

PROJECTIVE SPECTRUM IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

RONGWEI YANG*

ABSTRACT. For a tuple $A = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ of elements in a unital Banach algebra \mathcal{B} , its *projective spectrum* $p(A)$ is defined to be the collection of $z = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $A(z) = z_0A_0 + z_1A_1 + \dots + z_nA_n$ is not invertible in \mathcal{B} . The pre-image of $p(A)$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} is denoted by $P(A)$. When \mathcal{B} is the $k \times k$ matrix algebra $M_k(\mathbb{C})$, the projective spectrum is a projective hypersurface. In infinite dimensional cases, projective spectrums can be very complicated, but also have some properties similar to that of hypersurfaces. When A is commutative, $P(A)$ is a union of hyperplanes. When \mathcal{B} is reflexive or is a C^* -algebra, the *projective resolvent set* $P^c(A) := \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus P(A)$ is shown to be a disjoint union of domains of holomorphy. Later part of this paper studies Maurer-Cartan type \mathcal{B} -valued 1-form $A^{-1}(z)dA(z)$ on $P^c(A)$. As a consequence, we show that if \mathcal{B} is a C^* -algebra with a trace ϕ , then $\phi(A^{-1}(z)dA(z))$ is a nontrivial element in the de Rham cohomology space $H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$.

0. INTRODUCTION

The classical spectrum of an element A in a unital Banach algebra \mathcal{B} is defined through the invertibility of $A - \lambda I$. If $A = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ is a commutative tuple of elements in \mathcal{B} , then classical notions of joint spectrum are defined through the invertibility of $(A_0 - \lambda_0 I, A_1 - \lambda_1 I, \dots, A_n - \lambda_n I)$ in various senses (Hörmander [Hö] Ch3, and Taylor [Ta]). In all these cases, the identity I serves as a base against which the invertibilities of other elements are measured. The idea of projective spectrum, which we will define and study, is to set I free, and consider the invertibility of $z_0A_0 + z_1A_1$, or more generally, $A(z) := z_0A_0 + z_1A_1 + \dots + z_nA_n$. This is a measurement of how the elements behave against

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A13; Secondary 47L10.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, central linear functional, Maurer-Cartan form, maximal ideal space, projective spectrum, projective resolvent set, de Rham cohomology, union of hyperplanes.

This work is supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation (DMS 0500333).

each other. Unlike classical notions of joint spectrums, projective spectrum is defined for all tuples, not just commutative ones. This paper is organized as follows.

Section 1. *Preparation.* Here we define the projective spectrum and prove its non-triviality.

Section 2. *Projective spectrum and hypersurfaces.* When the Banach algebra is the matrix algebra $M_k(\mathbb{C})$, projective spectrums are degree k projective hypersurfaces. A comparison between general projective spectrums and hypersurfaces is made in Section 2. We will see that when A is a commutative tuple, its projective spectrum is a union of hyperplanes. The main results are regarding the complement of projective spectrum (which we call projective resolvent set). We show that when the Banach algebra is of certain type, for instance C^* , the complement is made of domains of holomorphy.

Section 3. *\mathcal{B} -valued 1-form $A^{-1}(z)dA(z)$ and the de Rham cohomology space $H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$.* This section makes a study on the topology of projective resolvent set. Since the tuple A in general is of infinite dimensional nature, its projective resolvent can be very complicated. Nonetheless, with the aid of Maurer-Cartan type form $A^{-1}(z)dA(z)$ and central linear functionals on \mathcal{B} , we manage to peek into the de Rham cohomology space of projective resolvent sets.

Section 4. *The case when A is commutative.* A few observations and remarks are made here concerning an Arnold and Briskorn's theorem in Hyperplane Arrangements.

Acknowledgement: This paper was benefited from conversations with many of the authors colleagues at SUNY at Albany, to whom the author is deeply indebted. In particular, the author would like to thank Michael Range, Mark Steinberger, Michael Stessin, and Alex Tchernev for references and valuable discussions.

1. PREPARATION

We let $z = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ denote a general point in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . The group \mathbb{C}^\times of nonzero complex numbers acts on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} by scalar multiplications. The n dimensional projective space \mathbb{P}^n is the quotient $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$. With topology induced from this quotient, \mathbb{P}^n is a compact complex manifold. The fibres of this quotient map are the integral curves of the

Euler vector field $\theta = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$. For a subset $S \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ invariant under \mathbb{C}^\times , $(S \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$ will be denoted by S^T . $[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n]$ denotes the homogenous coordinate of a general point in \mathbb{P}^n . On the open subset $U_0 = \{z_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n] = [1, z_1/z_0, z_2/z_0, \dots, z_n/z_0]$. The tuple $(z_1/z_0, z_2/z_0, \dots, z_n/z_0)$ is the affine coordinate for U_0 , and is denoted simply by $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$.

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{B} is a Banach algebra with identity I . As usual, the set of bounded linear functionals on \mathcal{B} is denoted by \mathcal{B}^* . An element $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$ is said to be *central* if $\phi(XY) = \phi(YX)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$. The set of central linear functionals on \mathcal{B} shall be denoted by \mathcal{B}_c^* . It is easy to see that \mathcal{B}_c^* is a closed subspace of \mathcal{B}^* . A bounded linear functional ϕ on \mathcal{B} is said to be *multiplicative* if $\phi(XY) = \phi(X)\phi(Y)$. Clearly, multiplicative linear functionals are central. When \mathcal{B} is commutative, the collection of multiplicative linear functionals is called the *maximal ideal space* of \mathcal{B} .

