

Quantum Noise as an Entanglement Meter

Israel Klich¹ and Leonid Levitov^{1,2}

¹ *Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106*

² *Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA 02139*

Entanglement entropy, which is a measure of quantum correlations between separate parts of a many-body system, has emerged recently as a fundamental quantity in broad areas of theoretical physics, from cosmology and field theory to condensed matter theory and quantum information. The universal appeal of the entanglement entropy concept is related, in part, to the fact that it is defined solely in terms of the many-body density matrix of the system, with no relation to any particular observables. However, for the same reason, it has not been clear how to access this quantity experimentally. Here we derive a universal relation between entanglement entropy and the fluctuations of current flowing through a quantum point contact (QPC) which opens a way to perform a direct measurement of entanglement entropy. In particular, by utilizing space-time duality of 1d systems, we relate electric noise generated by opening and closing the QPC periodically in time with the seminal $S = \frac{1}{3} \log L$ prediction of conformal field theory.

Recent years have witnessed a burst of interest in the phenomena of quantum entanglement, and in particular, in entanglement entropy, a fundamental characteristic describing quantum many-body correlations between two parts of a quantum system. This quantity first emerged in field theory and cosmology [1, 2] under the name of “geometric entropy,” and subsequently was adopted by quantum information theory. The notion of entanglement entropy has provided a framework for analyzing quantum critical phenomena [3, 4, 5] and quantum quenches [6, 7, 8, 9]. Recently it was used as a probe of complexity of topologically ordered states [10, 11, 12]. In addition, this quantity is of fundamental interest for quantum information theory as a measure of the resources available for quantum computation [13] as well as for numerical approaches to strongly correlated systems [14].

Can the entanglement entropy be measured? Here we identify a system where such a measurement is possible, thereby offering an affirmative answer to this question. In particular, we establish a relation between the entropy and quantum noise in a quantum point contact (QPC) [15], an electron beam-splitter with tunable transmission and reflection. In essence, the QPC serves as a door between electron reservoirs, which can be opened and closed on demand (see Fig.1). We show that the fluctuations of electric current flowing through the QPC can be used to quantify the entanglement generated by the connection, and thereby measure the entanglement entropy.

On some level, the very idea of measuring a quantity that encodes information about many-body correlations of a large number of particles, which is what the entanglement entropy is, may seem totally bizarre. Yet, as we shall see, the situation with the entanglement entropy is different from, for example, the many-body density matrix that depends on coordinates of all particles in the system and is thus indeed very difficult to measure. In the free fermion QPC problem analyzed below, all multi-particle correlations in the Fermi sea that are relevant for




FIG. 1: Schematic of a quantum point contact (QPC) with transmission changing in time. The left and right leads are initially disconnected, then connected at $t_0 < t < t_1$, and then disconnected again. Electron transport, taking place at $t_0 < t < t_1$ makes electrons delocalized among the two leads, generating entanglement and current fluctuations.

entanglement are fully accounted for by temporal correlations of current flowing through the QPC. As a result, perhaps somewhat surprisingly, noise measurement provides sufficient information needed to determine the entanglement entropy.

The situation considered here, which involves connecting and then disconnecting two parts of the system, is in a sense dual to the more conventional picture used in Refs.[2, 3, 4, 5]. In the latter approach, the many-body ground state of a translationally invariant system is analyzed using a finite region in space. In our case, a window in time is used, $t_0 < t < t_1$, during which particles can delocalize among the reservoirs, making them entangled.

The relation between entanglement and noise is at the heart of recent proposals [17, 18] to use current partitioning by scattering on a QPC for producing entangled particle pairs. Ref.[19] considered measuring the entan-

glement entropy, emphasizing its relation with particle number statistics, however without making connection to electron transport and current fluctuations. We also note that generation of entanglement was recently analyzed for critical Hamiltonians [6], for generic Hamiltonians [7, 9], as well as in a QPC under bias voltage [20].

