

Polynomial invariants of qubits via the comb approach to multipartite entanglement

D. Ž. Đoković

*Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada**

and A. Osterloh

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany†

It is a recent observation that entanglement classification for qubits is tightly related with local $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariants including the invariance under qubit permutations [2, 4, 12], which has been termed SL^* invariance. A classifying set of measures of genuine multipartite entanglement are then given by the ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ of the algebra of SL^* invariants that vanish on arbitrary product states. We analyze the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariants of four and five qubits and decompose them in terms of irreducible representations of the symmetric groups S_4 and S_5 of qubit permutations. We find that $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ is produced completely by using combs and filters as introduced in Refs. [12, 13]. Our analysis highlights an intimate connection between this latter procedure and the standard methods to create such invariants, as the Ω -process [9] but also points out subtle differences. For homogeneous polynomial invariants of high degree, the alternative method proves to be particularly efficient in producing a complete set of invariants.

I. INTRODUCTION & NOTATION

The quantification and classification of multipartite entanglement takes an important place in quantum information theory and is subject to a lively discussion in the recent literature. Many proposals have been made for introducing some order and insight into the Hilbert space of multipartite systems, each based on a set of measures of entanglement at hand.

An important part of the discussion addresses the underlying invariance group the measures have to have. Local unitary invariance is clearly a minimal requirement but must be extended to invariance under local special linear transformations, when generalized local operations are admitted. For qubit systems this local invariance group is the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. The invariance group of q qubits is then given by $SL_q := SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes q}$, where we will even omit the index wherever it doesn't create confusion[17]. Interestingly, the demand of invariance under SL operations on the measures of pure state entanglement readily implies that the induced measure on mixed states is an entanglement monotone when extended through its convex roof [14, 15]. The requirement of SL invariance is restrictive enough to even single out a distinguished class of genuine multipartite entangled states: the non-zero SLOCC class is made of all those states that do not vanish after infinitely many SL operations, which have been called *local filtering operations* in Ref. [14]. Each non-zero SLOCC class will then have a distinct set of representatives which can then be considered as maximally entangled states. It is worth emphasizing at this point that any functional of the pure state coefficients that is invariant under SL transformations will remain constant under such local filtering operations. Consequently for all states in the complementary *zero SLOCC class*, these invariant functionals are zero. A prime example for a representative of the zero SLOCC class is the whole class of multi-qubit W states $|W\rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i |i\rangle$ with $|i\rangle = |0 \dots 1 \dots 0\rangle$ being a state with all zeros but a single 1 placed at site number i (or straightforward generalizations of it to higher local dimension). Notwithstanding its globally distributed entanglement of pairs we therefore would not call it genuinely multipartite entangled.

SL -invariance has been intensely studied for three qubit systems in Ref. [4, 11] and for four qubit systems in Ref. [2, 8]. Preliminary results for five qubits have been presented recently [9]. Independent of these approaches, a method based on local $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant operators has been suggested with emphasis also on permutation invariance of the global entanglement measure [12, 13]. The permutation invariance has been highlighted as a demand on global entanglement measures already in Ref. [3] and later in Ref. [2], where the semi-direct product of SL_q and the symmetric group of qubit permutations has been termed $SL_q^* := SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes q} \cdot S_q$, which we will abbreviate as SL^* . Additionally, in Refs. [12, 13] the focus has been given to such invariants that vanish on all product states. These form an ideal in the ring of SL^* invariants.

*Electronic address: djokovic@uwaterloo.ca

†Electronic address: andreas.osterloh@itp.uni-hannover.de

In this manuscript we will confront the different approaches with each other. For four qubits, a complete set of functionally independent SL invariants has been given in Ref. [8]. Following the notation of that work, we will express the invariants presented there in terms of combs and filters as proposed in Ref. [12, 13]. There, a qubit *comb* has been defined as an antilinear operator acting on a single or multiple copy of a pure single qubit state, and which has zero expectation value for all single qubit states. Two independent combs $\sigma_2\mathfrak{C}$ and $\sigma_\mu\mathfrak{C} \bullet \sigma^\mu\mathfrak{C}$ have been identified in terms of the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where \bullet refers to the tensor product of copies of the single qubit state, \mathfrak{C} is the complex conjugation in the eigenbasis of σ_3 , and the contraction is defined via the pseudo-metric $G_{\mu\nu} := \delta_{\mu\nu}g_\mu$ as

$$\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu := \sum_{\mu=0}^3 g_\mu \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_\mu \quad (2)$$

$$(g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3) := (-1, 1, 0, 1) \quad (3)$$

Both combs are SL invariant and therefore are useful for the construction of antilinear operators acting on multiple copies of pure multi-qubit states that are SL_q invariant, where q is the number of qubits. Homogeneous polynomial invariants are then constructed from multiqubit operators constructed from combs as their antilinear expectation values of a general multiqubit pure state, as e.g. $\langle \psi^* | \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2 | \psi \rangle$. It is a homogeneous polynomial in the basis coefficients of the state $|\psi\rangle$ (see the appendix for more details). A measure of entanglement is then defined as the absolute value of this invariant, as $C = |\langle \psi^* | \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2 | \psi \rangle|$, which is the pure state concurrence. Those invariants that vanish on arbitrary product states will be called *filters*, and product states are all those states that can be written as a tensor product on some bipartition of the system. Please note that we slightly relax the use of the term “filter” as compared with Ref. [12], where also permutation invariance was included. We will call a “filter” all those invariants that vanish on product states and reserve the term SL^* -*filter* for those including permutation invariance. The algebra of (complex holomorphic) polynomial SL -invariants resp. SL^* -invariants of q qubits will be denoted by Inv^{SL} resp. Inv^{SL^*} . The number of qubits, q , will be clear from the context. The subspace of Inv^{SL} resp. Inv^{SL^*} consisting of homogeneous invariants of degree d will be denoted by Inv_d^{SL} resp. $\text{Inv}_d^{SL^*}$. We will also use the notion of a relative SL^* invariant for an SL invariant that is fixed up to a sign under all qubit permutations. Antisymmetric relative invariants will be termed *odd relative invariants* or SL^*_- invariants. Furthermore we will say that an invariant is *generically of degree d* , if it is not expressible as a function of invariants of lower degrees.

The manuscript is organized as follows: the next Section reviews the main results for 4-qubit invariants from Ref. [8] and establishes a one-to-one mapping to the invariants from Ref. [12]. In Section III we characterize completely the space of five-qubit SL -invariants up to polynomial degree 12 and give an outlook to the degrees 14 and 16. Section IV is devoted to the direct calculation of the Hilbert series for SL^* invariants which confirms the findings of the previous Sections. After presenting an interesting connection between the Cayley Ω -process and local invariant operators (combs) in Section V we draw our conclusions in Section VI. The appendix provides a detailed discussion of notation used for the comb based invariants together with their evaluation.

II. SL AND SL^* INVARIANTS FOR FOUR QUBITS

We first briefly sketch the main results of Ref. [8].

The Hilbert series for SL_4 -invariants is

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \frac{1}{(1-t^2)(1-t^4)^2(1-t^6)} \\ &= 1 + t^2 + 3t^4 + 4t^6 + 7t^8 + 9t^{10} + 14t^{12} + 17t^{14} + 24t^{16} + 29t^{18} \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This means that the ring of invariants is a polynomial algebra generated by elements of the degrees 2, 4, 4, and 6 only.

There is a single invariant of degree 2, H , which coincides with the 4-tangle [16]

$$H(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi^* | \sigma_2^{\otimes 4} | \psi \rangle =: \frac{1}{2} ((\sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) =: \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_2^{(4)}. \quad (5)$$

There exist two new independent invariants of degree 4, namely L , M , and N subject to their interrelation $L+M+N=0$. In terms of local invariant operators

$$\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,2)}^{(4)} := ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,3)}^{(4)} := ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_\nu \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma^\nu \sigma_2)) \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,4)}^{(4)} := ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\nu \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\nu)) \quad (8)$$

we find that

$$N - M = \frac{1}{16} [\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,2)}^{(4)} - 4H^2] \quad (9)$$

$$L - N = \frac{1}{16} [\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,3)}^{(4)} - 4H^2] \quad (10)$$

$$M - L = \frac{1}{16} [\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,4)}^{(4)} - 4H^2] . \quad (11)$$

It follows that $\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,2)}^{(4)} + \mathcal{C}_{4;(1,3)}^{(4)} + \mathcal{C}_{4;(1,4)}^{(4)} = 12H^2$. Please note the identities $\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,2)}^{(4)} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{4;(3,4)}^{(4)}$, $\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,3)}^{(4)} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{4;(2,4)}^{(4)}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{4;(1,4)}^{(4)} \equiv \mathcal{C}_{4;(2,3)}^{(4)}$.

