

A CRITERION FOR TOPOLOGICAL EQUIVALENCE OF TWO VARIABLE COMPLEX ANALYTIC FUNCTION GERMS

ADAM PARUSIŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We show that two analytic function germs $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are topologically right equivalent if and only if there is a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible components of their zero sets that preserves the multiplicities of these components, their Puiseux pairs, and the intersection numbers of any pairs of distinct components.

By Zariski [10] and Burau [2], the topological type of an embedded plane curve singularity $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ is determined by the Puiseux pairs of each irreducible component (branch) of this curve and the intersection numbers of any pairs of distinct branches. In this note we show the following

Theorem 0.1. *Let $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be (not necessarily reduced) analytic function germs. Then f and g are topologically right equivalent if and only if there is a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible components of their zero sets that preserves the multiplicities of these components, their Puiseux pairs, and the intersection numbers of any pairs of distinct components.*

Sketch of the proof. The "only if" follows from the above cited result of Zariski and Burau.

To show "if" we proceed as follows. We may connect the zero sets $(f^{-1}(0), 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and $(g^{-1}(0), 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ by an equisingular (topologically trivial) deformation of plane curve germs

$$(F^{-1}(0), 0) \times P \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \times P,$$

where P is a parameter space and $F : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \times P \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is analytic. Then, by [12] section 8, the pair $(F^{-1}(0) \setminus 0 \times P, 0 \times P)$ satisfies Whitney conditions. Consequently, by [1] or [7], the strata $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \times P \setminus F^{-1}(0)$, $F^{-1}(0) \setminus 0 \times P$, and $0 \times P$ stratify F as a function with the strong Thom condition w_f . This shows, by Thom-Mather theorem, that F is topologically trivial along P . \square

Remark 0.2. The following result was proven in [3] and [8] by different arguments. Let $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $n \geq 2$, be isolated analytic singularities such that the germs $(\mathbb{C}^n, f^{-1}(0), 0)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^n, g^{-1}(0), 0)$ are homeomorphic. Then either f or \bar{f} is topologically right equivalent to g .

Remark 0.3. In the isolated singularity case our proof can be expressed in a slightly different way. By Zariski, c.f. [11], an equisingular family of plane curves is μ -constant and multiplicity constant, i.e. μ^* constant in the sense of Teissier [9]. It is known, c.f. [7],

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32S15. Secondary: 14B05.

Key words and phrases. topological equivalence of functions, Puiseux pairs, w_f condition, Whitney conditions.

[1], and the bibliography quoted therein, that a μ^* constant family of isolated singularities satisfies w_f and hence is topologically trivial.

Remark 0.4. In [5] Kuo and Lu introduced a tree model $T(f)$ of an isolated singularity $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. This model allows one to visualise the Puiseux pairs of irreducible components of $f^{-1}(0)$ and the contact orders between them. Kuo and Lu's model can be easily adapted to the non-isolated case by adding the multiplicities of the components. Then theorem 0.1 says that f and g are topologically right equivalent if and only if their tree models coincide.

We give now details. First we connect f by an equisingular deformation to a normal family that depends only on the embedded topological type of $(f^{-1}(0), 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and the multiplicities of its branches. A similar construction gives an equisingular deformation connecting f and g . For these deformations we give elementary proofs of Whitney and strong Thom conditions and explicit formulae for vector fields trivializing them.

0.1. Deformation of f to a normal family. Fix $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Choose a system of coordinates so that $y = 0$ is transverse to the tangent cone to $f = 0$ at the origin. Let f_1, \dots, f_N be the irreducible factors of f . We write

$$(0.1) \quad f(x, y) = \prod_{k=1}^N (f_k(x, y))^{d_k} = u(x, y) \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^{m_k} (x - \lambda_{k,j}(y))^{d_k},$$

where $u(x, y)$ is a unit, $u(0, 0) \neq 0$, and $x = \lambda_{k,i}(y)$ are Newton-Puiseux roots of f_k . Then

$$\lambda_{k,i}(y) = \sum a_\alpha(k, i) y^\alpha,$$

are fractional power series: $\alpha \in \frac{1}{m_k} \mathbb{N}$. The coefficients $a_\alpha(k, i)$ are well-defined if we restrict λ to a real half-line through the origin. In what follows we choose $y \in \mathbb{R}, y \geq 0$. This allows us to define *the contact order* between two such roots as

$$O(\lambda_{k_1,i}, \lambda_{k_2,j}) := \text{ord}_0(\lambda_{k_1,i} - \lambda_{k_2,j})(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, y \geq 0.$$

