

COHERENT STRUCTURES AND ISOLATED SPECTRUM FOR PERRON–FROBENIUS COCYCLES

GARY FROYLAND, SIMON LLOYD, AND ANTHONY QUAS

ABSTRACT. We present an analysis of one-dimensional models of dynamical systems that possess “coherent structures”; global structures that disperse more slowly than local trajectory separation. We study cocycles generated by expanding interval maps and the rates of decay for functions of bounded variation under the action of the associated Perron–Frobenius cocycles.

We prove that when the generators are piecewise affine and share a common Markov partition, the Lyapunov spectrum of the Perron–Frobenius cocycle has at most finitely many isolated points. Moreover, we develop a strengthened version of the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem for non-invertible matrices and construct an invariant splitting into Oseledets subspaces.

We detail examples of cocycles of expanding maps with isolated Lyapunov spectrum and calculate the Oseledets subspaces, which lead to an identification of the underlying coherent structures.

Our constructions generalise the notions of almost-invariant and almost-cyclic sets to non-autonomous dynamical systems and provide a new ensemble-based formalism for coherent structures in one-dimensional non-autonomous dynamics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Transport and mixing processes play an important role in many natural phenomena and their mathematical analysis has received considerable attention in the last two decades. The *geometric approach* to transport includes the study of invariant manifolds, which may act as barriers to particle transport and inhibit mixing. So-called *Lagrangian coherent structures* were introduced ([HY00, H01]) as finite-time proxies for invariant manifolds in non-autonomous settings. The *ergodic-theoretic approach* to transport includes the study of relaxation of initial ensemble densities to an invariant density, with a special focus on initial densities that relax more slowly than suggested by the rate of local trajectory separation. Such slowly decaying ensembles have been studied as “strange eigenmodes” ([LH04, PP03, PPE07] in fluids and have been used to identify almost-invariant sets [DJ99, F05, FP08, F08]). Until now, a suitable framework for the ergodic-theoretic approach that deals with truly non-autonomous dynamics has been lacking. The main aim of this work is to develop the fundamental structures and results that will support a non-autonomous theory for an ensemble-based approach to coherent structures.

We study non-autonomous one-dimensional dynamical systems that are given by compositions of expanding interval maps, and their action on ensembles represented by probability densities. The time evolution of a density is given by the Perron–Frobenius operator. For a single piecewise-expanding map these densities evolve

Date: 9th April 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37M25; Secondary 37H15, 37C60, 37D20.

toward an equilibrium distribution which is absolutely continuous (see [LY73]); when the map is transitive, this equilibrium distribution is also unique. Thus the equilibrium distribution is an eigenfunction of the Perron–Frobenius operator with eigenvalue 1. The exponential rate of convergence to equilibrium is governed by the spectrum of the Perron–Frobenius operator. When restricted to the space of functions of bounded variation (BV), the Perron–Frobenius operator is quasicompact (see [HK82]), meaning that there are only finitely many spectral points of modulus greater than the essential spectral radius, and each is an isolated eigenvalue of finite multiplicity. We will say an eigenvalue is *exceptional* if it is different from 1 and has modulus greater than the essential spectral radius. It is known that in the BV setting the essential spectral radius is determined by the long-term rate of separation of nearby trajectories. Eigenfunctions corresponding to exceptional eigenvalues relax more slowly to equilibrium than suggested by the local separation of trajectories. The existence of such eigenfunctions has been attributed to the presence of “almost-invariant sets” (see [DJ99, DFS00, F07]).

Exceptional eigenvalues have previously been found by considering piecewise-affine expanding maps with a Markov partition ([B96], [DFS00], [KR04]). When restricted to the space of step-functions constant on the Markov partition intervals, the associated Perron–Frobenius operator becomes a finite dimensional operator. In the present work we extend these results to the non-autonomous setting. Instead of iterating a single map, we consider a cocycle of maps and its associated Perron–Frobenius cocycle. The appropriate way to describe exponential rate of convergence to equilibrium is via the *Lyapunov spectrum* of the Perron–Frobenius cocycle. As the Perron–Frobenius operator is a Markov operator, the Lyapunov spectrum is contained in the interval $[-\infty, 0]$. We look for *exceptional* Lyapunov exponents, namely those greater than the essential upper bound of the Lyapunov spectrum but less than zero.

We obtain a Lyapunov spectral decomposition for the Perron–Frobenius cocycle into invariant subspaces with given Lyapunov exponents (see Corollary 4). This relies on a new version of the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem (see Theorem 3), which provides an invariant splitting into Oseledets spaces *even when the generators are non-invertible*. Our new version strengthens the standard Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem (see, for example [A98, Theorem 3.4.1]) where only an invariant flag of nested subspaces is supplied.

We demonstrate the existence of slow-mixing coherent structures by constructing periodic (see Theorem 1) and non-periodic (see Theorem 2) examples of Lebesgue measure-preserving one-sided cocycles with exceptional Lyapunov exponents. In each case, we calculate algebraically the Oseledets subspaces associated with the largest exceptional exponent and verify that the second largest Oseledets space captures the coherent structures.

Finally, we present an algorithm for approximating the Oseledets splitting, which is based on a new computational approach suggested by the proof of Theorem 3. We demonstrate the effectiveness of the algorithm, by approximating some Oseledets subspaces numerically.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We study the Perron–Frobenius operator of compositions of expanding maps. We first introduce the necessary notation and relevant results for autonomous systems, and then extend this to the non-autonomous case.

2.1. Autonomous systems. We say that $T : I \rightarrow I$, where $I = [0, 1]$ or S^1 , is an *expanding map* if there exists a finite partition $a_0 = 0 < a_1 < \dots < a_M = 1$ such that, for each $i = 1, \dots, M$, T is continuous on (a_{i-1}, a_i) and extends to a C^2 map on $[a_{i-1}, a_i]$ satisfying $|DT|_{(a_{i-1}, a_i)}| > 1$.

The *Perron–Frobenius* operator for an expanding map $T : I \rightarrow I$ is defined, for an L^1 function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{P}f(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}(x)} \frac{f(y)}{|DT(y)|}.$$

In [LY73], the Perron–Frobenius operator is used to prove that expanding maps have an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure. The key step of their proof is to show that the Perron–Frobenius operator contracts the norm on a suitable space of functions: the functions of bounded variation.

The *variation* of a function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a subinterval $A \subset I$ is defined by

$$\text{var}_A f := \text{var}_{x \in A} f(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| : x_i \in A, x_0 < \dots < x_k \right\}.$$

Given $f \in L^\infty \subset L^1$, the variation is defined by $\text{var}_I f = \inf\{\text{var}_I g : f = g \text{ a.e.}\}$. We denote by BV the Banach space

$$\text{BV} = \left\{ f \in L^\infty : \text{var}_I f < \infty \right\},$$

equipped with the norm $\|f\| = \max\{\|f\|_{L^1}, \text{var}_I f\}$. We denote Lebesgue measure on I by m , and $f \in \text{BV}$ is called a (*probability*) *density* if $f \geq 0$ on I (and $\|f\|_{L^1} = 1$). We write $\text{BV}_+ = \{f \in \text{BV} : f \geq 0\}$.

The Perron–Frobenius operator is *Markov*: that is, if $f \in L^1$ is a density, then $\mathcal{P}f$ is also a density and $\|\mathcal{P}f\|_{L^1} = \|f\|_{L^1}$. A probability density f^* satisfying $\mathcal{P}f^* = f^*$ is an invariant probability density for T .

Keller [K84] shows that the Perron–Frobenius operator of an expanding map has at most finitely many exceptional eigenvalues.

Theorem (Keller (1984)). *Given an expanding interval map $T : I \rightarrow I$, its Perron–Frobenius operator \mathcal{P} acting on BV has essential spectral radius*

$$\theta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in I} \left(\frac{1}{|D(T^n)(x)|} \right)^{1/n},$$

and all other spectral points are isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.

Exceptional eigenvalues have a distinguished dynamical significance as their eigenfunctions are associated with relaxation to equilibrium at exponential rates slower than the rate suggested by the average local separation of trajectories θ . For example, if $\mathcal{P}g = \lambda g$ with $\theta < |\lambda| < 1$ then an initial density $f^* + \alpha g$, $\alpha \neq 0$ will relax to f^* at a rate slower than θ .

Dellnitz and Junge [DJ99] suggested that positive real Perron–Frobenius eigenvalues near to 1 correspond to *almost-invariant sets*; more precisely, they suggested

the sets $A^+ := \{g > 0\}$ and $A^- := \{g \leq 0\}$ formed an almost-invariant partition of the state space. Dellnitz et al. [DFS00] showed the converse, presenting a class of interval maps with almost-invariant sets and proving the existence of exceptional eigenvalues. Froyland [F07] constructed a two-dimensional hyperbolic map with almost-invariant sets and proved the existence of an exceptional eigenvalue. Numerical methods have been developed ([DJ99, F05, F08]) for the computation of exceptional eigenfunctions and almost-invariant sets; these have been applied successfully in molecular dynamics ([SHD99]), astrodynamics ([D+05]), and ocean circulation ([F+07]).

Our intent in the present work is to generalise the notion of almost-invariant sets in autonomous systems to that of coherent structures in non-autonomous systems. The latter will represent structures that are perhaps quite mobile, but disperse at rates slower than suggested by local trajectory separation.

2.2. Non-autonomous systems. We will examine exceptional spectral points in the non-autonomous case, and study compositions of expanding maps taken from a finite collection, and composed in order according to given sequences.

Let σ be an ergodic automorphism of a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{H}, p) that preserves the probability p . Given a measurable/topological/vector space X , a (*one-sided*) *cocycle* over σ is a function $H : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ with the properties that for all $x \in X$ and $\omega \in \Omega$:

- $H(0, \omega, x) = x$;
- for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $H(m + n, \omega, x) = H(m, \sigma^n \omega, H(n, \omega, x))$.

