

Hydrodynamics of Bose and Fermi superfluids at zero temperature: the superfluid nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Luca Salasnich

*CNR-INFM and CNISM, Unit of Padua,
Department of Physics “Galileo Galilei”, University of Padua,
Via Marzolo 8, 35122 Padua, Italy*

We discuss the zero-temperature hydrodynamics equations of bosonic and fermionic superfluids and their connection with generalized Gross-Pitaevskii and Ginzburg-Landau equations through a single superfluid nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Lm, 03.75.Ss, 05.30.Jp, 74.50.+r

Recent and less-recent experiments with ultracold and dilute gases made of alkali-metal atoms have clearly shown the existence of superfluid properties in these systems [1, 2]. Both bosonic and fermionic superfluids can be accurately described by the hydrodynamics equations of superfluids [1, 2, 3]. In this paper we analyze the hydrodynamics equations of superfluids and show how to construct a reliable nonlinear Schrödinger equation from these hydrodynamics equations. For bosons this equation gives the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation recently discussed by Volovik [4], while for fermions one gets a zero-temperature Ginzburg-Landau equation [5]. The limits of validity of these mean-field equations are discussed.

At zero temperature the hydrodynamics equations of superfluids made of atoms of mass m are given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$m \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v} + \nabla \left[\frac{1}{2} m v^2 + U(\mathbf{r}) + \mu(n) \right] = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the local density and $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the local superfluid velocity [1, 2, 3]. Here $U(\mathbf{r})$ is the external potential and $\mu(n)$ is the bulk chemical potential of the system. The bulk chemical potential $\mu(n)$ is the zero-temperature equation of state of the uniform system. The density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is such that

$$N = \int n(\mathbf{r}, t) d^3\mathbf{r} \quad (3)$$

is the total number of atoms in the fluid. Eq. (1) and (2) are nothing else than the Euler equations of an inviscid (i.e. not-viscous) and irrotational fluid. In fact, at zero temperature, due to the absence of the normal component, the superfluid density coincides with the total density and the superfluid current with the total current [3].

The condition of irrotationality

$$\nabla \wedge \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad (4)$$

means that the velocity \mathbf{v} can be written as the gradient of a scalar field. Eqs. (1) and (2) differ from the corresponding equations holding in the collisional regime of a non superfluid system because of the irrotationality constraint (4). In addition, experiments with both bosonic and fermionic superfluids show the existence of quantized vortices, such that the circulation \mathcal{C} of the superfluid velocity

$$\mathcal{C} = \oint \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{r}, \quad (5)$$

is quantized, i.e.

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{2\pi\hbar k}{\zeta m}, \quad (6)$$

where k is an integer quantum number and the statistical coefficient ζ is 1 for superfluid bosons and 2 for superfluid fermions [1, 2, 9, 12].

Eq. (6) does not have a classical analog, and this fact suggests that the superfluid velocity is the gradient of the phase $\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of a single-valued quantum-mechanical wave function $\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t)$. This function

$$\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t) = |\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t)| e^{i\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)} \quad (7)$$

is the so-called macroscopic wave function of the Bose-Einstein condensate of the superfluid [9, 12]. The connection between superfluid hydrodynamics and quantum field theory is made by the formula

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\hbar}{\zeta m} \nabla \theta, \quad (8)$$

where again $\zeta = 1$ for bosons and $\zeta = 2$ for fermions. For bosons the condensate wave function is given by

$$\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \langle \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle, \quad (9)$$

that is the thermal (or ground-state) average of the bosonic field operator $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ [9]. For fermions the condensate wave function is instead

$$\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \langle \hat{\psi}_\uparrow(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\psi}_\downarrow(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle, \quad (10)$$

that is the average of pair operators, with $\hat{\psi}_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ the fermionic field operator with spin component $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ [9]. Notice that the condensate wave function $\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is normalized to the total number of condensed bosons [9] or of condensed Cooper pairs [12, 13], and in general the condensed fraction can be much smaller than one [9, 13].