In the above expressions, the expectation values $((\sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\lambda \sigma^\tau))$ evaluated for a copy of the quantum 4-qubit state $|\psi\rangle$ means $\langle \psi^* | \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma^\lambda \otimes \sigma^\tau | \psi \rangle$ and the contraction over doubly occurring indices in two different copies of the state is made as defined in Eqs. (2), (3). As the above expectation values are evaluated on different copies of the same quantum state, we will in what follows refer to them as ‘‘copies’’. It is interesting to mention at this point that further identities appear besides those stated above. Examples are

$$((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_\lambda \sigma_\tau \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \sigma^\lambda \sigma^\tau)) = 36H^2 , \quad (12)$$

and the identity for the three-tangle in [12]. We will also report on similar identities occurring for five qubit invariants. These identities suggest that double contractions $(\sigma_\mu \otimes \sigma_\nu) \bullet (\sigma^\mu \otimes \sigma^\nu)$ within a pair of copies could be somehow removed. It would be worthwhile analyzing this curious observation in more detail but it is beyond the scope of this work.

We will next show that the one invariant that is generically of degree 6 is readily given by the filter $\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$ in Ref. [13]. Defining the SL^* invariant $W := D_{xy} + D_{xz} + D_{xt}$, the expressions for the D_{uv} from Ref. [8] give

$$H(N - M) = 3D_{xy} - W \quad (13)$$

$$H(L - N) = 3D_{xz} - W \quad (14)$$

$$H(M - L) = 3D_{xt} - W \quad (15)$$

For comparison with Ref. [2] the correspondence for the invariants is $D_{xt} \rightarrow D$, $D_{xy} \rightarrow E$, $D_{xz} \rightarrow F$, and $W \rightarrow G$.

In Ref. [12, 13] three independent filters have been found for four qubits

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)} = ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_\lambda \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^\nu \sigma^\lambda \sigma_2)) \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} = ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_\lambda \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^\nu \sigma_2 \sigma_\tau \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\lambda \sigma^\tau)) \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_\rho \sigma_2 \sigma_\tau \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\rho \sigma_2 \sigma^\tau \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_\kappa \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\lambda \bullet \sigma^\kappa \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\lambda)) .$$

Please notice that $\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)}$ factorizes as $\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{4;(1,2)}^{(4)} \mathcal{C}_{4;(1,3)}^{(4)} \mathcal{C}_{4;(1,4)}^{(4)}$.

The subspace of polynomial SL invariants of degree 6 is spanned by D_{xy} , D_{xz} , D_{xt} , and H^3 [8] and we find

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)} = 8(4W - H^3) \quad (18)$$

From these relations all invariants in this subspace are readily expressed in terms of comb-based invariants. It is worth noticing that the filter $\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$ vanishes on all product states and the invariants that share this property form an ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ in Inv^{SL^*} . Then, $\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$ is one generator of this ideal. It is the ideal element with lowest polynomial degree.

All higher degree invariants are built from generators of degree 2, 4, and 6. That implies that all Inv_d^{SL} with degree $d > 6$ are generated entirely by invariants from the lower degrees 2, 4, and 6. What we will emphasize on in the rest of this section is to single out a complete set of invariants generating the ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$.

The space Inv_8^{SL} of invariants of degree 8 is 7-dimensional, but the degree 8 component of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ is two-dimensional and is spanned by $H\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$ and the symmetrized filter $\langle \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} \rangle_{S_4}$. The latter is obtained from $\mathcal{F}_2^{(4)}$ in Ref. [12] after taking the average over suitable qubit permutations[18]. Defining $\Sigma := L^2 + M^2 + N^2$ we find

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} = 16(H^4 + 4H^2(M - L) - 16HD_{xt} - 16LM) \quad (19)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} \rangle_{S_4} = \frac{16}{3}(8\Sigma - H^4) - \frac{64}{3}H(4W - H^3). \quad (20)$$

Please note the prefactor $\frac{1}{3}$ coming from the average over three permutations.

The degree 10 homogeneous component of the ideal is also two-dimensional and is spanned by $H^2\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$ and $H\langle \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} \rangle_{S_4}$; the last missing ideal generator is obtained from degree 12.

The SL^* invariants of degree 12 are to be built from H , W , Σ and $\Pi := (L - M)(M - N)(N - L)$. The filter $\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)}$ in Ref. [12] is invariant also under qubit permutations and is element of this ideal (by definition any SL^* -filter is). Indeed we find

$$\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)} = -96H^2(8\Sigma - H^4) - 64(32\Pi + H^6) \quad (21)$$

The three SL^* -filters $\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$, $\langle \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} \rangle_{S_4}$, and $\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)}$ are functionally independent [12] and generate the ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$. Equivalently, the same ideal is generated by the invariants $(4W - H^3)$, $(8\Sigma - H^4)$, and $(32\Pi + H^6)$. For a proof of this claim, let an arbitrary element f of $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ be given, which without loss of generality we can assume to be homogeneous of degree $2d$. Now the above three invariants can be used to eliminate W , Σ and Π such that the obtained reduced element f_0 of $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in H only. Thus, $f_0 = cH^d \in \mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ for some constant c . In particular, f_0 must vanish on arbitrary product states. Since H however does not vanish on arbitrary product states, this implies $c = 0$ and completes the proof.

As an important and often cited invariant, we briefly consider the Hyperdeterminant, **Det**, of four qubits. It has degree 24 and is given by

$$2^8 3^3 \mathbf{Det} = (H^3 - 4W)A + (8\Sigma - H^4)B - 4(32\Pi + H^6)^2, \quad (22)$$

where we defined

$$A = 5H^9 + 20WH^6 - 144\Sigma H^5 + 16(5W^2 - 24\Pi)H^3 \quad (23)$$

$$-960W\Sigma H^2 + 1536\Sigma^2 H + 192W(3W^2 + 8\Pi),$$

$$B = H^8 - 136\Sigma H^4 - 384\Pi H^2 + 256\Sigma^2. \quad (24)$$

This can be translated into an expression in terms of H and the three filters $\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$, $\langle \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} \rangle_{S_4}$, and $\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)}$ in a straightforward manner from

$$4W - H^3 = \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)} \quad (25)$$

$$8\Sigma - H^4 = \frac{3}{16} \left[\langle \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} \rangle_{S_4} + \frac{8}{3}H\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)} \right] \quad (26)$$

$$32\Pi + H^6 = -\frac{1}{64} \left[\mathcal{F}_3^{(4)} + 18H^2 \langle \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} \rangle_{S_4} + 48H^3 \mathcal{F}_1^{(4)} \right] \quad (27)$$

The decomposition of the polynomial SL invariants into irreducible S_4 -modules [6] (homogeneous degree 0 is omitted) is given in table I. Using theorem 4.2 of Ref. [2] we obtain directly the Hilbert series for SL_4^* invariants

$$\begin{aligned} h_{SL^*}(t) &= \frac{1}{(1-t^2)(1-t^6)(1-t^8)(1-t^{12})} \\ &= 1 + t^2 + t^4 + 2t^6 + 3t^8 + 3t^{10} + 5t^{12} + 6t^{14} + 7t^{16} + 9t^{18} \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

It confirms the multiplicities of X_1 in table I.

TABLE I: The space of polynomial invariants of homogeneous degrees 2 up to 12 into irreducible S_4 -modules.

degree		degree		degree	
2	X_1	4	$X_1 + X_3$	6	$2X_1 + X_3$
8	$3X_1 + 2X_3$	10	$3X_1 + 3X_3$	12	$5X_1 + 4X_3 + X_5$

III. SL AND SL^* INVARIANTS FOR FIVE QUBITS

The SL Hilbert series for five qubits has been determined in Ref. [9] as

$$h(t) = \frac{1 + 16t^8 + 9t^{10} + 82t^{12} + \dots + 82t^{92} + 9t^{94} + 16t^{96} + t^{104}}{(1-t^4)^5(1-t^6)(1-t^8)^5(1-t^{10})(1-t^{12})^5} \quad (29)$$

$$= 1 + 5t^4 + t^6 + 36t^8 + 15t^{10} + 228t^{12} + 231t^{14} + 1313t^{16} + 1939t^{18} + \dots \quad (30)$$

We have verified the values of the coefficients c_{2d} of t^{2d} in Eq. (30) by using the formula $c_{2d} = (1/(2d!)) \sum_{\pi \in S_{2d}} \chi(\pi)^5$ where $\chi(\pi)$ is the character of the irreducible representation of S_{2d} corresponding to the partition $[d, d]$ of the integer $2d$. This is a special case of the formula from Eq. (72) where we replace d with $2d$ and insert the local Hilbert space dimension $n = 2$ and the number of qubits $k = 5$. Both numerator and denominator in Eq. (29) are even palindromic polynomials of degrees 104 and 136 respectively. The expanded Hilbert series tells us that there are 5 invariants of polynomial degree 4, a single invariant of degree 6, 36 invariants of degree 8, 15 invariants of degree 10, 228 invariants of degree 12, etc. In Ref. [9] the invariants up to degree 6 have been determined together with 5 invariants of degree 8. The first terms of the SL^* Hilbert series are

$$h_{SL^*}(t) = 1 + t^4 + 4t^8 + 12t^{12} + 2t^{14} + 39t^{16} + 21t^{18} + 130t^{20} + 115t^{22} + \dots$$

For details see Section IV.

