

Improving Carnot efficiency with quantum correlations

Raoul Dillenschneider and Eric Lutz

Department of Physics, University of Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany

(Dated: December 18, 2018)

We consider a quantum Carnot engine that consists of a single-mode radiation field in a resonating optical cavity. We show that the quantum efficiency can exceed the classical Carnot limit when the engine is coupled to a reservoir of thermal entangled two-level atoms. In the limit of small quantum correlations, we express the Carnot efficiency in terms of the quantum discord, a measure of nonclassical correlations. We thus establish that quantum correlated states can be used as a valuable resource in quantum thermodynamics.

PACS numbers: 05.70.-a, 03.65.Yz, 03.65.-a

Nonclassical correlations are one of the most intriguing consequences of quantum theory. A major discovery of the last decade is that the efficiency of some computing and information processing tasks can be greatly enhanced when using quantum correlated states [1]. Standard examples include number factorization [2], cryptography [3], superdense coding [4] and teleportation [5]. Quantum correlations thus appear an exploitable physical resource akin to more familiar quantities like energy, which plays an important role in thermodynamical applications.

Recently, Scully and coworkers introduced a new kind of quantum Carnot engine and showed that its efficiency can be increased beyond the maximum classical value by using coherent superposition of states [6]. Specifically, their quantum heat engine consists of a single mode of a quantized radiation field inside a resonant high-quality optical cavity. One of the mirrors of the cavity (the piston) is driven by the radiation pressure of the thermal photons of the field, while the other mirror is used to exchange heat with an external reservoir. The coupling to a second (quantum) reservoir is achieved by sending individual thermal atoms through the cavity and letting them interact with the radiation field. Two cases can be distinguished: When regular two-level atoms are sent through the cavity, the maximum efficiency of the quantum engine after a Carnot cycle is found to be given by the classical Carnot efficiency, $\eta_C = 1 - T_c/T_h$, where T_c and T_h are the respective temperatures of the cold and hot reservoir (which here corresponds to the atomic reservoir). The situation changes dramatically when three-level atoms are considered instead. By preparing the two (quasi-degenerate) ground states in a coherent superposition and by properly tuning the relative phase between the two states, the temperature of the atomic reservoir can be effectively increased. As a consequence, the quantum Carnot efficiency can become larger than the classical one, $\eta > \eta_C$. Moreover, the quantum efficiency does not vanish when the temperatures of the two reservoirs are taken equal, in contrast to the classical result, indicating that work can be extracted from a single heat bath in the quantum regime.

These remarkable findings are based on the linear structure (superposition principle) of quantum mechanics. A fascinating question is whether the Carnot effi-

ciency can also be improved by employing quantum correlated states. Our purpose in this paper is to answer this question in the affirmative and thus show that quantum correlations cannot only be used as a valuable resource in quantum information theory, but also in the realm of quantum thermodynamics.

In order to investigate the effect of quantum correlations in the quantum Carnot engine described above, we consider pairwise correlated two-level atoms in a thermal entangled state [7]. The notable feature of these states is the existence of entanglement in thermal equilibrium at finite temperature. Thermal entanglement has been extensively studied in solid-state systems, such as various Ising or Heisenberg spin models [8, 9, 10]. Signatures of thermal entanglement in macroscopic systems have in addition been observed in recent experiments [11, 12, 13].

In the following, we calculate the quantum Carnot efficiency when a beam of two-level atoms in thermal entangled states is sent through the optical cavity. We treat two different cases: In the first case, the two atoms of a correlated pair fly sequentially through the cavity, whereas in the second case, only one of the atoms interacts with the radiation field. In both situations, we find that the temperature of the cavity is effectively modified; the temperature is lowered in the two-atom case, while it is raised in the one-atom case. In both instances, we show that the quantum Carnot engine can outperform the classical engine due to the presence of nonclassical correlations. In particular, work can be extracted from the reservoirs when $T_c = T_h$. Surprisingly, we observe that the efficiency can be enhanced even though the atomic pair is in a separable, that is, nonentangled state. In the limit of small correlations, we express the Carnot efficiency in terms of the quantum discord [14, 15]. The quantum discord is a measure of the quantumness of correlations between parts of a systems and can be different from zero even if the state is separable. Interestingly, the discord has lately been proposed to characterize the performance of a quantum computational model [16].

