

# Weakly irreducible subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n + 1)$

Natalia I. Bezvitynaya

November 9, 2018

## Abstract

Connected weakly irreducible not irreducible subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n + 1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4, 4n + 4)$  that satisfy a certain additional condition are classified. This will be used to classify connected holonomy groups of pseudo-hyper-Kählerian manifolds of index 4.

**Keywords:** pseudo-hyper-Kählerian manifold of index 4, weakly irreducible holonomy group

**Mathematical subject codes:** 53C29, 53C50

## 1 Introduction

The classification of connected holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds is well known [4, 5, 6, 10]. A classification of holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds is an actual problem of differential geometry. Very recently were obtained classifications of connected holonomy groups of Lorentzian manifolds [3, 11, 8] and of pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2 [9]. These groups are contained in  $\mathrm{SO}(1, n + 1)$  and  $\mathrm{U}(1, n + 1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2, 2n + 2)$ , respectively. As the next step, we study connected holonomy groups contained in  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n + 1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4, 4n + 4)$ , i.e. holonomy groups of pseudo-hyper-Kählerian manifolds of index 4. By the Wu theorem [12] and the results of Berger for connected irreducible holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds [4], it is enough to consider only weakly irreducible not irreducible holonomy groups (each such group does not preserve any proper non-degenerate vector subspace of the tangent space, but preserves a degenerate subspace).

In the present paper we classify connected weakly irreducible not irreducible subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n + 1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4, 4n + 4)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) that satisfy a natural condition. The case  $n = 0$  will be considered separately. We generalize the method of [7, 9]. Let  $G \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1, n + 1)$  be a weakly irreducible not irreducible subgroup and  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n + 1)$  the corresponding subalgebra. The results of [9] allow us to expect that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the holonomy algebra, then  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains a certain 3-dimensional ideal  $\mathcal{B}$ . We will prove this in another paper. Consider the action of  $G$  on the space  $\mathbb{H}^{1, n+1}$ , then  $G$  acts on the boundary of the quaternionic hyperbolic space, which is diffeomorphic to the  $4n + 3$ -dimensional sphere  $S^{4n+3}$  and  $G$  preserves a point of this space. We define a map  $s_1 : S^{4n+3} \setminus \{point\} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$  similar to the usual stereographic projection. Then any  $f \in G$  defines the map  $F(f) = s_1 \circ f \circ s_2 : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ , where  $s_2 : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow S^{4n+3} \setminus \{point\}$  is the inverse of the usual stereographic projection restricted to  $\mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{H}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^{4n+3}$ . We get

that  $F(G)$  is contained in the group  $\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$  of similarity transformations of  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . We show that  $F(G)$  preserves an affine subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n} = \mathbb{H}^n$  such that the minimal affine subspace of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  containing  $L$  is  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Moreover,  $F(G)$  does not preserve any proper affine subspace of  $L$ . Then  $F(G)$  acts transitively on  $L$  [1]. We describe subspaces  $L$  with such property and using results of [9] we find all connected Lie subgroups  $K \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$  preserving  $L$  and acting transitively on  $L$ . Note that the kernel of the Lie algebra homomorphism  $dF : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n)$  coincides with the ideal  $\mathcal{B}$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{g} = (dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$ , where  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathcal{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n)$  is the Lie algebra of one of the obtained Lie subgroups  $K \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$ .

Note that we classify weakly irreducible not irreducible subgroups of  $\text{Sp}(1, n+1)$  up to conjugacy in  $\text{SO}(4, 4n+4)$ . It is also possible to classify these subgroups up to conjugacy in  $\text{Sp}(1, n+1)$ , see Remark 1.

*Acknowledgement.* I am grateful to Jan Slovák for support and help. The author has been supported by the grant GACR 201/05/H005.

## 2 Preliminaries

First we summarize some facts about quaternionic vector spaces. Let  $\mathbb{H}^m$  be an  $m$ -dimensional quaternionic vector space and  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  a basis of  $\mathbb{H}^m$ . We identify an element  $X \in \mathbb{H}^m$  with the column  $(X_t)$  of the left coordinates of  $X$  with respect to this basis,  $X = \sum_{t=1}^m X_t e_t$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$  be an  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear map. Define the matrix  $\text{Mat}_f$  of  $f$  by the relation  $f e_l = \sum_{t=1}^m (\text{Mat}_f)_{lt} e_t$ . Now if  $X \in \mathbb{H}^m$ , then  $fX = (X^t \text{Mat}_f^t)^t$  and because of the non-commutativity of the quaternions this is not the same as  $\text{Mat}_f X$ . Conversely, to an  $m \times m$  matrix  $A$  of the quaternions we put in correspondence the linear map  $\text{Op } A : \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$  such that  $\text{Op } A \cdot X = (X^t A^t)^t$ . If  $f, g : \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$  are two  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear maps, then  $\text{Mat}_{fg} = (\text{Mat}_g^t \text{Mat}_f^t)^t$ . Note that the multiplications by the imaginary quaternions are not  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear maps. Also, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{H}$  holds  $\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$ . Consequently, for two square quaternionic matrices we have  $\overline{(AB)}^t = \bar{B}^t \bar{A}^t$ .

A pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $\mathbb{H}^m$  is a non-degenerate  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear map  $g : \mathbb{H}^m \times \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  such that  $g(aX, Y) = ag(X, Y)$  and  $\overline{g(Y, X)} = g(X, Y)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathbb{H}^m$ . Hence,  $g(X, aY) = g(X, Y)\bar{a}$ . There exists a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  of  $\mathbb{H}^m$  and integers  $(r, s)$  with  $r+s = m$  such that  $g(e_t, e_l) = 0$  if  $t \neq l$ ,  $g(e_t, e_t) = -1$  if  $1 \leq t \leq p$  and  $g(e_t, e_t) = 1$  if  $p+1 \leq t \leq m$ . The pair  $(r, s)$  is called the signature of  $g$ . In this situation we denote  $\mathbb{H}^m$  by  $\mathbb{H}^{r,s}$ . The realification of  $\mathbb{H}^m$  gives us the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$  with the quaternionic structure  $(i, j, k)$ . Conversely, a quaternionic structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$ , i.e. a triple  $(I, J, K)$  of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$  such that  $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -\text{id}$  and  $K = IJ = -JI$ , allows us to consider  $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$  as  $\mathbb{H}^m$ . A pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $\mathbb{H}^m$  of signature  $(r, s)$  defines on  $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$  the  $i, j, k$ -invariant pseudo-Euclidian metric  $\eta$  of signature  $(4r, 4s)$ ,  $\eta(X, Y) = \text{Re } g(X, Y)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{4m}$ . Conversely, a  $I, J, K$ -invariant pseudo-Euclidian metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{4m}$  defines a pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric  $g$  on  $\mathbb{H}^m$ ,

$$g(X, Y) = \eta(X, Y) + i\eta(X, IY) + j\eta(X, JY) + k\eta(X, KY).$$

The Lie group  $\mathrm{Sp}(r, s)$  and its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$  are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sp}(r, s) &= \{f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^{r,s}) \mid g(fX, fY) = g(X, Y) \text{ for all } X, Y \in \mathbb{H}^{r,s}\}, \\ \mathfrak{sp}(r, s) &= \{f \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{H}^{r,s}) \mid g(fX, Y) + g(X, fY) = 0 \text{ for all } X, Y \in \mathbb{H}^{r,s}\}.\end{aligned}$$

### 3 The Main Theorem

**Definition 1.** A subgroup  $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(r, s)$  (or a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(r, s)$ ) is called *weakly irreducible* if it does not preserve any non-degenerate proper vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{4,4n+4}$  be a  $(4n+8)$ -dimensional real vector space endowed with a quaternionic structure  $I, J, K \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^{4,4n+4})$  and an  $I, J, K$ -invariant metric  $\eta$  of signature  $(4, 4n+4)$ . We identify this space with the  $(n+2)$ -dimensional quaternionic space  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$  endowed with the pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric  $g$  of signature  $(1, n+1)$  as above.

Obviously, if a Lie subgroup  $G \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)$  acts weakly irreducibly not irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^{4,4n+4}$ , then  $G$  acts weakly irreducibly not irreducibly on  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$ . The converse is not true, see Example 2 below. If  $G$  acts weakly irreducibly not irreducibly on  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$ , then  $G$  preserves a proper degenerate subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$ . Consequently,  $G$  preserves the intersection  $W \cap W^\perp \subset \mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$ , which is an isotropic quaternionic line.

Fix a Witt basis  $p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q$  of  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$ , i.e. the Gram matrix of the metric  $g$  with respect to this basis has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & E_n & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $E_n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional identity matrix.

Denote by  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  the Lie subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)$  acting on  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$  and preserving the quaternionic isotropic line  $\mathbb{H}p$ . Note that any weakly irreducible and not irreducible subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)$  is conjugated to a weakly irreducible subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ . The Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)$  corresponding to the Lie subgroup  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p} \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)$  has the following form

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p} = \left\{ \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & -\bar{X}^t & b \\ 0 & \mathrm{Mat}_h & X \\ 0 & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} a \in \mathbb{H}, X \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\ h \in \mathfrak{sp}(n), b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let  $(a, A, X, b)$  denote the above element of  $\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ . Define the following vector subspaces of  $\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1 &= \{(a, 0, 0, 0) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}, & \mathcal{A}_2 &= \{(a, 0, 0, 0) \mid a \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}, \\ \mathcal{N} &= \{(0, 0, X, 0) \mid X \in \mathbb{H}^n\}, & \mathcal{B} &= \{(0, 0, 0, b) \mid b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  with the inclusion

$$h \in \mathfrak{sp}(n) \mapsto \mathrm{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{Mat}_h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}.$$

