The critical exponent of the Arshon words

Dalia Krieger

School of Computer Science University of Waterloo Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, CANADA d2kriege@cs.uwaterloo.ca

March 4, 2022

Abstract

Generalizing the results of Thue (for $n = 2$) and of Klepinin and Sukhanov (for $n = 3$), we prove that for all $n \geq 2$, the critical exponent of the Arshon word of order n is given by $(3n-2)/(2n-2)$, and this exponent is attained at position 1.

1 Introduction

In [1](#page-0-0)935, the Russian mathematician Solomon Efimovich Arshon¹ [\[1,](#page-9-0) [2\]](#page-9-1) gave an algorithm to construct an infinite cube-free word over 2 letters, and an algorithm to construct an infinite square-free word over n letters for each $n \geq 3$. The binary word he constructed turns out to be exactly the celebrated Thue-Morse word, $t = 01101001 \cdots [11, 4]$ $t = 01101001 \cdots [11, 4]$ $t = 01101001 \cdots [11, 4]$; the square-free words are now known as the Arshon words. For $n \geq 2$, the Arshon word of order n is denoted by $a_n = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$.

A square or a 2-power is a word of the form xx , where x is a nonempty word. Similarly, an *n-power* is a word of the form $x^n = xx \cdots x$ (*n* times). The notion of integral powers can be generalized to fractional powers. A non-empty finite word z over a finite alphabet Σ is a *fractional* power if it has the form $z = x^n y$, where x is a non-empty word, n is a positive integer, and y is a prefix of x, possibly empty. If $|z| = p$ and $|x| = q$, we say that z is a (p/q) -power, or $z = x^{p/q}$. Let $\alpha > 1$ be a real number. A right-infinite word w over Σ is said to be α -power-free (resp. α^+ -powerfree), or to *avoid* α -powers (resp. α^+ -powers), if no subword of it is an r-power for any rational $r \ge \alpha$ (resp. $r > \alpha$). Otherwise, w contains an α -power. The critical exponent of w, denoted by $E(\mathbf{w})$, is the supremum of the set of exponents $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 1}$, such that w contains an r-power; it may or may not be attained.

Arshon constructed the words a_n , $n \geq 3$, as square-free infinite words. But actually, these words avoid smaller powers. In 2001, Klepinin and Sukhanov [\[8\]](#page-10-1) proved that $E(\mathbf{a}_3) = 7/4$, and the bound is attained; that is, a_3 avoids $(7/4)^+$ -powers. In this paper we generalize the result of Klepinin and Sukhanov, and prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 2$, and let $\mathbf{a}_n = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ be the Arshon word of order n. Then the critical exponent of \mathbf{a}_n is given by $E(\mathbf{a}_n) = (3n-2)/(2n-2)$, and $E(\mathbf{a}_n)$ is attained by a subword beginning at position 1.

¹Vilenkin, in his 1991 article "Formulas on cardboard" [\[12\]](#page-10-2), says that Arshon was arrested by the Soviet regime and died in prison, most likely in the late 1930's or early 1940's.

2 Definitions and notation

2.1 Definition of the Arshon words

Let $\Sigma_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be an alphabet of size n, $n \geq 3$. In what follows, we use the notation $a \pm 1$, where $a \in \Sigma_n$, to denote the next or previous letter in lexicographic order, and similarly we use the notation $a + b$, $a - b$, where $a, b \in \Sigma_n$; all sums of letters are taken modulo *n*.

Define two morphisms over Σ_n as follows:

$$
\varphi_{e,n}(a) = a(a+1)\cdots(n-1)01\cdots(a-2)(a-1), \quad a=0,1,\cdots,n-1;
$$

$$
\varphi_{o,n}(a) = (a-1)(a-2)\cdots10(n-1)\cdots(a+1)a, \quad a=0,1,\cdots,n-1.
$$

The letters 'e' and 'o' stand for "even" and "odd", respectively. Both $\varphi_{e,n}$ and $\varphi_{o,n}$ are n-uniform (that is, $|\varphi_{e,n}(a)| = n$ for all $a \in \Sigma_n$, and similarly for $\varphi_{o,n}$) and marked (that is, $\varphi_{e,n}(a)$ and $\varphi_{e,n}(b)$ have no common prefix or suffix for all $a \neq b \in \Sigma_n$, and similarly for $\varphi_{o,n}$). The Arshon word of order n can be generated by alternately iterating $\varphi_{e,n}$ and $\varphi_{o,n}$: define an operator $\varphi_n : \Sigma_n^* \to \Sigma_n^*$ by

$$
\varphi_n(a_i) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{e,n}(a_i), & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ \varphi_{o,n}(a_i), & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

That is, if $u = a_0 a_1 \cdots a_m \in \Sigma_n^*$, then $\varphi_n(u) = \varphi_{e,n}(a_0) \varphi_{o,n}(a_1) \varphi_{e,n}(a_2) \varphi_{o,n}(a_3) \cdots$. The Arshon word of order n is given by

$$
\mathbf{a}_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi_n^k(0). \tag{2}
$$

,

Note that $\varphi_n^k(0)$ is a prefix of $\varphi_n^{k+1}(0)$ for all $k \geq 0$, and the limit is well defined.

