On metrics of positive Ricci curvature conformal to $M \times \mathbf{R}^m$

Juan Miguel Ruiz

Abstract

Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold and g_E the Euclidean metric. We show that for m > 1, $(M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m, (g + g_E))$ is not conformal to a positive Einstein manifold. Moreover, $(M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m, (g + g_E))$ is not conformal to a Riemannian manifold of positive Ricci curvature, through a radial, integrable, smooth function, $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^+$, for m > 1. These results are motivated by some recent questions on Yamabe constants.

1 INTRODUCTION

Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. The conformal class [g] of the metric g is

$$[g] = \{\varphi g | \varphi : M \to \mathbf{R}^+, \varphi \in C^\infty\}$$

We will be interested in the conformal class of $(g + g_E)$, where g is a Riemannian metric on a closed manifold and g_E is the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{R}^m . These conformal classes appear naturally in the study of Yamabe constants of Riemannian products. Let us recall that the Yamabe constant of the conformal class of a Riemannian metric g on a closed manifold M is defined as

$$Y(M, [g]) = \inf_{\hat{g} \in [g]} \frac{\int_M S_{\hat{g}} d\mu_{\hat{g}}}{\left(\int_M d\mu_{\hat{g}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}$$
(1)

where $S_{\hat{g}}$ and $d\mu_{\hat{g}}$ are the scalar curvature and the volume element corresponding to \hat{g} , respectively.

The critical points of this functional on [g] are the metrics of constant scalar curvature in [g]. Moreover, the infimum is always achieved (a result obtained in several steps by H. Yamabe [14], T. Aubin [2], N. Trudinger [13] and R. Schoen [12]). Metrics realizing the infimum are called Yamabe metrics.

The sign of the Yamabe constant distinguishes two rather different cases. If the Yamabe constant Y(M, [g]) is non-positive, the metric with constant scalar curvature in the conformal class of [g] is unique, and for any $g \in [g]$ the Yamabe constant is bounded below by

$$Y(M,[g]) \ge (\inf_{M} s_g)(Vol(M,g))^{\frac{2}{n}}), \tag{2}$$

as was first observed by O. Kobayashi [6].

To find meaningful lower bounds for the Yamabe constants of a conformal class is therefore easy in the non-positive case; but it is highly non-trivial in the case where the Yamabe constant is positive: the metric with constant scalar curvature in the conformal class of [g] is no longer necessarily unique, nor the lower bound (2) is necessarily valid.

One does get lower bounds with conditions on the Ricci curvature. Namely, by a theorem of Obata [10] an Einstein metric is the unique unit volume metric of constant scalar curvature in the conformal class. Moreover, there is a theorem due to S. Ilias [5], which poses a lower bound similar to the Kobayashi bound. Namely, if $R_g \geq \lambda g$, with $\lambda > 0$, then

$$Y(M,[g]) \ge n\lambda(Vol(M,g))^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

If (M,g), (N,h) are closed manifolds of constant scalar curvature and S_g is positive then for r > 0, the metrics rg + h on the product manifold $M \times N$ give possibly the simplest examples of metrics of constant scalar curvature which are not Yamabe metrics (the Yamabe constant of g on a conformal class of metrics on a closed manifold is bounded above by $Y(S^n, g_0)$ where g_0 is the round metric, as shown by Aubin [2]).

Akutagawa, Florit and Petean [1] showed that if $S_q > 0$ then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} Y(M^n \times N^m, g + th) = Y(M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m, g + g_E).$$
(3)

From the previous considerations it seems worthwhile to study the existence of positive Einstein metrics or metrics of positive Ricci curvature on the conformal class of $g + g_E$ (where g is a metric on a closed manifold with positive scalar curvature, and g_E the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{R}^m). The case m = 1 was studied by A. Moroianu and L. Ornea [9], who have shown that when (M^n, g) is compact and Einstein, $(M^n \times \mathbf{R}, g + dt^2)$ is conformal to a positive Einstein manifold, in which case the function depends only on t, and is of the form $\alpha^2 Cosh^{-2}(\beta t + \gamma)$, for some real constants α, β, γ .