We obtain that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is a one-dimensional commutative subalgebra that commutes with  $\mathcal{A}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is a subalgebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$  and commuting with  $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a commutative

ideal, which commutes with  $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} [(a, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, X, b)] &= (0, 0, aX, 2 \operatorname{Im} ab), & [(0, 0, X, 0), (0, 0, Y, 0)] &= (0, 0, 0, 2 \operatorname{Im} g(X, Y)), \\ [(0, A, 0, 0), (0, 0, X, 0)] &= (0, 0, (X^t A^t)^t, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $A = \operatorname{Mat}_h$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ ,  $b \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$ . Thus we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p} = (\mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)) \ltimes (\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{B}) \simeq (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)) \ltimes (\mathbb{H}^n + \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Now consider two examples.

**Example 1.** *The subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(0, 0, X, b) \mid X \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  acts weakly irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the converse. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserve a non-degenerate proper vector subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$ . Suppose the projection of  $L$  to  $\mathbb{H}q \subset \mathbb{H}^{1, n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$  is non-zero, then there is a vector  $v \in L$  such that  $v = v_0 p + v_1 + v_2 q$ , where  $v_0, v_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $v_2 \neq 0$  and  $v_1 \in \mathbb{H}^n$ . Consider elements  $\xi_1 = (0, 0, X, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}$  with  $g(X, X) = 1$  and  $\xi_2 = (0, 0, 0, b) \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then,  $\xi_1(\xi_1 v) = -v_2 p \in L$  and  $\xi_2 v = v_2 b p \in L$ . Since  $v_2 \neq 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{H}p \subset L$ . It follows that  $L^{\perp n} \subset \mathbb{H}p \oplus \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $L^{\perp n}$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant non-degenerate proper subspace. Now we can assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserves a non-trivial non-degenerate vector subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}p \oplus \mathbb{H}^n$ . Let  $v = v_0 p + v_1 \in L$ ,  $v \neq 0$ . If  $v_1 = 0$ , then  $L$  is degenerate. If  $v_1 \neq 0$ , then there is  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $g(v_1, X) \neq 0$ . We get  $(0, 0, X, 0)v = -g(v_1, X)p \in L$ . Hence  $L$  is degenerate. Thus we have a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 2.** *The subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(0, 0, X, 0) \mid X \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  acts weakly irreducibly on  $\mathbb{H}^{1, n+1}$  and not weakly irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of the first statement is similar to the proof of Example 1. Clearly, the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  preserves the non-degenerate vector subspace  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$ .  $\square$

The classification of the holonomy algebras contained in  $\mathfrak{u}(1, n+1)$  [9] gives us the following hypothesis: *If  $n \geq 1$  and  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  is a holonomy algebra, then  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains the ideal  $\mathcal{B}$ .* We will prove this hypothesis in an other paper.

In the following theorem we denote the real vector subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n} = \mathbb{H}^n$  of the form

$$L = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \oplus \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+k}\} \oplus \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+k+1}, \dots, e_n\}$$

by  $\mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m-k}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{u}(k)$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}(\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+k}\})$  that consists of the elements  $\operatorname{Op} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A \in \mathfrak{u}(\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+k}\})$  and we use the decomposition  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+k}\} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+k}\} + j \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+k}\}$ . Similarly, let  $\mathfrak{so}(n-m-k)$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}(\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_{m+k+1}, \dots, e_n\})$  that consists of the elements  $\operatorname{Op} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A \in \mathfrak{so}(\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+k+1}, \dots, e_n\})$  and we use the

decomposition  $\mathbb{H}^{n-m-k} = \mathbb{R}^{n-m-k} \oplus i\mathbb{R}^{n-m-k} \oplus j\mathbb{R}^{n-m-k} \oplus k\mathbb{R}^{n-m-k}$ . For a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{h}'$  the commutant  $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ . Any weakly irreducible subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}^p}$  that contains the ideal  $\mathcal{B}$  is conjugated by an element of  $\mathrm{SO}(4, 4n+4)$  to one of the following subalgebras:*

**Type I.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a_1 + a_2, A, X, b) \mid a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, a_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_0, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^n, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is a subalgebra of dimension 2 or 3,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  is a subalgebra.

**Type II.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a_1 + ta_2 + \phi(A), A, X, b) \mid a_1, t \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^n, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $a_2 \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  is a subalgebra,  $\phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is a homomorphism. If  $a_2 \neq 0$ , then  $\mathrm{rk} \phi \leq 1$  and  $[\mathrm{Im} \phi, a_2] \subset \mathbb{R}a_2$ .

**Type III.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(\varphi(a_2, A) + a_2, A, X, b) \mid a_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_0, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^n, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is a subalgebra of dimension 2 or 3,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  is a subalgebra,  $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ . In particular, if  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 = 3$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ , then  $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}_0} = 0$ .

