

FRAMED DEFORMATION OF GALOIS REPRESENTATION

LIN CHEN

ABSTRACT. We studied framed deformations of two dimensional Galois representation of which the residue representation restrict to decomposition groups are scalars, and established a modular lifting theorem for certain cases. We then proved a family version of the result, and used it to determine the structure of deformation rings over characteristic zero fields. As a corollary, we obtain the \mathcal{L} -invariant of adjoint square representation associated to a Hilbert Hecke eigenform.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a Hilbert modular Hecke eigenform f (over some totally real field F), one can associated a two dimensional continuous Galois representation ρ_f (into $GL_2(K)$ for some finite extension K over \mathbb{Q}_p). When f is ordinary, ρ_f is nearly ordinary at p . Conversely, assume the residue representation of a given representation ρ is modular and nearly ordinary, and assume it satisfies a technical distinguishedness condition, the representation can be proved to be modular by Taylor-Wiles [23], Fujiwara [4] and Skinner-Wiles [25]. The main idea is to study certain types of deformation problems, and identify the universal deformation ring to the localization of the Hecke algebra at the maximal ideal determined by f . This type of result is often called “ $R = T$ ” theorem.

When the Distinguishedness condition of a nearly ordinary representation fails, the deformation functor with the prescribed local conditions is no longer representable. This phenomena also appeared in the deformation of Barsotti-Tate representations studied by Kisin [17]. To make a representable functor, instead consider the deformations with prescribed local conditions, Kisin consider the functor associated to each ring a deformation of Barsotti-Tate representation, together with a basis lifting a fixed chosen basis of the residue representation. The basis eliminate automorphisms of the functor, and thus form a representable functor. As a result, Kisin proved certain framed version of the “ $R = T$ ” theorem, up to some finite torsion due to the Barsotti-Tate condition, which is enough to prove the modularity.

In this paper, we will consider the local condition that the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to the decomposition groups are scalars, so the distinguishedness condition fails. Inspired by Kisin’s work, we invent a deformation ring $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$, which represent the functor associated each ring A a deformation of the representation of a decomposition group into the Borel subgroup (upper triangular matrices) together with a basis, which is transformed to the standard basis by an element of the Borel subgroup. The reason we make such choice is the Schlessinger criterion, which ensure the functor is representable. Using these rings, we proved a framed version of the “ $R = T$ ” theorem in section 5 and the modularity assuming the residue representation is modular in Theorem 6.1. We then generalize this result to Hida’s family of Hilbert modular forms. As an application, we prove Hida’s conjecture that \mathcal{R}_K is a power series ring, where \mathcal{R}_K is the universal deformation ring representing the functor of deformations into representations over Artinian K -algebras. This result implies Hida’s conjectural formula [16] of the \mathcal{L} -invariant of the adjoint representation, in Corollary 8.4.

In section 2, we provide some well known facts on Hilbert modular forms. In section 3, we study the framed deformation (without local conditions) of Kisin [17], and calculate their tangential dimensions using Galois cohomology. The ring $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ and the deformations of the scalar representation of the decomposition group into Borel subgroups are studied in section 4, which is the most original part of the paper. Section 5 and 6 are the Taylor-Wiles system and the modularity. We generalize these result to Hida’s family in section 7 and obtained the \mathcal{L} -invariant in section 8.

Finally, I want to express my grateful to Professor Hida for all his patience, time and care for the past four years. Without his educations, suggestions, comments and encouragements, this work can not be

finished. I also want to thank Professor Qingchun Tian of Peking University for the conversation in the summer of 2007.

2. HILBERT MODULAR FORMS

Fix a totally real number field F of degree d . I is the set of embedding of $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Denote by \mathbb{A}_F its ring of adèles, which decompose into finite and infinite parts as $\mathbb{A}_F = \mathbb{A}_F^f \times \mathbb{A}_F^\infty$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with integer ring \mathcal{O}_E . Fix the embedding $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ once and for all.

As Hida in [8], we consider the following type of continuous ‘‘Neben’’ characters

$$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times, \varepsilon_+ : \mathbb{A}_F/F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times)$$

and the weights $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[I]^2$ such that $k_1 + k_2 = (n + 1) \cdot I$ for some integer n , $\varepsilon_+|_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^\times} = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2$ and $\varepsilon_+(x_\infty) = x^{-(k_1+k_2)+I}$. Let N be an integral ideal of \mathcal{O} and define the Γ_0 type congruence subgroup

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}) \mid c \in N\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \right\}$$

and the Γ_1 type congruence subgroup

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}) \mid a - 1, b, d - 1 \in N\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \right\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon^- = \varepsilon_2^{-1} \varepsilon_1$ and assume its conductor $\mathfrak{c}(\varepsilon^-) \supset N$, then the character

$$\varepsilon : \widehat{\Gamma}_0(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

defined by $\varepsilon \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \varepsilon_2(ad - bc)\varepsilon^-(a)$ is a continuous character of $\widehat{\Gamma}_0(N)$.

Under the above notation, define the automorphy factor of weight k as

$$J_k(g, z) = \det(g)^{k_1 - I} j(g, z)^{k_2 - k_1 + I} = \prod_{\sigma \in I} \det(g_\sigma)^{k_{1,\sigma}} (c_\sigma z_\sigma + d_\sigma)^{k_{2,\sigma} - k_{1,\sigma} + 1}$$

for $g = (g_\sigma) \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) = GL_2(\mathbb{R})^I$ and $z = (z_\sigma) \in \mathbb{H}^I$, where \mathbb{H}^I is the d folder upper half plain as usual. Define the Hilbert cusp form $S_k(N, \varepsilon; \mathbb{C})$ of weight k , level N and ‘‘Neben’’ type ε to be the functions f with the following three conditions:

(A1) For all $\alpha \in GL_2(F)$, $z \in Z(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $u \in \widehat{\Gamma}_0(N)C_{\mathbf{i}}$, where $C_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathbf{i} = (\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}, \dots, \sqrt{-1}) \in \mathbb{H}^I$ in $GL_2^+(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$, $f(\alpha x u z) = \varepsilon_+(z)\varepsilon(u_f)f(x)J_k(u_\infty, \mathbf{i})^{-1}$.

(A2) For each $z \in \mathbb{H}^I$, choose an element $u \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$, then the functions $f_g : \mathbb{H}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $f_g(z) = f(gu_\infty)J_k(u_\infty, \mathbf{i})$ are holomorphic for all $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

(A3) $\int_{\mathbb{A}_F/F} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x\right) du = 0$ for all $x \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$.

As usual, one can define the Hecke operators T_v and S_v for $v \nmid N$ and U_ℓ for $\ell \mid N$ acting on the finite dimensional complex vector space $S_k(N, \varepsilon; \mathbb{C})$. Let \mathcal{W} be the integer ring of some number field containing the values of $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_+$ and all the conjugates of \mathcal{O} in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. It's well known that there is a \mathcal{W} lattice $S_k(N, \varepsilon; \mathcal{W}) \subset S_k(N, \varepsilon; \mathbb{C})$ stable under the action of the Hecke operators mentioned above such that

$$S_k(N, \varepsilon; \mathcal{W}) \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} \mathbb{C} = S_k(N, \varepsilon; \mathbb{C}).$$

For each \mathcal{W} -algebra A , define $S_k(N, \varepsilon; A) = S_k(N, \varepsilon; \mathcal{W}) \otimes_{\mathcal{W}} A$. Define $h_k(N, \varepsilon; A)$ to be the A -subalgebra of $\text{End}_A(S_k(N, \varepsilon; A))$ generated by the operators T_v for $v \nmid N$ and $U_\ell \mid N$. Then there are isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_A(S_k(N, \varepsilon; A), A) \cong h_k(N, \varepsilon; A)$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_A(h_k(N, \varepsilon; A), A) \cong S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)$$

given by the perfect pairing $(,) : h_k(N, \varepsilon; A) \times S_k(N, \varepsilon; A) \rightarrow A$ such that $(h, f) = a(1, f/h)$ for $a(1, f)$ the first coefficient in the q -expansion of f .

Let W be the completion of \mathcal{W} at a prime above p , so W is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k of characteristic p . The following theorem is well known and should be attributed to many people including Shimura, Deligne, Serre, Wiles, Blasius, Rogawski and Taylor. The version we present here is partially adopted from Hida's book [8].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $k_2 - k_1 + I \geq 2I$. Let P be a prime ideal of $h = h_k(N, \varepsilon; W)$ and assume that the characteristic of the fraction field of h/P is different from 2. Then, there is a continuous semisimple Galois representation $\rho_P : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(h/P)$ unramified outside pN such that*

(1). $\text{tr}(\rho_P)(\text{Frob}_\ell) = T_\ell$ for all prime ideals $\ell \nmid pN$ and $\det(\rho_P) = \varepsilon_+ \mathcal{N}^n$ for the p -adic cyclotomic character \mathcal{N} .

(2). Let m be the unique maximal ideal containing P and assume that $T_{\mathbf{p}} \notin m$ for all primes $\mathbf{p}|p$, then we have $\rho_P|_{D_{\mathbf{p}}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} & * \\ 0 & \delta_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}$ for the restriction of the representation ρ_P at the decomposition group D_{ρ_P} . Moreover, $\delta_{\mathbf{p}}([\varpi_{\mathbf{p}}, F_{\mathbf{p}}]) = U_{\mathbf{p}}(\varpi_{\mathbf{p}})$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{p}}([u, F_{\mathbf{p}}]) = \varepsilon_{1, \mathbf{p}}(u)u^{-k_{1, \mathbf{p}}}$ for $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}^\times$.