If \mathcal{B} is a C^* -algebra, then a positive central linear functional is called a *trace*. Of course, not every C^* -algebra possesses a trace.

Unless stated otherwise, $A = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ always stands for an $(n+1)$ -tuple of general elements in \mathcal{B} . A tuple A is said to be commutative if $A_i A_j = A_j A_i, \forall 0 \leq i, j \leq n$. In this paper, the \mathcal{B} -valued linear function $A(z) = z_0 A_0 + z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_n A_n$ is a primary associate of a tuple A . Without loss of generality, we assume the elements A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n are linearly independent, hence the range of $A(z)$ is an $n+1$ dimensional subspace of \mathcal{B} , which we denote by E_A . A subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{B} is said to be inversion-closed if for every invertible element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, a^{-1} is also in \mathcal{A} . For a tuple $A = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$, we let \mathcal{B}_A denote the smallest inversion-closed Banach sub-algebra of \mathcal{B} that contains A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n . Clearly, $A(z)$ is invertible in \mathcal{B} if and only if it is invertible in \mathcal{B}_A . Moreover, when A is a commutative tuple, \mathcal{B}_A is a commutative Banach algebra. In this case, the maximal ideal space shall be denoted by M_A .

Definition. For a tuple A , we let

$$P(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : A(z) \text{ is not invertible.}\}$$

The *projective spectrum* $p(A)$ of A is $P(A)^T$, e.g.

$$p(A) = \{z = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : A(z) \text{ is not invertible.}\}$$

For simplicity, we also refer to $P(A)$ as projective spectrum. The *projective resolvent sets* refer to their complements $p^c(A) = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus p(A)$ and $P^c(A) = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus P(A)$.

We let \mathcal{B}^{-1} be the set of invertible elements in \mathcal{B} . It is easy to see that the linear isomorphism $A(\cdot) : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow E_A$ is a homeomorphism from $P^c(A)$ to $E_A \cap \mathcal{B}^{-1}$, as well as from $p^c(A)$ to $(E_A \cap \mathcal{B}^{-1})/\mathbb{C}^\times$. Since $E_A \cap \mathcal{B}^{-1}$ is open in E_A , $P^c(A)$ is open, hence $p(A)$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{P}^n . In some cases $p(A)$ can be equal to the entire space \mathbb{P}^n , for example, when A is a tuple of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. But one can always consider the slightly bigger tuple $(I, A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ which clearly has a more interesting projective spectrum. So without loss of generality, we assume throughout of the paper that $p(A)$ is a proper subset of \mathbb{P}^n , or equivalently $P(A) \neq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

First, we establish the nontriviality of $p(A)$. Idea of proof is from [Ya].

Proposition 1.1. *For any tuple A , $p(A)$ is a nontrivial compact subset of \mathbb{P}^n .*

Proof. It only remains to show that $p(A)$ nontrivial, or equivalently, $P(A)$ contains elements other than the origin 0.

One first checks that on $P^c(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & A^{-1}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) - A^{-1}(z'_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= A^{-1}(z) (I - (z_0 A_0 + z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_n A_n)(z'_0 A_0 + z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_n A_n)^{-1}) \\ &= A^{-1}(z) (I - ((z_0 - z'_0) A_0 + (z'_0 A_0 + z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_n A_n))(z'_0 A_0 + z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_n A_n)^{-1}) \\ &= -(z_0 - z'_0) A^{-1}(z) A_0 (z'_0 A_0 + z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_n A_n)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $A^{-1}(z)$ is analytic in z_0 , and likewise in all other variables. Moreover, the calculations show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} A^{-1}(z) = -A^{-1}(z) A_j A^{-1}(z), \quad 0 \leq j \leq n. \quad (1.1)$$

By Hartogs extension theorem, the origin 0 cannot be an isolated singularity of $A^{-1}(z)$, and hence $p(A)$ is nontrivial. \square

Proposition 1.1 is an interesting fact, since the elements A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n may have nothing to do with each other. Let us look at a few examples.

Example 1. When \mathcal{B} is the matrix algebra $M_k(\mathbb{C})$, $A = (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n)$ is a tuple of $k \times k$ matrices. Then $A(z)$ is invertible if and only if $\det A(z) \neq 0$. Since $\det A(z)$ is homogenous of degree k ,

$$p(A) = \{z = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : \det A(z) = 0\}$$

is a projective hypersurface of degree k . And $p^c(A)$ in this case is a *hypersurface complement*. \blacksquare

Example 2. Let A_0 be any element in \mathcal{B} , and $A_1 = -I$. Then for the tuple $A = (A_0, A_1)$, $A(z) = z_0 A_0 - z_1 I$. Clearly, if $[z_0, z_1]$ is in $p(A)$ then $z_0 \neq 0$, and $p(A)$ under the affine coordinate z_1/z_0 is the classical spectrum $\sigma(A_0)$. So Proposition 1.1 in fact implies the nonemptiness of the classical spectrum. \blacksquare

