

Thermal Hall-Senftleben Effect

L. A. Maksimov and T. V. Khabarova*
Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia
 (Dated: November 9, 2018)

This paper is devoted to a prediction of new effect - the occurrence of a heat flow perpendicular both to temperature gradient and magnetic field in molecular dielectric where rotary degrees of freedom of molecules are defrozen. The method of the moments considering processes of phonon scattering on molecules with their rotary condition changing is developed.

PACS numbers: 66.70.+f, 72.15.Gd, 72.20.Pa

1. INTRODUCTION.

Recently there was a message [1], [2] about detection at presence of a magnetic field in ionic dielectric $Tb_3Ga_5O_{12}$ a heat flow perpendicular both to temperature gradient and magnetic field. This phenomenon is related to Righi-Leduc effect in metals where it is also observed a component of a heat flow, directed on $[B, \nabla T]$. As in dielectrics there are no free charge carriers the physical reason of the phenomenon is far from Hall effect and Righi-Leduc effect mechanisms. In works [1], [2] and theoretical works [3], [4] the observable effect communicates from spin-orbital phonon interaction with magnetic biased spins of paramagnetic ions. However, there is another mechanism which can lead to occurrence of transverse heat flow. In molecular gases this is an anisotropic scattering of molecules which rotary moments precess in external magnetic field \vec{B} . The observation of a heat flow perpendicular both to temperature gradient and magnetic field in molecular gases is called odd Senftleben-Beenakker effect [5]. In the present work this effect is generalized on a case of molecular crystals where oscillating near lattice points molecules can rotate freely at the same time if temperature is above the rotary degrees of freedom freezing temperature [6]. Thus as translational motion of molecules in a solid body is absent, there is no direct analogue of classical Senftleben-Beenakker effect. Phonons transfer energy in dielectrics. In a considered solid body we assume there are no paramagnetic particles and spin-orbital mechanism of a magnetic field influence on heat conductivity is absent. However, if the molecule rotary moments of this body can rotate freely in a magnetic field these moments precess. Precession affects the probability of anisotropic phonon scattering at nonspherical molecules. It should lead to phonon energy flow change appeared in presence of temperature gradient and to occurrence of magnetic field dependence of thermal conductivity tensor:

$$\chi_{ik}(\vec{B}) = \chi_{ki}(-\vec{B}). \quad (1)$$

In the present work it is predicted, that the thermal Hall effect can be observed not only in ionic, but also in molecular dielectrics in the field of temperatures where rotary degrees of molecules are defrozen. Rotary moments \vec{M} will be considered as classical vectors. (More realistic case of quantum rotation will be investigated separately). The considered phenomenon is caused by correlation of phonon movement and the rotary moments and described by joint distribution function of phonon and rotary degrees of freedom $f(\vec{p}, \vec{M})$. Correlation exists, if phonon scattering at the rotary moments is anisotropic. For an estimation of such anisotropy it is necessary to solve a mechanical problem about interaction of two oscillating near adjacent lattice points molecules. We shall not do this, and using a method of the moments for solution of the corresponding kinetic equation the eigenvalues of the collision operator we shall consider as adjustable parameters. Thus, three parameters are entered into theories instead of one usual adjustable parameter (phonon relaxation time τ): 1) reciprocal phonon lifetime owing to anharmonicity or dispersion on defects $\Omega_0 = 1/\tau$, 2) phonon collision frequency with change of a rotary condition of molecules $\Omega_1 = \lambda\Omega_0$ ($\lambda \ll 1$ - nonsphericalness parameter) and 3) precession frequency $\omega_B = -\gamma B$. (γ - gyromagnetic ratio). It is used spherical coordinates and system of units with $\hbar = 1$, $k_B = 1$ here. It will be shown, that the antisymmetric part of heat conduction tensor is proportional to a magnetic field