The existence of a conformal positive Einstein metric was used by Petean [11] to find lower bounds for the Yamabe constant of $M \times \mathbf{R}$.

Our first result shows that a conformal positive Einstein metric does not exist when m > 1.

Theorem 1. Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold, and g_E the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{R}^m , with m > 1. Then $(M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m, g + g_E)$ is not conformal to a positive Einstein manifold.

Tensorial obstructions to the existence of Riemannian metrics that are conformally Einstein have been studied recently. See for instance the articles of Listing, [7], [8], and of Gover and Nurowski, [4]. These obstructions work only under some non-degeneracy hypothesis on the Weyl tensor, which do not apply in our case. Turning the attention to positive Ricci curvature, we show that in the conformal class of $(M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m, \tilde{g})$ there is no metric of positive Ricci curvature, at least for radial functions of the factor \mathbf{R}^m .

Theorem 2. Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Consider (\mathbf{R}^m, g_E) , with g_E the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{R}^m . Then, for m > 1, there is no radial, smooth, positive, integrable function $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^+$, such that,

$$\left(M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m, \tilde{h}\right) = \left(M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m, \varphi(g+g_E)\right)$$

has positive Ricci curvature.

It seems reasonable to believe that this result should extend from a radial function of \mathbf{R}^m to any conformal factor. The inequality m > 1 is sharp, by the already mentioned results of A. Moroianu and L. Ornea [9], showing that when (M^n, g) is a compact, positive Einstein manifold, then $(M^n \times \mathbf{R}, g + dt^2)$ is conformal to a positive Einstein manifold.

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank J. Petean for many useful observations and valuable conversations on the subject.

2 Notation and general formulas for changes of metric

Let (N,g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension k. For a function φ on N, we denote $\Delta \varphi = -div(\nabla \varphi)$ the Laplacian of φ , $\nabla \varphi$ the gradient of φ and $D^2 \varphi$ the Hessian of φ , given by $D^2 \varphi(X,Y) = X(Y\varphi) - (\nabla_X Y)\varphi$ for any X,Y vector fields on the manifold. We denote the Ricci curvature tensor of the metric g by R_g , the scalar curvature by S_g and the trace free part of the Ricci tensor by Z_g . We recall that Z_g is given by $Z_g = R_g - \frac{S_g}{k}g$. Consider a conformal change of metric $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{-2}g$. The conformal trans-

Consider a conformal change of metric $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{-2}g$. The conformal transformation of the trace free part of the Ricci tensor, Z_g , under this conformal transformation of the metric is given by (cf. in [10], page 255):

$$Z_{\tilde{g}} = Z_g + \frac{k-2}{\varphi} \left(D^2 \varphi + \frac{\Delta \varphi}{k} g \right) \tag{4}$$

Likewise, the conformal transformation of the scalar curvature S_g under this conformal transformation of the metric is given by (cf. in [10], page 255):

$$S_{\tilde{g}} = \varphi^2 S_g - 2(k-1)\varphi \Delta \varphi - k(k-1)|\nabla \varphi|^2$$
(5)

In the proof of Theorem 2, it will be useful to choose the scaling factor in a different form in order to simplify the expressions. Under the conformal transformation of the metric, $\tilde{g} = e^{2\psi}g$, the conformal transformation of the Ricci tensor is given by (cf. ([3], page 59):

$$R_{\tilde{g}} = R_g - (k-2) \left(D^2 \psi - d\psi \otimes d\psi \right) + (\Delta \psi - (k-2) |\nabla \psi|^2) g \tag{6}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension n, and let g_E denote the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{R}^m , m > 1. Let $h = g + g_E$.

We proceed by contradiction. Suppose we have a smooth, positive function $u: M \times \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^+$, such that $(M \times \mathbf{R}^m, u^{-2}h)$ is positive Einstein.

