BTZ Black Hole Entropy: A spin foam model description

J. Manuel García-Islas *

Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, UNAM A. Postal 20-726, 01000, México DF, México

October 25, 2018

Abstract. We present a microscopical explanation of the entropy of the BTZ black hole using discrete spin foam models of quantum gravity. The entropy of a black hole is given in geometrical terms which lead us to think that its statistical description must be given in terms of a quantum geometry. In this paper we present it in terms of spin foam geometrical observables at the horizon of the black hole.

1 Introduction

Since its intoduction in [\[1\]](#page-8-0) and [\[2\]](#page-8-1), black hole entropy has intrigued the physical community and attempts for a statistical explanation of it have appeared since then in the literature. Quantum gravity is believed to play a major role in the explanation of black hole entropy and different approaches have studied the problem, from string theory to loop quantum gravity. Black hole solutions in three dimensions were discovered for the first time by Ba˜nados,Teitelboim and Zanelli [\[3\]](#page-9-0), and then the entropy problem has also been studied for this case in many different papers by different approaches [\[4\]](#page-9-1), [\[5\]](#page-9-2), [\[6\]](#page-9-3) [\[7\]](#page-9-4), just to mention some references.

In this paper we study the case of the BTZ black hole using spin foam models. Spin foam models are the covariant description of loop quantum gravity. The description we follow is given in terms of geometrical observables at the horizon of the black hole. These observables have been introduced and studied in [\[8\]](#page-9-5), [\[9\]](#page-9-6). We work with the Euclidean BTZ black hole. The Euclidean version is known to be topologically a solid torus where the core of the torus is the horizon. The idea is to think of the three dimensional black hole manifold as a spin foam. This

[∗] e-mail: jmgislas@leibniz.iimas.unam.mx

lead us to triangulate the solid torus where in addition the horizon is considered as an observable. This can be thought as a new example of spin foam models with observables, in the same context of $[8]$, $[9]$. The black hole entropy is shown to be related to the logarithm of the expectation value of the horizon observable. The main contribution is shown to be proportional to the horizon length as expected. In this way we are saying that the statistical description of the black hole entropy is given in terms of some geometrical observables in the horizon.

This description is of course a discrete one which comes from spin foam models. A description of the black hole entropy in terms of the Ponzano-Regge model was studied in [\[10\]](#page-9-7).

We divide this paper as follows. In section 2 we very briefly describe the three dimensional Euclidean BTZ black hole. In section 3 we describe 3-dimensional spin foam models in a general sense. In section 4 we review the notion of observables introduced in [\[8\]](#page-9-5) and [\[9\]](#page-9-6). Section 5 is the main and most important part of the paper where we develop a study of the entropy of BTZ black hole as a spin foam model description.

2 The BTZ black hole

In this section we describe the Euclidean BTZ black hole which was introduced for the first time in [\[3\]](#page-9-0). The three dimensional Euclidean solution to empty Einstein equations of general relativity with negative cosmological constant is given by the metric

$$
ds^{2} = \left(\frac{R^{2}}{\ell^{2}} - M\right)d\tau^{2} + \left(\frac{R^{2}}{\ell^{2}} - M\right)^{-1}dR^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2}
$$
 (1)

In [\[5\]](#page-9-2), it is shown that by a change of coordinates, the solution can be written in the form

$$
ds^{2} = \frac{\ell}{z^{2}}(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2})
$$
 (2)

for $z > 0$. Immediately it can be recognised as the metric of the hyperbolic space H^3 . Then after some isometric identifications the BTZ solution is in fact given by a fundamental region of the hyperbolic space. This region is a solid torus where the core of the torus is the black hole horizon $R = \ell \sqrt{M}$, and the rest of the torus is the outside of the black hole $R > \ell \sqrt{M}$.

Observe that the solution implies that the black hole has a constant negative curvature which differs from four dimensional gravity where black hole solutions have variable curvature which grows indefinitely as we approach the singularity.

According to Bekenstein-Hawking formula the leading term of the entropy of a black hole is given by

$$
S = \frac{A}{4} \tag{3}
$$

where \vec{A} is the black hole horizon area. In the case of the BTZ black hole, as its horizon is a one dimensional circle this formula is obviously given by analogy

$$
S = \frac{L}{4} \tag{4}
$$

where L is the black hole horizon length. The entropy is believed to be related to the logarithm of the number of microstates. In this paper we propose a way to define the microstates of the black hole.

As the entropy of the black hole is related to a geometric property of the black hole, in this case, the length of the horizon, it is quite natural to think that its microstate description should come from a kind of quantum geometric property. This is the approach we follow and it is done in section 5.