$$\chi_{ik}^{odd}(\vec{B}) \sim \lambda^2 (\omega_B/\Omega). \quad (2)$$

2. THE KINETIC EQUATION.

So, we shall find a magnetic field influence on heat conduction of a molecular crystal with rotary degrees of freedom. We investigate a simple model of substance in which all molecules or their part have the rotary moments. We shall consider system as a solution of Debye phonon gas with a spectrum $\omega_p = cp$, and located in units of lattice of two-atom molecules classical rotary moments \vec{M} noninteracting with each other. (In contrast to a problem of heat conduction in

a paramagnetic with spin-phonon interaction [4] phonon polarization does not play a role and detailed elaboration of acoustic phonon properties does not matter). Phonon and rotary moments interaction is not enough, and in gas approximation we shall describe evolution of system by Boltzmann equation [7] for joint distribution function $f(\vec{p}, \vec{M}, \vec{r})$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \left(\vec{v} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}} \right) + \frac{\partial \vec{r}_M}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}_M} + \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{M}} + Stf = 0. \quad (3)$$

Here $\vec{r}, \vec{v} = \partial\omega/\partial\vec{p}$ are a phonon coordinate and velocity ($|\vec{v}| = c$). If the subsystem of rotary moments could move like gas in space, it would be necessary to bring in the left part of (3) an expression $\frac{\partial \vec{r}_M}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}_M}$, where \vec{r}_M is a coordinate of a point where one of the rotary moments is located. But such motion is absent and $\frac{\partial \vec{r}_M}{\partial t} \equiv 0$. The fourth term at the left describes rotary moment precession in a magnetic field $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{M} = \gamma[\vec{M}\vec{B}] \right)$. In paramagnetic substance, there is a mix of components with different electron spin directions. The odd effect is created by component, consisting of molecules which have a spin projection on molecule axis equal to zero. In nonparamagnetic body molecule gyromagnetic ratio γ is much less, but not zero because of nuclei slipping in relation to electron shell [8]. For simplicity we shall consider a case of nonparamagnetic molecules. Below we shall generally use spherical coordinate representation where precession operator has as much simple form as possible:

$$\hat{\Omega}_B = \gamma[\vec{M}\vec{B}] \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{M}} = \omega_B \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_M}, \quad \omega_B = -\gamma B, \quad \hat{\Omega}_B Y_{l_2 m_2}(\vec{M}) = i m_2 \omega_B Y_{l_2 m_2}(\vec{M}) \quad (4)$$

In a state of thermodynamic equilibrium we have

$$f^{(0)}(\vec{p}, \vec{M}) = N^{(0)}(\vec{p}) \varphi^{(0)}(\vec{M}), \quad (5)$$

where

$$N^{(0)}(\vec{p}) = (\exp(\omega_p/T) - 1)^{-1}, \quad \varphi^{(0)}(\vec{M}) = \frac{1}{4\pi I T} \exp(-\varepsilon/T) \quad (6)$$

($\varepsilon = M^2/2I$ and I are energy and the moment of inertia of a two-atom molecule). The phonon number is set by temperature, and the rotary moment number is fixed. Distribution function ($\varphi^{(0)}(\vec{M})$) is referred to one molecule. At the decision of a stationary problem of heat conductivity the first term in (3) is absent, and the second is equal to

$$(\vec{v}\nabla) f^{(0)} = \left(\vec{v}\nabla N^{(0)}(\vec{p}, \vec{r}) \right) \varphi^{(0)} = (\vec{v}\nabla T) (\omega/T^2) N^{(0)} (N^{(0)} + 1) \varphi^{(0)} = f^{(0)} \vec{Q}\nabla T, \quad \vec{Q} = \vec{v} \frac{\omega}{T^2} (N^{(0)} + 1) \quad (7)$$

in linear approximation on a temperature gradient. The rotary moments are attached to a lattice and cannot give the contribution to a heat flow. Therefore calculating a gradient we have neglected spatial heterogeneity.