**Type IV.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(\varphi(t, A) + ta_2 + \phi(A), A, X, b) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^n, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $a_2 \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  is a subalgebra,  $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ ,  $\phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is a homomorphism. If  $a_2 \neq 0$ , then  $\mathrm{rk} \phi \leq 1$  and  $[\mathrm{Im} \phi, a_2] \subset \mathbb{R}a_2$ . If  $a_2 \neq 0$  and  $\phi \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$ .

**Type V.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a_1 + a_2i, A, X, b) \mid a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $0 \leq m < n$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n-m)$  is a subalgebra.

**Type VI.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a_1 + \phi(A)i, A, X, b) \mid a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m-k}, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $0 \leq m < n$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-m$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n-m-k)$  is a subalgebra,  $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\phi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ . If  $n-m-k \geq 1$ , then  $\phi = 0$ .

**Type VII.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(\varphi(a_2, A) + a_2i, A, X, b) \mid a_2 \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-m}, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $0 \leq m < n$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n-m)$  is a subalgebra,  $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ .

**Type VIII.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(\varphi(A) + \phi(A)i, A, X, b) \mid A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in \mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m-k}, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $0 \leq m < n$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-m$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n-m-k)$  is a subalgebra,  $\varphi, \phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = \phi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ . If  $n-m-k \geq 1$ , then  $\phi = 0$ .

**Type IX.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(0, A, \psi(A) + X, b) \mid A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in W, b \in \mathrm{Im} \mathbb{H}\}$ . Here  $0 \leq m \leq n$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n-m$ . For  $L = \mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n} = \mathbb{H}^n$  we have an  $\eta$ -orthogonal decomposition  $L = W \oplus U$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(W \cap iW \cap jW \cap kW)$  is a subalgebra and  $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow W$  is a surjective linear map with  $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ .

## 4 Relation with the group of similarity transformations of $\mathbb{H}^n$

Let  $\mathbb{H}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional quaternionic vector space endowed with a quaternionic-Hermitian metric  $g$ . For elements  $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $a_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ ,  $f \in \mathrm{Sp}(n)$  and  $X \in \mathbb{H}^n$  consider the following transformations of  $\mathbb{H}^n$ :  $d(a_1) : Y \mapsto a_1Y$  (real dilation),  $a_2 : Y \mapsto a_2Y$  (quaternionic dilation),  $f : Y \mapsto fY$  (rotation),  $t(Y) : Y \mapsto Y + X$  (translation), here  $Y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ . Note that the elements  $a_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$  act on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  as  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear (but not  $\mathbb{H}$ -linear) isomorphism. These transformations generate the Lie group  $\mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n$  of similarity transformations of  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . We get the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n = (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(n)) \ltimes \mathbb{H}^n.$$

The Lie group  $\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$  is a Lie subgroup of the connected Lie group  $\text{Sim}^0 \mathbb{R}^{4n}$  of similarity transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ ,  $\text{Sim}^0 \mathbb{R}^{4n} = (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{SO}(4n)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ .

The corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathcal{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n)$  to the Lie group  $\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$  has the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{LA}(\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n) = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)) \ltimes \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Let  $p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q$  be the basis of  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$  as above. Consider also the basis  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}$ , where  $e_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(p - q)$  and  $e_{n+1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(p + q)$ . With respect to this basis the Gram matrix of  $g$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$ .

The subset of the  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional quaternionic projective space  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$  that consists of all quaternionic isotropic lines is called the *boundary* of the quaternionic hyperbolic space and is denoted by  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1}$ .

Let  $h_0, \dots, h_{n+1}$ , where  $h_s = x_s + iy_s + jz_s + kw_s \in \mathbb{H}$  ( $0 \leq s \leq n + 1$ ) be the coordinates on  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$  with respect to the basis  $e_0, \dots, e_{n+1}$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  the subspaces of  $\mathbb{H}^{1,n+1}$  spanned by the vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$ , respectively. Note that the intersection  $(e_0 + \mathbb{H}^{n+1}) \cap \{X \in \mathbb{H}^{1,n+1} \mid g(X, X) = 0\}$  is given by the system of equations:

$$x_0 = 1, y_0 = 0, z_0 = 0, w_0 = 0, x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2 + w_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 + y_{n+1}^2 + z_{n+1}^2 + w_{n+1}^2 = 1,$$

i.e. this set is the  $(4n + 3)$ -dimensional unite sphere  $S^{4n+3}$ . Moreover, each isotropic line intersects this set at a unique point, e.g.  $\mathbb{H}p$  intersects it at the point  $\sqrt{2}p$ . Thus we identify the space  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1}$  with the sphere  $S^{4n+3}$ . Any  $f \in \text{Sp}(1, n + 1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  takes quaternionic isotropic lines to quaternionic isotropic lines and preserves the quaternionic isotropic line  $\mathbb{H}p$ . Hence it acts on  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1} \setminus \{\mathbb{H}p\} = S^{4n+3} \setminus \{\sqrt{2}p\}$ .