Let $\tilde{h} = u^{-2}h$. Since $(M \times \mathbf{R}^m, \tilde{h})$ is Einstein, we have from (4) that

$$0 = Z_h + \frac{n+m-2}{u} \left(D^2 u + \frac{\Delta u}{n+m}h\right).$$

Since $Z_h = R_h - \frac{S_h}{n+m}h$, it follows that

$$D^{2}u = \frac{-u}{n+m-2}R_{h} + \left(\frac{uS_{h}}{(n+m-2)(n+m)} - \frac{\Delta u}{n+m}\right)h.$$
 (7)

Let $\{\partial_1, ..., \partial_m\}$ be the usual global orthonormal frame for $T\mathbf{R}^m$ and let $X \in TM$. We will denote by \tilde{X} a vector field on M extending the tangent vector X. From (7) we have

$$D^2 u(\partial_i, \tilde{X}) = D^2 u(\tilde{X}, \partial_i) = 0, \tag{8}$$

and therefore,

$$0 = D^2 u(\tilde{X}, \partial_i) = \partial_i (\tilde{X}u) - (\nabla_{\partial_i} \tilde{X})u,$$

$$0 = D^2 u(\partial_i, \tilde{X}) = \tilde{X}(\partial_i u) - (\nabla_{\tilde{X}} \partial_i)u.$$

Note that $\nabla_{\partial_i} \tilde{X} = \nabla_{\tilde{X}} \partial_i = 0$, because *h* is a product metric. It follows that for any vector field \tilde{X} on *M*,

$$\partial_i(Xu)) = 0,\tag{9}$$

$$\tilde{X}(\partial_i u) = 0. \tag{10}$$

From (10), if we write u = u(x,t), where $x \in M$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}^n$, for any i = 1, ..., m, we have

$$\partial_i u(x,t) = \partial_i u(x_0,t),$$

 $\forall x, x_0 \in M$. Therefore

$$u(x,t) - u(x_0,t) = w(x),$$

for some smooth function w on M. That is, u is the sum of a function that depends only on M and a function that depends only on \mathbf{R}^m . We write

$$u(x,t) = v(t) + w(x).$$
 (11)

Then, since h is a Riemannian product, $\Delta_h u = \Delta_g w + \Delta_{g_E} v$, $|\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla_g w|^2 + |\nabla_{g_E} v|^2$.

It is also a consequence of (7) that

$$D^{2}u(\partial_{i},\partial_{j}) = \left(\frac{uS_{h}}{(n+m-2)(n+m)} - \frac{\Delta_{g}w + \Delta_{g_{E}}v}{n+m}\right)\delta_{ij}$$
(12)

for any $i, j \leq m$.

And since

$$D^2 u(\partial_i, \partial_j) = \partial_i (\partial_j u) - (\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j) u,$$

where the last term vanishes because ∂_i and ∂_j belong to the orthonormal frame of $T\mathbf{R}^m$ with the Euclidean metric, (12) can be rewritten as

$$D^{2}u(\partial_{i},\partial_{j}) = \partial_{i}(\partial_{j}v) = \left(\frac{uS_{h}}{(n+m-2)(n+m)} - \frac{\Delta_{g}w + \Delta_{g_{E}}v}{n+m}\right)\delta_{ij}$$
(13)

for any $i, j \leq m$.

Now, given $\tilde{X} \in TM, D^2u(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) = D^2w(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$ depends only on M, so

$$\partial_i(D^2 u(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Also for any i = 1, .., m, and any $k = 1, .., m, i \neq k$,

$$\partial_i (D^2 u(\partial_k, \partial_k)) = 0.$$
(15)

Since

$$\partial_i(D^2u(\partial_k,\partial_k)) = \partial_i(\partial_k(\partial_k u)) = \partial_k(\partial_i(\partial_k u)) = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from (13).

Now, let

$$p = \left(\frac{uS_h}{(n+m-2)(n+m)} - \frac{\Delta u}{n+m}\right),\,$$

and let $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$. Since m > 1, choose $k \le m$, such that $k \ne i$. (15) and (12) imply that

$$\partial_i (D^2 u(\partial_k, \partial_k)) = \partial_i p = 0.$$
(16)

To finish the proof we have to consider two cases: when g is Ricci flat and when it is not.