All roots of f_k can be obtained from $\lambda_{k,1}$ by

$$\lambda_{k,j}(y) = \sum a_\alpha(k, 1) \theta^{j\alpha m_k} y^\alpha,$$

where $\theta = e^{2\pi i/m_k}$.

Denote by $\Lambda_{all,k} = \{\alpha_j\}$ the set of all contact orders between $\lambda_{k,1}(y)$ and the other Newton-Puiseux roots of f . The Puiseux exponents of $\lambda_{k,j}(y)$ form a subset $\Lambda_{P,k} \subset \Lambda_{all,k}$. Clearly $a_\alpha(k, j) \neq 0$ if $\alpha \in \Lambda_{P,k}$. The other exponents of $\Lambda_{all,k}$ can be divided into two groups. If the denominator of α does not divide the greatest common multiple of the denominators of $\alpha' \in \Lambda_{P,k}$, $\alpha' < \alpha$, then $a_\alpha(k, j) = 0$, since otherwise $\alpha \in \Lambda_{P,k}$. For the remaining exponents there is no condition on the coefficient $a_\alpha(k, j)$, so we denote their set by $\Lambda_{free,k}$. Finally we set

$$\Lambda_k := \Lambda_{P,k} \cup \Lambda_{free,k}.$$

For fixed k we order the roots $\lambda_{k,j}, j = 1, \dots, m_k$, by the lexicographic order on the sequences $(\arg(a_\alpha(k, j)), \alpha \in \Lambda_{P,k})$. Here $\arg \in [0, 2\pi)$. We obtain exactly the same ordering if we use the lexicographic order on $(\arg(a_\alpha(k, j)), \alpha \in \Lambda_k, a_\alpha(k, j) \neq 0)$ (for two

conjugate roots $O(\lambda_{k,i}, \lambda_{k,j})$ is a Puiseux exponent). We reorder the roots so that $\lambda_{k,1}(y)$ is the smallest among all $\lambda_{k,i}(y)$ with respect to this order.

Lemma 0.5. *Let $k_1 \neq k_2$. Then*

$$\max_{i,j} O(\lambda_{k_1,i}, \lambda_{k_2,j}) = O(\lambda_{k_1,1}, \lambda_{k_2,1}).$$

Proof. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $O(\lambda_{k_1,1}, \lambda_{k_2,1}) < O(\gamma_{k_1,i}, \gamma_{k_2,j})$. Then there exist j' and i' such that

$$O(\lambda_{k_1,i}, \lambda_{k_2,j}) = O(\lambda_{k_1,1}, \lambda_{k_2,j'}) = O(\lambda_{k_1,i'}, \lambda_{k_2,1}).$$

Then for $\alpha = O(\lambda_{k_1,1}, \lambda_{k_2,1})$, $a_\alpha(k_1, 1) = a_\alpha(k_2, j') \neq 0$ and $a_\alpha(k_1, i') = a_\alpha(k_2, 1) \neq 0$, and hence

$$\arg(a_\alpha(k_1, 1)) = \arg(a_\alpha(k_2, j')) > \arg(a_\alpha(k_2, 1))$$

and

$$\arg(a_\alpha(k_2, 1)) = \arg(a_\alpha(k_1, i')) > \arg(a_\alpha(k_1, 1))$$

that is impossible. \square

Definition 0.6. *The deformation space $D(f) \subset \prod_k \mathbb{C}^{|\Lambda_k|}$ is defined as follows. Write an element of $\mathbb{C}^{|\Lambda_k|}$ as $a(k) = (a_\alpha(k); \alpha \in \Lambda_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{|\Lambda_k|}$. Then, $\underline{a} = (a(k); k = 1, \dots, N) \in D(f)$ if :*

