

# On the Canonical Structure of First Order Einstein-Hilbert Action Coupled to Bosonic Matter

R. N. Ghalati\*

*Department of Applied Mathematics,*

*University of Western Ontario, London, N6A 5B7 Canada*

(Dated: November 26, 2018)

## Abstract

A *Dirac* Hamiltonian formulation of  $d$ -dimensional ( $d > 2$ ) Einstein-Hilbert (EH) action in first order form, when the metric and affine connection are treated as independent fields, has shown that as well as secondary first class constraints, tertiary first class constraints also arise, with an unusual nonlocal Poisson bracket (PB) algebra among first class constraints [8]. This approach, which is based on the Dirac constraint formalism, is different from that of ADM in that of the equations of motion which are independent of the time derivative of fields only those which correspond to second class constraints (in the sense of the Dirac constraint formalism) are used to eliminate fields from the action. In this paper, we consider coupling of a cosmological term, massive scalar fields, Maxwell gauge fields and Yang-Mills fields to the first order EH action in this formalism, and show that in spite of the apparent differences with the ADM results in the Hamiltonian formulation of the first order EH action and its constraint structure, the generic properties of the ADM Hamiltonian formulation of the first order EH action in the presence of Bosonic matter are derivable from this novel Hamiltonian formulation. Addition of a massive scalar field to the EH action leaves the PB algebra of constraints unaltered, and when the Yang-Mills fields or Maxwell gauge fields are coupled to the EH action, the PB algebra of the constraints pertaining to the EH action receives linear contributions from the generator of the gauge transformations of the action for matter fields, and those generators form a closed algebra amongst themselves. Moreover, it is found that for closed spaces, the Hamiltonian of the EH action coupled to Bosonic matter is weakly zero on the constraint surface defined by the first class constraints, including the constraints arising from the matter fields.

---

\*Electronic address: rnowbakh@uwo.ca

## I. INTRODUCTION

A recent reexamination of  $d$ -dimensional EH action ( $d > 2$ ) in first order form using the Dirac constraint formalism [4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18], when the metric and affine connection are treated as independent fields, has been shown to lead to the appearance of first class constraints of tertiary stage, with a closed nonlocal PB algebra of first class constraints [8]. This result is different from the ADM Hamiltonian formulation of the first order EH action in which a number of fundamental fields are eliminated at the Lagrangian level, and only secondary first class constraints emerge [1, 2, 3].

After giving a brief review of the novel analysis of ref. [8] in the rest of this section, we will discuss the Hamiltonian formulation of the first order EH action in the presence of a cosmological term, as well as in the presence of Bosonic matter. The latter will include massive scalar fields, Maxwell gauge fields and Yang-Mills fields. The case of a massless scalar field has been dealt with in [8].

The first order EH action, when written in terms of the metric and affine connection as independent fields, can be put into the form

$$S_d = \int dx h^{\mu\nu} (G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}^\lambda + \frac{1}{d-1} G_{\lambda\mu}^\lambda G_{\sigma\nu}^\sigma - G_{\sigma\mu}^\lambda G_{\lambda\nu}^\sigma), \quad (1)$$

where  $h^{\mu\nu}$  and  $G_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  are the new variables defined by

$$h^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_\nu^\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\sigma + \delta_\mu^\lambda \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^\sigma), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{g} = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$ . As in [8], it can be shown that the primary Hamiltonian density for the EH action takes the form<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{EH} = & \frac{d-2}{d-1} \left( h \left( \omega + \frac{1}{2} \frac{h^i \omega_i}{h} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} H^{ij} \left( \omega_i + \frac{2\omega_{im} h^m}{h} \right) \left( \omega_j + \frac{2\omega_{jn} h^n}{h} \right) \right) \\ & - \bar{\xi}^i \chi_i - \frac{\bar{t}}{d-1} \chi - \bar{\zeta}_j^i \lambda_i^j - \xi_{jk}^i \sigma_i^{jk} + \frac{h}{4} \bar{\zeta}_j^i \bar{\zeta}_i^j - H^{ij} \left( \xi_{li}^k \xi_{kj}^l - \frac{1}{d-1} \xi_{ki}^k \xi_{lj}^l \right) \\ & + U\Omega + U^i \Omega_i + U_j^i \Omega_i^j + U_{jk}^i \Omega_i^{jk}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{\xi}_i$  are related to  $G_{0i}^i$  and  $G_{0i}^0$  of eq. (1), and  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_i$  are momenta conjugate to  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{\xi}^i$  respectively.  $\lambda_i^j$  and  $\sigma_i^{jk}$  are functions of the canonical variables  $h = h^{00}$ ,  $h^i = h^{0i}$