In this section we will give a complete characterization of invariants up to polynomial degree 12 and establish a connection to those invariants from Ref. [13]. We shall denote by V_d the space of SL invariants of polynomial degree d and by U_d the subspace of V_d which is spanned by the products of homogeneous lower degree invariants, i.e. by $V_{2s}V_{d-2s}$ for $s = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$. Here, $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the Gauss parenthesis, i.e. the largest integer $n \leq a$.

A. Degree 4

A straightforward calculation shows that the 5 linear independent invariants D_v of degree 4 ($v = x, y, z, t, u$) can be written as

$$D_1 := D_x = ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) \quad (31)$$

$$D_2 := D_y = ((\sigma_2 \sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) \quad (32)$$

$$D_3 := D_z = ((\sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) \quad (33)$$

$$D_4 := D_t = ((\sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\mu \sigma_2)) \quad (34)$$

$$D_5 := D_u = ((\sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\mu)) \quad (35)$$

It is worth mentioning that these five invariants form an S_5 orbit, which is nicely seen from their explicit form (31)–(35). The only SL^* element of degree 4 is then

$$P := \sum_{i=1}^5 D_i \quad (36)$$

which does not vanish on all product states. Therefore no element of $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ can have degree 4. The investigation of the full S_5 orbits of a given set of invariants will be a major tool for the reconstruction of the complete space of invariants and the determination of their one-dimensional SL^* -modules. In the present case, we only needed e.g. D_1 in order to create all degree 4 invariants from its orbit. The decomposition into irreducible S_5 -modules is $V_4 = X_1 + X_2$ (notation from Ref. [6]).

It is interesting to mention that the completeness of (31)–(35) as generators of invariants of degree 4 implies that the introduction of additional contractions does not yield anything new. As an example we state the identities

$$((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \sigma^\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) = 3(D_4 + D_5) - P \quad (37)$$

$$((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_\lambda \sigma_\tau \sigma_\rho \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \sigma^\lambda \sigma^\tau \sigma^\rho)) = -3P, \quad (38)$$

but also note the above mentioned identities for four qubits.

B. Degree 6

The only invariant F of degree 6 has been created invoking the Ω -process as described in Ref. [9]. It is an odd function under qubit permutations, corresponding to the irreducible S_5 -module $V_6 = X_7$ [6]. It cannot be created from combs as described in Refs. [12, 13]. However, see section V.

Generally speaking, for an odd number of qubits only invariants of polynomial degree divisible by 4 can be created from combs. The reason for this is related to the fact that an expectation value $((\sigma_{a_1} \sigma_{a_2} \dots))$ vanishes if it contains an odd number of σ_2 . Since the contraction with the pseudo-metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ does not contain σ_2 this implies that for an odd number of qubits there must be an odd number of contractions in each copy. An odd number of copies then corresponds to an odd number of contractions where all contractions in each of the copies are counted separately. But this is impossible, since the copies are always contracted in pairs, and this necessarily leads to an even number. As a consequence, the number of copies for an odd number of qubits necessarily has to be even, and this leads to a polynomial degree divisible by 4.

We have some evidence that this fact is intimately related to the permutation antisymmetry of the respective invariants (we anticipate here that also the two one-dimensional S_5 -modules of the generic invariants of degree 10 are both generated by an odd function under qubit permutations). Invariants constructed from combs typically lead to permutation symmetric one-dimensional modules. This is due to the fact that the combs themselves are symmetric under permutation of the copies. A natural question is then to ask for local invariants that are antisymmetric under the permutation of copies. However it turns out that no such construction exists that connects two or three copies, i.e. asymmetric combs of order two or three do not exist. Also notice that no independent symmetric combs exist up to degree four[19].

C. Degree 8

We next proceed with a complete discussion of degree 8 invariants. Looking at the Hilbert series, the dimension of this space is 36 and we will refer to it as V_8 . The 15 products $D_i D_j$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 5$ form a basis of the subspace U_8 . This implies the existence of 21 invariants that are generically of degree 8. We will at first give the resolution of U_8 and V_8 into irreducible S_5 -modules and then establish the connection to the five invariants H_v from Ref. [9]. We find

$$U_8 = 2X_1 + 2X_2 + X_3 \quad (39)$$

$$V_8 = 4X_1 + 3X_2 + 3X_3 + X_5 \quad (40)$$

using the notation from [6]. The dimension counting is then $36 = 4*1 + 3*4 + 3*5 + 5$. This shows that the dimension of $\text{Inv}_8^{SL^*}$ for 5 qubits is 4. It is generated by e.g. P^2 and the permutation average of a filter from Ref. [13]

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(5)} = ((\sigma_{\mu_1} \sigma_{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_1} \sigma^{\mu_2} \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_{\mu_5} \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_3} \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_5} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) \quad (41)$$

and yet two new filters

$$\mathcal{F}_5^{(5)} = ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\nu \sigma_\lambda \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_\rho \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\lambda \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^\rho \sigma_\tau \sigma_2 \sigma_\kappa \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\tau \sigma^\nu \sigma^\kappa)) \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_6^{(5)} &= 3((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_\tau \sigma^\nu \sigma^\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\tau \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\rho \sigma_\kappa \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\rho \sigma^\kappa)) \\ &+ \\ &((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \sigma^\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_\tau \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_\rho \sigma_\kappa \bullet \sigma^\tau \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^\rho \sigma^\kappa)) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Notice that the second summand in (43) is an element of U_8 . More precisely, $((\sigma_\mu \sigma_\nu \sigma_\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu \sigma^\lambda \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) = 3(D_4 + D_5) - P$. The invariant (42) is checked straightforwardly to be a filter. To see that also (43) is a filter it is sufficient to show that it vanishes on product states. The only partitions that lead to a non-zero value for both terms in the above sum are those factoring out either qubits (2, 3) or qubits (4, 5). The non-zero value is a multiple of powers of concurrence and three-tangle (e.g. $C_{(2,3)}^4 \tau_{3;(1,4,5)}^2$), and the prefactor is independent of which of the two distinct partitionings we take. It is then straightforward to check that the above combination vanishes also for these factorizations, proving the filter property.

The S_5 -submodule generated by the filter $\mathcal{F}_1^{(5)}$ has dimension 24 and meets U_8 in an X_2 , a 4-dimensional subspace. Thus by selecting 20 suitable qubit permutations of this filter, we obtain altogether $15 + 20 = 35$ linearly independent invariants in V_8 . To obtain a basis of V_8 , we have to add also the filter $\mathcal{F}_6^{(5)}$.

We will now get some insight into the permutation invariance of the above objects. Filter $\mathcal{F}_1^{(5)}$ has stabilizer group $\{\mathbb{1}, \pi_{34}\}$. This means that it is *form invariant* exchanging qubits (3, 4). With *form invariant* under a certain permutation we mean that performing the given permutation of the qubits maps to the same expression after a suitable permutation of copies in the filter. This gives rise to identification of certain qubits and can be expressed using a *reduced S_5 qubit pattern*, here (1, 2, 3, 3, 4). This leads to at most 60 independent filters created from $\mathcal{F}_1^{(5)}$. In fact, as mentioned above, only 24 of them are independent. It is worth mentioning that a filter might have additional permutation symmetry beyond the obvious one[20].

The same analysis for the filter $\mathcal{F}_5^{(5)}$ reveals the reduced S_5 pattern (1, 2, 1, 2, 3) leading to at most $5 * 6 = 30$ independent invariants created from this filter. The filter (43) instead has the pattern (1, 2, 2, 3, 3), where in addition the qubits of type 2 and 3 can be exchanged as a whole. This leads to at most $5 * 3 = 15$ independent invariants created from (43) by permutations $\{\mathbb{1}, \pi_{12}, \pi_{13}, \pi_{14}, \pi_{15}\} \circ \{\mathbb{1}, \pi_{34}, \pi_{35}\}$, where π_{ij} exchanges qubit numbers i and j .

A detailed analysis of the characters of the resulting irreducible S_5 -modules leads to the decomposition (40). It is interesting that both (41) and (42) create a 24 dimensional space of independent invariants which have a 23 dimensional overlap K . Therefore, calling $V_{8;1}$ the 24 dimensional space created from (41) and $V_{8;2}$ the 24 dimensional space created from (42), then $V_{8;i} = T_i + K$; $i = 1, 2$, where T_i are one-dimensional subspaces of Inv^{SL^*} . Furthermore, also the space created by (43), which we will call $V_{8;3}$ is found to be expressed as $V_{8;3} = T_3 + \kappa$, where $\kappa \subset K$ and T_3 is a one-dimensional subspace of Inv^{SL^*} . Since the spaces have been created from filters, the T_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are already the elements in $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ we have been looking for. These particular invariants are given by

$$T_{1;0} := \langle \mathcal{F}_1^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5} \quad (44)$$

$$T_{2;0} := \langle \mathcal{F}_5^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5} \quad (45)$$

$$T_{3;0} := \langle \mathcal{F}_6^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5} \quad (46)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ indicates that the average over qubit permutations has to be taken.

