Linked \mathcal{PT} -symmetry to Supersymmetry in a class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians

Abouzeid. M. Shalaby

Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt

Abstract

We introduce and study a class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians which have velocity dependent potentials. Since stability can not be advocated directly from the classical potential, we show that the energy spectra are real and bounded from below which proves the stability of the spectra of all members in the class. We find that the introduced class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians do have a corresponding superpartner class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians. We were able to introduce supercharges which in conjunction with the corresponding super Hamiltonians constitute a closed super algebra. Among the introduced Hamiltonians, we show that non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians can be transformed into their corresponding superpartner Hamiltonians via a specific canonical transformation while the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric ones failed to be mapped to their corresponding superpartner Hamiltonians via the same canonical transformation. Since canonical transformations preserve the spectrum, we conclude that non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians out of the introduced class of Hamiltonians have the same spectrum as the corresponding superpartner Hamiltonians and thus Susy is broken for such Hamiltonians. This kind of intertwining of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry and Supersymmetry is new as all the so far discussed cases concentrate on Hamiltonians of broken \mathcal{PT} -symmetry that have broken Supersymmetry too while we showed that Susy can be also broken for non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric and non-Hermitian Hamiltonians .

Keywords: Supersymmetry- Pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians, \mathcal{PT} -symmetric theories.

I. INTRODUCTION

Supersymmetry (Susy) plays a very important rule in finding a mathematical formulation for the interaction of the building blocks of our universe. What is crucial in the Susy regime is its ability to overcome the famous Hierarchy puzzle [1]. However, the undiscovered super particles predicted by the Susy theory leaded to the believe that supersymmetry is broken at the current scale of energy in order to account for the undiscovered super particles. Since the quantum mechanical case is much simpler to start with for the Susy breaking, physicists have started to tackle this point in the context of quantum mechanical models first. In fact, it has been found that the Susy study in quantum mechanics resembles a very interesting subject by itself. For instance, one can find the full spectrum of a theory once we know the ground states of the Hamiltonian operator and its super partner [2]. Moreover, for some specific kind of potentials which exhibit shape invariance one can find the full spectrum as well [3].

Susy studies have been applied very recently to the some how new subject of pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians [4–7]. However, in the literature one can find that the studied cases resemble Susy features of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians of potentials that are position dependent. Moreover, the connection between \mathcal{PT} -symmetry and Supersymmetry in these studied cases stresses the simultaneous breakdown of both \mathcal{PT} -symmetry and Supersymmetry. In this work, we try to approach the subject from the side of momentum dependent non-Hermitian potentials and show the existence of other kind of connection between \mathcal{PT} symmetry and Supersymmetry in these theories. It is noteworthy to mention that velocity dependent potentials

Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with real spectra draw more interest right after the appearance of the pioneering article of Carl Bender and Stefan Boettcher [8] where they showed that the energy spectra of a class of non-Hermitian but \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians are real and positive. Their \mathcal{PT} -symmetric class has the form;

$$H = p^{2} + x^{2} (ix)^{n}, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(1)

The spectra of such class have been shown, numerically, to be real and positive [9] even in the case of n = 2. The reality of the spectrum of such kind of theories is proved to be due to the existence of an unbroken \mathcal{PT} -symmetry for such models. Mostafazadeh showed that the reality of the spectrum of a Hamiltonian is not limited either to Hermiticity or the existence of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry [10, 11]. Instead, he showed that if a Hamiltonian model H has the property that $\eta_+ H \eta_+^{-1} = H^{\dagger}$, then the spectrum of H is real. Here, η_+ is a Hermitian linear invertible operator and is a positive definite operator as well wile H^{\dagger} is the Hermitian conjugate of H. This formulation of the problem can be used for Hermitian and \mathcal{PT} -symmetric theories as well as for any pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian with respect to a positive definite metric operator η_+ .

In Ref. [4], \mathcal{PT} -symmetric theories with possible Susy structure have been analyzed and shown to be of richer structure than the Susy Hermitian theories. However, in such theories, the potential is non-Hermitian and \mathcal{PT} -symmetric position dependent operator. In this work, however, we try to show the intertwining between \mathcal{PT} -symmetry and supersymmetry in non-Hermitian and momentum dependent theories. This case has not been investigated before and though one can not factorize the Hamiltonian operator in a normal form that introduce a superpotential, we will show that the factorization process bears the structure of Supersymmetrey.

