Extensions of the Quantum Fano Inequality

Naresh Sharma Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Mumbai 400 005, India nsharma@tifr.res.in

February 6, 2020

Abstract

We give extensions and an alternate derivation of the Quantum Fano inequality (QFI).

1 Introduction

Let R and Q be two quantum systems described by a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_Q of finite dimension d, where $d \geq 2$. The joint system RQ is initially prepared in a pure entangled state

$$
|\psi^{RQ}\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sqrt{\lambda_k} |k^R\rangle |k^Q\rangle \tag{1}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = [\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d]$ is a probability vector, i.e., $\lambda_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k = 1$, $\{|k^R\rangle\}$ and $\{|k^Q\rangle\}$, $k =$ $1, \dots, d$, are two orthonormal bases for \mathcal{H}_Q . $|\psi^{RQ}\rangle$ is a purification of ρ , the state of system Q , and

$$
\rho = \text{Tr}_R(|\psi^{RQ}\rangle\langle\psi^{RQ}|) = \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k |k^Q\rangle\langle k^Q|
$$
\n(2)

The system Q undergoes a completely positive trace-preserving transformation or quantum operation $\mathcal E$ and R is assumed to be isolated and its state remains the same. This quantum operation is also represented by $\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \mathcal{E}$, where \mathcal{I}_R is the identity superoperator on R.

We add subscript '1' to denote the state of the system (joint or otherwise) after this quantum operation. So the state of the joint system is denoted by $\rho^{R_1Q_1}$. Note that $\rho^{Q_1} = \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ and $\rho^{R_1} = \rho^R$.

The entanglement fidelity is defined by Schumacher [\[1\]](#page-4-0) as

$$
F(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = \langle \psi^{RQ} | \rho^{R_1 Q_1} | \psi^{RQ} \rangle \tag{3}
$$

and the entropy exchange as

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = S(\rho^{R_1 Q_1}) \tag{4}
$$

where $S(\rho^{R_1Q_1})$ is the von-Neumann entropy of $\rho^{R_1Q_1}$. The QFI upper bounds $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$ by a function of the entanglement fidelity as [\[1\]](#page-4-0)

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \le H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \log(d^2 - 1)
$$
\n⁽⁵⁾

where we shall assume throughout the paper that log denotes the natural logarithm. More details on the QFI can be found in [\[1,](#page-4-0) [2,](#page-5-0) [3\]](#page-5-1).

Generalization of the Fano's inequality for the classical case was proposed by Han and Verdú [\[4\]](#page-5-2), where various lower bounds to the mutual information are provided.

In this paper, we give extensions of the QFI. The QFI is shown to be a special case of the proposed inequalities and this also gives an alternate derivation of the QFI.

2 Extensions of the Quantum Fano inequality

Let R_2 , Q_2 be two ancilla quantum systems, possibly entangled, described by \mathcal{H}_Q . The joint system R_2Q_2 is described by $\mathcal{H}_{RQ} = \mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_Q$, and let $\{|k^{RQ}\rangle\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_{RQ} , and we define a set of projectors as

$$
P_k = |k^{RQ}\rangle\langle k^{RQ}|, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{d^2} P_k = I^{RQ} \tag{6}
$$

where we have chosen

$$
|1^{RQ}\rangle = |\psi^{RQ}\rangle \tag{7}
$$

and I^{RQ} is the $d^2 \times d^2$ identity matrix. Then

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = S(\rho^{R_1 Q_1}) \tag{8}
$$

$$
= -S(\rho^{R_1 Q_1}||\rho^{R_2 Q_2}) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \log(\rho^{R_2 Q_2}))
$$
\n(9)

$$
\leq -S \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d^2} P_k \rho^{R_1 Q_1} P_k \middle| \Big| \sum_{k=1}^{d^2} P_k \rho^{R_2 Q_2} P_k \right) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \log(\rho^{R_2 Q_2})) \tag{10}
$$

$$
= -D(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{q}) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \log(\rho^{R_2 Q_2})) \tag{11}
$$

(12)

where

$$
S(\rho||\sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho \log(\rho)) - \text{Tr}(\rho \log(\sigma))
$$
\n(13)

is the quantum relative entropy, in Eq. [\(10\)](#page-1-0) we have used the fact that a trace-preserving completely positive transformation reduces the quantum relative entropy [\[5,](#page-5-3) [6\]](#page-5-4),

$$
\mathbf{p} = [p_1 \cdots p_{d^2}] \tag{14}
$$

$$
\mathbf{q} = [q_1 \cdots q_{d^2}] \tag{15}
$$

$$
p_k = \langle k^{RQ} | \rho^{R_1 Q_1} | k^{RQ} \rangle \tag{16}
$$

$$
q_k = \langle k^{RQ} | \rho^{R_2 Q_2} | k^{RQ} \rangle \tag{17}
$$

and $D(\cdot||\cdot)$ is the classical relative entropy given by

$$
D(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{d^2} p_k \log\left(\frac{p_k}{q_k}\right)
$$
 (18)

