

Quasi-analytical theory for the anomalous quantum reflection of Bose-Einstein condensates

Alexander Jurisch and Jan-Michael Rost
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme
Nöthnitzerstr. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

We develop a quasi-analytical theory for the quantum reflection amplitude of Bose-Einstein condensates in the asymptotic time-domain. We derive and calculate the decay-width of a Bose-Einstein condensate. A general relation between the time-dependent decay-law of the system and its quantum reflection amplitude allows us to explain the quantum reflection anomaly of Bose-Einstein condensates present in BEC-surface systems as a direct consequence of the mean-field particle-interaction.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Be, 03.65.-w, 37.30.+i, 37.10.De

In recent experiments the quantum reflection of a sodium BEC from a silicon surface has been investigated, [1, 2]. Surprisingly the quantum reflection probability fell off to zero at threshold and did not approach unity as expected from corresponding single atom beam theory, e.g., [3, 4] and experiments, e.g., [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

Theoretically so far, two numerical studies were able to qualitatively produce the quantum reflection anomaly. In the first one [1, 2] a model was used to motivate the quantum reflection anomaly by introducing an empirical potential which modifies the atom-surface interaction. This lead qualitatively to the observed effect. Furthermore, it has been assumed that the surface itself causes stray electric fields from ionization processes destroying the regular behaviour of the quantum reflection probability. However, stray electric fields may also occur in single atom beam quantum reflection experiments but are not known to cause similar effects there. In the second numerical study [10] a full quantum mechanical many-body calculation including quantum-fluctuations in three dimensions was carried out and revealed the formation of scattering halos and the building of vortices that destroy the coherence of the condensate while being reflected. The anomalous shape of the quantum reflection probability emerged when taking into account only regions of the reflected BEC with more than 25% of its initial density.

In the following we present a quasi-analytical approach to describe the anomalous behaviour of the quantum reflection probability $|R|^2$. Due to its simplicity the origin of the anomaly is elucidated. Furthermore, we identify scattering along the axis of normal incidence on the surface as being mainly responsible for the anomalous behaviour of the quantum reflection probability. Perpendicular directions induce only side-effects which may lead to scattering halos and vortices by mode-mixing. On the basis of our assumption, we may use the framework of the well-understood spherical quantum reflection trap model [11, 12]. A spherical model has the advantage that there is only the normal direction for s-waves. This property strongly reduces the technical complexity of the general three-dimensional system and allows us to focus on the physics behind the quantum reflection of a BEC.

Furthermore, our model relies solely on the presence of particle-interaction and mean-field dynamics.

The radial Gross-Pitaevskii equation describing the time evolution of an initial state $\Psi(x, \tau = 0)$ in the quantum reflection trap in scaled form is given by [12],

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \psi(x, \tau) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi(x, \tau) - \sigma^2 \theta[x-1] \psi(x, \tau) + \gamma \frac{|\psi(x, \tau)|^2}{x^2} \psi(x, \tau) . \quad (1)$$

Scaling is carried out by the intrinsic length of the system L ,

$$x = \frac{r}{L}, \quad \kappa = kL, \quad \sigma = \frac{L}{\beta_4} \\ \gamma = \frac{2a_{\text{int}}}{L}, \quad \tau = \frac{t\hbar}{2mL^2} , \quad (2)$$

where β_4^{-2} is the effective strength of the atom-surface potential modeled by a step-potential and a_{int} is the strength of the atomic interaction, see [11, 12].

Naturally, the wave-function ψ can be decomposed into its momentum components by setting

$$\psi(x, \tau) = \int d\kappa A(\kappa) \varphi_\kappa(x) \exp[-i\kappa^2 \tau] \phi_\gamma(\kappa, \tau), \\ \phi_\gamma(\kappa, \tau) = \exp \left[-i \int_0^\tau d\tau' E_\gamma(\kappa, \tau') \right] . \quad (3)$$

All effects of particle-interaction in Eq. (3) are described by ϕ . The Fourier-decomposition in Eq. (3) is defined by

$$A(\kappa) = \int_0^1 dx \Psi(x, \tau = 0) \varphi_\kappa(x) , \quad (4)$$

where the base-modes of the system are [11],

$$\varphi_\kappa(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \begin{cases} c^>(\kappa) \sin[\kappa x] & : \kappa^2 > 0 \\ c^<(|\kappa|) \sinh[|\kappa|x] & : \kappa^2 < 0 \end{cases} . \quad (5)$$

The distortions c are given by

$$c^>(\kappa) = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 + \sigma^2}{\kappa^2 + \sigma^2 \sin^2[\kappa]}} , \\ c^<(|\kappa|) = \sqrt{\frac{|\kappa|^2 + \sigma^2}{|\kappa|^2 + \sigma^2 \sinh^2[|\kappa|]}} . \quad (6)$$