Supersymmetry formulation of a theory is closely related to the factorization of the Hamiltonian operator into the multiplication of two separate operators. In Hermitian Hamiltonians, the factorization of the Hamiltonian takes the form $H_1 = A^{\dagger}A$, with A^{\dagger} and A are given by $(\hbar = 1)$;

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \frac{d}{dx} + W(x), \ A^{\dagger} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \frac{d}{dx} + W(x)$$

provided that

$$H_{1} = -\frac{1}{2m}\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} + V\left(x\right),$$

where V(x) is the potential. W(x) is called the superpotential and can be obtained from the Riccati equation of the form;

$$V(x) = W^{2}(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \frac{dW(x)}{dx}$$

To construct a Susy theory, one consider the associated Hamiltonian $H_2 = AA^{\dagger}$. H_1 and H_2 have almost the same spectra except that H_1 (for instance) has a ground state which is not included in the spectrum of H_2 . Moreover, the operators H_1 , H_2 , A^{\dagger} and A satisfy a graded algebra of the form;

$$[H,Q] = [H,Q^{\dagger}] = 0,$$

$$\{Q,Q^{\dagger}\} = H, \quad \{Q,Q\} = \{Q^{\dagger},Q^{\dagger}\} = 0,$$

(2)

where $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & 0 \\ 0 & H_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $Q^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^{\dagger} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. In this Susy formulation, the Susy breaking is determined by the form of the super potential.

In the Susy formulation of non-Hermitian theories one follow a somehow different algorithm [4]. The reason is that the Hamiltonian is non-Hermitian and thus the factorization form $A^{\dagger}A$ is no longer working. However, the Susy breaking determination is not clear yet in the literature for the Susy formulation of non-Hermitian theories. The point is that the state functions are not orthogonal and may not be square integrable in the non-Hermitian representation of a theory. Accordingly, one can not conclude the Susy breaking even if the ground state is not square integrable (illusively does not exist). For instance, the Hamiltonian of the form $H = p^2 + ixp$ is non-Hermitian and has a ground state which is a constant and thus is not square integrable. However, the corresponding Hermitian Hamiltonian $h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}$ has a square integrable ground state function ($\psi_0 \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$). In this work we will introduce a criteria that determines the Susy breaking even in the non-Hermitian representation of a theory.

The Susy study for the non-Hermitian theories with velocity dependent potentials has not been stressed yet in the literature. One of the major problems is that one can not define a super potential for such theories. However, as we will see later in this work, a factorization of a Hamiltonian of the form;

$$H = H_0 + gH_I,$$

$$H_0 = p^2,$$

$$H_I = ix^{\epsilon}p,$$

(3)

does exist and a closed graded algebra can be built. Here, the parameter ϵ is a real integer, x is the position operator and p resembles the momentum operator. Moreover, such Hamiltonians are positive semi-definite. Since in the class of Hamiltonians in Eq.(3) one can not predict the boundedness of the spectrum from the Hamiltonian form, a quantum mechanical study of the boundedness of the spectrum is needed. The organization of the paper is as follows. In section II, we prove the positive semidefiniteness of the class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians in Eq.(3). The Susy formulation of the class of Hamiltonians in Eq.(3) will be presented in section III. The breaking of supersymmetry is discussed in Sec IV. Also, conclusions will follow in section V.

II. POSITIVE SEMI-DEFINITENESS OF THE CLASS OF HAMILTONIANS WITH $ix^{\epsilon}p$ POTENTIALS

A pivotal thing in the supersymmetric formulation of a theory is the existence of the relation $A\psi_0 = 0$, with ψ_0 represents the the ground state function. In other words, for a Hamiltonian to possess a Susy structure it has to be a positive semi-definite operator. However, the positive semi-definiteness of the Hamiltonian operators in Eq.(3) can not be concluded directly from the shape of the potentials. In fact, the class of Hamiltonians H in Eq.(3) have the same spectra of the equivalent class of Hermitian Hamiltonians $h = \rho H \rho^{-1}$, where $\rho = \sqrt{\eta_+}$. Besides, one can easily figure out that $\eta_+ = \exp(-Q)$, where $Q = g \frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{\epsilon+1}$. Note that a state function ϕ_n in the non-Hermitian representation is mapped to the state function ψ_n in the Hermitian representation via the relation $\phi_n = \rho^{-1} \psi_n$. Also, we have the relation $\rho^{\dagger} = \rho^{-1}$ [12].

