

Extensions of the quantum Fano inequality

Naresh Sharma
 Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
 Mumbai 400 005, India
 nsharma@tifr.res.in

October 26, 2018

Abstract

Quantum Fano inequality (QFI) in quantum information theory provides an upper bound to the entropy exchange by a function of the entanglement fidelity. We give various Fano-like upper bounds to the entropy exchange and QFI is a special case of these bounds. These bounds also give an alternate derivation of the QFI.

1 Introduction

Classical Fano inequality (CFI) in classical information theory provides an upper bound to the conditional entropy of two correlated random variables say X and Y . Suppose we wish to obtain an estimate of X when Y is known. To get an estimate of X , we compute a function of Y , denoted by \hat{X} . Let n be the cardinality of the set from which X takes values. CFI upper bounds the conditional Shannon entropy of X given Y , denoted by $H_S(X|Y)$, by a function of the probability of success defined as

$$P_s = \Pr\{\hat{X} = X\} \quad (1)$$

(see p. 37 in [1]) and is given by

$$H_S(X|Y) \leq H(P_s) + (1 - P_s) \ln(n - 1), \quad (2)$$

where

$$H(x) = -x \ln(x) - (1 - x) \ln(1 - x) \quad (3)$$

is the binary entropy function. CFI is useful in proving the converse to the Shannon's noisy channel coding theorem (see p. 206 in Ref. [1]).

QFI provides an upper bound to the entropy exchange by a function of the entanglement fidelity, and the function is similar to the function of the probability of success used in the CFI.

More specifically, let R and Q be two quantum systems described by a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_Q of finite dimension d , where $d \geq 2$. The joint system RQ is initially prepared in a pure entangled state

$$|\psi^{RQ}\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_k} |k^R\rangle |k^Q\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = [\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d]$ is a probability vector, i.e., $\lambda_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k = 1$, $\{|k^R\rangle\}$ and $\{|k^Q\rangle\}$, $k = 1, \dots, d$, are two orthonormal bases for \mathcal{H}_Q . $|\psi^{RQ}\rangle$ is a purification of ρ , the state of system Q , and

$$\rho = \text{Tr}_R(|\psi^{RQ}\rangle\langle\psi^{RQ}|) = \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k |k^Q\rangle\langle k^Q|. \quad (5)$$

The system Q undergoes a completely positive trace-preserving transformation or quantum operation \mathcal{E} and R is assumed to be isolated and its state remains the same. This quantum operation is also represented by $\mathcal{I}_R \otimes \mathcal{E}$, where \mathcal{I}_R is the identity superoperator on R .

We add subscript “1” to denote the state of the system (joint or otherwise) after this quantum operation. So the state of the joint system is denoted by $\rho^{R_1Q_1}$. Note that $\rho^{Q_1} = \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ and $\rho^{R_1} = \rho^R$.

The entanglement fidelity is defined by Schumacher [2] as

$$F(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = \langle\psi^{RQ}|\rho^{R_1Q_1}|\psi^{RQ}\rangle \quad (6)$$

and the entropy exchange as

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = S(\rho^{R_1Q_1}), \quad (7)$$

where $S(\rho^{R_1Q_1})$ is the von-Neumann entropy of $\rho^{R_1Q_1}$. The QFI upper bounds $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$ by a function of the entanglement fidelity as [2]

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \ln(d^2 - 1). \quad (8)$$

More details on the QFI can be found in Ref. [2], p. 563 in Ref. [3], p. 222 in Ref. [4].

Generalization of the CFI was provided by Han and Verdú [5], where various lower bounds to the mutual information are given.

In this paper, we give extensions of the QFI and give various Fano-like upper bounds to $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$. One of the bounds that we derive for any probability vector $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = [\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_d]$ is

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + \ln\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i\right) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \ln\left(\frac{d}{\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i} - 1\right) - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \ln(\gamma_k), \quad (9)$$

where using Eq. (5), λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$, are the eigenvalues of ρ . It is easy to see that Eq. (8) is a special case of Eq. (9) by substituting $\gamma_i = 1/d$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Our approach also gives an alternate derivation of the QFI.

2 Extensions of the Quantum Fano inequality

Let R_2, Q_2 be two ancilla quantum systems, possibly entangled, described by \mathcal{H}_Q . The joint system R_2Q_2 is described by $\mathcal{H}_{RQ} = \mathcal{H}_Q \otimes \mathcal{H}_Q$, and let $\{|k^{RQ}\rangle\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_{RQ} , and we define a set of projectors as

$$P_k = |k^{RQ}\rangle\langle k^{RQ}|, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{d^2} P_k = I^{RQ}, \quad (10)$$

where we have chosen

$$|1^{RQ}\rangle = |\psi^{RQ}\rangle, \quad (11)$$

and I^{RQ} is the $d^2 \times d^2$ identity matrix. Then

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = S(\rho^{R_1 Q_1}) \quad (12)$$

$$= -S(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} || \rho^{R_2 Q_2}) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \ln(\rho^{R_2 Q_2})) \quad (13)$$