(3). Write $N = N_0 \mathfrak{c}(\varepsilon^-)$ and suppose that N_0 is square free and prime to \mathfrak{c} . If ℓ is a prime factor of N_0 which prime to p and $\ell^2 \nmid N$, then $\rho_P|_{D_\ell} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_\ell & * \\ 0 & \delta_\ell \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\delta_\ell([\varpi_\ell, F_\ell]) = U_\ell$ and $\delta_\ell([u, F_\ell]) = \varepsilon_{1, \ell}(u)$ for $u \in \mathcal{O}_\ell^\times$.

Here in the statement (2) of the above theorem, the symbol $u^{-k_{1, \mathbf{p}}}$ denote the product $\prod_{\sigma \in I_{\mathbf{p}}} \sigma(u)^{-k_{1, \sigma}}$ where $I_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the subset of I consisting of the embedding $\sigma : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ which give rise to the completion $F_{\mathbf{p}}$. Since we choose $W[\frac{1}{p}]$ contains all the image of the embedding of \mathcal{O}_F , we may regard the above embedding actually into $W[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Let m be a maximal ideal of h , then the localization h_m is a direct summand of h . The tensor product $S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)_m = S_k(N, \varepsilon; A) \otimes_h h_m$ for a W -algebra A is then a direct summand of $S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)$ and can be identified with $\text{Hom}_A(h_m \otimes_W A, A)$.

If the representation $\overline{\rho} = \rho_m$ constructed as above with the extra property that $\overline{\varepsilon}_\ell \neq \overline{\delta}_\ell$, we call this condition (ds) at ℓ . From now on, we assume the square free hypothesis in (3) of the above theorem.

Let x be a prime ideal of F such that $x \nmid pN$ and write ϖ_x the uniformizer of x . Consider the map

$$i_x : S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)^2 \rightarrow S_k(Nx, \varepsilon; A)$$

sends $(f_1, f_2) \in S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)^2$ to $f_1 + f_2[\eta_x]$ for $\eta_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_x \end{pmatrix}$. We denote $S_k(Nx, \varepsilon; A)_m^{\text{old}}$ the image of $S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)_m^2$ under the map i_x and denote $h_m^{\text{old}} \subset \text{End}_A(S_k(Nx, \varepsilon; A)_m^{\text{old}})$ generated by all the Hecke operators.

Lemma 2.2. *Define a linear operator U acting on $S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)^2$ by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} T_x & -1 \\ \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ multiplication on the right of $(f_1, f_2) \in S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)^2$. Then we have $i_x \circ U = U_x \circ i_x$. Furthermore, if the residue representation $\overline{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(h/m)$ associate to the maximal ideal m of the Hecke algebra $h = h_k(N, \varepsilon; W)$ restrict to the decomposition group D_x satisfies the (ds) condition, then there is an isomorphism*

$$h_m^{\text{old}} \cong h_m[X]/(X^2 - T_x X + \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n) \cong h_m^2.$$

Proof.

$$f|T_x = [\eta_x](f) + \sum_{a \bmod x} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \eta_x^t \right](f) = [\eta_x](f) + U_x(f).$$

which means that on $S_k(Nx, \varepsilon; A)_m^{\text{old}}$, we have $T_x = U_x + [\eta_x]$. On the other hand, $[\eta_x^t \eta_x] = [\varpi_x]$, so $U_x \circ [\eta_x] = \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n$ on $S_k(Nx, \varepsilon; A)_m^{\text{old}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} U_x i_x((f_1, f_2)) &= U_x(f_1 + [\eta_x]f_2) = T_x f_1 - [\eta_x]f_1 + \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n f_2 \\ &= i_x((f_1, f_2)) \begin{pmatrix} T_x & -1 \\ \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i_x((f_1, f_2)U) \end{aligned}$$

for $(f_1, f_2) \in S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)_m^2$. The determinant $\det(U) = \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n \in W^\times$ is invertible, which implies that i_x is an isomorphism. U_x is invertible in h_m^{old} and $T_x = U_x + \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n U_x^{-1} \in h_m^{old}$, thus $h_m^{old} = h_m[U_x] \cong h_m[Y]/(Y^2 - T_x Y + \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n)$. If further assume $\bar{\rho}$ satisfies (ds) condition at x , the equation $Y^2 - T_x Y + \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n = 0$ has two distinct roots modulo m , so has two distinct roots by Hensel lemma, which implies $h_m[Y]/(Y^2 - T_x Y + \varepsilon_+(\varpi_x)N(x)^n) \cong h_m^2$ as algebras. \square

Let Q be a finite set of finite primes of F such that $\bar{\rho}$ satisfies (ds) at v and $N(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $v \in Q$. Let NQ be the ideal $N \prod_{v \in Q} (\varpi_v)$ and let $\widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(Q) = \prod_{v \in Q} \widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(\varpi_v)$. For each $v \in Q$, choose a solution α_v of the equation $Y^2 - T_v Y + \varepsilon_+(\varpi_v)N(x)^n = 0$. We thus has a maximal ideal m_Q of $h_k(NQ, \varepsilon; A)$ in the old part generated by $\pi, T_x - \text{tr} \bar{\rho}(Frob_x)$ for $x \nmid pNQ$ and $U_v - \alpha_v$ for $v \in Q$. The above lemma implies $h_k(NQ, \varepsilon; A)_{m_Q} \cong h_k(N, \varepsilon; A)_m$.

For each $v \in Q$, let Δ_v be the maximal p -power quotient of $(\mathcal{O}_v/\varpi_v \mathcal{O}_v)^\times$, and let $\Delta = \prod_{v \in Q} \Delta_v$. Define U_v to be the kernel of the projection $(\mathcal{O}_v/\varpi_v \mathcal{O}_v)^\times \rightarrow \Delta_v$, i.e., U_v consists of elements in $(\mathcal{O}_v/\varpi_v \mathcal{O}_v)^\times$ with order prime to p . Denote by $S_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; A)$ the forms in $S_k(\widehat{\Gamma}_0(N) \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(Q), \varepsilon; A)$ fixed by the action of $\prod_{v \in Q} U_v$. Let $h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; A)$ be the elements in $\text{End}_A(S_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; A))$ generated by Hecke operators. $h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; W)$ is then a $W[\Delta]$ -algebra and $h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; W)/(\delta - 1, \delta \in \Delta) \cong h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; W)$. Let \mathfrak{m}_Q be the inverse image of m_Q under the projection $h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; W) \rightarrow h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; W)$, so \mathfrak{m}_Q is a maximal ideal of $h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; W)$.

Lemma 2.3. *The group Δ acting on $S_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; A)_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$ induces an isomorphism between the invariants of the action, and the space $S_k(N, \varepsilon; A)_m$. The localization $h_k(N_Q, \varepsilon; W)_{\mathfrak{m}_Q}$ is a $W[\Delta]$ -algebra, free of finite rank over $W[\Delta]$.*

For a proof of this lemma, see Hida [8] section 3.2.3.

3. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY

Fix a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p with integer ring W , uniformiser π_K and residue field k . Denote CNL_W the category of complete noetherian local W -algebras with residue field k . Let S be a finite set of primes of F containing all the primes dividing p . Denote the Galois group of the maximal extension of F unramified outside S by $G_{F,S}$. Choose a decomposition group G_{F_v} for each $v \in S$ once and for all. Let $\psi : (\mathbb{A}_F^f)^\times / F^\times \rightarrow (W)^\times$ be a continuous character unramified outside S , and we regard it as a Galois character via class field theory

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Gal}(\overline{F}^{ab}/F) \simeq (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})^{\times,+} \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_F^f)^\times / F^\times.$$

Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(k)$ be a continuous representation on a two dimensional k -vector space V . After possibly replacing k by a quadratic extension, we may and do assume that k contains all the eigenvalues of the image of $\bar{\rho}$.

We will consider the framed deformations of representations for both the decomposition groups and the global Galois group $G_{F,S}$. For each prime \mathfrak{p}_i of F dividing p , we choose a basis β_k^i of the Galois module k^2 , and consider the functor from the category CNL_W to the category of sets, sending a W -algebra A with residue field k , to the set of equivalence classes of the pairs (ρ_A, β_A) , where $\rho_A : G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ is a deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}}$ and β_A becomes β_k^i via the isomorphism $A^2 \otimes_A A/m_A \simeq k^2$. We may regard β_A as a two by two matrix with entries in A which, after modulo the maximal ideal m_A , became the matrix β_k^i in $M_2(k)$. Two such pairs (ρ_A, β_A) and (ρ'_A, β'_A) are equivalent, if there exist a matrix $T \in GL_2(A)$ such that $T \equiv \text{id} \pmod{m_A}$ and $T(\rho_A)T^{-1} = \rho'_A$, $T\beta_A = \beta'_A$. This functor is representable by an W -algebra in CNL_W , which we denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^\square$.

In the special case when $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}}$ is a scalar, this functor has another description. The set $\text{Spec} \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^\square(A)$ can also be regarded as $\{(\rho_A, \beta_A)\}/\sim$ for $\rho_A : G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ a lifting of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}}$ and β_A an arbitrary basis of

the rank two module A^2 (not necessary a lifting of β_k^i), while $(\rho_A, \beta_A) \sim (\rho'_A, \beta'_A)$ if there exist a matrix $T \in GL_2(A)$ (not necessary a lifting of the identity matrix) such that $T(\rho_A)T^{-1} = \rho'_A$ and $T\beta_A = \beta'_A$. One can check these two descriptions of the set $\text{Spec}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}_i}^\square(A)$ are the same, if the representation $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}}$ is a scalar. Both these two descriptions are need.

Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\square, \psi}$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^\square$ corresponding to the deformations with fixed determinant ψ , and write $\mathcal{R}_p^\square = \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{p}|p} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^\square$ and $\mathcal{R}_p^{\square, \psi} = \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{p}|p} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\square, \psi}$.