In [11] we derived an effective model to describe the long-time decay of particle density inside a quantum reflection trap for the non-interacting case $\gamma = 0$. This model only takes into account positive energies, because the main contribution to the density of states comes from the modes for $\kappa^2 \geq 0$. This also applies for systems with repulsive particle-interaction as considered here. The effective survival probability P and the quantum reflection amplitude $|R|$ have been found to obey a fundamental relation, [11]:

$$P_0(\kappa, \tau) = |R_0(\kappa)|^{2\kappa\tau} \quad , \quad (7)$$

where the index zero means the non-interacting, single particle case. In our following calculation we include the particle-interaction into the fundamental relation Eq. (7), such that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(\kappa, \tau; \gamma) &= P_0(\kappa, \tau) P_\gamma(\kappa, \tau) \\ &= |R_0(\kappa) R_\gamma(\kappa)|^{2\kappa\tau} = |R(\kappa; \gamma)|^{2\kappa\tau} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The decay of the system can be calculated from the time-dependent dispersion, that is obtained by inserting the Fourier-decomposition Eq. (3) in Eq. (1), multiplying by φ from the left, integrating out x and separating off the non-interacting parts. We thus obtain the time-dependent dispersion of the self-interacting system

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial_\tau \phi_\gamma(\kappa, \tau)}{\phi_\gamma(\kappa, \tau)} &= E_\gamma(\kappa, \tau) = \gamma \int_0^\infty d\kappa_1 d\kappa_2 \\ &\times V(\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2) \phi_\gamma^*(\kappa_2, \tau) \phi_\gamma(\kappa_1, \tau) \\ &\times \exp[-i(\kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2)\tau] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The function $V(\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ in Eq. (9) is a vertex-function, that describes the interaction of the modes of the system in momentum space. The main contribution to the vertex stems from the threshold region. We find

$$\begin{aligned} V(\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2) &= 3\kappa^{-2} A(\kappa_1) A(\kappa_2) c^>(\kappa_1) c^>(\kappa_2) \times \\ &\int_0^1 dx \sin^2[kx] \frac{\sin[\kappa_1 x] \sin[\kappa_2 x]}{x^2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The decay of the system is described by the line-width Γ_γ . To calculate Γ_γ , we change to the frequency space by performing a Laplace-transform on Eq. (9), make use of $\frac{1}{\Omega - \omega + i\epsilon} = P \frac{1}{\Omega - \omega} - i\pi \delta(\Omega - \omega)$ to extract the imaginary part, integrate over the δ -function and find

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\gamma(\kappa, \Omega) &= \gamma \int_0^\infty d\kappa_1 d\kappa_2 V(\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2) \times \\ &\int \frac{d\omega_2}{2\pi} \phi_\gamma^*(\kappa_2, \omega_2) \phi_\gamma(\kappa_1, \Omega - \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \omega_2) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

To take care of the convolution in Eq. (11), we make the ansatz

$$\phi_\gamma(\kappa, \omega) = \frac{i}{\omega + i\Gamma_\gamma(\kappa, \omega)} \quad . \quad (12)$$

The ansatz Eq. (12) is justified, because the density of states inside the trap shows a lorentzian structure: resonant regions with a high number of states are separated by off-resonant regions with only a poor number of states. We further proceed by the assumption that a time-scale separation is present in the dynamics of the system, $\tau_{\text{tds}} \ll \tau_{\text{tis}}$, where the indices mean time-dependent scattering and time-independent scattering, respectively. The presence of the non-linearity in the Gross-Pitaevskii equation breaks the superposition principle such that a decomposition into incident and scattered (reflected) states is not possible anymore. The resulting states are called time-dependent scattering states, evolving on the time-scale $\tau < \tau_{\text{tds}}$. An initial state $\Psi(x, \tau = 0)$, evolving according to Eq. (1), experiences time-dependent scattering for times $\tau \leq \tau_{\text{tds}}$, but for larger times it has sufficiently adapted to the base-modes φ and effectively become an eigenstate of the system. When this has happened, the system evolves on the scale τ_{tis} , where only time-independent scattering is present. A proper measurement of the quantum reflection amplitude $|R|$ can only be carried out in the time-independent scattering limit. The scale τ_{tis} describes the long-time behaviour of the system, where we can assume $\tau_{\text{tis}} \approx \infty$. This immediately results in $\omega \approx 0$, leading us to set

$$\phi_\gamma(\kappa, \omega) \approx \frac{i}{\omega + i\Gamma_\gamma(\kappa)} \quad . \quad (13)$$