Let's represent distribution function in the form of

$$f = f^{(0)}(1 + \vec{\chi}\nabla T) \quad (8)$$

In linear approximation on ∇T the collision integral is expressed through the linear integral operator

$$Stf = (\nabla T) f^{(0)} \hat{\Omega} \vec{\chi}, \quad (9)$$

which acts on $\vec{\chi}$. Positive definite Hermitian collision operator $\hat{\Omega}$ is completely described by the matrix elements $\Omega_{ab} = \langle \psi_a^* \hat{\Omega} \psi_b \rangle$. Matrix elements Ω_{ab} possess properties:

$$\langle \psi_a^* \hat{\Omega} \psi_b \rangle^* = \langle \psi_b^* \hat{\Omega} \psi_a \rangle = \left\langle \left(\hat{\Omega} \psi_a \right) \psi_b^* \right\rangle, \quad \langle \psi_a^* \hat{\Omega} \psi_a \rangle \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

As it has been already noted in introduction, we shall not write explicit rotary moment dependence of phonon scattering cross-section and we shall apply standard approach in kinetics when dispersion properties are described phenomenologically by Ω_{ab} which are assumed known, i.e., actually, they are adjustable parameters. Thus, removing from (3) a time derivative, using (7),(4),(9), and reducing on $f^{(0)}\nabla T$, we obtain the vector integro-differential equation.

$$(\hat{\Omega} + \hat{\Omega}_B) \vec{\chi} + \vec{Q} = 0, \quad (11)$$

3. METHOD OF MOMENTS.

Value of a heat flow and heat conduction tensor in Debye model are defined by

$$q_i = \sum (v_i \omega) f = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 M}{M} (v_i \omega) \left(f^{(0)} \vec{\chi} \nabla T \right) = -\varkappa_{ik} (\nabla T)_k, \quad \varkappa_{ik} = -\langle v_i \omega \chi_k \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where $\langle A \rangle \equiv \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 M}{M} f^{(0)} A$.

So, the problem comes to the solving of vector equation (11). Vector-function $\vec{\chi}$ we shall search in the form of expansion

$$\chi_i = \sum_a \chi_{ia} \psi_a \left(\vec{p}, \vec{M} \right) \quad (13)$$

on orthonormal vector functions $\psi_a \left(\vec{p}, \vec{M} \right) : \langle \psi_a^* \psi_b \rangle = \delta_{ab}$. Orthonormal functions ψ_a are convenient for choosing in the form of superposition of products of spherical polynoms depending on impulse directions $Y_{l_1 m_1}(\vec{p})$ and rotary moment $Y_{l_2 m_2}(\vec{M})$

$$\psi_{1m_1 l_2} \sim \sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2}^{1m} Y_{l_1 m_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{l_2 m_2}(\vec{M}), \quad (14)$$

where $C_{l_1 m_1 l_2 m_2}^{1m}$ is Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. To simplify notations, we do not write explicitly normalization and factors containing scalar polynoms depending on translational and rotary energies. Transition from cartesian coordinates to spherical is carried out by coefficients t_{im} , where $t_{xm} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}$, $t_{ym} = \frac{m}{i} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}$, $m = \pm 1$

$$Q_i = \sum_m t_{im} Q_{1m}, \quad \varkappa_{ik} = -\langle v_i \omega \chi_k \rangle = -\sum_m t_{im}^* t_{km} \langle v_{1m}^* \omega \chi_{1m} \rangle \quad (15)$$

In particular, off-diagonal element of heat conduction tensor \varkappa_{yx} is proportional to $\text{Im} \langle v_{11}^* \omega \chi_{11} \rangle$. It is responsible for a heat flow in a direction (y), perpendicular to temperature gradient (x) and magnetic field (z). For solving equation (11) in 2-moment approximation as a first function from (13) we shall choose wave function contained in \vec{Q} , which describes heterogeneity in (11), i.e.

$$\psi_{1m10} = v_{1m} \omega \quad (16)$$

As a second function we shall choose vector-function which is even on \vec{M} and odd on \vec{p} . The simplest expression, satisfying to this requirement in cartesian coordinates is $\vec{M} \left(\vec{M} \vec{p} \right)$, or in spherical coordinates

$$\psi_{1m12} = \sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11} Y_{1m_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{2m_2}(\vec{M}). \quad (17)$$

Term $\text{Im} \langle v_{11}^* \omega \chi_{11} \rangle$ is easy to find, if the probability of molecule rotary condition changing is small (weak non-sphericity) at phonon dispersion.