3 Three Dimensional Spin Foam Model

In this section we describe three dimensional spin foam models in general, where the Turaev-Viro model [\[11\]](#page-9-8) is included. We define a three dimensional spin foam model in the following way. Consider a three dimensional space-time manifold M which is assumed to be compact and oriented. Then we triangulate it Δ where the triangulation is composed by vertices, edges, triangles and tetrahedra. It is more common to define spin foam models in the dual complex of the triangulation, however we stick to the triangulation. Consider a set of indices $L = \{0, 1/2, 1, \ldots (r-2)/2\}$, where $r \geq 3$. Then we define a state as a function from the set of edges $S : \{edges\} \longrightarrow L$. A state is called admissible if at each face of the triangulation, the labels (i, j, k) of the corresponding edges satisfy the following identities:

$$
0 \le i, j, k \le \frac{r-2}{2}
$$

$$
i \le j+k, \ j \le i+k, \ k \le i+j
$$

$$
i+j+k \equiv mod 1
$$

$$
i+j+k \le (r-2)
$$
 (5)

The spin foam partition function is then given by

$$
Z(M) = \sum_{S} \prod_{edges} dim_q(j) \prod_{tetrahadra} \{6j\}
$$
 (6)

where the sum is carried over the set of all admissible states $S¹$ $S¹$ $S¹$. The weight $\{6j\}$ is the 6j symbol associated to the six labels of each tetrahedron and the quantum dimension is given by the quantum number $dim_a(j) = [2j + 1]_a$ $(-1)^{2j}(\sin(\pi(2j+1)/r))/(sin(\pi/r)$. When the three dimensional manifold has a boundary as it is in our case, we have a similar state sum as above with a slight variation where all the states on the boundary are kept fixed, and each edge in the triangulation of the boundary gives a contribution of $dim_q(j)^{1/2}$.

4 The Observables

In [\[8\]](#page-9-5) and [\[9\]](#page-9-6) a notion of observables for the Turaev-Viro spin foam model is introduced. The observables are defined as follows; given a triangulation Δ of our three dimensional space-time manifold M , we consider any subset we want of edges of the triangulation. We denote this subset by \mathcal{O} . This subset can be topologically a tree, graph with circuits, knot or any combination of these examples. We now colour our triangulation with spins from the subset $L = \{0, 1/2, 1, \ldots (r-2)/2\}$ and consider the following partition function

$$
Z(M, \mathcal{O}(j_1, j_2, ..., j_k)) = \sum_{S|\mathcal{O}} \prod_{edges} dim_q(j) \prod_{tetrahedra} \{6j\}
$$
 (7)

which is similar to the state sum formula (6) with the only difference that now we are not summing over the spins which label the graph observable \mathcal{O} . That is, the spins labelling the graph observable $\mathcal O$ are kept fixed. The above partition function is then a function of the spins $j_1, j_2, ..., j_k$ which label the observable. The expectation value of the observable $\mathcal O$ is then given by

$$
W(M, \mathcal{O}(j_1, j_2, ..., j_k)) = \frac{Z(M, \mathcal{O}(j_1, j_2, ..., j_k))}{Z(M)}
$$
(8)

This expectation value is well defined when $Z(M) \neq 0$.

Some examples of observables and of their expectation value are given in [\[8\]](#page-9-5). For instance if the observable $\mathcal O$ consists of a single edge e , we have that its expectation value does not depend on the manifold M in which it lives and the value is given by

$$
W(M, \mathcal{O}(j)) = dim_q(j)^2 \tag{9}
$$

If the observable $\mathcal O$ consists of a triangle whose edges are labelled by i, j and c, we have that its expectation value is again independent of the manifold M in which it lives and its value is given by

¹In order to obtain a topological invariant of the manifold the sum above is multiplied by a factor N^{-v} given by $N = \sum_{i=1}^{v} (dim_q(j))^2$, where v is the number of vertices of the triangulation. We do not use this factor in this paper

$$
W(M, \mathcal{O}(i, j, c)) = N_{i, j, c} \dim_q(i) \dim_q(j) \dim_q(c)
$$
\n⁽¹⁰⁾

where $N_{i,i,c}$ is the dimension of the space of intertwiners, i.e. equal to 1 if the spins are admissible and 0 otherwise. Another example will be given in the next section and indeed it is the most important for us in this paper.

5 Black hole entropy

In this section we apply the notion of observables, reviewed in the previous section, to the case of the BTZ black hole. This is a new example of observables in three dimensional quantum gravity as introduced in the previous section. We will think of the horizon as an observable in the three dimensional space-time given by the Euclidean BTZ black hole.