We sometimes write $H^{(n)}(\omega)(x)$ for $H(n, \omega, x)$, and $H(\omega)(x)$ for $H(1, \omega, x)$. The *generator* of a cocycle H is the mapping $\tilde{H} : \Omega \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$ given by $\tilde{H}(\omega) = H(\omega)$. Since the cocycle is uniquely determined by \tilde{H} , we occasionally refer to \tilde{H} itself as the cocycle when no confusion can occur.

In the sequel, σ will frequently be a (left) shift, defined by $(\sigma\omega)_i = \omega_{i+1}$, acting on a two-sided sequence space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, p) on K symbols, where $\Omega \subset (Z_K)^\mathbb{Z}$, $Z_K = \{1, \dots, K\}$, is invariant under σ . The shift σ preserves the probability p and is ergodic with respect to p .

Definition. Let $\{T_i\}_{i \in Z_K}$, be a collection of expanding maps of I , and let $\mathcal{P}_i : \text{BV} \rightarrow \text{BV}$ be the Perron–Frobenius operator associated to $T_i : I \rightarrow I$. The *map cocycle generated by* $\{T_i\}_{i \in Z_K}$, denoted by $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times I \rightarrow I$, is defined to be the one-sided cocycle with generator $\tilde{\Phi}(\omega) = T_{\omega_0} \in \{T_i\}_{i \in Z_K}$. Associated to Φ is the *Perron–Frobenius cocycle* $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times \text{BV} \rightarrow \text{BV}$, which is defined to be the one-sided cocycle with generator $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) = \mathcal{P}_{\omega_0} \in \{\mathcal{P}_i\}_{i \in Z_K}$.

Notice that even though we use a two-sided shift space, we only form one-sided cocycles not two-sided cocycles. This is because the expanding maps are non-invertible, as are their Perron–Frobenius operators.

We say a cocycle is *periodic* if the underlying shift space Ω is generated by a single element: that is, there exists $R \in \mathbb{N}$, called the *period*, and $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\Omega = \{\omega, \sigma\omega, \dots, \sigma^{R-1}\omega\}$; we say a cocycle is *autonomous* if Ω contains a single element.

3. QUASICOMPACTNESS OF THE TRANSFER COCYCLE

Information about the exponential decay rates of the Perron–Frobenius cocycle is given by its Lyapunov spectrum.

Definition. We denote by $\lambda(\omega, f)$ the *Lyapunov exponent* of $f \in \text{BV}$, defined

$$\lambda(\omega, f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\omega)f\|.$$

We define the *Lyapunov spectrum* $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)) \subset \mathbb{R}$ of the Perron–Frobenius cocycle at ω to be the set

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)) := \{\lambda(\omega, f) : f \in \text{BV}\}.$$

The exponential rate of decay that can be expected purely from the local expansion is the *essential upper bound*

$$\vartheta(\omega) := - \inf_{x \in I} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)(x)| < 0,$$

which we denote by ϑ if independent of ω . Points in $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega))$ that are greater than $\vartheta(\omega)$ indicate the presence of large-scale structures that reduce the rate of mixing of the system, except for the maximal Lyapunov exponent, 0, which is associated with an invariant density. We refer to Lyapunov spectral points in the interval $(\vartheta(\omega), 0)$ as *exceptional*.

In order to find systems with exceptional Lyapunov spectrum, we restrict our attention to map cocycles generated by piecewise-affine maps with a Markov partition. We say an expanding map $T : I \rightarrow I$ is *piecewise-affine* if there is a partition $a_0 = 0 < a_1 < \dots < a_m = 1$ such that T has constant derivative on each interval (a_{i-1}, a_i) . Recall that, for a map $T : I \rightarrow I$, a partition $\mathcal{B} = \{B_k\}_{k=1}^M$ of I into intervals is called a *Markov partition* if for each pair $1 \leq i, j \leq M$ such that $B_i \cap T(B_j) \neq \emptyset$, we have $B_i \subset T(B_j)$. Associated to T is a *transition matrix* $\Gamma = (\gamma_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq M}$, where $\gamma_{i,j} = 1$ if $T(B_j) \supset B_i$ and 0 otherwise. Given a partition, we denote the set of partitioning points by $S_{\mathcal{B}} = I \setminus \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$.

For a Markov partition \mathcal{B} , we let $\chi(\mathcal{B})$ denote the space of step-functions $I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are constant on the intervals of \mathcal{B} . We say \mathcal{B} is a *common Markov partition* for a collection of maps $\{T_i\}_{i \in Z_K}$ if it is a Markov partition for each map T_i . If the generators of the map cocycle have a common Markov partition \mathcal{B} , then $\chi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \text{BV}$ is an invariant subspace for the associated Perron–Frobenius cocycle.

We now fix a collection $\mathcal{T} := \{T_i\}_{i \in Z_K}$ of piecewise-affine expanding maps with a common Markov partition \mathcal{B} . Clearly $\chi(\mathcal{B})$ is an invariant subspace for the Perron–Frobenius cocycle. Let F be the quotient space $\text{BV}/\chi(\mathcal{B})$ with norm $\|f\|_F := \|f - Qf\|$, where $Q : \text{BV} \rightarrow \chi(\mathcal{B})$ is the projection

$$Qf(x) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha_B(f) \chi_B(x), \quad \alpha_B(f) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(s) \, ds.$$

We identify an element $f + \chi(\mathcal{B}) \in F$ with the function $f - Qf$. Thus $f \in F$ is characterised as having zero mean on each interval $B \in \mathcal{B}$: that is, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $\int_B f(s) \, ds = 0$.

The following Lemma bounds the growth rate for functions in F ; we will shortly see that $\vartheta(\omega)$ is the essential upper bound for the Lyapunov spectrum of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$.

Lemma 1. *Let Φ be a map cocycle generated by piecewise-affine expanding maps, with a common Markov partition \mathcal{B} . Then $\|\mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\omega)|_F\| \leq \sup_I \frac{3}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus*

$$\sup_{f \in F} \lambda(\omega, f) \leq \vartheta(\omega).$$

Proof. The common Markov partition \mathcal{B} allows for the following estimate of the variation of the Perron–Frobenius cocycle:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}_I \mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\omega)f &\leq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \text{var}_I (\chi_A \cdot \mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\omega)f) \\ &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \text{var}_{x \in I} \left(\chi_A(x) \cdot \sum_{y \in [\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)]^{-1}(x)} \frac{f(y)}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)(y)|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \text{var}_{x \in I} \left(\sum_{y \in [\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)]^{-1}(x)} \frac{\chi_A(\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)(y)) \cdot f(y)}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)(y)|} \right) \\ &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \text{var}_I \left(\sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\omega) \\ \Phi^{(n)}(\omega)B=A}} \frac{\chi_A \circ \Phi^{(n)}(\omega) \cdot f}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\omega) \\ \Phi^{(n)}(\omega)B=A}} \text{var}_I \left(\frac{\chi_B \cdot f}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\omega) = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{(i)}(\omega)^{-1}\mathcal{B}$. Thus

$$(2) \quad \text{var}_I \mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\omega)f \leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\omega)} \text{var}_I \left(\frac{\chi_B \cdot f}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} \right).$$

We show that $\text{var}_I(f - Qf) \geq \|f - Qf\|_{L^1}$ for $f \in \text{BV}$, from which it follows that $\|f\|_F = \text{var}_I(f - Qf)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - Qf\|_{L^1} &= \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \int_B |(f - Qf)(s)| \, ds \\ &\leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |B| \left(\text{ess sup}_{x \in B} (f - Qf)(x) - \text{ess inf}_{x \in B} (f - Qf)(x) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \left(\text{ess sup}_{x \in B} (f - Qf)(x) - \text{ess inf}_{x \in B} (f - Qf)(x) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \text{var}_B (f - Qf) \\ (3) \quad &\leq \text{var}_I (f - Qf). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi(f(x)) = |\lim_{y \nearrow x} f(y) - \lim_{y \searrow x} f(y)|$ denote the *jump* of f at x . Now, suppose $f \in F$. Applying (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{P}^{(n)}(\omega)f\| &\leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\omega)} \operatorname{var}_I \frac{\chi_B \cdot f}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} \\
&\leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\omega)} \operatorname{var}_B \frac{f}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} + 2 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}^{(n)}(\omega)}} \xi \left(\frac{f(x)}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)(x)|} \right) \\
&\leq 3 \operatorname{var}_I \frac{f}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|}, \\
&\leq \sup_I \frac{3}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} \cdot \operatorname{var}_I f, \\
(4) \quad &= \sup_I \frac{3}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} \cdot \|f\|,
\end{aligned}$$

since $f \in F$ by (3), giving the first part. Hence, for any $f \in F$,

$$\lambda(\omega, f) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{3}{|D\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)|} \cdot \|f\| \right) = \vartheta(\omega)$$

and the second result follows. \square

We now prove that the exceptional Lyapunov spectrum of \mathcal{P} is contained in $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})})$. For the autonomous case, see for example [BK98, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition. *Let Φ be a map cocycle generated by piecewise-affine expanding maps, with a common Markov partition \mathcal{B} . Then the Lyapunov spectrum of the Perron-Frobenius cocycle $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ satisfies*

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)) \subset \{x \leq \vartheta(\omega)\} \cup \Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}),$$

and thus $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ has at most $\#\mathcal{B}$ exceptional Lyapunov exponents.