Consider the connected Lie subgroups  $A_1, A_2, \text{Sp}(n)$  and  $P$  of  $\text{Sp}(1, n + 1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  corresponding to the subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  and  $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{B}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}(1, n + 1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ . With respect to the basis  $p, e_1, \dots, e_n, q$  these groups have the following matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \left\{ \text{Op} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1^{-1} \end{array} \right) \middle| a_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}, & A_2 &= \left\{ \text{Op} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} e^{-a_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-a_2} \end{array} \right) \middle| a_2 \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \right\}, \\ \text{Sp}(n) &= \left\{ \text{Op} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Mat}_f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| f \in \text{Sp}(n) \right\}, \\ P &= \left\{ \text{Op} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -\bar{Y}^t & b - \frac{1}{2}Y^t\bar{Y} \\ 0 & E_n & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| \begin{array}{l} Y \in \mathbb{H}^n, \\ b \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the decomposition

$$\text{Sp}(1, n + 1)_{\mathbb{H}p} = (A_1 \times A_2 \times \text{Sp}(n)) \ltimes P \simeq (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(n)) \ltimes (\mathbb{H}^n \cdot \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Let  $s_1 : S^{4n+3} \setminus \{\sqrt{2}p\} \rightarrow e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n$  be the map defined as the usual stereographic projection, but using quaternionic lines. More precisely, for  $s \in S^{4n+3} \setminus \{\sqrt{2}p\}$  we define  $s_1(s)$  to be the point of the intersection of  $e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n$  with the quaternionic line passing through the points  $\sqrt{2}p$

and  $s$ . It is easy to see that this intersection consists of a single point. Let  $s_2 : e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow S^{4n+3} \setminus \{\sqrt{2}p\}$  be the restriction to  $e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n$  of the inverse to the usual stereographic projection from  $S^{4n+3} \setminus \{\sqrt{2}p\}$  to  $e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n \oplus (\text{Im } \mathbb{H})e_{n+1}$ . Note that  $s_1 \circ s_2 = \text{id}_{e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n}$ , but unlike in the usual case,  $s_1$  is not surjective. We have  $s_2 \circ s_1|_{\text{Im } s_2} = \text{id}_{\text{Im } s_2}$ . Also, let  $e_0$  and  $-e_0$  denote the translations  $\mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $e_0 + \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ , respectively.

For  $f \in \text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  define the map

$$F(f) = (-e_0) \circ s_1 \circ f \circ s_2 \circ e_0 : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Now we will show that  $F$  is a surjective homomorphism from the Lie group  $\text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  to the Lie group  $\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $\ker F = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times B$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\text{id}, -\text{id}\} \in \text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  and  $B$  is the connected Lie subgroup of  $\text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  corresponding to the ideal  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ . First of all, the computations show that for  $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_2 \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ ,  $f \in \text{Sp}(n)$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{H}^n$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} F \left( \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) &= d(a_1) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n, \\ F \left( \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-a_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-a_2} \end{pmatrix} \right) &= e^{a_2} \in \text{Sp}(1) \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n, \\ F \left( \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Mat}_f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= f \in \text{Sp}(n) \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n, \\ F \left( \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{Y}^t & b - \frac{1}{2}Y^t\bar{Y} \\ 0 & E_n & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= t \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}Y \right) \in \mathbb{H}^n \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if  $f_1, f_2 \in P$ , then  $F(f_1 f_2) = F(f_1)F(f_2)$ , i.e.  $F|_P$  is a homomorphism from  $P$  to  $\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$ . It can easily be checked that any  $f \in A_1 \times A_2 \times \text{Sp}(n)$  considered as a map from  $S^{4n+3} \setminus \{\sqrt{2}p\}$  to itself preserves  $\text{Im } s_2 \subset S^{4n+3} \setminus \{\sqrt{2}p\}$ . Hence if  $f_1$  is from  $P$  or  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \text{Sp}(n)$  and  $f_2 \in A_1 \times A_2 \times \text{Sp}(n)$ , then

$$F(f_1 f_2) = (-e_0) \circ s_1 \circ f_1 \circ f_2 \circ s_2 \circ e_0 = (-e_0) \circ s_1 \circ f_1 \circ s_2 \circ e_0 \circ (-e_0) \circ s_1 \circ f_2 \circ s_2 \circ e_0 = F(f_1)F(f_2),$$

since  $s_2 \circ s_1|_{\text{Im } s_2} = \text{id}_{\text{Im } s_2}$ . Therefore it is enough to prove that  $F(f_1 f_2) = F(f_1)F(f_2)$ , for  $f_1 \in A_1 \times A_2 \times \text{Sp}(n)$  and  $f_2 \in P$ . Let

$$f_1 = \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 e^{-a_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1^{-1} e^{-a_2} \end{pmatrix} \in A_1 \times A_2 \times \text{Sp}(n), \quad f_2 = \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\bar{Y}^t & b - \frac{1}{2}Y^t\bar{Y} \\ 0 & E_n & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P.$$

