

SINGULAR CHERN CLASSES OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES VIA SMALL RESOLUTION

BENJAMIN F JONES

ABSTRACT. We discuss a method for calculating the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson (CSM) class of a Schubert variety in the Grassmannian using small resolutions introduced by Zelevinsky. As a consequence, we show how to compute the Chern-Mather class and local Euler obstructions using small resolutions instead of the Nash blowup. The algorithm obtained for CSM classes also allows us to prove new cases of a positivity conjecture of Aluffi and Mihalcea.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Schubert varieties and singular Chern classes	2
1.2. Statement of results	3
2. CSM Classes and Resolution of Singularities	4
2.1. Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson Classes	4
2.2. Chern Classes by Resolution of Singularities	6
3. The Chern-Mather Class	7
3.1. Perverse Sheaves	7
3.2. Microlocal Index Formula	8
3.3. Identification of the Chern-Mather Class via Small Resolution	9
4. Application to Schubert Varieties	10
4.1. The Grassmannian	10
4.2. Resolution of Singularities	11
4.3. CSM Class of a Resolution	14
5. Pushforward to the Grassmannian	18
5.1. Integration	18
5.2. Explicit Calculations	21

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 14C17, secondary 14M15.

Key words and phrases. Schubert variety, singular Chern class, small resolution.

5.3. Explicit Calculation of Chern-Mather Classes	23
5.4. Direct Pushforward	25
6. Positivity Conjecture	29
6.1. Known Results	29
6.2. Weak Positivity Conjecture	30
6.3. Coefficients with Small Codimension	33
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Schubert varieties and singular Chern classes. In this paper we consider Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian and their singular Chern classes. A Schubert variety in the Grassmannian $Gr(k, V)$ parametrizes the k -dimensional subspaces of $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ which satisfy certain intersection conditions with a fixed complete flag in V . These varieties play an important role in many areas of mathematics from intersection theory and enumerative geometry to representation theory and combinatorics. The singular Chern classes we consider are the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson (CSM) class, constructed by MacPherson in [20], and the Chern-Mather class. Each of these classes is an element of the Chow group of a complex algebraic variety. For a non-singular variety, the Chern classes considered coincide with the total (homology) Chern class of the tangent bundle.

Recently, the study of CSM classes of Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian was initiated by Aluffi and Mihalcea in [2]. In particular, the explicit calculation of coefficients in the Schubert basis of CSM classes of Schubert cells is completed in [2]. The motivation for the present paper was to study the questions raised in [2] from the point of view of small resolutions of singularities. In particular, we answer the questions of computing the Chern-Mather classes and local Euler obstructions for Schubert varieties. The latter turn out to coincide with invariants coming from intersection cohomology.

The main idea of our approach to understanding singular Chern classes is to use knowledge about explicit resolutions combined with the good functorial behavior of CSM classes. In particular, when small resolutions exist, the intimate relationship between the geometry and topology of the variety and its resolution lead to results relating the (singular) Chern classes. For example, an interesting consequence of the approach above is the identification of the Chern-Mather class and the stringy Chern class (cf. [5, 1]) in certain cases, see Remark 3.5.

The methods presented here provide a different approach than exists in the literature to understanding and explicitly computing CSM classes. The approach, especially the existence of multiple distinct (Zelevinsky) resolutions, is useful for deriving pushforward formulas and exploring the positivity conjecture of Aluffi and Mihalcae in some new cases.

1.2. Statement of results. The first part of the paper deals with computing Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson and Chern-Mather classes for general varieties using the tangent bundles of resolutions of singularities. In §2.2 we use the functorial properties of the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class to derive a formula for the class of a given complex variety. When one has explicit information about the resolution of singularities involved, this approach leads to explicit computations. We carry out these computations in the case of Schubert cells and varieties X in the Grassmannian in §5.2.

The first main result is Theorem 3.4. We prove that for certain varieties X , the Chern-Mather class of X coincides with the pushforward of the CSM class of any small resolution. The Theorem allows us to compute the Chern-Mather class without having to understand the Nash Blowup of X explicitly.

Theorem. *Let X be a complex algebraic variety which admits a small resolution and whose characteristic cycle is irreducible. Then, the Chern-Mather class of a X equals the push-forward of the Chern class of any small resolution $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$:*

$$c_M(X) = (\pi)_*(c(TZ) \cap [Z]).$$

The class on the right hand side coincides with the CSM (and the CM) class of Z since Z is non-singular. The theorem follows by identifying the local Euler obstruction with an invariant coming from the theory of intersection cohomology and using a theorem of Dubson and Kashiwara [8, 17]. The Schubert varieties in a Grassmannian satisfy the hypotheses above. In §5.3 we compute the Chern-Mather classes of all Schubert varieties in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$. The identification of this class and the explicit calculations seem to be new. The form of the identification also suggests that the geometry of the Nash blowup of a Schubert variety is closely related to the geometry of its small resolutions.

In order to carry out calculations in the context of the Grassmannian, we need to understand the tangent bundle on a resolution of singularities of a Schubert variety. We focus on the resolutions defined by Zelevinsky in [25]. The second main result is a formula for the CSM class of a Zelevinsky resolution. Such a resolution Z is defined as a subvariety of a product of Grassmannians

$$Z \subset \prod_{i=1}^m Gr(k_i, V) = X.$$

The universal sub-bundle \underline{U}_i on each factor $Gr(k_i, V)$ pulls back to X and also Z . The incidence relations defining Z as a subvariety of X give rise to corresponding incidence relations involving certain vector bundles on Z :

$$\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}} \subset \underline{U}_i \subset \underline{W}_i^{\text{right}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m$$

(see § 4.2 for definitions). The following is a restatement of Theorem 4.8.

Theorem. *The CSM class of Z is*

$$c_{\text{SM}}(Z) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m c((\underline{U}_i / \underline{W}_i^{\text{left}})^\vee \otimes (\underline{W}_i^{\text{right}} / \underline{U}_i)) \right) \cap [Z].$$

In section 5 we discuss the pushforward of the class $c_{\text{SM}}(Z) = c(TZ) \cap [Z]$ to the ambient Grassmannian of the Schubert variety being resolved. This computes the main ingredient of our formula. We give two methods for computing the pushforward. The first method uses the localization theorem for equivariant Chow groups. We present calculations of the CSM classes of all Schubert cells in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$ using this method. The second method, described in §5.4, is based on an explicit description of the Gysin map for Grassmannian bundles given by Fulton and Pragacz and exploits the combinatorial simplicity of certain Zelevinsky resolutions which exist for any Schubert variety.

In the last section we explore the positivity conjecture of Aluffi and Mihalcaea from [2]. The conjecture states that the CSM class of any Schubert cell is positive. This amounts to the statement that the coefficients of the classes of Schubert varieties contained in the boundary of the closure of a given cell are non-negative. By additivity of the CSM class, the conjecture implies a weaker positivity conjecture concerning the CSM classes of Schubert varieties. We prove this weaker version in an infinite family of special cases in §6.2. For Schubert cells, proving positivity is more difficult. In §6.3, we show how the methods presented here may be used to prove that coefficients with small codimension in the CSM class of an arbitrary Schubert cell are non-negative. In particular, we give a new combinatorial interpretation of codimension one coefficients.

2. CSM CLASSES AND RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

2.1. Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson Classes. In [20], MacPherson defines two classes for each complex algebraic variety X : the Chern-Mather (CM) class, denoted $c_{\text{M}}(X)$, and the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson (CSM) class, denoted $c_{\text{SM}}(X)$. Both classes satisfy the property that if X is smooth, then the class coincides with $c(TX) \cap [X]$, the total homology Chern class of X . The task then is to define a class for varieties which are not smooth. In this case the tangent bundle TX is not available. We briefly recall how the CM and CSM classes are defined and refer the reader to [20] for details.

Let X be an irreducible complex algebraic variety and $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the Nash blowup with tautological Nash bundle \underline{S} . The class $c_{\text{M}}(X) := \nu_*(c(\underline{S}) \cap [\tilde{X}]) \in A_*X$ is called the *Chern-Mather class* of X . It follows from properties of the Nash blowup that $c_{\text{M}}(X) \in [X] + \sum_{i < \dim X} A_i X$.

On the other hand, the CSM class is defined in terms of the CM class and an invariant called the local Euler obstruction which we define. Let $p \in X$ and let $S(\nu^{-1}(p), \tilde{X})$ denote the Segre class of the normal cone to $\nu^{-1}(p)$ in \tilde{X} (see [12], § 4.2). The local Euler obstruction of X at p is

$$(2-1) \quad Eu_X(p) := \int_{\nu^{-1}(p)} c(\underline{S}|_{\nu^{-1}(p)}) \cap S(\nu^{-1}(p), \tilde{X})$$

This formula is due to Gonzalez-Sprinberg and Verdier, MacPherson's original definition is topological. The function $Eu_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is constructible with respect to any Whitney stratification of X (cf. [20], Lemma 2).

The integers defined by (2-1) determine a group automorphism of F_*X , the group of constructible functions on X , as follows. For $W \subset X$ a closed subset, let $\mathbb{1}_W$ denote the characteristic function of W . Then,

$$Eu(\mathbb{1}_W)(p) = \begin{cases} Eu_W(p) & \text{if } p \in W \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

This extends by linearity to an automorphism of F_*X , see [20, Lemma 2] and [14, Proposition §6].

Now let $X = \cup_{i \in I} S_i$ be a finite Whitney stratification of X into smooth, locally closed subsets and fix a total ordering on I compatible with the partial order given by inclusion of closures of the S_i . The CSM class of the closure of a stratum is defined below. This definition suffices to define the CSM class of X since it is the closure of the unique open stratum.

By [14, Proposition §6] there are integers $f_{i,j}$ such that

$$Eu^{-1}(\mathbb{1}_{S_i}) = \sum_j f_{i,j} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{S_j}}.$$

Then $f_{i,j}$ are entries of the matrix which is inverse to the matrix with entries $e_{i,j} = Eu_{\overline{S_i}}(p_j)$ (for arbitrary points $p_j \in S_j$). We remark that the matrix with entries $f_{i,j}$ ($e_{i,j}$ respectively) is upper triangular with 1's along the diagonal. Upper triangularity follows from the definition and the statement about the diagonal follows from the fact that $Eu_X(p) = 1$ whenever p is a smooth point of X (cf. [20], p.426).

The following map defines a morphism from the group of functions which are constructible with respect to the stratification $\cup_i S_i$ to the Chow group of X :

$$(2-2) \quad c_*(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{S_i}}) = \sum_j f_{i,j} \cdot c_M(\overline{S_j}).$$

This suffices to define a map $c_* : F_*X \rightarrow A_*X$ since there always exists a stratification of X which includes a given closed subset as the closure of some stratum. We have defined c_* to take values in the Chow group of X rather than homology, as is done in [20]. See [12], §19.1.7 for comments about this refinement.

For $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a proper morphism, there is an induced pushforward map $f_* : F_*X \rightarrow F_*Y$. Let $W \subset X$ be locally closed and $y \in Y$, then $f_*(\mathbb{1}_W)(y) = \chi(f^{-1}(y) \cap W)$ where χ denotes the topological Euler characteristic.

The following theorem is the main result of [20] stated in terms of the Chow group.

Theorem 2.1. (*MacPherson*) *The transformation $c_* : F_* \rightarrow A_*$ is natural. That is, if $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper map, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_*Y & \xrightarrow{c_*} & A_*Y \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ F_*X & \xrightarrow{c_*} & A_*X. \end{array}$$

For $W \subset X$ closed, we set the *Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class* of W to be

$$(2-3) \quad c_{\text{SM}}(W) := c_*(\mathbb{1}_W).$$

Since the matrix with entries $f_{i,j}$ is upper triangular with 1's along the diagonal and $c_{\text{M}}(X) \in [X] + \sum_{i < \dim X} A_i X$ it follows that $c_{\text{SM}}(X) \in [X] + \sum_{i < \dim X} A_i X$ as well.