Example 3. Now consider $L^2(\mathbb{T}, m)$, where m is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle \mathbb{T} . $\{w^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}, |w| = 1\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{T}, m)$. Let θ be an irrational number and set $\lambda = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta)$. Consider the two unitaries defined by

$$A_0 f(w) = w f(w), \quad A_1 f(w) = f(\lambda w), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, m),$$

and let \mathcal{B} be the C^* -algebra generated by A_0 and A_1 . Clearly, $A(z)$ is invertible if and only if $z_0 A_0 A_1^* + z_1 I$ is invertible. So by Example 2, $p(A) = -\sigma(A_0 A_1^*)$. One checks that $A_1^* f(w) = f(\bar{\lambda} w)$, hence

$$A_0 A_1^* w^n = w(\bar{\lambda} w)^n = \bar{\lambda}^n w^{n+1}.$$

So $A_0A_1^*$ is a unitary bilateral weighted shift. Since $A_1A_0 = \lambda A_0A_1$,

$$A_1^*A_0A_1^*A_1 = A_1^*A_0 = \bar{\lambda}A_0A_1^*,$$

hence $\sigma(A_0A_1^*)$ is invariant under multiplication by $\bar{\lambda}$ which implies $\sigma(A_0A_1^*) = \mathbb{T}$. Therefore

$$p(A) = \mathbb{T}, \quad \text{and} \quad P(A) = \{(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_0| = |z_1|\}.$$

In this case, $P^c(A)$ consists of two connected components:

$$\Omega_0 = \{|z_0| > |z_1|\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_1 = \{|z_0| < |z_1|\}.$$

The C^* algebra generated by A_0 and A_1 is the *irrational rotation algebra* often denoted by \mathcal{A}_θ . We will come back to this algebra in Section 3.

■

2. PROJECTIVE SPECTRUM AND PROJECTIVE HYPERSURFACE

As we have seen in Example 1, for $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, a projective spectrum is a projective hypersurface. Naturally, things could become very different for other Banach algebras. For instance, in Example 3 the projective resolvent sets are disjoint unions of two connected components, while a hypersurface complement is always connected. Nevertheless, as it turns out, projective spectrum resembles hypersurface in many other ways.

In \mathbb{P}^n for $n \geq 2$, a *line* is the quotient of a two dimensional subspace of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} (removing the origin) over \mathbb{C}^\times . By virtue of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n intersects with every line. This fact holds true for $p(A)$.

Corollary 2.1. *For $n \geq 2$, every line in \mathbb{P}^n intersects with $p(A)$.*

Proof. It is equivalent to show that every two dimensional subspace in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} intersects with $P(A)$ nontrivially. In fact, for any two linearly independent vectors $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\eta = (\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , let

$$A' = \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j A_j, \quad A'' = \sum_{j=0}^n \eta_j A_j.$$

By Proposition 1.1 for the case $A = (A', A'')$, there exists scalars a and b , not both zero, such that $aA' + bA''$ is not invertible, and hence $a\lambda + b\eta \in P(A)$. \square

In the case A is a commutative tuple, the projective spectrum can be explicitly calculated.

Proposition 2.2. *If A is a commutative tuple, then $P(A)$ is a union of hyperplanes.*

Proof. As remarked in Section 1, \mathcal{B}_A in this case is commutative, and $P(A)$ is unchanged when considered in \mathcal{B}_A . Let M_A denote the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{B}_A . Then by Gelfand theorem $A(z)$ is not invertible in \mathcal{B}_A if and only if there exists $\phi \in M_A$ such that

$$\phi(A(z)) = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi(A_j) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

For simplicity, we let $H_\phi = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi(A_j) = 0\}$. If ϕ is such that $\phi(A_j) = 0$ for all j , then $P(A) = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, which is clearly a union (uncountable) of hyperplanes. Otherwise H_ϕ is a hyperplane, and one sees that

$$P(A) = \cup_{\phi \in M_A} H_\phi. \quad (2.2)$$

\square

In the case when M_A is a finite set, for instance when $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, $P(A)$ is a union of a finite number of hyperplanes, e.g., $P(A)$ is a *central hyperplane arrangement*. In this case the topology of $P^c(A)$ is a primary topic in Hyperplane Arrangement (cf. Orlik and Terao [OT]). It is worth mentioning that every central hyperplane arrangement can be represented as the projective spectrum of a tuple of diagonal matrices. For example, for the *braid arrangement* in \mathbb{C}^3 defined by equation $(z_0 - z_1)(z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_0) = 0$, one can let

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and verifies easily that $P(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : (z_0 - z_1)(z_1 - z_2)(z_2 - z_0) = 0\}$.

Example 4. Now consider the disk algebra $\mathcal{B} = A(\mathbf{D})$ and let $A_j = w^j$, $0 \leq j \leq n$. Then A is a commutative tuple, and

$$A(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j w^j$$

is a degree n polynomial in w (when $z_n \neq 0$). In this case, $A(z)$ is invertible in \mathcal{B} if and only if it has no zero in the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$. Here, the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{B} is equal to $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ (cf. Douglas [Do]), and a point $w \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$ acts on \mathcal{B} by point evaluation

$$\phi_w(f) := f(w), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then by Proposition 2.2.

$$P(A) = \bigcup_{|w| \leq 1} H_{\phi_w}.$$

■

When $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, $P(A)$ is a hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} defined by the polynomial equation $\det A(z) = 0$. So its complement is clearly a domain of holomorphy, because $1/\det A(z)$ is holomorphic on $P^c(A)$ and cannot be extended analytically to a neighborhood of any point in $P(A)$. On another account, one direct consequence of Proposition 2.2 is that when A is commutative, each path connected component of $P^c(A)$ is a domain of holomorphy. To see this, we let U be a connected component of $P^c(A)$, and λ be any point in ∂U . Since $P(A)$ is a union of hyperplanes, λ is in one of these hyperplanes, say $\{\sum_{j=0}^n a_j z_j = 0\}$. So $(\sum_{j=0}^n a_j z_j)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on U and does not have a holomorphic extension to any neighborhood of λ .