Let in zeroth-order approximation the collision operator in representation of functions (14) be reduced by one relaxation time

$$\hat{\Omega}^{(0)} \psi_n = \Omega_0 \psi_n, \quad \Omega_0 = 1/\tau, \quad (18)$$

and off-diagonal part $\lambda \hat{\Omega}^{(1)}$, proportional to small parameter ($\lambda \ll 1$), will transform the functions depending only from an impulse, to functions of a kind (14), depending from \vec{M}

$$\hat{\Omega}^{(1)} \psi_{1m10} = \Omega_1 \psi_{1m12} \quad (19)$$

The equation (11)

$$(\hat{\Omega}^{(0)} + \lambda \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} + \hat{\Omega}_B) \chi_{11} + Q_{11} = 0 \quad (20)$$

is solved by decomposition of function $\vec{\chi}$ on degrees of λ . We shall determine operator \hat{K} by expression

$$\hat{K} = (\hat{\Omega}^{(0)} + \hat{\Omega}_B)^{-1} \quad (21)$$

The equation (20) we shall write down in the form of

$$(\hat{K}^{-1} + \lambda \hat{\Omega}^{(1)})\chi_{11} + Q_{11} = 0 \quad (22)$$

Then we shall increase it on \hat{K} at the left and then - on $(1 + \lambda \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)})^{-1}$. From here we find

$$\chi_{11} = -(1 + \lambda \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)})^{-1} \hat{K} Q_{11} = -(1 - \lambda \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} + \dots) \hat{K} Q_{11} \quad (23)$$

The required term is equal to

$$\text{Im} \langle v_{11}^* \omega \chi_{11} \rangle = -\text{Im} \langle v_{11}^* \omega (1 - \lambda \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} + \dots) \hat{K} Q_{11} \rangle \quad (24)$$

As function Q_{11} does not depend from \vec{M} operator $\hat{\Omega}_B$ does not act on it, and

$$\hat{K} Q_{11} = (\hat{\Omega}^{(0)})^{-1} Q_{11} = Q_{11} / \Omega_0. \quad (25)$$

As a result the right side (24) becomes

$$-\text{Im} \left\langle v_{11}^* \omega \left(1 - \lambda \frac{1}{\Omega_0} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \frac{1}{\Omega_0} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} + \dots \right) Q_{11} \right\rangle \frac{1}{\Omega_0} \quad (26)$$

First two terms give zero. The nonvanishing contribution gives a term of the second order on λ , and accurate within numerical factor the off-diagonal part of heat conduction tensor is equal to

$$\kappa_{yx} \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{\Omega_0^2 T^2} \text{Im} \left\langle (v_{11}^* \omega) \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} \hat{K} \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} (v_{11} \omega) (N^{(0)} + 1) \right\rangle \quad (27)$$

As the given frequencies integral quickly converges both at low and at high frequencies, then it is possible to neglect $(N^{(0)} + 1)$ and write in a compact kind

$$\kappa_{yx} \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{\Omega_0^2 T^2} \text{Im} \langle P^* \hat{K} P \rangle, \quad (28)$$

where function $P = \hat{\Omega}^{(1)} (v_{11} \omega)$ is introduced. In concordance with (16) and (19) it is written in form of

$$P = \Omega_1 \psi_{1m_{12}}. \quad (29)$$

To calculate a matrix element $\langle P^* \hat{K} P \rangle$ it is necessary to find function

$$F = \hat{K} P = (\hat{\Omega}^{(0)} + \hat{\Omega}_B)^{-1} P \quad (30)$$

For this purpose we should solve following equation

$$\left(\hat{\Omega}^{(0)} + \omega_B \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_M} \right) F = \Omega_1 \sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11} Y_{1m_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{2m_2}(\vec{M}), \quad (31)$$