Proof. Each $f \in \text{BV}$ has a unique decomposition as a sum $f = f_{\chi(\mathcal{B})} + f_F$, where $f_{\chi(\mathcal{B})} := Q(f) \in \chi(\mathcal{B})$ and $f_F := f - Q(f) \in F = \text{BV}/\chi(\mathcal{B})$. Notice that $\lambda(\omega, f) \leq \max\{\lambda(\omega, f_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}), \lambda(\omega, f_F)\}$. Thus, if $\lambda(\omega, f_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}) \leq \lambda(\omega, f_F)$, then we have $\lambda(\omega, f) \leq \vartheta(\omega)$ by Lemma 1. Otherwise, we have $\lambda(\omega, f_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}) > \lambda(\omega, f_F)$, in which case $\lambda(\omega, f) = \lambda(\omega, f_{\chi(\mathcal{B})})$. \square

4. A STRONGER MULTIPLICATIVE ERGODIC THEOREM FOR NON-INVERTIBLE MATRICES

By Proposition 3, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, all exceptional Lyapunov exponents of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ are contained in the Lyapunov spectrum $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})})$. We now represent $\mathcal{P}(\omega)|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$ as a matrix cocycle.

The set $\{\chi(B_i)\}_{i=1}^M$ forms a basis for $\chi(\mathcal{B})$, and thus each $f \in \chi(\mathcal{B})$ may be written as $f = \sum_{i=1}^M v_i \chi_{B_i}$ in a unique way. Similarly, given $v \in \mathbb{R}^M$, we write $\langle v \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^M v_i \chi_{B_i}$ for the corresponding function in BV .

For $T \in \mathcal{T}$, the matrix $P = (p_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq M}$, where

$$p_{i,j} = \frac{\gamma_{j,i}}{|DT|_{B_j}} = \frac{m(T^{-1}(B_i) \cap B_j)}{m(B_j)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq M,$$

represents the Perron–Frobenius operator for T with respect to the basis $\{\chi(B_i)\}_{i=1}^M$ of $\chi(\mathcal{B})$ (see, for example, [BG97, p.176]). That is, for each $v \in \mathbb{R}^M$ we have

$$\mathcal{P}\langle v \rangle = \langle Pv \rangle.$$

Let P_i denote the matrix representing the restricted Perron–Frobenius operator $\mathcal{P}_i|_{\chi(B_i)}$ with respect to the basis $\{\chi(B_i)\}_{i=1}^M$. The *matrix cocycle* $A : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ is the one-sided cocycle with generator $\tilde{A}(\omega) = P_{\omega_0}$.

Thus for each $\omega \in \Omega$, all exceptional Lyapunov exponents of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ are captured by the Lyapunov spectrum of the cocycle $\Lambda(A(\omega)) = \Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})})$.

The Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem for one-sided cocycles (see, for example, [A98, Theorem 3.4.1]) provides us with a description of the asymptotic behaviour of the matrix cocycle $A(\omega)$. It reveals that the Lyapunov spectra $0 = \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_\ell \geq -\infty$ of $A(\omega)$ coincide for all ω in a σ -invariant $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ of full p -measure. Moreover, it states that for each $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, a Lyapunov exponent $\lambda(\omega, v)$ of $v \in \mathbb{R}^M$ for $A(\omega)$ is determined by the position of v within a *flag* of nested subspaces $\{0\} = V_\ell(\omega) \subset \dots \subset V_2(\omega) \subset V_1(\omega) = \chi(\mathcal{B})$. Specifically, for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$,

$$(5) \quad \lambda(\omega, v) = \lambda_i \iff v \in V_i(\omega) \setminus V_{i+1}(\omega).$$

In addition, the flag of subspaces is preserved by the action of the cocycle: for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$,

$$A(\omega)V_i(\omega) \subset V_i(\sigma\omega).$$

For two-sided matrix cocycles (see, for example, [A98, Theorem 3.4.11]), by intersecting the corresponding subspaces of the flags for the cocycle and for its inverse, one obtains an *Oseledets splitting*: that is, for each $\omega \in \Omega$ we have a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} W_i(\omega)$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$,

$$v \in W_i(\omega) \setminus \{0\} \implies \lambda(\omega, v) = \lambda_i$$

and

$$A(\omega)W_i(\omega) = W_i(\sigma\omega).$$

Our matrix cocycle $A(\omega)$ sits between these two extremes: the shift σ is invertible, but the matrices $\{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_K}$ generating $A(\omega)$ are not. Because of the non-invertibility of the cocycle, we cannot use the standard approach described above to define an Oseledets splitting. The following new result relies on a push-forward approach to prove the existence of an Oseledets splitting even when the generators are non-invertible.

Theorem 3. *Let σ be an ergodic invertible measure-preserving transformation of the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Let $A : \Omega \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{R})$ be a measurable family of matrices satisfying*

$$\int \log^+ \|A(\omega)\| \, d\mu(\omega) < \infty.$$

Then there exist $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_\ell \geq -\infty$ and dimensions m_1, \dots, m_ℓ , with $m_1 + \dots + m_\ell = d$, and a measurable family of subspaces $W_j(\omega) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the following hold:

- (1) $\dim W_j(\omega) = m_j$;
- (2) $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} W_j(\omega)$;
- (3) $A(\omega)W_j(\omega) \subseteq W_j(\sigma\omega)$ (with equality if $\lambda_j > -\infty$);

(4) for all $v \in W_j(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log \|A(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) \dots A(\omega)v\| = \lambda_j.$$

Proof. See Section 8 □

Remark. It follows from part (iv) of Theorem 3 that we can determine the Lyapunov exponent for any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^M \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\lambda(\omega, v) = \lambda_i \iff v \in \bigoplus_{k=i}^{\ell+1} W_k(\omega) \setminus \bigoplus_{k=i+1}^{\ell+1} W_k(\omega),$$

where we set $W_{\ell+1}(\omega) = \{0\}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

We now apply Theorem 3 to our matrix cocycle $A(\omega)$ induced by $P(\omega)|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$. Consider the part of the Lyapunov spectrum of $A(\omega)$ that is greater than $\vartheta(\omega)$. Let $r \leq \ell$ satisfy $\lambda_{r+1} \leq \vartheta(\omega) < \lambda_r$. Thus, the part of $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})})$ strictly greater than $\vartheta(\omega)$ is precisely $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r$. It follows from Proposition 3 that the exceptional Lyapunov spectrum of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is precisely $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$. By defining $\mathcal{W}_i(\omega) = \{v : v \in W_i(\omega)\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, we transfer the splitting of \mathbb{R}^M obtained from Theorem 3 into a splitting of $\chi(\mathcal{B})$ to get the following result:

Corollary. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, p, \sigma)$ be a measurable sequence space, and $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times I \rightarrow I$ be the cocycle generated by piecewise-affine expanding maps, with a common Markov partition \mathcal{B} . Then there exists a forward invariant full measure subset $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$, $0 = \lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > \vartheta(\omega)$, and m_1, \dots, m_r , satisfying $m_1 + \dots + m_r \leq \#\mathcal{B}$, such that for all $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$,*

- (1) there exist subspaces $\mathcal{W}_i(\omega) \subset \text{BV}$, $i = 0, \dots, r$, $\dim(\mathcal{W}_i(\omega)) = m_i$;
- (2) $\mathcal{P}(\omega)\mathcal{W}_i(\omega) = \mathcal{W}_i(\sigma\omega)$;
- (3) $\langle v \rangle \in \mathcal{W}_i(\omega) \setminus \{0\} \implies \lambda(\omega, v) = \lambda_i$.

Note that for periodic cocycles, $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega$.

5. CONSTRUCTION OF PERIODIC COCYCLES WITH EXCEPTIONAL LYAPUNOV SPECTRUM

In this section we build a periodic map cocycle for which the Perron–Frobenius cocycle has an exceptional Lyapunov spectrum.

In [DFS00] individual maps are constructed for which the Perron–Frobenius operator has exceptional eigenvalues. The construction uses so-called ‘almost-invariant’ sets. Given a map $T : I \rightarrow I$ with an absolutely continuous invariant probability measure μ , a subset $U \subset I$ is almost-invariant if

$$\frac{\mu(U \cap T^{-1}U)}{\mu(U)} \approx 1.$$

For a map with an almost-invariant set U , the transfer of mass between U and $I \setminus U$ is low, and so we expect to find that a mean-zero function positive on U and negative on $I \setminus U$ decays to zero slowly. It is shown that for piecewise-affine Markov maps, one often obtains an almost-invariant set from the support of either the positive or negative part of the eigenfunction associated to the second largest eigenvalue of the Perron–Frobenius operator.

For this first example, we construct a cocycle over a periodic shift space of period 3 that has a cyclic coherent structure. More precisely, we take three maps, each

having a distinct interval from the partition $\mathcal{J} = \{[0, 1/3], [1/3, 2/3], [2/3, 1]\}$ of S^1 as an almost-invariant set. Post-composing these maps with the rotation by $1/3$, we form three new maps which we apply in sequence repeatedly, thus forming a periodic map cocycle Φ . In this way, each generator $\tilde{\Phi}(\omega)$ of the map cocycle moves the majority of the mass of one distinguished interval $J(\omega) \in \mathcal{J}$ into another interval $J(\sigma\omega) \in \mathcal{J}$ with some small dissipation. Thus a map $J : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ specifies the location of our coherent structure.