To show the positive semi-definiteness of the class of Hamiltonians H, consider the state function;

$$|\chi\rangle = |\chi_1\rangle + i|\chi_2\rangle,\tag{4}$$

with the Hermitian conjugate;

$$\langle \chi | = \langle \chi_1 | -i \langle \chi_2 |, \tag{5}$$

clearly $\langle \chi | \chi \rangle \geq 0$ and thus we get the identity

$$\langle \chi | \chi \rangle = \langle \chi_1 | \chi_1 \rangle + \langle \chi_2 | \chi_2 \rangle - i \langle \chi_2 | \chi_1 \rangle + i \langle \chi_1 | \chi_2 \rangle \ge 0, \tag{6}$$

or

$$\langle \chi_1 | \chi_1 \rangle + \langle \chi_2 | \chi_2 \rangle - 2Im \langle \chi_1 | \chi_2 \rangle \ge 0.$$
(7)

Now, consider the the expectation value of the Hamiltonian H with respect to any state

function ϕ_n ;

$$E_{n} = \langle \phi_{n} | H | \phi_{n} \rangle = \langle \phi_{n} | \rho^{-1} h \rho | \phi_{n} \rangle = \langle \rho^{-1} \psi_{n} | \rho^{-1} h \rho | \rho^{-1} \psi_{n} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi_{n} | h | \psi_{n} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi_{n} | p^{2} + \frac{1}{4} g^{2} x^{2\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} g x^{\epsilon - 1} \epsilon | \psi_{n} \rangle, \qquad (8)$$

$$= \langle p \psi_{n} | p \psi_{n} \rangle + \langle \frac{g x^{\epsilon}}{2} \psi_{n} | \frac{g x^{\epsilon}}{2} \psi_{n} \rangle - \langle \psi_{n} | \frac{1}{2} g x^{\epsilon - 1} \epsilon | \psi_{n} \rangle,$$

where we have used the relation $h = \rho H \rho^{-1} = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2 x^{2\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}gx^{\epsilon-1}\epsilon$.

But

$$\frac{1}{2}gx^{\epsilon-1}\epsilon = -i\left[\frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}, p\right],$$

then

$$E_{n} = \langle p\psi_{n} | p\psi_{n} \rangle + \langle \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}\psi_{n} | \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}\psi_{n} \rangle + i\langle\psi_{n} \left| \left[\frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}, p\right] \right| \psi_{n} \rangle,$$

$$= \langle p\psi_{n} | p\psi_{n} \rangle + \langle \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}\psi_{n} | \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}\psi_{n} \rangle + i\langle \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}\psi_{n} | p\psi_{n} \rangle - i\langle p\psi_{n} | \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}\psi_{n} \rangle, \qquad (9)$$

which has the same form in Eq.(6) with $\chi_1 = \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}\psi_n$ and $\chi_2 = p\psi_n$. Accordingly, the spectra of the whole class are all positive and can have the eigen value $E_0 = 0$, which, if it exists, resembles the ground state of a Hamiltonian H_{ϵ} out of the class.

Since the square integrability of the ground state functions is pivotal to determine the Susy breaking, it would be more illustrative to shed light on their shapes. To do so, let us consider Shrödinger equation of the class in Eq.(3);

$$-\frac{d^2\phi_n}{dx^2} + gx^{\epsilon}\frac{d\phi_n}{dx} = E_n\phi_n,\tag{10}$$

For the ground state, $E_0 = 0$, we have the solution $\phi_0 = C$, where C is a constant. Accordingly, the wave function ψ_0 of the Hermitian class h is then given by

$$\psi_0 = \rho \phi_0 = C \exp\left(-g \frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{(\epsilon+1)}\right). \tag{11}$$

To get the value of the constant C we use;

$$\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle = C^2 \left(\left(\frac{(-1)^{(\epsilon+1)}}{\epsilon+1} \right)^{-1/(\epsilon+1)} + (\epsilon+1)^{\frac{1}{\epsilon+1}} \right) \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon+1} \right), \tag{12}$$

while the normalized ground state wave function takes the form

$$\psi_0 = \left(\left(\left(\frac{(-1)^{(\epsilon+1)}}{\epsilon+1} \right)^{-1/(\epsilon+1)} + (\epsilon+1)^{\frac{1}{\epsilon+1}} \right) \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon+1} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-g \frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{(\epsilon+1)} \right), \quad (13)$$

We assert that our results are in complete agreement with the analytic calculations of Ref. [13].