On a general projective resolvent set $P^c(A)$, $A^{-1}(z)$ is holomorphic and cannot be extended to any greater region. So it is natural to ask whether $P^c(A)$ is necessarily a domain of holomorphy, or a disjoint union of domains of holomorphy when it is not path connected. Of course, the difference here is that $A^{-1}(z)$ is a \mathcal{B} -valued function.

Here, we show that for some interesting types of Banach algebra \mathcal{B} , the answer is positive.

Theorem 2.3. *If \mathcal{B} is reflexive as a Banach space, i.e. $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{**}$, then every connected component of $P^c(A)$ is a domain of holomorphy.*

Proof. We let U be a connected component of $P^c(A)$, and λ be a point in ∂U . We will show by contradiction that there exists a $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$ such that $\phi(A^{-1}(z))$ does not extend holomorphically to any neighborhood of λ .

Suppose on the contrary for every $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$, $\phi(A^{-1}(z))$ extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of λ . Then one observes that the function

$$F(\phi, z) := \phi(A^{-1}(z)), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{B}^*, \quad z \in U,$$

is a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{B}^* for every fixed z , and has, for every fixed ϕ , a holomorphic continuation to a neighborhood of λ . Let z^m , $m \geq 0$, be a sequence in U that converges to λ , and consider the sequence $F_m(\phi) := F(\phi, z^m)$. To take care of the case that ∂U may intersect itself at λ , we assume that for every open neighborhood V of λ , z^m stay in the same connected component of $V \cap U$ when m is sufficiently large.

Then $F_m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$, $\forall m$, and for every fixed ϕ

$$\sup\{|F_m(\phi)| : m \geq 0\} < \infty.$$

The Uniform Boundedness Principle then implies that the limit

$$F_\infty(\phi) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(\phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$$

is in \mathcal{B}^{**} . Since $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{**}$, there exists a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$F_\infty(\phi) = \phi(B), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{B}^*. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, for a fixed $C \in \mathcal{B}$ and any $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$, the functional ϕ_C defined by

$$\phi_C(X) := \phi(XC), \quad X \in \mathcal{B}$$

is clearly in \mathcal{B}^* , so it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(A^{-1}(z^m)C) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F(\phi_C, z^m) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(\phi_C) \\ &= F_\infty(\phi_C) \\ &= \phi(BC), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{B}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $C = A(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(BA(\lambda)) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(A^{-1}(z^m)A(\lambda)) \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi((A^{-1}(z^m)(A(z^m) + A(\lambda) - A(z^m)))) \\
&= \phi(I) + \sum_{j=0}^n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_j - z_j^m) \phi(A^{-1}(z^m)A_j) \\
&= \phi(I) + \sum_{j=0}^n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_j - z_j^m) \phi_{A_j}(A^{-1}(z^m)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_{A_j}(A^{-1}(z))$ extends analytically to a neighborhood of λ , $\phi_{A_j}(A^{-1}(z^m))$ is bounded, and it follows that

$$\phi(BA(\lambda)) = \phi(I). \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{B}^*.$$

Similarly, we can also show $\phi(A(\lambda)B) = \phi(I)$, $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$. These imply that $BA(\lambda) = A(\lambda)B = I$, e.g, $A(\lambda)$ is invertible, which is a contradiction. \square

The proof of Theorem 2.3 can be modified to work for other Banach algebras. For example, if \mathcal{H} is a reflexive Banach space, and \mathcal{B} is a Banach sub-algebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$ —the set of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} , then for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$,

$$\phi_{x,f}(C) = f(Cx), \quad C \in \mathcal{B}$$

defines a bounded linear functional on \mathcal{B} . If we let $F_m(x, f) := f(A^{-1}(z^m)x)$ and apply the Uniform Boundedness Principle, then

$$F_\infty(x, f) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F_m(x, f), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}, f \in \mathcal{H}^*$$

is a bounded bilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}^*$. In particular, if we fix x then $F_\infty(x, \cdot)$ is in \mathcal{H}^{**} . Now since \mathcal{H} is reflexive, there is a unique $B(x) \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$F_\infty(x, f) = f(B(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}, f \in \mathcal{H}^*,$$

and it is not hard to see that B is a linear and bounded.

Similar to the ending part of the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$f(BA(\lambda)x) = f(x) = f(A(\lambda)Bx), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}, f \in \mathcal{H}^*,$$

which means $A(\lambda)$ is invertible with inverse B . However, in general this B may not be in \mathcal{B} .