Our spin foam model description of the BTZ black hole will be in the following way. We think of the black hole space-time as a discrete two complex or a triangulated manifold. Therefore we start by triangulating the Euclidean black hole. There are an infinite number of triangulations of the solid torus which may or may not contain any interior edges. We will consider triangulations of the solid torus which contain interior edges, as we want the core of the torus(horizon) be formed by edges.

Figure 1: Triangulation of the BTZ Euclidean black hole with interior edges

We now think of the horizon as an observable and consider the expectation value of the observable, that is, if we denote the partition function of the solid torus with its core as a graph observable by $Z(T^2, \mathcal{O})$ we want to calculate

$$
W(T^2, \mathcal{O}) = \frac{Z(T^2, \mathcal{O})}{Z(T^2)}\tag{11}
$$

It is important to note that our expectation value given by formula (11) will depend on the triangulation we take. This is obvious since in our definition of the three dimensional spin foam model in section 3 we do not have the common regularisation factor used in the Turaev-Viro model.

But dependence in the triangulation is what we want. Our explanation for this is as follows. Our expectation value will depend not only in the triangulation we take but in the spins which label the horizon. In [\[12\]](#page-9-9) it is explained how a labelled edge can be interpreted for instance as giving length to the corresponding edge. This is the idea we take so that the expectation value of our observable is related to the length of our horizon. Length is a geometrical entity and not topological. This is why we want our expectation value to be triangulation dependent. Moreover if different triangulations are used to define $Z(T^2, \mathcal{O})$ and $Z(T^2)$ it could be thought that we still have an ambiguity since both functions do not include the regularisation factor used to make it topological. However for the particular triangulations we consider in this paper there is no ambiguity in the definition of formula (11) as the triangulation which defines $Z(T^2, \mathcal{O})$ will be related to the triangulation which defines $Z(T^2)$ by simple subdivisions.

We now carry on with our computation. The partition function of the solid torus without the observable \mathcal{O} , that is $Z(T^2)$ is given as follows. The solid tours can be triangulated with n tetrahedra without interior edges and vertices. This means that it can be triangulated in a way in which only exterior edges exist. Let us label the exterior edges by \hat{j} . The partition function is therefore given by

$$
Z(T^2) = \prod_{edges} dim_q(\hat{j})^{1/2} \prod_{tetrahedra}^{n} \{\hat{6j}\}
$$
 (12)

The upper n in the product symbol denotes that we have n tetrahedra and therefore a product of $n \times i$ symbols. We now show how to calculate the value of $Z(T^2, \mathcal{O})$. With the same solid torus we used for formula (12), that is, with the same number n of tetrahedra and the same labels at all of the exterior edges, apply Pachner move $1 - 4$ to all of the tetrahedra, fig[2].

Figure 2: Pachner move 1-4

We can alternatively think that we are subdividing each tetrahedron of the triangulated solid tours. We are applying Pachner move just as a procedure in order to have interior edges which will discretize our horizon. We are just considering a particular and specific triangulation. We also have some horizon vertices which touch the boundary of the solid torus. This is not a problem since they are isolated.

In this way we have a triangulation of the solid torus with $4n$ interior tetrahedra. We also have interior edges which go around the torus, and can think of any of these set of edges which form a closed path, as our horizon. We now take the partition function with the horizon as an observable. Observe that for each tetrahedron which was subdivided using Pachner move $1 - 4$, two interior edges belong to the horizon and the remaining ones are summed over all possible states in the partition function. It is not difficult to realise that our partition function $Z(T^2, \mathcal{O})$ is given by

$$
Z(T^2, \mathcal{O}) = \prod_{exterior edges} dim_q(\hat{j})^{1/2} \sum_{S|\mathcal{O}} \prod_{interior edges} dim_q(j) \prod_{tetrahedra} \{6j\} \qquad (13)
$$