Example 1. For $n = 3$, the even and odd Arshon morphisms are given by

$$
\varphi_{e,3} : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \to & 012 \\ 1 & \to & 120 \\ 2 & \to & 201 \end{array} \right., \quad \varphi_{o,3} : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \to & 210 \\ 1 & \to & 021 \\ 2 & \to & 102 \end{array} \right.
$$

and the Arshon word of order 3 is given by

$$
\mathbf{a}_3 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi_3^k(0) = \underbrace{012}_{\varphi_{e,3}(0)} \underbrace{021}_{\varphi_{o,3}(1)} \underbrace{201}_{\varphi_{e,3}(2)} \underbrace{210}_{\varphi_{o,3}(0)} \cdots
$$

It is not difficult to see that when n is even, the i'th letter of a_n is even if and only if i is an even position (for a formal proof, see Séebold [\[9,](#page-10-3) [10\]](#page-10-4)). Therefore, when n is even, the map φ_n becomes a morphism, denoted by α_n :

$$
\alpha_n(a) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{e,n}(a), & \text{if } a \text{ is even;} \\ \varphi_{o,n}(a), & \text{if } a \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
$$
 (3)

When *n* is odd no such partition exists, and indeed, a_n cannot be generated by iterating a morphism. This fact was proved for a_3 by Berstel [\[3\]](#page-9-3) and Kitaev [\[6,](#page-9-4) [7\]](#page-10-5), and for any odd n by Currie [\[5\]](#page-9-5).

2.2 Subwords and occurrences

An occurrence of a subword within a_n is a triple (z, i, j) , where z is a subword of a_n , $0 \le i \le j$, and $a_i \cdots a_j = z$. In other words, z occurs in a_n at positions i, \dots, j . We usually refer to an occurrence (z, i, j) as $z = a_i \cdots a_j$. The set of all subwords of \mathbf{a}_n is denoted by $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{a}_n)$. The set of all occurrences of subwords within a_n is denoted by $\text{Occ}(a_n)$. An occurrence (z, i, j) contains an occurrence (z', i', j') if $i \leq i'$ and $j \geq j'$.

A subword v of \mathbf{a}_n admits an *interpretation* by φ_n if there exists a subword $v' = v_0v_1 \cdots v_kv_{k+1}$ of $\mathbf{a}_n, v_i \in \Sigma_n$, such that $v = y_0\varphi_n(v_1 \cdots v_k)x_{k+1}$, where y_0 is a suffix of $\varphi_n(v_0)$ and x_{k+1} is a prefix of $\varphi_n(v_{k+1})$. The word v' is called an *ancestor* of v.

For an occurrence $z \in \text{Occ}(\mathbf{a}_n)$, we denote by $\text{inv}(z)$ the inverse image of z under φ_n . That is, inv(z) is the shortest occurrence $z' \in \text{Occ}(\mathbf{a}_n)$ such that $\varphi_n(z')$ contains z. Note that the word (rather than occurrence) inv(z) is an ancestor of the word z , but not necessarily a unique one.

Following Currie [\[5\]](#page-9-5), we refer to the decomposition of a_n into images under φ_n as the φ decomposition, and to the images of the letters as φ -blocks. We denote the borderline between two consecutive φ -blocks by '|'; e.g., i|j means that i is the last letter of a block and j is the first letter of the following block. If $z = a_i \cdots a_j \in \text{Occ}(\mathbf{a}_n)$ begins at an even position we write $z=a_i^{(e)}$ $e^{(e)} a_{i+1}^{(o)} a_{i+2}^{(e)} \cdots$, and similarly for an occurrence that begins at an odd position.

3 General properties of the Arshon words

Lemma 2. For all $n \geq 2$, a_n contains a $(3n-2)/(2n-2)$ -power beginning at position 1.

Proof. For $n = 2$, $a_2 = t = 0110 \cdots$, which contains the 2-power 11 at position 1. For $n \geq 3$, a_n begins with

$$
\varphi_{e,n}(0)\varphi_{o,n}(1)\varphi_{e,n}(2) = 012 \cdots (n-1)|0(n-1)\cdots 21|2\cdots (n-1)01 =
$$

0 (12 \cdots (n-1)0(n-1)\cdots 2)^{(3n-2)/(2n-2)} 1.