- (1) if $\alpha \in \Lambda_{P,k}$ the $a_\alpha(k) \neq 0$,
- (2) if $\alpha < O(\lambda_{k_1,1}, \lambda_{k_2,1})$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda_{k_1} \cap \Lambda_{k_2}$ then $a_\alpha(k_1) = a_\alpha(k_2)$.
- (3) if $\alpha = O(\lambda_{k_1,1}, \lambda_{k_2,1})$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda_{k_1} \cap \Lambda_{k_2}$ then $a_\alpha(k_1) \neq a_\alpha(k_2)$.

Write each Newton-Puiseux root of f as

$$(0.2) \quad \lambda_{k,j}(y) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} a_\alpha(k, j) y^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_k} a_\alpha(k, j) y^\alpha + R_{k,j}(y).$$

For $(s, \underline{a}) \in \mathbb{C} \times D(f)$ write

$$\lambda_{k,j}(s, \underline{a}, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_k} a_\alpha(k) \theta_k^{j\alpha m_k} y^\alpha + s R_{k,j}(y),$$

where $\theta_k = e^{2\pi i/m_k}$. Consider the following deformation of f

$$(0.3) \quad F(\tau, s, u_0, \underline{a}, x, y) = (\tau(u(x, y) - u(0, 0)) + u_0) \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^{m_k} (x - \lambda_{k,i}(s, \underline{a}, y))^{d_k},$$

where $(\tau, s, u_0, \underline{a}) \in P := \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times D(f)$. (P stands for the parameter space). Then F is analytic in all variables and $f(x, y) = F(1, 1, u(0, 0), \underline{a}(f), x, y)$, where $\underline{a}(f)$ is given by the coefficients $a_\alpha(k, 1)$ of the Newton-Puiseux roots of f .

We call $F : D(f) \times (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ *the normal family of germs associated to f* . It depends only on the topological type of $(f^{-1}(0), 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and the multiplicities d_k of irreducible components of f .

We may as well embed f and g in one equisingular family by taking

$$(0.4) \quad F(\tau, s, u_0, \underline{a}, x, y) = (\tau_1 u_1(x, y) + \tau_2 u_2(x, y) + u_0) \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^{m_k} (x - \lambda_{k,i}(s, \underline{a}, y))^{d_k},$$

where $u_1 = u_f(x, y) - u_f(0, 0)$ and $u_2 = u_g(x, y) - u_g(0, 0)$ and u_f , resp. u_g , denote the unit of (0.1) for f and g respectively, $s = (s_f, s_g)$ and

$$\lambda_{k,j}(s, \underline{a}, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_k} a_\alpha(k) \theta_k^{j\alpha m_k} y^\alpha + s_f R_{f,k,j}(y) + s_g R_{g,k,j}(y).$$

0.2. Whitney Conditions and Thom Condition. Let $X = F^{-1}(0) \subset U \subset P \times \mathbb{C}^2$, where U is a small open neighbourhood of $P \times \{0, 0\}$ in $P \times \mathbb{C}^2$. We show by elementary computations that $(U \setminus X, X \setminus P, P)$ as a stratification, satisfies Whitney condition and the strong Thom condition w_f .

Let G be the reduced version of F :

$$G(\tau, s, \underline{a}, x, y) = u(\tau, x, y) \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^{m_k} (x - \lambda_{k,i}(s, \underline{a}, y)),$$