But things can be pulled together in the case when \mathcal{B} is a C^* -algebra. In this case, \mathcal{B} can be identified (up to a isometrically $*$ -isomorphism) with a C^* -subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$ (cf. Davidson [Da]), where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space. And an element in \mathcal{B} is invertible if and only if it is invertible in $B(\mathcal{H})$ (cf. Douglas [Do]). We therefore have the following

Theorem 2.4. *If \mathcal{B} is a unital C^* -algebra, then every connected component of $P^c(A)$ is a domain of holomorphy.*

Question A. Is the statement in Theorem 2.4 true for any unital Banach algebra?

3. \mathcal{B} -VALUED 1-FORM $A^{-1}(z)dA(z)$ AND THE DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY SPACE

$$H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$$

If S is a hypersurface defined by $\{q(z) = 0\}$, where q is an irreducible homogenous polynomial of degree $k > 0$, then the complements $S^c = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus S$ and $(S^T)^c = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus S^T$ are both connected. Moreover, the singular homology group $H_1(S^c, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, and $H_1((S^T)^c, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. Dimca [Di], Ch4), which indicates that neither S^c nor $(S^T)^c$ is simply connected. But, as indicated in Example 3, projective resolvent sets $P^c(A)$ and $p^c(A)$ may not be connected. Furthermore, connected components of $p^c(A)$ may also be simply connected. However, connected components of $P^c(A)$ behave somewhat differently. In this section we will have a peek on the de Rham cohomology space $H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$. The Maurer-Cartan type \mathcal{B} -valued 1-form $\omega_A(z) := A^{-1}(z)dA(z)$ and central linear functionals on \mathcal{B} are important tools in our study. Here $d = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} dz_j$.

First of all, from an operator-theoretic point of view, $\omega_A(z)$ is a faithful associate of the tuple A because it determines A up to a certain equivalence. Let A and B be two tuples with the same projective spectrum $S \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Here, the two 1-forms $\omega_A(z)$ and $\omega_B(z)$ are said to be *similar* if there is an invertible element $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$V^{-1}\omega_A(z)V = \omega_B(z), \quad \forall z \in S^c.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let A and B be two tuples with the same projective spectrum S . Then the two 1-forms $\omega_A(z)$ and $\omega_B(z)$ are similar if and only if there are invertible element $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $UA_jV = B_j$ for every $0 \leq j \leq n$.*

Proof. For the sufficiency, one easily checks that $UA_jV = B_j$ for each $0 \leq j \leq n$ implies $UA(z)V = B(z)$. Then on the projective resolvent set S^c ,

$$\begin{aligned} B^{-1}(z)dB(z) &= V^{-1}A^{-1}(z)U^{-1}dUA(z)V \\ &= V^{-1}A^{-1}(z)U^{-1}UdA(z)V \\ &= V^{-1}A^{-1}(z)dA(z)V. \end{aligned}$$

For the necessity, one checks that $V^{-1}\omega_A(z)V = \omega_B(z)$ implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^n V^{-1}A^{-1}(z)A_jVdz_j = \sum_{j=0}^n B^{-1}(z)B_jdz_j,$$

and hence $V^{-1}A^{-1}(z)A_jV = B^{-1}(z)B_j$ for each j , or equivalently,

$$A_jV = A(z)VB^{-1}(z)B_j, \quad \forall z \in S^c. \quad (3.1)$$

So for any fixed $w \in S^c$, one has

$$\sum_{j=0}^n w_j A_j V = A(w)VB^{-1}(w) \sum_{j=0}^n w_j B_j,$$

which implies that

$$A(w)VB^{-1}(w) = A(z)VB^{-1}(z), \quad \forall z \in S^c.$$

So $A(z)VB^{-1}(z)$ is an invertible constant, for which we denote by U^{-1} . Then by (3.1), $UA_jV = B_j$ for every $0 \leq j \leq n$. \square

One observes that for a $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$, $\phi(\omega_A(z)) = \sum_{j=0}^n \phi(A^{-1}(z)A_j)dz_j$ is a holomorphic 1-form on $P^c(A)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Consider a bounded linear functional ϕ on \mathcal{B} .*

- (a) *If ϕ is central, then $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ is a closed 1-form on $P^c(A)$.*
- (b) *If $\phi(I) \neq 0$, then there is no holomorphic function $f(z)$ on $P^c(A)$ such that $df(z) = \phi(\omega_A(z))$.*

Proof. (a) First of all, Maurer-Cartan type form ω has the property $d\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega$. This fact for $\omega_A(z)$ also follows easily from (1.1). By (1.1), for each j ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} A^{-1}(z) = -A^{-1}(z)A_jA^{-1}(z),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_A(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^n dA^{-1}(z)A_jdz_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial A^{-1}(z)}{\partial z_i} A_j dz_i \wedge dz_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^n -A^{-1}(z)A_iA^{-1}(z)A_j dz_i \wedge dz_j \\ &= \sum_{i<j} - (A^{-1}(z)A_iA^{-1}(z)A_j - A^{-1}(z)A_jA^{-1}(z)A_i) dz_i \wedge dz_j \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$= -\omega_A(z) \wedge \omega_A(z). \quad (3.3)$$

If ϕ is central, then by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi(\omega_A(z)) &= \phi(d\omega_A(z)) \\ &= \sum_{i<j} -\phi(A^{-1}(z)A_iA^{-1}(z)A_j - A^{-1}(z)A_jA^{-1}(z)A_i) dz_i \wedge dz_j \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ is closed.

