The invertible double of elliptic operators

Bernhelm Booss-Bavnbek^a, Matthias Lesch^b, and Chaofeng Zhu^c

^aDepartment of Science, Systems, and Models, Roskilde University, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark ^bMathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Beringstr. 6, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

^c Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

Abstract

We construct a canonical invertible double for general first order elliptic differential operators over smooth compact manifolds with boundary and derive a natural formula for the Calderón projector which yields a generalization of the famous Cobordism Theorem. Assuming symmetric principal symbol of the tangential operator and unique continuation property (UCP) from the boundary, we obtain the continuous dependence of the Calderón projection on the data. To cite this article: B. Booß–Bavnbek, M. Lesch, C. Zhu, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).

Résumé

Le double inversible des opérateurs elliptiques. Nous construisons un double inversible canonique pour des opérateurs différentiels elliptiques du premier ordre sur une variété compacte C^{∞} à bord; nous obtenons une formule naturelle pour le projecteur de Calderón, ce qui nous conduit à une généralisation du célèbre Théorème de Cobordisme. Sous les hypothèses que le symbole principal de l'opérateur tangentiel est symétrique et que la propriété de prolongement unique à travers le bord est réalisée, nous prouvons la dépendance continue des données du projecteur de Calderón. Pour citer cet article : B. Booß-Bavnbek, M. Lesch, C. Zhu, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).

Introduction A long-standing question in mathematics and physics is: How special are operators of Dirac type compared to arbitrary linear first order elliptic differential operators, e.g., arising from perturbations? It has been known for half a century that, e.g., the K-groups of spin manifolds are generated by the index classes of Dirac operators. For concrete calculations, however, many technical arguments depend on constructions which work only for geometrically defined operators of Dirac type and under the additional assumption that all structures are product near the boundary ∂M of the underlying smooth compact

Email addresses: booss@ruc.dk (Bernhelm Booss-Bavnbek), lesch@math.uni-bonn.de (Matthias Lesch), zhucf@nankai.edu.cn (Chaofeng Zhu).

Riemannian manifold M. Such operators then take the form $A = J(\frac{d}{dx} + B)$ close to the boundary ∂M with J, B independent of the normal variable x. The normal symbol J is a unitary bundle endomorphism with $J^2 = -I$; and the tangential operator B is a first order self-adjoint elliptic differential operator on ∂M . Furthermore, the (formal) self-adjointness of A and B imply JB = -BJ.

One of such technical devices is the following proposition, proved in the book by Booß and Wojciechowski [3].

Proposition 0.1 Let M be a smooth compact connected Riemannian manifold with boundary and let A be a Dirac type operator on M acting between sections of the Hermitian vector bundle E. Assume that all structures are product near the boundary. Then A and -A can be glued together to obtain an invertible elliptic operator $\tilde{A} = A \cup_{\partial M} (-A)$ on the closed double \tilde{M} .

The invertible double is used for the construction of the Calderón projector. This is a pseudo-differential idempotent (R.T. Seeley [7], [8]) with range being the space of Cauchy data $CD(A) := \{u|_{\partial M} \in L^2(\partial M) \mid Au = 0\}$ for A. "Construction of the Calderón projector" here means to provide a formula in terms of A such that the Lagrangian property $CD(A)^{\perp} = J CD(A)$ is implied and mapping properties and dependencies on the data become transparent. See Theorems 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 below.

For more general elliptic operators, in the literature an invertible elliptic extension is often assumed "for convenience". Actually, for Dirac type operators in the non-product case, one can still extend the collar a bit and deform to the product situation. The resulting operator will still be invertible; however it will neither be an exact double, nor will it be canonical.

Although a geometric invertible double is not available in general, in this Note we shall show that there is always a nice boundary value problem which provides an "invertible" double and that the mentioned properties, previously established rigorously only for Dirac type operators remain valid for general elliptic operators. The details of our results are available on arXiv math/0803.4160 and will be published separately.