The pair $(X \setminus P, P)$ satisfies Verdier condition w , [6], equivalent to Whitney conditions in the complex case, if for any $(x_0, y_0) \in P$ there is a constant C such that on X near (x_0, y_0) the following inequality holds

$$(0.5) \quad \left| \frac{\partial G}{\partial v} \right| \leq C \|(x, y)\| \left\| \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \right) \right\|$$

where v denotes any of the coordinates on the parameter space P . A direct computation on $x = \lambda_{k_0, i_0}(s, \underline{a}, y)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G}{\partial v} &= \left(-\frac{\partial \lambda_{k_0, i_0}}{\partial v} \right) \prod_{(k,i) \neq (k_0, i_0)} (x - \lambda_{k,i}(s, \underline{a}, y)), \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} &= \prod_{(k,i) \neq (k_0, i_0)} (x - \lambda_{k,i}(s, \underline{a}, y)). \end{aligned}$$

and (0.5) follows from

$$(0.6) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \lambda_{k_0, i_0}}{\partial v} \right| \leq C|y|,$$

that is a consequence of the fact that all exponents in $\bigcup_k \Lambda_k$ are ≥ 1 .

Similarly, $(U \setminus X, X \setminus P, P)$ as a stratification of F satisfies the strong Thom condition w_f if for any $(x_0, y_0) \in P$ there is a constant C such that in a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0) the following inequality holds

$$(0.7) \quad \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} \right| \leq C \|(x, y)\| \left\| \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right) \right\|$$

in the complement of the zero set of F .

Replace y by t^n so that the roots $\lambda_{k,i}(s, \underline{a}, t^n)$ become analytic. We denote them using a single index as $\tilde{\lambda}_m(s, \underline{a}, t)$. Given a power series $\xi(v, t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} a_{\alpha}(v)t^{\alpha}$, where $v \in P$, we consider a *horn-neighborhood* of ξ

$$H_d(\xi, w) = \{(x, v, t); |x - \xi(v, t)| \leq w|t|^d\},$$

where $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $w > 0$, compare [5] § 6. In what follows $\xi(v, t)$ will be one of the roots $\tilde{\lambda}_m$. In $H_d(\xi, w)$ we use the coordinates \tilde{x}, t, v , where

$$x = \tilde{x}t^d + \xi(v, t).$$

Let $I(\xi, d) = \{m; O(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \xi) \geq d\}$. Then

$$(0.8) \quad F(v, \tilde{x}, t) = u(v, \tilde{x}, t) t^M \prod_{m \in I(\xi, d)} (\tilde{x} - \beta_m(v, t))^{d_m},$$

where $M = \sum_{m \notin I(\xi, d)} d_m O(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \xi) + d \sum_{m \in I(\xi, d)} d_m$, u is a unit, and $\beta_m = t^{-d}(\tilde{\lambda}_m - \xi)$.

Denote $e_{m,j} = O(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \tilde{\lambda}_j)$ for $j \neq m$. Let $C > 0$ stands for a large constant and $\varepsilon > 0$ for a small constant.

Lemma 0.7. *For $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ arbitrary, (0.7) holds on $\hat{H}_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \varepsilon, C) = H_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \varepsilon) \setminus \bigcup_l H_{e_{m,l}}(\tilde{\lambda}_m, C)$, where the union is taken over all $l \neq m$ such that $O(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \tilde{\lambda}_l) > d$.*

Proof. By (0.8)

$$(0.9) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = \left(\sum_j d_k \frac{-\partial \beta_j / \partial v}{\tilde{x} - \beta_j(t, v)} + \frac{\partial u / \partial v}{u} \right) F$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{x}} = t^{-d} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{x}} = \left(\sum_j d_k \frac{1}{\tilde{x} - \beta_j(t, v)} + \frac{\partial u / \partial \tilde{x}}{u} \right) t^{-d} F.$$

If $(\tilde{x}, t, v) \in \hat{H}_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \varepsilon, C)$ and $O(\tilde{\lambda}_i, \tilde{\lambda}_l) > d$ then $|\beta_i - \beta_l| \leq \delta |\tilde{x} - \beta_i|$, for δ small if C is large, and hence

$$\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right| \geq \frac{\delta}{|\tilde{x} - \beta_i|} |F|.$$

Then (0.7) follows from (0.6) since $|\frac{\partial \beta_j}{\partial v}| \leq C|t^{-d}y|$. □