Theorem 2.1 allows us to define a CSM class for each locally closed subset of a fixed ambient variety. Let $S \subset M$ be locally closed, $S = X \setminus Y$ with X, Y closed in M . Then we set

$$(2-4) \quad c_{\text{SM}}(S) = c_{\text{SM}}(X) - c_{\text{SM}}(Y)$$

and regard the class $c_{\text{SM}}(S)$ as an element of A_*M . Theorem 2.1 implies that $c_{\text{SM}}(S)$, as defined above, is independent of the choice of X and Y . However, in general it depends on the embedding $S \hookrightarrow M$. If $i : M \rightarrow N$ is a proper morphism and i_* is injective (e.g. if N has a cellular decomposition and M is the closure of a cell in N), we abuse notation and write $c_{\text{SM}}(S)$ for both the class in A_*M and the class $i_*c_{\text{SM}}(S) \in A_*N$.

2.2. Chern Classes by Resolution of Singularities. The Chern-Mather class is difficult to calculate from the definition because the Nash blowup is poorly understood in general. Also, its construction is not functorial in the sense of Theorem 2.1. This is a major advantage to studying the CSM class. We will use functoriality along with resolution of singularities to calculate CSM classes. As a consequence of this approach we obtain an effective algorithm for calculating the Chern-Mather class for varieties satisfying hypotheses on their resolutions and characteristic cycles. We now describe how to compute the CSM class using a family of resolutions. In this paper a *resolution of singularities* means a map of algebraic varieties $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ which is proper and birational with Z non-singular.

Suppose we embed a variety X into a smooth variety M which is stratified compatibly with X . Let $M = \cup_{i \in I} S_i$ with $X = \overline{S_{i_0}}$ for some index $i_0 \in I$. Also, let the index set I have a total ordering such that $i \leq j$ if $S_i \subset \overline{S_j}$. Suppose there exist resolutions of singularities $Z_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} \overline{S_i}$ (for each $i \in I$) which are compatible with the stratification of M in the sense that the restriction of π_i over any stratum S_j with $S_j \subset \overline{S_i}$ is a fiber bundle in the complex topology.

For $i, j \in I$ define $d_{i,j} := \chi(\pi_i^{-1}(p))$ for any $p \in S_j$. Naturality of the transformation c_* implies

$$(2-5) \quad (\pi_i)_*c_{\text{SM}}(Z_i) = (\pi_i)_*c_*(\mathbb{1}_{Z_i}) = c_*(\pi_i)_*(\mathbb{1}_{Z_i}) = \sum_{j \leq i} d_{i,j}c_*(\mathbb{1}_{S_j}).$$

The last equality follows from the definition of pushforward for constructible functions, see [20].

We may view the system of equations above as a matrix equation. The matrix with entries $d_{i,j}$ is an upper triangular, integer matrix with 1's on the diagonal. Hence it is

invertible. Solving for $c_{\text{SM}}(S_i)$ yields:

$$(2-6) \quad c_{\text{SM}}(S_i) = \sum_{j \leq i} e_{i,j} \cdot (\pi_j)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_j).$$

where the integers $e_{i,j}$ are entries of the matrix which is inverse to the matrix with entries $d_{i,j}$.

By additivity, the CSM class of $X = \overline{S_{i_0}}$ is the sum of the CSM classes of strata contained in X :

$$(2-7) \quad c_{\text{SM}}(X) = \sum_{S_i \subset X} c_{\text{SM}}(S_i)$$

Since the Z_i are smooth, the previous two formulae give a concrete method of calculating CSM classes once the tangent bundles of the resolutions and the Euler characteristics of their fibers are understood.

3. THE CHERN-MATHER CLASS

After recalling some basic results from microlocal geometry, we show how the approach to understanding Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes described in the Section 2 allows us to identify (and explicitly calculate) both MacPherson's local Euler obstructions and the Chern-Mather classes of certain complex algebraic varieties including the Schubert varieties in a Grassmannian.

3.1. Perverse Sheaves. Let $X \subset Y$ be irreducible varieties. Corresponding to X there is a complex of sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on Y called the Deligne-Goresky-MacPherson sheaf (or intersection cohomology sheaf) of X . We denote this sheaf by \mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet . It is constructible with respect to any Whitney stratification of Y .

The sheaf \mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet is a perverse sheaf on Y with respect to the middle perversity function $p : 2\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $p(2k) = -2k$ (cf. [7], Ch. 5). We use the convention that a sheaf \mathcal{F}^\bullet on a variety Y is perverse if for all integers $k \geq 0$:

- (1) $\dim(\text{supp } \mathcal{H}^m(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)) < k$ for all $m > -2k$
- (2) $\dim(\text{supp } \mathcal{H}^m(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{F}^\bullet)) < k$ for all $m > -2k$, where \mathcal{D} is the functor of Verdier duality (cf. [7], Ch. 3).

Let $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y$. The conditions above imply that a perverse sheaf on Y has vanishing cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^m(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ when m is outside the range $-2d \leq m \leq 0$ ([7], Remark 5.1.19). We denote the constant sheaf in degree zero with stalk \mathbb{C} at all points of Y by \mathbb{C}_Y . If Y is smooth then $\mathcal{IC}_Y^\bullet = \mathbb{C}_Y[2d]$.

Suppose that $f : Z \rightarrow X$ is a resolution of singularities with the property that for all $i > 0$,

$$\text{codim}\{x \in X \mid \dim f^{-1}(x) \geq i\} > 2i.$$

Such a map is called a *small resolution* since the locus over which fibers can be large is “small”.

The following proposition connects the topology of X and Z with \mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet . For a proof see [15], Corollary 6.2.

Proposition 3.1. (*Goresky-MacPherson*) *Let X be an irreducible complex algebraic variety of dimension s and let $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a small resolution of singularities. Then $Rf_* \mathbb{C}_Z[2s] \cong \mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet$. In particular, for $x \in X$:*

$$\chi_x(\mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(f^{-1}(x); \mathbb{C}) = \chi(f^{-1}(x)),$$

where χ_x denotes the stalk Euler characteristic at the point $x \in X$.

Now let Y be a smooth variety equipped with a stratification $Y = \cup_{i \in \mathcal{S}} U_i$. To each sheaf \mathcal{F}^\bullet on Y , constructible with respect to the U_i , there is an associated cycle, called the *characteristic cycle*, in the total space of the cotangent bundle T^*Y . The characteristic cycle may be expressed as

$$CC(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} c_i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cdot \left[\overline{T_{U_i}^* Y} \right].$$

where $c_i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is an integer called the *microlocal multiplicity* of \mathcal{F}^\bullet along U_i . The cycle may be defined using the category of holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules on Y via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, or explicitly in terms of the complex link spaces of pairs of strata, see [7], § 4.1 .

3.2. Microlocal Index Formula. To any constructible sheaf \mathcal{F}^\bullet on a smooth stratified variety $Y = \cup_i U_i$ we described in the previous section two invariants defined for each stratum U_i : $\chi_i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$, and $c_i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. The following theorem, due to Dubson ([8], Théorème 3) and Kashiwara ([17], Theorem 6.3.1), relates these two invariants to the local Euler obstruction.

Theorem 3.2 (Microlocal Index Formula). *For any $j \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{S} -constructible sheaf \mathcal{F}^\bullet on Y :*

$$\chi_j(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} Eu_{\overline{U_i}}(u_j) \cdot c_i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet).$$

The situation we are interested in is when the pair $X \subset Y$ satisfy two conditions. Without loss of generality we can assume that X is the closure of a stratum U_{i_0} . First, suppose that X admits a small resolution $f : Z \rightarrow X$. Second, suppose that the characteristic cycle of \mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet is irreducible, that is:

$$CC(\mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet) = \left[\overline{T_{U_{i_0}}^* Y} \right].$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $U_j \subset X$. Under the two hypotheses above, the integer $d_{i_0, j}$ from §2.2 equals the local Euler obstruction $Eu_X(u_j)$ where u_j is any point in U_j .*

Proof. Proposition 3.1 implies that

$$(3-8) \quad \chi_j(\mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet) = d_{i_0,j}.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 3.2 gives

$$(3-9) \quad \chi_j(\mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet) = \sum_i Eu_{\overline{U}_i}(u_j) \cdot c_i(\mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet).$$

Irreducibility of the characteristic cycle implies

$$c_i(\mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = i_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence there is a single non-zero term on the right hand side of (3-9). Combining (3-8) and (3-9) we have:

$$(3-10) \quad d_{i_0,j} = Eu_X(u_j).$$

□

3.3. Identification of the Chern-Mather Class via Small Resolution. Proposition 3.3 leads to the following result which we derive by comparing formula (2-2) to formula (2-6). Let $X \subset Y$ and Z satisfy the hypotheses of the last section: $f : Z \rightarrow X$ is a small resolution and \mathcal{IC}_X^\bullet has irreducible characteristic cycle. We retain the stratification of Y with $X = \overline{U}_{i_0}$.

Theorem 3.4. *The Chern-Mather Class of X equals the push-forward of the total Chern class of Z :*

$$c_M(X) = f_*c(TZ) = f_*c_{SM}(Z).$$

Proof. MacPherson's definition of the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class as expressed in equation (2-2) is

$$(3-11) \quad c_{SM}(U_{i_0}) = \sum_j f_{i_0,j} \cdot c_M(\overline{U}_j).$$

On the other hand, equation (2-6) in our context is

$$(3-12) \quad c_{SM}(X) = \sum_j e_{i_0,j} \cdot (\pi_j)_*c_{SM}(Z_j),$$

where $\pi_j : Z_j \rightarrow \overline{U}_j$ are compatible resolutions in the sense of 2.2.

Recall from § 2.1 that the integers $f_{i,j}$ are entries of the matrix inverse to that with entries $Eu_{\overline{U}_i}(u_j)$. Also recall from § 2.2 that the integers $e_{i,j}$ are entries of the matrix inverse to that with entries $d_{i,j}$. Now, proposition 3.3 implies that $f_{i_0,j} = e_{i_0,j}$. It follows by linear algebra that

$$c_M(X) = (\pi)_*c_{SM}(Z).$$

Indeed, if we define matrices

- (1) C with (i, j) entry the coefficient of $[\overline{U}_j]$ in $c_{SM}(U_i)$

- (2) M with (i, j) entry the coefficient of $[\overline{U}_j]$ in $c_M(\overline{U}_i)$
- (3) Z with (i, j) entry the coefficient of $[\overline{U}_j]$ in $(\pi_i)_*c_{SM}(Z_i)$
- (4) $E = (e_{\alpha,\beta}) = (f_{\alpha,\beta})$

then (3-11) is equivalent to

$$C = M \cdot E$$

and (3-12) is equivalent to

$$C = Z \cdot E.$$

The matrix E is invertible since it is upper triangular with 1's along the diagonal. Solving, we have $Z = C \cdot E^{-1} = M$ which is equivalent to the statement of the proposition. \square

Remark 3.5. Suppose that X admits a small crepant resolution and has irreducible characteristic cycle. It is known that the pushforward of the Chern class of a crepant resolution is independent of the resolution and the class obtained is called the *stringy Chern class* of X , see [1, 5]. In this situation, Theorem 3.4 implies that the stringy Chern class and the Chern-Mather class of X coincide.

4. APPLICATION TO SCHUBERT VARIETIES

4.1. The Grassmannian. We consider the complex Grassmannian $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$, which is the homogeneous space $GL_n(\mathbb{C})/P$, where P is the standard parabolic subgroup consisting of block $k \times k$, $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ upper triangular matrices. A Schubert cell is an orbit in $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ of the Borel subgroup $B \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ of upper triangular matrices. A Schubert variety is the closure of a Schubert cell in the Zariski topology. Schubert cells and varieties in $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ are parametrized by partitions $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_k)$ such that $\alpha_1 \leq n-k$. We use the notation $P_{k,n-k}$ to denote the set of all such partitions. Given a partition $\alpha \in P_{k,n-k}$, we denote the corresponding Schubert cell by X_α° and its closure by X_α .

Schubert cells partition the Grassmannian and provide a natural basis for the Chow group (or homology) of $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$A_*Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \cdot [X_\alpha].$$

In this decomposition, the basis element $[X_\alpha]$ lives in $A_{|\alpha|}Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ where $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i$. The CSM class of a Schubert variety may be expressed in this basis as

$$(4-13) \quad c_{SM}(X_\alpha) = [X_\alpha] + \sum_{\beta < \alpha} \gamma_{\alpha,\beta} [X_\beta].$$

In these terms, the main goal of the remainder of the paper is to understand the coefficients $\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$.