Next we consider the functor from the category CNL_W to the category of sets sending A to the set of equivalence classes of the pairs $(\rho_A, \beta_A|_{\mathbf{p}_i|p})$, consists of a deformation $\rho_A : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ of $\bar{\rho}$, and an A -basis β_A^i lifting the chosen basis β_k^i for each $\mathbf{p}_i|p$. Two pairs $(\rho_A, \beta_A^i|_{\mathbf{p}_i|p})$ and $(\rho'_A, \beta_A'^i|_{\mathbf{p}_i|p})$ are equivalent, if there exist a matrix $T \in GL_2(A)$ such that $T \equiv \text{id} \pmod{m_A}$, $T(\rho_A)T^{-1} = \rho'_A$ and $T\beta_A^i = \beta_A'^i$ for every $\mathbf{p}_i|p$. This functor is representable by some W -algebra $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^\square$. If $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible, the universal (non-framed) deformation functor is also representable by a W -algebra $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}$. Similarly, write $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^{\square, \psi}$ for the quotient of $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^\square$ corresponding to deformations with fixed determinant ψ .

Denote by Σ the places \mathbf{p} above p and write $r = |\Sigma|$. For any representation (ρ, V) of a group $G_{F,S}$ (resp. G_v), over a rank two free module V over some ring A , denote $ad(\rho)$ (resp. $ad^0(\rho)$) or $ad(V)$ (resp. $ad^0(V)$) the adjoint action of G on $\text{End}(V)$ (resp. the trace zero elements in $\text{End}(V)$). We have the following relation between the rings $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^\square$ and $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible. The morphism $\mathcal{R}_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{F,S}^\square$ is of relative dimension $j = 4|\Sigma| - 1 = 4r - 1$. The ring $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^\square$ can be identified to a power series ring $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}[[w_1, \dots, w_j]]$.*

Proof. Since we assume that the residue representation $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible, we have the tangent dimension

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{R}_{F,S}/(\pi_K)\mathcal{R}_{F,S}) &= \dim H^1(G_{F,S}, ad(\bar{\rho})) - \dim H^0(G_{F,S}, ad(\bar{\rho})) \\ &= \dim H^1(G_{F,S}, ad(\bar{\rho})) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

These are computed in the following way. Each deformation is regarded as $\rho : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(A)$, determined by a matrix valued function $A(g)$ of the Galois group $G_{F,S}$. Let $A(g) = A_0(g) + \varepsilon A_1(g)$, where $A_0(g)$ is the representation $\bar{\rho}$. Then $A_1(g)A_0(g)^{-1}$ is a cocycle in the module $ad(\bar{\rho})$. The deformation is trivial, if there is a matrix $T = T_0 + \varepsilon T_1$ such that $TA(g)T^{-1} = A_0(g)$, ie, $T_0 \in H^0(G_{F,S}, ad(\rho_0))$ and $T_1T_0^{-1}$ is a coboundary.

For the framed deformation, two pairs $(\rho_1, \beta_1^1, \dots, \beta_r^1)$ and $(\rho_2, \beta_1^2, \dots, \beta_r^2)$ are equivalent, if there is some T as above, such that $T\rho_1(g)T^{-1} = \rho_2(g)$ and $T\beta_i^1 = \beta_i^2$. Now assume we have a framed deformation $(\rho_A, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$, we have a morphism $R_{F,S} \rightarrow A$ such that the composition $G \rightarrow GL_2(R_{F,S}) \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ is equivalent to the given ρ_A , say they are up to conjugation by T . Replace the original pair $(\rho_A, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ by $(T\rho_A T^{-1}, T\beta_1, \dots, T\beta_r)$. Then there is no way to change the ρ_A . However, a multiplication by a scalar matrix T won't change the equivalence class of the framed deformation pairs, and this is the only way to get an equivalent pair while preserving the shape of the representation. Thus, we may also fix one of the coordinate of the frames, say the right bottom corner of β_r have value 1. Then we can see that the $R_{F,S}[[w_1, \dots, w_j]]$ has the universal property and the uniqueness, while the r pairs of basis are given by $\beta_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + w_{4i-3} & w_{4i-2} \\ w_{4i-1} & 1 + w_{4i} \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where the last one $w_{4r} = 0$ as we fixed. \square

Restrict the universal representation $G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^{\square, \psi})$ to the decomposition groups, $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^{\square, \psi}$ become an algebra over $\mathcal{R}_p^{\square, \psi}$ by the universality. Both of these two rings describe deformations without any local conditions. To encode the local description, later we will consider certain quotients of these rings. The following lemma of Kisin [17] described the relative tangential dimensions of these two rings in terms of Galois cohomology.

Lemma 3.2. *(Kisin) Let $\delta_v = \dim_k H^0(G_{F_v}, ad(\bar{\rho}))$ for $v|p$ and $\delta_F = \dim_k H^0(G_{F,S}, ad(\bar{\rho}))$. Define*

$$H_\Sigma^1(G_{F,S}, ad^0(\bar{\rho})) = \ker(\theta^1 : H^1(G_{F,S}, ad^0(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow \prod_{v|p} H^1(G_{F_v}, ad^0(\bar{\rho}))).$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^{\square,\psi}$ is a quotient of a power series ring over $\mathcal{R}_p^{\square,\psi}$ in $g = \dim H_{\Sigma}^1(G_{F,S}, ad^0(\bar{\rho})) + \sum_{v|p} \delta_v - \delta_F$ variables.

From now on, we will make the following assumptions.

- (1) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is scalar for each $v|p$.
- (2) $\bar{\rho}$ has odd determinant and the restriction to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ is absolutely irreducible.
- (3) $p \geq 5$. If $p = 5$ and $\bar{\rho}$ has projective image isomorphic to $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, then $[F(\zeta_p) : F] = 4$.
- (4) For every $v \in S \setminus \Sigma$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad (1 - N(v))[(1 + N(v))^2 \det \bar{\rho}(Frob_v) - N(v)(\text{tr} \bar{\rho}(Frob_v))^2] \in k^\times.$$

Here $Frob_v$ means the arithmetic Frobenius at v . The scalar condition (1) is the deformation problems we want to discuss in this paper. This type of deformation problems are not covered by Fujiwara [4] and Skinner-Wiles [25], because the deformation functor is no longer representable if the restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to decomposition groups are scalar. This is the main problem we will solve in this paper by inventing a new type of framed deformation functor. Assumptions (2) and (3) are standard requirements for the Taylor-Wiles argument. (4) is to simplify the argument of bad primes outside p , which may be removed if one use Fujiwara's more general argument.

The Taylor-Wiles argument needs to enlarge the set of ramification primes. The relevant result here we quote Kisin's version in [17] section 3.

Proposition 3.3. (*Kisin*) Write $g = \dim_k H^1(G_{F,S}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) - [F : Q] + |\Sigma| - 1$. For each positive integer n , there exist a finite set of primes Q_n of F , disjoint from S , such that

- (1) For each $v \in Q_n$, $N(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ and $\bar{\rho}(Frob_v)$ has distinct eigenvalues.
- (2) $|Q_n| = \dim_k H^1(G_{F,S}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$.
- (3) Let $S_{Q_n} = S \cup Q_n$, then $\mathcal{R}_{F,S_{Q_n}}^{\square,\psi}$ is topologically generated over $\mathcal{R}_p^{\square,\psi}$ by g elements.

For each n , fix a set of primes Q_n and denote by Δ_{Q_n} the Sylow p -subgroup of $(\mathcal{O} / \prod_{v \in Q_n} \varpi_v \mathcal{O})^\times = \prod_{v \in Q_n} (\mathcal{O} / \varpi_v \mathcal{O})^\times$. For $v \in Q_n$, let $\xi_v \in \Delta_{Q_n}$ be the image of some fixed generator of $(\mathcal{O}_{F_v} / \varpi_v)^\times$. Write $h^1 = |Q_n| = \dim_k H^1(G_{F,S}, ad^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ and order the elements of Q_n as v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{h^1} . $W[\Delta_{Q_n}]$ becomes a quotient of $W[[y_1, \dots, y_{h^1}]]$ by mapping y_i to $\xi_{v_i} - 1$, say $W[\Delta_{Q_n}] \cong W[[y_1, \dots, y_{h^1}]]/b$ for some ideal $b \subseteq ((y_1 + 1)^{p^n} - 1, (y_2 + 1)^{p^n} - 1, \dots, (y_{h^1} + 1)^{p^n} - 1)$. As explained in [2], the ring $\mathcal{R}_{F,S_{Q_n}}$ is a $W[\Delta_{Q_n}]$ -algebra, and $\mathcal{R}_{F,S} \cong \mathcal{R}_{F,S_{Q_n}} / (y_1 - 1, y_2 - 1, \dots, y_{h^1} - 1)$ via the above isomorphism.

4. FRAMED DEFORMATION RINGS WITH LOCAL CONDITIONS

Recall in section 2, if we take the set S to be the places divide the ideal Np , there is a continuous Galois representation

$$\rho_m : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(h_k(N, \varepsilon, W)_m)$$

such that for each place $v \notin S$, the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_m(Frob_v)$ is given by $X^2 - T_v X + \varepsilon_+ \mathcal{N}(\varpi_v)^n$. We take the character $\psi = \varepsilon_+ \mathcal{N}^n$.

Denote by $\bar{\rho} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(k)$ the representation obtained by reducing ρ_m modulo m and we assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible. We further assume the ideal m is nearly ordinary at all the places $\mathfrak{p}|p$. Thus by theorem 2.1 in section 2,

$$\rho_m|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} & * \\ 0 & \delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = U_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}([u, F_{\mathfrak{p}}]) = \varepsilon_{1,\mathfrak{p}} u^{-k_{1,\mathfrak{p}}}$.