(b) If there exists an f holomorphic on $P^c(A)$ such that $df(z) = \phi(\omega_A(z))$, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z) = \phi(A^{-1}(z)A_j), \quad \forall j.$$

So for any $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(tz) = \phi(A^{-1}(tz)A_j) = t^{-1}\phi(A^{-1}(z)A_j), \quad \forall j. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(f(tz)) &= \sum_j t \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(tz) dz_j \\
&= tt^{-1} \sum_j \phi(A^{-1}(z)A_j) dz_j \\
&= \phi(\omega_A(z)) \\
&= df(z),
\end{aligned}$$

hence $f(tz) - f(z)$ is a constant depending on t , say $c(t)$. To figure out $c(t)$, one computes using (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
c'(t) &= \frac{df(tz)}{dt} \\
&= \sum_j z_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(tz) \\
&= t^{-1} \sum_j z_j \phi(A^{-1}(z)A_j) \\
&= t^{-1} \phi(I).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c(1) = 0$, $c(t) = \phi(I) \log t$, hence

$$f(tz) - f(z) = \phi(I) \log t. \quad (3.5)$$

Since f is holomorphic on $P^c(A)$, and $tz \in P^c(A)$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $f(tz)$ is holomorphic in t , and hence $\phi(I) \log t$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^\times , which is possible only if $\phi(I) = 0$. \square

If $P^c(A)$ is not path connected, Theorem 3.2 can be stated for every connected component of $P^c(A)$. For a domain $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of holomorphy (or equivalently, a *Stein domain*), its de Rham cohomology $H_d^*(U, \mathbb{C})$ can be calculated by holomorphic forms (cf. Range [Ra]). To be precise, if $\Omega^r(U)$ is the collection of holomorphic r -forms on U , then

$$H_d^r(U, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \{f \in \Omega^r(U) : df = 0\} / d\Omega^{r-1}(U), \quad \text{for } r \geq 0.$$

This observation, combined with Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.2, leads to the following

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{B} be a C^* -algebra with a trace ϕ , and U be a connected component of $P^c(A)$. Then $\phi(\omega_A(z))|_U$ is a nontrivial element in the de Rham cohomology space $H_d^1(U, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, U is not simply connected.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, U is a domain of holomorphy. Hence the de Rham cohomology space $H_d^1(U, \mathbb{C})$ can be calculated by holomorphic forms. Now since ϕ is a trace, ϕ is central with $\phi(I) > 0$. Theorem 3.2 then concludes that $\phi(\omega_A(z))|_U$ is a nontrivial element in $H_d^1(U, \mathbb{C})$. \square

Example 5. Let A be a tuple of $k \times k$ matrices, and let Tr be the ordinary trace on square matrices. $P^c(A)$ is a hypersurface complement in this case. It is a classical fact that for a one variable square matrix-valued differentiable function $M(t)$

$$Tr(M^{-1}(t)\frac{d}{dt}M(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}\log(\det M(t)),$$

hence we have

$$Tr(\omega_A(z)) = d\log\det A(z), \quad z \in P^c(A).$$

One sees that $\log\det A(z)$ is not a global holomorphic function on $P^c(A)$, hence $Tr(\omega_A(z))$ is closed but not exact. ■

In order to have more traces, one can let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_A$.

Example 6. Consider a tuple A of 3×3 matrices, where

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 + z_1 + z_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1}z_1 + z_2 & -z_0 \\ 0 & z_0 & -\sqrt{-1}z_1 + z_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\det A(z) = (z_0 + z_1 + z_2)(z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2)$. Hence

$$P(A) = \{(z_0, z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : (z_0 + z_1 + z_2)(z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2) = 0\}.$$

In this case, one verifies that $\mathcal{B}_A = \mathbb{C} \oplus M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be the linear functionals on $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\phi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} = a, \quad \phi_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} = \text{Tr}(T),$$

where $T \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$. Then ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are both traces, hence by Example 5

$$\phi_1(\omega_A(z)) = \frac{dz_0 + dz_1 + dz_2}{z_0 + z_1 + z_2}, \quad \phi_2(\omega_A(z)) = \frac{2z_0 dz_0 + 2z_1 dz_1 + 2z_2 dz_2}{z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2}$$

are nontrivial 1-forms in $H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$. ■

Example 7. Now we continue with Example 3. We have remarked that in this case \mathcal{B} is the irrational rotation algebra \mathcal{A}_θ . It is not hard to see that monomials $A_0^k A_1^l$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, span a dense subspace of \mathcal{A}_θ . \mathcal{A}_θ possesses a unique faithful unital trace ϕ defined by $\phi(I) = 1$ and $\phi(A_0^k A_1^l) = 0$ for k and l not both zero.