Thermal entanglement. Let us consider two identical two-level atoms with frequency ω coupled via a XY-Heisenberg interaction of the form,

$$H = \hbar\omega S_1^z + \hbar\omega S_2^z + \hbar\lambda (S_1^+ S_2^- + S_1^- S_2^+) , \quad (1)$$

where λ is the controllable strength of the interaction. We have here defined the spin operators $S_j^z = \sigma_j^z/2 = (|g\rangle\langle g|_j - |e\rangle\langle e|_j)/2$ and $S_j^\pm = (S_j^x \pm iS_j^y)$, where $\sigma^{x,y,z}$ are the usual Pauli operators. Ground and excited states are denoted by $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$. In the context of cavity QED, a scheme to entangle in a controlled manner two identical atoms via the XY–Heisenberg interaction (1) has been recently proposed in Ref. [17] and implemented experimentally [18]. The state of the two atoms in thermal equilibrium at temperature T is described by the density operator, $\rho = Z^{-1} \exp(-\beta H)$, where $Z = \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta H) = 2 (\cosh(\beta\hbar\omega) + \cosh(\beta\hbar\lambda))$ is the partition function and $\beta = (kT)^{-1}$. In the natural basis $\{|gg\rangle, |ge\rangle, |eg\rangle, |ee\rangle\}$, the thermal density matrix can be written as,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{Z} \left(e^{\beta\hbar\omega} |gg\rangle\langle gg| + e^{-\beta\hbar\omega} |ee\rangle\langle ee| + e^{\beta\hbar\lambda} |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| + e^{-\beta\hbar\lambda} |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| \right) , \quad (2)$$

where we have introduced the maximally entangled Bell states, $|\Psi_\pm\rangle = (\pm|ge\rangle + |eg\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, which, together with the states $|gg\rangle$ and $|ee\rangle$, form an orthogonal basis. It is worth noticing that the interaction parameter λ controls the weight of the two Bell states inside the mixture. For further reference, we also define the following matrix elements of ρ : the matrix elements in the ground and excited states, $\rho_g = \langle gg|\rho|gg\rangle = Z^{-1} \exp(\beta\hbar\omega)$ and $\rho_e = \langle ee|\rho|ee\rangle = Z^{-1} \exp(-\beta\hbar\omega)$, as well as the diagonal, $\rho_d = \langle ge|\rho|ge\rangle = \langle eg|\rho|eg\rangle = Z^{-1} \cosh(\beta\hbar\lambda)$ and nondiagonal elements, $\rho_{nd} = \langle ge|\rho|eg\rangle = \langle eg|\rho|ge\rangle = -Z^{-1} \sinh(\beta\hbar\lambda)$. The nondiagonal matrix elements vanish when $\lambda = 0$.

The entanglement properties of the thermal state (2) have been investigated in Ref. [19]. The concurrence can be evaluated in closed form and reads

$$C = \max \left\{ 0, \frac{\sinh(\beta\hbar\lambda) - 1}{\cosh(\beta\hbar\omega) + \cosh(\beta\hbar\lambda)} \right\} . \quad (3)$$

For $\beta\hbar\lambda > \text{arcsinh}(1) \simeq 0.88$, the concurrence is non-zero and the two atoms described by Eq. (2) are therefore thermally entangled. However, for $\beta\hbar\lambda \leq \text{arcsinh}(1)$, the concurrence vanishes and the mixture is separable. The thermal state (2) is thus entangled for sufficiently low temperature and/or strong coupling λ .

Two atoms through cavity. We next focus on the situation in which atoms of thermal entangled pairs pass through the cavity at random time intervals. Each atom, $j = 1, 2$, interacts with the single mode of the radiation field via a resonant Jaynes–Cummings coupling, $H_j^{int} = -g\hbar (aS_j^+ + a^\dagger S_j^-)$, with coupling constant g .