The effect of statistics enters in the explicit formula [1, 2, 6] of the bulk chemical potential $\mu(n)$ which appears in Eqs. (1) and (2). For instance, in the case of a dilute and ultracold weakly-interacting Bose gas one has

$$\mu(n) = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} n \left(1 + \frac{32}{3\sqrt{\pi}} a_B n^{1/3} \right), \quad (11)$$

where a_B is the inter-atomic Bose-Bose s-wave scattering length and $a_B n^{1/3}$ is the gas parameter of the bosonic Lee-Yang expansion [7]. Very recently we have proposed a Padé approximant to describe $\mu(n)$ from the weak-coupling regime, where $a_B n^{1/3} \ll 1$ and Eq. (11) holds, to the unitarity limit, where $a \rightarrow +\infty$ [10]. In the case of a dilute and ultracold two-component weakly-interacting Fermi gas one can take instead

$$\mu(n) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (3\pi^2 n)^{2/3} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3\pi} (3\pi^2)^{1/3} a_F n^{1/3} \right), \quad (12)$$

where a_F is the inter-atomic scattering length of atoms with different spin and $a_F n^{1/3}$ is the gas parameter of the fermionic Huang-Yang expansion [8]. Few years ago we proposed a reliable fitting formula of $\mu(n)$ based on Monte Carlo data in the full BCS-BEC crossover from weakly-interacting Cooper pairs, where Eq. (12) holds, to the Bose-Einstein condensate of molecules [11].

The hydrodynamics equations (1) and (2) are valid to describe the long-wavelength and low-energy macroscopic properties of both bosons and fermions. In particular, one can introduce a healing (or coherence) length ξ such that the phenomena under investigation must be characterized by a wave length λ much larger than the healing length, i.e.

$$\lambda \gg \xi. \quad (13)$$

As suggested by Combescot, Kagan and Stringari [15], the healing length can be defined as

$$\xi = \frac{\hbar}{m v_{cr}}, \quad (14)$$

where v_{cr} is the Landau critical velocity above which the system gives rise to energy dissipation. For bosons the critical velocity coincides with the first sound velocity, i.e.

$$v_{cr} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{m} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n}}. \quad (15)$$

For fermions the critical velocity is instead related to the breaking of Cooper pairs through the formula

$$v_{cr} = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\mu^2 + |\Delta|^2} - \mu}{m}}, \quad (16)$$

where $|\Delta|$ is the energy gap of Cooper pairs [2, 15]. We notice that in the deep BCS regime of weakly interacting attractive Fermi atoms (corresponding to $|\Delta| \ll \mu$) Eq. (16) approaches the exponentially small value $v_{cr} = |\Delta|/\sqrt{2m\mu}$.

In addition, we remind that some years ago Kemoklidze and Pitaevskii derived the zero-temperature Eqs. (1) and (2) for the BCS Fermi gas starting from the Gorkov equations of quantum-field theory under the assumption of neglecting the spatial derivatives of the energy gap $|\Delta|$ [16]. In the case of a Fermi gas that performs the whole BCS-BEC crossover the critical velocity v_{cr} can be estimated as the minimum value between the two values given by Eq. (15) and Eq. (16) [15].

Inspired by the Ginzburg-Landau theory of superconductors [17] and by the density functional approach to the superfluid ^4He [18], we now try to express Eqs. (1) and (2) in terms of a Schrödinger equation such that its wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is given by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sqrt{\frac{n(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\zeta}} e^{i\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad (17)$$

where the coefficient ζ is 1 for bosons and 2 for fermions. We call ζ the statistical coefficient. In this way the function $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ describes superfluid bosons ($\zeta = 1$) or boson-like Cooper pairs ($\zeta = 2$) with the normalization

$$\int |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 d^3\mathbf{r} = \frac{N}{\zeta}, \quad (18)$$

that is quite different from the normalization of the condensate wave function $\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ [9, 13]. Nevertheless, the phase $\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the complex field $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the same of $\Xi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and also of the gap function $\Delta(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Obviously this phase must satisfy Eq. (8).

We consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = \left[-\alpha \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \beta U + \gamma \mu(n) \right] \Psi, \quad (19)$$

where α , β and γ are parameters which must be determined. By inserting Eq. (17) into Eq. (19), after some calculations and taking into account Eq. (8), we find two hydrodynamics equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \alpha \zeta \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$m \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v} + \nabla \left[-\frac{\alpha \hbar^2}{\zeta 2m} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\alpha \zeta}{2} m v^2 + \frac{\beta}{\zeta} U + \frac{\gamma}{\zeta} \mu(n) \right] = 0, \quad (21)$$

which include the quantum pressure term

$$T_{QP} = -\frac{\alpha \hbar^2}{\zeta 2m} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (22)$$

which depends explicitly on the reduced Planck constant \hbar . This term is necessary in a realistic superfluid model to avoid unphysical phenomena like the formation of wave front singularities in the dynamics of shock waves [19]. Neglecting the quantum pressure term (which is small for a large number of particles apart very close the surface), one gets the classical hydrodynamics equations, i.e. Eqs. (1) and (2), only by setting

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\zeta}, \quad \beta = \gamma = \zeta. \quad (23)$$

In conclusion Eq. (19) becomes

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\zeta m} \nabla^2 + \zeta U(\mathbf{r}) + \zeta \mu(n(\mathbf{r}, t)) \right] \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (24)$$

that we call *superfluid nonlinear Schrödinger* (SNLS) equation, and the quantum pressure term reads

$$T_{QP} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\zeta^2 m} \frac{\nabla^2 \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (25)$$

For superfluid bosons $\zeta = 1$ and from Eq. (24) we get a generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation [4, 10, 20] which becomes the familiar Gross-Pitaevskii equation if $\mu(n) = (4\pi\hbar^2 a_B/m)n$ [14]. For superfluid fermions one has instead $\zeta = 2$ and from Eq. (24) we get a zero-temperature generalized Ginzburg-Landau equation [5, 21]. We stress that

the appearance of the statistical coefficient ζ in Eq. (24) is a direct consequence of the relationship (8) between the phase and the superfluid velocity, while the normalization (18) does not affect Eq. (24).

It is important to stress that Eq. (19) is not the more general Galilei-invariant Schrödinger equation. In fact, we have recently shown [23] that a nonlinear Schrödinger equation of the Guerra-Pusterla type [24],

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi = \left[-\alpha \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \beta U + \gamma \mu(n) + \eta \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 |\Psi|}{|\Psi|} \right] \Psi, \quad (26)$$

is needed to accurately describe the surface effects of a ultracold superfluid Fermi gas with infinite scattering length in a harmonic trap [23].

Eq. (24) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the following Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left(\Psi^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi - \Psi \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi^* \right) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\zeta m} \Psi^* \nabla^2 \Psi - \zeta U(\mathbf{r}) |\Psi|^2 - \zeta \mathcal{E}(n) |\Psi|^2, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n \mu(n') dn' \quad (28)$$

is the bulk energy per particle of the bosonic superfluid, namely

$$\mu(n) = \frac{\partial (n\mathcal{E}(n))}{\partial n}. \quad (29)$$

Our SNLS equation (24) can be used to study stationary configurations and elementary excitations of the superfluids. To obtain the stationary equation we set

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\zeta\bar{\mu}t/\hbar}, \quad (30)$$

where $\Psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$ is the equilibrium wave function which satisfies the stationary equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\zeta m} \nabla^2 + \zeta U(\mathbf{r}) + \zeta \mu(n_{eq}(\mathbf{r})) \right] \Psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r}) = \zeta \bar{\mu} \Psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (31)$$

with $n_{eq}(\mathbf{r}) = \zeta |\Psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r})|^2$ the stationary density profile of superfluid bosons ($\zeta = 1$) or fermions ($\zeta = 2$), and $\bar{\mu}$ the chemical potential of the inhomogeneous system. Neglecting the gradient term (Thomas-Fermi approximation) from the stationary SNLS equation we obtain the same algebraic equation for both bosons and fermions, namely

$$U(\mathbf{r}) + \mu(n_{eq}(\mathbf{r})) = \bar{\mu}, \quad (32)$$

from which we get the stationary density profile

$$n_{eq}(\mathbf{r}) = \mu^{-1}(\bar{\mu} - U(\mathbf{r})), \quad (33)$$

where $\mu^{-1}(y)$ is the inverse function of $\mu(n)$. This is exactly the equation one finds in the stationary case from Eq. (2). Obviously this stationary density profile strongly depends on the shape of $\mu(n)$, i.e. it depends on statistics and interaction strength.