Case 1: (M, g) is not Ricci flat

Since (M, g) is not Ricci flat, we choose some $\tilde{X} \in TM$ such that $R_g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) \neq 0$. Evaluating (7) in \tilde{X} we have

$$D^2 w(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) = \frac{-u}{n+m-2} R_h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) + p \ g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$$

Differentiating this equation by ∂_i , for any $i \leq m$, we have

$$0 = \partial_i (D^2 u(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})) = \partial_i \left(\frac{-u}{n+m-2} R_h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) \right) + \partial_i \left(p \ h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) \right)$$
$$= \frac{-\partial_i u}{n+m-2} R_h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$$
(17)

where the first equality follows from (14), and the last equality from the fact that $R_h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$ and $h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$ do not depend on \mathbf{R}^m , and neither does p, by (16). This implies that v is constant and then we can write u = w as in (11). Then $D^2u(\partial_k, \partial_k) = 0, \forall k \leq m$, and (12) imply that

$$S_h = \frac{n+m-2}{w} \Delta_g w. \tag{18}$$

On the other hand, since $(M \times \mathbf{R}^m, \tilde{h})$ is Einstein, $S_{\tilde{h}} = \lambda(n+m)$, where λ is the Einstein constant. Thus from (5) we have

$$S_h = \frac{\lambda(n+m)}{w^2} + 2(n+m-1)\frac{\Delta_g w}{w} + (n+m)(n+m-1)\frac{|\nabla_g w|^2}{w^2}.$$
 (19)

Combining (18) and (19) yields

$$\lambda + w\Delta_g w + (n+m-1)|\nabla_g w|^2 = 0.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Finally, we integrate (20) over M,

$$0 = \int_M \left(w\Delta_g w + (n+m-1) |\nabla_g w|^2 + \lambda \right) dV_g$$
$$= \int_M \left((n+m) |\nabla_g w|^2 + \lambda \right) dV_g.$$

This shows that λ cannot be positive (and if $\lambda = 0$ the function u has to be a constant).

Case $\mathbf{2}$: (M, g) is Ricci flat

Since (M, g) is Ricci flat, it follows from (7) that

$$D_g^2 w = \frac{-\Delta_g w - \Delta_{g_E} v}{n+m} g,$$
(21)

$$D_{g_E}^2 v = \frac{-\Delta_g w - \Delta_{g_E} v}{n+m} g_E.$$
(22)

Taking the trace of (21) with respect to g we have that

$$-\Delta_g w = \frac{-\Delta_g w - \Delta_{g_E} v}{n+m} n_g$$

it follows that

$$\frac{m}{n}\Delta_g w = \Delta_{g_E} v = c,$$

for some constant c, since $\Delta_g w$ depends only on M and $\Delta_{g_E} v$, only on \mathbf{R}^m . It follows that c = 0 since, by Green's first identity,

$$0 = \int_M \Delta_g w dV_g = c \int_M dV_g,$$

and therefore w is constant.

Finally, since $\Delta_g w = \Delta_{g_E} v = 0$, it follows from (22) that

$$\partial_i(\partial_j v) = 0,$$

for all $i, j \leq m$. This implies that v is an affine function of \mathbb{R}^m and since u is positive, v has to be constant. Clearly if u is constant \tilde{h} is Ricci flat. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Let (M^n, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and g_E the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{R}^m , m>1. Let $h = g + g_E$. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose Theorem 2 is not true; and let $\varphi = \varphi(r)$, $r = \sqrt{\sum_i x_i^2}$, be a radial, positive, integrable, C^2 function, $\varphi : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^+$, such that $(M^n, \varphi h)$ is Ricci positive. Let $f(r) = -\frac{1}{2}Log[\varphi(r)]$, so that $\varphi(r) = e^{2(-f(r))}$.