$$\text{Then } f_1 f_2 f_1^{-1} = f'_2 \in P, \text{ where } f'_2 = \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -((A^{-1})^t \bar{Y} a_1 e^{-a_2})^t & a_1^2 e^{a_2} (b - \frac{1}{2}Y^t\bar{Y}) e^{-a_2} \\ 0 & E_n & a_1 e^{a_2} (Y^t A^t)^t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(f_1 f_2) &= F(f'_2 f_1) = F(f'_2)F(f_1) = t \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} a_1 e^{a_2} (Y^t A^t)^t \right) a_1 e^{a_2} \text{Op } A \\ &= t \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} a_1 e^{a_2} \text{Op } A \cdot Y \right) a_1 e^{a_2} \text{Op } A = a_1 e^{a_2} \text{Op } A \cdot t \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} Y \right) = F(f_1)F(f_2), \end{aligned}$$

since for any  $f \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{SO}(4n)$  and  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$  it holds  $ft(X)f^{-1} = t(fX)$  or  $t(fX)f = ft(X)$ . Thus  $F$  is the homomorphism from the Lie group  $\text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  to the Lie group  $\text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$ . Obviously,  $F$  is surjective. The claim is proved.

Let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{4n}$  be a vector (affine) subspace. We call the subset  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  a *real vector (affine) subspace*.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G \subset \text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  act weakly irreducibly on  $\mathbb{H}^{1, n+1}$ . Then if  $F(G) \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$  preserves a proper real affine subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ , then the minimal affine subspace of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  containing  $L$  is  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .*

*Proof.* First we prove that the subgroup  $F(G) \subset \text{Sim } \mathbb{H}^n$  does not preserve any proper affine subspace of  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . Assume that  $F(G)$  preserves a vector subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ . Choosing the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in a proper way, we can suppose that  $L = \mathbb{H}^m = \text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ . Consequently,  $F(G) \subset (\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\text{Sp}(1) \cdot (\text{Sp}(m) \times \text{Sp}(n-m)))) \ltimes \mathbb{H}^m$ . Hence,  $G \subset (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(m) \times \text{Sp}(n-m)) \ltimes (\mathbb{H}^m \cdot \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $G$  preserves the non-degenerate vector subspace  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\} \subset \mathbb{H}^{1, n+1}$ . Now suppose that  $F(G)$  preserves an affine subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ . Let  $L = Y + L_0$ , where  $Y \in L$  and  $L_0 \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  is the vector subspace corresponding to  $L$ . We may

assume that  $L_0 = \mathbb{H}^m = \text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ . Consider  $f = \text{Op} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2}\bar{Y}^t & -Y^t\bar{Y} \\ 0 & E_n & -\sqrt{2}Y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P$  and

the subgroup  $\tilde{G} = f^{-1}Gf \subset \text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$ . For  $F(\tilde{G})$  we get that  $F(\tilde{G}) = -t(Y)F(G)t(Y)$ . By the above  $\tilde{G}$  preserves the non-degenerate vector subspace  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\} \subset \mathbb{H}^{1, n+1}$ . Hence  $G$  preserves the non-degenerate vector subspace  $f(\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}) \subset \mathbb{H}^{1, n+1}$ . Since  $G$  is weakly irreducible, we get  $m = n$ .

Let  $F(G)$  preserve a real affine subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  and let  $L_0 \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  be the corresponding real vector subspace. Consider the vector subspace  $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L_0)^\perp \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ . As above, it can be proved that  $G$  preserves the non-degenerate vector subspace  $f((\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L_0)^\perp) \subset \mathbb{H}^{1, n+1}$ . Since  $G$  is weakly irreducible, we have  $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L_0)^\perp = 0$  and  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L_0 = \mathbb{H}^n$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of the Main Theorem

First of all, from Example 1 it follows that the algebras of Types I–VIII act weakly irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$ . For the algebras of Type IX it can be proved in the same way. Therefore we must only prove that any subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  that acts weakly irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$  and contains the ideal  $\mathcal{B}$  is conjugated (by an element from  $\text{SO}(4, 4n+4)$ ) to one of the algebras of Types I–IX. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  acts weakly irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^{4, 4n+4}$  and contains the ideal  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $G \subset \text{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  be the corresponding connected

Lie subgroup. By Theorem 2,  $F(G)$  preserves a real affine subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  such that the minimal affine subspace of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  containing  $L$  is  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . We already know that  $G$  is conjugated to a subgroup  $\tilde{G} \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  such that  $F(\tilde{G})$  preserves a real vector subspace  $L_0 \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  with  $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L_0 = \mathbb{H}^n$ . Hence we can assume that  $F(G)$  preserves a real vector subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L = \mathbb{H}^n$ . Moreover, assume that  $F(G)$  does not preserve any proper affine subspace of  $L$ . Then  $F(G)$  acts transitively on  $L$  [1]. The connected transitively acting groups of similarity transformations of the Euclidean spaces are well know. In [9] these groups were divided into three types. We describe real subspaces  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  with  $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L = \mathbb{H}^n$  and subalgebras  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathcal{LA}(\mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n)$  such that the corresponding connected Lie subgroups  $K \subset \mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n$  preserve  $L$  and act transitively on  $L$ . Then the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  must be of the form  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  for a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ .

Now we describe real vector subspaces  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  with  $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{H}} L = \mathbb{H}^n$ . Let  $L$  be such subspace. Put  $L_1 = L \cap iL \cap jL \cap kL$ , i.e.  $L_1$  is the maximal quaternionic vector subspace in  $L$ . Let  $L_2$  be the orthogonal complement to  $L_1$  in  $L$ , then  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  and  $L_2 \cap iL_2 \cap jL_2 \cap kL_2 = 0$ . Now let  $L_3 = L_2 \cap iL_2$ , i.e.  $L_3$  is the maximal  $i$ -invariant real vector subspace in  $L_2$ . Let  $L_4$  be its orthogonal complement in  $L_2$ , then  $L_2 = L_3 \oplus L_4$ . Similarly, define the spaces  $L_5, L_6, L_7, L_8 \subset L$  such that  $L_5 = L_4 \cap jL_4$ ,  $L_4 = L_5 \oplus L_6$ ,  $L_7 = L_6 \cap kL_6$  and  $L_6 = L_7 \oplus L_8$ . By construction, we get the orthogonal decomposition  $L = L_1 \oplus L_3 \oplus L_5 \oplus L_7 \oplus L_8$  and there exists a  $g$ -orthogonal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  such that this decomposition has the form

$$L = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \oplus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m_1}\} \oplus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m_1+1}, \dots, e_{m_2}\} \\ \oplus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus k\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m_2+1}, \dots, e_{m_3}\} \oplus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m_3+1}, \dots, e_n\}. \quad (1)$$

Obviously, there is an  $f \in \mathrm{SO}(n)$  such that

$$fL = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \oplus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+k}\} \oplus \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+k+1}, \dots, e_n\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $m+k = m_3$ . Since we consider the subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  up to conjugacy in  $\mathrm{SO}(4, 4n+4)$ , we can assume that  $L$  has the form (2). We will write for short

$$L = \mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-m-k}.$$

Suppose that a subgroup  $K \subset \mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n$  preserves  $L$ . Since  $K \subset \mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathrm{Sim}^0 \mathbb{R}^{4n} = (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathrm{SO}(4n)) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ , we have  $K \subset (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathrm{SO}(L) \times \mathrm{SO}(L^\perp)) \ltimes L$ . But  $K \subset \mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n$ , hence  $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SO}(4n)} K \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ . Consequently,  $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{SO}(4n)} K = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(n)} K \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(n) \cap \mathrm{SO}(L) \times \mathrm{SO}(L^\perp)$ . For the corresponding subalgebra  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathcal{LA}(\mathrm{Sim} \mathbb{H}^n)$ , we have  $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)} \mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n) \cap \mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^\perp)$ . Considering the matrices of the elements of these algebras in the basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ , we obtain

$$\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n) \cap \mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^\perp) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n), & \text{if } m = n; \\ \mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n-m) \oplus i\mathbb{R}, & \text{if } 0 \leq m < n, \\ & n-m-k = 0; \\ \mathfrak{sp}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(n-m-k), & \text{if } 0 \leq m < n, \\ & n-m-k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The action of the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{u}(n-m)$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(n-m-k)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n-m}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-m-k}$ , respectively, is described in Section 3.

Let  $E$  be a Euclidean space. In [9] subalgebras  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathcal{LA}(\mathrm{Sim} E)$  corresponding to connected transitively acting subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sim} E$  were divided into the following three types:

**Type  $\mathbb{R}$ .**  $\mathfrak{k} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \times E$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(E)$  is a subalgebra.

**Type  $\varphi$ .**  $\mathfrak{k} = \{\varphi(A) + A | A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \times E$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(E)$  is a subalgebra,  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ .

**Type  $\psi$ .**  $\mathfrak{k} = \{A + \psi(A) | A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \times U$ , where we have an orthogonal decomposition  $E = W \oplus U$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(W)$  is a subalgebra,  $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow W$  is surjective linear map,  $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ .

Suppose that  $m = n$ , i.e.  $L = \mathbb{H}^n$ . If  $\mathfrak{k}$  is of Type  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathfrak{k} = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \times L$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  is a subalgebra. If  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ , then  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  is of Type II with  $a_2 = 0$  and  $\phi = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  have the form  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ . If  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 = 1$ , then  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  is of Type II with  $\phi = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  changed to  $\mathfrak{h}_1$ . If  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_0 = 2$  or  $3$ , then  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  is of Type I with  $\mathfrak{h}$  changed to  $\mathfrak{h}_1$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{h} \neq \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{sp}(1)} \mathfrak{h} \oplus \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n)} \mathfrak{h}$ . If  $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{sp}(1) = 0$ , then  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  is of Type II with  $a_2 = 0$ . Now let  $\dim \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{sp}(1) = 1$  and let  $a_2 \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  be a non-zero element. Obviously,  $\mathfrak{h} = \{A + \phi(A) | A \in \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n)} \mathfrak{h}\} + \mathbb{R}a_2$ , where  $\phi : \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n)} \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is a homomorphism,  $\phi \neq 0$  and  $\text{Im } \phi \cap \mathbb{R}a_2 = 0$ . For  $A + \phi(A) \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we have  $[A + \phi(A), a_2] = [\phi(A), a_2] \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ . Hence,  $[\phi(A), a_2] \subset \mathbb{R}a_2$ . If  $\text{rk } \phi = 1$ , then  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  is of Type II. If  $\text{rk } \phi = 2$ , then there exist  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n)} \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\phi(A_1), \phi(A_2)$  and  $a_2$  span  $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ . But this is impossible, since  $\mathfrak{sp}(1)' = \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ . In the same way, if  $\dim \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{sp}(1) = 2$  and  $\mathfrak{h} = \{A + \phi(A)\} + (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{sp}(1))$ , then  $\phi = 0$ . If  $\mathfrak{k} = \{\varphi(A) + A | A \in \mathfrak{h}\} \times L$  is of Type  $\varphi$ , then all  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  can be obtained from the above, since  $\mathfrak{k}$  is obtained from  $(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \times L$  by twisting between  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will get that  $(dF)^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$  is of Type III or IV. Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be of Type  $\psi$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{k} = \{A + \psi(A)\} \times U$ , where  $L = W \oplus U$  is an orthogonal decomposition,  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(W)$  is a subalgebra and  $\psi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow W$  is surjective linear map,  $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n) \cap \mathfrak{so}(W) = \mathfrak{sp}(W \cap iW \cap jW \cap kW)$ . We obtain Type IX for  $m = n$ . The case  $m < n$  can be consider similarly. If  $\mathfrak{k}$  is of Type  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of Type V or VI. If  $\mathfrak{k}$  is of Type  $\varphi$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of Type VII or VIII. If  $\mathfrak{k}$  is of Type  $\psi$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of Type IX. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *It is also possible to classify weakly irreducible subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{sp}(1, n+1)_{\mathbb{H}p}$  containing the ideal  $\mathcal{B}$  up to conjugacy by elements of  $\text{Sp}(1, n+1)$ . For this we should consider in addition the real vector subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  of the form (1) such that at least two of the inequalities  $m < m_1 < m_2 < m_3$  hold. Note that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n) \cap \mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^\perp) &= \mathfrak{sp}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m_1}\}) \\ &\oplus \mathfrak{u}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus j\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m_1+1}, \dots, e_{m_2}\}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R} \oplus k\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m_2+1}, \dots, e_{m_3}\}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{m_3+1}, \dots, e_n\}). \end{aligned}$$

We should generalize Type IX assuming that  $L$  has the form (1) and we should in addition add two types of Lie algebras:

**Type X.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(a_1, A, X, b) | a_1 \in \mathbb{R}, A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in L, b \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n) \cap \mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^\perp)$  is a subalgebra.

**Type XI.**  $\mathfrak{g} = \{(\varphi(A), A, X, b) | A \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in L, b \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n) \cap \mathfrak{so}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(L^\perp)$  is a subalgebra,  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = 0$ .

## References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky, *Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature*. Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 96(138) (1975), 93–117.
- [2] W. Ambrose, I. M. Singer *A theorem on holonomy*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1953), 428–443.
- [3] L. Berard Bergery, A. Ikemakhen, *On the Holonomy of Lorentzian Manifolds*. Proceeding of symposia in pure math., volume 54 (1993), 27–40.
- [4] M. Berger, *Sur les groupers d'holonomie des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279–330.
- [5] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.
- [6] R. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*. Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), 525–576.
- [7] A. S. Galaev, *Isometry groups of Lobachevskian spaces, similarity transformation groups of Euclidian spaces and Lorentzian holonomy groups*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 79 (2006), 87–97.
- [8] A. S. Galaev, *Metrics that realize all Lorentzian holonomy algebras*. International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, Vol. 3, Nos. 5&6 (2006), 1025–1045.
- [9] A. S. Galaev, *Classification of connected holonomy groups for pseudo-Kählerian manifolds of index 2*. arXiv:math.DG/0405098.
- [10] D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [11] T. Leistner, *On the classification of Lorentzian holonomy groups*. J. Differ. Geom. 76, No. 3 (2007), 423–484.
- [12] H. Wu, *Holonomy groups of indefinite metrics*. Pacific journal of math., 20 (1967), 351–382.

Department of Algebra and Geometry, Masaryk University in Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a,  
66295 Brno, Czech Republic

*E-mail address:* bezvitnaya@math.muni.cz