1. Invertible double for general first order elliptic operators

Let M be a smooth compact connected Riemannian manifold with boundary and let $A : \Gamma^{\infty}(M, E) \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}(M, F)$ be a first order elliptic differential operator acting between sections of the Hermitian vector bundles E, F.

As above we separate variables in a collar U of the boundary and write $A|_U = J_0(\frac{d}{dx} + B_0) + C_1x + C_0$, $A^t|_U = (-\frac{d}{dx} + B_0^t)J_0^* + \tilde{C}_1x + \tilde{C}_0$ with bundle morphisms $J_0, C_0, \tilde{C}_0; B_0$ a first order elliptic differential operator on ∂M ; and C_1, \tilde{C}_1 first order differential operators on U. Put $\tilde{A} := A \oplus (-A^t)$, acting on sections of $E \oplus F$. A^t denotes the formal adjoint of A. We choose a bundle morphism $T \in \text{Hom}(E_{|\partial M}, F_{|\partial M})$ and impose the boundary condition $(f_+, f_-) \in \text{dom}(\tilde{A}_T) :\Leftrightarrow f_{-|\partial M} = Tf_{+|\partial M}$. The two most important cases are $T := (J_0^*)^{-1}$ and, if $J_0 = -J_0^*, T := J_0|J_0|^{-1}$. In both cases the endomorphism J_0^*T is positive definite.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that J_0^*T is positive definite. Then

- (i) \tilde{A}_T is a realization of a local elliptic boundary condition (in the classical sense of Shapiro-Lopatinski), hence \tilde{A}_T is a Fredholm operator with compact resolvent.
- (ii) ker $A_T = Z_0(A) \oplus Z_0(A^t)$ and coker $A_T \cong \ker A_T^* = Z_0(A^t) \oplus Z_0(A)$.

Here $Z_0(A) = \{u \in L^2(M, E) | Au = 0, u_{|\partial M} = 0\}$ denotes the space of "ghost solutions". By elliptic regularity it is easy to see that $Z_0(A)$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of $\Gamma^{\infty}(M, E)$ and hence does not depend on the choice of a Sobolev regularity for u. $Z_0(A) = \{0\}$ if and only if weak inner UCP holds for A. While weak UCP can be proved for Dirac type operators in various ways (see [2]) it is generally believed that weak inner UCP does not hold for all first order elliptic differential operators, cf. e.g. [5], and it is open whether weak UCP for A implies weak UCP for A^t , as conjectured by L. Schwartz.¹

If the operator A is formally self-adjoint, then for $T := J_0 |J_0|^{-1}$ the double \tilde{A}_T is self-adjoint.

2. Applications

2.1 The Calderón projection. From Theorem 1.1 the Calderón projector may be constructed in the usual way. Let $r_{\pm}(f_+, f_-) := f_{\pm}$ and $\varrho_{\pm}(f_+, f_-) := f_{\pm|\partial M}$ and denote by ϱ^* the L^2 -dual of ϱ_+ . It is well known that ϱ_{\pm} maps the Sobolev space $L^2_s(M, \ldots)$ continuously into $L^2_{s-1/2}(\partial M, \ldots)$ for s > 1/2 and consequently ϱ^* maps $L^2_s(\partial M, \ldots)$ continuously into $L^2_{s-1/2}(M, \ldots)$ for s < 0. These constraints on s cause some technical difficulties.

Definition 2.1 Let \widetilde{A}_T^{-1} denote the pseudoinverse of the operator \widetilde{A}_T . Put

 $K_{\pm} := \pm r_{\pm} \tilde{A}_T^{-1} \varrho^* J_0, \quad C_+ := \varrho_+ K_+, \quad C_- := T^{-1} \varrho_- K_- \,.$

Theorem 2.2 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and under the additional technical assumption that the commutator $[B_0^t, J_0^*T]$ is of order 0 we have:

(i) For $s \ge -1/2$ the operator K_+ maps $L^2_s(\partial M, E|_{\partial M})$ continuously into $L^2_{s+1/2}(M, E) \cap \ker A$.