Let

$$
g(p,q) = D([p (1-p)] || [q (1-q)]) \tag{19}
$$

Then

$$
D(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{q}) - g(p_1, q_1) = \sum_{k=2}^{d^2} p_k \log \left(\frac{p_k}{q_k}\right) - (1 - p_k) \log \left(\frac{1 - p_k}{1 - q_k}\right)
$$
(20)

$$
= \sum_{k=2}^{d^2} p_k \log \left(\frac{p_k(1-q_1)}{q_k(1-p_1)} \right) \tag{21}
$$

$$
\geq \sum_{k=2}^{d^2} p_k \left(1 - \frac{q_k (1 - p_1)}{p_k (1 - q_1)} \right) \tag{22}
$$

$$
= 0 \tag{23}
$$

where in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-0), we have used the fact that for $x > 0$, $\log(x) \ge 1 - 1/x$, with equality iff $x = 1$. Hence, the equality condition for Eq. [\(23\)](#page-2-0) is

$$
\frac{q_k}{p_k} = \frac{1 - q_1}{1 - p_1}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, d
$$
\n(24)

More general lower bounds to the classical relative entropy are given by Blahut in [\[7\]](#page-5-5). Substituting Eq. (23) into Eq. (11) , we get

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \le -g(F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), q_1) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \log(\rho^{R_2 Q_2}))
$$
\n⁽²⁵⁾

where we have used the fact that $p_1 = F(\rho, \mathcal{E})$. There are different choices of the $\rho^{R_2Q_2}$ possible to give different upper bounds on $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$. We consider a few such choices below.

3 Special Cases

Let

$$
\rho^{R_2 Q_2} = \sum_{k=1}^d \gamma_k |k^R\rangle\langle k^R| \otimes \rho^{Q_2} \tag{26}
$$

where $\gamma = [\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_d]$ is a probability vector, and we have not yet specified the state ρ^{Q_2} . This choice yields

$$
q_1 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} \gamma_k \langle i^R | \langle i^Q | \left(|k^R \rangle \langle k^R | \otimes \rho^{Q_2} \right) | j^R \rangle | j^Q \rangle \tag{27}
$$

$$
= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{d} \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} \gamma_k \delta_{i,k} \delta_{k,j} \langle i^Q | \rho^{Q_2} | j^Q \rangle \tag{28}
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \gamma_k \lambda_k \langle k^Q | \rho^{Q_2} | k^Q \rangle \tag{29}
$$

where $\delta_{i,k} = 1$ if $i = k$ and is zero otherwise. Using Eq. [\(25\)](#page-2-1), we get

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \le -g(F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), q_1) - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \log(\gamma_k) - \text{Tr}\left(\mathcal{E}(\rho) \log(\rho^{Q_2})\right)
$$
(30)

where we have used $\rho^{Q_1} = \mathcal{E}(\rho)$. Again, different choices of ρ^{Q_2} are possible. Let us consider

$$
\rho^{Q_2} = \sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k |k^Q\rangle\langle k^Q| \tag{31}
$$

where $\xi = [\xi_1 \cdots \xi_d]$ is a probability vector. With this choice and noting that

$$
-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{E}(\rho)\log(\rho^{Q_2})\right) = -\sum_{k}\log(\xi_k)\langle k_Q|\mathcal{E}(\rho)|k_Q\rangle \tag{32}
$$

$$
\leq -\log(\min_{i} \{\xi_{i}\}) \tag{33}
$$

Eq. [\(30\)](#page-2-2) reduces to

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq -g\left(F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), \sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k \gamma_k \xi_k\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k \log(\gamma_k) - \log(\min_i \{\xi_i\})
$$
(34)

$$
= H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \log\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \gamma_i \xi_i} - 1\right) + \log\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \gamma_i \xi_i}{\min_i \{\xi_i\}}\right)
$$

$$
-\sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k \log(\gamma_k) \tag{35}
$$

where

$$
H(x) = -x \log(x) - (1 - x) \log(1 - x)
$$
\n(36)

is the binary entropy function.

The QFI follows as a special case by substituting $\gamma_k = \xi_k = 1/d, k = 1, \dots, d$. Note that the above inequality holds for any probability vectors γ and ξ . We get the following simpler bound than Eq. [\(35\)](#page-3-0) by choosing $\xi_k = 1/d, k = 1, \dots, d$,

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \le H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \log \left(\frac{d}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \gamma_i} - 1 \right) + \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \gamma_i \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k \log(\gamma_k) \quad (37)
$$

Eqs. [\(25\)](#page-2-1), [\(30\)](#page-2-2), [\(35\)](#page-3-0), and [\(37\)](#page-3-1) are various like Fano-like bounds that can be made tighter by appropriately choosing $\rho^{R_2Q_2}$, $\{\gamma, \rho^{Q_2}\}, \{\gamma, \xi\}$, and γ respectively.