In the Grassmannian, Equation (2-6) from §2.2 is interpreted as follows. The stratum S_i is a Schubert cell X_α° . Zelevinsky's construction, which is recalled in § 4.2, provides the family of resolutions $\pi_\alpha : Z_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ and the integers $d_{i,j}$ become $d_{\alpha,\beta}$, the Euler characteristic of the fiber of Z_α over a point in X_β° . These integers are calculated using

Zelevinsky’s formula which is stated in Theorem 4.3. Similarly, the matrix inverse to the matrix of integers $d_{\alpha,\beta}$ has entries denoted $e_{\alpha,\beta}$. With this notation, (2-6) becomes

$$(4-14) \quad c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} e_{\alpha,\beta} \cdot (\pi_\alpha)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\beta).$$

In Section 4.3 we describe TZ_β as a vector bundle in terms of pullbacks of universal bundles and use this to compute $c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\beta) = c(TZ_\alpha) \cap [Z_\beta]$.

4.2. Resolution of Singularities. We recall Zelevinsky’s resolution of singularities for a Schubert variety in the Grassmannian. In § 4.3 we describe the tangent bundle of a Zelevinsky resolution inductively and give two formulas for its total Chern class in terms of pullbacks of universal bundles from Grassmannians. Since the resolution is smooth, this immediately gives a formula for its CSM class. The reader may refer to [25] and [3] for details on the construction and proofs of the main results below.

Let $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ and $V_\bullet = (V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n)$ be a fixed complete flag in V . Let $X_\alpha \subset Gr(k, V)$ be a Schubert variety relative to V_\bullet and indexed by the partition α .

We use peak notation for a partition $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_k)$. Let a_i be the multiplicity of the i -th distinct part of α ordered from smallest to largest. The b_i are the unique positive integers such that the i -th distinct part of α is $b_0 + \dots + b_{i-1}$. In peak notation we write $\alpha = [a_1, \dots, a_m; b_0, \dots, b_{m-1}]$. For $0 \leq i \leq m$, let $V^i = V_{a_1+\dots+a_i+b_0+\dots+b_{i-1}}$. The Schubert variety X_α is then defined by intersection conditions:

$$X_\alpha = \{U \in Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n) \mid \dim U \cap V^i \geq a_1 + \dots + a_i \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

It is common to visualize α in peak notation by a piece-wise linear function f_α defined on the real line, see Figure 1. The local maxima of f_α are called *peaks* (labeled P_i) and the local minima are called *depressions* (labeled Q_i), see [16], Ch. 5 for a thorough discussion with examples.




FIGURE 1. Peak diagram for $\alpha = [1, 1, 2; 1, 1, 3] = (5, 5, 2, 1)$.

Let s be an ordering of the peaks of α , i.e. s is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. For each choice of permutation, Zelevinsky has constructed a variety over X_α :

$$Z_{\alpha,s} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\alpha,s}} X_\alpha.$$

$Z_{\alpha,s}$ is a subset of a certain product of Grassmannians:

$$Z_{\alpha,s} \subset Gr(k_1, V) \times Gr(k_2, V) \times \dots \times Gr(k_m, V),$$

where $k_m = k$. The map $\pi_{\alpha,s}$ is the restriction to $Z_{\alpha,s}$ of projection to the last factor. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, two subspaces are defined which constrain the points in the $Gr(k_i, V)$ factor.

$$(4-15) \quad Z_{\alpha,s} = \left\{ U_\bullet \in \prod_i Gr(k_i, V) \mid W_i^{\text{left}} \subset U_i \subset W_i^{\text{right}} \right\}.$$

The definition of W_i^{left} and W_i^{right} is inductive. Let $j_1 = s(1)$, $k_1 = \dim V^{j_1-1} + a_{j_1}$, and U_1 denote a point in the Grassmannian $Gr(k_1, V)$ with the property that

$$V^{j_1-1} \subset U_1 \subset V^{j_1}.$$

Set $W_1^{\text{left}} = V^{j_1-1}$ and $W_1^{\text{right}} = V^{j_1}$. For such a U_1 , consider the new partial flag ${}^1V^\bullet = (V^0 \subset \dots \subset V^{j_1-2} \subset U_1 \subset V^{j_1+1} \subset \dots \subset V^m)$. For $i \geq 2$, $Gr(k_i, V)$, W_i^{left} , and W_i^{right} are defined inductively using the flag ${}^1V^\bullet$, a new partition α' which has $m-1$ peaks, and the permutation s' of $\{1, \dots, m-1\}$ induced by s and the order preserving bijection $\{1, \dots, \hat{j}_1, \dots, m\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. The partition α' is obtained by removing peak P_{j_1} from α in the following way. We replace the pair a_{j-1}, a_j (in peak notation) by their sum $a_{j-1} + a_j$ and similarly replace b_{j-1}, b_j by $b_{j-1} + b_j$. For the extreme cases, $j = 1$ or m , we use the convention that $a_0 = b_m = \infty$ and drop these from the notation. For example, removing the 1st peak from $[1, 1, 2; 1, 1, 3]$ results in the partition $[1, 2; 2, 3]$, while removing the 2nd peak results in the partition $[2, 2; 1, 4]$.

The result of Zelevinsky's construction is a closed subvariety of $\prod_i Gr(k_i, V)$ defined by incidence relations $W_i^{\text{left}} \subset U_i \subset W_i^{\text{right}}$. By construction, W_i^{left} and W_i^{right} are either points $U_j \in Gr(k_j, V)$ for $j < i$ or they are subspaces in the fixed partial flag V^\bullet determined by α .

Example 4.1. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^4$ and the fixed flag be $V_\bullet = (0 \subset \mathbb{C}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{C}^4)$. For $\alpha = (2, 1)$, the partial sub-flag is $V^\bullet = (0 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4)$. The results of Zelevinsky's construction when $s = \text{id}$ (the identity permutation) and $s = w_0$ (the order reversing permutation) are:

$$Z_{(2,1),\text{id}} = \{(U_1, U_2) \in Gr(1, \mathbb{C}^4) \times Gr(2, \mathbb{C}^4) \mid 0 \subset U_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^2, U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^4\}$$

$$Z_{(2,1),w_0} = \{(U_1, U_2) \in Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^4) \times Gr(2, \mathbb{C}^4) \mid \mathbb{C}^2 \subset U_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^4, 0 \subset U_2 \subset U_1\}.$$

The group $GL(V)$ acts on each $Gr(k_i, V)$ and diagonally on the product $\prod_i Gr(k_i, V)$. It is clear from description above that the Borel subgroup B which fixes V_\bullet leaves $Z_{\alpha,s}$ stable. Also, the map $\pi_{\alpha,s}$ is clearly B -equivariant.

We now summarize the main theorems of [25].

Theorem 4.2. (*Zelevinsky*)

- (1) For any α and s , $Z_{\alpha,s}$ is a smooth projective variety.
- (2) For a fixed α and any s , $\pi_{\alpha,s} : Z_{\alpha,s} \rightarrow Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is a proper map with image X_α and is an isomorphism over X_α° , in particular it is a resolution of singularities.
- (3) For all α there exists a permutation s such that $\pi_{\alpha,s} : Z_{\alpha,s} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is a small resolution.

For α, s as above, consider a partition $\beta \leq \alpha$. Let $U \in X_\beta^\circ \subset Gr(k, V)$. The intersection conditions that a point $W \in X_\alpha$ satisfies with respect to V^\bullet are $\dim(W \cap V^i) \geq a_1 + \dots + a_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, m$. In particular, U satisfies these conditions. Define a sequence of integers (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_m) , called the relative depth vector for the pair (α, β) , by $c_i = \dim(U \cap V^i) - (a_1 + \dots + a_i)$. The following formula calculates $d_{\alpha,s}(\beta) := \chi(\pi_{\alpha,s}^{-1}(U))$ in terms of α, s , and the vector (c_0, \dots, c_m) .

Theorem 4.3. (*Zelevinsky*) Let $\alpha = [a_i; b_i]$ and let $j = s(1)$, the index of the first peak to be removed from α in the construction of $Z_{\alpha,s}$. Let α' be the partition which results from this peak removal and s' be the peak ordering for α' induced by s . Then,

$$d_{\alpha,s}(\beta) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \binom{a_j + c_j - c_{j-1}}{c_j - d} \binom{b_{j-1} - c_j + c_{j-1}}{c_{j-1} - d} \cdot d_{\alpha',s'}(\gamma(d))$$

where $\gamma(d)$ is any partition such that $\gamma(d) \leq \alpha'$ and the pair $(\alpha', \gamma(d))$ has relative depth vector $(c_0, \dots, c_{j-2}, d, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_m)$.

Remark 4.4. From the observations made in Proposition 3.3 and § 5.3 it follows that if $\pi_{\alpha,s}$ is a small resolution, then $d_{\alpha,s}(\beta)$, regarded as a constructible function on X_α , coincides with the local Euler obstruction.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\pi_{\alpha,s} : Z_{\alpha,s} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ be a small resolution. Then $U \in X_\beta^\circ \subset X_\alpha$ is a smooth point of X_α if and only if any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (1) $\pi_{\alpha,s}^{-1}(U)$ is a point
- (2) $d_{\alpha,s}(\beta) = 1$
- (3) The relative depth vector for the pair (α, β) is $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. First, U is a smooth point if and only if U is a rationally smooth point by the equivalence of smoothness and rational smoothness for Schubert varieties in simply laced groups (in particular for $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$), see [4] (ADE-Theorem) and [6]. Rational smoothness in turn is equivalent to the statement that $\chi_U(\mathcal{IC}_{X_\alpha}^\bullet)$, the stalk Euler characteristic of the intersection cohomology sheaf of X_α at U , equals 1. Indeed, the odd dimensional intersection cohomology vanishes, so $\chi_U(\mathcal{IC}_{X_\alpha}^\bullet) = 1$ is equivalent to having 1 dimensional cohomology only in the smallest possible degree (see the conventions in § 3) which means that the point is rationally smooth by definition.

Since the resolution is small, $\chi_U(\mathcal{IC}_{X_\alpha}^\bullet) = \chi(\pi_{\alpha,s}^{-1}(U))$, see Proposition 3.1. Fibers over points in a Zelevinsky resolution have vanishing odd dimensional cohomology (see [25], Theorem 2), hence $\chi(\pi_{\alpha,s}^{-1}(U)) = 1$ if and only if $\pi_{\alpha,s}^{-1}(U) = \{\text{pt.}\}$. We have thus established that the statement is equivalent to both (1) and (2).

To see that (2) and (3) are equivalent, we argue using the formula of Theorem 4.3. First, suppose that $d_{\alpha,s}(\beta) = 1$. Then there can be only a single term in the formula. It follows that the only term appearing is the $d = 0$ term (if a $d > 0$ term is non-zero, then terms for smaller d will also be non-zero). It also follows that either $c_j = 0$ or $c_{j-1} = 0$ since if they were both non-zero there would be at least a $d = 0$ and $d = 1$ term.


We are left with the statement that $1 = \binom{a_j+c_j-c_{j-1}}{c_j} \cdot \binom{b_{j-1}-c_j+c_{j-1}}{c_{j-1}} d_{\alpha',s'}(\gamma(0))$. So each factor on the right hand side equals 1. By induction, we may assume that $d_{\alpha',s'}(\gamma(0)) = 1$ implies

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{j-2}, d, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_m) = (0, \dots, 0).$$

It remains to show that $c_{j-1} = c_j = 0$. Since $\binom{a_j+c_j-c_{j-1}}{c_j} = 1$ we have that either $a_j - c_{j-1} = 0$ or $c_j = 0$. Similarly we have that either $b_{j-1} - c_j = 0$ or $c_{j-1} = 0$. But now since we know that one of the two integers c_j, c_{j-1} is zero, it follows that the other is zero because $a_j, b_{j-1} > 0$. Thus we have established that (2) implies (3). The converse is a simple exercise and left to the reader. \square

It is convenient to encode the incidence relations which define $Z_{\alpha,s}$ using a directed graph. Each relation is of the form $W_i^{\text{left}} \subset U_i \subset W_i^{\text{right}}$ where $W_i^{\text{left}}, W_i^{\text{right}}$ denote either arbitrary points in one of the factors $Gr(k_j, V)$ or fixed subspaces from the partial flag V^\bullet . Consider the directed graph whose vertices are the U_i along with the V^i and which has a directed edge corresponding to each inclusion relation which holds among points in the factors of $Z_{\alpha,s}$.