Theorem 1. *There exist three piecewise-affine expanding maps $T_1, T_2, T_3 : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ with a common Markov partition \mathcal{B} that generate a map cocycle $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ over the sequence space $\Omega \subset \mathbb{Z}_3^{\mathbb{Z}}$ generated by $\alpha = \overline{123}$ with the following properties for $i = 1, 2, 3$:*

- (1) *each map T_i preserves Lebesgue measure;*
- (2) *$\vartheta = \log 1/3$;*
- (3) *each finite dimensional restriction $\mathcal{P}_i|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$ of the Perron–Frobenius operator of T_i has no exceptional eigenvalues;*
- (4) *$\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ has an exceptional Lyapunov spectrum that is independent of ω and satisfies*

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{P}) \cap (\vartheta, 0) \supset \left\{ \log \left(\frac{\sqrt[3]{8 \pm 2\sqrt{11}}}{3} \right) \right\}.$$

- (5) *the Oseledets subspaces $\mathcal{W}_2(\omega)$ corresponding to the largest exceptional Lyapunov exponent exists for all $\omega \in \Omega$, and depends only on ω_0 .*

For periodic map cocycles, one can find Lyapunov spectral points from the eigenvalues of the cyclic composition of Perron–Frobenius operators.

Lemma 2. *Consider a periodic map cocycle $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times I \rightarrow \Omega \times I$ of period R . If η is an eigenvalue of the Perron–Frobenius operator $\mathcal{P}^{(R)}(\omega)$, then*

$$\frac{\log \eta}{R} \in \Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega)).$$

Proof. There exists a function $0 \neq f \in \text{BV}$ such that $\mathcal{P}^{(R)}(\omega)f = \eta f$. Hence for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq r < R$,

$$\min_{0 \leq i < R} \{\|\mathcal{P}^{(i)}(\omega)f\|\} \leq \frac{\|\mathcal{P}^{(kR+r)}(\omega)f\|}{\eta^k} \leq \max_{0 \leq i < R} \{\|\mathcal{P}^{(i)}(\omega)f\|\},$$

and the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the partition $\mathcal{J} = \{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of S^1 into the subintervals $J_i = [(i-1)/3, i/3]$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times I \rightarrow \Omega \times I$ be the map cocycle with generator $\tilde{\Phi}(\omega) = T_{\omega_0}$, where the maps $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ are given by

$$T_i(x) = 3x - \frac{j}{3} + \frac{G_{i,j}}{9} \pmod{1}, \quad x \in B_j = \left[\frac{j-1}{9}, \frac{j}{9} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, 9,$$

where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 3 & 6 & 2 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The graphs of T_1, T_2, T_3 are shown in Figure 1: note that, by construction, each map T_i largely maps the interval J_i into the interval J_{i+1} , taking indices modulo 3: in fact, for $i \in 1, 2, 3$,

$$\frac{m(J_i \cap T^{-1}J_{i+1})}{m(J_i)} = \frac{8}{9}.$$

Thus we have a coherent structure built around the family of intervals $J : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ given by $J(\omega) = J_{\omega_0}$.




FIGURE 1. Graphs of T_1, T_2, T_3 .

Note also that each map T_i is piecewise-affine expanding and there is a common Markov partition for \mathcal{T} given by $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i : i = 1, \dots, 9\}$. Notice that for each map $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and interval $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $DT|_B = 3$, and so $\vartheta(\omega) = \log 1/3$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

Moreover, for each map $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and interval $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the preimage $T^{-1}B$ has precisely three components, each of one third of the length of B . Thus each $T \in \mathcal{T}$ preserves Lebesgue measure, and hence each $\Phi^{(n)}(\omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does also.

As before, let P_i denote the matrix of the restriction $\mathcal{P}_i|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$ with respect to the basis $\{\chi(B)\}_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_9}$. Here $P_i = \Gamma_{T_i}/3$ is the one third scaling of the transition matrix Γ_{T_i} , which is itself easily observed from the graph of T_i : the (p, q) th entry of the 0-1 matrix Γ_i is 1 if and only if the graph of T_i intersects the (p, q) th square of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$. Each matrix P_i has a simple eigenvalue 1, and all other non-zero eigenvalues lie on the circle of radius $1/3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spec}(P_1) &= (1, -1/3, -1/3, 0, \dots, 0) \\ \text{spec}(P_2) &= (1, 1/3, 0, \dots, 0) \\ \text{spec}(P_3) &= (1, -1/3, -1/6 \pm i\sqrt{3}/6, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Unlike in Theorem 2 in the following section, the maps used here cannot be expressed as different rotations of a single map.

We can find slowly decaying functions by examining the triple composition $\Phi^{(3)}(\alpha) = T_3 \circ T_2 \circ T_1$. The Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{P}^{(3)}(\alpha)$, when restricted to the space $\chi(\mathcal{B})$, can be represented by the matrix $A^{(3)}(\alpha) = P_3 P_2 P_1$. We have

$$\text{spec}(A^{(3)}(\alpha)) = \left(1, \frac{2}{27}(4 \pm 2\sqrt{11}), 0, \dots, 0\right)$$

Since the cocycle is periodic, we find that the spectrum of $A^{(3)}(\omega)$ is independent of $\omega \in \Omega$. Applying Lemma 2 we have that $\Lambda(\mathcal{P})$ has the two exceptional elements with approximate values

$$\lambda_2 \approx \log 0.8153, \quad \lambda_3 \approx \log 0.3699.$$




FIGURE 2. The graph of $\langle w_2 \rangle \in \chi(\mathcal{B})$ for Theorem 1.

Moreover, these Lyapunov exponents are achieved by the corresponding eigenvectors of $A^{(3)}(\omega)$. For $\omega = \alpha$, the space $W_2(\alpha)$ is spanned by the second eigenvector w_2 of the matrix $A^{(3)}(\alpha) = P_3 P_2 P_1$, with approximate entries

$$w_2 = (0.105, 0.193, 0.193, 0.008, -0.059, -0.059, -0.113, -0.134, -0.134),$$

and the graph of $\langle w_2 \rangle \in \chi(\mathcal{B})$, which spans $\mathcal{W}_2(\alpha)$, is shown in Figure 2. For $i = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{W}_2(\sigma^i \alpha)$ is spanned by $\langle w_2 \rangle \circ \rho^{-i}$, where $\rho : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is the rotation $\rho(x) = x + 1/3 \pmod{1}$. \square

Evidence of the cyclic coherent structure is visible in the second eigenfunction of the Perron–Frobenius operator. Note that $J(\alpha) = [0, 1/3]$ supports the majority of the mass of the positive part of $\langle w_2 \rangle$. Similarly, the distinguished interval $J(\sigma^i \alpha) = [(i-1)/3, i/3]$, $i = 1, 2$, is picked up by $\langle w_2 \rangle \circ \rho^{-i}$.

6. CONSTRUCTION OF NON-PERIODIC COCYCLES WITH EXCEPTIONAL LYAPUNOV SPECTRUM

We now construct a non-periodic map cocycle with exceptional Lyapunov spectrum. The map cocycle is generated by six maps, including T_1 used in the previous example. The shift space is taken to be a subshift of finite type that has the Bernoulli shift on two symbols $(Z_2^{\mathbb{Z}}, \theta, \mu)$ as a factor.

Let $\Theta \subset Z_6^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the subshift of finite type

$$\Theta := \{\omega \in (Z_6)^{\mathbb{Z}} : \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, (\omega_k, \omega_{k+1}) = (i, j) \text{ iff } E_{i,j} = 1\},$$

with transition matrix

$$E = (E_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 6} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

We let $\sigma : \Theta \rightarrow \Theta$ be the left shift, and p the uniform measure on Θ . Notice that $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \theta, \mu)$ is a factor of (Θ, σ, p) via the mapping

$$h(\omega)_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \omega_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ 1, & \omega_i \in \{4, 5, 6\}. \end{cases}$$

The six maps are obtained from T_1 by rotations, and constructed so that

$$(6) \quad \frac{m(J_i \cap T^{-1}J_{i+1})}{m(J_i)} = \frac{8}{9} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(7) \quad \frac{m(J_i \cap T^{-1}J_{i-1})}{m(J_i)} = \frac{8}{9} \quad \text{for } i = 4, 5, 6.$$

From these maps we construct a map cocycle with a non-periodic coherent structure that is responsible for the slow decay.

Theorem 2. *There exists a collection \mathcal{S} of six piecewise-affine expanding maps $S_1, \dots, S_6 : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ with a common Markov partition \mathcal{B} that generate a map cocycle $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Theta \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ with the following properties for $i = 1, \dots, 6$:*

- (1) *each map S_i preserves Lebesgue measure;*
- (2) *$\vartheta = \log 1/3$;*
- (3) *the restricted Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{P}_i|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$ has no exceptional eigenvalues;*
- (4) *for each $\omega \in \Theta$, $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}(\omega))$ contains a unique exceptional exponent*

$$\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{3}.$$

- (5) *there exists an Oseledets decomposition for all $\omega \in \Theta$, and the Oseledets subspace $\mathcal{W}_2(\omega)$ depends only on ω_0 .*

Proof. Let $\rho : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the rotation $x \mapsto x + 1/3 \pmod{1}$ and let $S : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the map given by

$$S(x) = 3x - \frac{j}{3} + \frac{g_j}{9} \pmod{1}, \quad x \in B_j = \left[\frac{j-1}{9}, \frac{j}{9} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, 9,$$

where $g = (3, 4, 3, 7, 0, 6, 1, 0, 6)$. The interval $J_1 = [0, 1/3]$ is an almost-invariant subset of S^1 , with $m(J_1 \cap S^{-1}J_1)/m(J_1) = 8/9$. Let P_S be the matrix of $\mathcal{P}_S|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$ with respect to the basis $\chi(\mathcal{B})$. The spectrum of P_S is


$$\text{spec}(P_S) = \left(1, \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{2}}{3}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

We define the collection of maps $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_6}$ in terms of S and ρ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \rho \circ S \\ S_2 &= \rho^2 \circ S \circ \rho^2 \\ S_3 &= S \circ \rho \\ S_4 &= \rho^2 \circ S \\ S_5 &= S \circ \rho^2 \\ S_6 &= \rho \circ S \circ \rho. \end{aligned}$$

The graphs of S_1, \dots, S_6 are shown in Figure 3. Note that the graph of S_1 is the same as that of T_1 shown in Figure 1.