When the reduction $\bar{\rho}$ satisfies the distinguishedness condition, i.e. $\bar{\epsilon}_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \bar{\delta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the usual (non-framed) deformation functor is representable, and the lifting problem has been studied by many authors, cf Wiles [28], Taylor-Wiles [23], Fujiwara [4] and Skinner-Wiles [25]. In this paper, we focus on the worst situation that

$$\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_{\mathfrak{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\bar{\chi}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bar{\epsilon}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bar{\delta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, in which case the usual deformation functor is no longer representable.

In order to form a deformation ring describe these local conditions, we choose a basis β_k^i of the Galois module k^2 for each $\mathfrak{p}_i|p$ as in section 3. Consider the functor $F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ from the category CLN_W to the category of sets, such that $F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\Delta, \psi, s}(A)$ is the set of equivalence classes of the pairs (ρ_A, β_A) consisting of deformations $\rho : G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}}$ with fixed determinant ψ , and an A -basis β_A lifting the chosen k -basis β_k^i , under which $\rho|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}}$ is given by $\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{1, \mathfrak{p}_i} & * \\ 0 & \chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}_i} \end{pmatrix}$ for some characters χ_{1, \mathfrak{p}_i} and χ_{2, \mathfrak{p}_i} of $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$ such that $\chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}_i}|_{I_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. In other words, the functor we considered is a framed deformation in a chosen Borel subgroup of GL_2 .

Two pairs (ρ, β_A) and (ρ', β'_A) are equivalent, if there exist an upper triangular matrix $T \equiv \text{id}_2 \pmod{m_A}$, such that $T\rho T^{-1} = \rho'$ and $T\beta_A = \beta'_A$. This functor $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ is representable by checking Schlessinger criteria. Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ the W -algebra representing this functor. The ring $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\square, \psi}$. We define $\mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta, \psi, s} = \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$.

The functor $F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ also has another description. The set $F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\Delta, \psi, s}(A)$ can be regarded as the collection $\{(\rho_A, \beta_A)\} / \sim$ where β_A is an arbitrary basis of the free module A^2 (not necessary lifting the chosen β_k^i), and ρ_A is a deformation lifting $\bar{\rho}$ such that it's of the shape $\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{1, \mathfrak{p}_i} & * \\ 0 & \chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}_i} \end{pmatrix}$ under the basis β_A , for some characters χ_{1, \mathfrak{p}_i} and χ_{2, \mathfrak{p}_i} of $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$ such that $\chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}_i}|_{I_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. To pairs $(\rho_A, \beta_A) \sim (\rho'_A, \beta'_A)$, if there exist a matrix $T \in GL_2(A)$ (not necessarily a lifting of identity) such that $T\rho_A T^{-1} = \rho'_A$.

Proposition 4.1. $\dim \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s} / m_W \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s} = 1 + \dim \text{Hom}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}, k) = 2 + [F_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Proof. The above dimension is equal to $\dim F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}(k[\varepsilon])$, where $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. The restriction of $\chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}}$ on the inertia subgroup $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is fixed, only the image of Frobenius element has one dimensional deformation. The determinant of ρ is fixed, which implies $\chi_{1, \mathfrak{p}} = \psi \chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$ is uniquely determined by $\chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}}$. For fixed $\chi_{1, \mathfrak{p}}$ and $\chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}}$, a deformation is given by an extension of $\chi_{2, \mathfrak{p}}$ by $\chi_{1, \mathfrak{p}}$, ie, the vector space $H^1(G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}, k) = \text{Hom}(G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}, k)$, which is of dimension $[F_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$. The allowed ways of choosing basis are precisely the equivalence relation \sim , thus, they have no more contribution. \square

Write $T = h_k(N, \varepsilon, W)_m$ and write ρ_T for ρ_m . Take a basis β_T^i of T^2 for each for each $\mathfrak{p}|p$, under which $\rho_T|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ is upper triangular. Then we can consider the framed deformation of the pair $(\bar{\rho}, \beta_k^i)_{i=1,2,\dots,r}$, where we fix the basis β_k^i to be β_T^i modulo m_T . Choose a basis β^i of $(\mathcal{R}_{F,S})^2$ which lifting β_T^i via the surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{F,S} \rightarrow T$ by the universality. We normalize the isomorphism

$$(4.1) \quad R_{F,S}^{\square} \simeq R_{F,S}[[w_1, \dots, w_{4j-1}]]$$

such that for each ring A in the category CLN_W and a homomorphism of W -algebra $\iota : R_{F,S}^{\square} \rightarrow A$, the corresponding element in the set $\text{Spec} R_{F,S}^{\square}(A)$ is $(\iota \circ \rho_{R_{F,S}}, \iota \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 + w_{4i-3} & w_{4i-2} \\ w_{4i-1} & w_{4i} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \beta^i \right))_{i=1,2,\dots,r}$, where again we agree on that $w_{4r} = 0$ as in the proof of proposition 3.1.

Define $T^{\square} = T \widehat{\otimes}_{R_{F,S}} R_{F,S}^{\square}$, which is isomorphic to a power series ring over T . The representation ρ_T gives a surjective homomorphism of W -algebra $\theta : \mathcal{R}_{F,S} \rightarrow T$, hence a surjective homomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{R}_{F,S}^{\square} \rightarrow T^{\square}$. The basis determined by the mapping θ is thus given by

$$\beta_{T^{\square}}^i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + w_{4i-3} & w_{4i-2} \\ w_{4i-1} & 1 + w_{4i} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \beta_T^i$$

for each prime \mathfrak{p}_i . Write $\rho_{T^{\square}}$ for the composition of ρ_T and the natural inclusion $T \rightarrow T^{\square}$. The pair $(\rho_{T^{\square}}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}}, \beta_{T^{\square}}^i)$ is not in the set $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s}(T^{\square})$, since there is a lower left corner in the basis $\beta_{T^{\square}}^i$. Define a quotient T^{Δ} of T^{\square} by $T^{\square} / (w_{4i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, j)$ via the isomorphism in proposition 3.1, which is also the ring removed the variables w_{4i-1} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. The projection T^{\square} to T^{Δ} push the pair $(\rho_{T^{\square}}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}}, \beta_{T^{\square}}^i)$ to the pair $(\rho_{T^{\Delta}}|_{G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}}, \beta_{T^{\Delta}}^i)$ where the representation $\rho_{T^{\Delta}}$ is again the composition of ρ_T and the natural inclusion $T \rightarrow T^{\Delta}$ while $\beta_{T^{\Delta}}^i$, as the image of $\beta_{T^{\square}}^i$, now becomes $\begin{pmatrix} 1 + w_{4i-3} & w_{4i-2} \\ 0 & 1 + w_{4i} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \beta^i$

since $w_{4i-1} = 0$ in the ring T^Δ . By the universality, the composition of the maps $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}^\square \rightarrow T^\square \rightarrow T^\Delta$ then factors through the tensor product $\mathcal{R}^\Delta = \mathcal{R}_{F,S}^{\square,\psi} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}_p^{\square,\psi}} \mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta,\psi,s}$, which we denoted again by θ . The map $\mathcal{R}_{F,S} \rightarrow T$ is surjective, which implies that the map $\mathcal{R}^\Delta \rightarrow T^\Delta$ is also surjective.

Similarly, replace S by S_{Q_n} , we can define \mathcal{R}_n^Δ to be the tensor product $\mathcal{R}_{F,S_{Q_n}}^{\square,\psi} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}_p^{\square,\psi}} \mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta,\psi,s}$. Take $Q = Q_n$ in section 2, write $T_n = S_k(N_{Q_n}, \varepsilon, W)_{\mathfrak{m}_{Q_n}}$, $T_n^\square = T_n \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}_{F,S_{Q_n}}} \mathcal{R}_{F,S_{Q_n}}^\square$ and $T_n^\Delta = T_n^\square / (w_{4i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, j)$, then there is a surjection $R_n^\Delta \rightarrow T_n^\Delta$ of $W[[y_1, \dots, y_{h_1}]]$ algebras.

5. PATCHING

In the section we present a patching criterion of the Taylor-Wiles system after Fujiwara and Kisin. We slightly modified Hida's simplified proof [13] of this proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let B be a complete local noetherian W -algebra generated by d elements in the maximal ideal over W , i.e. B is a quotient of the power series ring $W[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]]$. $\theta : R \rightarrow T$ is a surjective homomorphism of B -algebras. h and j are two fixed non-negative integers. Assume for each positive integer n , there exist two rings R_n and T_n fitting into a commutative diagram of W -algebras:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B[[x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]] & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & R_n & \xrightarrow{\theta_n} & T_n \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & R & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T \end{array}$$

which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) The above diagram consists of surjective B -algebra homomorphisms.
 - (2) R_n is a $\Lambda = W[[y_1, \dots, y_h, t_1, \dots, t_j]]$ -algebra, and $(y_1, \dots, y_h)R_n = \ker(R_n \rightarrow R), (y_1, \dots, y_h)T_n = \ker(T_n \rightarrow T)$.
 - (3) The kernel $\mathfrak{B}_n = \ker(W[[y_1, \dots, y_h, t_1, \dots, t_j]] \rightarrow T_n)$ is contained in the ideal $((1 + y_1)^{p^n} - 1, \dots, (1 + y_h)^{p^n} - 1)$, and T_n is finite free over Λ/\mathfrak{B}_n . In particular, T is finite free over $\Lambda_f := W[[t_1, \dots, t_j]]$.
- Then, θ is an isomorphism and $B \cong W[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]]$.