We can also study small deviations from the equilibrium configuration $\Psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$ by setting

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = (\Psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r}) + \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)) e^{-i\zeta\bar{\mu}t/\hbar}. \quad (34)$$

It is straightforward to show that, after introducing the sound velocity of the bulk system

$$c(n) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{m} \frac{\partial \mu(n)}{\partial n}}, \quad (35)$$

from the linearization of Eq. (24) the perturbation $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ satisfies the equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\zeta m} \nabla^2 - \zeta \bar{\mu} + \zeta U(\mathbf{r}) + \zeta \mu(n_{eq}(\mathbf{r})) + \zeta c(n_{eq}(\mathbf{r}))^2 \right] \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) + \zeta c(n_{eq}(\mathbf{r}))^2 \phi^*(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (36)$$

In the uniform case, where $U(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ and so $\bar{\mu} = \mu(n_{eq})$, we set

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) = A e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)} + B e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}, \quad (37)$$

and from Eq. (36) we find the Bogoliubov dispersion relation

$$\hbar\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\zeta m} \left(\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\zeta m} + 2\zeta m c(n_{eq})^2 \right)}. \quad (38)$$

In the long wavelength limit we find the phonon-like spectrum

$$\omega = c(n_{eq}) k \quad (39)$$

of sound waves, while in the short wavelength limit we obtain the particle-like spectrum

$$\hbar\omega = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\zeta m}, \quad (40)$$

that is the kinetic energy of a boson if $\zeta = 1$ or that of a Cooper-pair if $\zeta = 2$. Clearly Eq. (40) is not reliable because the superfluid equations are valid only in the low-energy and long wavelength regime. Notice that by considering the next-to-leading term in the small-momentum expansion of Eq. (38) one gets

$$\omega = c(n_{eq}) k + \frac{\hbar^2}{8\zeta^2 m^2 c(n_{eq})} k^3, \quad (41)$$

and this phonon dispersion relation distinguishes between superfluid bosons ($\zeta = 1$) and superfluid fermions ($\zeta = 2$) also if the sound velocity $c(n_{eq})$ would be the same.

In conclusion we stress that the superfluid nonlinear Schrödinger (SNLS) equation we have introduced can be used for both superfluid bosons and fermions in all the situations where the characteristic wavelengths of the phenomenon under investigation is larger than the healing length given by Eq. (14). The SNLS equation can be applied to study not only collective modes [1, 2, 11, 22] and free expansion [1, 2, 26], but also quantized vortices where the characteristic length is the vortex-core size [1, 10], and tunneling phenomena where the characteristic length is the tunneling penetration depth [5, 27]. In addition, with the SNLS equation one can investigate interesting nonlinear effects, like solitons [25, 28], shock waves [19], and also chaos [29]. Finally, we observe that the SNLS equation satisfies the requirements suggested by Greiter, Wilczek and Witten [30] to have a well-founded theory of neutral superconductors: it is Galilei invariant, it maintains the current-momentum algebraic identity, and at low-energy it shows a Nambu-Goldstone boson field with linear dispersion relation, i.e. the phonon spectrum of Eq. (39).

The author has been partially supported by Fondazione CARIPARO and GNFM-INdAM. He thanks Flavio Toigo, Nicola Manini, Alberto Parola, Boris Malomed and Sadhan Adhikari for many enlightening discussions.