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Theta \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the map cocycle with generator $\tilde{\Phi}(\omega) = S_{\omega_0} \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{J_i\}_{i=1}^3$, where $J_i = [(i-1)/3, i/3]$. As a consequence of (6) and (7), we

FIGURE 3. Graphs of S_1, \dots, S_6 .

have a coherent structure built around the family of intervals $J : \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$, where

$$J(\omega) = \begin{cases} J_{\omega_0}, & \text{if } \omega_0 \leq 3; \\ J_{\omega_0-3}, & \text{if } \omega_0 > 3. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{P}_i be the Perron–Frobenius operator of S_i . Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Theta \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ the Perron–Frobenius cocycle associated to Φ . Let P_i be the matrix representing $\mathcal{P}_i|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$ with respect to the basis $\chi(\mathcal{B})$ and let $A : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Theta \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the matrix cocycle with generator $\tilde{A}(\omega) = P_{\omega_0}$. Let R denote the matrix with $R_{i,j} = 1$ if $i - j = 3 \pmod{9}$ and 0 otherwise. Note that R^3 is the identity matrix. For $i = 1, \dots, 6$, the formula for P_i is obtained directly from the formula for S_i by replacing ρ by R and replacing S by P_S . Thus, for $i = 1, \dots, 6$, we may write $P_i = R^{l_i} \cdot P_S \cdot R^{r_i}$, where $l = (1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1)$ and $r = (0, 2, 1, 0, 2, 1)$.

One may confirm that


$$\text{spec}(P_i) = \begin{cases} (1, -1/3, -1/3, 0, \dots, 0), & \text{if } i \leq 3; \\ (1, 0, \dots, 0), & \text{if } i > 3, \end{cases}$$

and so no map in \mathcal{S} has exceptional eigenvalues.

Note that whenever $E_{i,j} = 1$, we find $l_i + r_j = 0 \pmod{3}$. Hence for any $\omega \in \Theta$, we have that

$$A^{(n)}(\omega) = R^{l(\omega_{n-1})} \circ (P_S)^n \circ R^{r(\omega_0)},$$

with all inner R factors cancelling.

FIGURE 4. The graph of $\langle w_2 \rangle \in \chi(\mathcal{B})$ for Theorem 2.

Hence for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{(n)}(\omega)v\| &= \|R^{\omega_{n-1}} \cdot (P_S)^n \cdot R^{r_{\omega_0}} v\| \\ &= \|(P_S)^n \cdot R^{r(\omega_0)} v\| \\ &= \|(P_S)^n \cdot v'\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $v' = R^{r(\omega_0)}v$. So $\Lambda(A)$ is precisely the set of logarithms of the eigenvalues of P_S , and in particular, is independent of ω . Thus, $\Lambda(\mathcal{P})$ has a unique exceptional exponent $\log(1 + \sqrt{2})/3$ with approximate value $\log 0.8047$ for every $\omega \in \Theta$.

Let w_2 be an eigenvector of P_S corresponding to the second largest eigenvalue $(1 + \sqrt{2})/3$. The graph of $\langle w_2 \rangle \in \chi(\mathcal{B})$, which spans $\mathcal{W}_2(\alpha)$, is shown in Figure 4. Moreover, we have an Oseledets splitting for every $\omega \in \Theta$: for each $\omega \in \Theta$, the function $\langle R^{-r(\omega_0)}w_2 \rangle$ spans the Oseledets subspace $\mathcal{W}_2(\omega)$ associated to $\log(1 + \sqrt{2})/3$ and thus $\mathcal{W}_2(\omega)$ depends only on ω_0 . \square

As in the periodic example, the coherent structure responsible for the slow decay is detected by the second eigenfunction of the Perron–Frobenius operator. When $\omega_0 = 1$, $J(\omega) = [0, 1/3]$ is the distinguished interval for $\Phi(\omega)$, and this interval supports the majority of the mass of the positive part of the function $\langle w_2 \rangle$ spanning $\mathcal{W}_2(\omega)$. More generally, for $\omega \in \Theta$, the positive part of $\langle w_2 \rangle \circ \rho^{-r(\omega_0)} = \langle R^{-r(\omega_0)}w_2 \rangle$ is supported approximately on the interval $J(\omega)$.

7. NUMERICAL APPROXIMATION OF OSELEDETS SUBSPACES

In this section we outline a numerical algorithm to approximate the $W_i(\omega)$ subspaces. The Oseledets splittings for the cocycles in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 were explicitly constructed as eigenvectors. In general, the Oseledets splittings are difficult to compute. The algorithm is based on the push-forward limit argument developed in the proof of Theorem 3.


Algorithm (Approximation of the Oseledets subspaces $W_i(\omega)$ at $\omega \in \Omega$).

(1) Choose $M, N > 0$ and form

$$\Psi^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega) := (A^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega))^T A^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega)^{1/2M}$$

as an approximation to the standard limiting matrix

$$B(\sigma^{-N}\omega) := \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left(A^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega)^T A^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega) \right)^{1/2M}$$

FIGURE 5. Graphs of T_4 , T_5 and T_6 .

appearing in the *Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem*.

- (2) Calculate the orthonormal eigenspace decomposition of $\Psi^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega)$, denoted by $U_i^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega)$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.
- (3) Define $W_i^{(M,N)}(\omega) := A^{(N)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega)U_i^{(M)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega)$ via the push forward under the matrix cocycle.
- (4) $W_i^{(M,N)}(\omega)$ is our numerical approximation to $W_i(\omega)$.

Remarks.

- (1) Theorem 3 states that $W_i^{(\infty,N)}(\omega) \rightarrow W_i(\omega)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) This algorithm also provides an efficient numerical method for calculating the Oseledets subspaces for two-sided linear cocycles.
- (3) There is freedom in the choice of relative sizes of M and N : in order to sample equal numbers of positive and negative terms of ω , we take $M = 2N$.

Example. To illustrate this technique, we calculate the Oseledets subspaces $W_2(\omega)$, $\omega \in \Theta$, for a non-periodic map cocycle, created from the maps of Theorem 1 and the sequence space Θ of Theorem 2. Unlike the example of Theorem 2, this example does not have a simple structure that makes it possible to relate the Oseledets subspaces to those of a single autonomous transformation.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^6$ denote the collection of piecewise-affine expanding maps of the circle consisting of the three maps T_1, T_2, T_3 defined in Theorem 1 and the three maps $T_4 = \rho \circ T_1$, $T_5 = \rho \circ T_2$ and $T_6 = \rho \circ T_3$, where $\rho : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is the rotation $\rho(x) = x + 1/3 \pmod{1}$ as before. The graphs of the maps in \mathcal{T} are shown in Figures 1 and 5. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Theta \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the map cocycle generated by \mathcal{T} . The collection \mathcal{T} has a common Markov partition $\mathcal{B} = \{[(i-1)/9, i/9] : i = 1, \dots, 9\}$. We expect to find an exceptional Lyapunov spectrum since the cocycle has a coherent structure similar to that of Theorem 2, built around the family of intervals $J : \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ given by

$$J(\omega) = \begin{cases} J_{\omega_0}, & \text{if } \omega_0 \leq 3; \\ J_{\omega_0-3}, & \text{if } \omega_0 > 3. \end{cases}$$

We generate a test sequence in Θ as follows. Let $\hat{\alpha}^* \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the fractional part of the binary expansion of π :

$$\hat{\alpha}^* = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots),$$

and extend it to a two-sided sequence $\alpha^* \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by defining $\alpha_i^* = 0$ for $i < 0$. We define $\omega^* = h^{-1}(\sigma^{120}\alpha^*)$, where h is the 3-to-1 factor defined in Section 6, and we take the inverse branch with $\omega_0^* = 1$. Note that $\omega^* \in \Theta$ has the form

$$\omega^* = (\dots, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, \underline{5}, 4, 6, 2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 3, \underline{1}, 5, 1, 5, 4, 6, 2, 6, 5, 1, \dots),$$

where the zeroth term is underlined.

As before, we denote by P_i the matrix representation of the Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathcal{P}_i|_{\chi(\mathcal{B})}$ of T_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$, with respect the basis $\chi(\mathcal{B})$, and denote by $A: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Theta \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ the matrix cocycle with the generator $\tilde{A}(\omega) = P_{\omega_0}$. The Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem states that for almost every ω , $\Psi^{(M)}(\omega)$ converges to a limit $B(\omega)$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, and moreover

$$\Lambda(A) = \log \text{spec}(B).$$

Calculating $\Psi^{(M)}(\omega^*)$ for $M = 40$, we find that $\Psi^{(M)}(\omega^*)$ has a simple eigenvalue $\lambda_2 \approx 0.81$, suggesting that that \mathcal{P} has exceptional Lyapunov exponent approximately equal to $\log 0.81$.

In order to approximate the Oseledets subspace $W_2(\omega^*)$ numerically, we set $M = 2N = 40$, form the matrix $\Psi^{(2N)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega^*)$ and denote by $u_2^{(2N)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega^*)$ the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_2 . We then calculate

$$A^{(N)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega^*)u_2^{(2N)}(\sigma^{-N}\omega^*)$$

and normalize to give the vector $w_2^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)$. The unit vector $w_2^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)$ is our approximation to a unit vector spanning the subspace $W_2(\omega^*)$.

Although Theorem 3 holds only for a full p -measure subset of Θ , and so can tell us nothing about a particular sequence such as ω^* , we can still check whether its conclusions hold in this case. Taking $N = 20$, we calculate for $k = 0, \dots, 7$, a vector $w_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma^k\omega^*)$ spanning $W_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma^k\omega^*)$ (see Figure 6).




