

A new class of Pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real spectra

Abouzeid. M. Shalaby*

*Theoretical Physics Group, Physics Department, Faculty of Science,
Mansoura University, Mansoura P.O. Box 35516, Egypt*

Abstract

We introduce a new class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians which possesses both PT -symmetric and non- PT -symmetric members. We calculated the corresponding class of positive definite metric operators in a closed form. The existence of the positive definite metric operator secure real spectra to each member of the class. However, real spectra do not assure the Physical acceptability of a Hamiltonian model. Accordingly, we obtained the ground state functions for each member in a closed form to test their continuity and square integrability and conclude that only PT -symmetric members out of the class can have wave functions belong to the Hilbert space L^2 . Thus PT -symmetric members out of the class can have bound states. Since the Hamiltonians introduced have an interaction term that depends in both position and momentum operators, we reintroduced a closely related class of Hamiltonians for which the ordering ambiguity does not exist.

PACS numbers: 03.65.w, 03.65.Ta, 11.10.Lm, 11.15.Tk

Keywords: Metric operators, non-Hermitian models, PT symmetric theories, quasi-exactly solvable potentials .

* E-mail:amshalab@ mans.eg.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Hermiticity was introduced at the early stages of quantum mechanics as a mathematical constraint to secure a real spectrum for a Hamiltonian model. This constraint limited us to consider a sub-class of the physically acceptable Hamiltonians which has its draw back on the status of finding a complete understanding of matter interactions. For instance, the obligation to use Hermitian scalar field Hamiltonian led to the famous problematic Higgs mechanism while it is easy to show that the non-Hermitian scalar field can solve such kind of problems [1]. In fact, the Hermiticity constraint not only led us to discard a huge number of physically acceptable Hamiltonians but also limited the way of thinking to the extent that we were not able to realize very old examples of non-Hermitian models which have real spectra. A very clear example, which might be studied at the undergraduate level, is the Hermite differential equation given by;

$$-\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + 2x\frac{d\psi}{dx} = 2n\psi, \quad (1)$$

or in a Hamiltonian form $2H\psi = 2E\psi$, with $H = \frac{p^2}{2} + ixp$ and $E = n\hbar\omega$ with $\hbar = \omega = 1$. It is clear that this Hamiltonian is not Hermitian though the energy is real and has the spectrum of a harmonic oscillator.

Our understanding to the physically acceptable theories has been changed drastically after the pioneering article of Carl Bender and Stefan Boettcher [2] where they showed that the energy spectra of a class of non-Hermitian but PT -symmetric Hamiltonians are real and positive. The PT -symmetric class has the form;

$$H = p^2 + x^2(ix)^n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

The spectra of such class have been showed numerically to be real and positive [3] even in the case of $n = 2$. The reality of the spectrum of such kind of theories is proved to be due to the existence of an unbroken PT symmetry for such models. However, one can easily realize that, as we will show in this work, the model in Eq.(1) is a member of a class of an infinite number of Hamiltonians which are all non-Hermitian, not necessarily PT symmetric, and having real spectra as well. Accordingly, sticking to the requirement that a physically acceptable theory has to be either Hermitian or PT symmetric will diminish the number of acceptable theories. Mostafazadeh showed that the reality of the spectrum of Hamiltonian is

not limited either to Hermiticity or the existence of PT -symmetry [4, 5]. Instead he showed that if a Hamiltonian model H has the property that $\eta_+ H \eta_+^{-1} = H^\dagger$, then the spectrum of H is real. Here η_+ is a Hermitian linear invertible operator and is a positive definite operator as well. This formulation of the problem can be used for Hermitian and PT -symmetric theories as well as for any Hamiltonian which possesses a positive definite metric operator.