Our analysis reveals a relation between entanglement production in a driven QPC with the theory of quantum noise [16], describing quantum and classical current fluctuations in this system. This approach, known as Full Counting Statistics (FCS), describes the probability distribution of transmitted charge using the generating function $\chi(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n e^{i\lambda n}$, where P_n is the probability to transmit n charges in total. The function $\chi(\lambda)$ encodes all FCS higher moments, or cumulants C_m :

$$\log \chi(\lambda) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda)^m C_m}{m!}, \quad (1)$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots describe properties of the distribution P_n such as the mean \bar{n} , the variance $\langle (n - \bar{n})^2 \rangle$, the skewness $\langle (n - \bar{n})^3 \rangle$, etc. The cumulant C_2 is available from routine noise measurement. Recently, C_3 has been measured in tunnel junctions [21, 22] and in QPC [23], while cumulants up to 5th order were measured in quantum dots [24, 25].

Below we establish a universal relation between FCS (1) and the entanglement entropy generated in the process shown in Fig.1. Only even cumulants are shown to contribute to entropy:

$$S = \sum_{m>0} \frac{\alpha_m}{m!} C_m, \quad \alpha_m = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^m |B_m|, & m \text{ even} \\ 0, & m \text{ odd} \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

where B_m are Bernoulli numbers [26] ($B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$, $B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, \dots$). The first few contributions are:

$$S = \frac{\pi^2}{3} C_2 + \frac{\pi^4}{15} C_4 + \frac{2\pi^6}{945} C_6 + \dots \quad (3)$$

This relation, which is completely general and valid for arbitrary driving, can be used to determine the entanglement entropy from measured values of FCS moments. Similar relation can be derived for other quantities of interest, such as Renyi entropies, or single copy entropy [27].

In particular, quantum noise generated in the QPC switching on and off (Fig.1) directly corresponds to the entanglement entropy found for conformal field theory, $S = \frac{c}{3} \log L$, where L is the size of window in space and c the conformal charge [2, 3, 5]. In this case the current fluctuations are gaussian ($C_{m \neq 2} = 0$), with a logarithmic variance $C_2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \log \frac{t_1 - t_0}{\tau}$, where τ is a short time cut-off set by the QPC switching rapidity. Combined with Eq.(3) this gives entropy $S \sim \frac{1}{3} \log |t_1 - t_0|$. Below we discuss how this logarithmic dependence can be verified using the setup shown in Fig.1.

Entanglement entropy is conventionally defined as the von Neumann entropy $S(\rho) = -\text{Tr} \rho \log \rho$, where ρ is the reduced density matrix of a pure quantum state, made ‘‘impure’’ by confining it to a certain space region [1, 2]. In our case, the many-body state evolves as a pure state while the QPC is open (see Fig.1), after which the reduced density matrix of the lead L is given by

$$\rho_L(t_1) = \text{Tr}_R(\mathbf{U}(t_1, t_0)\rho_0\mathbf{U}^\dagger(t_0, t_1)). \quad (4)$$

Here ρ_0 is the initial density matrix of the system, \mathbf{U} is the many-body evolution between t_0 and t_1 , and Tr_R is a partial trace over degrees of freedom in the lead R .

Entropy production in the lead L as a result of QPC opening and closing is described by

$$\Delta S = S(\rho_L(t)) - S(\rho_0) \quad (5)$$

where the last term accounts for the entropy in the initial state. Because at finite temperature both terms in Eq.(5) are proportional to the lead volume, they can be large for macroscopic leads. The increment ΔS , however, remains well defined regardless of the lead volume.

Below we focus on the zero temperature case when ρ_0 is a pure state, described as a filled Fermi sea in the full system $L + R$, in which case the second term in Eq.(5) vanishes, giving $\Delta S = S(\rho_L(t))$. We associate with ρ_0 a Fermi projection operator n in the single-particle space $\langle E|n|E' \rangle = \delta_{E,E'} \theta(E_F - E)$, where E_F is the Fermi energy. The evolved system is described by a rotated Fermi projection $n_U = U n U^\dagger$, where U is the unitary evolution of the single-particle modes.

Our first step will be to express the entropy in terms of single-particle quantities. For a generic gaussian state, Wick’s theorem for operator products is satisfied in $L+R$, and therefore in particular it holds in L [31]. Therefore the reduced density matrix ρ_L is also gaussian:

$$\rho_L = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\tilde{H}_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j} \quad (i, j \text{ in } L) \quad (6)$$

for some \tilde{H} . We define a single-particle quantity $m_{ij} = \text{Tr} \rho_L a_i^\dagger a_j$. For the evolved system, described by n_U , Wick’s theorem gives $m_{ij} = (n_U)_{ij}$. In what follows it will be convenient to extend m to $L + R$ by setting

$$M = P_L n_U P_L, \quad (7)$$

with P_L a projection on the modes in L , so that $M = m$ in L and $M = 0$ in R .