 \Box

Example 2.

Corollary 3. The critical exponent of a_n satisfies $(3n-2)/(2n-2) \le E(a_n) \le 2$ for all $n > 2$.

Proof. For $n = 2$, it is well known that $E(\mathbf{a}_n) = E(\mathbf{t}) = 2$ [\[11,](#page-10-0) [4\]](#page-9-2). For $n \geq 3$, we know by Arshon [\[1,](#page-9-0) [2\]](#page-9-1) that a_n is square-free, and so $E(a_n) \leq 2$. The lower bound follows from Lemma [2.](#page-2-0) □

Lemma 4. Let $n \geq 3$, and let $i, j \in \Sigma_n$.

- 1. If $ij \in Occ(\mathbf{a}_n)$, then $j = i \pm 1$.
- 2. The borderline between two consecutive φ -blocks has the form i|ji or ij|i. Moreover, a word of the form iji can occur only at a borderline.

Proof. If ij occurs within a φ -block, then $j = i \pm 1$ by definition of φ_n . Suppose i is the last letter of a φ -block and j is the first letter of the next φ -block, and let $kl = inv(ij)$. Assume $j \neq i \pm 1$, and suppose further that ij is the first pair that satisfies this inequality. Then $l = k \pm 1$, and so there are four cases:

$$
\varphi_n(kl) = \varphi_{e,n}(k)\varphi_{o,n}(k+1), \varphi_n(kl) = \varphi_{o,n}(k)\varphi_{e,n}(k+1),
$$

$$
\varphi_n(kl) = \varphi_{e,n}(k)\varphi_{o,n}(k-1), \varphi_n(kl) = \varphi_{o,n}(k)\varphi_{e,n}(k-1).
$$

But it is easy to check that for all the cases above, $j = i \pm 1$, a contradiction.

For the second assertion, observe that by definition of φ_n , a φ -block is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing, and two consecutive blocks have alternating directions. By the above, a change of direction can have only the form $i|ji$ or $ij|i$. \Box

Definition 1 (Currie [\[5\]](#page-9-5)). A mordent is a word of the form iji, where $i, j \in \Sigma_n$ and $j = i \pm 1$. Two consecutive mordents occurring in a_n are either near mordents, far mordents, or neutral mordents, according to the position of the borderlines:

i|*ji u kl*|*k* = near mordents,
$$
|u| = n - 4
$$
;
\n*ij*|*i u k*|*lk* = far mordents, $|u| = n - 2$;
\n*i*|*ji u k*|*lk* = neutral mordents, $|u| = n - 3$;
\n*ij*|*i u kl*|*k* = neutral mordents, $|u| = n - 3$.

Note that for $n = 3$, near mordents are overlapping: $\mathbf{a}_3 = 012|021|201|\cdots$.

Since a_n is square-free, a p/q -power occurring in a_n has the form xyx , where $q = |xy|$, $p = |xyx|$, and both x, y are nonempty.

Definition 2. Let $z = a_i \cdots a_j \in \text{Occ}(\mathbf{a}_n)$ be a p/q -power. We say that z is *left-stretchable* (resp. right-stretchable) if the q-period of z can be stretched left (resp. right), i.e., if $a_{i-1} = a_{i+q-1}$ (resp. $a_{i+1} = a_{i-q+1}$). If the q-period of z can be stretched neither left nor right, we say that z is an unstretchable p/q -power.

Since the critical exponent is a supremum, it is enough to consider unstretchable powers when computing it.

Lemma 5. Let $n \geq 3$. Let $z = xyx = (xy)^{p/q} \in Occ(a_n)$ be an unstretchable power such that $|x| \leq n$ and x contains no mordents. Then $p/q \leq (3n - 2)/(2n - 2)$.

Proof. Since $|x| \leq n$, it is enough to consider y such that $|y| \leq n-2$, for otherwise we would get that $p/q < (3n-2)/(2n-2)$. Therefore, $|xy| = q \leq 2n-2$ and $|z| \leq 3n-2$. We get that xy is contained in at most 3 consecutive φ -blocks and z is contained in at most 4 consecutive φ -blocks. Suppose z is not contained in 3 consecutive φ -blocks. Let $B_0B_1B_2B_3$ be the blocks containing z, and assume that B_0 is even (the other case is similar). Since $|x| \leq n$, necessarily xy begins in B_0 and ends in B_2 . Since x contains no mordents, x has to start at the last letter of B_0 : otherwise, we would get that x cannot extend beyond the first letter of B_1 , and since $|y| \leq n-2$, we would get that z is contained in 3 φ -blocks. Therefore, the letters of x are decreasing. Now, since $|xy| \leq 2n-2$, the second occurrence of x begins at least 3 letters from the end of B_2 . Since B_2 is an even block, we get a contradiction if $|x| > 1$. But if $|x| = 1$ then z is contained in $B_0B_1B_2$. We can assume therefore that z is contained in 3 consecutive φ -blocks, $B_0B_1B_2$. We assume that B_0 is even (the other case is symmetric).