We now give the expression of the three invariants in $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ in terms of those invariants obtained in Ref. [9]. Therefore we define a second SL^* element in U_8 , namely

$$Q := \sum_{i=1}^5 D_i^2 \quad (47)$$

and the symmetrization of the H_v created from the Ω -process in Ref. [9]

$$H_0 := \sum_{i=1}^5 H_i . \quad (48)$$

We find

$$T_{2;0} = P^2 - 3Q \in U_8 \quad (49)$$

$$T_{3;0} = H_0 + P^2 - 6Q \quad (50)$$

Summarizing, $\text{Inv}_8^{SL^*}$ is spanned by $P^2, T_{1;0}, T_{2;0}, T_{3;0}$, whereas $\mathcal{I}_{8;0}^{SL^*}$ is spanned by $T_{1;0}, T_{2;0}, T_{3;0}$.

D. Degree 10

From the Hilbert series we extract that there are 15 independent invariants of polynomial degree 10, where 5 independent elements of U_{10} are obtained by multiplying the 5 invariants D_i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$ with the single invariant F [9] of degree 6. Hence, $U_{10} = X_6 + X_7$ as an S_5 -module. Using the notation from Ref. [9], the missing ten invariants are in the S_5 orbit of $\mathcal{G}_{10}^{(5)}$ from the following Ω -process

$$B_{00222} := (f, f)^{11000} \quad (51)$$

$$B_{20022} := (f, f)^{01100} \quad (52)$$

$$B_{20202} := (f, f)^{01010} \quad (53)$$

$$C_{20222} := (B_{20022}, B_{00222})^{00011} \quad (54)$$

$$D_{11131} := (C_{20222}, f)^{10101} \quad (55)$$

$$E_{20222} := (D_{11131}, f)^{01010} \quad (56)$$

$$F_{11311} := (E_{20222}, f)^{10011} \quad (57)$$

$$H_{11111} := (F_{11311}, B_{20202})^{10201} \quad (58)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{10}^{(5)} := (H_{11111}, f)^{11111} \quad (59)$$

$\mathcal{G}_{10}^{(5)}$ spans a 14 dimensional space which has a four-dimensional intersection with U_{10} . In terms of irreducible S_5 -modules the space of degree 10 invariants decomposes as

$$V_{10} = X_5 + 2X_6 + 2X_7 \quad (60)$$

with dimension counting $15 = 5 + 2 * 4 + 2 * 1$. This shows that no SL^* invariant does exist here; however, there are two odd symmetric invariants, where the one is $P \cdot F \in U_{10}$ and the second is the anti-symmetrization of $\mathcal{G}_{10}^{(5)}$. Both are in the ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*_{rel}}$, which is the analog to $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ but including the relative invariants.

E. Degree 12

From the Hilbert series we see that the space of degree 12 invariants has dimension 228, where a 141 dimensional space U_{12} emerges from lower degrees. This means that there are 87 invariants that are generically of polynomial degree 12. For the complete reconstruction and decomposition of this space into irreducible S_5 -modules we use the filters rather than employing the Ω -process, since this reduces significantly the computational complexity. Again we anticipate the resolution of V_{12} into irreducible S_5 -modules. The space U_{12} of invariants derived from lower degrees decomposes as

$$U_{12} = 7X_1 + 10X_2 + 8X_3 + 5X_4 + 4X_5 + X_6 \quad (61)$$

using as before the notation from [6]. The dimension counting is then $141 = 7 * 1 + 10 * 4 + 8 * 5 + 5 * 6 + 4 * 5 + 4$.

A set of filters and non-filter invariants that generates the full set of 87 new invariants is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{12;1}^{(5)} = ((\sigma_{\mu_1} \sigma_{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_1} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_4} \sigma_{\mu_5} \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_2} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_5})) \quad (62)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{12;2}^{(5)} = ((\sigma_{\mu_1} \sigma_{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_1} \sigma^{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_{\mu_5} \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_6} \bullet \sigma^{\mu_5} \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_7} \bullet \sigma_{\mu_8} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_9} \sigma^{\mu_6} \bullet \sigma^{\mu_8} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_9} \sigma^{\mu_7})) \quad (63)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{12;4}^{(5)} = ((\sigma_{\mu_1} \sigma_{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_1} \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_4} \sigma_{\mu_5} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_2} \sigma^{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_6} \bullet \sigma_{\mu_7} \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_6} \bullet \sigma^{\mu_7} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_5} \sigma_{\mu_8} \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_8})) \quad (64)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{12;2}^{(5)} = ((\sigma_{\mu_1} \sigma_{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_1} \sigma^{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_{\mu_5} \sigma_{\mu_6} \sigma^{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_5} \sigma_{\mu_7} \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_8} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_{\mu_9} \sigma^{\mu_6} \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_8} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^{\mu_9} \sigma^{\mu_7} \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) \quad (65)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{12;6}^{(5)} = ((\sigma_{\mu_1} \sigma_{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_3} \sigma_{\mu_4} \sigma_{\mu_5} \bullet \sigma^{\mu_1} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_2} \sigma_2 \sigma_{\mu_6} \sigma_{\mu_7} \bullet \sigma_{\mu_8} \sigma_{\mu_9} \sigma^{\mu_3} \sigma^{\mu_6} \sigma^{\mu_7} \bullet \sigma^{\mu_8} \sigma^{\mu_9} \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_5})) \quad (66)$$

In this list, (62) and (63) are taken from [13], whereas (64) and the two non-filter invariants (65) and (66) are new invariants needed for this scope.

We will now specify the permutation invariance of the above objects. The stabilizer group of the filter $\mathcal{F}_{12;1}^{(5)}$ is generated by π_{23} , π_{45} , and $\pi_{24}\pi_{35}$. This leads to the reduced pattern (1, 2, 2, 3, 3) where the qubits of type 2 and 3 can be exchanged as well. Hence, at most 15 independent invariants can be derived from this quantity, which turns out to be the precise number. The filter $\mathcal{F}_{12;2}^{(5)}$ has the stabilizer group $\{\mathbb{1}, \pi_{24}\pi_{35}\}$. This leads to at most 60 potentially independent invariants derived from this filter. We find the actual dimension of this space to be 56. The trivial stabilizer of $\mathcal{F}_{12;4}^{(5)}$ leads to at most 120 independent invariants, where however only 112 are independent. As far as the non-filter invariants are concerned, $\mathcal{G}_{12;2}^{(5)}$ has the stabilizer generated by π_{12} and all 60 invariants are linear independent. Finally, $\mathcal{G}_{12;6}^{(5)}$ is stabilized after inverting the qubit numbers $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \rightarrow (5, 4, 3, 2, 1)$. Its S_5 orbit contains 56 independent invariants.

Let us now describe our construction of a basis of V_{12} . We start by constructing a basis of the subspace U_{12} (141 elements). Next we make use of the filter $\mathcal{F}_{12;4}^{(5)}$. The S_5 -module that it generates has dimension 112 and intersects U_{12} in a 44-dimensional submodule. Thus we can construct the next 68 basis elements by applying suitable qubit permutations to this filter. The next 15 elements of the basis are obtained similarly from $\mathcal{G}_{12;2}^{(5)}$, and 2 more elements from $\mathcal{F}_{12;2}^{(5)}$. This gives in total $141 + 68 + 15 + 2 = 226$ basis elements. To obtain the full basis, we adjoin the invariants $\mathcal{F}_{12;1}^{(5)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{12;6}^{(5)}$.

It is interesting to mention here that one permutation invariant filter out of $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$ can be readily constructed from combs as follows

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{(5)} = ((\sigma_{\mu_1} \sigma_{\mu_2} \sigma_{\mu_3} \sigma_{\mu_4} \sigma_{\mu_5} \bullet \sigma^{\mu_1} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_2} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_3} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_5})) \quad (67)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_4} \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma^{\mu_5})) \quad (68)$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{F}_0^{(5)}$ is equivalent (modulo U_{12}) to the symmetrization of $\mathcal{F}_{12;1}^{(5)}$.

We find that the 7-dimensional space of $U_{12} \cap \text{Inv}_{12}^{SL^*}$ is generated by P^3 , $PT_{j;0}$ ($j=1,2,3$), F^2 , $\sum_i D_i^3$, and $\langle D_1 \mathcal{F}_1^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5}$. Besides $PT_{j;0}$ ($j=1,2,3$), $\langle D_1 \mathcal{F}_1^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0^{(5)}$, also $P^3 - 9 \sum_i D_i^3$ is in the filter ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$.