Entropy can be expressed through m_{ij} for a generic gaussian state (6). Because of Fermi-Dirac statistics, $m = (1 + e^{\tilde{H}})^{-1}$, which gives $\tilde{H} = \log(m^{-1} - 1)$ [31]. Extending m to M in $L + R$, we write the entropy as

$$S(\rho) = -\text{Tr} [M \log M + (1 - M) \log(1 - M)] \quad (8)$$

where now the trace is taken in the space of single-particle modes in L .

Transport in a QPC is described by time-dependent transmission and reflection amplitudes $A(t)$, $B(t)$. In a Schrödinger representation, the scattering states are

$$U \begin{bmatrix} |x\rangle_L \\ |x\rangle_R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B(t_x) & A(t_x) \\ -\bar{A}(t_x) & \bar{B}(t_x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} |x(t)\rangle_L \\ |x(t)\rangle_R \end{bmatrix}, \quad x < 0 < x(t),$$

and $U|x\rangle_{L,R} = |x\rangle_{L,R}$ otherwise. Here $x(t) = x + v_F t$, $t_x = -x/v_F$ is the time of arrival at the scatterer, v_F is the Fermi velocity, and $|x\rangle_{L,R}$ describes incoming ($x < 0$) and outgoing ($x > 0$) wavepacket states in the leads.

In FCS approach it is convenient to work in a time representation [33], labeling states by times of arrival at the scatterer t_x . In this representation the initial Fermi projection is given by $n(t, t') = \frac{1}{2\pi i(t-t'+i0)} I$ with I a 2×2 identity matrix (in L, R). The evolved state n_U is

$$n_U(t, t') = U(t)n(t, t')U^\dagger(t'), \quad U(t) = \begin{bmatrix} B(t) & A(t) \\ -\bar{A}(t) & \bar{B}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

These relations are inessential for the derivation of our main result, they serve here illustration purpose only.

Our next step is to relate the quantity M , Eq.(7), and the FCS generating function (1) which can be expressed as a functional determinant [16]:

$$\chi(\lambda) = \det(1 - n + nU^\dagger e^{i\lambda P_L} U e^{-i\lambda P_L}). \quad (9)$$

This determinant must be properly regularized for infinitely deep Fermi sea [28, 29]. For our purposes we proceed to treat it as a finite matrix although a more rigorous treatment using C^* algebra techniques is possible [29]. In the spirit of [28, 29], we rewrite (9) as [30]:

$$\det(e^{i\lambda P_L n} (1 - n + n e^{-i\lambda P_L} U^\dagger e^{i\lambda P_L} U) e^{-i\lambda P_L n}). \quad (10)$$

Using the identities $e^{i\lambda P_L n} (1 - n) = 1 - n$ and $e^{i\lambda P_L (1-n)} n = n$ we find:

$$\chi(\lambda) = \det((1 - n + nU^\dagger e^{i\lambda P_L} U) e^{-i\lambda P_L n}). \quad (11)$$

Next, we insert $U^\dagger U$ in the determinant to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\lambda) &= \det(U(1 - n + nU^\dagger e^{i\lambda P_L} U) e^{-i\lambda P_L n} U^\dagger) \quad (12) \\ &= \det((1 - n_U + n_U e^{i\lambda P_L}) e^{-i\lambda (P_L n)_U}) \\ &= \det((1 + n_U P_L (e^{i\lambda} - 1)) e^{-i\lambda (P_L n)_U}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used: $e^{i\lambda P_L} = 1 + (e^{i\lambda} - 1)P_L$. Finally, noting that $\det(1 + AP_L) = \det(1 + P_L AP_L)$ for any matrix A , we arrive at

$$\chi(\lambda) = \det\left((1 - M + M e^{i\lambda}) e^{-i\lambda (n P_L)_U}\right), \quad (13)$$

where M is the quantity (7) which defines the entropy.