The Hamiltonian of the cavity mode is $H_{ca} = \hbar\omega a^\dagger a$, where a and a^\dagger are the ladder operators of the oscillator. We are interested in the properties of the single cavity (photon) mode described by the operator $\rho_{ph}(t)$. The master equation obeyed by $\rho_{ph}(t)$ can be derived in the limit of weak coupling by using standard techniques [20] and reads,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{ph}}{\partial t} &= i\omega [\rho_{ph}, a^\dagger a] \\ &- \frac{r_1}{2} \phi^2 (aa^\dagger \rho_{ph} - 2a^\dagger \rho_{ph} a + \rho_{ph} aa^\dagger) \\ &- \frac{r_2}{2} \phi^2 (a^\dagger a \rho_{ph} - 2a \rho_{ph} a^\dagger + \rho_{ph} a^\dagger a) , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\phi = g\tau$ with τ the time spent by atoms inside the cavity. The coefficients r_1 and r_2 are the arrival rates for atoms being respectively in the excited and ground state. They are related to the probabilities of emission and absorption of a photon in the cavity and are explicitly given by $r_1 = (\rho_e + \rho_d + \rho_{nd})$ and $r_2 = (\rho_g + \rho_d + \rho_{nd})$. The asymptotic steady state solution of the master equation (4) is the thermal distribution,

$$\rho_{ph}^s = (1 - e^{-\beta_{ca}\hbar\omega}) e^{-\beta_{ca}\hbar\omega a^\dagger a} . \quad (5)$$

The inverse temperature of the cavity, $\beta_{ca} = (kT_{ca})^{-1}$, is determined by the coefficients r_1 and r_2 through the detailed–balance condition, $\exp(-\beta_{ca}\omega) = r_1/r_2$. When the interaction parameter λ is zero, the temperature of the cavity is equal to the atomic temperature, $T_{ca} = T$. On the other hand, when $\lambda \neq 0$, the diagonal and nondiagonal matrix elements, ρ_d and ρ_{nd} , modify detailed–balance between emission and absorption. As a result, the temperature of the cavity is effectively changed. We will see below that $\lambda \neq 0$ indicates the presence of quantum correlations between two paired atoms. In that respect, nonclassical correlations play a role similar to the quantum coherence of the three–level atoms discussed in Ref. [6], which was also shown to alter detailed–balance.

The temperature of the cavity can be readily expressed in terms of the frequency ω of the two–level atoms and the interaction parameter λ . We have,

$$\frac{\beta_{ca}}{\beta} = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta\hbar\omega} \ln \left(\frac{1 + e^{\beta\hbar\omega} e^{-\beta\hbar\lambda}}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} + e^{-\beta\hbar\lambda}} \right) . \quad (6)$$

The ratio β_{ca}/β is always larger or equal than one, implying that the temperature of the cavity is smaller than the temperature of the reservoir when $\lambda \neq 0$.

One atom through cavity. We now consider the case where only one atom of the thermal entangled pair is sent through the cavity. The density operator of that atom is obtained from the total density matrix (2) by tracing over the second atom. Due to the symmetry of the mixture, the two reduced operators are identical. By repeating the previous analysis, we find that the stationary state of the cavity field is thermally distributed

with an inverse temperature, $\beta'_{ca} = (kT'_{ca})^{-1}$, satisfying $\exp(-\beta'_{ca}\omega) = r'_1/r'_2$, with $r'_1 = \rho_e + \rho_d$ and $r'_2 = \rho_g + \rho_d$. Here, the coefficients r'_1 and r'_2 depend on the interaction parameter λ only through the diagonal matrix elements ρ_d , since the nondiagonal elements do not appear. For the inverse temperature of the cavity, we obtain,

$$\frac{\beta'_{ca}}{\beta} = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta\hbar\omega} \ln \frac{1 + e^{\beta\hbar\omega} \cosh(\beta\hbar\lambda)}{e^{\beta\hbar\omega} + \cosh(\beta\hbar\lambda)}. \quad (7)$$

Contrary to the two-atom case, we observe that the inverse temperature ratio β'_{ca}/β is smaller or equal than one, indicating that the temperature of the cavity is larger than T when $\lambda \neq 0$.

Absorption and emission. Deeper insight into the previous results can be gained by directly examining the probabilities of absorption and emission of a photon by the cavity mode. The latter can be easily calculated from the master equation (2) by evaluating the transition rates per unit time, t_{\pm} , from an oscillator state $|n\rangle$ to the adjacent states $|n \pm 1\rangle$ [21]. They are given by

$$t_+ = r_1\phi^2(n+1), \quad (\text{absorption}) \quad (8)$$

$$t_- = r_2\phi^2 n, \quad (\text{emission}) \quad (9)$$

and similar expressions for the transition rates, t'_{\pm} , in the one-atom case. The dependence of the different rates on the interaction parameter λ is shown in Fig. 1. When a beam of correlated atomic pairs is sent through the cavity, a larger value of λ leads to a stronger reduction of the absorption rate than the emission rate. As a consequence, less energy is deposited in the cavity and its temperature is therefore effectively lowered. On the other hand, when only one atom of the pair passes through the cavity, the absorption probability is enhanced, while emission is suppressed. In contrast to the previous situation, more energy is thus provided to the cavity. This results in an effective temperature rise.