Lemma 0.8. *Fix $d = e_{m,j}$. Then (0.7) holds on $\tilde{H}_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, C, \varepsilon) = H_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, C) \setminus \bigcup_l H_d(\tilde{\lambda}_l, \varepsilon)$, where the union is taken over all l such that $O(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \tilde{\lambda}_l) \geq d$ (including $l = m$).*

Proof. Note that on $\tilde{H}_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, C, \varepsilon)$, $|\tilde{x} - \beta_j(t, v)| \geq \varepsilon$ and $\partial \beta_j / \partial t$ is bounded. Therefore

$$\left| t \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right| = \left| \left(M + \sum_j d_j \frac{-t \partial \beta_j / \partial t}{\tilde{x} - \beta_j(t, v)} + \frac{t \partial u / \partial t}{u} \right) F \right| \geq (M - \delta) \cdot |F|$$

where $\delta \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Since $M > 0$, we have after (0.9)

$$(0.10) \quad \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} \right| \leq C|F| \leq \left| t \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right| \leq \left| d \left(y \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right) \right|$$

□

To complete the proof of (0.7) we note that a finite family of horns $\hat{H}_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, \varepsilon, C)$, $\tilde{H}_d(\tilde{\lambda}_m, C)$, with $d = e_{m,j}$ or $d = 0$, covers a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 (times a neighborhood in the parameter space).

0.3. Trivialization. By Thom-Mather theory the family F is locally topologically trivial along P . Moreover, it can be show easily that it can be trivialized by Kuo's vector field, cf. [4]. Suppose that the parameter P space is one-dimensional with the parameter v . Define the vector field V on each stratum separately by formulae :

$$\begin{aligned} V &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} && \text{on } P \\ V &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial G / \partial v}{\|\text{grad}_{x,y} G\|^2} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) && \text{on } X \setminus P \\ V &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial F / \partial v}{\|\text{grad}_{x,y} F\|^2} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) && \text{on } U \setminus X . \end{aligned}$$

The flow of V is continuous and preserves the levels of F by the arguments of [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Briançon, Ph. Maisonobe, M. Merle : *Localisation de systèmes différentiels, stratifications de Whitney et condition de Thom*. Invent. Math. **117** (1994), no. 3, 531–550.
- [2] W. Burau: *Kennzeichnung der Schlauchknoten*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg, **9**, 1932, 125–133
- [3] H. King : *Topological type of isolated critical points*, Ann. Math. (2) **107** (1978), no. 2, 385–397.
- [4] T.C. Kuo, *On C^0 -sufficiency of jets of potential functions*, Topology **8** (1969), 167–171.
- [5] T.-C. Kuo, Y.C. Lu : *On analytic function germs of complex variables*, Topology **16** (1977), 299–310.
- [6] J.L. Verdier, *Stratifications de Whitney et thorme de Bertini-Sard*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 295–312.
- [7] A. Parusiński : *Limits of tangent spaces to fibres and the w_f condition*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), no. 1, 99–108
- [8] O. Saeki : *Topological Types of Complex Isolated Hypersurface Singularities*, Kodai. Math. J., **12** (1989), 23–29.
- [9] B. Teissier : *Cycles évanescents, sections planes et conditions de Whitney*. Singularités à Cargèse, Asterisque, Nos. 7 et 8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973, 285–362.
- [10] O. Zariski, *On the topology of algebroid singularities*, Amer. Jour. Math. **54** (1932), 453–465.
- [11] O. Zariski : *Studies in Equisingularity.I. equivalent singularities of plane algebroid curves.* , Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 507–536.
- [12] O. Zariski : *Studies in equisingularity.II. Equisingularity in codimension 1 (and characteristic zero)*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 952–1006.
- [13] O. Zariski : *General theory of saturation and of saturated local rings. II. Saturated local rings of dimension 1*. Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 872–964.
- [14] O. Zariski : *Contribution to the problem of equisingularity*, Collected papers, Vol. IV, MIT Press, 1979, Cambridge, London, 159–237

LABORATOIRE ANGEVIN DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUES, UMR 6093 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS, 2, BD LAVOISIER, 49045 ANGERS CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: adam.parusinski@univ-angers.fr