(ii) C_{\pm} are complementary idempotents with im $C_{+} = CD(A)$ and im $C_{-} = T^{-1}CD(A^{t})$.

If $T = (J_0^*)^{-1}$ then C_{\pm} are orthogonal projections.

The method is more interesting than this result, which looks pretty similar to what one gets from geometric invertible double constructions respectively invertible non-canonical closed extensions. Namely, an approximation to K_+ near the boundary is constructed from the operator $Q_+(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-x\lambda} (\lambda - B_0)^{-1} d\lambda$. Here Γ is a contour which encircles the eigenvalues of B_0 in the right half plane and such that $\operatorname{Re} z_n \to \infty$ if z_n is on Γ with $|z_n| \to \infty$. $Q_+(0)$ is the positive sectorial spectral projection of B_0 which has recently been discussed by Ponge [6]. A crucial observation is that the tangential operator B_0 is not an arbitrary elliptic operator. Rather the ellipticity of A implies that $B_0 - it, t \in \mathbb{R}$, is elliptic in the parametric sense. This is much stronger than ellipticity.

The approximation to K_+ constructed from Q_+ allows to control the error when replacing C_+ by the sectorial projection of B_0 .

2.2 A general Cobordism Theorem. We shall now give different formulations of the Cobordism Theorem. The first claim, expressed in the language of symplectic functional analysis, follows immediately from our construction of the Calderón projection. The second claim is easily derived from the first claim.

Theorem 2.3 (The General Cobordism Theorem) Let $A : C^{\infty}(M, E) \to C^{\infty}(M, F)$ be a first order formally self-adjoint elliptic differential operator on a smooth compact manifold M with boundary acting between sections of vector bundles E, F. Then we have the following results:

(I) Let C_{\pm} denote the Calderón projectors introduced in Definition 2.1, constructed from the invertible double with $T \in \{(J_0^*)^{-1}, J_0, J_0(-J_0^2)^{-1/2}\}$. Then the range of C_+ is a Lagrangian subspace of the strongly symplectic Hilbert space $(L^2(\partial M, E|_{\partial M}), -J_0)$. Note that im C_+ is independent of T. Consequently, there exists a self-adjoint pseudodifferential Fredholm extension A_P .

(II) We have sign $iP_0J_0|_{W_0} = 0$. Here W_0 denotes the (finite-dimensional) sum of the generalized eigenspaces of B_0 to imaginary eigenvalues and P_0 denotes the orthogonal projection onto W_0 ; in general J_0 will not map W_0 into itself. If $B_0 = B_0^{t}$, then J_0 anticommutes with B_0 and we have sign $iJ_0|_{\ker B_0} = 0$ and the tangential operator B_0 is odd with respect to the grading given by the unitary operator $\alpha :=$

¹ Ecuaciones diferenciales parciales elipticas, deuxième éd., Revista colombiana de matematicas 13, Bogota, 1973.

 $iJ_0(-J_0{}^2)^{-1/2}$ and hence splits into matrix form $B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^- \\ B^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the ± 1 -eigenspaces of α . The index of B^+ : ker $(\alpha - 1) \longrightarrow$ ker $(\alpha + 1)$ vanishes.