If xy is contained in one block then, because B_0, B_2 are even and B_1 is odd, necessarily $|x|=1$, and so $p/q \leq 3/2 < (3n-2)/(2n-2)$. If xy begins in B_0 and ends in B_2 , then, since $|y| \leq n-2$, the first x occurrence has to end at the third letter of B_1 or later. Since x contains no mordents, this implies that xy begins at the last letter of B_0 and the letters of x are decreasing. Since B_2 is even, again $|x|=1$.

Assume xy begins in B_0 and ends in B_1 . Again, because B_0 is even and B_1 is odd, in order for x to contain more than one letter the second x occurrence has to start either at the last letter of B_1 , or at the first letter of B_2 .

Let $B_0 = \varphi_{e,n}(i)$. Then there are four cases for B_1, B_2 :

- 1. $B_1 = \varphi_{\alpha,n}(i+1), B_2 = \varphi_{e,n}(i);$
- 2. $B_1 = \varphi_{\alpha,n}(i-1), B_2 = \varphi_{e,n}(i);$
- 3. $B_1 = \varphi_{\alpha,n}(i+1), B_2 = \varphi_{\alpha,n}(i+2);$

4.
$$
B_1 = \varphi_{o,n}(i-1), B_2 = \varphi_{e,n}(i-2).
$$

We now check what the maximal possible exponent is in each of these cases. Without loss of generality, we can assume $i = 0$. We use the notation $z = xyx'$, where x' is the second occurrence of x in z .

Case 1: $B_0B_1B_2 = |01 \cdots (n-1)|0(n-1)\cdots 1|01 \cdots (n-1)|$.

If x' starts at the last letter of B_1 then $|x|=1$, since 10 does not occur anywhere before. If x' starts at the first letter of B_2 , the only possible power is the $3n/2n$ -power $B_0B_1B_0$, which contradicts the hypothesis $|y| \leq n-2$.

Case 2: $B_0B_1B_2 = |01 \cdots (n-1)|(n-2)(n-3) \cdots 0(n-1)|01 \cdots (n-1)|$.

By the same argument, either $|x| = 1$ or z is a $3n/2n$ -power.

Case 3: $B_0B_1B_2 = |01 \cdots (n-1)|0(n-1)\cdots 1|23 \cdots (n-1)01|$.

If x' starts at the last letter of B_1 , we get the $(3n-2)/(2n-2)$ -power described in Lemma [2.](#page-2-0) If x' starts at the first letter of B_2 , then x has to start at the 2 in B_0 . But then the power is left-stretchable, to the $(3n-2)/(2n-2)$ -power described above.

Case 4: $B_0B_1B_2 = |01 \cdots (n-1)|(n-2)(n-3) \cdots 0(n-1)|(n-2)(n-1)0 \cdots (n-3)|$.

If x' starts at the last letter of B_1 , then x has to start at the last letter of B_0 . But then $|x|=2$, since $(n-1) \neq (n-3)$. We get that z is an $(n+2)/n$ -power, and $(n+2)/n < (3n-2)/(2n-2)$ for all $n \geq 3$. If x' starts at the first letter of B_2 , then x has to start at the second last letter of B₀. Again, $|x| = 2$, and z is an $\frac{n+4}{n+2}$ -power, where $\frac{n+4}{n+2} < \frac{3n-2}{2n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$.

In what follows, we will show that in order to compute $E(\mathbf{a}_n)$, it is enough to consider powers xyx such that $|x| \leq n$ and x contains no mordents.

 \Box

Definition 3. Let z be a subword of a_n . We say that (z_1, z_2) is a synchronization point of z under φ_n if $z = z_1z_2$, and whenever $\varphi_n(u) = v_1zv_2$ for some $u, v_1, v_2 \in Sub(a_n)$, we have $u = u_1u_2$, $\varphi_n(u_1) = v_1z_1$, and $\varphi_n(u_2) = z_2v_2$. That is, $z_1|z_2$ is always a borderline in the φ -decomposition of z, regardless of the position in a_n where z occurs. We say that a subword $z \in Sub(a_n)$ is synchronized if it can be decomposed unambiguously under φ_n , in which case it has a unique ancestor.

Lemma 6. If $z \in Sub(a_n)$ has a synchronization point then z is synchronized.