Observe that the $6j$ symbols which appear in the above formula are not the same as the ones of formula (12) . In formula (13) we have $4n$ tetrahedra. However the product of the exterior edges of both formulas (12) and (13) are the same, as we have not changed the labels of the exterior edges of the torus. It is easier to realize how the calculation goes if we think of the partition function locally. And this can be done in our case since each exterior tetrahedron was subdivided in 4 tetrahedra with interior edges. Note that the partition function sum $Z(T^2, \mathcal{O})$ reads locally

$$
\dim_q(\widehat{j}_1)^{1/2} \cdots \dim_q(\widehat{j}_6)^{1/2} \sum_{k,l} \dim_q(i) \dim_q(k) \dim_q(l) \dim_q(j) \times
$$
\n
$$
\times \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{j}_1 & \widehat{j}_2 & \widehat{j}_3 \\ j & i & l \end{pmatrix}_q \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{j}_6 & \widehat{j}_5 & \widehat{j}_1 \\ i & l & k \end{pmatrix}_q \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{j}_4 & \widehat{j}_2 & \widehat{j}_6 \\ l & k & j \end{pmatrix}_q \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{j}_3 & \widehat{j}_5 & \widehat{j}_4 \\ k & j & i \end{pmatrix}_q \tag{14}
$$

where i, j are labels of edges which belong to the discrete horizon. Observe that these labels i, j are fixed. Summing over k and l and using the Biedenharn-Elliot identity, orthogonality and symmetry properties [\[13\]](#page-9-10) we get

$$
dim_q(\widehat{j_1})^{1/2} \cdots dim_q(\widehat{j_6})^{1/2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{j_1} & \widehat{j_2} & \widehat{j_3} \\ \widehat{j_4} & \widehat{j_5} & \widehat{j_6} \end{array} \right)_q \frac{N_{i,j,\widehat{j_3}}}{dim_q(\widehat{j_3})} dim_q(i) dim_q(j) \tag{15}
$$

This calculation has reduced four $6j$ symbols in the interior of the solid torus, to only one tetrahedron which edges belong to the boundary. From this observation it it easy to deduce that the expectation value of our observable is given as follows: the horizon is triangulated with $2n$ edges. Label the horizon edges by $i_1, j_1, \dots, i_n, j_n$. Each pair of edges i_m, j_m belong to a triangle. The remaining edges which belong to these n triangles belong to the boundary of the solid torus. Label these edges by $\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_n$. The expectation value is therefore given by

$$
W(T^2, \mathcal{O}) = \prod_{m} \frac{N_{i_m, j_m, \widehat{j_m}}}{\dim_q(\widehat{j_m})} \dim_q(i_m) \dim_q(j_m) \tag{16}
$$

where $m = 1, \dots, n$. Recall that the factor $N_{i_m, j_m, \widehat{j_m}}$ is zero if the states are non admissible and 1 if states are admissible. We are considering admissible states since they will lead us to a non zero calculation. By relabelling edges of the horizon, the entropy is then given by

$$
S = \sum_{m=1}^{2n} \log(dim_q(j_m)) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log(dim_q(\widehat{j_k}))
$$
 (17)

Recall that the quantum dimensions are given by the quantum numbers $dim_a(j)$ = $[2j+1]_q$. Note a similarity between our entropy formula (17) and the study of the entanglement entropy in [\[14\]](#page-9-11).

We see that our formula (17) is triangulation dependent and it also depends on the spins which label the horizon and some of the spins which label boundary edges.

We could have a different labeling of the same triangulation and different triangulations. First of all, for a fixed triangulation the question is whether we should sum over all admissible configurations to account for the entropy. We propose that this should be the case although in this paper we just consider a simpler configuration.

Let us analyse our formula (17) of the entropy of the BTZ black hole in order to see how it relates to the length of the horizon.

It is important to mention some important facts. The labels of the edges of the horizon by spins i are interpreted in the spin foam model as giving a discrete length. Following the arguments given in [\[12\]](#page-9-9), in the present case a spin j, can be interpreted as having length $j + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$.

The horizon is discrete and formed by edges with spins j_1, \dots, j_{2n} . We have a constraint, as we want that the sum of all the discrete lengths of the horizon be L. The length of a circle is given by $2\pi R$ where R is the radius of the circle. This is

$$
j_1 + \dots + j_{2n} + \frac{2n}{2} = 2\pi R \tag{18}
$$

From formula (17) it is obvious that the largest contribution to the entropy is given when the second sum vanishes. This implies that when we consider the main contribution to the entropy it only matters what happens at the horizon. The microstates live at the horizon. This means that we should only care about the number of edges of the horizon and the spins which label them. This is given when all of the spins \hat{j}_k are equal to zero, that is, when all these edges are removed from the triangulation. In this case it implies by (5) that the spins are equal in pairs $j_1 = j_2, ..., j_{2n-1} = j_{2n}$. It can be observed now that the largest contribution is given when the spins of the horizon are all equal, which turns formula (18) into