It might seem that one could get away from the dependence of the bounds on λ by making the following choice of $\rho^{R_2Q_2}$, which is different from Eq. [\(26\)](#page-2-3). Let β_k , $k = 1, \dots, d^2$, be the eigenvalues of $\rho^{R_2Q_2}$ and $|\psi^{RQ}\rangle$ be one of the eigenvectors of $\rho^{R_2Q_2}$. Let $\beta_{\max} = \max_k \beta_k$, $\beta_{\min} = \min_k \beta_k$. Since the maximum of $g(F, x)$, $x \in [\beta_{\min}, \beta_{\max}]$, occurs at the end-points, hence to make the bound tighter, one could choose the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector $|\psi^{RQ}\rangle$ as either $\beta_{\rm min}$ or $\beta_{\rm max}$. The bound in Eq. [\(25\)](#page-2-1) can be simplified to

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \le -g(F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), q_1) - \log(\beta_{\min})
$$
\n(38)

where $q_1 = \beta_{\text{max}}$ or $q_1 = \beta_{\text{min}}$. Suppose $q_1 = \beta_{\text{max}}$, then to tighten the bound, one could choose β_{min} as large as possible, or

$$
\beta_{\min} = \frac{1 - \beta_{\max}}{d^2 - 1} \tag{39}
$$

Substituting in Eq. [\(38\)](#page-3-2), we get

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \le H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) - F(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \log \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\text{max}}} - 1 \right) + \log(d^2 - 1) \tag{40}
$$

We get the tightest bound by choosing minimum value of β_{max} given by $\beta_{\text{max}} = 1/d^2$, which reduces Eq. [\(40\)](#page-4-1) to the QFI.

If $q_1 = \beta_{\min}$, then Eq. [\(38\)](#page-3-2) reduces to

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \le H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \log \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} - 1 \right)
$$
\n(41)

We get the tightest bound by choosing maximum value of β_{\min} given by $\beta_{\min} = 1/d^2$, which reduces Eq. [\(41\)](#page-4-2) to the QFI. Hence, this choice of $\rho^{R_2Q_2}$ offers no improvement over the QFI.

4 An Example

We compute the QFI and the proposed inequality for the depolarizing channel for a single qubit $(d = 2)$ given by

$$
\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \left(1 - \frac{3p}{4}\right)\rho + \frac{p}{4}(X\rho X + Y\rho Y + Z\rho Z)
$$
\n(42)

where X, Y, Z are Pauli matrices. Let

$$
\rho = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} U^{\dagger}
$$
 (43)

where U is a randomly chosen 2×2 Unitary matrix. It is easy to show that for any choice of U

$$
F(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = 1 + p\left(\lambda^2 - \lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$
\n(44)

$$
S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = H_S \left(\left[\frac{p\lambda}{2}, \frac{(1 - \lambda)p}{2}, -\frac{p}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{4}, -\frac{p}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta}{4} \right] \right)
$$
(45)

where $H_S(\cdot)$ is the Shannon entropy, and

$$
\theta = \sqrt{p^2 + 12p^2\lambda(1 - \lambda) + 4(1 - p) - 16p\lambda(1 - \lambda)}
$$
\n(46)

In Fig. [1,](#page-5-6) we compare $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$ with the QFI and the inequality in Eq. [\(37\)](#page-3-1) numerically optimized over γ to give the tightest bound for $\lambda = 0.1$. The figure shows that the latter bound is tighter than the QFI. In Fig. [2,](#page-6-0) we plot the numerically computed value of γ_1 that gives the tightest bound in Eq. [\(37\)](#page-3-1). The QFI corresponds to $\gamma_1 = 1/d = 0.5$.

References

[1] B. Schumacher. Sending entanglement through noisy quantum channels. *Phys. Rev. A*, 54:2614– 2628, Oct. 1996.

- [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [3] M. Hayashi. *Quantum Information: An Introduction*. Springer, 2006.
- [4] T. S. Han and S. Verdú. Generalizing the Fano inequality. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 40:1247– 1251, July 1994.
- [5] G. Lindblad. Completely positive maps and entropy inequalities. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 40:147– 151, June 1975.

PSfrag replacements

- [6] A. Uhlmann. Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 54:2–32, Feb. 1977.
- [7] R. E.**Fand**ut. Information bounds of the Fano-Kullback type. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 22:410– 421, July 1976.

Figure 1: Plots of $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$, the tightest bound from Eq. [\(37\)](#page-3-1), and the QFI.

Figure 2: γ_1 that gives the tightest bound in Eq. [\(37\)](#page-3-1).