Proof. Suppose z has a synchronization point, $z = u|v$. If $|u| = |v| = 1$ then z cannot have a synchronization point at $u|v$, since uv occurs either in $\varphi_{e,n}(u)$ or in $\varphi_{o,n}(u+1)$. Therefore, at least one of u, v has length > 1 . Suppose $|u| > 1$. If the last two characters of u are increasing, we know that an even φ -block ends at u and an odd φ -block starts at v, and vice versa if the last two characters of u are decreasing. Since both $\varphi_{e,n}$ and $\varphi_{o,n}$ are uniform marked morphisms, and since we know φ -blocks alternate between even and odd, we can infer inv(z) unambiguously from $u|v.$ \Box

Lemma 7. Let $n \geq 3$, and let $z = xyx = (xy)^{p/q} \in Occ(a_n)$ be an unstretchable p/q -power, such that x has a synchronization point. Then there exists an r/s -power $z' \in Occ(a_n)$, such that $p = nr$, $q = ns$, and $z = \varphi_n(z')$.

Proof. Since x has a synchronization point, it has a unique decomposition under φ_n . Suppose x does not begin at a borderline of φ -blocks. Then $x = t | w$, where t is a nonempty suffix of a φ -block, and $z = t|wyt|w$. But since the interpretation is unique, both occurrences of t must be preceded by a word s, such that st is a φ -block. Thus z can be stretched by s to the left, a contradiction. Therefore, x begins at a borderline, and so y ends at a borderline. For the same reason, x must end at a borderline, and so y must begin at a borderline. We get that both x and y have an exact decomposition into φ -blocks, and this decomposition is unique. In particular, both occurrences of x have the same inverse image under φ_n . Let k, l be the number of φ -blocks composing x, y, respectively. Then $p = n(2k + l)$, $q = n(k + l)$, and $\varphi_n^{-1}(z) = \varphi_n^{-1}(x)\varphi_n^{-1}(y)\varphi_n^{-1}(x)$ is a $\frac{2k+l}{(k+l)}$ -power.

Corollary 8. To compute $E(\mathbf{a}_n)$, it is enough to consider powers $z = xyx$ such that x has no synchronization points.

4 Arshon words of even order

To illustrate the power structure in Arshon words of even order, consider a4:

 $a_4 = 0123|0321|2301|2103|0123|2103|2301|0321|2301|2103|0123|0321|2301|0321|...$

Lemma 9. Let $n \geq 4$ be even, and let $x \in Sub(a_n)$ be a subword that has no synchronization point. Then $|x| \leq n$ and x contains no mordents.

Proof. In general, a mordent iji can admit two possible borderlines: ij|i or i|ji. However, if n is even, all images under α_n begin with an even letter and end with an odd letter; images of odd letters under $\varphi_{e,n}$ and images of even letters under $\varphi_{o,n}$ are never manifested. Therefore, every mordent admits exactly one interpretation: if i is even and j is odd the interpretation has to be $i,j|i$, and vice versa for odd i. Thus, if x has no synchronization point it contains no mordents.

Suppose x contains no mordents. Then $|x| \leq n+2$, and the letters of x are either increasing or decreasing. Assume they are increasing. If $|x| = n + 2$ then x has exactly one interpretation, $x = i|(i + 1)\cdots(i-1)i|(i + 1)$, or else we would get that a_n contains two consecutive even blocks. If $|x| = n + 1$ then a priori x has two possible interpretations: $x = i|(i + 1)\cdots(i-1)i|$ or $x =$ $|i(i+1)\cdots(i-1)|i.$ However, the first case is possible if and only if i is odd, since for an even n no φ -block ends with an even letter. Similarly, the second case is possible if and only if i is even. \Box

Lemma [9,](#page-5-0) together with Corollary [8](#page-5-1) and Lemma [5,](#page-3-0) completes the proof of Theorem [1](#page-0-1) for all even $n \geq 4$.

5 Arshon words of odd order

To illustrate the power structure in Arshon words of odd order, consider a5:

 $\mathbf{a}_5 = 01234|04321|23401|21043|40123|43210|40123|21043|23401|04321|23401|21043| \cdots$

Lemma 10. Let $n \geq 3$ be odd. Then every subword $z \in Sub(a_n)$ with $|z| \geq 3n$ has a unique interpretation under φ_n .