The solution will be searched in the form of

$$F = \sum_{m_1 m_2} F_{m_2} C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11} Y_{1m_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{2m_2}(\vec{M}). \quad (32)$$

Expansion coefficients are easy to be found in approximation of one relaxation time: $\hat{\Omega}^{(0)} F = \Omega_0 F$. Then

$$\left(\Omega_0 + \omega_B \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_M} \right) F = \sum_{m_1 m_2} (\Omega_0 + im_2 \omega_B) F_{m_2} C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11} Y_{1m_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{2m_2}(\vec{M}) = \Omega_1 \sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11} Y_{1m_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{2m_2}(\vec{M}) \quad (33)$$

From here we obtain

$$F_{m_2} = \Omega_1 (\Omega_0 + im_2 \omega_B)^{-1}, \quad (34)$$

and the value interesting us (10) becomes

$$\kappa_{yx} \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{\Omega_0^2 T^2} \text{Im} \left\langle \left(\sum_{m'_1 m'_2} C_{1m'_1 2m'_2}^{11} Y_{1m'_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{2m'_2}(\vec{M}) \right)^* \sum_{m_1 m_2} \Omega_1 (\Omega_0 + im_2 \omega_B)^{-1} C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11} Y_{1m_1}(\vec{p}) Y_{2m_2}(\vec{M}) \right\rangle \quad (35)$$

After averaging on \vec{p} and \vec{M} we come to final expression:

$$\kappa_{yx} \sim \frac{\lambda^2 \Omega_1}{\Omega_0^2} \sum_{m_1 m_2} (C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11})^2 \frac{m_2 \omega_B}{\Omega_0^2 + (m_2 \omega_B)^2} \quad (36)$$

We see, that the perpendicular heat flow is odd on a field and is maximal, when order of magnitude of $\xi = \omega_B / \Omega_0$ is 1.

Here the case of nonparamagnetic molecules was considered. In a solid body at achievable fields effect seems to be much less than the maximal value, and the perpendicular heat flow grows linearly with a field

$$\kappa_{yx} \sim \lambda^2 \omega_B \Omega_0^{-4} \quad (37)$$

The even effect in a magnetic field is described by the valid part of expression which imaginary part was written in (35):

$$\kappa_{xx} \sim \frac{\lambda^2 \Omega_1}{\Omega_0^2} \sum_{m_1 m_2} (C_{1m_1 2m_2}^{11})^2 \frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega_0^2 + (m_2 \omega_B)^2} \quad (38)$$

Even and odd effects for gas were experimentally observed many times (see for example [5] and [9]). For a solid body with rotary degrees of freedom the of magnetic field influence on heat conductivity was not experimentally researched yet.

In the present work it is shown, that in molecular crystals where quasi-free molecule rotation exists in wide range of temperatures, the heat conductivity effect, caused by occurrence of a heat flow perpendicular to a temperature gradient, similar to the Hall effect in metals should be observed odd on a magnetic field.

* Electronic address: frau_sych@mail.ru

- [1] C.Strohm, G.L.J.A.Rikken, and P.Wyder, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95, 155901 (2005)
- [2] A.V. Inyushkin, A.N. Taldenkov, JETP Lett., (in press).
- [3] L.Sheng et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 96, 155901 (2006)
- [4] Yu. Kagan and L. A. Maksimov, arXiv:0707.2565 (July 2007)
- [5] L.J.F. Hermans, P.H. Fortuin, H.F.P. Knaap and J.J.M. Beenakker, Phys.Lett. A, 25, 81 (1967); L.L. Gorelik, V.G. Nikolaevskii, V.V. Sinitsyn, JETP Lett., 4, 11, 307 (1966); Yu. Kagan, L.A. Maksimov, JETP, 51, 1893 (1966).
- [6] Cryocrystals, edited by B. I. Verkin and A. F. Prikhotko (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1983).
- [7] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshits, Vol. 10, Physical Kinetics, PergamonPress,1981.
- [8] N.F. Ramsey Molecular Beams, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1956).
- [9] H.Senftleben, Phys.Zs., 31, 961, 1930