Proof. Write $s = \text{rank}_{\Lambda_f} T = \text{rank}_{\Lambda/\mathfrak{B}_n} T_n$ and $r_n = sn(h + j)p^n$. Consider the ideal

$$\mathfrak{c}_n = (m_W)^n + ((1 + y_1)^{p^n} - 1, \dots, (1 + y_h)^{p^n} - 1, t_1, \dots, t_j)$$

of Λ . As the residue field k of W is finite of q elements, we have $|T_{n'}/\mathfrak{c}_n T_{n'}| = |T_n/\mathfrak{c}_n T_n| = q^{r_n}$ for all $n' \geq n$ and so $\text{length}_{R_n} T_n/\mathfrak{c}_n T_n < r_n$. Hence $m_{R_n}^{r_n} T_n/\mathfrak{c}_n T_n = 0$ and the composition $\theta : R_{n'} \rightarrow T_{n'} \rightarrow T_{n'}/\mathfrak{c}_n T_{n'}$ factors through the homomorphism $\theta : R_{n'}/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_{R_{n'}}^{r_n}) \rightarrow T_{n'}/\mathfrak{c}_n T_{n'}$. In particular, $\theta : R \rightarrow T \rightarrow T/\mathfrak{c}_n T$ factors through the homomorphism $\theta : R/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_R^{r_n}) \rightarrow T/\mathfrak{c}_n T$

Call (D, A) a patching datum of level n , if there is a commutative diagram consisting of surjective homomorphism of B -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B[[x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]] & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & A \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & R/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_R^{r_n}) & \longrightarrow & T/\mathfrak{c}_n T \end{array}$$

and D is a Λ/\mathfrak{c}_n -algebra (thus also a Λ -algebra) with the property $m_D^{r_n} = 0$. Two patching datum (D, A) and (D', A') are isomorphic, if there exist isomorphisms $D \cong D'$ and $A \cong A'$ which commute with the two diagrams. For a given level n of patching datum, the order of D and so as well as A is bounded. Thus there are only finitely many patching datum of level n . On the other hand, the above argument provide a patching datum $\theta : R_{n'}/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_{R_{n'}}^{r_n}) \rightarrow T_{n'}/\mathfrak{c}_n T_{n'}$, for each integer $n' \geq n$. By Dirichlet's drawer principle, there is an infinite subset I of the natural numbers \mathbb{N} , such that for any two integers $n < n'$ in I , the patching datum $(\theta : R_{n'}/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_{R_{n'}}^{r_n}), T_{n'}/\mathfrak{c}_n T_{n'})$ is isomorphic to $(\theta : R_n/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_{R_n}^{r_n}), T_n/\mathfrak{c}_n T_n)$. Take a projective limit $R_\infty = \varprojlim_{n \in I} \theta : R_n/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_{R_n}^{r_n})$ and $T_\infty = \varprojlim_{n \in I} \theta : T_n/(\mathfrak{c}_n + m_{T_n}^{r_n})$, then we have

a commutative diagram of surjective morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B[[x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]] & \xrightarrow{\phi_\infty} & R_\infty & \xrightarrow{\theta_\infty} & T_\infty \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & R & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T \end{array}$$

R_∞ becomes a Λ -algebra and T_∞ is free of rank s over $\Lambda = \varprojlim_{n \in I} \Lambda / \beta_n \Lambda$. The Krull dimension of T_∞ is then equal to $h+j+1$, the Krull dimension of Λ . However, we have a chain of surjective homomorphisms

$$(5.1) \quad W[[z_1, \dots, z_d, x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]] \rightarrow B[[x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]] \rightarrow R_\infty \rightarrow T_\infty.$$

$\text{Spec}(T_\infty)$ becomes a closed sub scheme of $\text{Spec}(W[[z_1, \dots, z_d, x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]])$; it can't be a proper sub scheme since the latter has the same dimension $h+j+1$ as the former, so $T_\infty \cong W[[z_1, \dots, z_d, x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]]$ which forces all the arrows in (5.1) are isomorphisms. In particular, we have $B \cong W[[z_1, \dots, z_d]]$ by the fact that $W[[x_1, \dots, x_{h+j-d}]]$ is faithfully flat over W , $R_\infty \cong T_\infty$ and $R \cong R_\infty / (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_h) R_\infty \cong T_\infty / (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_h) T_\infty \cong T$. \square

In our case, $\mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ is a W -algebra of relative tangent dimension $d = \sum_{\mathbf{p}|p} (2 + [F_{\mathbf{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]) = 2|\Sigma| + [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. In other words, $\mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta, \psi, s}$ is a quotient of $W[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]]$. Apply this patching lemma to our setting that $B = \mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta, \psi, s}$, $R = \mathcal{R}^\Delta$, $R_n = \mathcal{R}_{Q_n}^\Delta$, $T = T^\Delta$ and $T_n = T_{Q_n}^\Delta$, we get the following

Theorem 5.2. $\mathcal{R}^\Delta = T^\Delta$.

One byproduct of this Taylor-Wiles argument is the following corollary, which seems not follow directly from the definition of the deformation ring.

Corollary 5.3. $\mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta, \psi, s} \cong W[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d]]$.

6. MODULARITY

Let f be a cuspidal Hilbert modular eigenform over F and let $E_{f, \lambda}$ be the λ completion of its coefficient field for some place $\lambda|p$. Denote by $O_{f, \lambda}$ the integer ring of $E_{f, \lambda}$, $\pi_{f, \lambda}$ the uniformiser and k the residue field. A Galois representation $\rho_{f, \lambda} : G_{F, S} \rightarrow GL_2(O_{f, \lambda})$ is attached to f , where S is a finite set of places containing the infinite places, the primes above p and the primes where f is ramified.

Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with integer ring O_E , uniformiser π and residue field k . If a representation $\rho : G_{F, S} \rightarrow GL_2(E)$ is equivalent to a some $\rho_{f, \lambda}$, then we call it modular. After conjugation, we may assume $\rho : G_{F, S} \rightarrow GL_2(O_E)$ and denote $\bar{\rho}$ the composition $G_{F, S} \rightarrow GL_2(O_E) \rightarrow GL_2(k)$. Under the assumption that $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible, then it's independent of the choice of the element we used to conjugate the image of ρ . Call ρ residually modular if $\bar{\rho} \sim \bar{\rho}_{f, \lambda}$ for some Hilbert eigenform f over F . We assume E is large enough that it contains all the conjugates of F and its residue field k contains all the eigenvalues of the images of $\bar{\rho}$.

To prove the modular lifting theorem, we need more descriptions on the shape of the restriction of ρ to the decomposition groups above p . Write $\beta_0 = (e_1, e_2)$ for the standard basis $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ of k^2 . Recall that in section 4 when we define the framed deformation rings, we have chosen the basis β_k^i of k^2 for each \mathbf{p}_i , according to the representation $\rho_T : G_{F, S} \rightarrow GL_2(T)$ into the Hecke algebra. A matrix $\bar{M}_i \in GL_2(k)$ is uniquely determined by $\bar{M}_i \cdot \beta_0 = \beta_k^i$ for each i .

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\rho : G_{F, S} \rightarrow GL_2(O_E)$ be a continuous representation with the following conditions hold*

(1) *The determinant of $\rho = \varepsilon_+ \mathcal{N}^n$ is odd for some finite order character ε_+ and positive integer n , where \mathcal{N} is the p -adic cyclotomic character.*

(2) *ρ is nearly ordinary at all place \mathbf{p} above p , $\rho|_{G_{\mathbf{p}_i}} = M_i \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_i} & * \\ 0 & \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \end{pmatrix} M_i^{-1}$ for some matrix $M_i \in GL_2(O)$ such that $M_i \equiv \bar{M}_i \pmod{(\pi)}$, where $\delta_{\mathbf{p}}([u, F_{\mathbf{p}}]) = \varepsilon_{1, \mathbf{p}}(u) u^{-k_{1, \mathbf{p}}}$ for $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}^\times$, $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ and $k_2 = (n+1)I - k_1$ satisfied the condition $k_2 - k_1 + I \geq 2I$.*

(3) For every \mathbf{p} above p , there is a character $\overline{\chi}_{\mathbf{p}} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow k^\times$ such that $\overline{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{p}} = \overline{\delta}_{\mathbf{p}} = \overline{\chi}_{\mathbf{p}}$, and the residue representation $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbf{p}}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\chi}_{\mathbf{p}} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\chi}_{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}$.

(4) The restriction of $\overline{\rho}$ to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ is absolutely irreducible. If $p = 5$ and $\overline{\rho}$ has projective image isomorphic to $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, then $[F(\zeta_p) : F] = 4$.

(5) the representation of $GL_2(F)$ corresponding to $\rho|_{G_v}$ under local Langlands correspondence is not special at any prime $v \nmid p$.

If $\overline{\rho} \sim \overline{\rho}_f$ for some automorphic form f of weight $k = (k_1, k_2)$, then ρ is associated to an automorphic form of weight k , too.

Remark 6.1. The deformation problem characterized by the local conditions (2) and (3) is not covered by Fujiwara's work [4], which he assumes the distinguished condition on nearly ordinary primes. We make the additional description of the matrices M_i 's in (2), because under the situation (3), unlike the situation in [4] when (ds) holds, only assuming ρ lifting $\overline{\rho}$ is not enough to make sure that ρ is in the category parameterized by our (framed) deformation rings.

Remark 6.2. The condition (5) can be removed either by consider the minimal lifting problem at such v as Fujiwara[4], or by introducing automorphic forms on a suitable quaternion algebra as in Kisin[17]. Here we keep to assume it in order to make the argument shorter.

Remark 6.3. Under the circumstance $\rho|_{G_{\mathbf{p}_i}} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{\mathbf{p}_i} & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_{\mathbf{p}_i} \end{pmatrix}$ for all i , the hypothesis on the existence of M_i is automatic. The locally split representation is conjecturally to be CM by Greenberg, which is proved by Ghate and Hida [5] under the assumption $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and the Distinguishedness condition. Thus our deformation covered the (non-distinguished) CM case as an example.

Proof. Enlarge the coefficient field E and the ring W suitably so that we may assume $W = O_E$. We first prove the theorem assuming the following extra condition

(*) $f \in S_k(p, \varepsilon, W)$ for the level $N = p$ and the "Neben" $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_+)$.