Now, with the help of the relation (13) we can express the spectral density of M , Eq.(7), which lies between 0 and 1, through $\chi(\lambda)$. Indeed, changing parameter λ to $z = (1 - e^{i\lambda})^{-1}$ yields $z - M$ under the determinant: $\chi(z) = \det((z - M) e^{-i(n P_L)_U \lambda(z)} (1 - e^{i\lambda(z)}))$. From that we can write the spectral density of M as

$$\mu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \partial_z \log \chi(z - i0) + A\delta(z) + B\delta(z - 1), \quad (14)$$

where the coefficients A and B depend on $\dim M$, $\text{Tr}(n P_L)_U$, and C_1 . Hereafter we ignore the delta function terms because $z = 0, 1$ do not contribute to the expression (8) for the entropy which we rewrite as

$$\mathcal{S} = - \int_0^1 dz \mu(z) [z \log z + (1 - z) \log(1 - z)] \quad (15)$$

Now it is straightforward to evaluate \mathcal{S} by substituting (1) into (14),(15) with $\lambda(z) = \pi - i \log(\frac{1}{z} - 1)$, and integrating by parts over z . We obtain series $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_m}{m!} C_m$, where the coefficients α_m , after changing variable to $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(\frac{z}{1-z})$, take the form

$$\alpha_m = \frac{(-2)^m}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \frac{u}{\cosh^2 u} \text{Im} \left(\frac{i\pi}{2} + u \right)^m \quad (16)$$

Finally, for $m \geq 2$, shifting the contour of integration as $u \rightarrow u - i\frac{\pi}{2}$, yields [32] our main results (2) and (3).

In order to compute FCS, it is convenient to return to the expression (9) and apply the Riemann-Hilbert (RH) method introduced in [28]. In this approach, one must factor the time-dependent matrix $R(t) = U^\dagger(t) e^{i\lambda P_L} U(t) e^{-i\lambda P_L}$ in (9), i.e. find matrix valued functions $X_\pm(z)$, analytic in the upper/lower half plane of complex z , respectively, such that on the real line:

$$X_+(t) = X_-(t) R(t) \quad (17)$$

with normalization $X_\pm(z) \rightarrow I$ at $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

We consider QPC switching between the on and off states several times $t_0^{(1)} < t_1^{(1)} < \dots < t_0^{(N)} < t_1^{(N)}$. The RH problem is solvable in the case of abrupt switching because R commutes with itself at different times: $R = I$ in the off state, $R = e^{-i\lambda(P_R - P_L)}$ in the on state. The solution of the RH problem is then given by the functions

$$X_\pm(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} (P_R - P_L) \sum_{i=1}^N \log \frac{z - t_0^{(i)} \pm i0}{z - t_1^{(i)} \pm i0}\right). \quad (18)$$

To find the determinant (9) with these X_\pm we use the RH method [28] to evaluate the derivative of $\log \chi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda \log \chi(\lambda) &= \int \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} X_+^{-1} \partial_t X_- \partial_\lambda R \right) dt = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2} G, \\ G &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \log \frac{t_1^{(i)} - t_0^{(j)}}{t_0^{(i)} - t_0^{(j)}} + \log \frac{t_1^{(i)} - t_0^{(j)}}{t_1^{(i)} - t_1^{(j)}}, \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where for $i = j$ the denominators must be replaced by a short-time cutoff τ . This gives gaussian charge statistics

$$\chi(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 C_2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} G. \quad (20)$$

Because in this case the only nonvanishing cumulant is C_2 , we have $\mathcal{S} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} C_2$, which gives $\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{t_1 - t_0}{\tau}$ for a single QPC switching, in agreement with the $\mathcal{S} \sim \frac{1}{3} \log L$




FIG. 2: Entanglement entropy and current fluctuations. Noise power (22) in a QPC driven by a pulse train, plotted as a function of the pulse width. Parameters used: driving frequency $\nu = 500$ MHz, short-time cutoff $\tau = 20$ ps. The noise as well as the entropy production are symmetric under $w \rightarrow T - w$. Note that at a narrow pulsewidth $w \ll T$ the dependence (22) reproduces the $\frac{1}{3} \log L$ [2] behavior of the entropy.