For the PT -symmetric theories Bender *et.al.* introduced the CPT inner product to cure the indefinite norm realized in such kind of theories. For the model in Eq.(1), we have another kind of problems, unitarity as well as orthogonality. The basis functions (Hermite polynomials) are not orthogonal under either the Dirac sense inner product or CPT . In Bender's regime, the inner product is defined through the introduction of a C operator, which is represented in the coordinate space as the sum

$$C(x, y) = \sum_n \phi_n(x) \phi_n(y), \quad (3)$$

where $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ are the coordinate-space eigen functions of the Hamiltonian [6]. However, the form of the C operator in Eq.(3) can be used provided that the eigen functions are orthogonal. Since for the model in Eq.(1), the wave functions are not orthogonal one can not use the form in Eq.(3).

We know from the theory of orthogonal polynomials that if we have a differential equation of the form

$$Q(x) \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + L(x) \frac{d\psi}{dx} + \lambda x = 0, \quad (4)$$

where Q is a given quadratic (at most) polynomial, and L is a given linear polynomial with λ is a constant, then

$$\int_a^b w(x) \psi_n \psi_m = 0 \quad \forall m \neq n, \quad (5)$$

where the weight function $w(x) = \frac{R(x)}{Q(x)}$ with $R(x) = \exp(\int \frac{L(x)}{Q(x)} dx)$. For the case at hand, $a = -b = \infty$ and $w(x) = \exp(\int -x dx) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$. In fact, $w(x)$ represents the positive definite metric operator because $wH w^{-1} = H^\dagger$. Also,

$$\rho H \rho^{-1} = h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad (6)$$

where h is the equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonian with $E = n \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}} \right) = n$. Note also that the eigen functions of h are $\sqrt{\eta} \psi = \rho \psi$ which is the parabolic cylindrical functions. According

to the above analysis the non-Hermitian Hermite equation is in every respect equivalent to the Hermitian Hamiltonian of a harmonic oscillator which represents the member with $n = 0$ in the PT -symmetric class in Eq.(2). Accordingly, one may conjecture that the new class of infinite number of Hamiltonians which are non-Hermitian, with non- PT -symmetric members, of the form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + H_I, \\ H_0 &= p^2, \\ H_I &= igx^\epsilon p, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

has members equivalent to the non-real line members in the class in Eq.(2). To show this one has to show first that each member in the new class in Eq.(7) has a real spectrum. one can do that by finding out a positive definite metric operator η_ϵ by which one can map H to h via the relation $h = \rho H \rho^{-1}$.

In the literature, reality of the eigen values of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonians are always concerned. However, the eigen functions should pass certain conditions like continuity and square integrability to be an acceptable one. We assert that, due to absence of closed form calculations and the resort to numeric methods, the models introduced before hindered any analytic calculations for the wave functions which then prevents the check of wave function continuity. Our class, however, is quasi solvable and one can check if a continuous as well as square integrable ground state exists or not.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and calculate its corresponding class of exact metric operators. In section III, we analyze the conditions required by the class parameter to fulfill Hermiticity and stability of the corresponding equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonians. Also, in this section, we obtained the ground state wave functions for each member in the class and showed that only PT -symmetric Hamiltonians out of the class have square integrable wave functions and thus can have bound states. Finally, the conclusions follow in section IV.

II. EXACT METRIC OPERATORS FOR THE PSEUDO-HERMITIAN $igx^\epsilon p$ POTENTIALS

The calculations of the metric operator is always done perturbatively except for very rare cases. What is interesting in our class is that it is a real line theory, has non- PT -symmetric members and one can get the exact metric operator for each member in a some how simple manner. Moreover, the ground state of each member in the class can be calculated in a closed form as we will see later.