We now compute $\phi(\omega_A(z))$, where $A = (A_0, A_1)$. We showed in Example 3 that in this case $P^c(A)$ has two connected components:

$$\Omega_0 = \{|z_0| > |z_1|\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_1 = \{|z_0| < |z_1|\}.$$

On Ω_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1}(z)dA(z) &= (z_0 A_0 + z_1 A_1)^{-1}(A_0 dz_0 + A_1 dz_1) \\ &= z_0^{-1} \left(A_0 + \frac{z_1}{z_0} A_1 \right)^{-1} A_0 (dz_0 + A_0^{-1} A_1 dz_1) \\ &= z_0^{-1} \left(I + \frac{z_1}{z_0} A_0^{-1} A_1 \right)^{-1} (dz_0 + A_0^{-1} A_1 dz_1) \\ &= \left(I - \frac{z_1}{z_0} A_0^{-1} A_1 + \left(\frac{z_1}{z_0} A_0^{-1} A_1 \right)^2 - \dots \right) \left(\frac{dz_0}{z_0} + A_0^{-1} A_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi(\omega_A(z)) = \frac{dz_0}{z_0}$. Likewise, on Ω_1 , $\phi(\omega_A(z)) = \frac{dz_1}{z_1}$.

As a matter of fact, in this case it is not hard to compute directly that

$$H_d^1(U_0, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \frac{dz_0}{z_0}, \quad H_d^1(U_1, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \frac{dz_1}{z_1}.$$

■

We conclude this section with a remark on the value of $\phi(I)$. The case $\phi(I) \neq 0$ is important for Theorem 3.2(b), and as we will see, the case $\phi(I) = 0$ is also meaningful.

For $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$, one easily sees that $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ is homogenous of degree 0. So it makes one wonder for what type of ϕ , $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ defines a 1-form on the projective resolvent set $p^c(A) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. For a holomorphic 1-form $\eta = \sum_{j=0}^n f_j(z) dz_j$, its *contraction* with the Euler field $\theta = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ is

$$\Delta(\eta) := \sum_{j=0}^n z_j f_j(z).$$

By Griffiths [Gr], a locally holomorphic 1-form $\eta = \sum_{j=0}^n f_j(z) dz_j$ on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} comes from a 1-form on \mathbb{P}^n if and only if it is homogenous of degree 0 and the contraction $\Delta(\eta) = 0$.

It is easy to see that the Maurer-Cartan form $\omega_A(z)$ is homogenous of degree 0. But it itself is not a 1-form on $p^c(A)$. In fact, one checks easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega_A(z)) &= \Delta\left(\sum_{j=0}^n A^{-1}(z) A_j dz_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n A^{-1}(z) z_j A_j \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

So if ϕ is a linear functional on \mathcal{B} such that $\phi(I) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\phi(\omega_A(z))) &= \phi(\Delta(\omega_A(z))) \\ &= \phi(I) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ defines a global holomorphic 1-form on $p^c(A)$. Furthermore, using arguments similar to that in the proof of Theorem 3.2(a), one can easily check that when, in addition, ϕ is central, $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ is also closed on $p^c(A)$.

4. THE CASE WHEN A IS COMMUTATIVE

When A is a commutative tuple, \mathcal{B}_A is a commutative Banach sub-algebra of \mathcal{B} . One observes that in this case, $(\mathcal{B}_A)_c^* = \mathcal{B}_A^*$, and every $\phi \in M_A$ has the property $\phi(I) = 1$. Then, as remarked after Example 4, every connected component of $P^c(A)$ is a domain of holomorphy, and the next corollary is another consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 4.1. *If A is a commutative tuple, then for every $\phi \in M_A$, $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ is a nontrivial element in $H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$*

As stated in Proposition 2.2 that in this case $P(A)$ is a (possibly uncountable) union of hyperplanes. This section recalls a theorem in Hyperplane Arrangements by Arnold and Briskorn, and discusses its possible analogue in the setting here.

First, if ϕ is a multiplicative linear functional on \mathcal{B}_A , then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\omega_A(z)) &= \phi(A^{-1}(z))d\phi(A(z)) \\ &= \frac{d\phi(A(z))}{\phi(A(z))} \\ &= \frac{d \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi(A_j)}{\sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi(A_j)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Here one recalls that $\sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi(A_j)$ is the defining function for the hyperplane H_ϕ .

In the case A is a tuple of generic commutative $k \times k$ matrices, the maximum ideal space M_A consists of k elements, say, ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_k , and hence

$$P(A) = \cup_{j=0}^k H_{\phi_j}$$

is a central arrangement. By a well-known result conjectured by Arnold [Ar] and proved by Briskorn [Br], the cohomology algebra $H_d^*(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$ is generated by 1 and the 1-forms

$$\frac{d \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi(A_j)}{\sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi(A_j)}, \quad \phi \in M_A.$$

Here we make two observations.

1. First, let $\wedge(\mathcal{B}_A^*)$ be the exterior algebra on \mathcal{B}_A^* . By Theorem 3.2, $\omega_A(z)$ induces a homomorphism $\omega_A(z)^* : \wedge(\mathcal{B}_A^*) \rightarrow H_d^*(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$ defined by $\omega_A(z)^*(1) = 1$, and

$$\omega_A(z)^*(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_q) = \phi_1(\omega_A(z)) \wedge \phi_2(\omega_A(z)) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_q(\omega_A(z)), \quad q \geq 1.$$

Corollary 4.1 and the remarks above lead to the following simple consequence of the Arnold and Briskorn's theorem.

Corollary 4.2. *For $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and any tuple A of commutative matrices in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$, $\omega_A(z)^* : \wedge(\mathcal{B}_A^*) \rightarrow H^*(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$ is surjective.*

Corollary 4.1 indicates that for $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, topological information of $P^c(A)$ is all encoded in $\omega_A(z)$. It will be interesting to see if there are other Banach algebras with this property.