---

<sup>1</sup> Greek indices stand for tempo-spatial indices while Latin indices for spatial indices only.

and

$$H^{ij} = \frac{h^i h^j}{h} - h^{ij}, \quad (5)$$

and their conjugate momenta  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_i$  and  $\omega_{ij}$ .  $\bar{\zeta}_j^i$  and  $\xi_{jk}^i$  are fields corresponding to  $G_{0j}^i$  and  $G_{jk}^i$  of eq. (1), and  $\Omega_i^j$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$  are their conjugate momenta respectively. Vanishing of the time derivative of the primary constraints  $\Omega_i^j$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$  leads to a set of secondary constraints which form a set of second class constraints of special form, together with the primary constraints  $\Omega_i^j$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$ . These second class constraints might be set strongly equal to zero, and the fields  $\bar{\zeta}_j^i$  and  $\xi_{jk}^i$  and their conjugate momenta  $\Omega_i^j$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$  can be eliminated from the action, while the PB of the remaining variables and their conjugate momenta remains unchanged. This elimination results in the following weak<sup>2</sup> Hamiltonian density for the gravitational field,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_w = & h\omega^2 + h^i\omega\omega_i - \frac{d-3}{4(d-2)}H^{ij}\omega_i\omega_j - 2\frac{h^m}{h}H^{ij}\omega_{im}\omega_j - \frac{1}{h}H^{ik}H^{jl}\omega_{jk}\omega_{il} \\ & + \frac{1}{h}h^i_{,j}h^j\omega_i + \frac{2}{h}h^i_{,j}H^{jk}\omega_{ik} - \frac{h^i}{h}H^{jk}_{,i}\omega_{jk} + \frac{1}{2(d-2)}H_{jk}H_i^{jk}H^{im}\omega_m \\ & - \frac{1}{h}h^i_{,j}h^j_{,i} + \frac{h^i}{h}H^{jk}_{,i}H_{jq}H_k^{iq} + \frac{1}{4}H^{ip}H_{kr,i}H^{kr}_{,p} + \frac{1}{4(d-2)}H^{ip}H_{jk}H_i^{jk}H_{qr}H^{qr}_{,p} \\ & + \frac{1}{d-1}\frac{1}{h}(\chi^2 - (2h\omega + 2h^i\omega_i)\chi), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

if in eq. (4) we set the primary constraints, as well as the secondary first class constraints  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$  (when they appear multiplied by the fields  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{\xi}^i$ ) equal to zero. The quantities  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$  are defined as

$$\chi = h^j_{,j} + h\omega - H^{jk}\omega_{jk}, \quad (7)$$

$$\chi_i = h_{,i} - h\omega_i, \quad (8)$$

and are the constraints emerging from the requirement of vanishing of the time derivative of the primary constraints  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_i$ . They satisfy the PBs

$$\{\chi_i, \chi\} = \chi_i, \quad \{\chi_i, \chi_j\} = 0, \quad \{\chi, \chi\} = 0. \quad (9)$$

The first class constraints  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$  themselves should be preserved in time too. This

---

<sup>2</sup> By a “weak” Hamiltonian we mean when the constraints  $\Omega = \Omega_i = \chi = \chi_i = 0$  are imposed. We write such a Hamiltonian as  $H_w$  throughout this paper.