The complementary 5-dimensional space in $\text{Inv}_{12}^{SL^*}$ is spanned by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{12;1}^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5}, \quad \langle \mathcal{F}_{12;2}^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5}, \quad \langle \mathcal{F}_{12;4}^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5}, \quad \langle \mathcal{G}_{12;2}^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5}, \quad \langle \mathcal{G}_{12;6}^{(5)} \rangle_{S_5}. \quad (69)$$

The two antisymmetrized filters

$$\left\langle \mathcal{F}_{12;2}^{(5)} \right\rangle_{S_5}^-, \quad \left\langle \mathcal{F}_{12;4}^{(5)} \right\rangle_{S_5}^- \quad (70)$$

span the space of odd relative SL^* invariants of degree 12, whereas $\left\langle 3\mathcal{G}_{12;6}^{(5)} - \mathcal{G}_{12;2}^{(5)} \right\rangle_{S_5}$ is in the ideal $\mathcal{I}_0^{SL^*}$. It is worthwhile noticing that the comb-based invariants are sufficient to also create the SL_-^* invariants; those of degree 6 and 10, none of which is accessible directly to from local invariant operators, are not needed here.

Summarizing we have a 10 dimensional space $\mathcal{I}_{12;0}^{SL^*}$ inside a 12 dimensional space $\text{Inv}_{12}^{SL^*}$. In addition there are 2 odd relative SL^* invariants which both are in the filter ideal. The complete decomposition into irreducible S_5 -modules is given in table II.

TABLE II: The space of polynomial invariants of homogeneous degrees 2 up to 12 into irreducible S_5 -modules.

degree		degree	
2	\emptyset	4	$X_1 + X_2$
6	X_7	8	$4X_1 + 3X_2 + 3X_3 + X_5$
10	$X_5 + 2X_6 + 2X_7$	12	$12X_1 + 15X_2 + 14X_3 + 6X_4 + 8X_5 + 2X_6 + 2X_7$

F. Beyond degree 12

We add here some outlook to the following higher degrees 14 and 16. To this end, let X denote a minimal set of (homogeneous) generators of the algebra Inv^{SL} . We know that X is a finite set, but its cardinality is not known. It is a disjoint union of its subsets $X_d := X \cap \text{Inv}_d^{SL}$. From the Hilbert series we know that $|X_d| = 0$ for odd d and for $d = 2$. Our computations show that for $d = 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16$ we have $|X_d| = 5, 1, 21, 10, 87, 145, 247$.

Let us assume that the conjecture made in Ref. [9] regarding the Cohen–Macaulay ring structure of Inv^{SL} is correct, i.e., that the primary invariants consist of five polynomials of degree 4, one of degree 6, five of degree 8, one of degree 10, and five of degree 12. Then Inv^{SL} would be a free module of rank 3 014 400 over the algebra generated by the primary invariants (a polynomial algebra in 17 variables). Moreover, the coefficients of the numerator of the Hilbert series give, for each degree, the number of basis elements of this free module. The first six nonzero coefficients are 1, 16, 9, 82, 145, 383 and the degrees of the corresponding basis elements are 0, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, respectively (see Table 1 in Ref. [9]). For instance, for $d = 16$ we have 383 basis elements of degree 16. We may assume that X_{16} is part of this basis. Consequently there must be $383 - 247 = 136$ basis elements of degree 16 that come from the products of basis elements of degree 8. As there are sixteen basis elements of degree 8, the number of different products of two of them (including the squares) is indeed 136. This may be interpreted as additional evidence for the validity of the above mentioned conjecture proposed in Ref. [9].

IV. CHARACTER COMPUTATIONS AND THE HILBERT SERIES FOR SL_5^*

It is interesting to mention that the coefficients of the Hilbert series for the symmetry group SL and SL^* can be obtained directly using the results of Ref. [1]. Here, we recall some results from that work and use them to compute the dimension of the space of SL^* invariants of degree $2d$. We also do the same for the relative SL^* invariants, i.e., the joint invariants of SL and the alternating group A_5 .

As in the cited reference, we shall be more general. First, instead of qubits we may work with qudits, i.e., we consider the vector representation of $SU(n)$ or $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. By taking k copies of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and k copies of V and tensoring, we obtain the standard representation of $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes k}$ on $V^{\otimes k}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_{n,k}$ the algebra of holomorphic polynomial functions on $V^{\otimes k}$, and by $\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}$ its subspace consisting of the homogeneous polynomials of degree d . Next, denote by $\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}^G$ the subspace of $\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}$ consisting of G -invariant polynomials. If d is not divisible by n , then $\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}^G = 0$ by [1, Proposition 11.1].

Assume now that $d = nr$ and let $\pi = [r^n]$ be the partition of d into n equal parts. Denote by E_π the irreducible module of the symmetric group S_d which corresponds to π , and let χ be its character. Then by [1, Corollary 11.1] we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}^G \cong (E_\pi^{\otimes k})^{S_d} \quad (71)$$

of S_k -modules. The superscript S_d means that one has to form the space of invariants of S_d , i.e., the largest trivial S_d submodule of $E_\pi^{\otimes k}$. The other symmetric group, S_k , acts on both sides by permuting the tensor factors.

This formula is very useful. For instance, one obtains immediately the following formula for the dimension of the space of G invariants of degree d :

$$\dim \mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}^G = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{g \in S_d} \chi(g)^k. \quad (72)$$

By symmetrization, i.e., by taking the S_k -invariants on both sides of Eq. (71), and taking into account that the actions of S_k and S_d commute, we obtain that

$$(\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}^G)^{S_k} \cong (S^k(E_\pi))^{S_d} \quad (73)$$

as complex vector spaces. (By $S^k(E_\pi)$ we denote the k -th degree piece of the symmetric algebra $S(E_\pi)$ of the module E_π .)

By performing anti-symmetrization instead of the symmetrization, one obtains a similar formula for the dimension of the space of odd invariants of S_k in $\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}^G$. Then on the right hand side one should replace the symmetric power $S^k(E_\pi)$ by the exterior power $\wedge^k(E_\pi)$.

The character $\chi^{(k)}$ of the S_d -module $S^k(E_\pi)$ is given by the classical formula [5, 10]

$$\chi^{(k)}(g) = \sum_{(\mathbf{i})} \prod_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\chi(g^\alpha)^{i_\alpha}}{i_\alpha! \alpha^{i_\alpha}}, \quad (74)$$

where the summation is over all sequences $(\mathbf{i}) = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ of nonnegative integers such that

$$\sum_{\alpha} \alpha i_\alpha = k.$$

This is valid for all permutations $g \in S_d$.

Similarly, the S_d -character $\chi^{[k]}$ of the k -th exterior power $\wedge^k(E_\pi)$ is given by the formula

$$\chi^{[k]}(g) = \sum_{(\mathbf{i})} \prod_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{i_\alpha-1} \chi(g^\alpha)^{i_\alpha}}{i_\alpha! \alpha^{i_\alpha}}. \quad (75)$$

The values of the irreducible characters of S_d are easily available, say in James and Kerber book [6] or in software systems such as Maple or GAP. Hence we obtain the following formula for the space of joint G and S_k invariants of degree $d = nr$:

$$\dim (\mathcal{R}_{n,k,d}^G)^{S_k} = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{g \in S_d} \chi^{(k)}(g). \quad (76)$$

In our case we have $n = 2$, since we work with qubits, and $k = 5$, i.e., the number of qubits is 5. In that case there are exactly seven sequences (\mathbf{i}) having the required property. Explicitly, they are: $(5, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(3, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(1, 2, 0, 0, 0)$, $(2, 0, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 1, 0, 0)$, $(1, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. The above formula then reads as

$$\begin{aligned} 120\chi^{(5)}(g) &= \chi(g)^5 + 10\chi(g)^3\chi(g^2) + 15\chi(g)\chi(g^2)^2 + 20\chi(g)^2\chi(g^3) \\ &\quad + 20\chi(g^2)\chi(g^3) + 30\chi(g)\chi(g^4) + 24\chi(g^5). \end{aligned}$$

For instance, if $d = 8 = 2 \cdot 4$ we have $r = 4$, $\pi = [4, 4]$, E_π is the module X_8 in James and Kerber notation, and the values of χ on the representatives of the 22 conjugacy classes of S_8 are

$$14, 4, 2, 0, 6, -1, 1, -1, 2, -2, -2, 0, 2, 1, 2, -1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0$$

(see James and Kerber, p. 351). By using the above formula, we find that the values of the character $\chi^{(5)}$ on the same representatives are

$$8568, 216, 72, 0, 536, 0, 0, 0, 18, 0, -12, 0, 12, 0, 24, 3, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0.$$

Then the multiplicity of the principal character (i.e., the character of the one-dimensional trivial S_8 -module) in $\chi^{(5)}$ is equal to the dimension of the space of SL^* invariants of degree 8. Hence we have

$$\dim \text{Inv}_8^{SL^*} = \frac{1}{8!} \sum_{g \in S_8} \chi^{(5)}(g).$$

The evaluation of this sum confirms our finding that this dimension is 4.

In conclusion, we summarize the results of our computations. The number of linearly independent SL^* invariants in degrees 0, 2, 4, ..., 22 is 1, 0, 1, 0, 4, 0, 12, 2, 39, 21, 130, 115 respectively. The number of linearly independent relative SL^* invariants in degrees 0, 2, 4, ..., 22 is 1, 0, 1, 1, 4, 2, 14, 11, 49, 58, 185, 269 respectively.

