Gravitational collapse of a spherical star with heat flow as a possible energy mechanism of gamma-ray bursts*

Zhe Chang¹,[†] Cheng-Bo Guan², Chao-Guang Huang¹,[‡] and Xin Li¹§

¹ Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049 and

² Department of Physics, Weihai Branch of Shandong University, Weihai, Shandong, 264209

We investigate the gravitational collapse of a spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous star, which is described by a perfect fluid with heat flow and satisfies the equation of state $p=\rho/3$ at its center. In the process of the gravitational collapsing, the energy of the whole star is emitted into space. And the remaining spacetime is a Minkowski one without a remnant at the end of the process. For a star with a solar mass and solar radius, the total energy emitted is at the order of 10^{54} erg, and the time-scale of the process is about 8s. These are in the typical values for a gamma-ray burst. Thus, we suggest the gravitational collapse of a spherical star with heat flow as a possible energy mechanism of gamma-ray bursts.

Keywords: gravitational collapse, gamma-ray burst

PACS numbers: 04.25.Dm, 97.60.-s, 98.70.Rz

More than 30 years ago, Klebesadel, Strong and Olson announced the discovery of the gamma-ray bursts [1]. Since then, thousands of gamma-ray bursts have been observed [2]. In particular, since the advent of the Compton Gamma-Ray observation in 1991, the gamma-ray bursts have been detected daily. The durations of the gamma-ray bursts range from about 30ms to over 500s [3]. The inferred isotropic luminosities are typically on the order of $10^{51\sim52}$ erg/s [4]. The abundance of observations has led to a well described gamma-ray burst phenomenology.

In this Letter, we study the gravitational collapse of a spherical star with heat flow in a generalized Friedmann coordinate system. It is supposed reasonably that a fluid star is spherically symmetric but inhomogeneous and that the equation of state at its center is $p = \rho/3$. We find that all energy of the star will be emitted in the process of collapse, and an empty flat spacetime will be left behind. For a star with about a solar mass and a solar radius, the energy at the order of 10^{54} erg will be emitted into space within about 8s. It is the typical value for a gamma-ray burst in an isotropic model. Thus, we suggest the spherical gravitational collapse with heat flow as a possible energy mechanism of gamma-ray bursts, which is a different mechanism from the ones discussed extensively in literature [5].

In the pioneer paper to understand the late stages of stellar evolutions [6], the collapsing star is supposed to consist of homogeneous, spherically symmetric, pressureless, perfect fluid and to be surrounded by an empty space. The interior of the star may be described by the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric [7]

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t) \left(\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right), \tag{1}$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2$ is the metric on a unit 2-sphere, k is $\frac{8\pi G}{3}$ times of the initial energy density of dust in the unit of c = 1, and a(t) is the solution of $\dot{a}^2(t) = k[a^{-1}(t) - 1]$.

In an astrophysical environment, a star usually emits radiation and throws out particles in the process of gravitational collapse. In this situation, the heat flow in the interior of a star should not be ignored and the exterior spacetime is no longer described by a Schwarzschild metric. To take the radiation of a star into account, the interior solution of the gravitational collapse of radiating stars should match to the exterior spacetime described by the Vaidya solution [8]

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2M(v)}{R}\right)dv^{2} + 2dvdR - R^{2}d\Omega^{2}, \qquad (2)$$

which has been studied extensively [9–11]. In particular, the gravitational collapse of a radiating spherical star with heat flow has been studied in an isotropic coordinate system [11]

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - B^{2}(t, r)(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}),$$
 (3)

where $B(t,r) = b^2(t)[1 - \lambda(t)r^2]^{-1}$ with suitable b(t) and $\lambda(t)$ functions. They called the solution the Friedmann-like solution.

We study the gravitational collapse of a spherically symmetric, inhomogeneous star in a generalized Friedmann coordinate system

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t) \left(\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - k(t)r^{2}} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2} \right)$$
 (4)

^{*}This work is partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 90403023 and 10575106 and Knowledge Innovation Funds of CAS (KJCX3-SYW-S03). One of the authors (C.-B. G.) got the support in the initial stage of the present work from the Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study, University of Science and Technology of China.

[†]Electronic address: changz@ihep.ac.cn ‡Electronic address: huangcg@ihep.ac.cn §Electronic address: lixin@ihep.ac.cn

with k being a function of t.