III. FACTORIZATION AND SUSY ALGEBRA OF THE CLASS $ix^{\epsilon}p$ of non-Hermitian hamiltonians

Let us rename the class of Hamiltonians studied in the previous sections as;

$$H_{-} = p^2 + ix^{\epsilon}p. \tag{14}$$

An easy realization is that the Hamiltonians H_{-} can be factorized as $H_{-} = ab$, where

$$a = -ip + \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2},$$

$$b = ip.$$

Note that, the factorization ab is different from the factorization $A^{\dagger}A$ for Hermitian Hamiltonians [2] or the factorization $A^{\dagger}B$ for \mathcal{PT} -symmetric and non-Hermitian theories. The point is that the class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians under investigation in this work possesses \mathcal{PT} -symmetric as well as non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians. To show that the Hamiltonians $H_{-} = ab$ in conjunction with the Hamiltonians $H_{+} = ba$ bears a Susy structure, we introduce the supercharges Q_a and Q_b such that;

$$Q_a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ip + gx^{\epsilon} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ip & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (15)

To investigate the Susy algebra we find that;

$$Q_{b}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ip & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ip & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
$$Q_{a}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ip + gx^{\epsilon} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ip + gx^{\epsilon} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$
(16)

Also, the super Hamiltonian $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{-} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{+} \end{bmatrix}$ can be written as;

$$\begin{aligned} H &= Q_b Q_a + Q_a Q_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\ ip & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ip + gx^{\epsilon}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ip + gx^{\epsilon}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\ ip & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + igx^{\epsilon}p & 0\\ 0 & p^2 + igpx^{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_- & 0\\ 0 & H_+ \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that;

$$[H, Q_b] = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + igx^{\epsilon}p & 0\\ 0 & p^2 + igpx^{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\ ip & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$- \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0\\ ip & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + igx^{\epsilon}p & 0\\ 0 & p^2 + igpx^{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 0,$$
$$[H, Q_a] = \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + igx^{\epsilon}p & 0\\ 0 & p^2 + igpx^{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ip + gx^{\epsilon}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$- \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ip + gx^{\epsilon}\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p^2 + igx^{\epsilon}p & 0\\ 0 & p^2 + igpx^{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 0.$$
(17)

Thus the operators H, Q_a and Q_b constitute a closed superalgebra sl(1/1). One can also introduce a super metric operator $\eta = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_- & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_+ \end{bmatrix}$ such that

 $H^{\dagger} = \eta H \eta^{-1}$. However, for the specific class of Hamiltonians we use, we find that $\eta_{-} = \eta_{+} = \exp\left(-g\frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{\epsilon+1}\right).$

RELATING SUSY BREAKING TO \mathcal{PT} -SYMMETRY OF THE CLASS $ix^{\epsilon}p$ OF IV. NON-HERMITIAN HAMILTONIANS

The factorization scheme followed by us for the class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with velocity dependent potentials $(ix^{\epsilon}p)$ does not predict that the supersymmetry of a specific Hamiltonian is either broken or unbroken. In fact, out of the whole class of Hamiltonians under consideration, only those of odd ϵ values are \mathcal{PT} -symmetric. Now, it is very legitimate to make a connection between broken Susy and the \mathcal{PT} -symmetry of a specific Hamiltonian. Note that, since in the factorization scheme applied above we did not introduce a superpotential, one can not predict Susy breaking directly from the factorization process. In fact, in Hermitian and velocity independent supersymmetric Hamiltonians one can prdict if Susy is broken or not from the shape of the superpotential. For instance, if the super potential is an odd function in the position operator x this means that there exists an square integrable ground state function and thus the theory possesses an unbroken supersymmetry [2].

As we do not have a superpotential introduced in our factorization, we seek another method to predict Susy breaking. For this we assert that Susy breaking is also characterized by the full equivalence of the spectra of the two Hamiltonians H_{-} and its its superpartener Hamiltonian H_{+} . Accordingly, if one is able to map the Hamiltonian H_{-} to H_{+} via a canonical transformation, then Susy is broken for such Hamiltonians. Now consider the class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians of the form

$$H_{-} = p^2 + ix^{\epsilon}p. \tag{18}$$

Let us perform the canonical transformation;

$$p \to -p - igx^{\epsilon}, \quad x \to -x.$$
 (19)

In this case H_{-} transforms as;

$$H_{-} = (-p - igx^{\epsilon}) (-p - igx^{\epsilon}) + ig (-x)^{\epsilon} (-p - igx^{\epsilon})$$
$$= p^{2} + igx^{\epsilon}p + igpx^{\epsilon} - g^{2}x^{2\epsilon} - ig (-x)^{\epsilon}p + g^{2} (-x)^{\epsilon}x^{\epsilon}.$$
 (20)