For example the directed graph



represents the incidence relations occurring in the definition of $Z_{\alpha,s}$ where α has two peaks and $s = w_0$ is the order reversing permutation in S_2 . See Example 4.1 for comparison. If we also attach a non-negative integer to each vertex giving the dimension of the abstract subspace it represents then this weighted, directed graph completely determines $Z_{\alpha,s}$.

4.3. CSM Class of a Resolution. We give a formula for the total Chern class of the tangent bundle of a Zelevinsky resolution in terms of the pullbacks of universal bundles. Since $Z_{\alpha,s}$ is smooth, this gives a formula for the CSM class $c_{\text{SM}}(Z_{\alpha,s})$. We retain the assumptions and notation from § 4.2. For the remainder of the section we fix α and s and let $Z = Z_{\alpha,s}$ and $X = \prod_{i=1}^m Gr(k_i, V)$.

Let $\text{pr}_i : X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k_i, V)$ be the projection map. Let \underline{V}^i be the trivial rank i bundle on X whose fiber over any point is identified with V^i from V^\bullet . Let \underline{U}_i be the universal sub-bundle of \underline{V} on $\text{Gr}(k_i, V)$ as well as its pull-back under pr_i to X and Z by abuse of notation.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we define two vector bundles $\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}}, \underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}$ on X by letting the fiber of $\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}}, \underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}$ over a point U_\bullet be $W_i^{\text{left}}, W_i^{\text{right}}$ respectively. Thus each of $\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}}$ and $\underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}$ is either a universal sub-bundle \underline{U}_j , or a trivial bundle \underline{V}^j . Then for each incidence relation $W_i^{\text{left}} \subset U_i \subset W_i^{\text{right}}$ defining the variety Z , there corresponds an incidence relation among vector bundles on Z : $\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}} \subset \underline{U}_i \subset \underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}$.

Let $X^{(j)} = \prod_{i=1}^j \text{Gr}(k_i, V)$ and let $\rho_j : X \rightarrow X^{(j)}$ denote the projection away from the last $m-j$ factors. Thus $\rho_j(U_1, \dots, U_m) = (U_1, \dots, U_j)$. Similarly, let $Z^{(j)} = \rho_j(Z)$. There are natural projection maps $Z^{(j)} \rightarrow Z^{(l)}$ whenever $j > l$. Finally, let $l_i := k_i - \dim W_i^{\text{left}}$.

Proposition 4.6. *For $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$, the natural map $Z^{(j)} \rightarrow Z^{(j-1)}$ is a Grassmannian bundle whose fiber may be identified with $\text{Gr}(l_j, W_j^{\text{right}}/W_j^{\text{left}})$. Moreover, $Z^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(l_1, W_1^{\text{right}}/W_1^{\text{left}})$.*

Proof. The variety $Z^{(j)}$ is identified with

$$Z^{(j)} = \left\{ (U_1, \dots, U_j) \mid W_i^{\text{left}} \subset U_i \subset W_i^{\text{right}} \right\}$$

and similarly for $Z^{(j-1)}$. If we fix a point $(U_1, \dots, U_{j-1}) \in Z^{(j-1)}$ then the fiber may be identified with the set

$$\left\{ U_j \in \text{Gr}(k_j, V) \mid W_j^{\text{left}} \subset U_j \subset W_j^{\text{right}} \right\}.$$

Zelevinsky's construction guarantees that $W_j^{\text{left}} \subsetneq W_j^{\text{right}}$, hence the fibers are all non-empty and naturally isomorphic to a Grassmannian. It follows that $Z^{(j)}$ is naturally the Grassmannian bundle over $Z^{(j-1)}$ of l_j dimensional subspaces of the fibers of the vector bundle $\underline{W}_j^{\text{right}}/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}}$.

The second part of the proposition follows since W_1^{left} and W_1^{right} are both elements of the fixed partial flag V^\bullet . \square

Let Y be a smooth variety equipped with a vector bundle $\underline{E} \rightarrow Y$. Then $\text{Gr}(k, \underline{E})$ denotes the Grassmannian bundle of k -dimensional subspaces of the fibers of \underline{E} over Y and $\pi : \text{Gr}(k, \underline{E}) \rightarrow Y$ is the map to Y . $\text{Gr}(k, \underline{E})$ has three natural vector bundles over it. There is the pullback $\pi^{-1}\underline{E}$, the universal sub-bundle \underline{S} whose fiber over a point (y, V) is the subspace V of $\underline{E}(y)$, and there is the universal quotient bundle \underline{Q} whose fiber over (y, V) is $\underline{E}(y)/V$. Thus there is an exact sequence of vector bundles on $\text{Gr}(k, \underline{E})$:

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{S} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\underline{E} \rightarrow \underline{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

The map $\pi : Gr(k, \underline{E}) \rightarrow Y$ is a fiber bundle with smooth fibers. Consequently, $Gr(k, \underline{E})$ is non-singular and its tangent bundle sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^{-1}TY \rightarrow TGr(k, \underline{E}) \rightarrow T_{Gr(k, \underline{E})/Y} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 4.7. ([12], B.5.8) *The relative tangent bundle $T_{Gr(k, \underline{E})/Y}$ is canonically isomorphic to*

$$\text{Hom}(\underline{S}, \underline{Q}) \cong \underline{S}^\vee \otimes \underline{Q}.$$

We now describe the tangent bundle of Z in terms of universal bundles on X .

Theorem 4.8.

$$c(TZ) = \prod_{i=1}^m c((\underline{U}_i/\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}})^\vee \otimes (\underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}/\underline{U}_i))$$

Proof. We proceed by showing that

$$c(TZ^{(j)}) = \prod_{i=1}^j c((\underline{U}_i/\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}})^\vee \otimes (\underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}/\underline{U}_i))$$

by induction on j .

If $j = 1$, then $Z^{(j)}$ is a sub-Grassmannian of $Gr(k_1, V)$ defined by a single incidence relation $W_1^{\text{left}} \subset U_1 \subset W_1^{\text{right}}$. The universal sub-bundle on $Z^{(1)}$ is $\underline{U}_1/\underline{W}_1^{\text{left}}$ and the quotient bundle is $\underline{W}_1^{\text{right}}/\underline{U}_1$. Proposition 4.7 implies that we have a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles:

$$TZ^{(1)} \cong (\underline{U}_1/\underline{W}_1^{\text{left}})^\vee \otimes (\underline{W}_1^{\text{right}}/\underline{U}_1).$$

Taking total Chern classes of both sides the proposition follows.

Suppose that $1 < j \leq m$. The previous proposition says that $Z^{(j)}$ is a Grassmannian bundle over $Z^{(j-1)}$, specifically

$$Z^{(j)} \cong Gr(l_j, \underline{W}_j^{\text{right}}/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}})$$

and the bundle map $Gr(l_j, \underline{W}_j^{\text{right}}/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}}) \rightarrow Z^{(j-1)}$ is the restriction of the projection map $\phi : X^{(j)} \rightarrow X^{(j-1)}$. The universal sub-bundle on $Gr(l_j, \underline{W}_j^{\text{right}}/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}})$ is $\underline{U}_j/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}}$ and the quotient bundle is $\underline{W}_j^{\text{right}}/\underline{U}_j$. We have an exact sequence of vector bundles on $Z^{(j)}$,

$$0 \rightarrow \phi^{-1}TZ^{(j-1)} \rightarrow TZ^{(j)} \rightarrow T_{Z^{(j)}/Z^{(j-1)}} \rightarrow 0,$$

and by Proposition 4.7 there is a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles

$$T_{Z^{(j)}/Z^{(j-1)}} \cong (\underline{U}_j/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}})^\vee \otimes (\underline{W}_j^{\text{right}}/\underline{U}_j).$$

The standard properties of Chern classes ([12], Theorem 3.2) and the inductive hypothesis imply that

$$c(TZ^{(j)}) = \prod_{i=1}^j c((\underline{U}_i/\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}})^\vee \otimes (\underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}/\underline{U}_i)).$$

□

For explicit calculations it is convenient to reformulate Theorem 4.8 in terms of Schur determinants in Segre classes of the bundles \underline{U}_i , $\underline{W}_i^{\text{left}}$, and $\underline{W}_i^{\text{right}}$.

Definition 4.9. Given two partitions λ, μ with exactly N parts (the parts are allowed to be zero here), define

$$D_{\lambda, \mu}^N = \det \left(\binom{\lambda_i + N - i}{\mu_j + N - j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$$

where $\binom{\lambda_i + N - i}{\mu_j + N - j} = 0$ by convention if $\lambda_i + N - i < \mu_j + N - j$.

Remark 4.10. The integer $D_{\lambda, \mu}^N$ defined above is always non-negative (cf. [19], p. 30 - 31). It may be interpreted as the number in a certain family of non-intersecting lattice paths via the Lindstrom-Gessel-Viennot Theorem (cf. [18], Theorem 6). Also, $D_{\lambda, \mu}^N$ depends on N in the sense that for λ, μ with exactly N parts, $D_{\lambda, \mu}^N$ is generally not equal to $D_{(\lambda, 0), (\mu, 0)}^{N+1}$.

For positive integers a, b let $a \times b$ denote the partition $(b^a) = (b, \dots, b)$. For a partition $\lambda \in P_{k, N}$, let $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda)$ be the conjugate partition to $\mathbb{D}_{k \times N}(\lambda)$, where the latter notation indicates the dual of λ in $k \times N$:

$$\mathbb{D}_{k \times N}(\lambda) = (N - \lambda_k, N - \lambda_{k-1}, \dots, N - \lambda_1).$$

In the formula below, $s_\lambda(\underline{B})$ is the Schur determinant corresponding to λ evaluated at the Segre classes of \underline{B} . See [12], § 14.5 and note that we are following the sign convention of [13] regarding Segre classes.

Lemma 4.11. ([12], Example 14.5.2) Let $\underline{E}, \underline{F}$ be vector bundles over a variety X of rank e, f respectively. Then

$$c(\underline{E}^\vee \otimes \underline{F}) = \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda \subset e \times f} D_{\lambda, \mu}^e s_\mu(\underline{E}^\vee) s_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda)}(\underline{F}).$$

Let $l_i := \text{rk } \underline{U}_i - \text{rk } \underline{W}_i^{\text{left}}$ and $r_i := \text{rk } \underline{W}_i^{\text{right}} - \text{rk } \underline{U}_i$. The lemma and Theorem 4.8 combine to give the following corollary.

Corollary 4.12.

$$c(TZ) = \prod_{i=1}^p \left(\sum_{\mu \subset \lambda \subset l_i \times r_i} D_{\lambda, \mu}^{l_i} s_\mu((\underline{U}_i / \underline{W}_i^{\text{left}})^\vee) s_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda)}(\underline{W}_i^{\text{right}} / \underline{U}_i) \right)$$

Remark 4.13. In Section 5 we focus on using the formulas above to calculate CSM classes of Schubert cells and varieties. Following the method outlined in Section 2.2, one may choose the family of compatible resolutions arbitrarily. This means that for each partition α , the choice of permutation s (and hence Zelevinsky resolution $Z_{\alpha, s}$) is arbitrary. However, some choices are more suitable for particular applications. To apply the results of Section 3, resolutions are required to be *small* and thus the permutation must be chosen accordingly (see Theorem 4.2, part 3). For performing calculations by computer, resolutions corresponding to the identity or the order reversing permutation are preferable for

their combinatorial simplicity. The resolutions corresponding to order reversing permutations are used in Section 5.4 to give another method of calculating the key pushforward $(\pi_\alpha)_*$ appearing in (4-14).

5. PUSHFORWARD TO THE GRASSMANNIAN

In this section we compute the pushforward of the CSM class of a resolution to the Grassmannian. This gives one ingredient of formula (4-14).

An algorithm for calculating the pushforward of $c_{\text{SM}}(Z)$ is described for an arbitrary Zelevinsky resolution in Section 5.1 by means of the Bott Residue Formula. A more direct method for the case when $Z = Z_{\alpha,s}$ with s the order reversing permutation is described in Section 5.4. Here, the main tool is a description of the Gysin map of a Grassmannian bundle due to Fulton-Pragacz.