FIG. 3: The effect of imperfect transmission in QPC. Spectral measure (24) scaled by $G/2\pi^2$, for a QPC driven by pulses with transmission D in the “on” state (see Fig.2). At $D < 1$ the entropy (15) is reduced by a constant factor (inset) with the $S \sim \log \sin \pi \nu w$ dependence unchanged.

relation [2]. The case of multiple switching provides realization of the situation studied in Ref.[5].

These predictions can be tested by measuring noise in a QPC driven by a periodic train of pulses (see Fig. 2). For N identical pulses, the relation (19) at large N yields

$$C_2(N) \approx \frac{N}{\pi^2} \log \frac{\sin \pi \nu w}{\pi \nu \tau}, \quad \nu = 1/T. \quad (21)$$

Thus in a periodically driven QPC there is a finite entropy production per cycle at a rate $dS/dt = \frac{1}{3} \nu \log \frac{\sin \pi \nu w}{\pi \nu \tau}$. Fluctuations (21) with $C_2 \propto N$ correspond to electric noise with spectral power

$$S_2 = \frac{e^2 \nu}{\pi^2} \log \frac{\sin \pi \nu w}{\pi \nu \tau} \quad (22)$$

at frequencies below ν . For a short pulsewidth w , the

dependence (22) becomes $S_2 = \frac{e^2 \nu}{\pi^2} \log \frac{w}{\tau}$, identical to the entropy for a single pulse.

The result (22) must be compared with thermal noise. At a driving frequency $\nu = 500$ MHz, the effective temperature corresponding to (22) is $T_{\text{eff}} = \frac{h\nu}{\pi^2 k_B} \log \frac{\sin \pi \nu w}{\pi \nu \tau} \approx 25$ mK. In practice it may be possible to relax the constraint due to small T_{eff} by detecting the noise (22) at frequencies somewhat higher than $k_B T_{\text{eff}}/h$, detuned from the thermal noise spectral window.

How sensitive are these results to imperfections in QPC transmission? It is straightforward to incorporate transmission $D = |A|^2 < 1$ in the “on” state in the RH analysis because the matrices $R(t)$ in (9) still commute at different times. Instead of $e^{\pm i\lambda}$, the eigenvalues of the R matrix are now $e^{\pm i\lambda_*}$ with $\sin \frac{1}{2} \lambda_* = \sqrt{D} \sin \frac{1}{2} \lambda$ [33]. Making this change, we obtain

$$\chi(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{\lambda_*^2}{4\pi^2} G}, \quad (23)$$

with G given by (19) as above. Because this $\chi(\lambda)$ is non-gaussian, with nonzero higher cumulants, the simplest way to find the entropy is to use its relation with the spectral density of M , Eqs.(14),(15). Using (23) along with the relations between λ_* , λ and z , we find

$$\mu(z) = \frac{G}{\pi^2} \frac{D}{z(1-z)} \text{Re} \frac{|1-2z|}{\sqrt{D^2 - 4Dz(1-z)}}. \quad (24)$$

As illustrated in Fig.3, at $D < 1$ the function $\mu(z)$ vanishes in the interval $z_- < z < z_+$, $z_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \sqrt{1-D})$.

The entropy, found from (15) and (24), has the same logarithmic dependence (19) on the times $t_{0,1}^{(i)}$ as above, albeit with a D -dependent prefactor. Thus, the predicted dependence $S \sim \log \sin \pi \nu w$ remains robust. The behavior of the rescaling factor $F = S(D)/S(1)$ (Fig.3 inset) indicates that entropy reduction due to imperfect transmission in QPC can be attributed mostly to the change in the second cumulant, $C_2 = \frac{D}{2\pi^2} G$, with a relatively small correction due to higher cumulants.

From the quantum information perspective, it is important to isolate the part of the entropy accessible to local operations (i.e. respecting particle conservation in each lead) [20]. The particle-number restricted entropy may be easily obtained from $\chi(\lambda)$. For the gaussian case considered above the change in the entropy due to this restriction is inessential (to be published).