2.3 Parameter dependence. To study continuous variations, we equip the space $\mathscr{E}(M; E, F)$ consisting of pairs (A, T) with $J_0^*T > 0$ and $[B_0^t, J_0^*T]$ of order 0 with the metric $d_0((A, T), (A', T')) := N_0(A - A', T - T')$ and the strong metric $d_{str}((A, T), (A', T')) := N_0(A - A', T - T') + N_1(A - A', T - T')$, where

$$N_0(A,T) := \|A\|_{1,0} + \|A^t\|_{1,0} + \|T\|_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$N_1(A,T) := \|B_0\|_{1,0} + \|B_0^t\|_{1,0} + \|[B_0^t, J_0^*T]\|_0 + \|T\|_0$$

$$+ \|J_0\|_0 + \|C_1\|_{1,0} + \|C_0\|_0 + \|\widetilde{C}_1\|_{1,0} + \|\widetilde{C}_0\|_0.$$

We denote by $\mathscr{E}_{UCP}(M; E, F)$ the subspace consisting of pairs (A, T) where A and A^t satisfy weak inner UCP and by $\mathscr{E}_{UCP}^{sa}(M; E, F)$ the subspace where A has tangential operator with self-adjoint principal symbol. We denote by $\mathrm{Ell}_{UCP}^{sa}(M; E)$ the component of \mathscr{E}_{UCP}^{sa} of formally self-adjoint operators, equipped with the strong metric.

Theorem 2.4 (1) The map $(\mathscr{E}_{UCP}, d_0) \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}(L^2(M, F \oplus E), L^2_1(M, E \oplus F)), (A, T) \mapsto \tilde{A}_T^{-1}$ is continuous.

(2) For $s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ the map $(\mathscr{E}_{\text{UCP}}^{\text{sa}}(M; E), d_{str}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}(L_s^2(\partial M, E|_{\partial M})), (A, T) \mapsto C_+(A, T)$ is continuous. (3) The map $\text{Ell}_{\text{UCP}}^{\text{sa}}(M; E) \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}(L_1^2(M, E), L^2(M, E)), A \mapsto A_{C_+}$ is continuous. Here C_+ denotes the version of the Calderón projector constructed from $T := (J_0^*)^{-1}$.

Note. (1) is much stronger than just graph continuity. In the same way, we obtain that the map $(A, P) \mapsto (A_P + i)^{-1} \in \mathscr{B}(L^2(M; E), L^2_1(M; E))$ is continuous with respect to the d_0 metric on the space of pairs (A, P) where P is a pseudodifferential orthogonal projection which is well-posed with respect to A. In particular $(A, P) \mapsto (A_P + i)^{-1}$ is graph continuous.

The proof of (2) goes via a correction formula relating $C_+(A, T)$ and the sectorial projection $Q_+(0)(B_0)$ of the tangential operator and the continuous dependence of $Q_+(0)(B_0)$ on the data of B_0 , which for free variation of B_0 only can be obtained for self-adjoint principal symbol of B_0 . The continuous dependence of the Calderón projector on the input data has consequences for the so-called Spectral Flow Theorem, cf. [4].

In (3) we obtain a more precise version of [1], Theorem 3.9 (c). Note that our present version applies to a much wider class of operators than loc. cit.

References

- B. Booß-Bavnbek, M. Lesch, and J. Phillips, Unbounded Fredholm operators and spectral flow, Canad. J. Math. 57 (2) (2005), 225–250.
- B. Booß-Bavnbek, M. Marcolli, and B.L. Wang, Weak UCP and perturbed monopole equations, Internat. J. Math. 13 (9) (2002), 987–1008.
- [3] B. Booß-Bavnbek and K. P. Wojciechowski, Elliptic Boundary Problems for Dirac Operators, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.
- B. Booß-Bavnbek and C. Zhu, Weak symplectic functional analysis and general spectral flow formula, math.DG/0406139, 2004.
- [5] A. Pliś, A smooth linear elliptic differential equation without any solution in a sphere, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1961), 599–617.
- [6] R. Ponge, Spectral asymmetry, zeta functions, and the noncommutative residue, Internat. J. Math. 17 (2006), 1065–1090.
- [7] R. T. Seeley, Singular integrals and boundary value problems, Amer. J. Math. 88 (1966), 781–809.

 [8] —, Topics in pseudo-differential operators, C.I.M.E., Conference on pseudo-differential operators 1968, Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 1969, pp. 169–305.