5.1. Integration. The pushforward map π_* , associated to a proper map of varieties

$$\pi : X \rightarrow Y,$$

may be understood via integration (in the algebraic sense) over X . When X admits an action of an algebraic torus, T , the integration may be carried out explicitly using localization for the T -equivariant Chow group of X .

Let X be a smooth projective T -variety. Let $\underline{E}_1, \dots, \underline{E}_n$ be T -equivariant vector bundles on X and let $P(\underline{E})$ denote a polynomial in the Chern classes of the \underline{E}_i . There are equivariant Chern classes $c_j^T(\underline{E}_i)$ and a corresponding polynomial $P^T(\underline{E})$ in the equivariant Chern classes which specializes to $P(\underline{E})$. We use the notation $[Y]_T$ to denote the T -equivariant fundamental class of a T -variety Y . See [9, 10] for details.

It is well known that the fixed point set X^T is smooth with finitely many connected components. If F is a connected component, let $N_F X$ be the normal bundle to F in X , and $d_F = \text{rk } N_F X = \text{codim}_X F$. The following theorem is an algebraic form of the Bott Residue formula which may be found in [10], Theorem 3.

Theorem 5.1. (*Bott residue formula*)

$$\int_X P(\underline{E}) \cap [X] = \sum_{F \subset X^T} \int_F \frac{P^T(\underline{E}|_F) \cap [F]_T}{c_{d_F}^T(N_F X)}.$$

We now return to the context of the Grassmannian. Let $T \subset B$ be a maximal torus and Borel subgroup of $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ which stabilize the fixed flag $V_\bullet \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ from §4.2 which we used to define Schubert varieties. Let $Z = Z_{\alpha,s}$ as in § 4.3. The ambient space of the resolution Z is $X = \prod_i \text{Gr}(k_i, \mathbb{C}^n)$. G acts diagonally on X and Z is B invariant for the action (see § 4.2). Hence Z is also T invariant.

To calculate coefficients in the Schubert basis of the class $\pi_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z)$ it suffices to use the Bott Residue Formula to calculate integrals as follows.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the coefficient of $[X_\beta]$ in $\pi_*c_{SM}(Z)$. Then,*

$$\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} = \int_Z c(TZ) \cdot c_\beta(\mathbb{C}^n/\underline{U}) \cap [Z]$$

where $\mathbb{C}^n/\underline{U}$ is the pullback of the universal quotient bundle on $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

As in §4.3, $c_\beta(-)$ denotes a Schur determinant in the Chern classes of the argument. The lemma follows from a routine application of the projection formula and the duality formula for Schubert calculus (see [12], §14.7). The integrand in the Lemma consists of Chern classes of T -equivariant bundles on Z , thus the Bott Residue Formula applies.

Lemma 5.3. *The fixed point set Z^T is finite.*

Proof. This is clear since $Z \subset \prod_i Gr(k_i, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and each factor $Gr(k_i, \mathbb{C}^n)$ has finitely many T fixed points: each Schubert cell contains a unique point, its ‘center’, which is fixed by T . □

To make the integral in Lemma 5.2 explicit, one needs to parametrize the fixed point set and express the equivariant Chern classes restricted to the fixed points in terms of characters of T (see [10], Lemma 3).

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1\dots n}$ be a basis of \mathbb{C}^n so that T is the group of diagonal matrices in G and the flag fixed by B is $0 \subset \langle e_1 \rangle \subset \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subset \dots \subset \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$. Then the T fixed points in $Gr(r, \mathbb{C}^n)$ are the subspaces $\langle e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_r} \rangle$ where (i_1, \dots, i_r) is any sequence of integers such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$. Therefore, a point $U_\bullet \in \prod_i Gr(k_i, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is in Z^T if and only if

- (1) For each i , $U_i = \langle e_{j_1^i}, \dots, e_{j_{k_i}^i} \rangle$ for some sequence $j_1^i < \dots < j_{k_i}^i$
- (2) The subspaces U_i satisfy all the incidence relations defining Z .

Let $U_\bullet \in Z^T$. The restriction to U_\bullet of a T -equivariant bundle (such as the pullback of a universal sub or quotient bundle to Z) is a representation for T which decomposes according to the characters. The equivariant Chern classes of such a restriction may be calculated in terms of the characters (see [10] Lemma 3). For our purposes, the following special case suffices. Let \hat{T} be the character group of T and let $R_T \cong \text{Sym}(\hat{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ denote the T -equivariant Chow ring of a point.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $E_\chi \rightarrow \{pt.\}$ be a rank r , T -equivariant vector bundle over a point such that the action of T on any vector in E_χ is given by the character χ . Then,*

$$c_i^T(E_\chi) = \binom{r}{i} \chi^i \in R_T.$$

To carry out explicit calculations it is useful to restrict the torus action to a one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{C}^* \subset T$ such that $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*} = Z^T$. This can be achieved by letting the \mathbb{C}^* act with generic enough weights. We identify the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant Chow ring of a point with $\mathbb{Z}[t]$.

Example 5.5. We illustrate the calculation of $\gamma_{(2,1),(1,1)}$ using the Bott Residue Formula. The coefficient depends not only on the partitions $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$, but also a choice of resolution for $X_{(2,1)}$. Let $\pi_{(2,1)} : Z \rightarrow X_{(2,1)}$ denote the Zelevinsky resolution corresponding to the identity permutation. This is a small resolution. It is an isomorphism away from the point $X_{(0)} \subset X_{(2,1)}$ and has fiber isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 over $X_{(0)}$.

Evaluating $c(TZ)$ according to Corollary 4.12 and selecting the terms with appropriate degree we have:

$$(5-16) \quad \gamma_{(2,1),(1,1)} = \int_Z (3c_1(\underline{U}_1^\vee) + 3c_1((\underline{U}_2/\underline{U}_1)^\vee)) \cdot c_{(1,1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_2) \cap [Z].$$

Using the Bott Residue Formula, we calculate the integral

$$(5-17) \quad \int_Z c_1(\underline{B})c_{(1,1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_2) \cap [Z].$$

Below, \underline{B} will be either \underline{U}_1^\vee or $(\underline{U}_2/\underline{U}_1)^\vee$. Suppose that $\mathbb{C}^* \subset T$ acts on $V = \mathbb{C}^4$ with weights $[w_1, \dots, w_4] = [0, 1, 2, 3]$. These weights are generic enough so that $Z^{\mathbb{C}^*} = Z^T$.

To describe the T fixed points in Z , let $i_1 \in \{1, 2\}$ and $i_2 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{i_1\}$. Then a T fixed point has the form $U_\bullet = (\langle e_{i_1} \rangle, \langle e_{i_1}, e_{i_2} \rangle)$ for some choice of i_1, i_2 . In this case there are 6 fixed points. Let U_\bullet be a fixed point corresponding to a choice of indices i_1, i_2 . Let $\{1, 2\} \setminus \{i_1\} = \{q\}$ and let $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2\} = \{q_1, q_2\}$. Then the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant Chern classes of the relevant bundles restricted to U_\bullet are:

$$c_{(1,1)}^{\mathbb{C}^*}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_2) = ((w_{q_1} + w_{q_2})^2 - w_{q_1}w_{q_2})t^2, \quad c_1^{\mathbb{C}^*}(\underline{U}_1^\vee) = (-w_{i_1})t, \quad c_1^{\mathbb{C}^*}((\underline{U}_2/\underline{U}_1)^\vee) = (-w_{i_2})t,$$

$$c_3^{\mathbb{C}^*}(TZ) = (w_q - w_{i_1})(w_{q_1} - w_{i_2})(w_{q_2} - w_{i_2})t^3.$$

If we let $c_1^{\mathbb{C}^*}(\underline{B}) = bt$, then each term of the Bott Residue Formula applied to (5-17) is the following rational number:

$$\frac{b((w_{q_1} + w_{q_2})^2 - w_{q_1}w_{q_2})}{(w_q - w_{i_1})(w_{q_1} - w_{i_2})(w_{q_2} - w_{i_2})}$$

Now we sum over the fixed point set. The sums below are written according to the following order for the fixed points:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle), \quad (\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_1, e_3 \rangle), \quad (\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_1, e_4 \rangle), \\ & (\langle e_2 \rangle, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle), \quad (\langle e_2 \rangle, \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle), \quad (\langle e_2 \rangle, \langle e_2, e_4 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \int_Z c_1(\underline{U}_1^\vee)c_{(1,1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_2) \cap [Z] = 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0 = 1 \\ (2) \quad & \int_Z c_1((\underline{U}_2/\underline{U}_1)^\vee)c_{(1,1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_2) \cap [Z] = -3 + 6 + -3 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these integrals with (5-16) we have:

$$\gamma_{(2,1),(1,1)} = 3 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 0 = 3.$$

5.2. Explicit Calculations. We present calculations of the CSM classes of all Schubert cells contained in the Grassmannian $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$. The results were obtained using formula (4-14), Theorem 4.3, and the Bott Residue formula. To make explicit calculations of the classes $(\pi_\alpha)_* c_{SM}(Z_\alpha)$ when $\dim Z_\alpha \geq 5$ we use the technique described in §5.1 and a computer program written in Sage (see [24]) which enumerates the fixed point set Z_α^T and keeps track of the T -characters.

Schubert cells in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$ are indexed by partitions contained in $(3, 3, 3)$. For convenience we label these as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3, 3, 3) &= \alpha_0 & (3, 3) &= \beta_0 & (3) &= \gamma_0 \\
 (3, 3, 2) &= \alpha_1 & (3, 2) &= \beta_1 & (2) &= \gamma_1 \\
 (3, 2, 2) &= \alpha_2 & (2, 2) &= \beta_2 & (1) &= \gamma_2 \\
 (3, 3, 1) &= \alpha_3 & (3, 1) &= \beta_3 & (0) &= \gamma_3 \\
 (2, 2, 2) &= \alpha_4 & (2, 1) &= \beta_4 & & \\
 (3, 2, 1) &= \alpha_5 & (1, 1) &= \beta_5 & & \\
 (2, 2, 1) &= \alpha_6 & & & & \\
 (3, 1, 1) &= \alpha_7 & & & & \\
 (2, 1, 1) &= \alpha_8 & & & & \\
 (1, 1, 1) &= \alpha_9 & & & &
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 1 lists the coefficients $[c_{SM}(X_\alpha^\circ)]_\beta$ where α indicates the row and β indicates the column.

One may calculate the CSM class of any Schubert *variety* X_α in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$ by adding up the rows in this table corresponding to the cells contained in X_α .

The subset of this table consisting of the partitions contained in $(3, 3)$ lists the CSM classes of Schubert cells contained in $Gr(2, \mathbb{C}^5)$. These agree with the classes listed in Example 1.2 of [2]. The author has also checked that all of the coefficients appearing in Table 1 agree with the formula given in [2], Theorem 3.10.

TABLE 1. CSM Classes of Schubert Cells Contained in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$

	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	β_0	α_4	α_5	β_1	α_6	α_7	β_2	β_3	α_8	γ_0	β_4	α_9	γ_1	β_5	γ_2	γ_3	
α_0	1																				
α_1		5	12	12	20	20	34	54	54	31	66	57	57	27	75	27	27	27	27	9	1
α_2		1	4	4	8	8	15	27	27	17	39	34	34	18	51	18	21	21	21	8	1
α_3			1			3	4	8	11	7	19	15	18	9	31	12	15	16	7	7	1
β_0				1	3		4	11	8	7	19	18	15	12	31	9	16	15	7	7	1
α_4						1		4			8	7		8	15		12	9	6	6	1
α_5							1	3	3		8	8	8	6	18	6	11	11	6	6	1
β_1								1			3	3		4	8		8	6	5	5	1
α_6									1		3		3		8	4	6	8	5	5	1
α_7										1		3	3	3	8	3	7	7	5	5	1
β_2											1				3		4	4	4	4	1
β_3												1		2	3		5	3	4	4	1
α_8													1		3	2	3	5	4	4	1
γ_0														1			3		3	3	1
β_4															1		2	2	3	3	1
α_9																1		3	3	3	1
γ_1																	1		2	2	1
β_5																		1	1	2	1
γ_2																				1	1
γ_3																					1

5.3. Explicit Calculation of Chern-Mather Classes. In this section we use the results of §3.3 to calculate the Chern-Mather classes of Schubert varieties contained in the Grassmannian $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$. To our knowledge these calculations have not appeared in the literature.