Let m be the maximal ideal of $h_k(p, \varepsilon, W)$ associated to f . The local ring $T = h_k(p, \varepsilon, W)_m$ is in the nearly ordinary part of $h_k(p, \varepsilon, W)$ and thus reduced and generated by T_ℓ for $\ell \nmid p$. Take the set S of bad primes to be those above p and the character $\psi = \varepsilon_+ \omega^n$.

Associated to each \mathbf{p}_i the basis $\beta_E^i = M_i \cdot (e_1, e_2)$ of O_E^2 , where $e_1 = {}^t(1, 0)$ and $e_2 = {}^t(0, 1)$. The basis β_E^i congruent to the universal basis β^i in section 4, so the pair (ρ, β_E^i) gives a homomorphism

$$R_{F,S}^{\square, \psi} \rightarrow O_E$$

by the universality, which factor through the map

$$\mathcal{R}^\Delta = R_{F,S}^{\square, \psi} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}_i}^{\square, \psi}} \mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta, \psi, s} \rightarrow O_E,$$

since $\rho|_{G_{\mathbf{p}_i}}$ is upper triangular under the basis β_E^i . So we have a homomorphism

$$h_k(p, \varepsilon, W)_m = T \hookrightarrow T^\Delta \cong \mathcal{R}^\Delta \rightarrow W$$

which, by the duality of Hecke algebra and modular form, implies that ρ is modular.

In the general case, apply the base change technic developed by Skinner-Wiles [25], (see also (3.5) of [17]). As we assumed that ρ is non special for all primes ℓ outside p , the only possibilities for $\rho|_{G_\ell}$ are either principle or induced from a character of a quadratic extension of F . Both of these two cases will become unramified after a suitable base change to a totally real field F' . For the primes in the level above p , we can put any powers on them as the Hecke algebra is nearly ordinary, thus, this reduce to the case (*). The local descriptions (2) and (3) of $\overline{\rho}$ are obviously preserved under restriction to a subgroup. Then the above argument implies $\rho|_{G_{F'}}$ is modular, so ρ is modular by descent. \square

7. LOCALLY CYCLOTOMIC DEFORMATION

In this section, we will prove a family version of the lifting theorem. Assume the initial weight $k_0 = (0, I)$ in this section and we will write ε_0 for the inial "Neben" instead of using the letter ε . As

before, we again assume that $\rho : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(W)$ is a representation satisfies all the assumptions of theorem 6.1 for the weight $k = k_0$ and ‘‘Neben’’ $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$.

We consider a new local framed deformation functor $\Phi_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$ sending a ring A in the category CNL_W to the set of equivalence classes of pairs (ρ_A, β_A) consisting of a deformation $\rho_A : G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}} \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}}$ with fixed determinant ψ , and an A -basis β_A lifting the chosen β_k , under which ρ_A is given by $\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{1,\mathbf{p}} & * \\ 0 & \chi_{2,\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}$ for some characters $\chi_{1,\mathbf{p}}$ and $\chi_{2,\mathbf{p}}$ of $G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}$ such that the character $\chi_{2,\mathbf{p}}|_{I_{\mathbf{p}}}\varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$ factor through $\text{Gal}(F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur}(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur})$, where $F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur}$ is the maximal unramified extension of $F_{\mathbf{p}}$. Two pairs $(\rho_A, \beta_A) \sim (\rho'_A, \beta'_A)$ are equivalent, if there exist an upper triangular matrix $T \equiv \text{id}_2 \pmod{m_A}$, such that $T\rho_A T^{-1} = \rho'_A$ and $T\beta_A = \beta'_A$. Notice that the only difference between the above definition and the functor $F_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$ studied in section 4 is that in the latter functor, we require that $\chi_{2,\mathbf{p}}|_{I_{\mathbf{p}}}\varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$ is trivial (remember that we have fix the initial weight $k = k_0 = (0, I)$).

The tangent dimension of the local cyclotomic deformation functor $\Phi_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$ is equal to $3 + [F_{\mathbf{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, one more than that of $F_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$. Again by checking the Schlessinger criterions, $\Phi_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$ is also representable by some complete local noetherian W -algebra $\mathcal{R}_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$.

Write $\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$ for the p -Sylow subgroup of $\text{Gal}(F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur}(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur})$, which is embedded into $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ via the p -adic cyclotomic character. It is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p by choosing some generator $\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$. Let $\Gamma_F = \prod_{\mathbf{p}|p} \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$. We then have an isomorphism $W[[\Gamma_F]] \cong W[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r]]$ by sending $\gamma_{\mathbf{p}_i}$ to $1 + X_i$, where we ordered the primes \mathbf{p} 's as in section 3.

The universal representation $\rho_{cyc,\mathbf{p}} : G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{R}_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{1,\mathbf{p}} & * \\ 0 & \chi_{2,\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}$ with $\chi_{2,\mathbf{p}} \equiv \bar{\chi}_{\mathbf{p}}$ provide that $\chi_{2,\mathbf{p}}\varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$ factors through $\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$ and we get a $W[[\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}]]$ -algebra structure on $\mathcal{R}_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$ via the character $\chi_{2,\mathbf{p}}|_{I_{\mathbf{p}}}\varepsilon_{1,\mathbf{p}}^{-1} : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$. Define $\mathcal{R}_{p,cyc}^{\Delta,\psi,s} := \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{p}|p} \mathcal{R}_{cyc,\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta,\phi,s}$. $\mathcal{R}_{p,cyc}^{\Delta,\psi,s}$ is a $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -algebra and there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{cyc,p}^{\Delta,\phi,s}/(X_1, \dots, X_r) \cong \mathcal{R}_p^{\Delta,\phi,s}$.

Identify $\widehat{\Gamma}_0(p^s)/\widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(p^s)$ with $((\mathcal{O}/p^s\mathcal{O})^2)^\times$ by sending $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \widehat{\Gamma}_0(p^s)/\widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(p^s)$ to $(a, d) \in ((\mathcal{O}/p^s\mathcal{O})^2)^\times$. We have an isomorphism $(\mathcal{O}/p^s\mathcal{O})^\times \cong \prod_{\mathbf{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}/p^s\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}})^\times$ and the local norm maps $N_{\mathbf{p}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ form a surjective homomorphism $N_p = \prod_{\mathbf{p}|p} N_{\mathbf{p}} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}/p^s\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}})^\times \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbf{p}|p} N_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^\times$ for each integer $s > 0$. Let $\widehat{\Gamma}_{cyc}(p^s)$ be the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^f)$ such that $\widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(p^s) \subset \widehat{\Gamma}_{cyc}(p^s) \subset \widehat{\Gamma}_0(p^s)$ and

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{cyc}(p^s)/\widehat{\Gamma}_1^1(p^s) = \text{Ker}(N_p^2 : ((\mathcal{O}/p^s\mathcal{O})^2)^\times \rightarrow (\prod_{\mathbf{p}|p} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}/p^s\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}})^2)^\times).$$

Put $\widehat{\Gamma}_s = \widehat{\Gamma}_{cyc}(p^s) \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_0(N)$, then there is an inclusion $S_{k_0}(\widehat{\Gamma}_n, \varepsilon_0, W) \rightarrow S_{k_0}(\widehat{\Gamma}_m, \varepsilon_0, W)$ for each pair of positive integers $m > n$, which is compatible with the Hecke algebras action. Thus there is a surjective W -algebra homomorphism $S_{k_0}(\widehat{\Gamma}_m, \varepsilon_0, W) \rightarrow S_{k_0}(\widehat{\Gamma}_n, \varepsilon_0, W)$ by restriction, and we define the projective limit

$$\mathbf{h}_{cyc}^{n,ord}(N, \varepsilon_0; W[[\Gamma_F]]) := \varprojlim_n h_{k_0}^{n,ord}(\widehat{\Gamma}_n, \varepsilon_0; W),$$

where for any level group $\widehat{\Gamma}$ and ‘‘Neben’’ ε , the nearly ordinary Hecke algebra is defined by $h_{k_0}^{n,ord}(\widehat{\Gamma}, \varepsilon; W) := e_p h_{k_0}^{n,ord}(\widehat{\Gamma}, \varepsilon; W)$ for Hida’s idempotent

$$e_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{\mathbf{p}|p} U(\mathbf{p}) \right)^{n!}$$

where $U(\mathbf{p})$ is the Hecke operator normalized as [8] section 3.1.2, page 168.

It’s known that $h_{k_0}^{n,ord}(\widehat{\Gamma}, \varepsilon; W)$ is a torsion free $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -module of finite type ([8],theorem 3.53), so $\mathbf{h}_{cyc}^{n,ord}(N, \varepsilon; W[[\Gamma_F]])$ is a p -profinite semilocal ring, i.e, a direct sum of the localizations at its maximal ideals. Let f_0 be an Hecke eigen form in $S_{k_0}(Np, \varepsilon, W)$ such that the associated Galois representation ρ_{f_0} modulo m_W equal to $\bar{\rho}$. Let m be the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{h}_{cyc}^{n,ord}(N, \varepsilon; W[[\Gamma_F]])$ given by f_0 , and denote

by T_{cyc} the localization $\mathbf{h}_{cyc}^{n,ord}(N, \varepsilon; W[[\Gamma_F]])_m$. Then there is Galois representation ([8], Proposition 3.49)

$$\rho_{T_{cyc}} : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow GL_2(T_{cyc})$$

unramified outside pN with the following properties:

- (1) $\text{tr}(\rho_{T_{cyc}}(\text{Frob}_\ell)) = T_\ell$ for $\ell \nmid pN$.
- (2) $\det \rho_{T_{cyc}} = \varepsilon_+ \mathcal{N}$.