-
- [1] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2003).
[2] S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, e-preprint arXiv:0706.3360, to be published in Rev. Mod. Phys. (2008).
[3] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol. 6, (Pergamon Press, London, 1987).
[4] G.E. Volovik, e-preprint arXiv:gr-qc/0612134v5, to be published in the Proceedings of *The Eleventh Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity*, edited by H. Kleinert, R.T. Jantzen and R. Ruffini (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008).
[5] L. Salasnich, N. Manini and F. Toigo, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 043609 (2008).
[6] L. Salasnich, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 8016 (2000).
[7] T.D. Lee and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1119 (1957).
[8] K. Huang and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **105**, 767 (1957).
[9] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics, Part 2: Theory of the Condensed State*, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol. 9 (Pergamon Press, London, 1987).
[10] S.K. Adhikari and L. Salasnich, e-preprint arXiv:0801.4302, to be published in Phys. Rev. A (2008).
[11] N. Manini and L. Salasnich, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 033625 (2005).
[12] A.J. Leggett, *Quantum Liquids* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2006).
[13] L. Salasnich, N. Manini, and A. Parola, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 023621 (2005); L. Salasnich, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 015601 (2007).
[14] E.P. Gross, Nuovo Cimento **20**, 454 (1961); L. P. Pitaevskii, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. **40**, 646 (1961) [Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 451 (1961)].
[15] R. Combescot, M. Yu. Kagan, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 042717 (2006).

- [16] M.P. Kemoklidze and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Sov. Phys. JEPT* **23**, 160 (1966).
- [17] V.L. Ginzburg and L.D. Landau, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20**, 1064 (1950).
- [18] F. Dalfovo, A. Latri, L. Pricauptenko, S. Stringari, and J. Treiner, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 1193 (1995); F. Ancilotto, F. Dalfovo, L.P. Pitaevskii, and F. Toigo, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 104530 (2005).
- [19] M. Zak and I. Kulikov, *Phys. Lett. A* **307**, 99 (2003); B. Damski, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 043610 (2004); L. Salasnich, N. Manini, F. Bonelli, M. Korbman, and A. Parola, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 043616 (2007).
- [20] N.G. Berloff, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **116**, 359 (1999); P.H. Roberts and N.G. Berloff, in “Quantized Vortex Dynamics and Superfluid Turbulence”, edited by C.F. Barenghi, R.J. Donnelly and W.F. Vinen, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 571, pp. 235-256 (Springer, Berlin, 2001).
- [21] Note that the nonlinear Schrödinger equation recently used by Kim and Zubarev [22] and others [11, 25] to describe a Fermi superfluid has the coefficient $\zeta = 1$ instead of $\zeta = 2$. This choice implies an incorrect relationship between phase and superfluid velocity.
- [22] Y.E. Kim and A.L. Zubarev, *Phys. Lett. A* **327**, 397 (2004); *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 033612 (2004).
- [23] L. Salasnich and F. Toigo, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, issue 5 (2008).
- [24] F. Guerra and M. Pusterla, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **34**, 351 (1982).
- [25] S.K. Adhikari and B.A. Malomed, *Europhys. Lett.* **79** 50003 (2007); *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 043626 (2007); S.K. Adhikari and L. Salasnich, *Phys. Rev. A* **78** 043616 (2008).
- [26] G. Diana, N. Manini, and L. Salasnich, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 065601 (2006); L. Salasnich and N. Manini, *Laser Phys.* **17**, 169 (2007).
- [27] A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, S. Giovanazzi, and S.R. Shenoy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 4950 (1997).
- [28] V.M. Perez-Garcia, H. Michinel, and H. Herrero, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 3837 (1998); A. Trombettoni and A. Smerzi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2353 - 2356 (2001); L. Salasnich, A. Parola, and L. Reatto, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 043603 (2002); L. Salasnich, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 053617 (2004); M. Antezza, F. Dalfovo, L.P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 043610 (2007).
- [29] L. Salasnich, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 6189 (1995); L. Salasnich, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **12**, 1473 (1997); L. Salasnich, *Phys. Lett. A* **266**, 187 (2000); B.-Y. Ou, X.-G. Zhaoa, J. Liua, and S.-G. Chen, *Phys. Lett. A* **291**, 17 (2001); R. Franzosi and V. Penna, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 046227 (2003).
- [30] M. Greiter, F. Wilczek, and E. Witten, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **3**, 903 (1989).