The stress-energy tensor of the fluid with heat flow but shear-free and without viscosity is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - pg^{\mu\nu} + q^{\mu}u^{\nu} + q^{\nu}u^{\mu} , \qquad (5)$$

where ρ and p are the proper energy density and pressure measured by the comoving observers respectively, u^{μ} is the 4-velocity of the fluid, and q^{μ} is the heat flow. u^{μ} and q^{μ} satisfy

$$u_{\mu}u^{\mu} = 1$$
 and $q_{\mu}u^{\mu} = 0.$ (6)

For the spherically symmetric collapse, one has

$$u^{\mu} = (u^0, u^1, 0, 0)$$
 and $q^{\mu} = (q^0, q^1, 0, 0)$. (7)

The Einstein's field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \tag{8}$$

and the covariant conservation of stress-energy tensor give rise to

$$8\pi G\rho = \frac{k + \dot{a}^2 + 2a\ddot{a}}{a^2} + \frac{Y}{a^2 A^2},\tag{9}$$

$$8\pi Gp = -\frac{k + \dot{a}^2 + 2a\ddot{a}}{a^2} - \frac{X}{a^2 A^2},\tag{10}$$

$$u_0^{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{Y(X+Y) - \frac{Z^2}{2} \pm Z\sqrt{\frac{Z^2}{4} - XY}}{(X+Y)^2 - Z^2}}, \quad (11)$$

$$u_1 = aA\sqrt{u_0^2 - 1}, (12)$$

$$8\pi G q_0 = \frac{1}{2a^2 A^2} \left[\frac{Y}{u_0} - (Y - X)u_0 \right], \quad (13)$$

$$8\pi G q_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{X}{u_1} - \frac{(Y - X)u_1}{a^2 A^2} \right], \tag{14}$$

with the identity

$$(8\pi G)^2 q_\mu q^\mu = -\frac{Z^2 - 4XY}{4a^4 A^4},\tag{15}$$

where the dots denote the derivatives with respect to time t, and

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k(t)r^2}},\tag{16}$$

$$X = 3a\dot{a}A\dot{A} + a^2A\ddot{A},\tag{17}$$

$$Y = [2(k + \dot{a}^2 - a\ddot{a})A - a\dot{a}\dot{A} - a^2\ddot{A}]A, \qquad (18)$$

$$Z = -\frac{4}{r}a\dot{A}. (19)$$

X, Y, Z should satisfy the following solvable condition,

$$Z^2 > 4XY. \tag{20}$$

For spherical collapse, the dominant energy conditions [12] require

$$\rho - p \ge 0,\tag{21}$$

$$\rho + p \ge 2\sqrt{-q_{\mu}q^{\mu}},\tag{22}$$

which lead to

$$X + Y > |Z| \ge 0. \tag{23}$$

At the center of the star r = 0, \dot{A} , $\ddot{A} = 0$ and thus X, Z vanish. Hence,

$$u_0|_{r=0} = 1$$
, $u_1|_{r=0} = 0$, $q_0|_{r=0}$, $q_1|_{r=0} = 0$, (24)

$$8\pi G\rho|_{r=0} = 3a^{-2}(k+\dot{a}^2), \tag{25}$$

$$8\pi Gp|_{r=0} = -a^{-2}(k + \dot{a}^2 + 2a\ddot{a}). \tag{26}$$

The exterior solution outside the collapsing star is described by Vaidya metric (2). The interior solution and exterior solution should satisfy the junction conditions on the surface of the star [13],

$$R_s = ar_s (27)$$

$$\frac{dr}{dt} \bigg|_{a} = -a^{-2}A^{-2}\frac{u_1}{u_0} \bigg|_{a}, \tag{28}$$

$$p_s^2 = |q_\mu q^\mu|_s,\tag{29}$$

and

$$\left[dt^2 - a^2 A^2 dr^2\right]_s
= \left[\left(1 - \frac{2GM(v)}{R}\right) dv^2 + 2dv dR\right]_s. \quad (30)$$