$$
2nj + \frac{2n}{2} = 2\pi R\tag{19}
$$

and formula (17) into

$$
S = 2n \log(\dim_q(j))\tag{20}
$$

and a substitution of formula (19) into (20) we have

$$
S = \frac{2\pi R}{j + \frac{1}{2}} \log(dim_q j)
$$
\n⁽²¹⁾

which shows that the main contribution to the entropy is proportional to the length of the horizon. Call $L = 2\pi R$. The contribution is bigger when the spin j = 1, and when r is large we have $dim_q(j) \rightarrow (2j + 1)$, which shows that formula (21) is dominated by

$$
S \simeq \frac{2}{3} \log(3) L \tag{22}
$$

which shows that the entropy is proportional to the length of the horizon. The main contribution to the entropy is given by the previous formula. however if we want to compute a contribution from all the possible states, the problem turns into a combinatorial one as we now propose. According to our approach to the entropy of BTZ black hole, the contributions come from the edges which form the horizon, and from the ones at the boundary which they form triangles with. All of these edges are fixed and chosen from the very beginning. We have 2n edges at the horizon and n edges at the boundary. This means that we have a set of *n* triangles which we label $\{\{i_1, j_1, j_1\}, \cdots, \{i_n, j_n, j_n\}\}\.$ Each labelled triangle should satisfy the admissible conditions of formula (5). This means that computing the entropy requires also solving the combinatorial problem of counting all of the admissible configurations for every finite triangulation. In other words, what we are saying is that when we consider a fixed macrostate given by a fixed length, the microstates which account for that entropy are the number of different triangulations and spins which satisfy equality (18).

This resembles very well the approach done in Loop Quantum Gravity; however we do not have the famous Immirzi parameter. In this description we should therefore be able to get the famous 1/4 factor somehow. For the moment we do not know the way to solve this problem.

Acknowledgement: I want to thank Alejandro Corichi for his suggestion on this problem. I also thank John Barrett and Steven Carlip for their e-mail correspondence which led to some improvements on this paper.

References

- [1] J.D. Bekenstein, Black Holes and Entropy, Phys. Rev D. 7 (1973) 2333- 2346
- [2] S.W. Hawking, Particle Creation by Black Holes, Commun. Math. Phys 43 (1975) 199-220
- [3] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, Black Hole in Three-Dimensional Spacetime, Phys. Rev. Lett 69 (1992) 1849-1851
- [4] S. Carlip, C.Teitelboim, Aspects of black hole quantum mechanics and thermodynamics in $2+1$ dimensions, Phys. Rev D. 51 (1995) 622-631, [arXiv:gr-qc/9405070](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9405070)
- [5] S. Carlip, Statistical mechanics of the (2+1)-dimensional black hole, Phys. Rev D 51 (1995) 632-637, [arXiv:gr-qc/9409052](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9409052)
- [6] Zhao Ren, Zhang Sheng-Li, Canonical entropy of three-dimensional BTZ black hole, Phys.Lett. B641 (2006) 318-322, [arXiv:gr-qc/0608122](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0608122)
- [7] K. Krasnov, Black Hole Thermodynamics and Riemann Surfaces, Class. Quantum. Grav. 20 (2003) 2235-2250, [arXiv:gr-qc/0302073](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0302073)
- [8] J.Manuel García-Islas, Observables in 3-dimensional quantum gravity and topological invariants, Class. Quantum. Grav. 21 (2004) 3933-3952, [arXiv:gr-qc/0401093](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0401093)
- [9] John W.Barrett, J.Manuel Gar´ıa-Islas, Jo˜ao F.Martins, Observables in the Turaev-Viro and Crane-Yetter models, Journal of Mathematical Physics. 48 (2007), [arXiv:math.QA/0411281](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0411281)
- [10] V. Suneeta, R.K. Kaul, T.R. Govindarajan, BTZ Black Hole Entropy from Ponzano-Regge Gravity, Mod. Phys. Lett A14 (1999) 349-358, [arXiv:gr-qc/9811071](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9811071)
- [11] V.G.Turaev, O.Y.Viro 1992 State Sum Invariants of 3-Manifolds and Quantum 6j-Symbols, Topology. 31 No 4, 865-902.
- [12] John W.Barrett, Geometrical measurements in three-dimensional quantum gravity, Int.J.Mod.Phys A18S2 (2003) 97-113, [arXiv:gr-qc/0203018](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0203018)
- [13] L.H.Kauffman, S.L.Lins, Temperley-Lieb Recoupling Theory and Invariants of 3-Manifolds, Princeton University Press. (1994)
- [14] William Donelly, Entanglement Entropy in Loop Quantum Gravity, [arXiv:0802.0880v](http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.0880)1 [gr-qc]