Let $\{\partial_1, ..., \partial_m\}$ denote the usual global orthonormal frame for \mathbb{R}^m . Let $X, Y \in TM$. We will denote by \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} vector fields on M extending the tangent vectors X and Y respectively. From (6) we have that

$$R_{\tilde{h}}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}) = R_{h}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}) + \left(-\Delta f - (n+m-2)|\nabla f|^{2}\right)g(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}), \quad (23)$$

$$R_{\tilde{h}}(\partial_i,\partial_j) = (n+m-2) \left(D^2 f(\partial_i,\partial_j) + df \otimes df(\partial_i,\partial_j) \right)$$

$$+ (-\Delta f - (n+m-2)|\nabla f|^2)\delta_{ij},$$
(24)

and

$$R_{\tilde{h}}(\partial_i, \tilde{X}) = 0.$$

For $R_{\tilde{h}}$ to be positive, it is thus necessary that both (23) and (24), be positive definite.

Let $f_i = \partial_i f$ and $\partial_j (\partial_k f) = f_{jk}$. As f = f(r), we have,

$$f_j = \frac{f'}{r} x_j,$$

$$f_{jk} = \frac{rf'' - f'}{r^3} x_j x_k + \frac{f'}{r} \delta_{jk},$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to r. Thus,

$$f_j f_k = \frac{f'^2}{r^2} x_j x_k,$$
$$\Delta f = -f'' - (m-1)\frac{f'}{r},$$
$$|\nabla f|^2 = f'^2.$$

It follows that for the 2-tensor on \mathbf{R}^m , given by (24), to be positive definite it is necessary that the 2-tensor $\alpha T + \beta I d_m$ is positive definite,

where α, β are the functions given by,

$$\alpha = (n+m-2)\frac{-f'+rf''+f'^2r}{r^3},$$

$$\beta = f''+(m-1)\frac{f'}{r}-(n+m-2)f'^2+(n+m-2)\frac{f'}{r}),$$

and T is the 2-tensor given in the orthonormal coordinates by,

$$T_{jk} = x_j x_k.$$

Thus, in order to have a positive definite Ricci tensor $R_{\tilde{h}}$, we need the eigenvalues of the 2-tensor $\alpha T + \beta I d_m$ to be positive.

Note that the eigenvalues of T are $\{0, ..., 0, r^2\}$ and therefore the eigenvalues of $\alpha T + \beta Id_m$ are $\{\beta, ..., \beta, \alpha r^2 + \beta\}$. Therefore, if \tilde{h} has positive Ricci curvature, then f must satisfy

$$\alpha r^2 + \beta = (n+m-1)f'' + (m-1)\frac{f'}{r} > 0, \qquad (25)$$

 and

$$\beta = f'' + (2m + n - 3)\frac{f'}{r} - (m + n - 2)f'^2 > 0.$$
(26)

We now collect some immediate observations:

a) The function in the hypothesis, $\varphi = e^{-2f}$, is integrable, so it approaches zero as $r \to \infty$. As a consequence, we must have $f \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$.

b) As f cannot have local maximums, by (25), it can only have one local minimum. So f' = 0 can occur at most only once; since f is radial and smooth, this can only occur at r = 0.

c) Since $f(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$ (by **a**) and $f'(r) \neq 0$ for r > 0, then f'(r) > 0 for r > 0.

Next, we obtain an upper bound for f(r).

Consider (26). Let p = (2m + n - 3), q = (m + n - 2). Since f' > 0, we have

$$\frac{f''}{f'} + \frac{p}{r} > qf' > 0.$$

Then for any a > 0 and r > 0, we integrate from a to r to get

$$Log\left(\frac{f'(r)}{f'(a)}\right) + Log\left(\frac{r^p}{a^p}\right) > qf(r) - qf(a) > 0.$$

Since the exponential function is increasing we have

$$f'(r)r^p > e^{qf(r)}(e^{-qf(a)}a^p f'(a)) > 1 > 0.$$

And then,

$$f'(r)e^{-qf(r)} > \frac{C_1}{r^p} > 0,$$

with $C_1 = (e^{-qf(a)}a^p f'(a)) > 0.$

For s > a, we now integrate from s to r to obtain

$$-\frac{1}{q}e^{-qf(r)} + \frac{1}{q}e^{-qf(s)} > C_1\frac{1}{(1-p)}\left(\frac{1}{r^{p-1}} - \frac{1}{s^{p-1}}\right) > 0.$$

Since this works for all r > s > a, the inequality is preserved in the limit as $r \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{q}e^{-qf(s)} \ge \frac{C_1}{(p-1)} \left(\frac{1}{s^{p-1}}\right) \ge 0,$$

since $\frac{1}{r^{p-1}} \to 0$ and $e^{-f(r)} \to 0$, as we observed earlier. We then have an upper bound for f(s), s > a > 0.

$$f(s) < Log[C_2 s^{\frac{p-1}{q}}] = K_1 + K_2 Log[s].$$
⁽²⁷⁾

for some constants K_1 , K_2 .