The hypotheses of §3.3 are satisfied for Schubert varieties in the Grassmannian. The first hypothesis is the existence of small resolutions. This is due to Zelevinsky and we reviewed the construction in §4.2. The second hypothesis is the irreducibility of the characteristic cycle. In [3], Bressler, Finkelberg, and Lunts use small resolutions to prove that the characteristic cycle of the intersection cohomology sheaf of a Schubert variety in the Grassmannian is irreducible.

Theorem 5.6. ([3], Theorem 0.1) *Let $X_\alpha \subset Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ be a Schubert variety and $\mathcal{IC}_{X_\alpha}^\bullet$ be the corresponding intersection cohomology sheaf. Then*

$$CC(\mathcal{IC}_{X_\alpha}^\bullet) = \left[\overline{T_{X_\alpha}^* Gr(k, V)} \right].$$

Thus by Theorem 3.4, the Chern-Mather class of a Schubert variety $X_\alpha \subset Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ equals

$$(\pi_\alpha)_* c_{SM}(Z_\alpha)$$

where $\pi_\alpha : Z_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is a *small* resolution.

We focus now on the Grassmannian $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$. To make explicit calculations of the classes $(\pi_\alpha)_* c_{SM}(Z_\alpha)$ we use the technique described in §5.1 and a computer program written in Sage (see [24]) which enumerates the fixed point set Z_α^T and keeps track of the T -characters.

For the table below, Schubert varieties contained in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$ are indexed by partitions in $P_{3,3}$. All of the partitions $\alpha \in P_{3,3}$ except $(3, 1)$ and $(3, 3, 1)$ have the property that the resolution

$$\pi_\alpha : Z_{\alpha, w_0} \rightarrow X_\alpha,$$

where w_0 is the order reversing permutation, is small. For $(3, 1)$ and $(3, 3, 1)$ the choice of $s = \text{id}$ gives a small resolution.

We employ the same ordering and labeling of sub-partitions of $(3, 3, 3)$ as in Section 5.2. The Chern-Mather classes of Schubert varieties in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$ are displayed in Table 2.

Remark 5.7. The entries in Table 2 are all non-negative. Empirical evidence suggests that the Chern-Mather classes of any Schubert variety are positive (in the sense of §6). This has been checked by computer for all the Schubert varieties in $Gr(5, \mathbb{C}^{10})$, for instance. The proof of Theorem 6.5 also confirms this in the infinite family of special cases considered there.

TABLE 2. Chern-Mather Classes of Schubert Varieties in $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$

	α_0	α_1	α_2	α_3	β_0	α_4	α_5	β_1	α_6	α_7	β_2	β_3	α_8	γ_1	β_4	α_9	γ_2	β_5	γ_3	γ_4
α_0	1	6	17	17	32	32	58	108	108	66	174	146	146	90	270	90	150	150	90	20
α_1	.	1	5	5	12	12	24	54	54	36	108	93	93	69	210	69	144	144	108	30
α_2	.	.	1	.	.	4	5	12	19	11	42	30	40	25	98	37	74	82	66	20
α_3	.	.	.	1	4	.	5	19	12	11	42	40	30	37	98	25	82	74	66	20
β_0	1	.	.	5	.	.	12	11	.	15	30	.	35	25	30	10
α_4	1	.	.	5	.	12	.	11	.	30	15	25	35	30	10
α_5	1	4	4	4	15	15	15	17	52	17	54	54	60	24
β_1	1	.	.	4	4	.	7	15	.	23	17	27	12
α_6	1	.	4	.	4	.	15	7	17	23	27	12
α_7	1	.	4	4	6	15	6	21	21	27	12
β_2	1	.	.	.	4	.	7	7	12	6
β_3	1	.	3	4	.	11	6	15	8
α_8	1	.	4	3	6	11	15	8
γ_1	1	.	.	4	.	6	4
β_4	1	.	3	3	8	6
α_9	1	.	4	6	4
γ_2	1	.	3	3
β_5	1	3	3
γ_3	1	2
γ_4	1

5.4. Direct Pushforward. For certain permutations s , the class $(\pi_{\alpha,s})_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_{\alpha,s})$ may be computed in a more direct fashion. The results in the section may be used to compute the key pushforward of (4-14)

Let $Z = Z_{\alpha,s}$ where s is the order reversing permutation and let $X = \prod_i Gr(k_i, V)$ be as in § 4.3. For this choice of s , Z is a subvariety of a partial flag variety for $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. The directed graph encoding the incidence relations which define Z is shown in Figure 2. Recall that for $\alpha = [a_i; b_i]$ we have set $V^j = V_{a_1+\dots+a_j}$. Explicitly, Z is defined to be:

$$Z = \{U_{\bullet} \in X \mid V^{m-i} \subset U_i \subset U_{i-1}\},$$

where $U_0 := V$ by convention.

The relations $U_i \subset U_{i-1}$ imply that Z is a subvariety of a partial flag variety:

$$Z \subset \text{Fl}(k_m, k_{m-1}, \dots, k_1; V) \subset X.$$




FIGURE 2. Incidence Relation Graph Corresponding to Reverse Peak Order

The strategy of this section is to compute the pushforward of $c_{\text{SM}}(Z)$ to $A_* Gr(k_m, V)$ by representing it as a cycle in $A_* \text{Fl}(k_m, \dots, k_1; V)$ and using the sequence of Grassmannian bundles

$$\text{Fl}(k_m, \dots, k_1; V) \rightarrow \text{Fl}(k_m, \dots, k_2; V) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Fl}(k_m; V) = Gr(k_m, V).$$

The main task is to understand how to represent the fundamental class of Z in $A_* \text{Fl}(k_m, \dots, k_1; V)$. To do this we need the following special case of the Thom-Porteous formula ([12], Theorem 14.4) as discussed in [12], Example 14.4.16. For the setup, $\underline{E} \rightarrow Y$ is a vector bundle over a variety, $c_\lambda(\underline{B})$ denotes a Schur determinant in the Chern classes of \underline{B} , and recall that $a \times b$ is a certain rectangular partition.

Proposition 5.8. *Let \underline{A} be a sub-bundle of \underline{E} and let q, k be non-negative integers. Set $s := \text{rk } \underline{E} - k - \text{rk } \underline{A} + q$. Then, the subset $\Omega_q(\underline{A})$ of $Gr(k, \underline{E})$ defined by*

$$\{(y, V) \mid \dim V \cap \underline{A}(y) \geq q\}$$

is a closed subvariety and its fundamental class is

$$[\Omega_q(\underline{A})] = c_{q \times s}(\underline{Q} - \pi^{-1} \underline{A}) \cap [Gr(k, \underline{E})]$$

Let $l_i := \text{rk } \underline{U}_i - \text{rk } \underline{W}_i^{\text{left}}$, $r_i := \text{rk } \underline{W}_i^{\text{right}} - \text{rk } \underline{U}_i$ and $\text{Fl} := \text{Fl}(k_m, \dots, k_1; V)$ for brevity. Also, let $\underline{U}_0 := \underline{V}$ by convention.

Proposition 5.9.

$$[Z] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_{r_i \times (k_i - l_i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [\text{Fl}]$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on m . Suppose that $m = 1$. Then Fl is a Grassmannian and $Z \subset \text{Fl}$ is a sub-Grassmannian defined by a single incidence relation:

$$Z = \{U_1 \in \text{Gr}(k_1, V) \mid W_1^{\text{left}} \subset U_1\}.$$

By the Thom-Porteous Formula (Proposition 5.8) with $\underline{A} = \underline{W}_1^{\text{left}}$ and $q = \text{rk } \underline{W}_1^{\text{left}} = k_1 - l_1$ we have

$$[Z] = c_{(k_1 - l_1) \times r_1}(\underline{Q} - \pi^{-1}\underline{A}) \cap [\text{Fl}].$$

In this case $\underline{Q} = \underline{V}/\underline{U}_1$. To convert to an expression in terms of Segre classes of $\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1$ we use the triviality of \underline{A} to conclude that $c(\pi^{-1}\underline{A}) = s(\pi^{-1}\underline{A}) = 1$. Thus

$$[Z] = s_{r_1 \times (k_1 - l_1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1) \cap [\text{Fl}].$$

This verifies the proposition in the case $m = 1$.

Suppose that $m > 1$. Recall the projection map ϕ from the proof of Theorem 4.8

$$\phi : \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Gr}(k_i, V) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \text{Gr}(k_i, V).$$

The restriction of ϕ to Fl is a Grassmannian bundle over $\text{Fl}^{(m-1)} := \text{Fl}(k_{m-1}, k_{m-2}, \dots, k_1; V)$ with $\underline{E} = \underline{U}_{m-1}$, $\underline{S} = \underline{U}_m$, and $\underline{Q} = \underline{U}_{m-1}/\underline{U}_m$. Recall that $Z^{(m-1)} := \phi(Z)$ is defined by the same type of incidence relations as Z . Let $\tilde{Z} := \phi^{-1}(Z^{(m-1)}) \cap \text{Fl}$.

Consider the following diagram of varieties

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\iota_Z} & \tilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\tilde{Z}}} & \text{Fl} \\ \downarrow \phi|_Z & & \downarrow \phi|_{\tilde{Z}} & & \downarrow \phi|_{\text{Fl}} \\ Z^{(m-1)} & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z^{(m-1)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Fl}^{(m-1)}. \end{array}$$

Observe that \tilde{Z} is a Grassmannian bundle over $Z^{(m-1)}$ and moreover, Z is a sub-Grassmannian bundle of \tilde{Z} . Applying the argument of the case $m = 1$ here with $\underline{A} = \underline{W}_m^{\text{left}}$ and $q = k_m - l_m$ we get

$$[Z] = s_{r_m \times (k_m - l_m)}(\underline{U}_{m-1}/\underline{U}_m) \cap [\tilde{Z}].$$

By induction we may assume that

$$[Z^{(m-1)}] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_{r_i \times (k_i - l_i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [\text{Fl}^{(m-1)}].$$

Since $\tilde{Z} = (\phi|_{\mathbb{F}1})^{-1}(Z^{(m-1)})$, we have

$$[\tilde{Z}] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_{r_i \times (k_i - l_i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [\mathbb{F}1].$$

The Segre class $s_{r_m \times (k_m - l_m)}(\underline{U}_{m-1}/\underline{U}_m)$ is pulled back from $\mathbb{F}1$ so we can use the projection formula for $\iota_{\tilde{Z}}$ to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} [Z] &= s_{r_m \times (k_m - l_m)}(\underline{U}_{m-1}/\underline{U}_m) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} s_{r_i \times (k_i - l_i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [\mathbb{F}1] \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_{r_i \times (k_i - l_i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [\mathbb{F}1]. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following general result of Fulton and Pragacz gives an explicit formula for the pushforward of certain classes on a Grassmannian bundle including those which appear in Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 5.9.

Let \underline{E} be a vector bundle on a variety Y and $f : Gr(k, \underline{E}) \rightarrow Y$ an associated Grassmannian bundle. Let $\underline{S}, \underline{Q}$ denote the universal sub and quotient vector bundles on $Gr(k, \underline{E})$. As in Section 4.3, $s_\lambda(\underline{B})$ is a Schur determinant in the Segre classes of \underline{B} .

Theorem 5.10. ([13], Prop. 4.1) *Let $s = \text{rk } \underline{S}$, $q = \text{rk } \underline{Q}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q)$, and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)$ be arbitrary integer sequences. Then,*

$$f_*(s_\lambda(\underline{Q}) \cdot s_\mu(\underline{S}) \cap [Gr(k, \underline{E})]) = s_\Lambda(\underline{E}) \cap [Y]$$

where

$$\Lambda = (\lambda_1 - s, \dots, \lambda_q - s, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_s).$$

Remark 5.11. If the sequence Λ is not a partition, then either there is a partition ν (explicitly determined by Λ) such that $s_\Lambda(\underline{E}) = \pm s_\nu(\underline{E})$, or else $s_\Lambda(\underline{E}) = 0$. See [13] for details.