FIGURE 6. The graph of $\langle w_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma^k\omega^*) \rangle$ for $k = 0, \dots, 7$.

Recall that $\{\omega^*\}_{i=0}^7 = \{1, 5, 1, 5, 4, 6, 2, 6\}$. For $k = 0, \dots, 7$, by examining Figure 6, and comparing with the list $(J(\sigma^k\omega^*))_{i=0}^7$ given by

$$([0, 1/3], [1/3, 2/3], [0, 1/3], [1/3, 2/3], [0, 1/3], [2/3, 1], [1/3, 2/3], [2/3, 1]),$$

we see that the interval $J(\sigma^k \omega^*)$ is approximately picked up by the support of the positive part of $w_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma^k \omega^*)$.

In order to check property (iii) of Theorem 3, that is, whether $A(\omega^*)W_2^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)$ is close to $W_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma^{k+1}\omega^*)$, we calculate the quantity

$$\Delta^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*) := \min \left\{ \left\| \left\langle w_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma \omega^*) \pm \frac{A(\omega^*)w_2^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)}{\|A(\omega^*)w_2^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)\|_{L^1}} \right\rangle \right\|_{L^1} \right\},$$

for $N = 1, \dots, 20$ (see Figure 7).




FIGURE 7. Graph showing $\log_{10} \Delta^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)$ against N for $N = 1, \dots, 20$.

Thus for $N = 20$, there are unit L^1 -norm functions spanning the $\mathcal{W}_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma \omega^*)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\omega^*)\mathcal{W}_2^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)$ subspaces whose difference in L^1 -norm is less than 10^{-8} .

Recall that for the cocycle in Theorem 2, the Oseledets subspace $W_2(\omega)$ is in fact independent of ω_i for $i \neq 0$. This contrasts with the current example: to see that here the Oseledets spaces $W_2(\omega)$ do not depend only on ω_0 , it is enough to observe, for example, that $\omega_0 = \omega_2 = 1$ but $w_2^{(2N,N)}(\omega^*)$ and $w_2^{(2N,N)}(\sigma^2 \omega^*)$ are markedly dissimilar.

8. PROOF OF THE MULTIPLICATIVE ERGODIC THEOREM FOR NON-INVERTIBLE MATRICES

In this section we present a strengthened version of the Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem (MET) for the case of non-invertible matrices. Let σ be an invertible measure-preserving transformation of $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and consider a linear cocycle $P : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that even though the matrices may be non-invertible, the invertibility of σ is crucial to the argument. If the matrices are invertible then the two-sided cocycle is naturally defined as a map $P : \mathbb{Z} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$.

Recall that in the case of a one-sided linear cocycle (satisfying certain integrability conditions), the MET provides an invariant flag of subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d characterising the exponential growth rates of all vectors. For a two-sided cocycle, one obtains an invariant splitting of \mathbb{R}^d into *Oseledets spaces* by considering the intersection of each subspace in the flag of the forward cocycle with the corresponding subspace of the flag of the backward cocycle. Non-zero vectors v in the j th Oseledets space $W_j(\omega)$ satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} (1/n) \log \|P(n, \omega, v)\| \rightarrow \lambda_j$.

In the case of a one-sided cocycle it clearly makes no sense to consider the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} (1/n) \log \|P(n, \omega, v)\|$. Nevertheless one may still hope for an invariant splitting of \mathbb{R}^d rather than an invariant flag. This distinction is important if one is

interested in the *vector* corresponding to the one of the top characteristic exponents: the flag would only provide an invariant family of *high-dimensional subspaces* with the property that most vectors in the space have the correct expansion rate, whereas a splitting would provide an invariant family of *low-dimensional subspaces*, whose vectors are responsible for all expansion at the chosen rate.

In this section we obtain a decomposition into Oseledets subspaces for a one-sided forward cocycle over an invertible transformation by means of a push-forward limit argument.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the matrix operator norm with respect to the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 3. *Let σ be an invertible ergodic measure-preserving transformation of the space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Let $A: \Omega \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{R})$ be a measurable family of matrices satisfying*

$$\int \log^+ \|A(\omega)\| \, d\mu(\omega) < \infty.$$

Then there exist $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_\ell \geq -\infty$ and dimensions m_1, \dots, m_ℓ , with $m_1 + \dots + m_\ell = d$, and a measurable family of subspaces $W_j(\omega) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the following hold:

- (1) $\dim W_j(\omega) = m_j$;
- (2) $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} W_j(\omega)$;
- (3) $A(\omega)W_j(\omega) \subseteq W_j(\sigma\omega)$ (with equality if $\lambda_j > -\infty$);
- (4) for all $v \in W_j(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log \|A(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) \dots A(\omega)v\| = \lambda_j.$$

Lemma 3. *Let $B: \Omega \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{R})$ be a measurable mapping into the space of symmetric matrices such that for almost all ω , $B(\omega)$ has eigenvalues μ_1, \dots, μ_ℓ with multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_ℓ . Then there exists a measurable family $(e_i^j(\omega))_{1 \leq j \leq \ell, 1 \leq i \leq m_j}$ of vectors such that the $(e_i^j(\omega))$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d and $e_i^j(\omega)$ lies in the μ_j eigenspace of $B(\omega)$.*

Proof. Consider the map R that takes a matrix and applies a single step of a row-reduction algorithm (e.g. find the first column that is not in row-reduced echelon form; transpose rows to put a non-zero entry in the correct place; divide so the leading coefficient is 1; subtract multiples of that row from all of the others; repeat) or does nothing in the case that the matrix is already in row-reduced echelon form. The domains of the pieces are measurable and therefore R is measurable. For all matrices A , $R^n(A)$ is a convergent sequence so the limit $RRE(A)$ is a measurable function of the matrix.

A collection of vectors spanning the kernel of a row-reduced matrix may be obtained in a measurable way. These vectors may then be measurably converted to an orthonormal set by applying the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization algorithm.

We apply this by taking a symmetric matrix B with eigenvalues μ_1, \dots, μ_ℓ with multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_ℓ . We find an orthogonal set of vectors with each of the eigenvalues by applying the above procedures to $B - \mu_j I$. Since all operations are measurable the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be an invertible ergodic measure-preserving transformation and let $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a subadditive sequence of functions (that is a sequence such*

that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and each m and n , $f_{n+m}(\omega) \leq f_n(\omega) + f_m(\sigma^n \omega)$. Assume further that $\max(f_1, 0)$ is an L^1 function. Then there is a $C \in [-\infty, \infty)$ such that for almost every ω one has $f_n(\omega)/n \rightarrow C$ and $f_n(\sigma^{-n}\omega)/n \rightarrow C$.

Proof. The fact that there is a C such that $f_n/n \rightarrow C$ is Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem. Letting $g_n(\omega) = f_n(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$, we see that $g_{n+m}(\omega) \leq g_n(\omega) + g_m(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$ so that the subadditive ergodic theorem applies to g_n also (with the measure-preserving transformation being σ^{-1}) and there is a constant D such that $g_n(\omega)/n \rightarrow D$ for almost all ω .

Since f_n/n converges pointwise to C it also converges to C in measure. Similarly g_n/n converges in measure to D . Since f_n/n and g_n/n have the same distribution, the constants to which they converge in measure must be equal. \square

Lemma 5. *Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be an invertible ergodic measure-preserving transformation and let $A: \Omega \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{R})$ be a measurable family of matrices satisfying*

$$\int \log^+ \|A(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) < \infty.$$

Let S be the multiset of characteristic exponents. Given $\omega \in \Omega$, let $SV^{(n)}(\omega)$ be the multiset of logarithms of the n th roots of the singular values of $A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$. Then for almost every ω , $SV^{(n)}(\omega) \rightarrow S$.

Proof. Consider the family $\omega \mapsto A^T(\omega)$ with respect to the dynamical system σ^{-1} . Let the characteristic exponents be the multiset S' . This means that letting $SV'^{(n)}(\omega)$ be the multiset of n th roots of singular values of $A^T(\sigma^{-n}\omega) \dots A^T(\sigma^{-1}\omega)$, one has $SV'^{(n)}(\omega) \rightarrow S'$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ by the MET. Since singular values are preserved by taking transposes we see that $SV'^{(n)}(\omega) = SV^{(n)}(\omega)$. Thus it suffices to prove $S = S'$. To see this, note that $(1/n) \log \|\bigwedge^k A^{(n)}(\omega)\|$ converges to the sum of the largest k members of S , and $(1/n) \log \|\bigwedge^k A^T(\sigma^{-n}\omega) \dots A^T(\sigma^{-1}\omega)\|$ converges to the sum of the largest k members of S' , but these limits are equal by Lemma 4. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. In the course of the proof we shall repeatedly use the symbol C to denote various constants depending only on ω .

We write $A^{(n)}(\omega)$ for the matrix product $A(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) \dots A(\omega)$. From standard proofs of the MET, we have that $[A^{(n)}(\omega)^T A^{(n)}(\omega)]^{1/(2n)}$ is convergent to a positive semi-definite matrix $B(\omega)$, for almost all ω , with eigenvalues $e^{\lambda_1} > \dots > e^{\lambda_\ell}$ with the correct multiplicities. We therefore let $(e_i^j(\omega))$ be as in Lemma 3 and let $U_j(\omega)$ be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^d spanned by $\{e_i^j(\omega): 1 \leq i \leq m_j\}$. The standard proofs of the MET show that if one lets $V_j(\omega) = \bigoplus_{i=j}^\ell U_i(\omega)$ then the vector spaces $V_j(\omega)$ satisfy

- (1) $A(\omega)V_j(\omega) \subseteq V_j(\sigma\omega)$;
- (2) For all $v \in V_j(\omega) \setminus V_{j+1}(\omega)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log \|A^{(n)}(\omega)v\| \rightarrow \lambda_j$;

For $j < \ell$, let $W_j^{(n)}(\omega) = A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)U_j(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$ and let $W_\ell(\omega) = U_\ell(\omega)$. Then we claim the following:

- (1) For $j < \ell$, $W_j^{(n)}(\omega)$ converges to an m_j -dimensional subspace $W_j(\omega)$;
- (2) $A(\omega)W_j(\omega) \subseteq W_j(\sigma\omega)$;
- (3) If $x \in W_j(\omega) \setminus \{0\}$, then $(1/n) \log \|A^{(n)}(\omega)x\| \rightarrow \lambda_j$.
- (4) $V_{j+1}(\omega) \oplus W_j(\omega) = V_j(\omega)$.