(3) For each $\mathbf{p}|p$, there is a basis $\beta_{T_{cyc}}^i$ of T_{cyc}^2 , such that the pair $(\rho_{T_{cyc}|G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}}, \beta_{T_{cyc}})$ is in $\Phi_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\Delta, \phi, s}(T_{cyc})$, where we take the character $\phi = \varepsilon_+ \mathcal{N}$.

Define $T_{cyc}^\Delta := T_{cyc}[[w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1}]]/(w_{4i-1}, i = 1, \dots, r)$ and define $\beta_{T_{cyc}^\Delta}^i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + w_{4i-3} & w_{4i-2} \\ 0 & 1 + w_{4i} \end{pmatrix}$. $\beta_{T_{cyc}^\Delta}^i$, then the pair $(\rho_{T_{cyc}^\Delta}, \beta_{T_{cyc}^\Delta}^i)_{i=1, \dots, r}$, where $\rho_{T_{cyc}^\Delta}$ is the composition of $\rho_{T_{cyc}}$ and the natural inclusion $T_{cyc} \hookrightarrow T_{cyc}^\Delta$, gives a surjective homomorphism

$$\pi : \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta = R_{F,S}^{\square, \psi} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\square, \psi}} \mathcal{R}_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\Delta, \psi, s} \rightarrow T_{cyc}^\Delta,$$

which we will prove to be an isomorphism in the following theorem.

Write (k, ε) for $k \in \mathbb{Z}[I]^2$ and ε an arbitrary pairs of weight and "Neben", such that $k_{i, \sigma} = k_{i, \sigma'}$ whenever both $\sigma, \sigma' \in I_{\mathbf{p}}$, which we will denote by $k_{i, \mathbf{p}}$. Define a prime ideal $P_{k, \varepsilon} \subset W[[\Gamma]]$ to be the ideal generated by $(\chi_{2, \mathbf{p}}(\gamma) - \omega(\gamma)^{-k_{1, \mathbf{p}}} \varepsilon_1(\gamma))$ for $\mathbf{p}|p$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$.

Theorem 7.1. *The surjective $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \rightarrow T_{cyc}^\Delta$ is an isomorphism. \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^Δ is free of finite rank over $W[[\Gamma_F]][[w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1}]]/(w_{4i-1}, i = 1, \dots, r)$. For any locally cyclotomic (k, ε) such that*

- (1) $k_2 - k_1 \geq I$,
- (2) $z_{\mathbf{p}}^{-k_{j, \mathbf{p}}} \varepsilon_j(z_{\mathbf{p}}) = z_{\mathbf{p}}^{-k_{0, j, \mathbf{p}}} \varepsilon_{0, j}(z_{\mathbf{p}})$,
- (3) $\varepsilon_+ = \varepsilon_{0, +}$ and $\varepsilon_j|_{\mathcal{O}_\ell^\times} = \varepsilon_{0, j}|_{\mathcal{O}_\ell^\times}$ for $j = 1, 2$,
- (4) $\mathfrak{c}(\varepsilon_{0, j})|\mathfrak{c}(\varepsilon_j)|p^\infty \mathfrak{c}(\varepsilon_{0, j})$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Then there is a unique local factor $T_{k, \varepsilon} \subset h_k^{n,ord}(N \cap \mathfrak{c}(\varepsilon^-), \varepsilon; W)$ such that we have the isomorphisms $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta / P_{k, \varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \cong T_{k, \varepsilon}^\Delta$ induced by π .

Proof. $\mathcal{R}_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\phi, \Delta, s} / P_{k, \varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\phi, \Delta, s}$ is the maximal quotient of $\mathcal{R}_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\phi, \Delta, s}$ on which $\delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ induces $[u, F_{\mathbf{p}}] \rightarrow N_{\mathbf{p}}^{-k_{1, \mathbf{p}}} \varepsilon_{1, \mathbf{p}}(u)$ for $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}}$. Thus $\mathcal{R}_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\phi, \Delta, s} / P_{k, \varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\phi, \Delta, s}$ is the universal framed deformation ring of $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}}$ for the deformations of type (k, ε) instead of (k_0, ε_0) . The previous proved proposition 5.1 states that $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta / P_{k_0, \varepsilon_0} \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \cong \mathcal{R}^\Delta \cong T^\Delta$. Write a for the rank of T over W , there is a surjection $W[[\Gamma_F]]^a \rightarrow T_{cyc}$ which induces $W^a \cong T$ by modulo P_{k_0, ε_0} by Nakayama's lemma. Since T_{cyc} is torsion free $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -module, the kernel must be trivial, and we have $T_{cyc} \cong W[[\Gamma_F]]^a$. As $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta / P_{k_0, \varepsilon_0} \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \cong T^\Delta$, \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^Δ is generated by at most a elements as a $W[[\Gamma_F]][[w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1}]]/(w_{4i-1}, i = 1, \dots, r)$ -module. In addition, \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^Δ surjectively cover the rank a free $W[[\Gamma_F]][[w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1}]]/(w_{4i-1}, i = 1, \dots, r)$ module T_{cyc}^Δ , we must have $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \cong T_{cyc}^\Delta$. \square

8. CHARACTERISTIC ZERO DEFORMATION AND \mathcal{L} -INVARIANT

There are many different ways to define \mathcal{L} -invariant, which is expected to measure the difference between p -adic L -function at an exceptional zero and the archimedean L -function. The \mathcal{L} -invariant of an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} is studied by Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum [19] and Greenberg-Stevens [7]. Hida studied the L -invariants of Tate curves [14] [15] and make a vast generalization of Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum conjecture to the symmetric powers of Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms [16], which gave explicit predictions of the \mathcal{L} -invariants of these representations. Hida proved certain cases of this conjecture, assuming a conjectural shape of certain universal deformation rings over characteristic zero fields.

Let K be the fraction field of W and $\rho : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(W) \rightarrow GL_2(K)$ is the representation associated to some nearly ordinary Hilbert modular form f . We further assume that $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \neq \delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ for all $\mathbf{p}|p$, i.e, the two characters $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ of $G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}$ are distinct over W for each \mathbf{p} , but become equal after modulo m_W .

In [8] section 3.2.9, Hida considered the following type of deformation functor Φ_K from ART_K , the category of artinian local K -algebra with residue field K , to the category of sets, such that for each A in ART_K , $\Phi_K(A)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of deformations $\rho_A : G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(A)$ of ρ_0 satisfying the additional conditions:

(1) $\det(\rho_A) = \varepsilon_+ \mathcal{N}$.

(2) $\rho_A|_{G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{A,\mathbf{p}} & * \\ 0 & \delta_{A,\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}$ for characters $\epsilon_{A,\mathbf{p}}, \delta_{A,\mathbf{p}} : D_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow A^\times$, such that $\delta_{A,\mathbf{p}} \cong \delta_{\mathbf{p}} \pmod{m_A}$ and $\delta_{A,\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}|_{I_{\mathbf{p}}}$ factor through $\text{Gal}(F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur}(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur})$ for all $\mathbf{p}|p$.

Since ρ is absolutely irreducible, the functor $\Phi_K^F(A)$ is pro-representable by a pro-artinian local K -algebra \mathcal{R}_K with residue field K . We denote the universal representation $G_{F,S} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{R}_K)$ by $\rho_{\mathcal{R}_K}$.

The restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{R}_K}|_{G_{F_{\mathbf{p}}}}$ is isomorphic to $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}_K,\mathbf{p}} & * \\ 0 & \delta_{\mathcal{R}_K,\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix}$.

Again we denote $\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$ the p -Sylow subgroup of $\text{Gal}(F_{\mathbf{p}}^{ur}(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\mathbf{p}})$, which is isomorphic to a finite index subgroup of $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Denote by $\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$ the chose generator as in the last section. The character $\delta_{\mathcal{R}_K,\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$ make the ring \mathcal{R}_K into an $K[[t_{\mathbf{p}}]]$ -algebra, by sending $(1 + t_{\mathbf{p}})$ to $\delta_{\mathcal{R}_K,\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}(\gamma_{\mathbf{p}})$. The ring \mathcal{R}_K then become a $K[[t_{\mathbf{p}}]]|_{\mathbf{p}|p}$ -algebra.

Conjecture 8.1. (Hida,[16]) *The ring \mathcal{R}_K is isomorphic to $K[[t_{\mathbf{p}}]]|_{\mathbf{p}|p}$.*

In the case of representations associated to nearly ordinary Hilbert modular forms with Distinguishedness (ds) condition, i.e, if $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \neq \delta_{\mathbf{p}} \pmod{m_w}$, Hida's conjecture can be deduced from the family version of Fujiwara's $R = T$ theorem [4], see Chapter 3 section 2 in [8].

In this paper, as we always assume $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \equiv \delta_{\mathbf{p}} \pmod{m_w}$, Fujiwara's $R = T$ theorem fails, since the (ds) condition no long hold and the universal deformation ring R doesn't exist. On the other hand, we have proved an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \cong T_{cyc}^\Delta$ in section 7. In this section, we will show that this isomorphism between framed deformation ring and framed Hecke algebra is enough to deduce Hida's conjecture, and thus get the formula of \mathcal{L} -invariants.

For an arbitrary pro-Artinian local W -algebra A and a deformation $(\rho_A, \beta_A^1, \dots, \beta_A^r)$ corresponding to the homomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \rightarrow A$, we can modified the frames to $\beta_A^1, \dots, \beta_A^r$ such that the kernel of the homomorphism $\theta' : \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \rightarrow A$ corresponding to the deformation $(\rho_A, \beta_A^1, \dots, \beta_A^r)$ contains the ideal $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{4r-1})$. This can be done by taking $\beta_A^i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \theta(w_{4i-3}) & \theta(w_{4i-2}) \\ 0 & 1 + \theta(w_{4i}) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \beta_A^i$.