Let $\psi := \text{pr}_m|_{\mathbb{F}1} : \mathbb{F}1 \rightarrow Gr(k_m, V)$. We give an explicit formula for the pushforward under ψ of classes of the form

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_{\lambda(i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [\mathbb{F}1].$$

Proposition 5.12.

$$\psi_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^m s_{\lambda(i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \cap [\mathbb{F}1] \right) = s_\Lambda(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_m) \cap [Gr(k_m, V)]$$

where Λ has a block form $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m)$ with

$$\Lambda_i = \lambda(i) - r_i \times (r_{i+1} + r_{i+2} + \dots + r_m)$$

The proof of Proposition 5.12 proceeds by iteratively applying the Pushforward Formula (Theorem 5.10) along with the projection formula.

Example 5.13. We illustrate in an example with $m = 3$. Consider the sequence of Grassmannian bundles

$$\mathrm{Fl}(k_3, k_2, k_1; V) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \mathrm{Fl}(k_3, k_2; V) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \mathrm{Fl}(k_3; V) = \mathrm{Gr}(k_3, V).$$

The vector bundles associated to ψ_i are $\underline{E}_i := V/\underline{U}_{i+1}$, $\underline{S}_i := \underline{U}_i/\underline{U}_{i+1}$, and $\underline{Q}_i := V/\underline{U}_i$ where, as above, $\underline{U}_0 := V$ by convention. Note that $\underline{E}_i = \underline{Q}_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

We factor $\psi = \psi_2 \circ \psi_1$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 s_{\lambda(i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \cap [\mathrm{Fl}] \right) &= (\psi_2 \circ \psi_1)_* \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 s_{\lambda(i)}(\underline{S}_{i-1}) \cap [\mathrm{Fl}] \right) \\ &= (\psi_2)_* \left(s_{\lambda(3)}(\underline{S}_2) \cdot (\psi_1)_*(s_{\lambda(1)}(\underline{Q}_1) s_{\lambda(2)}(\underline{S}_1) \cap [\mathrm{Fl}_1]) \right) \\ &= (\psi_2)_* \left(s_{\lambda(3)}(\underline{S}_2) \cdot s_{(\lambda(1)-(r_1 \times r_2), \lambda(2))}(\underline{Q}_2) \cap [\mathrm{Fl}_2] \right) \\ &= s_{(\lambda(1)-(r_1 \times (r_2+r_3)), \lambda(2)-(r_2 \times r_3), \lambda(3))}(\underline{Q}_3) \cap [\mathrm{Fl}_3] \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\underline{Q}_3 = V/\underline{U}_3$, so the proposition is verified in the case $m = 3$.

To conclude this section we record a simple positivity statement for $c_{\mathrm{SM}}(Z)$. This will be used in the sequel.

Proposition 5.14. *Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fl}$ be the inclusion. Then, $i_* c_{\mathrm{SM}}(Z)$ is a positive class in $A_* \mathrm{Fl}$. Moreover, each term in the decomposition of $i_* c_{\mathrm{SM}}(Z)$ given by Corollary 4.12 is non-negative.*

Proof. Corollary 4.12 states

$$c_{\mathrm{SM}}(Z) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda \subset l_i \times r_i} D_{\lambda, \mu}^{l_i} s_{\mu}((\underline{U}_i/W_i^{\mathrm{left}})^{\vee}) s_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [Z].$$

Using the triviality of W_i^{left} and Proposition 5.9 we have

$$i_* c_{\mathrm{SM}}(Z) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda \subset l_i \times r_i} D_{\lambda, \mu}^{l_i} s_{\mu}(\underline{U}_i^{\vee}) s_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) s_{r_i \times (k_i - l_i)}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i) \right) \cap [\mathrm{Fl}].$$

Recall from Remark 4.10 that the coefficients $D_{\lambda, \mu}^N$ are non-negative. Thus it suffices to show that each term is non-negative. It is a standard fact that intersections of non-negative classes on a (partial) flag variety are non-negative. This follows from the fact that Fl carries a transitive algebraic group action. So we are reduced to showing that $s_{\nu}(\underline{U}_i^{\vee})$ and $s_{\nu}(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i)$ are dual to non-negative classes for all partitions ν .

For $s_\nu(\underline{U}_i^\vee)$, we observe that \underline{U}_i^\vee is generated by sections (i.e. it is a quotient of a trivial bundle). Indeed, there is a short exact sequence on Z ,

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{U}_i \rightarrow \underline{V} \rightarrow \underline{V}/\underline{U}_i \rightarrow 0,$$

which dualizes to

$$0 \rightarrow (\underline{V}/\underline{U}_i)^\vee \rightarrow \underline{V}^\vee \rightarrow \underline{U}_i^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus \underline{U}_i^\vee is a quotient of \underline{V}^\vee which is trivial. It is a well known fact that Chern classes of generated bundles are dual to non-negative classes (cf. [12] Example 12.1.7(a))

For $s_\nu(\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i)$, we use the fact that classes of this form are dual to the classes of certain Schubert varieties in Fl and hence are positive (cf. [11], § 8).

□

Remark 5.15. Proposition 5.12 suffices to calculate the pushforward of all the classes appearing $[Z] \in A_*\text{Fl}$ from Proposition 5.9. In order to use the above pushforward results for the CSM class $c(TZ) \cap [Z]$ (regarded in $A_*\text{Fl}$) one first needs to decompose factors of the form $c_\mu(\underline{U}_i/\underline{V}_{m-i})$ which appear in $c(TZ)$ (see Theorem 4.8) in terms of Chern classes of bundles of the form $\underline{U}_{i-1}/\underline{U}_i$. This may be done combinatorially in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients, see [21], Proposition 1.5.26.

6. POSITIVITY CONJECTURE

In this section we discuss the issue of positivity of CSM classes of Schubert cells and varieties. The methods developed in previous sections may be used to prove positivity in several special cases. We examine the positivity of classes $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha)$ when α has a special form. The type of partition considered corresponds to a Zelevinsky resolution whose geometry is particularly simple. For arbitrary α it is possible to prove the positivity of coefficients in $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ)$ with small codimension .

6.1. Known Results. In [2], Aluffi and Mihalcea state the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1. ([2], *Conjecture 1, § 4.1*) *For all partitions $\alpha \in P_{k,n-k}$, $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ)$ is a positive class in $A_*\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$.*

Since $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ) = [X_\alpha] + \sum_{\beta < \alpha} n_\beta [X_\beta]$, the conjecture is equivalent to the coefficients n_β being non-negative. Some cases of the conjecture are proved in [2] and [22]. For example the conjecture is known for Schubert cells $X_\alpha^\circ \subset \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ when $k \leq 3$. Also, n_β is known to be non-negative when $\beta = (b)$ for b a non-negative integer. In this case, X_β is isomorphic as a variety to projective space.

Recall that $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{\text{SM}}(X_\beta^\circ)$. If true, Conjecture 6.1 would imply the following weaker conjecture.

Conjecture 6.2. (*Weak Positivity Conjecture*) *For all partitions $\alpha \in P_{k,n-k}$, the class $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha)$ is positive in $A_*\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$.*

6.2. Weak Positivity Conjecture. In this section we prove the weak positivity conjecture for Schubert varieties whose Zelevinsky resolutions are simple in a certain sense. This includes the Schubert varieties with isolated singularities as well as the $X_\alpha \subset Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ where $k \leq 2$. We are interested in the class of Schubert varieties described below because they represent a simple special case of Schubert varieties having a “one step” Zelevinsky resolution.

Consider a partition α such that either α , or its conjugate $\tilde{\alpha}$, is of the form $(b + p, p^a)$ where $a, b, p > 0$. The diagram of such a partition (or its conjugate) has one long row (of length $b + p$) and all other rows are smaller of the same length (a rows of length p). Let $\pi_{\alpha,s} : Z_{\alpha,s} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ be a small resolution. Proposition 4.5 implies that the singular locus of X_α is the union of X_β where $d_{\alpha,s}(\beta) > 1$.

Proposition 6.3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $X_\alpha \subset Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is a Schubert variety whose singular locus is non-empty and coincides with a sub-Grassmannian of $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$.
- (2) α , or its conjugate, is of the form $(b + p, p^a)$ where $a, b, p > 0$.

Proof. The singular locus of X_α is B -stable so the hypothesis implies that the singular locus is equal to X_β where β is a rectangular sub-partition of α . In light of Proposition 4.5, the depth vector of β relative to α must be $(0, 1, 0)$ (i.e. α must have a single interior depression whose height above β is 1). Thus α has the form stated in (2).

To see that (2) implies (1), use Proposition 4.5 and the fact that the largest sub-partition β of α with non-zero depth vector is the rectangle $\beta = ((p - 1)^a)$. \square

Remark 6.4. The Schubert varieties described in the proposition include those with isolated singularities. This is the case when the sub-Grassmannian is a point and $\alpha = (b + 1, 1, \dots, 1)$ for some $b > 0$. Also included are the singular Schubert varieties of $Gr(2, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

The following theorem provides evidence for the weak positivity conjecture. We note that the special case included here of Schubert varieties in $Gr(2, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is implied by the corresponding Schubert cell positivity result of Aluffi-Mihalcea (see [2], §4.3-4.4).

Theorem 6.5. *Let α (or its conjugate) be of the form $(b + p, p^a)$ for integers $b, p, a > 0$. Then, $c_{SM}(X_\alpha)$ is positive.*

The remainder of this section is devoted to proving the theorem.

By *mutatis-mutandis* (see [2], §1), the set of coefficients occurring in $c_{SM}(X_\alpha)$ is the same as the set for $c_{SM}(X_{\tilde{\alpha}})$. Hence positivity of $c_{SM}(X_\alpha)$ implies positivity of $c_{SM}(X_{\tilde{\alpha}})$ and vice-versa. Without loss of generality, let $\alpha = (b + p, p^a)$ for some $a, b, p > 0$. Let X_β be the singular locus of X_α , i.e. $\beta = ((p - 1)^{a+1})$.

As in §5.4 we consider Zelevinsky resolutions which correspond to the order reversing permutation. Let $\pi_\alpha : Z_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ denote this resolution of X_α .

Lemma 6.6.

$$c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha) = (\pi_\alpha)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha) - b \cdot c_{\text{SM}}(X_\beta).$$

Proof. This is a straightforward application of functoriality of c_* . Indeed, by Theorem 4.5, π_α is a bijection over $X_\alpha \setminus X_\beta$ and has fiber isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^b over X_β . Thus

$$(\pi_\alpha)_* \mathbb{1}_{Z_\alpha} = \mathbb{1}_{X_\alpha} + (\chi(\mathbb{P}^b) - 1) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X_\beta} = \mathbb{1}_{X_\alpha} + b \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X_\beta}$$

and hence by functoriality,

$$c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha) = (\pi_\alpha)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha) - b \cdot c_{\text{SM}}(X_\beta).$$

□

Let α' denote the partition obtained by removing the rightmost peak from α . In peak notation we have $\alpha = [a, 1; p, b]$ and $\alpha' = [a + 1; p]$.

Recall the setup from § 5.4. We have $i : Z_\alpha \hookrightarrow \text{Fl}$, where Fl is a certain partial flag variety. Let π denote the projection $\pi : \text{Fl} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Also recall the expression of $c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha)$ from Theorem 4.8:

$$(6-18) \quad c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha) = (c(\underline{U}_2^\vee \otimes (\underline{U}_1/\underline{U}_2)) \cdot c((\underline{U}_1/\underline{V}^{a+p+1})^\vee \otimes (\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1))) \cap [Z_\alpha]$$

The following lemma shows that the pushforward of a certain term occurring in $i_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha)$ to $A_* \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is the CSM class of $X_{\alpha'}$.

Lemma 6.7. *The pushforward*

$$(6-19) \quad \pi_* \left(c(\underline{U}_2^\vee \otimes (\underline{U}_1/\underline{U}_2)) \cdot s_{\tilde{b}}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1) \cdot s_{b \times (a+p)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1) \cap [\text{Fl}] \right)$$

equals $c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\alpha'})$ in $A_* \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Note that the first factor of 6-19 is one of the factors in the decomposition of $c(TZ_\alpha)$ given by Theorem 4.8. The second two factors come from the other part of $c(TZ_\alpha)$ and the class $i_* [Z_\alpha]$, respectively.