Notice that $W_j^{(n+1)}(\sigma\omega) = A(\omega)W_j^{(n)}(\omega)$ so that in the case $j < \ell$, (2) follows from (1) and the definition. For $j = \ell$, (2) and (3) follow from the standard MET proofs.

Fix a $j < \ell$ and consider a basis $B_0(\omega) = \{e_k^i(\omega) : k > j, i \leq m_k\}$ for $V_{j+1}(\omega)$ and a basis $B_1(\omega) = \{e_j^i(\omega) : i \leq m_j\}$ for $U_j(\omega)$. The union of $B_0(\omega)$ and $B_1(\omega)$ gives an orthonormal basis for $V_j(\omega)$. Since $A(\omega)V_{j+1}(\omega) \subset V_{j+1}(\sigma\omega)$ and $A(\omega)V_j(\omega) \subset V_j(\sigma\omega)$, it follows that if we express the linear transformation represented by $A(\omega)$ with respect to the bases $B_1(\omega) \cup B_0(\omega)$ and $B_1(\sigma\omega) \cup B_0(\sigma\omega)$, the matrix is of the form

$$L(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(\omega) & 0 \\ A_{10}(\omega) & A_{00}(\omega) \end{pmatrix},$$

where if $V_{j+1}(\omega)$ is of dimension $q = m_{j+1} + \dots + m_\ell$, the matrices $A_{11}(\omega)$, $A_{10}(\omega)$ and $A_{00}(\omega)$ have dimensions $m_j \times m_j$, $q \times m_j$ and $q \times q$ respectively.

By definition, $L^{(n)}(\omega) = L(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) \dots L(\omega)$. By analogy with the above we name the components of this matrix as follows:

$$L^{(n)}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega) & 0 \\ A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega) & A_{00}^{(n)}(\omega) \end{pmatrix}$$

We will need the following matrix identities:

Claim 1. With $A_{ij}^{(n)}$ defined as above we have

$$(8) \quad A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega) = A_{11}(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) \dots A_{11}(\omega)$$

$$(9) \quad A_{00}^{(n)}(\omega) = A_{00}(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) \dots A_{00}(\omega)$$

$$(10) \quad A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{n-k}\omega) A_{10}(\sigma^{n-k-1}\omega) A_{11}^{(n-k-1)}(\omega).$$

Proof. The first two equalities are immediate and the third follows by induction on n . \square

Claim 2. For almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, $\log \|A_{00}^{(n)}(\omega)\| \rightarrow \lambda_{j+1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. One has for each $i > j$ and $1 \leq k \leq m_i$, $(1/n) \log \|A^{(n)}(\omega)e_k^i\| \rightarrow \lambda_i$, by the MET. It follows that considering $A^{(n)}(\omega)$ as a linear map on $V_{j+1}(\omega)$, $(1/n) \log \|A^{(n)}(\omega)|_{V_{j+1}(\omega)}\| \rightarrow \lambda_{j+1}$. Thus

$$(1/n) \log \|A_{00}^{(n)}(\omega)\| \rightarrow \lambda_{j+1}.$$

\square

Claim 3. For every $\epsilon > 0$ and for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, there is $D_1(\omega)$ such that $\|A_{00}^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)\| \leq D_1(\omega)e^{n(\lambda_{j+1}+\epsilon)}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $f_n(\omega) = \log \|A_{00}^{(n)}(\omega)\|$. This is a sub-additive sequence of functions and $f_n(\omega)/n \rightarrow \log \lambda_{j+1}$ for almost every ω by Claim 2. Applying Lemma 4 we see that $f_n(\sigma^{-n}\omega)/n \rightarrow \log \lambda_{j+1}$ for almost every ω . The claim follows. \square

Claim 4. For every $\epsilon > 0$ and for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, there is a $D_2(\omega) < \infty$ such that for all $n \geq 0$ one has $\|A_{10}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)\| \leq D_2(\omega)e^{\epsilon n}$.

Proof. By hypothesis $\log \|A(\omega)\|$ is an integrable function and hence by a standard corollary of Birkhoff's theorem one has $\log \|A(\sigma^{-n}\omega)\|/n \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $\|A(\sigma^{-n}\omega)\| \leq D_2(\omega)e^{\epsilon n}$ for a suitable $D_2(\omega)$. Since $\|A_{10}(\omega)\| \leq \|A(\omega)\|$ the result follows. \square

Claim 5. *Under the above conditions, $\left(A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)^T A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)\right)^{1/(2n)} \rightarrow e^{\lambda_j} I_{m_j}$.*

Proof. To see this it is sufficient to show that every non-zero vector in $U_j(\omega)$ has growth rate λ_j . Let $u \in U_j(\omega)$ have expansion $u = \sum_{i \leq m_j} v_i e_i^j(\omega)$.

First we show that $A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega)v$ doesn't grow any faster than $A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)v$. Note that $\|A^{(n)}(\omega)u\|^2 = \|A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)v\|^2 + \|A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega)v\|^2$ so that we have $\|A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega)v\| + \|A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)v\|$ grows at rate λ_j . Applying the MET to $A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)$, we see that $A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)v$ grows at some rate Λ . We will show that $A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega)v$ grows at a rate no greater than $\max(\Lambda, \lambda_{j+1})$. It will follow that $\Lambda = \lambda_j$.

Equality (10) gives

$$\|A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega)v\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{n-k}\omega)\| \|A_{10}(\sigma^{n-k-1}\omega)\| \|A_{11}^{(n-k-1)}(\omega)v\|$$

Fix an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. Claim 3 shows that $\|A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{n-k}\omega)\| \leq D_1(\sigma^n\omega)e^{k(\lambda_{j+1}+\epsilon)}$. Using Claim 4 also, we see

$$\|A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega)v\| \leq D_1(\sigma^n\omega)D_2(\omega) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{k(\lambda_{j+1}+\epsilon)} e^{\epsilon(n-k-1)} e^{(\Lambda+\epsilon)(n-k-1)}.$$

There exists M such that $D_1(\omega) < M$ on a positive measure subset of Ω . By the ergodicity of σ , there are infinitely many n for which $D_1(\sigma^n\omega) < M$. For these n , the right hand side of the inequality is bounded above by $D_2(\omega)Mne^{n(\max(\lambda_{j+1}, \Lambda)+2\epsilon)}$. It follows that $\liminf \log \|A_{10}^{(n)}(\omega)v\|^{1/n} \leq \max(\lambda_{j+1}, \Lambda)$ and thus

$$\liminf \log \|A^{(n)}(\omega)u\|^{1/n} \leq \max(\lambda_{j+1}, \Lambda).$$

Since on the other hand $\lim \log \|A^{(n)}(\omega)u\|^{1/n} = \lambda_j$, we conclude that $\Lambda \geq \lambda_j$. Since $\|A^{(n)}(\omega)u\| \geq \|A_{11}^{(n)}(\omega)v\|$ we have $\lambda_j \geq \Lambda$ so that $\Lambda = \lambda_j$ as required. \square

We now estimate

$$g_n(\omega) = \max_{v \in S_1} \frac{\|A_{10}^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)v\|}{\|A_{11}^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)v\|},$$

where S_1 denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{m_j} . Note that by scale-invariance one could equivalently define g_n by taking the maximum over $\mathbb{R}^{m_j} \setminus \{0\}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n(\omega) &= \max_{v \in S_1} \frac{\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{-k}\omega) A_{10}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) A_{11}^{(n-k-1)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega) v \right\|}{\left\| A_{11}^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega) v \right\|} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \max_{v \in S_1} \frac{\left\| A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{-k}\omega) A_{10}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) A_{11}^{(n-k-1)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega) v \right\|}{\left\| A_{11}^{(k+1)}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) A_{11}^{(n-k-1)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega) v \right\|} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \max_{u \in S_1} \frac{\left\| A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{-k}\omega) A_{10}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) u \right\|}{\left\| A_{11}^{(k+1)}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) u \right\|} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\max_{u \in S_1} \left\| A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{-k}\omega) A_{10}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) u \right\|}{\min_{u \in S_1} \left\| A_{11}^{(k+1)}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) u \right\|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the third line we are making use of the fact that $A_{11}^{(n-k-1)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$ is invertible.

Let $\epsilon < (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1})/4$ be fixed for the remainder of the proof. By Lemma 5 and Claim 5 the k th roots of the singular values of $A_{11}^{(k)}(\sigma^{-k}\omega)$ all converge to e^{λ_j} . It follows that there is a $C > 0$ depending on ω such that for all k ,

$$(11) \quad \min_{u \in S_1} \left\| A_{11}^{(k+1)}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) u \right\| > C e^{k(\lambda_j - \epsilon)}.$$

We remark that similar uniform lower bound estimates appear in the paper of Barreira and Silva [BS05]. Using Lemma 5, Claim 3 and Claim 4 there exists a C' depending on ω such that for all k ,

$$\max_{u \in S_1} \left\| A_{00}^{(k)}(\sigma^{-k}\omega) A_{10}(\sigma^{-(k+1)}\omega) u \right\| \leq C' e^{k(\lambda_{j+1} + \epsilon)} e^{\epsilon k}.$$

Combining the estimates we see

$$g_n(\omega) \leq \frac{C'}{C} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{k(\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j + 3\epsilon)}.$$

Since $3\epsilon < \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}$ it follows that defining $M(\omega) = \sup_n g_n(\omega)$, one has $M(\omega) < \infty$ for almost all ω .