Proof. Consider a subword that contains a pair of consecutive mordents, $z = iji$ u klk. If $|u| = n-4$ (that is, these are near mordents), then z contains two synchronization points, $z = i|ji u kl|k$: otherwise, we get a φ -block that contains a repeated letter, a contradiction. Similarly, if $|u| = n-2$ (a pair of far mordents), z contains the synchronization points $z = i/ii u k|lk$. To illustrate, consider a_5 : let $z = a_4 \cdots a_{10} = 404$ 3 212. A borderline 40|4 implies that 43212 is a φ -block, a contradiction; a borderline 2.12 implies that 40432 is a φ -block, again a contradiction. Now let $z = a_7 \cdots a_{16} = 212\,340\,121$. A borderline 2|12 implies that 121 is a prefix of a φ -block, while a borderline $12|1$ implies that 212 is a suffix of a φ -block. Again, we get a contradiction.

If $|u| = n-3$ (neutral mordents), then z has two possible interpretations, either $z = i|ji u k|lk$ or $z = i j | i \, u \, k | k$. However, by Currie [\[5\]](#page-9-5), a_n does not contain two consecutive pairs of neutral mordents: out of three consecutive mordents, at least one of the pairs is either near or far. (It is also easy to see that this is the case by a simple inverse image analysis: an occurrence of the form ij|i u kl|k v rs|r or i|ji u k|lk v r|sr implies that a_n contains a square of the form abab, $a, b \in \Sigma_n$, a contradiction: by Arshon, a_n is square-free.)

Let $z \in \text{Occ}(\mathbf{a}_n)$ satisfy $|z| = 3n$. If z contains a pair of near or far mordents, then z has a unique ancestor. Otherwise, z contains a pair of neutral mordents, iji u klk, where $|u| = n - 3$, and there are two possible interpretations: $i|ji u k|lk$ or $ij|i u kl|k$. Let $i'j'i'$ be the mordent on the left of *iji*, and let $k'l'k'$ be the mordent on the right of klk . Since no two consecutive neutral mordents occur, $i'j'i'$ and $k'l'k'$ must form near or far mordents with iji and klk .

If the interpretation is $i|ji u k|lk$, then $k'l'k'$ forms a near pair with klk, while $i'j'i'$ forms a far pair with *iji*. Therefore, $k'l'k'$ is $n-4$ letters away from klk , while $i'j'i'$ is $n-2$ letters away from iji. By assumption, z does not contain a near pair or a far pair, therefore z can contain at most $n-2$ letters to the right of klk, and at most n letters to the left of i_{ji}. Since $|z| = 3n$, this means that either $z = j'|i' x i|ji u k|lk v k'$ or $z = |i' x i|ji u k|lk v k'l'|$, where $|x| = n - 2$ and $|v| = n-4$. Similarly if the interpretation is ij|i u kl|k, then either $z = |j'i' v i j|i u k l|k x k'$ or $z = i' v i j | i u k l | k x k' | l'$, where $|x| = n - 2$ and $|v| = n - 4$. In any case, z contains enough letters to determine if the far mordent is on the left or on the right, and the interpretation is unique.

 \Box

Example 3. For $n = 5$, the occurrence $z = a_{21} \cdots a_{34} = 01234321040123$, of length $3n - 1 = 14$, has two possible interpretations under φ_5 , as illustrated in Fig. [1.](#page-7-0) However, if either of the left or

Figure 1: Two interpretations under φ_5 .

right question marks is known, the ambiguity is solved: the top interpretation is valid if and only if the left question mark equals 4 (so as to complete the φ -block) and the right question mark equals 2 (so as to complete the near mordent). The bottom interpretation is valid if and only if the left question mark equals 1 (so as to complete the near mordent) and the right question mark equals 4 (so as to complete the φ -block).

Note: Lemma [10](#page-6-0) is an improvement of a similar lemma of Currie [\[5\]](#page-9-5), who proved that every occurrence of length $3n + 3$ or more has a unique interpretation.

Corollary 11. The critical exponent of an odd Arshon word is the largest exponent of powers of the form $z = xyz$, such that $|x| < 3n$.

To compute $E(\mathbf{a}_n)$ we need to consider subwords of the form xyx , with x unsynchronized. Moreover, the two occurrences of x should have different interpretations, or else we could take an inverse image under φ_n . For a fixed n, it would suffice to run a computer check on a finite number of subwords of a_n ; this is exactly the technique Klepinin and Sukhanov employed in [\[8\]](#page-10-1). For a general n , we need a more careful analysis.

Lemma 12. Let $n \geq 3$, n odd. For all mordents in a_n ,

1.
$$
inv(i(i+1)i) = (i+2)^{(e)}(i+1)^{(o)}
$$
 or $inv(i(i+1)i) = (i+1)^{(e)}(i+2)^{(o)}$;

2. $inv(i(i-1)i) = (i-1)^{(o)}(i)^{(e)}$ or $inv(i(i-1)i) = (i)^{(o)}(i-1)^{(e)}$.