The Misner-Sharp mass [14] for the star is then

$$M(v) = \frac{a}{2G}(k + \dot{a}^2)r^3\Big|_{s} . {31}$$

To make further calculations, the equation of state for the matter of a star at r=0 needs to be assigned. Without loss of generality, we choose a(0) = 1. After the equation of state is assigned, one may obtain the expression for a(t), k(t), $\rho(r,t)$, p(r,t) and $r_s(t)$ by solving Eqs.(25), (26), (9), (10), (15), (28), (29). Then, one may determine the Misner-Sharp mass M(v) and the surface radius R_s from Eqs.(31) and (27). Fig. 1 presents the numerical solution in the case $p|_{r=0} = \rho/3|_{r=0}$ and the u_0^- solution in Eq.(11) with the initial conditions $r_s(t=0) = R_s(t=0) = R_0$, $\dot{a}(t=0)=0, \, \dot{R}_s(t=0)\approx 0, \, \text{which means the sur-}$ face of star is almost stationary in the initial state. Since ρ and p are determined by Eqs.(9) and (10), the initial state of the star is not homogeneous and the equation of state in the star is generally deviated from the radiation. In the figure, the time and radius r_s are in the units of R_0/c and R_0 , respectively. In the process of evolution, $r_s(t)$ (dash curve) decreases monotonically while a(t) (dotted curve) almost keeps




FIG. 1: Numerical solution of the gravitational collapse of spherical star with heat flow in the case $p|_{r=0} = \rho/3|_{r=0}$ and the u_0^- solution in Eq.(11). The horizontal axis is the time t in the unit of R_0/c . In the process of evolution, $r_s(t)$ (dash curve, in the unit of R_0) decreases monotonically while a(t) (dotted curve) keeps almost a constant. $2M/R_s$ (real curve) in the unit of c^2/G increases first and then goes to 0 as $R_s \to 0$, which implies that the star disappears at the end of the collapse.

a constant. $2M/R_s$ (real curve) in the unit of c^2/G increases first and then goes to 0 more quickly than R_s itself as $R_s \to 0$. It implies that the star will disappear without the appearance of a horizon in the process, leaving Minkowski spacetime behind.

Fig. 2 shows the evolution of ρ and p at the boundary, in unit of $c^4/(8\pi GR_0^2)$. The emission of the star arrives its maximum value at about $0.9R_0/c$ after the beginning of the collapse. In the late stage of the process, the equation of state at the boundary as well as at each point in the star tends to $p = \frac{1}{3}\rho$. At the end of the process both the energy density and the pressure become 0, which confirms that the whole star is radiated out into space in the process.

Furthermore, our numerical analysis shows that the star with $p|_{r=0} = \frac{1}{3}\rho|_{r=0}$ will radiate its whole




FIG. 2: Evolution of ρ and p at the boundary. The horizontal axis is the time in the unit of R_0/c . ρ and p are in the unit of $c^4/(8\pi GR_0^2)$.

mass in the process of the collapse, without the appearance of a horizon, for different initial values.

In conclusion, we have presented a solution of the Einstein equations, which describes the gravitational collapse of an inhomogeneously-distributed but spherically-symmetric star consisting of perfect fluid with heat flow but without viscosity. We have shown that if the star satisfies Eqs.(9) and (10) and $p = \rho/3$ at the center, the whole star will be emitted in the process of the gravitational collapse in about $3.3R_0/c$ interval, without the formation of a horizon, and a Minkowski spacetime is left at the end of the process. For a star with about a solar mass M_{\odot} and a solar radius R_{\odot} , a huge mount of energy (about 1.8×10^{54} erg) will be emitted into space within 7.66 seconds, which are typical values for a gamma-ray burst. Thus, the gravitational collapse of such a star might provide an energy mechanism for gamma-ray bursts.

Since heat is flowing across the boundary of the collapsing sphere and the pressure of the fluid at the boundary is not zero, the exterior space cannot be considered as vacuum. We have adopted a Vaidya space as the exterior of the spherical collapsing stars. In the Vaidya space, massless radiation is dominated. The spectra of the radiation from collapsing stars depend on the equation of state of interior matter. We wish the combination of the model with phenomenological studies on possible evolution process of the equation of matter state can give a good fit to the spectra of the gamma-ray bursts.

As a solution of Einstein equations, the advantage of the model is that it transmits all initial energy of a star into radiation and then supplies up to 10^{54} erg radiated energy by a popular star with solar mass. In this collapsing scenario, the gamma-ray bursts are supposed to be spherical. Thus, one need not try to form beaming of energy, whose dynamical mechanism is not well understood up to now. In fact, the most important motivation of the study is try to find a possible candidate for the replacement of the models for the gamma-ray bursts including beaming. Of course, other ways should not be ignored.