Proof (of Lemma 6.7). First note that since $\text{rk } \underline{V}/\underline{U}_1 = b$ we may combine the last two factors in the left hand side expression as follows:

$$s_{\tilde{b}}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1) \cdot s_{b \times (a+p)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1) = s_{b \times (a+p+1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1).$$

Using Lemma 4.11 to expand the first factor of (6-19) we have:

$$\pi_* \left[\left(\sum_{\mu \leq \lambda \leq (a+1) \times p} D_{\lambda, \mu}^{a+1} s_\mu(\underline{U}_2^\vee) \cdot s_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}} \lambda}(\underline{U}_1/\underline{U}_2) \cdot s_{b \times (a+p+1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1) \right) \cap [\text{Fl}] \right].$$

We now apply the pushforward formula of Theorem 5.10. Note that in the special case we are working with, $\pi : \text{Fl} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is a Grassmannian bundle with associated

vector bundles $\underline{E} = \underline{V}/\underline{U}_2$, $\underline{S} = \underline{U}_1/\underline{U}_2$, and $\underline{Q} = \underline{V}/\underline{U}_1$. Applying the pushforward and identifying $\underline{U}_2 = \underline{U}$, the universal sub-bundle on $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$, (6-19) becomes:

$$(6-20) \quad \left(\sum_{\mu \leq \lambda \leq (a+1) \times p} D_{\lambda, \mu}^{a+1} s_{\mu}(\underline{U}^{\vee}) \cdot s_{(b \times (a+1), \tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda))}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}) \right) \cap [Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)].$$

Observe that $\lambda \leq (a+1) \times p$ implies $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda) \leq p \times (a+1)$. Thus, the sequence $(b \times (a+1), \tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda))$ is in fact a partition.

Now we compare the expression (6-20) to the class $c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\alpha'})$. To compute $c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\alpha'})$ note that α' is rectangular and thus $X_{\alpha'}$ is smooth and isomorphic to a Grassmannian. Let \underline{U} denote the universal sub-bundle on $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$. In the embedding $X_{\alpha'} \hookrightarrow Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ we identify the tangent bundle of $X_{\alpha'}$ with $\underline{U}^{\vee} \otimes (\underline{V}^{a+p+1}/\underline{U})$ and by the Thom-Porteous formula, $[X_{\alpha'}] = s_{b \times (a+1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}) \cap [Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)]$. Expanding $c(TX_{\alpha'})$ we have:

$$c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\alpha'}) = \left(\sum_{\nu \leq \eta \leq (a+1) \times p} D_{\eta, \nu}^{a+1} s_{\nu}(\underline{U}^{\vee}) \cdot s_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\eta)}(\underline{V}^{a+p+1}/\underline{U}) \cdot s_{b \times (a+1)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}) \right) \cap [Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)].$$

Combining the last two factors (both are equal to Schur determinants in the Segre classes of $\underline{V}/\underline{U}$ on $Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$) as in the first paragraph, the result follows: \square

Now we can prove Theorem 6.5.

Proof (of Theorem 6.5). As noted above, the term which is pushed forward in Lemma 6.7 is (up to an integer coefficient) a term occurring in the decomposition of $c_{\text{SM}}(Z_{\alpha})$. Let $i_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_{\alpha}) = m \cdot T + T'$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, T is the term from the lemma and T' makes up the difference.

Proposition 5.14 implies that T' is a positive class in $A_* \text{Fl}$. Hence it is positive when pushed forward to $A_* Gr(k, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Using Lemma 6.6 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\alpha}) &= (\pi_{\alpha})_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_{\alpha}) - b \cdot c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\beta}) \\ &= \pi_* i_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_{\alpha}) - b \cdot c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\beta}) \\ &= m \cdot \pi_* T + \pi_* T' - b \cdot c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

The idea is to show that $m \cdot \pi_* T - b \cdot c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\beta})$ is in fact positive. Since $\pi_* T' > 0$, the result follows.

We claim that $\pi_* T - c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\beta}) > 0$ and $m > b$. From Lemma 6.7, $\pi_* T = c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\alpha'})$. Note that both α' and β are rectangular, and that $\beta < \alpha'$, the difference being a single column. Then the fact that $c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\alpha'}) - c_{\text{SM}}(X_{\beta}) > 0$ is a routine exercise in comparing the $D_{\lambda, \mu}^N$ coefficients. This is left to the reader.

The coefficient m comes from occurrences of the term $t = s_{(\tilde{b})}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1)$ in the second factor of $c(TZ_\alpha)$ (from (6-18)) which we expand:

$$c((\underline{U}_1/\underline{V}^{a+p+1})^\vee \otimes (\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1)) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda \leq 1 \times b} D_{\lambda, \mu}^1 s_\mu((\underline{U}_1/\underline{V}^{a+p+1})^\vee) s_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda)}(\underline{V}/\underline{U}_1).$$

Observe that the term t occurs in this expression precisely when $\mu = \lambda$. For each such pair (μ, λ) , $D_{\lambda, \mu}^1 = 1$, thus we have

$$m = \sum_{\mu = \lambda \leq 1 \times b} D_{\lambda, \mu}^1 = \sum_{i=0}^b 1 = b + 1.$$

Hence $m > b$ and the proof is concluded. □

6.3. Coefficients with Small Codimension. The methods developed in this paper can be used to prove that the coefficient of X_β in $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ)$ is positive when X_α° is arbitrary and X_β has small codimension in X_α . We sketch the idea of this proof for the codimension one case and give a combinatorial interpretation of the coefficient. We remark that the positivity results for small codimension may also be obtained using the formulas of [2].

Consider an arbitrary partition α and the class $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ) = [X_\alpha] + \sum_{\beta < \alpha} n_\beta [X_\beta]$. We want to calculate the coefficients n_β when $\beta < \alpha$ and $|\beta| = |\alpha| - 1$. The first step is to expand n_β in terms of (coefficients of) CSM classes of resolutions. Let $\pi_\alpha : Z_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ and $\pi_\beta : Z_\beta \rightarrow X_\beta$ be Zelevinsky resolutions as in §6.2. Using 4-14 and the fact that X_α is smooth at points in X_β° (see last paragraph of §2.2), we have

$$n_\beta = [(\pi_\alpha)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha)]_\beta - [(\pi_\beta)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\beta)]_\beta = [(\pi_\alpha)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha)]_\beta - 1.$$

It suffices then to show that $[(\pi_\alpha)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha)]_\beta > 1$. For reasons of dimension, we need only consider the contribution of $(\pi_\alpha)_*(c_1(TZ_\alpha) \cap [Z_\alpha])$. Using Theorem 4.8 this is a straightforward calculation: $c_1(TZ_\alpha)$ is a sum of terms:

$$c_1((\underline{U}_j/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}})^\vee \otimes (\underline{U}_{j-1}/\underline{U}_j)) = r_j \cdot c_1((\underline{U}_j/\underline{W}_j^{\text{left}})^\vee) + l_j \cdot c_1(\underline{U}_{j-1}/\underline{U}_j)$$

where the coefficients r_j, l_j are non-negative. One can then calculate the contribution to n_β of $(\pi_\alpha)_*(c_1(TZ_\alpha) \cap [Z_\alpha])$ explicitly using Theorem 5.10. To state the result precisely we need some notation. Let $\alpha = [a_1, \dots, a_m; b_0, \dots, b_{m-1}]$ in peak notation. For $i = 1, \dots, m$ let $\beta(i)$ denote the partition obtained by removing the top-most box from the i -th peak of α (see Figure 1).

Theorem 6.8. *With notation as above,*

$$[(\pi_\alpha)_* c_{\text{SM}}(Z_\alpha)]_{\beta(i)} = (a_i + a_{i+1} + \dots + a_m) + (b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_{i-1}) > 1.$$

In particular,

$$(6-21) \quad [c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ)]_{\beta(i)} > 0.$$

Remark 6.9. The formula of Theorem 6.8 has a combinatorial interpretation. Consider the partition diagram for α . The integer

$$(a_i + a_{i+1} + \cdots + a_m) + (b_0 + b_1 + \cdots + b_{i-1})$$

is 1 more than the number of boxes in the “anti-hook” whose corner is the box in the partition diagram of α which is removed to produce $\beta(i)$. By the theorem, the number of boxes in the anti-hook is precisely the coefficient $[c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ)]_\beta$. See Example 6.10 below for illustration.

Example 6.10. Suppose $\alpha = (5, 5, 2, 1) = [1, 1, 2; 1, 1, 3]$. Let $\beta = \beta(2)$. The coefficient of $[X_\beta]$ in $c_{\text{SM}}(X_\alpha^\circ)$ is $((1+2) + (1+1)) - 1 = 4$. The anti-hook is depicted below in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. Anti-hook length counts codimension 1 coefficients

REFERENCES

- [1] Aluffi, P., *Modification systems and integration in their Chow groups*, Selecta Math. (N.S.), **11** (2):155–202, 2005.
- [2] Aluffi, P. and Mihalcea, L. C., *Chern classes of Schubert cells and varieties*, 2006, to appear in Journal of Algebraic Geometry, [arXiv:math.AG/0607752](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0607752).
- [3] Bressler, P., Finkelberg, M., and Lunts, V., *Vanishing cycles on Grassmannians*, Duke Math. J., **61** (3):763–777, 1990.
- [4] Carrell, J. B. and Kuttler, J., *Smooth points of T-stable varieties in G/B and the Peterson map*, Invent. Math., **151** (2):353–379, 2003.
- [5] de Fernex, T., Lupercio, E., Nevins, T., and Uribe, B., *Stringy Chern classes of singular varieties*, Adv. Math., **208** (2):597–621, 2007.
- [6] Deodhar, V. V., *Local Poincaré duality and nonsingularity of Schubert varieties*, Comm. Algebra, **13** (6):1379–1388, 1985.
- [7] Dimca, A., *Sheaves in topology*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [8] Dubson, A. S., *Formule pour l’indice des complexes constructibles et des Modules holonomes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **298** (6):113–116, 1984.
- [9] Edidin, D. and Graham, W., *Equivariant intersection theory*, Invent. Math., **131** (3):595–634, 1998.
- [10] ———, *Localization in equivariant intersection theory and the Bott residue formula*, Amer. J. Math., **120** (3):619–636, 1998.
- [11] Fulton, W., *Flags, Schubert polynomials, degeneracy loci, and determinantal formulas*, Duke Math. J., **65** (3):381–420, 1992.
- [12] ———, *Intersection theory*, volume 2, Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1998.

- [13] Fulton, W. and Pragacz, P., *Schubert varieties and degeneracy loci*, volume 1689 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [14] González-Sprinberg, G., *L'obstruction locale d'Euler et le théorème de MacPherson*, in *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic (French)*, volume 82-83 of *Astérisque*, pages 7–32, Soc. Math. France, 1981.
- [15] Goresky, M. and MacPherson, R., *Intersection homology. II*, *Invent. Math.*, **72** (1):77–129, 1983.
- [16] Jones, B. F., *On the singular Chern classes of Schubert varieties via small resolution*, Ph.D. Thesis, http://math.uga.edu/~bjones/research/bfjones_thesis.pdf, 2007.
- [17] Kashiwara, M., *Systems of microdifferential equations*, volume 34 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [18] Krattenthaler, C., *Advanced determinant calculus*, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, **42**:Art. B42q, 67 pp. (electronic), 1999.
- [19] Macdonald, I. G., *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, second edition, 1995.
- [20] MacPherson, R. D., *Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties*, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **100**:423–432, 1974.
- [21] Manivel, L., *Symmetric functions, Schubert polynomials and degeneracy loci*, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [22] Mihalcea, L. C., *Sums of binomial determinants, non-intersecting lattice paths and positivity of chern-schwartz-macpherson classes*, 2007, [arXiv:math.CO/0702566](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0702566).
- [23] Parusiński, A. and Pragacz, P., *Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes and the Euler characteristic of degeneracy loci and special divisors*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (4):793–817, 1995.
- [24] Stein, W., *Sage: Open Source Mathematical Software (Version 3.1.2)*, The Sage Group, 2008, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [25] Zelevinskiĭ, A. V., *Small resolutions of singularities of Schubert varieties*, *Functional Anal. Appl.*, **17** (2):142–144, 1983.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602-3704

E-mail address: bjones@math.uga.edu