Proof. A mordent *iji* can admit two possible borderlines: *ij*|*i* or *i*|*ji*. Consider the mordent $i(i+1)i$. If the borderline is $i(i+1)|i$, then $i(i+1)$ is a suffix of an increasing φ -block, and so the block must be an image under $\varphi_{e,n}$. By definition of $\varphi_{e,n}$, $i(i+1)$ is the suffix of $\varphi_{e,n}(i+2)$. Since even and odd blocks alternate, the next block must be an image under $\varphi_{o,n}$, and by definition, i is the prefix of $\varphi_{o,n}(i+1)$.

If the borderline is $i|(i + 1)i$, then $(i + 1)i$ is the prefix of a decreasing φ -block, and by similar considerations this block is $\varphi_{o,n}(i+2)$, while the previous block is $\varphi_{e,n}(i+1)$. The assertion for $i(i-1)i$ is proved similarly. \Box

Lemma 13. Let $n \geq 3$, n odd, and let $z \in Occ(\mathbf{a}_n)$.

1. If $z = i^{(e)}ui^{(o)}$ or $z = i^{(o)}ui^{(e)}$ for some $i \in \Sigma_n$, then $|u| \geq n-1$; 2. If $z = i^{(e)}u(i \pm 1)^{(e)}$ or $z = i^{(o)}u(i \pm 1)^{(o)}$ for some $i \in \Sigma_n$, then $|u| \geq n-2$.

Proof. Let $z = i^{(e)}ui^{(o)}$, and suppose $i^{(o)}$ does not occur in u (otherwise, if $u = u'i^{(o)}u''$, take $z = i^{(e)}u'i^{(o)}$. If $|u| < n-1$ then z must contain a mordent in order for i to be repeated. But then the two occurrences of i have the same parity, a contradiction. The rest of the cases are proved similarly. □

Lemma 14. Let $n \geq 3$ be odd, and let $z = xyx = (xy)^{p/q} \in Occ(a_n)$ be an unstretchable power, such that x is unsynchronized and contains a mordent. Then $p/q < E(\mathbf{a}_n)$.

Proof. Suppose x contains the mordent $i(i + 1)i$ (the case of $i(i - 1)i$ is symmetric). Then the two occurrences of the mordent have different interpretations, else we could take an inverse image under φ_n and get a power with the same exponent. By Lemma [12,](#page-7-1) there are two different cases, according to which interpretation comes first:

$$
\overbrace{\cdots i(i+1)}^{\varphi_{e,n}(i+2)}|\overbrace{i(i-1)\cdots(i+2)(i+1)}^{i(i-1)\cdots(i+1)}| \overbrace{\cdots\dots\dots}^{n-1}|\overbrace{\cdots\dots\dots}^{n-1}\overbrace{(\cdots\dots\dots}^{\varphi_{e,n}(i+1)}|\overbrace{(i+1)(i+2)\cdots(i-1)i}^{i(i+1)}|\overbrace{\cdots\dots}^{i(i+2)}|^{i(i+1)i\cdots}
$$

$$
\overbrace{\cdots i}^{\varphi_{e,n}(i+1)}|\overbrace{(i+1)i\cdots(i+3)(i+2)}^{i(i+2)\cdots(i+1)}|\overbrace{\cdots\dots\dots}^{n-1}\overbrace{(\cdots\dots\dots\dots}^{\varphi_{e,n}(i+2)}|\overbrace{\cdots\dots\dots}^{\varphi_{e,n}(i+1)}|\overbrace{i(i-1)\cdots}^{\varphi_{o,n}(i+1)}
$$

By Lemma [13,](#page-8-0) in both cases there must be at least $n-1$ additional φ -blocks between the blocks containing the two $i(i + 1)i$ occurrences. Therefore, in both cases $q \geq n^2 + n - 1$ (note that q is the length of the period, and can be measured from the beginning of $i(i + 1)i$ in the first x to just before $i(i + 1)i$ in the second x). Now, x is unsynchronized, and so by Lemma [10](#page-6-0) $|x| < 3n$. Therefore, $|x|/q \leq (3n-1)/(n^2+n-1) < n/(2n-2)$ for all $n \geq 3$, and so $p/q = (|x|+q)/q <$ $(3n-2)/(2n-2) \leq E(a_n)$. \Box

By Lemma [14,](#page-8-1) in order to compute $E(\mathbf{a}_n)$ it is enough to consider powers xyx such that x is unsynchronized and contains no mordents. The longest subword that contains no mordents is of length $n + 2$, but such subword implies a far pair, and has a unique ancestor. Therefore, we can assume $|x| \leq n+1$.