V. DIFFERENT VIEW ON THE Ω -PROCESS

In this section we present a rephrasing of elements of Cayley's Ω -process in terms of local invariant antilinear operators. The central operations in this approach are determinants of derivatives

$$\Omega_x = \det \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{x'_0} & \partial_{x'_1} \\ \partial_{x''_0} & \partial_{x''_1} \end{vmatrix} \quad (77)$$

with subsequent "trace" $\text{tr} : x', x'' \rightarrow x$ applied to functionals in the wave function coefficients ψ_{i_1, \dots, i_q} dressed with auxiliary variables $z_{i_j}^{(j)}$ such that a wave function $|\Psi\rangle := \sum \psi_{i_1, \dots, i_q} |i_1, \dots, i_q\rangle$ is mapped to the function $f := \sum \psi_{i_1, \dots, i_q} z_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots z_{i_q}^{(q)}$. A typical step in the Ω -process is then prescribed as [9]

$$(P, Q)^{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_q} := \text{tr} \Omega_{z^{(1)}}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \Omega_{z^{(q)}}^{\epsilon_q} P(z') Q(z'') . \quad (78)$$

The key observation is that the action of Ω amounts to a contraction of two of the wave function coefficients with the antisymmetric tensor ϵ_{kl} , $k, l \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\epsilon_{01} := 1$. [21] We illustrate this procedure in the most simple example

$$B_{22200} = (f, f)^{0,0,0,1,1} \quad (79)$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^3 z_{i_m}^{(m)} z_{j_m}^{(m)} \psi_{i_1, \dots, i_3, k, l} \psi_{j_1, \dots, j_3}^{k, l} \quad (80)$$

$$B_{22200}|_{z^{(\cdot)}=1} = \psi_{i_1, \dots, i_3, k, l} \psi_{j_1, \dots, j_3}^{k, l} = -((\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}\sigma_2\sigma_2)) , \quad (81)$$

where we used Einstein sum convention and contraction via ϵ . The above transvectant B_{22200} which is bilinear in the $z^{(j)}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$), after setting all $z_{i_j}^{(j)} = 1$, coincides with the subsequently shown antilinear expectation value, where

$$\mathfrak{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Though it is clear that each invariant constructed with the Ω -process is directly transcribed into a sum of complete contractions of the wave function coefficients via the antisymmetric tensor ϵ , this cannot be directly written in terms of antilinear expectation values. A simple three qubit counterexample is the invariant whose absolute value is the three-tangle [3]

$$\tau_3 = -2\psi_{a_1, a_2, a_3} \psi_{a_1, a_2}^{a_1, a_2} \psi_{b_1, b_2}^{a_3} \psi_{b_1, b_2, a_4} = ((\sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma^\mu)) \quad (82)$$

For more complicated invariants produced by the Ω -process, this correspondence is not unique but an invariant part of such a quantity can be obtained straightforwardly. E.g. the invariant F of degree 6 (see Ref. [9]) is equivalently expressed as

$$F = 96 \psi_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5} \psi_{i_6, i_7}^{i_3, i_5} \psi_{i_9}^{i_2, i_4} \psi_{i_{11}}^{i_1, i_{10}} \psi_{i_8, i_{17}}^{i_8, i_{11}} \psi_{i_6, i_7, i_{13}}^{i_6, i_7, i_{13}} \psi_{i_9, i_{12}, i_{10}, i_{14}, i_{15}}^{i_9, i_{12}, i_{10}, i_{14}, i_{15}} . \quad (83)$$

Since the space of degree 6 invariants for five qubits is one-dimensional, the expression (83) reproduces precisely this unique invariant F (up to a prefactor). For the invariant of degree 10 as constructed in Eq. (51), a possible transcription is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{10}^{(5)} &= \psi_{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5} \psi_{i_6, i_7, i_8, i_9, i_{10}} \psi_{i_{11}}^{i_2, i_3, i_4} \psi_{i_{12}}^{i_6, i_7} \psi_{i_{13}, i_{14}}^{i_5} \\ &\psi_{i_1, i_{15}}^{i_8, i_{16}} \psi_{i_{17}}^{i_{15}, i_{18}} \psi_{i_{19}}^{i_{11}, i_{20}, i_{21}} \psi_{i_{14}, i_{15}}^{i_{14}, i_{15}} \\ &\psi_{i_{22}, i_{20}, i_{13}, i_{16}, i_{25}} \psi_{i_{22}, i_{23}}^{i_{18}} \psi_{i_{24}, i_{25}}^{i_{17}, i_{23}, i_{21}, i_{24}, i_{19}} . \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

The space of degree 10 invariants has dimension 15, and the tilde indicates that the expression (84) cannot be expected to coincide with that one created from the Ω -process. The latter is rather a sum over all possible ϵ -contractions compatible with the given Ω -process, and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{10}^{(5)}$ is only one element of this sum. Interestingly, the symmetric group S_5 generates from $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{10}^{(5)}$ a 14 dimensional subspace where only $P \cdot F$ is missing to give the whole 15 dimensional space $V_{10}^{(5)}$.

A view back onto Eq. (82) suggests a connection between the Ω -process and the invariant construction via combs; namely that the cross-contraction on the third qubit might be substituted by the comb of second order $\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu$ but including the term $\sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2$. In order to make this connection a rigorous statement we translate the index contraction into an antilinear expectation value. The symmetry of antilinear expectation values

$$(\psi^* | \hat{A} | \varphi) = (\varphi^* | \hat{A}^\dagger | \psi) = (\varphi^* | \hat{A} | \psi) \quad (85)$$

for Hermitean operators is crucial for this to work. The procedure is best explained graphically in figure 1. The




FIG. 1: Three-qubit wavefunction coefficients sketched as a staple of three circles. Each contraction with the antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon = i\sigma_2$ is visualized by a line connecting two circles. Arranged in pairs, an expectation value with ϵ corresponds to an intra-pair contraction.

three-qubit wavefunction coefficients are sketched as a staple of three circles there. They are arranged in pairs, and an expectation value corresponds to an intra-pair contraction, – i.e. a contraction of wavefunction indexes inside such a pair. Each contraction with the antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon = i\sigma_2$ is visualized by a line connecting two circles. The inter-pair *cross contractions* – i.e. those contractions between one coefficient from the left element of some pair with one coefficient from the right element of another pair – are not directly expressed in terms of expectation values. Fortunately, suitable permutations of copies, which are however local in the qubits, do exist as to transform also the cross contractions into expectation values without disturbing the other intra-pair contractions. In the following we describe this iterative procedure. The first equality in Fig. 1 is due to the symmetry (85) for antilinear expectation values of Hermitean operators. The second equality is formally expressed as


$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi^* | \bullet \langle \psi^* | \mathbf{P} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 | \psi \rangle \bullet | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi^* | \bullet \langle \psi^* | \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \mathbf{P} | \psi \rangle \bullet | \psi \rangle \\ &= -\psi_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \psi^{a_1 a_2}_{a_4} \psi_{b_1 b_2}^{a_3} \psi^{b_1 b_2 a_4} \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where \mathbf{P} is the symbol for a copy permutation operator and the number three on top of \mathbf{P} indicates that this permutation operator acts non-trivially only on the third qubit. Using $\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=0}^3 \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_\mu$, a straightforward calculation produces

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2) \mathbf{P} &= M_{\mu\nu} \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_\nu \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu - \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2) \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where $M_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} m_\mu$, $(m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3) = (1, -1, 1, -1)/2$. The resulting antilinear expectation value of $M_{\mu\nu} \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_\nu$ is then indicated graphically by a double line connecting the copies.

In order to see that by virtue of full contractions with ϵ every invariant derived from the Ω -process can be expressed in terms of expectation values, another identity is helpful



It means that the translation of the Ω -process into antilinear expectation values can be performed iteratively qubit per qubit: an apparent incompatibility of a contraction pattern with a fixed ordering of wave function coefficients (vertical rows of circles) is resolved iteratively making use of the symmetry (85).

Since the symmetric group is generated from nearest neighbor exchanges $\pi_{j,j+1}$ and by virtue of the relations $\pi_{12}\pi_{23}\pi_{12} = \pi_{23}\pi_{12}\pi_{23}$, $\pi_{ij}^2 = \mathbb{1}$ we restrict ourselves to analyze

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2) \mathbf{P}_{12} \mathbf{P}_{23} &= \frac{1}{4} [\sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 - \\ &(\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu \bullet \sigma_2 + \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu + \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu) \\ &- i\epsilon_{klm} \tau_k \bullet \tau_l \bullet \tau_m] \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2) \mathbf{P}_{23} \mathbf{P}_{12} &= \frac{1}{4} [\sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 - \\ &(\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu \bullet \sigma_2 + \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu + \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu) \\ &+ i\epsilon_{klm} \tau_k \bullet \tau_l \bullet \tau_m] , \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

where $\tau_1 := \sigma_0$, $\tau_2 := \sigma_1$, $\tau_3 := \sigma_3$.