2. For a general commutative tuple A , $P^c(A)$ may not be connected, hence $\omega_A(z)^*$ may not be surjective. But it makes sense to ask whether there is a similar fact for every connected component U of $P^c(A)$, e.g., whether the map $\omega_A(z)^* : \wedge(\mathcal{B}_A^*) \rightarrow H^*(U, \mathbb{C})$ is surjective. But since in general both \mathcal{B}_A^* and $H^*(U, \mathbb{C})$ can be infinite dimensional, the nature of this problem is somewhat hard to see.

A related particular case is when the maximal ideal space M_A is path connected. For any $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in M_A$, let $\phi_t, t \in [0, 1]$ be a continuous path in M_A . Taking any fixed cycle $\gamma \in H_1(U, \mathbb{Z})$ and using (4.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma} \phi_t(\omega_A(z)) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma} d\log\left(\sum_{j=0}^n z_j \phi_t(A_j)\right),$$

which is an integer-valued continuous function in t , hence is a constant. This means that $\omega_A(z)^*$ restricted to M_A is a constant in $H_d^1(U, \mathbb{C})$.

Example 8. Now we take another look at Example 4. Note that in this case $\mathcal{B}_A = A(\mathbf{D})$. Then,

$$\omega_A(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^n w^j dz_j}{\sum_{j=0}^n z_j w^j}.$$

Now $P^c(A)$ is connected (as we will see in a minute), and M_A can be identified with $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ through evaluation(cf. Douglas [Do]), so as remarked above $\omega_A(z)^*$ restricted to M_A is a constant in $H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$. For simplicity, we pick $\phi \in M_A$ to be the evaluation at $w = 0$. Then

$$\omega_A(z)^*(\phi) = \phi(\omega_A(z)) = \frac{dz_0}{z_0}.$$

On the other hand, the topology of $P^c(A)$ is not hard to determine directly. First of all, it is easy to see that $P^c(A) \subset \{z_0 \neq 0\}$. Hence $P^c(A) = \mathbb{C}^\times \times p^c(A)$. Under the affine coordinate $\xi_j = z_j/z_0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$p^c(A) = \{\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j w^j \neq 0 \text{ on } \overline{\mathbf{D}}\}.$$

Now consider the maps H_t on $p^c(A)$ defined by

$$H_t(\xi) = (t\xi_1, t^2\xi_2, \dots, t^n\xi_n),$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$. Since $1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j w^j \neq 0$ for every $w \in \overline{\mathbf{D}}$, $1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j (tw)^j$ doesn't vanish on $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ as well. This shows that H_t maps $p^c(A)$ into $p^c(A)$, and it furnishes a retraction of $p^c(A)$ to the origin 0.

Since $P^c(A) = \mathbb{C}^\times \times p^c(A)$, $H_d^*(P^c(A), \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $H_d^*(\mathbb{C}^\times, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, $H_d^1(P^c(A), \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \frac{dz_0}{z_0}$, and $H_d^q(P^c(A), \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$. So Corollary 4.2 holds for the disk algebra $A(\mathbf{D})$ and the tuple $(1, w, w^2, \dots, w^n)$. It is not clear whether Corollary 4.2 holds for other tuples in $A(\mathbf{D})$. ■

It is worth mentioning that for every ϕ in the dual of $A(\mathbf{D})$ such that $\phi(1) = 0$, $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ is a closed form on $p^c(A)$ (by the remarks at the end of section 3). Using the affine coordinate

ξ , $\phi(\omega_A(z))$ is equal to

$$\phi^*(\xi) := \phi \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n w^j d\xi_j}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j w^j} \right) = d\phi \left(\log(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j w^j) \right).$$

Now since in this case $p^c(A)$ is contractible to a point, $\phi^*(\xi)$ is exact, i.e. $\phi \left(\log(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j w^j) \right)$ is holomorphic on $p^c(A)$.

We end this paper with the following

Question B. For the disk algebra $A(\mathbf{D})$, is the map

$$\omega_A(z)^* : \wedge(A^*(\mathbf{D})) \longrightarrow H_d^*(U, \mathbb{C})$$

surjective for every tuple A of functions in $A(\mathbf{D})$ and any connected component U of $P^c(A)$?

REFERENCES

- [Ar] V. I. Arnold, *The cohomology ring of the colored braid group*, Mat. Zametki **5** (1969) 227-231: Math. Notes **5** (1969) 138-140.
- [Br] E. Brieskorn, *Sur les groupes de tresses. In: Seminaire Bourbaki 1971/72*. Lecture Notes in Math. **317**, Springer Verlag, 1973, 21-44.
- [Da] K. R. Davidson, *C*-algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs, A.M.S, 1996.
- [Di] A. Dimca, *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [Do] R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 49. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [Gr] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals I, II*, Ann. Math. **90**(1969), 460-541.

- [Hö] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, 3rd ed., North Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [OT] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 300, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1992.
- [Ra] R. M. Range, *Holomorphic functions and integral representations in several complex variables*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [Ta] J. L. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commutative operators*, J. Functional Analysis 6 1970 172–191.
- [Ya] R. Yang, *Functional spectrum of contractions*, J. Funct. Anal. **250** (2007), 68-85.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SUNY AT ALBANY, ALBANY, NY 12222, U.S.A.

E-mail address: ryang@math.albany.edu