As we have obtained a homomorphism $T_{cyc} \rightarrow T \rightarrow W$, the mapping $\theta_0 : \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta \rightarrow W$ sends w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1} to zero. Denote P the kernel of θ_0 , we can see w_i 's and $(\gamma-1)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ are in P . The localization completion $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta := \varprojlim_n ((\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^\Delta)_P/P^n)$ is a pro-Artinian local K -algebra and $\rho_P : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta)$ is obviously in the set $\Phi_K(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta)$. There is a $W[[\Gamma_F]]$ -algebra homomorphism $\vartheta : \mathcal{R}_K \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta$ by the universality. To describe the image of this map, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8.2. *Let π be the projection $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1})$. The composition $\pi \circ \vartheta : \mathcal{R}_K \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It's well known that the ring $\mathcal{R}_{F,S}$ and \mathcal{R}_K are topologically generated by the trace of the universal Galois representations, for example, page 244 of [8]. The framed ring \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^Δ and hence the completion localization $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta$ are then generated by the trace of image the universal representation, together with the images of w_i 's. In particular, the quotient $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1})$ is generated only by the trace of ρ_P too, and $\pi \circ \vartheta$ is surjective. We prove the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_P^\Delta/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1})$ represents the functor Φ_F^K directly.

For a local artinian K -algebra A and a representation $\rho_A \in \Phi_F^K(A)$, there is a W -lattice L in the finite dimensional K -vector space A^2 stable under $\rho_A(G_F)$. The W -algebra $A_0 = A \cap \text{End}_W(L)$ is compact and contains the trace of the image of ρ_A . A_0 is a local W -algebra free of finite rank over W with maximal ideal $m_{A_0} = m_A \cap A_0$. One can construct a representation $\rho_{A_0} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(A_0)$ by means of pseudo representation which, after composing with the inclusion $A_0 \hookrightarrow A$, is isomorphic to ρ_A . For each $\mathbf{p}_i|p$, the two distinct characters $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ having values in A_0 , so the local representation $\rho_{A_0}|_{G_{\mathbf{p}_i}}$ is isomorphic to a representation into upper-triangular matrices over $GL_2(A_0)$. The reduction of ρ_{A_0} modulo m_{A_0} is

isomorphic to ρ_0 . Choose a basis $\beta_{A_0}^i$ such that $(\rho_{A_0}|_{G_{\mathbf{p}_i}}, \beta_{A_0}^i)$ is in the set $\Phi_{cyc, \mathbf{p}}^{\Delta, \phi, s}$. The universality gives a homomorphism $\theta_0 : \mathcal{R}_{cyc}^{\Delta} \rightarrow A_0$ corresponding to the pair $(\rho_{A_0}, \beta_{A_0}^1, \dots, \beta_{A_0}^r)$. We can further assume the θ_0 maps w_i to 0 by the remark before this theorem. The composition $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^{\Delta} \rightarrow A_0 \hookrightarrow A$, which we again denoted by θ_0 , factors through the localization completion $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta}$, and further factor $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta}/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1})$. $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta}/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1})$ must be universal, and $\pi \circ \vartheta$ is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 8.3. *If we normalize the isomorphism $W[[\Gamma_F]] \cong W[[X_{\mathbf{p}}]]_{\mathbf{p}|p}$ sending the generators $\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}$ to $1 + X_{\mathbf{p}}$. Then Hida's conjecture hold, i.e, there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_K \cong K[[t_{\mathbf{p}}]]_{\mathbf{p}|p}$ such that $t_{\mathbf{p}} = X_{\mathbf{p}} - p$.*

Proof. By the above theorem, we have the isomorphisms $\mathcal{R}_K \cong \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\Delta}/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1}) \cong \widehat{T}_{cyc, P_0}$, where P_0 is the image of $P/(w_1, \dots, w_{4r-1})$ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{cyc}^{\Delta}$ and $\widehat{T}_{cyc, P_0} := \varprojlim_n (T_{cyc, P_0}/P_0^n)$ is the localization completion of T_{cyc} at P_0 .

The Hecke ring $T_{cyc}/P_0 T_{cyc} = T$, the local Hecke algebra for forms of weight $k_0 = (0, I)$ and Neben ε_0 , is reduced, since the level N is square free. $T \otimes_W K$ is reduced and so unramified and étale over K . By proposition 3.8 of chapter I in [20], T_{cyc} is étale over $W[[\Gamma]]$ in an open neighborhood of P_0 . The pull back of P_0 to $W[[\Gamma]]$ is the prime ideal $(X_{\mathbf{p}} - p)_{\mathbf{p}|p}$. The étaleness implies that \widehat{T}_{cyc, P_0} coincide to the completion localization of $W[[\Gamma]]$ at $(x_{\mathbf{p}} - p)_{\mathbf{p}|p}$ (see Theorem 4.2 in the chapter I of [20]), which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_K \cong K[[t_{\mathbf{p}}]]_{\mathbf{p}|p}$ via the map $t_{\mathbf{p}} = X_{\mathbf{p}} - p$. \square

Ordered the primes $\mathbf{p}|p$ such that $\rho|_{G_{F_{\mathbf{p}_i}}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} w & \xi_{q^i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i}$ for $i \leq b$ and $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_i}/\delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \neq \omega$ for $b < i \leq r$. A cocycle $\xi_q : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}_{\mathbf{p}}/F_{\mathbf{p}}) \rightarrow K(1)$ labelled by $q \in F_{\mathbf{p}}^{\times}$ is given by $\xi_q = \varprojlim_n \xi_{q, n}$ for $\xi_{q, n}(\sigma) = (q^{1/q^n})\sigma^{-1}$. Put $Q_j = N_{F_{\mathbf{p}_j}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(q_j)$, $F_i = F_{\mathbf{p}_i}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{R}_K, i} = \delta_{\mathcal{R}_K, \mathbf{p}_i}$. Theorem 8.3 have the following corollary, by Hida's Theorem 0.3 in [16].

Corollary 8.4. *The Greenberg \mathcal{L} -invariant of $\text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{ad}^0(\rho))$ is given by*

$$(8.1) \quad \det\left(\frac{\partial \delta_{\mathcal{R}_K, i}([p, F_i])}{\partial t_j}\right)_{i, j > b | t_1 = \dots = t_r = 0} \prod_{i > b} \frac{\log_p(\gamma_i)}{\delta_i([p, F_i])} \prod_{i=1}^b \frac{\log_p(Q_i)}{\text{ord}_p(Q_i)}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Carayol. *Sur les représentations p -adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Ann.Scient.de l'E.N.S. **19**(1986), 409-468.
- [2] B. Conrad, F. Diamond, R. Taylor. *Modularity of certain potentially Barsotti-Tate Galois representations*, J.Amer.Math.Soc. **12**(2)(1999), 521-567.
- [3] H. Darmon, F. Diamond, R. Taylor. *Fermat's last theorem*, in *Current developments in mathematics*, Internat.Press, Cambridge, MA, (1995), 1-154.
- [4] K. Fujiwara. *Deformation rings and Hecke algebras in the totally real case*, preprint.
- [5] E. Ghate, H. Hida. *Nearly ordinary deformations and the local splitting of ordinary Galois representations*, preprint.
- [6] R. Greenberg. *Trivial zeros of p -adic L -functions*, Contemporary Math. **165** (1994), 149-174.
- [7] R. Greenberg, G. Stevens. *p -adic L -functions and p -adic periods of modular forms*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 407-447.
- [8] H. Hida. *Hilbert Modular Forms and Iwasawa Theory*, Oxford Science Publication. (2006).
- [9] H. Hida. *Iwasawa modules attached to congruence of cusp forms*, Ann.Sci.Ec.Norm.Sup. 4th series. **19** (1986), 231-273.
- [10] H. Hida. *Galois representations into $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]])$ attached to ordinary cusp forms*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), 545-613.
- [11] H. Hida. *On p -adic Hecke algebras for GL_2 over totally real fields*, Ann. of Math. **128** (1988), 295-384.
- [12] H. Hida. *Greenberg's L -invariants of adjoint square Galois representations*, IMRN, Issue **59** (2004) 3177-3189
- [13] H. Hida. *Taylor-Wiles patching lemmas a la Kisin*, online lecture notes, (2007).
- [14] H. Hida. *\mathcal{L} -invariants of Tate curves*, preprint.
- [15] H. Hida. *\mathcal{L} -invariant of symmetric powers of Tate curves*, to appear in Publication of RIMS.
- [16] H. Hida. *On a generalization of the conjecture of Mazur-Tate-Teitelbaum*, IMRN, (2007).
- [17] M. Kisin. *Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity*, preprint, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [18] B. Mazur. *Deforming Galois representations*, in *Galois group over \mathbb{Q}* , vol. **16**, MSRI Publications, Springer (1989).
- [19] B. Mazur, J. Tate, J. Teitelbaum. *On p -adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer*, Invent.Math. **84** (1986), 1-48.
- [20] J.S. Milne. *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press. (1980).
- [21] R. Taylor. *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), 265-280.
- [22] R. Taylor. *On the meromorphic continuation of degree two L -functions*, Doc. Math. (2006), Extra Vol, 729-779.
- [23] R. Taylor, A. Wiles. *Ring theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), 553-572.

- [24] M. Schlessinger. *Functors on Artin rings*, Trans.AMS. **bf 130**, (1968), 208-222.
- [25] C. Skinner and A. Wiles. *Nearly ordinary deformations of irreducible residual representations*, Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse Math. **10** (2001), 185-215.
- [26] C.M. Skinner, A. Wiles. *Residually reductible representations an modular forms*, Publ.Math.de l'IHES. **89** (1999), 5-126.
- [27] A. Wiles. *On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent.Math. **94** (1988), 529-573.
- [28] A. Wiles. *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*, Ann. of math. **141**(1995), 443-551.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
E-mail address: chenlin@math.ucla.edu