In summary, we have shown that the entanglement entropy can be directly inferred from statistics of current fluctuations. We derived a general relation between the entanglement entropy and electron transport via the full counting statistics. Building on this universal relation, we propose noise measurement in a QPC as a way to test theoretical predictions for the many-body entanglement in a realistic setting. This provides a new method to investigate many-body entanglement, and in particular, its generation in non-equilibrium quantum systems.

The work of I.K. was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY05-51164. L.L.'s work was partially supported by W. M. Keck foundation. We thank Carlo Beenakker and Gil Refael for comments on the manuscript and useful discussions.

-
- [1] L. Bombelli, R. K. Koul, J. Lee, and R. D. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. **D34** 373 (1986).
- [2] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B424**, 443 (1994).
- [3] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 227902 (2003).
- [4] G. Refael and J. E. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 260602 (2004).
- [5] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech. P06002 (2004).
- [6] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech. P04010 (2005).
- [7] S. Bravyi, M. B. Hastings and F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 050401 (2006).
- [8] M. Cramer, C. M. Dawson, J. Eisert and T. J. Osborne Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030602 (2008).
- [9] J. Eisert and T. J. Osborne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 150404 (2006).
- [10] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110404 (2006).
- [11] M. Levin and X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 110405 (2006).
- [12] S. Dong, E. Fradkin, R. G. Leigh and S. Nowling, arXiv:0802.3231.
- [13] C. H. Bennett, H. J. Bernstein, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. **A53**, 2046 (1996).
- [14] F. Verstraete, D. Porras, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 227205 (2004).
- [15] B. J. van Wees, H. van Houten, C. W. J. Beenakker, J. G. Williamson, L. P. Kouwenhoven, D. van der Marel, C. T. Foxon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 848 (1988).
- [16] L. S. Levitov and G. B. Lesovik, JETP Lett. **58**, 230 (1993).
- [17] C. W. J. Beenakker, C. Emary, M. Kindermann, J. L. van Velsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 147901 (2003).
- [18] P. Samuelsson, E. V. Sukhorukov, and M. Buttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 157002 (2003).
- [19] I. Klich, G. Refael and A. Silva, Phys. Rev. **A74**, 032306 (2006).
- [20] C. W. J. Beenakker, Proc. Int. School Phys. E. Fermi, Vol. 162 (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2006). The result for the entropy production rate $dS/dt = -\frac{eV}{h}[D \log D + (1-D) \log(1-D)]$ is in agreement with our Eq.(8).
- [21] B. Reulet, J. Senzier, and D. E. Prober, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 196601 (2003).
- [22] Yu. Bomze, G. Gershon, D. Shovkun, L. S. Levitov, M. Reznikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 176601 (2005).
- [23] G. Gershon, Yu. Bomze, E. V. Sukhorukov, M. Reznikov, arXiv:0710.1852
- [24] T. Fujisawa, T. Hayashi, R. Tomita and Y. Hirayama, Science **312**, 1634 (2006).
- [25] S. Gustavsson, R. Leturcq, B. Simovic, R. Schleser, T. Ihn, P. Studerus, K. Ensslin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 076605 (2006).
- [26] The Bernoulli numbers B_m are defined by the generating function $\frac{x}{e^x-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$. Asymptotically, $|B_n| \approx \frac{2n!}{(2\pi)^n}$ for large even n , which shows that the coefficients in (2) stay bounded for high order cumulants.
- [27] J. Eisert and M. Cramer, Phys. Rev. **A72**, 042112 (2005); We note that this article uses a spectral representation of entropy similar to our Eq.(15).
- [28] B. A. Muzykantskii and Y. Adamov, Phys. Rev. **B68**, 155304 (2003).
- [29] J. E. Avron, S. Bachmann, G. M. Graf and I. Klich, Comm. Math. Phys., *in press*, arXiv:0705.0099.
- [30] Our derivation of (13) is similar to that used in: A. G. Abanov and D. A. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 086602 (2008), where constraints on $\chi(\lambda)$ due to the spectrum of M being inside the interval $0 < z < 1$ are also discussed.
- [31] I. Peschel, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, L205 (2003).
- [32] We use the integral $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{2m} du}{\sinh^2 u} = \pi^{2m} |B_{2m}|$, Eq.(3.525) in: I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, (Academic Press, 1980).
- [33] L. S. Levitov, G. B. Lesovik, arXiv:cond-mat/9401004