We define a distance D between two subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d of the same dimension by the Hausdorff distance of their intersections with the unit ball B_1 in \mathbb{R}^d . We now estimate $D(W_j^{(n)}(\omega), W_j^{(m)}(\omega))$ for $m > n$.

Let x belong to the unit sphere of $W_j^{(n)}(\omega)$ (the distance is always maximized by points on the boundary). Then $x = A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)u$ for some $u \in U_j(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$. Since for almost all ω , the matrix $A_{11}^{(m-n)}(\sigma^{-m}\omega)$ is invertible, there exists almost surely a $u' \in U_j(\sigma^{-m}\omega)$ such that $A^{(m-n)}(\sigma^{-m}\omega)u' = u + z$ where $z \in V_{j+1}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$. Let v' be the coordinates of u' with respect to the basis $B_1(\omega)$. Then $\|A_{10}^{(m-n)}(\sigma^{-m}\omega)v'\| = \|z\|$ and $\|A_{11}^{(m-n)}(\sigma^{-m}\omega)v'\| = \|u\|$. It follows that $\|z\| \leq M(\sigma^{-n}\omega)\|u\|$. Let $y = A^{(m)}(\sigma^{-m}\omega)u'$ so that $y \in W_j^{(m)}(\omega)$. We then have

$y = x + A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)z$. By Claim 3 we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)z\| &\leq Ce^{(\lambda_{j+1}+\epsilon)n}\|z\| \\ &\leq Ce^{(\lambda_{j+1}+\epsilon)n}M(\sigma^{-n}\omega)\|u\| \end{aligned}$$

for a C depending only on ω . On the other hand, (11) implies that

$$(13) \quad 1 = \|x\| = \|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)v'\| \geq C'e^{(\lambda_j-\epsilon)n}\|u\|$$

for another C' depending just on ω . Let $K = C/C'$ and $\alpha = \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} - 2\epsilon > 0$. Dividing (12) by (13) we see

$$\|y - x\| = \|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)z\| \leq Ke^{-\alpha n}M(\sigma^{-n}\omega).$$

The closest point of $W_j^{(m)}(\omega) \cap B_1$ to x is just the orthogonal projection of x onto $W_j^{(m)}(\omega)$ (which lies in B_1) so that the distance from x to $W_j^{(m)}(\omega) \cap B_1$ is bounded above by $\|y - x\|$ which in turn is bounded above by $Ke^{-\alpha n}M(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$.

Conversely let $y \in B_1 \cap W_j^{(m)}(\omega)$. Then $y = A^{(m)}(\sigma^{-m}\omega)u'$ for some $u' \in U_j(\sigma^{-m}\omega)$. Let $A^{(m-n)}(\sigma^{-m}\omega)u'$ be decomposed into $u + z$ with $u \in U_j(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$ and $z \in V_{j+1}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)$. Let $x = A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)u$. Since $\sup_n g_n(\omega) = M(\omega)$, we have $\|z\| \leq M(\sigma^{-n}\omega)\|u\|$. So $\|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)z\| \leq KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}\|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)u\|$. We also have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)u\| &\leq \|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)(u+z)\| + \|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)z\| \\ &\leq 1 + KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}\|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)u\|. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)u\| \leq 1/(1 - KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n})$, provided $KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n} < 1$. Combining this estimate with (14) gives

$$\|x - y\| = \|A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)z\| \leq \frac{KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}}{1 - KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}}.$$

As before it follows that the closest point of $W_j^{(n)}(\omega) \cap B_1$ to y is at a distance at most $KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}/(1 - KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n})$. In particular, provided that $KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n} < 1$, we have

$$D\left(W_j^{(n)}(\omega), W_j^{(m)}(\omega)\right) \leq \frac{KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}}{1 - KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}}.$$

Obviously for $m, m' > n$ one then has

$$D\left(W_j^{(m)}(\omega), W_j^{(m')}(\omega)\right) \leq \frac{2KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}}{1 - KM(\sigma^{-n}\omega)e^{-\alpha n}}.$$

Since $M(\omega)$ is measurable and σ is ergodic, there exist for almost all ω arbitrarily large values of n such that $M(\sigma^{-n}\omega) < A$ for some fixed $A > 0$. It follows that the sequence of subspaces is Cauchy and hence convergent to a subspace $W_j(\omega)$.

Let x belong to the unit sphere of $W_j^{(n)}(\omega)$. Then $x = A^{(n)}(\sigma^{-n}\omega)u$. As before, writing x as $y + z$ with $y \in U_j(\omega)$ and $z \in V_{j+1}(\omega)$, we have $\|z\| \leq M(\omega)\|y\|$. Since $\|y\|^2 + \|z\|^2 = 1$, we have $\|y\|^2(1 + M(\omega)^2) \geq 1$ so that $\|y\| \geq 1/\sqrt{1 + M(\omega)^2} = B$. Thus each point of the unit sphere of $W_j(\omega)$ has a component in $U_j(\omega)$ of norm at least B . It follows that $V_j(\omega) = V_{j+1}(\omega) \oplus W_j(\omega)$.

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Christopher Bose for very helpful discussions concerning Section 8. GF and SL acknowledge support by the Australian Research Council Discovery Project DP0770289. AQ acknowledges partial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and support while visiting the University of New South Wales from the Australian Mathematical Sciences Institute and from the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Mathematics and Statistics of Complex Systems.

REFERENCES

- [A98] Arnold, L. *Random dynamical systems*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [B96] Baladi, V. Personal communication, 1996.
- [BS05] Barreira, L. & Silva, C. Lyapunov exponents for continuous transformations and dimension theory *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **13** (2005), 469–490.
- [BK98] Blank, M. & Keller, G. Random perturbations of chaotic dynamical systems: stability of the spectrum. *Nonlinearity* **11** (1998), no. 5, 1351–1364.
- [BG97] Boyarsky, A. & Góra, P. *Laws of chaos. Invariant measures and dynamical systems in one dimension*. Probability and its Applications. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.
- [DJ99] Dellnitz, M. & Junge, O. On the approximation of complicated dynamical behavior. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **36** (1999), no. 2, 491–515.
- [DFS00] Dellnitz, M., Froyland, G. & Sertl, S. On the isolated spectrum of the Perron–Frobenius operator. *Nonlinearity* **13** (2000), no. 4, 1171–1188.
- [D+05] Dellnitz, M., Junge, O., Koon, W., Lekien, F., Lo, M., Marsden, J., Padberg, K., Preis, R., Ross, S. & Thiere, B. Transport in dynamical astronomy and multibody problems. *Intern. J. of Bifurcation and Chaos* **15**, (2005) 699–727.
- [F05] Froyland, G. Statistically optimal almost-invariant sets. *Phys. D* **200** (2005), no. 3-4, 205–219.
- [F07] Froyland, G. On Ulam approximation of the isolated spectrum and eigenfunctions of hyperbolic maps. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **17** (2007), 671–689.
- [F08] Froyland, G. Unwrapping eigenfunctions to discover the geometry of almost-invariant sets in hyperbolic maps. *Phys. D*. To appear.
- [FP08] Froyland, G. & Padberg, K. Almost-invariant sets and invariant manifolds — connecting probabilistic and geometric descriptions of coherent structures in flow. Submitted.
- [F+07] Froyland, G., Padberg, K., England, M., & Treguier, A. Detecting coherent oceanic structures via transfer operators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **98** (2007).
- [HY00] Haller, G. & Yuan, G. Lagrangian coherent structures and mixing in two-dimensional turbulence. *Phys. D* **147** (2000), no. 3-4, 352–370.
- [HK82] Hofbauer, F. & Keller, G. Ergodic properties of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. *Math. Z.* **180** (1982), no. 1, 119–140.
- [K84] Keller, G. On the rate of convergence to equilibrium in one-dimensional systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **96** (1984), no. 2, 181–193.
- [KR04] Keller, G. & Rugh, H. Eigenfunctions for smooth expanding circle maps. *Nonlinearity* **17** (2004), 1723–1730.
- [LH04] Liu, W. & Haller, G. Strange eigenmodes and decay of variance in the mixing of diffusive tracers. *Phys. D* **188** (2004), no. 1-2, 1–39.
- [LY73] Lasota, A. & Yorke, J. On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **186** (1973), 481–488.
- [H01] Haller, G. Distinguished material surfaces and coherent structures in three-dimensional fluid flows. *Phys. D* **149** (2001), no. 4, 248–277.
- [PP03] Pikovsky, A. & Popovych, O. Persistent patterns in deterministic mixing flows. *Europhys. Lett.* **61** (2003), no. 5, 625–631.

- [PPE07] Popovych, O., Pikovsky, A. & Eckhardt, B. Abnormal mixing of passive scalars in chaotic flows *Phys. E* **75** (2007).
- [SHD99] Schütte, C., Huisinga, W. & Deuffhard, P. Transfer operator approach to conformational dynamics in biomolecular systems. *Preprint SC-99-36*, Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum, Berlin, 1999. Appeared in *Ergodic Theory, Analysis, and efficient simulation of dynamical systems*, Ed.: B. Fiedler, Springer-Berlin 2001.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY, NSW
2052, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `g.froyland@unsw.edu.au`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY, NSW
2052, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `s.lloyd@unsw.edu.au`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA, VICTORIA, BC
V8W 3R4, CANADA

E-mail address: `aquas@uvic.ca`