Now, consider the non-Hermitian class of Hamiltonians in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + H_I, \\ H_0 &= p^2, \\ H_I &= igx^\epsilon p, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where ϵ is real (for now). Since $\rho H \rho^{-1} = h$ with $\rho = \sqrt{\eta_+} = \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{2}\right)$ and $\eta_+ H \eta_+^{-1} = H^\dagger$ where $\eta_+ = \exp(-Q)$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} H^\dagger &= \exp(-Q)H \exp(Q) = H + [-Q, H] + [-Q, [-Q, H]] \\ &\quad + [-Q, [-Q, [-Q, H]]] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Also, one has a similar expansion for the Hermitian Hamiltonian $h = \exp\left(\frac{-Q}{2}\right)H \exp\left(\frac{Q}{2}\right)$, which will result in a perturbative expansion for $h = h_0 + gh_1 + g^2h_2 + \dots$

Explicitly we have;

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-Q)H \exp(Q) &= H_0 + gH_I + [-Q, H_0] + [-Q, gH_I] + [-Q, [-Q, H_0]] + \\ &\quad [-Q, [-Q, gH_I]] + [-Q, [-Q, [-Q, H_0]]] + [-Q, [-Q, [-Q, gH_I]]] \dots \\ &= H_0 + gH_I^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

With

$$Q = Q_0 + gQ_1 + g^2Q_2 + g^3Q_3 + \dots$$

and thus we get a set of coupled equations for the operators Q_n , where the first few equations

are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= [-Q_0, H_0] \Rightarrow Q_0 = 0 \text{ is a good choice,} \\
H_I^\dagger - H_I &= \frac{1}{2}[-Q_1, H_0], \\
0 &= \frac{1}{2}[-Q_2, H_0] + \frac{1}{2}[-Q_1, H_I] + \frac{1}{3!}[Q_1, [Q_1, H_0]], \\
0 &= \frac{1}{2}[-Q_3, H_0] + \frac{1}{2}[-Q_2, H_I] + \frac{1}{3!}[Q_2, [Q_1, H_0]] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3!}[Q_1, [Q_2, H_0]] + \frac{1}{4!}[-Q_1, [-Q_1, [-Q_1, H_0]]] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3!}[-Q_1, [-Q_1, H_I]], \\
0 &= \frac{1}{2}[-Q_4, H_0] + \frac{1}{4}[-Q_3, H_I] + \frac{1}{3!}[-Q_2, [-Q_2, H_0]] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{5!}[Q_1, [Q_1, [Q_1, [Q_1, H_0]]]] + \frac{1}{3!}[-Q_2, [-Q_1, H_I]] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3!}[-Q_1, [-Q_2, H_I]] + \frac{1}{4!}[-Q_1, [-Q_1, [-Q_1, H_I]]] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8 \times 4!}[-Q_1, [-Q_1, [-Q_2, H_0]]] \\
&\quad + [-Q_1, [-Q_2, [-Q_1, H_0]]] + [-Q_2, [-Q_1, [-Q_1, H_0]]].
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

To simplify this set of equations, one can use the realization that the Hermitian representation for the model $H = H_0 + gH_I$ can be obtained by the search for transformations which are able to kill the non-Hermitian interaction term H_I . In fact, assuming that $Q(x, p)$ is a function of x only can do the job because then the transformation of H_0 with a suitable choice of $Q(x)$ will result in another function of x times p . This piece of information can greatly simplify the above set of operator coupled equations. To show this, consider the transformation of H_I ;

$$\exp(-Q) H_I \exp(-Q),$$

since H_I is linear in the momentum operator then the commutators $[Q_n, H_I]$ are all functions of x only. Accordingly, the above set has a miraculous simplification such that

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_0 &= 0, \\
H_I^\dagger - H_I &= \frac{1}{2}[-Q_1, H_0], \\
Q_2 = Q_3 = Q_4 \dots &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now, one can try a polynomial in x for Q_1 and start from lower order terms in the polynomial till we get the correct H_I . Following that, we find that the suitable choice for Q is

$$Q = gQ_1 = g \frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{\epsilon+1}.$$

Accordingly

$$h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2x^{2\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}gx^{\epsilon-1}\epsilon. \quad (11)$$

Case 1: $\epsilon = 1$

We have the result $h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2x^2 - \frac{1}{2}g$, which represents a harmonic oscillator.