Interestingly, the temperature of the cavity differs from the atomic temperature in both cases, even when $\beta\hbar\lambda \leq \text{arcsinh}(1)$, that is, even when the two-atom state is separable. This result shows that entanglement by itself is not responsible for the observed deviations. In the following, we express the modified cavity temperature in terms of the quantum discord.

Quantum discord. The quantum discord is an information-theoretic measure of quantum correlations. It has been first introduced in Ref. [14] and later related to the difference between quantum and classical efficiencies of Maxwell's demon in Ref. [15]. It is defined as the difference between two expressions of the mutual information that are classically identical,

$$\delta(1|2) = \mathcal{I}(1:2) - \mathcal{J}(1:2). \quad (10)$$

The quantum mutual information $\mathcal{I}(1:2)$ is given by $\mathcal{I}(1:2) = H(1) + H(2) - H(1,2)$, where $H(j) = -\text{Tr}\rho_j \log_2 \rho_j$, is the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density operator of atom j , and $H(1,2) = -\text{Tr}\rho \log_2 \rho$ is




FIG. 1: Probabilities of absorption and emission, t_{\pm} , of a photon by the cavity mode, Eqs. (8) and (9), from the oscillator state $n = 1$, as a function of the interaction parameter $\beta\hbar\lambda$ for a fixed value of the temperature $\beta\hbar\omega = 1$.

the joint entropy of the total density matrix (2). The alternative quantum generalization of the mutual information is $\mathcal{J}(1:2) = H(1) - H(1|\{\Pi_{2,l}\})$. The conditional entropy, $H(1|\{\Pi_{2,l}\}) = \sum_l p_l H(1|\Pi_{2,l})$, is the sum of the von Neumann entropies $H(1|\Pi_{2,l})$ of the conditional density operators, $\rho_{1|\Pi_{2,l}} = \Pi_{2,l}\rho\Pi_{2,l}/p_l$, after a perfect measurement of atom 2. The operators $\{\Pi_{2,l}\}$ define a set of orthogonal projectors on atom 2 and $p_l = \text{Tr}_{1,2}\Pi_{2,l}\rho$ denotes the probability of occurrence of state $\rho_{1|\Pi_{2,l}}$ in a given measurement. The mutual information $\mathcal{J}(1:2)$ usually depends on the measurement basis and the discord has to be minimized over all sets $\{\Pi_{2,l}\}$. Using the parametrized basis, $\{\cos\theta|g\rangle - \sin\theta|e\rangle, -\sin\theta|g\rangle - \cos\theta|e\rangle\}$, we find the minimum of the discord for $\theta = \pi/4$:

$$\delta(1|2) = -\frac{1}{\ln(2)} \left[2(\beta\hbar\lambda) \rho_{nd} + \sum_{\alpha=g,e} \rho_{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_{\alpha} + \rho_d}{\rho_{\alpha}} \right) + \rho_d \ln(Z^2(\rho_g + \rho_d)(\rho_e + \rho_d)) + \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm} \Phi_{\varepsilon} \ln \Phi_{\varepsilon} \right], \quad (11)$$

where we have defined $\Phi_{\varepsilon} = (1 + \varepsilon \sqrt{(\rho_e - \rho_g)^2 + 4\rho_{nd}^2})/2$. The discord vanishes when the interaction parameter λ is zero, corresponding to the absence of quantum correlations between the two atoms. For maximally correlated states, the discord is unity and is, in this particular case, equal to the concurrence \mathcal{C} . In general, however, the discord is different from the concurrence and can even be nonzero when the state is separable.