$$\leq -S\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d^2} P_k \rho^{R_1 Q_1} P_k \middle| \middle| \sum_{k=1}^{d^2} P_k \rho^{R_2 Q_2} P_k\right) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \ln(\rho^{R_2 Q_2})) \quad (14)$$

$$= -D(\mathbf{p} || \mathbf{q}) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \ln(\rho^{R_2 Q_2})), \quad (15)$$

where

$$S(\rho || \sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho \ln(\rho)) - \text{Tr}(\rho \ln(\sigma)) \quad (16)$$

is the quantum relative entropy, in Eq. (14) we have used the fact that a trace-preserving completely positive transformation reduces the quantum relative entropy (see Refs. [6, 7], p. 47 in Ref. [8]),

$$\mathbf{p} = [p_1 \cdots p_{d^2}], \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{q} = [q_1 \cdots q_{d^2}], \quad (18)$$

$$p_k = \langle k^{RQ} | \rho^{R_1 Q_1} | k^{RQ} \rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$q_k = \langle k^{RQ} | \rho^{R_2 Q_2} | k^{RQ} \rangle, \quad (20)$$

and $D(\cdots || \cdots)$ is the classical relative entropy given by

$$D(\mathbf{p} || \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{k=1}^{d^2} p_k \ln\left(\frac{p_k}{q_k}\right). \quad (21)$$

Let

$$g(p, q) = D\{[p, (1-p)] \mid \mid [q, (1-q)]\}. \quad (22)$$

Then

$$D(\mathbf{p} || \mathbf{q}) - g(p_1, q_1) = \sum_{k=2}^{d^2} p_k \ln\left(\frac{p_k}{q_k}\right) - (1-p_1) \ln\left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-q_1}\right) \quad (23)$$

$$= \sum_{k=2}^{d^2} p_k \ln\left(\frac{p_k(1-q_1)}{q_k(1-p_1)}\right) \quad (24)$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=2}^{d^2} p_k \left(1 - \frac{q_k(1-p_1)}{p_k(1-q_1)}\right) \quad (25)$$

$$= 0, \quad (26)$$

where in Eq. (25), we have used the fact that for $x > 0$, $\ln(x) \geq 1 - 1/x$, with equality if and only if $x = 1$. Hence, the equality condition for Eq. (26) is

$$\frac{q_k}{p_k} = \frac{1-q_1}{1-p_1}, \quad k = 2, \cdots, d. \quad (27)$$

More general lower bounds to the classical relative entropy are given by Blahut in Ref. [9]. Substituting Eq. (26) into Eq. (15), we get

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq -g[F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), q_1] - \text{Tr}[\rho^{R_1 Q_1} \ln(\rho^{R_2 Q_2})], \quad (28)$$

where we have used the fact that $p_1 = F(\rho, \mathcal{E})$. There are different choices of the $\rho^{R_2 Q_2}$ possible to give different upper bounds on $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$. We consider a few such choices below.

3 Special Cases

Let

$$\rho^{R_2 Q_2} = \sum_{k=1}^d \gamma_k |k^R\rangle\langle k^R| \otimes \rho^{Q_2}, \quad (29)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = [\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_d]$ is a probability vector, and we have not yet specified the state ρ^{Q_2} . This choice yields

$$q_1 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} \gamma_k \langle i^R | \langle i^Q | (|k^R\rangle\langle k^R| \otimes \rho^{Q_2}) |j^R\rangle |j^Q\rangle \quad (30)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j} \gamma_k \delta_{i,k} \delta_{k,j} \langle i^Q | \rho^{Q_2} |j^Q\rangle \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^d \gamma_k \lambda_k \langle k^Q | \rho^{Q_2} |k^Q\rangle, \quad (32)$$

where $\delta_{i,k} = 1$ if $i = k$ and is zero otherwise. Using Eq. (28), we get

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq -g(F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), q_1) - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \ln(\gamma_k) - \text{Tr}(\mathcal{E}(\rho) \ln(\rho^{Q_2})), \quad (33)$$

where we have used $\rho^{Q_1} = \mathcal{E}(\rho)$. Again, different choices of ρ^{Q_2} are possible. Let us consider

$$\rho^{Q_2} = \sum_{k=1}^d \xi_k |k^Q\rangle\langle k^Q|, \quad (34)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi} = [\xi_1 \cdots \xi_d]$ is a probability vector. With this choice and noting that

$$-\text{Tr}[\mathcal{E}(\rho) \ln(\rho^{Q_2})] = -\sum_k \ln(\xi_k) \langle k^Q | \mathcal{E}(\rho) |k^Q\rangle \quad (35)$$

$$\leq -\ln(\min_i \{\xi_i\}). \quad (36)$$

Eq. (33) reduces to

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq -g\left(F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \gamma_k \xi_k\right) - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \ln(\gamma_k) - \ln(\min_i \{\xi_i\}) \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + \ln\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i \xi_i}{\min_i \{\xi_i\}}\right) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i \xi_i} - 1\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \ln(\gamma_k), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where $H(\dots)$ is given by Eq. (3).