We now obtain a lower bound for f(r). Let $m_0 = (m-1)/(n+m-1)$, we note that $0 < m_0 < 1$.

By (25),

$$f''(r) + m_0 \frac{f'(r)}{r} > 0,$$

and since f'(r) > 0 we have

$$\frac{m_0}{r} > -\frac{f''(r)}{f'(r)}.$$

We fix $r_0 > 0$ and pick $r_0 < a < r$. Integrating from a to r the previous inequality we get

$$m_0 \quad Log[\frac{r}{a}] > -Log[\frac{f'(r)}{f'(a)}].$$

Since exponential is increasing we have

$$\frac{r^{m_0}}{a^{m_0}} > \frac{f'(a)}{f'(r)},$$

or,

$$f'(r) > \frac{f'(a)a^{m_0}}{r^{m_0}}$$

We integrate again, now from b > a to r > b, to get

$$f(r) - f(b) > \frac{f'(a)a^{m_0}}{(1 - m_0)}(r^{1 - m_0} - b^{1 - m_0}).$$

Thus, there are positive constants c_1 and c_2 , such that

$$f(r) > c_1 r^{1-m_0} + c_2. (28)$$

This lower bound contradicts the upper bound obtained in (27), because

$$c_1 r^{\frac{n}{n+m-1}} + c_2 < f(r) < K_1 + K_2 Log[r],$$

does not hold as $r \to \infty$.

We conclude that a function $\varphi = e^{-2f}$ as in Theorem 2 cannot exist.

References

- Akutagawa, K. Florit, L., and Petean, J. On Yamabe constants of Riemannian products arXiv:math. DG/0603486 v1. (2006). To appear in Communications on Analysis and Geometry.
- [2] Aubin, T. Equations differentielles non lineaires et probleme de Yamabe concernant la corboure scalaire, J. Math. Pures Appl. 55 (1976), 269-296
- [3] Besse, A. Einstein Manifolds, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 10, Springer, Berlin (1987)
- [4] Gover, R., Nurowski, P. Obstructions to conformally Einstein metrics in n dimensions, J. Geom. Phys. 56 (2006) 450-484
- [5] Ilias, S. Constantes explicites pour les inegalites de Sobolev sur les varietes riemannianes compactes, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 33 no. 2, 151-165.

- [6] Kobayashi, O. On the large scalar curvature Research Report 11, Dept. Math. Keio Univ. 1985
- [7] Listing, M. Conformal Einstein spaces in N-dimensions, Ann Global Anal. Geom. 20 (2001) 183-197
- [8] Listing, M. Conformal Einstein spaces in N-dimensions II, J. Geom. Phys. 56 (2006) 386-404
- Moroianu A., Ornea, L. Conformally Einstein products and Nearly Kähler manifolds arXiv:math./0610599v3 [math.DG] (2007). Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 33 (2008), no. 1, 11–18. 53Cxx.
- [10] Obata, M. The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds, J. Differential Geometry 6 (1971) 247-248
- [11] Petean, J. Isoperimetric regions in spherical cones and Yamabe constants of $M \times S^1$ arXiv:0710.2536v2 [math.DG] (2007).
- [12] Schoen, R. Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature, J. Differential Geometry 20 (1984), 479-495.
- [13] Trudinger, N. Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 22 (1968), 265-274
- [14] Yamabe, H. On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds, Osaka Math. J, 12 (1960), 21-37

CIMAT, Guanajuato, Gto., México. *E-mail address:* miguel@cimat.mx