Case 2: $\epsilon = 2$

Then, $h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2x^4 - gx$, which represents a quartic anharmonic oscillator with anomaly. Note that one can consider the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H = p^2 + gix^2p + \frac{g}{2}x$ to get the equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonian $h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2x^4 - \frac{g}{2}x$ which has the same form for the equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonian for the PT -Symmetric $-gx^4$ Hamiltonian.

Case 3: $\epsilon = 3$

In this case we get $h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2x^6 - \frac{3}{2}gx^2$ and so on.

One can take negative ϵ values. For instance in cas of $\epsilon = -1$ we have the result : $h = p^2 + \frac{g^2+2g}{4x^2}$, which is an exactly solvable potential.

III. CONSTRAINTS ON ϵ VALUES DUE TO HERMITICITY AND STABILITY REQUIREMENTS AND THE GROUND STATE FUNCTIONS

Consider the equivalent Hermitian class given by

$$h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2x^{2\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}gx^{\epsilon-1}\epsilon. \quad (12)$$

For h to be Hermitian everywhere, ϵ has to choose integer values. In fact, this constraint also secure the stability of the Hamiltonian h as the leading power of the position variable is always even.

Since the first two members for ϵ positive, with the addition of a suitable x -dependent term to the potential, can reproduce the first two even n members of the PT -symmetric class of the form

$$H = p^2 + x^2(ix)^n, \quad n = 0, 2, \dots, \quad (13)$$

one can conjecture that for ϵ is a positive integer our class is equivalent to the above class for n is an even positive integer. However, to assure this equivalence one has to get the positive definite metric operators for the PT -symmetric class which is not fully known except for $n = 0$ and $n = 2$.

To test the physical acceptability of the members in the class, let us consider Shrödinger equation of the class in Eq.(7);

$$-\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + gx^\epsilon \frac{d\psi}{dx} = E\psi, \quad (14)$$

For the ground state, $E = 0$, we have the solution $\psi = C$, where C is a constant. Accordingly, the wave function ϕ of the Hermitian class h is then given by

$$\phi = \rho\psi = C \exp\left(-g \frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{(\epsilon+1)}\right).$$

To get the value of the constant C we use;

$$\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = C^2 \left(\left(\frac{(-1)^{(\epsilon+1)}}{\epsilon+1} \right)^{-1/(\epsilon+1)} + (\epsilon+1)^{\frac{1}{\epsilon+1}} \right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon+1}\right), \quad (15)$$

while the normalized ground state wave function takes the form

$$\phi = \left(\left(\left(\frac{(-1)^{(\epsilon+1)}}{\epsilon+1} \right)^{-1/(\epsilon+1)} + (\epsilon+1)^{\frac{1}{\epsilon+1}} \right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon+1}\right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-g \frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{(\epsilon+1)}\right), \quad (16)$$

however, this formula does not admit ϵ to take the value -3 . Moreover, the ground state wave function is square integrable only for odd ϵ values which means that the ground state function exists only for PT -symmetric members out of the class. We assert that our results are in complete agreement with the analytic calculations of Ref. [8]. In fact, non- PT -symmetric members of the class include the free particle Hamiltonian ($\epsilon = 0$). Accordingly, the non-existence of a square integrable ground state for even ϵ values may be a signature for a non-localized solutions (propagating solutions) instead of oscillatory solutions. Relying on this realizations we conjecture that the non- PT symmetric members of the class ($\epsilon = \dots - 4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots$) can not have bound state solutions.