The QFI follows as a special case by substituting $\gamma_k = \xi_k = 1/d$, $k = 1, \dots, d$. Note that the above inequality holds for any probability vectors $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. We get the following simpler bound than Eq. (38) by choosing $\xi_k = 1/d$, $k = 1, \dots, d$,

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + \ln\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i\right) + (1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) \ln\left(\frac{d}{\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \gamma_i} - 1\right) - \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \ln(\gamma_k). \quad (39)$$

Eqs. (28), (33), (38), and (39) are various Fano-like bounds that can be made tighter by appropriately choosing $\rho^{R_2 Q_2}$, $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \rho^{Q_2}\}$, $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\}$, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ respectively.

It might seem that one could get away from the dependence of the bounds on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ by making the following choice of $\rho^{R_2 Q_2}$, which is different from Eq. (29). Let β_k , $k = 1, \dots, d^2$, be the eigenvalues of $\rho^{R_2 Q_2}$ and $|\psi^{RQ}\rangle$ be one of the eigenvectors of $\rho^{R_2 Q_2}$. Let $\beta_{\max} = \max_k \beta_k$, $\beta_{\min} = \min_k \beta_k$. Since the maximum of $g(F, x)$, $x \in [\beta_{\min}, \beta_{\max}]$ occurs at the end-points, hence to make the bound tighter, one could choose the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector $|\psi^{RQ}\rangle$ as either β_{\min} or β_{\max} . The bound in Eq. (28) can be simplified to

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq -g(F(\rho, \mathcal{E}), q_1) - \ln(\beta_{\min}), \quad (40)$$

where $q_1 = \beta_{\max}$ or $q_1 = \beta_{\min}$. Suppose $q_1 = \beta_{\max}$, then to tighten the bound, one could choose β_{\min} as large as possible, or

$$\beta_{\min} = \frac{1 - \beta_{\max}}{d^2 - 1}. \quad (41)$$

Substituting in Eq. (40), we get

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) - F(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\max}} - 1\right) + \ln(d^2 - 1). \quad (42)$$

We get the tightest bound by choosing minimum value of β_{\max} given by $\beta_{\max} = 1/d^2$, which reduces Eq. (42) to the QFI.

If $q_1 = \beta_{\min}$, then Eq. (40) reduces to

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) \leq H(F(\rho, \mathcal{E})) + [1 - F(\rho, \mathcal{E})] \ln\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\min}} - 1\right). \quad (43)$$

We get the tightest bound by choosing maximum value of β_{\min} given by $\beta_{\min} = 1/d^2$, which reduces Eq. (43) to the QFI. Hence, this choice of $\rho^{R_2 Q_2}$ offers no improvement over the QFI.

4 An Example

We compute the QFI and the proposed inequality in Eq. (39) for the depolarizing channel for a single qubit ($d = 2$) given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \left(1 - \frac{3p}{4}\right)\rho + \frac{p}{4}(X\rho X + Y\rho Y + Z\rho Z), \quad (44)$$

where X, Y, Z are Pauli matrices. Let

$$\rho = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} U^\dagger, \quad (45)$$

where U is a randomly chosen 2×2 Unitary matrix. It is easy to show that for any choice of U

$$F(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = 1 + p \left(\lambda^2 - \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (46)$$

$$S(\rho, \mathcal{E}) = H_S(\dot{\lambda}), \quad (47)$$

where $H_S(\dots)$ is the Shannon entropy, $\dot{\lambda} = [p\lambda/2, (1 - \lambda)p/2, -p/4 + 1/2 + \theta/4, -p/4 + 1/2 - \theta/4]$, and

$$\theta = \sqrt{p^2 + 12p^2\lambda(1 - \lambda) + 4(1 - p) - 16p\lambda(1 - \lambda)}. \quad (48)$$

In Fig. 1, we compare $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$ with the QFI and the inequality in Eq. (39) numerically optimized over γ to give the tightest bound for $\lambda = 0.1$. The figure shows that the latter bound is tighter than the QFI. In Fig. 2, we plot the numerically computed value of γ_1 that gives the tightest bound in Eq. (39). The QFI corresponds to $\gamma_1 = 1/d = 0.5$.

References

- [1] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas. *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [2] B. Schumacher. Sending entanglement through noisy quantum channels. *Phys. Rev. A*, 54:2614–2628, Oct. 1996.
- [3] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [4] M. Hayashi. *Quantum Information: An Introduction*. Springer, 2006.
- [5] T. S. Han and S. Verdú. Generalizing the Fano inequality. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 40:1247–1251, July 1994.
- [6] G. Lindblad. Completely positive maps and entropy inequalities. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 40:147–151, June 1975.
- [7] A. Uhlmann. Relative entropy and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson-Lieb concavity in an interpolation theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 54:21–32, Feb. 1977.
- [8] M. Ohya and D. Petz. *Quantum Entropy and its use*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1st edition, 1993.
- [9] R. E. Blahut. Information bounds of the Fano-Kullback type. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 22:410–421, July 1976.




Figure 1: Plots of $S(\rho, \mathcal{E})$, the tightest bound from Eq. (39), and the QFI.




Figure 2: γ_1 that gives the tightest bound in Eq. (39).