Figure 2 shows the ratio of the temperature of the cavity and the reservoir temperature, Eqs. (6) and (7), as a function of the quantum discord (11) for a fixed value of $\beta\hbar\omega$. We first observe that the temperature of the cavity is equal to the atomic temperature in the absence of quantum correlations when the discord is zero. We further clearly recognize that the temperature of the cavity




FIG. 2: Relative inverse temperature of the cavity, β_{ca}/β , Eqs. (6) and (7), as a function of the quantum discord $\delta(1|2)$, Eq. (11), for the parameter $\beta\hbar\omega = 10^{-3}$. The full (dashed) line corresponds to the situation in which one (two) atom(s) of the thermal entangled pair goes through the optical cavity.

increases with the discord in the case where only one of the two correlated atoms passes through the cavity, and decreases when both atoms fly through the cavity. We can therefore conclude that the deviation of the cavity temperature from the reservoir temperature is induced by the quantum correlations of the thermal entangled pair. The maximum temperature deviation is obtained for maximal quantum correlations, $\delta(1|2) = 1$.

In the limit of high temperature and small interaction parameter, $\beta\hbar\omega \ll 1$ and $\beta\hbar\lambda \ll 1$, Eq. (11) simplifies to $\delta(1|2) \simeq (\beta\hbar\lambda)^2/8\ln 2$. Hence, we can directly express the effective temperature of the cavity in terms of the quantum discord using Eqs. (6) and (7). We find a square-root dependence, $T_{ca} = T(1 - \sqrt{\delta(1|2)\ln 2})$, in the two-atom case and a linear dependence, $T'_{ca} = T(1 + \delta(1|2)2\ln 2)$, in the one-atom case.

Improved Carnot efficiency. We now are in the posi-

tion to demonstrate that quantum correlations can increase the quantum Carnot efficiency above its classical value. We consider a usual Carnot cycle where the cavity is successively put into contact with a high and low temperature reservoir as described in Ref. [6]. In the two-atom case, the atomic reservoir is taken to be the cold reservoir, while the opposite choice is made in the one-atom case. For small quantum discord, the corresponding Carnot efficiencies are given by,

$$\eta = \eta_C + \frac{T_c}{T_h} \sqrt{\delta(1|2)\ln 2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\eta' = \eta_C + \frac{T_c}{T_h} \delta(1|2)2\ln 2. \quad (13)$$

The above equations show that the quantum efficiency exceeds the classical efficiency η_C in the presence of quantum correlations with nonzero discord. Furthermore, we note that the quantum heat engine can still extract work from the external reservoirs when their temperatures are equal, $T_c = T_h$. In the latter situation, the Carnot efficiency is directly related to the quantum discord. It should be emphasized that the second law of thermodynamics is not violated even though work can be gained from a single reservoir, since work has to be provided to prepare the thermal entangled state (2).

To conclude, we have shown that a beam of quantum correlated two-level atoms can modify detailed-balance between absorption and emission of photons in a single-mode cavity and change its effective temperature. As a result, the efficiency of the photo-Carnot engine can be increased above the classical limit. Moreover, in the limit of small correlations, we have expressed the Carnot efficiency in terms of the quantum discord. These findings show that quantum correlations can be considered a useful physical resource in quantum thermodynamics.

This work was supported by the Emmy Noether Program of the DFG (contract LU1382/1-1) and the cluster of excellence Nanosystems Initiative Munich (NIM).

-
- [1] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [2] P.W. Shor, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput. **26**, 1484 (1997).
- [3] A.K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).
- [4] C.H. Bennett and S.J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2881 (1992).
- [5] C.H. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).
- [6] M.O. Scully, M.S. Zubairy, G.S. Agarwal, and H. Walther, Science **299**, 862 (2003).
- [7] M.A. Nielsen, PhD thesis, Univ. of New Mexico (1998).
- [8] M.C. Arnesen, S. Bose, and V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 017901 (2001).
- [9] G.L. Kamta and A.F. Starace, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 107901 (2002).
- [10] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. (to be published), arXiv:quant-ph/0703044.
- [11] S. Ghosh *et al.*, Nature **425**, 48 (2003).
- [12] T. Vértesi and E. Bene, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 134404 (2006).
- [13] T.G. Rappoport *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 054422 (2007).
- [14] H. Ollivier and W.H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 017901 (2002).
- [15] W.H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 012320 (2003).
- [16] A. Datta, A. Shaji, and C.M. Caves, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 050502 (2008).
- [17] S.B. Zheng and G.C. Guo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 11 (2000).
- [18] S. Osnaghi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 037902 (2001).
- [19] X. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 012313 (2001).
- [20] W.P. Schleich, *Quantum Optics in Phase Space*, (Wiley, New York, 2001).
- [21] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Atom-Photon Interactions*, (Wiley, New York, 1992).