray. Weak holonomy groups. Math. Z. V. 123 (1971). P. 290–300.
- [6] J. H. Eschenburg. Inhomogeneous spaces of positive curvature, Diff. Geom. Appl. **2** (1992). P. 123-132.

YA. V. BAZAIKIN, SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

E. G. MALKOVICH, NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* bazaikin@math.nsc.ru, malkovicheugen@ngs.ru