We insert Eq. (13) into Eq. (11), carry out the remaining integration with the residual theorem and arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\gamma(\kappa) &= i\gamma \int_0^\infty d\kappa_1 d\kappa_2 \times \\ &\frac{V(\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)}{\kappa_2^2 - \kappa_1^2 + i(\Gamma_\gamma(\kappa_1) + \Gamma_\gamma(\kappa_2))} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Equation (14) is a self-consistent equation for the line-width of the self-interacting part of the system. Note, that only the time-frequency dependence has been eliminated, the nonlinear structure is completely conserved. Equation (14) thus represents a sum over all diagrams of the interaction in the asymptotic time-domain. From Eqs. (13, 14) it follows, that the self-interacting part of the system decays like

$$|\phi_\gamma(\kappa, \tau)|^2 \approx P_\gamma(\kappa, \tau) = \exp[-2\Gamma_\gamma(\kappa)\tau] \quad . \quad (15)$$

Together with Eq. (8) readily follows for the quantum reflection amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} |R(\kappa; \gamma)| &= |R_0(\kappa) R_\gamma(\kappa)| \\ &= \exp\left[-2\frac{\kappa}{\sigma}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{\Gamma_\gamma(\kappa)}{\kappa}\right] \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the expression for $|R_0|$ was taken from [11].

As already in [11, 12], we use the initial state

$$\Psi(x, \tau = 0) = N x \exp[-ax] \theta[1 - x] \quad , \quad (17)$$




FIG. 1: Quantum reflection probabilities for $\sigma = 50$: $|R_0(\kappa)|^2$ (thin full line), $|R(\kappa; \gamma = 0.01)|^2$ (dashed line), $|R(\kappa; \gamma = 1)|^2$ (dot-dashed line), $|R(\kappa; \gamma = 10)|^2$ (dot-dashed-dashed line), $|R(\kappa; \gamma = 15)|^2$ (bold full line) and $|R(\kappa; \gamma = 50)|^2$ (dot-dot-dashed line), $|R(\kappa; \gamma = 200)|^2$ (dotted line). The filled dots mark measurement data from [1], the empty squares and triangles mark numerical data-sets from [10] for different uniform initial densities n_0 .

where N is the normalization constant and a is the diffuseness of the wave-packet. A diffuseness of $a = 5$, gives an initial kinetic energy $E_{\text{kin}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-15}$ [a.u.] for sodium that corresponds to temperatures of approximately 1 nK, comparable to the experimental setup in [1, 2]. As we have shown in [12] by variational techniques, the evolution of the system depends only weakly on the choice of the initial state.

With Eqs. (3, 17), we have solved Eq. (14) and calculated the quantum reflection amplitude due to Eq. (16). In Fig. (1) we show quantum reflection probabilities according to Eq. (16) for $\sigma = 50$. The full line is the reflection probability for zero particle-interaction $|R_0(\kappa)|^2$, that approaches unity for $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. Clearly visible is the anomalous behaviour of $|R(\kappa; \gamma)|^2$ due to the presence of a condensate: the quantum reflection probability for repulsive particle-interaction, instead of approaching unity, acquires a saturation maximum and falls off to zero as κ approaches threshold. For higher momenta, on the other hand, the quantum reflection probability behaves as in the single particle case. The saturation maximum may thus be understood as a region where a cross-over from single atom to collective behaviour takes place. The quantum reflection anomaly is always present for non-negative values of γ as indicated by the curve of the small value $\gamma = 0.01$. For higher values of γ the anomaly becomes more dominant and the maximum of saturation is reduced. The dots in Fig. (1) mark the experimental results from [1]; for rescaling we used a trap-extension of $L = 4.5 \times 10^5$ [a.u.]. The shapes of our theoretical curves for the quantum reflection probability do not fully

coincide with this data, but it can be clearly seen that theory and experiment match within the right intervals on abscissa and ordinate and that the behaviour of the data and the theoretical curves is similar, especially for $\gamma = 15$. The curve drawn for the high theoretical value $\gamma = 200$ may serve as an indicator for a lower bound for the comparison between experiment and theory. The differences between theory and experiment may, of course, be explained by the different geometries. However, consistency within the geometrical differences is proven by the fact, that our model predicts quantum reflection probabilities that are mostly larger than the experimental data, especially in the tail of the quantum reflection probability, because in our quasi one-dimensional system no perpendicular directions for additional escape are available.