Besides an antisymmetric part in the exchange of copies, only σ_2 and $\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu$ appear. The antisymmetric part is not captured by using the two combs but in our analysis it appeared to be irrelevant for the search for SL^* invariants. Nonetheless, it leads to invariants that are antisymmetric under qubit permutations (see e.g. the invariants of degree 6 and 10 for five qubits). So if we relax the requirement for a class-specific global entanglement measure to invariants whose modulus is permutation invariant, these SL_-^* invariants become relevant.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a thorough analysis of the polynomial SL invariants of four and five qubits with particular emphasis on the filter ideal of such invariants that vanish on all product states. Furthermore, their decomposition into irreducible modules of the symmetric group of qubit permutations is obtained in order to determine the dimension of the permutation invariant subspace in this filter ideal. The filter ideal plays an outstanding role among the complete ring of invariants in that it hosts candidates for a class specific quantification of genuine multipartite entanglement. Hence, its knowledge is crucial for a systematic analysis and a deeper understanding of the structure of entanglement in multipartite systems.

For the case of four qubits, the standard approach from invariant theory, employing the well established Ω -process by Cayley, has already lead to the construction of a complete set of SL invariants [9]. We compare this approach to a recently proposed alternative approach which is based on local invariant operators, termed combs [12, 13]. We demonstrate that also this alternative approach generates a complete set of invariants, and we provide a full dictionary for expressions from both approaches. Interestingly, the computational complexity of the comb based approach was observed to increase significantly slower with increasing multilinear degree of the invariants than the Ω -process. This permits us to go considerably ahead in a thorough analysis of five qubit invariants. We give a complete analysis of such SL invariants up to homogeneous degree 12, and provide an outlook on the situation for degrees 14 and 16. Although the five qubit case is not completed, we have presented a straightforward technique how to proceed; we are confident that a generating set can be obtained in the way described in this manuscript. All results are cross checked with predictions from the Hilbert series and we give the first terms of the Hilbert series for relative SL^* invariants.

Another major advantage of the alternative approach is the considerable high control over specific properties of the resulting invariants, in particular the ab initio knowledge about the set of product states for which the invariant will vanish. This feature is of particular importance for a direct construction of the filter ideal. In contrast, from the Ω -process, essentially nothing is known about the invariant's behavior on product states. We hope that the lower computational complexity in the generation of invariants from the comb based approach might have future impact also on the field of invariant theory.

Finally, we highlight a connection between the Ω -process and full contractions with the metric spinor. Via this correspondence, also a link to the construction from local SL -invariant operators is established. This link suggests that all SL^* invariants except those derived from odd relative SL^* invariants should be accessible by this method. Further analysis would be necessary in order to find an expression of also these odd relative SL^* invariants in terms of (antilinear) expectation values.

APPENDIX A: COMB-BASED INVARIANTS

In this appendix we give a detailed elucidation how comb-based invariants are calculated.

Let the pure q qubit quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ be expressed in terms of a basis \mathcal{B} made of tensor products of eigenstates $| -1\rangle$ and $| 1\rangle$ of the Pauli spin operator σ_3 , such that $\sigma_3 |s\rangle = s |s\rangle$ for $s = \pm 1$. That is, we have

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ |s_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |s_q\rangle \mid s_j = \pm 1 \} \quad (\text{A1})$$

In this basis the Pauli spin operators (here $q = 1$ for the sake of simplicity) assume the matrix representations $\sigma_a^{s's} := \langle s' | \sigma_a | s \rangle$ as given in Eq. (1). Matrix elements of q -qubit operators are then defined in the standard way for arbitrary q -qubit pure states $|\varphi\rangle, |\psi\rangle$ as

$$\langle \varphi | \sigma_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{a_q} | \psi \rangle := (\varphi_{s'_1, \dots, s'_q})^* \sigma_{a_1}^{s'_1, s_1} \cdots \sigma_{a_q}^{s'_q, s_q} \psi_{s_1, \dots, s_q} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\equiv [\mathfrak{C}\varphi]_{s'_1, \dots, s'_q} \sigma_{a_1}^{s'_1, s_1} \cdots \sigma_{a_q}^{s'_q, s_q} \psi_{s_1, \dots, s_q} \quad (\text{A3})$$

within Einstein summation convention, and $[\mathfrak{C}\varphi]_{s_1, \dots, s_q} := \langle s_1, \dots, s_q | \mathfrak{C} | \varphi \rangle$.

In this sense the anti-linear expectation value as defined in this work, and following Refs. [12, 13], can be interpreted as a matrix element of an antilinear operator $A = L_A \mathfrak{C}$. Here, \mathfrak{C} is the complex conjugation as defined above, and L_A is the linear operator associated to A . In all this work, the operators A and L_A are antilinear Hermitean and Hermitean, respectively. In the case of a single copy of the state we then have

$$((L_A)) := \langle \psi | A^\dagger | \psi \rangle^* = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle^* = \langle \psi^* | L | \psi \rangle \quad (\text{A4})$$

which is a matrix element as defined in Eq.(A2) where $|\varphi\rangle \rightarrow |\psi^*\rangle$ (see Eq. (A3)). For any indexes $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_q \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ we therefore define a bilinear form

$$\langle \sigma_{i_1} \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_q} \rangle : \mathcal{H}_q \times \mathcal{H}_q \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

whose value at (φ, ψ) is the multiple sum (using the Einstein convention)

$$\sigma_{i_1}^{a_1, b_1} \sigma_{i_2}^{a_2, b_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_q}^{a_q, b_q} \varphi_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q} \psi_{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q}.$$

This can be also expressed as

$$\langle \sigma_{i_1} \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_q} \rangle (\varphi, \psi) = \langle \varphi^* | \sigma_{i_1} \otimes \sigma_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{i_q} | \psi \rangle.$$

As the first example, we set $q = 1$ and $a_1 = 2$ and we obtain the $SL(2, \mathfrak{C})$ invariant bilinear form:

$$\langle \sigma_2 \rangle (\varphi, \psi) = -i \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \psi_1 & \psi_2 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

However, in this case we have $((\sigma_2)) := \langle \sigma_2 \rangle (\psi, \psi) = 0$ for all ψ , which is the comb property of the operator σ_2 [12, 13].

As another example we take $q = 2$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 2$. Since $\sigma_2 = -i\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle (\varphi, \psi) &= -\epsilon^{a_1, b_1} \epsilon^{a_2, b_2} \varphi_{a_1, a_2} \psi_{b_1, b_2} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{2,1} & \varphi_{2,2} \\ \psi_{1,1} & \psi_{1,2} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{1,1} & \varphi_{1,2} \\ \psi_{2,1} & \psi_{2,2} \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

an SL invariant bilinear form. In the case when $\varphi = \psi$, we obtain the nonzero SL invariant quadratic form

$$((\sigma_2 \sigma_2)) := \langle \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle (\psi, \psi) = -2 \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{1,1} & \psi_{1,2} \\ \psi_{2,1} & \psi_{2,2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

For operators acting on many copies of the state just replace $|\psi\rangle$ by $|\psi\rangle \bullet \cdots \bullet |\psi\rangle =: |\psi\rangle^{\bullet q}$ and the corresponding expression for $\langle \psi^* |$. To outline this in more detail, let \mathcal{H} denote the Hilbert space of a single qubit, and $\mathcal{H}_q = \mathcal{H}^{\otimes q}$ the one for the system of q qubits. We shall also use the Hilbert space for m copies of this multipartite system. In

that case we use \bullet to denote tensor products of Hilbert spaces of different copies. Let us now take a collection of m bilinear forms of the above type,

$$\langle \sigma_{i_1^{(k)}} \sigma_{i_2^{(k)}} \cdots \sigma_{i_q^{(k)}} \rangle, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and let us form their tensor product

$$\langle \sigma_{i_1^{(1)}} \sigma_{i_2^{(1)}} \cdots \sigma_{i_q^{(1)}} \rangle \bullet \langle \sigma_{i_1^{(2)}} \sigma_{i_2^{(2)}} \cdots \sigma_{i_q^{(2)}} \rangle \bullet \cdots \bullet \langle \sigma_{i_1^{(m)}} \sigma_{i_2^{(m)}} \cdots \sigma_{i_q^{(m)}} \rangle, \quad (\text{A7})$$

which is a bilinear form

$$\mathcal{H}_q^{\bullet m} \times \mathcal{H}_q^{\bullet m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

The value of this bilinear form on the special elements

$$(\varphi^{(1)} \bullet \varphi^{(2)} \bullet \cdots \bullet \varphi^{(m)}, \psi^{(1)} \bullet \psi^{(2)} \bullet \cdots \bullet \psi^{(m)})$$

is equal to

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\bullet m} \langle \sigma_{i_1^{(k)}} \sigma_{i_2^{(k)}} \cdots \sigma_{i_q^{(k)}} \rangle (\varphi^{(k)}, \psi^{(k)}).$$

In the special case, where $\varphi^{(k)} = \psi^{(k)} = \psi$ for all k , we obtain the 2^q -ary form of degree $2m$ in the complex components of ψ :

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\bullet m} \sigma_{i_1^{(k)}} \cdots \sigma_{i_q^{(k)}} \right) := \prod_{k=1}^m \langle \sigma_{i_1^{(k)}} \cdots \sigma_{i_q^{(k)}} \rangle (\psi, \psi).$$

We refer to this form of degree $2m$ as the *associated form* of the bilinear form (A7). This definition extends immediately to any bilinear form (A8).