The normalizability of the wave function means that the associated Hamiltonians leads to true Physical states. We have shown that the ground state is not normalizable for even ϵ values. One may then conclude that these theories may possess partial normalizability

instead of full normalizability. To check this, consider the set of wave functions ϕ_i^ϵ , which are the solutions of the non-Hermitian set of Hamiltonians in Eq.(7). Accordingly, the set $\psi_i^\epsilon = \rho\phi_i^\epsilon$ is the corresponding wave functions of the equivalent Hermitian Schrödinger Equation (Eq.(12)). In fact, the Hamiltonian operator in Eq.(7) is a quasi-solvable operator as one can write it in a Lie algebraic form [9, 10];

$$H = \sum_{a,b} C_{a,b} J^a J^b + \sum_a C_a J^a, \quad (17)$$

where J is a set of first order differential operators which generate a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Accordingly, the solutions ϕ_i^ϵ are polynomials of finite order m_ϵ . Thus, the true wave functions $\psi_i^\epsilon = \rho\phi_i^\epsilon$ are either fully normalizable (odd ϵ) or fully non-normalizable (even ϵ). Thus, only the wave functions corresponding to PT -symmetric members out of the class lie in the Hilbert space L^2 .

The class of Hamiltonians in Eq.(7) depend on the ordering of the dynamical variables x and p . However, one can get rid of the ordering ambiguity by considering the class

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + H_I, \\ H_0 &= p^2, \\ H_I &= ig \frac{1}{2} \{x^\epsilon, p\}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $\{A, B\}$ is the anticommutator of the operators A and B . Since the two classes in Eqs.(7&18) differs only by the term $[p, x^\epsilon]$ which is a function of x only then the class of metric operators $\eta_+ = \exp(-Q)$, with $Q = g \frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{\epsilon+1}$, works well for the two classes. Accordingly, the equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonians of the class in Eq.(18) takes the form

$$h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4} g^2 x^{2\epsilon}. \quad (19)$$

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We introduced a new class of infinite number of real-line quasi-Hermitian models which has PT -symmetric as well as non- PT -symmetric members. We were able to obtain the exact metric operators for each member in the class. Moreover, the closed form ground state functions for the whole class has been obtained and found that only the PT -symmetric members can have bound state solutions. However, when ϵ , the parameter in the model that

characterizes each member, takes large values, the non- PT -symmetric members tend to have square integrable ground states. We assert that our results coincide with previous results. Also, our class can be adapted to include non-Hermitian Hamiltonians which are equivalent to the non-real line members of the Benders class of the Hamiltonians. However, our class is real line and written in a quasi-solvable form which makes it easy to obtain the ground state functions as well as the metric operators of the whole class while in the Benders class only two members out of the class for which the metric operator is known exactly. Accordingly, working with our class turns things simpler and can be used to simplify calculations in quantum mechanics as it transforms a Hermitian Hamiltonian model with a polynomial potential of degree n into a non-Hermitian-quasi-solvable potential with a degree $\frac{n}{2}$ in the position variable.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Dr. S.A. Elwakil for his support and kind help. Deep thanks to Prof. A. Mostafazadeh for his discussion about metric operators.

-
- [1] Abouzeid shalaby, Will the PT -Symmetric and Non-Hermitian ϕ^4 Theory Solve the Hierarchy and Triviality Problems in the Standard Model? hep-th/0712.2521.
 - [2] Carl Bender and Stefan Boettcher, Phys.Rev.Lett.80:5243-5246 (1998).
 - [3] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, and P. N. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. 40, 2201 (1999).
 - [4] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys., 43, 3944 (2002).
 - [5] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 205 (2002).
 - [6] Carl. M. Bender, International Journal of Modern Physics A20, No.19 2646 (2005).
 - [7] Carl M. Bender, Peter N. Meisinger, and Qinghai Wang, J.Phys.A36:1973 (2003).
 - [8] Jing-Ling Chen, L.C. Kwek and C.H. Oh, Phys. Rev. A 67, 012101 (2003).
 - [9] Artemio Gonza-Lopez, Niky Kamran and Peter J. Olver, Math. Phys. 153, No. 1 (1993).
 - [10] A.V. Turbiner, Comm. Math. Phys. 118, 467 (1988).