In the model, the initial energy, which is transformed into radiation and emitted completely in the process, and initial radius of a star are only parameters of the solutions. Different initial energy and radius will result in different energy release and different collapsing timescale. Therefore, the model for the energy mechanism of gamma-ray burtsts will supply a wide spread in total energy release and various timescales.

Finally, it should be stressed that if the solution really serves as a model for gamma-ray bursts, further studies on many problems are needed, which have been out of the coverage of the letter, such as, the trigger of collapse, the mechanism of energy transformation, the constraints on the spread in total energy release and timescale in the observation, light curve, spectrum of emmission, and so on.

- R. W. Klebesadel, I. B. Strong, and R. A. Olson, Astrophys. J. Lett. 182, L85 (1973).
- [2] http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/grbcat/index.html.
- [3] C. Kouveliotou, C. A. Meegan, G. J. Fishman, N. P. Bhat, M. S. Briggs, T. M. Koshut, W. S. Paciesas, and G. N. Pendleton, Astrophys. J. Lett. 413, L101 (1993); G. J. Fishman and C. A. Meegan, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 33 415 (1995).
- [4] T. Piran, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 1143 (2004).
- D. Eichler, M. Livio, T. Piran, D. N. Schramm, Nature 340, 126 (1989); B. Paczynski, Acta Astronomica 41, 257 (1991); R. Narayan, B. Paczynski, and T. Piran, Astro. Phys. J. Lett. 395, L83 (1992); V. V. Usov, Nature 357, 472 (1992); C. Thompson, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 270, 480 (1994); M. Vietri and L. Stella, Astrophys. J. Lett. 507, L45 (1998); A. I. MacFadyen, S. E. Woosley, and A. Heger, Astrophys. J. 550, 410 (2001); S. Dado, A. Dar, and A. De Rújula, Astron. Astrophys.388, 1079 (2002); S. Heinz and M. C. Begelman, Astrophys. J. Lett. 527, L35 (1999); G. Ghisellini, D. Lazzati, A. Celotti, and M. J. Rees, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 316, L45 (2000).
- [6] J. R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, Phys. Rev. 56, 455 (1939).
- [7] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity, John Wiley & Sons (New York, 1972).
- [8] P. C. Vaidya, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. A33, 264 (1951).
- [9] W. B. Bonnor, A. K. G. de Oliveira, and N. O. Santos, Phys. Rep. 181, 269 (1989) and references therein.
- [10] A. Banerjee, S. B. D. Choudhury, and B. K. Bhui,

- Phys. Rev. D 40, 670 (1989); F. Favos, X. Jaén, E. Llanta, and J. M. M. Senovilla, Phys. Rev. D 45, 2732 (1992); D. Kramer, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 1458 (1992); Th. Grammenos and Ch. Kolassis, Phys. Lett. A 169, 5 (1992); N. A. Tomimura and F. C. P. Nunes, Astrophys. Space Sci. 199, 215 (1993); Th. Grammenos, Astrophys. Space Sci. 211, 31 (1994); S. D. Maharaj and M. Govender, Aust. J. Phys., 50, 959 (1997); S. M. Wagh, et al, Class. Quantum Grav. 18, 2147 (2001) M. Govender, et al, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 12, 667 (2003); A. Banerjee, S. Chatterjee, and N. Dadhich, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 2335 (2002); A. Banerjee and S. Chatterjee, Astrophy. Space Sci. **299**, 219 (2005); S. D. Maharaj and M. Govender, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 14 667 (2005); N F Naidu, M Govender, and K S Govinder, arXiv:gr-qc/0509088; D. Schäfer and H. F. Goenner, Gen. Rel. Grav. 32, 2119 (2000).
- [11] C. A. Kolassis, N. O. Santos, and D. Tsoubelis, Astrophys. J. 327, 755 (1988); R. Chan, J. P. de S. Lemos, N. O. Santos, and J. A. de F. Pacheco, Astrophys. J. 342, 976 (1989); M. Govender, S. D. Maharaj, and R. Maartens, Class. Quantum Grav. 15, 323 (1998).
- [12] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-time, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge 1973).
- [13] N. O. Santos, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 216, 403 (1985).
- [14] C. W. Misner and D. H. Sharp, Phys. Rev. 136, B571 (1964); M. E. Cahill and G. C. McVitte, J. Math. Phys. 11, 1382 (1970).