If ϵ is an even integer, we find that $H_- \to H_+$ which means that both H_- and H_+ have the same spectra. In other words, both have the same ground state energy and thus we have a broken symmetry. In fact for even ϵ , the set of Hamiltonians H_- is not \mathcal{PT} -symmetric. On the other hand, for odd ϵ values, the set H_- of Hamiltonians is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric. For these cases, the transformation $p \to -p - igx^{\epsilon}$, $x \to -x$, does not map H_- to H_+ , which means that \mathcal{PT} -symmetric members out of the class H_- may conserve supersymmetry. In fact, this is the case as one can show that the set of Hamiltonians H_- have an equivalent set of Hermitian Hamiltonians of the form;

$$h = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}g^2 x^{2\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}g x^{\epsilon-1}\epsilon,$$
(21)

this Hamiltonian can be written as $h = A^{\dagger}A$ where,

$$A = ip + W(x), \quad A^{\dagger} = -ip + W(x),$$
$$W(x) = \frac{gx^{\epsilon}}{2}.$$
(22)

Here W(x) is the super potential corresponding to the Hamiltonian h. Since the ground state function ψ_0 is given by; $\psi_0 = N \exp\left(-\int W(x) dx\right)$ [2] then for ϵ odd (\mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians) ψ_0 is square integrable and the theory possesses unbroken Susy. On the other hand, if ϵ even (non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians) the supersymmetry is broken which assures our criteria introduced above for testing Susy breaking.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We introduced a non-Hermitian class of Hamiltonians with velocity dependent potentials of the form $ix^{\epsilon}p$. Though this class have \mathcal{PT} -symmetric as well as non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric members, the whole class have real spectra since one can find a positive definite metric operator of the form $\eta = \exp\left(-g\frac{x^{\epsilon+1}}{\epsilon+1}\right)$. Accordingly, one can find an equivalent Hermitian class of Hamiltonians $h = \rho H \rho^{-1}$ with $\rho = \sqrt{\eta}$.

We show that the non-Hermitian Hamiltonians $H_{-} = p^2 + ix^{\epsilon}p$ factorizes as $H_{-} = ab$ with an associated superpartner Hamiltonian $H_{+} = ba$. For these Hamiltonians we introduced two associated super charges Q_a and Q_b and showed that the operators H, Q_a and Q_b constitute a closed superalgebra sl(1/1).

In the literature, it has been found that there exists an interplay between Susy breaking and \mathcal{PT} -symmetry breaking [4]. However, all super symmetry studies presented in the literature so far stress \mathcal{PT} -symmetric non-Hermitian Hamiltonians with position dependent potentials. The interplay found in the literature between Susy breaking and \mathcal{PT} -symmetry breaking asserts that theories of broken \mathcal{PT} -symmetry will have associated broken Susy in case of having a Susy structure. In this work, however, we consider some thing new as we relate Susy breaking to non-existence of \mathcal{PT} -symmetry in non-Hermitian but velocity dependent potentials. While the class under investigation possesses theories with exact \mathcal{PT} -symmetry, it also includes non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric members. For members of exact \mathcal{PT} symmetry (odd ϵ values), supersymmetry is conserved but we find that non- \mathcal{PT} -symmetric Hamiltonians out of the class have broken supersymmetry. This new finding might shed light on the strong interplay between \mathcal{PT} -symmetry and Supersymmetry.

- [1] A. Djouadi, Phys.Rept.459:1-241,2008.
- [2] Fred Cooper, Avinash Khare, Uday Sukhatme, Phys.Rept.251:267-385 (1995).
- [3] L. Gendenshtein, JETP Lett. 38, 356 (1983).
- [4] D. Bazeia, Ashok Das, L. Greenwood and L. Losano, Phys.Lett.B673:283-287 (2009).
- [5] Kumar Abhinav and Prasanta K. Panigrahi, Annals of Physics, 325:1198-1206 (2010);
 B.Bagchi and C.Quesne Annl. Phys. 326, 534 (2011).
- [6] Miloslav Znojil, IoP Conf. Ser. 173: 629-632 (2003).
- [7] Pijush K. Ghosh, J.Phys.A44:215307 (2011).
- [8] Carl Bender and Stefan Boettcher, Phys.Rev.Lett.80:5243-5246 (1998).
- [9] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, and P. N. Meisinger, J. Math. Phys. 40, 2201 (1999).
- [10] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys., 43, 3944 (2002).
- [11] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 205 (2002).
- [12] A. Mostafazadeh, J.Phys.A36:7081-7092 (2003).
- [13] Jing-Ling Chen, L.C. Kwek and C.H. Oh, Phys. Rev. A 67, 012101 (2003).