The empty squares and triangles in Fig. (1) mark numerical data-sets from [10] for different uniform initial densities n_0 . Interestingly, in [10] the anomalous behaviour of the reflection probability emerges only by neglecting low density regions of the quantum reflected wave-packet obeying the arbitrary condition $|\Psi|^2 \leq 0.25 n_0$. Low density regions are fractions of particle density that have been scattered away from the center of the atomic cloud, which remains on the axis of normal incidence after quantum reflection. The restriction to high condensate densities needed in [10] supports our present approach, that initially only takes into account the density-profile along the axis of normal incidence, from which the description of the anomalous behaviour of $|R|^2$ naturally follows.

We cannot expect our present theory to exactly reproduce the experimental data from [1, 2] because, first, the data may still contain time-dependent components - explaining the fact that the measurement dots do not lie on a single curve but show that there is some distribution left -, second, the BECs in the experiments have had a non-zero incident mean velocity, which additionally should deplete the reflection probability and, third, the experiments were carried out in a three-dimensional flat surface system whereas our theory was developed in the well understood spherical model. Our quasi-analytical approach focuses exclusively on the direction of normal incidence, which we have assumed and proved to contribute dominantly to the quantum reflection anomaly. In a three-dimensional flat surface system, the formation of scattering halos and the building of vortices induced by mode-mixing due to the perpendicular directions, as discussed in [10, 13], may take effect and reduce the magnitude of the quantum reflection probability, however, the effects of perpendicular directions for the reduction of the magnitude of the quantum reflection probability compared to a quasi one-dimensional calculation can be understood more easily by results already discussed in [11, 14]. There it was shown that the surviving particle density after quantum reflection reduces drastically with every dimension added to the system. Such a result is to be expected, because each additional dimension means

an additional perpendicular direction where fractions of a condensate or wave-packet can escape and decay without being hindered by a surface. This applies especially for components that have both, high normal and high perpendicular momenta. While this leads to an overestimation in our 1 D-approach compared to the experiment, particularly for high momenta, there is no systematic deviation of the 1 D curve from the experimental one for lower momenta in the regime of collective behaviour. Hence, we suppose that the influence of the geometry of the system becomes negligible for the quantum reflection of the collective parts of the wave-packet, while its single atoms part responds sensitively to the geometry.

Together with our investigations on the dynamical properties of a BEC-surface system, [12], the behaviour of Eq. (16) as shown in Fig. (1) proves, that quantum reflection has only a limited effect on BECs with repulsive particle-interaction. Moreover, our simple quasi-analytical approach clearly demonstrates that the quan-

tum reflection anomaly originates directly from the mean-field dynamics of a BEC without the need for quantum fluctuations. Especially the low energetic, collective components of the wave-packet moving normal to the surface respond extremely sensitively to the presence of an additional positive energetic contribution as a repulsive particle-interaction provides. The crucial role of the repulsive particle-interaction and its transformation into kinetic energy was already mentioned in [1] and theoretically demonstrated in [12]. The influence of the repulsive interaction on higher energetic components is by far less dramatic, explaining the regular behaviour of Eq. (16) for higher momenta. The fact that we can explain the quantum reflection anomaly with the behaviour of the line-width as function of momentum may give hints for future experiments to measure the line-width of the condensate instead of the reflection-probability, which, as emphasized in [1, 2], is quite sensitive to external influences.

-
- [1] T. A. Pasquini, Y. Shin, C. Sanner, M. Saba, A. Schrotzek, D. E. Pritchard, W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 223201, 2004.
 - [2] T. A. Pasquini, M. Saba, G. Jo, Y. Shin, W. Ketterle, D. E. Pritchard, T. A. Savas, N. Mulders, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 093201, 2006.
 - [3] R. Côté, H. Friedrich, J. Trost, *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 1781, 1997.
 - [4] H. Friedrich, G. Jacoby, C. G. Meister, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 032902, 2002.
 - [5] F. Shimizu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 987, 2001.
 - [6] V. Druzhinina, M. DeKieviet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 193202, 2003.
 - [7] H. Oberst, D. Kouznetsov, K. Shimizu, J. Fujita, F. Shimizu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 013203, 2005.
 - [8] H. Oberst, Y. Tashiro, K. Shimizu, F. Shimizu, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 052901, 2005.
 - [9] D. Kouznetsov, H. Oberst, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 013617, 2005.
 - [10] R. G. Scott, A. M. Martin, T. M. Fromhold, F. W. Sheard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 073201, 2005.
 - [11] A. Jurisch, H. Friedrich, *Phys. Lett. A* **349**, 230, 2006.
 - [12] A. Jurisch, J-M. Rost, arXiv:0801.2514v1 (quant-ph), to be published in PRA, 2008.
 - [13] R. G. Scott, D. A. Hutchinson, C. W. Gardiner, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 053605, 2006.
 - [14] J. Madronero, H. Friedrich, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 062902, 2007.