Lemma 15. Let $n \geq 3$ be odd, and let $z = xyx = (xy)^{p/q} \in Occ(a_n)$ be an unstretchable power, such that x is unsynchronized, x contains no mordents, and $|x| = n + 1$. Then $p/q < E(a_n)$.

Proof. Since $|x| = n + 1$ and x contains no mordents, necessarily $x = ivi$, where $i \in \Sigma_n$ and either $v = (i+1)\cdots(n-1)01\cdots(i-2)(i-1)$, or $v = (i-1)\cdots01(n-1)\cdots(i+2)(i+1)$. Suppose the letters of v are increasing, and assume without loss of generality that $i = 0$. Then x admits two possible interpretations: $x = 01 \cdots (n-1)0$ or $x = 0 \mid 1 \cdots (n-1)0$. The ancestors of the first and second case are $inv(x) = 0^{(e)}1^{(o)}$ and $inv(x) = 0^{(o)}1^{(e)}$, respectively. Any other interpretation is impossible, since it implies a_n contains two consecutive even φ -blocks.

As in the previous lemma, we can assume that the two x occurrences of z have different inverse images. There are two possible cases:

$$
\overbrace{01\cdots(n-1)}^{\varphi_{e,n}(0)}\overbrace{0\cdots}^{\varphi_{o,n}(1)}|\overbrace{0\cdots}^{n-2}\overbrace{\cdots\cdots\cdots}^{\varphi_{o}\text{blocks}}|\overbrace{\cdots0}^{\varphi_{o,n}(0)}|\overbrace{1\cdots(n-1)0}^{\varphi_{e,n}(1)},
$$

$$
\overbrace{\cdots0}^{\varphi_{o,n}(0)}|\overbrace{1\cdots(n-1)0}^{p_{e,n}(1)}|\overbrace{\cdots\cdots\cdots}^{\varphi_{e,n}(0)}|\overbrace{01\cdots(n-1)}^{\varphi_{e,n}(0)}|\overbrace{0\cdots}^{\varphi_{o,n}(1)}.
$$

By Lemma [13,](#page-8-0) in both cases y contains at least $n-2$ additional φ -blocks. Therefore, $q \geq n^2-n+1$, and so $|x|/q \le (n+1)/(n^2-n+1) < n/(2n-2)$ for all $n \ge 3$. Again, $p/q < (3n-2)/(2n-2) \le$ $E(\mathbf{a}_n)$. \Box

By Lemma [15,](#page-8-2) to compute $E(\mathbf{a}_n)$ for an odd $n \geq 3$ it is enough to consider powers of the form $z = xyz$ such that $|x| \leq n$ and x contains no mordent. By Lemma [5,](#page-3-0) such powers have exponent at most $(3n-2)/(2n-2)$. This completes the proof of Theorem [1.](#page-0-1)

6 Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Yuri Pritykin, for providing many interesting details on Arshon's life.

References

- [1] S. E. Arshon. A proof of the existence of infinite asymmetric sequences on n symbols. Matematicheskoe Prosveshchenie (Mathematical Education) 2 (1935), 24–33 (in Russian). Available electronically at $http://ilib.mccme.ru/djvu/mp1/mp1-2.htm$.
- [2] S. E. Arshon. A proof of the existence of infinite asymmetric sequences on n symbols. Mat. Sb. 2 (1937), 769-779 (in Russian, with French abstract).
- [3] J. Berstel. Mots sans carré et morphismes itérés. *Discrete Math.* 29 (1979), 235–244.
- [4] J. Berstel. Axel Thue's papers on repetitions in words: a translation. Publications du Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique 20, Université du Québec à Montréal (1995).
- [5] J. D. Currie. No iterated morphism generates any Arshon sequence of odd order. Discrete Math. 259 (2002), 277–283.
- [6] S. Kitaev. Symbolic sequences, crucial words and iterations of a morphism. PhD thesis, Göteborg, Sweden, October 2000.
- [7] S. Kitaev. There are no iterative morphisms that define the Arshon sequence and the σ sequence. J. Automata, Languages, and Combinatorics 8 no. 1 (2003), 43-50.
- [8] A. V. Klepinin and E. V. Sukhanov. On combinatorial properties of the Arshon sequence. *Disc.* Appl. Math. 114 (2001), 155–169.
- [9] P. Séébold. About some overlap-free morphisms on a *n*-letter alphabet. J. Automata, Languages, and Combinatorics 7 (2002), 579–597.
- [10] P. Séébold. On some generalizations of the Thue–Morse morphism. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 292 (2003), 283–298.
- [11] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. Norske vid. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl. 1 (1912), 1–67.
- [12] N. Ya. Vilenkin. Formulas on cardboard. Priroda 6 (1991), 95–104 (in Russian). English summary available at <http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/index.html>, review no. MR1143732.