In general, the forms of degree $2m$ constructed above are not SL invariant, but we can use their suitable linear combinations to obtain SL invariant forms.

In order to do that we proceed as follows. First we select a site, say s , $1 \leq s \leq q$, of our multipartite system and choose two different copies of the state, say copies p and q , $1 \leq p < q \leq m$. Next we replace in (A7) the Pauli matrices $\sigma_{i_s^{(p)}}$ and $\sigma_{i_s^{(q)}}$ with symbols σ_μ and σ^μ , respectively. This is to indicate that the two indices μ are to be contracted by using the pseudo-metric $G_{\mu,\nu}$. We now interrupt our description to give an example.

When $q = 3$ and $m = 2$ the expression (A7) has the form

$$\langle \sigma_{i_1^{(1)}} \sigma_{i_2^{(1)}} \sigma_{i_3^{(1)}} \rangle \bullet \langle \sigma_{i_1^{(2)}} \sigma_{i_2^{(2)}} \sigma_{i_3^{(2)}} \rangle$$

We now choose $p = 1$, $q = 2$ and $s = 1$. By applying the above instruction, we obtain the expression

$$\langle \sigma_\mu \sigma_{i_2^{(1)}} \sigma_{i_3^{(1)}} \rangle \bullet \langle \sigma^\mu \sigma_{i_2^{(2)}} \sigma_{i_3^{(2)}} \rangle.$$

By fixing $\sigma_{i_2^{(1)}} = \sigma_{i_3^{(1)}} = \sigma_{i_2^{(2)}} = \sigma_{i_3^{(2)}} = \sigma_2$ and performing the μ -contraction this gives the linear combination

$$-\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle \bullet \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle + \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle \bullet \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle + \langle \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle \bullet \langle \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \rangle.$$

The associated quartic form is then obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma^\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2)) &:= \sum_{\mu=0}^3 g_\mu \langle \psi^* | \sigma_\mu \sigma_2 \sigma_2 | \psi \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^3 g_\mu \left[\psi_{s'_1, s'_2, s'_3} \sigma_{\mu}^{s'_1, s'_1} \sigma_2^{s'_2, s'_2} \sigma_2^{s'_3, s'_3} \psi_{s_1, s_2, s_3} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

It generates the SL^* invariants for three qubits; its modulus is the three-tangle [3].

To continue our description, we choose a collection of triples (s_i, p_i, q_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ such that $1 \leq p_i < q_i \leq m$ and whenever $s_i = s_j$, with $i \neq j$, we require that the four integers p_i, q_i, p_j, q_j be all distinct. For each index i , we replace the Pauli matrices on the site s_i and copies p_i and q_i with the symbols σ_{μ_i} and σ^{μ_i} , respectively. Next we

replace all other Pauli matrices in (A7) with the matrix σ_2 . Finally, by using the pseudo-metric $G_{\mu,\nu}$, we perform the μ_i contractions for each i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. We obtain a linear combination of bilinear forms of the type given by (A7). We refer to these linear combinations as *comb-based bilinear forms*.

These comb-based forms are homogeneous multilinear expressions in the (complex) state coefficients, which are invariant under $SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes q}$ for q qubits. This invariance harkens back to the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariance of the antilinear single qubit combs $\sigma_2 \mathfrak{C}$ and $\sigma_\mu \mathfrak{C} \bullet \sigma^\mu \mathfrak{C}$. We formulate this statement in

Theorem A.1 *Any comb-based bilinear form (and, consequently, also its associated form) is an SL invariant.*

It has been stated in [12, 13] that the combs are SL invariant, but there is only implicit reference to the fact that this derives from the central comb property to have zero expectation value on all the local Hilbert spaces. Here we sketch a proof for this connection.

Proof:

The comb property for the operator σ_2 , namely that $\langle \sigma_2 \rangle(\psi, \psi) = 0$ for all single qubit states ψ , can be read off directly from Eq. (A6), and it can be checked by direct calculation that it is the unique operator with this property up to rescaling. Also by direct calculation we find that $\langle \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu \rangle(\psi \bullet \psi, \psi \bullet \psi) = 0$ for all single qubit states ψ . Furthermore, this is the unique form (up to rescaling) on $\mathcal{H}_q^{\bullet m}$ satisfying this condition which is symmetric under copy-permutation and orthogonal to $\langle \sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2 \rangle$ in the sense of vanishing trace norm $\|(\sigma_2 \bullet \sigma_2) \cdot (\sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu)\|_{tr} = 0$. For arbitrary $S \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ we then find that

$$0 = \langle \sigma_2 \rangle(S\psi, S\psi) = \langle S^t \sigma_2 S \rangle(\psi, \psi)$$

for all ψ and, due to the uniqueness property for the operator σ_2 , this implies $S^t \sigma_2 S = \sigma_2$. Analogously we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu \rangle(S\psi \bullet S\psi, S\psi \bullet S\psi) \\ &= \langle (S \bullet S)^t \sigma_\mu \bullet \sigma^\mu (S \bullet S) \rangle(\psi \bullet \psi, \psi \bullet \psi) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the two comb operators are $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariant. Using this $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariance of the comb operators, a q -qubit form constructed from those is seen to be invariant under $SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes q}$ by wrapping a transformation $S^{(q)} = S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_q$ with $S_j \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ back onto the states.

q.e.d.

We shall refer to the SL invariants constructed in this manner as the *comb-based invariants*. In many cases this invariant may be zero.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge discussions with Jens Siewert. One of the authors (D.D.) was supported in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

-
- [1] Brylinski, J.L., Brylinski, R.: Invariant polynomial functions on k qudits (2002). Chapter 11 in the book "Mathematics of Quantum Computation", Chapman & Hall/CRC
 - [2] Chterental, O., Đoković, D.Ž.: Normal forms and tensor ranks of pure states of four qubits p. 133 (2007). Chapter 4 in the book "Linear Algebra Research Advances", Nova Science Publishers; quant-ph/0612184
 - [3] Coffman, V., Kundu, J., Wootters, W.K.: Distributed entanglement. Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052306 (2000)
 - [4] Dür, W., Vidal, G., Cirac, J.I.: Three qubits can be entangled in two inequivalent ways. Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314 (2000)
 - [5] Fulton, W., Harris, J.: Representation theory: a first course. Springer, NY (1996). See Appendix A1
 - [6] James, G., Kerber, A.: The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group. Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts (1981)
 - [7] Landau, L.D., Lifšic, E.M.: Quantum mechanics : non-relativistic theory. Pergamon Press, Oxford (1965)
 - [8] Luque, J.G., Thibon, J.Y.: The polynomial invariants of four qubits. Phys. Rev. A **67**, 042303 (2003)
 - [9] Luque, J.G., Thibon, J.Y.: Algebraic invariants of five qubits. J. Phys. A **39**, 371 (2005)
 - [10] Macdonald, I.: Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford University Press (1998). See formulae (2.14) and (2.14') in section 2

- [11] Meyer, D.A., Wallach, N.R.: Global entanglement in multiparticle systems. *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 4273 (2002)
- [12] Osterloh, A., Siewert, J.: Constructing n-qubit entanglement monotones from anti-linear operators. *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 012337 (2005)
- [13] Osterloh, A., Siewert, J.: Entanglement monotones and maximally entangled states in multipartite qubit systems. *Int. J. Quant. Inf.* **4**, 531 (2006)
- [14] Verstraete, F., Dehaene, J., Moor, B.D.: Normal forms and entanglement measures for multipartite quantum states. *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 012103 (2003)
- [15] Vidal, G.: Entanglement monotones. *J. Mod. Opt.* **47**, 355 (2000)
- [16] Wong, A., Christensen, N.: A potential multipartite entanglement measure. *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 044301 (2001)
- [17] That is: where either it is clear what number of qubits we are talking about, or in generic statements applying to arbitrary number of qubits. We deviate from the standard definition $SL_q := SL(q, \mathbb{C})$; since we deal exclusively with qubits throughout the paper this should not cause any confusion.
- [18] Here, three different invariants are given by $\mathcal{F}_2^{(4)}$ itself and after permuting qubit one with numbers two and three respectively.
- [19] A more detailed presentation of this result will appear elsewhere.
- [20] An example is the four qubit filter $\mathcal{F}_1^{(4)}$ from Ref. [12] which is form invariant under permutation of the first three qubits only, but which in fact is an SL_4^* invariant.
- [21] It is worth emphasizing that $\epsilon = i\sigma_2$ has the physical interpretation of a *spinor-metric* [7].