results in the appearance of the following tertiary constraints in turn<sup>3</sup>,

$$\bar{\tau} \equiv \{\chi, H_w\} = \mathcal{H}_w + \partial_i \delta^i, \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\tau}_i \equiv & (2h\omega + h^l \omega_l)_{,i} - h\omega\omega_i - h^l \omega_l \omega_i - 2H^{jl} \omega_{il} \omega_j \\ & - h \left[ \frac{1}{h} (h^j \omega_i + 2H^{jk} \omega_{ik} - 2h^j_{,i}) \right]_{,j} + h^l_{,i} \omega_l - H^{jk}_{,i} \omega_{jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In eq. (10),  $\mathcal{H}_w$  is the Hamiltonian density of eq. (6) and

$$\delta^i = -H^{ij}_{,j} + \frac{1}{h} (h^i h^j)_{,j} + 2h^i \omega - H^{ij} (\omega_j + \frac{2\omega_{jm} h^m}{h}) - \frac{h^i}{h} \chi. \quad (12)$$

The constraints  $\bar{\tau}$  and  $\bar{\tau}_i$  of eqs. (10) and (11) can be written in the form

$$\bar{\tau} = \tau + \frac{h^i}{h} \tau_i + A(\chi, \chi_i), \quad (13)$$

$$\bar{\tau}_i = \tau_i + A'(\chi, \chi_i), \quad (14)$$

where  $A = A(\chi, \chi_i)$  and  $A' = A'(\chi, \chi_i)$  are linear combinations of  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$ , as given in [8], and

$$\tau_i = h \left( \frac{1}{h} H^{pq} \omega_{pq} \right)_{,i} + H^{pq} \omega_{pq,i} - 2 (H^{pq} \omega_{qi})_{,p}, \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau = & -H^{ij}_{,j} - (H^{ij} \omega_j)_{,i} - \frac{d-3}{4(d-2)} H^{ij} \omega_i \omega_j + \frac{1}{2(d-2)} H_{kl} H^{kl}_{,i} H^{ij} \omega_j \\ & - \frac{1}{h} H^{ik} H^{jl} (\omega_{jk} \omega_{il} - \omega_{ik} \omega_{jl}) + \frac{1}{2} H^{jk}_{,i} H_{jl} H^{il}_{,k} + \frac{1}{4} H^{ij} H_{kl,i} H^{kl}_{,j} \\ & + \frac{1}{4(d-2)} H^{ij} H_{kl} H^{kl}_{,i} H_{mn} H^{mn}_{,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Therefore, we may choose  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau$  of eqs. (15) and (16) to be the tertiary constraints arising from vanishing of the time derivative of the constraints  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi$ . In ref. [8], the PB algebra of the constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\tau_i$  is given<sup>4</sup>. We have

$$\{\chi, \tau_i\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{\chi, \tau\} = \tau \quad , \quad \{\chi_i, \tau\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{\chi_i, \tau_j\} = 0 \quad , \quad (17)$$

$$f\{\tau_i, \tau_j\}g = g(\partial_j f)\tau_i - f(\partial_i g)\tau_j, \quad (18)$$

$$f\{\tau, \tau\}g = (g\partial_i f - f\partial_i g) \frac{H^{ij}}{h^2} (h\tau_j - H^{mn} \omega_{mn} \chi_j + 2H^{mn} \omega_{mj} \chi_n), \quad (19)$$

<sup>3</sup> We are using the notations  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $H$  to distinguish between the Hamiltonian density and the Hamiltonian,  $H = \int \mathcal{H}(x) dx$ .

<sup>4</sup> The symbol  $f\{A, B\}g$  stands for  $\iint dx dy f(x) g(y) \{A(x), B(y)\}$ .

and

$$f\{\tau_i, \tau\}g = g \frac{(fh)_{,i}}{h} \tau - fg_{,i}\tau + A''(\chi, \chi_i); \quad (20)$$

where  $A''(\chi, \chi_i)$  is a function of the secondary constraints  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$ , as given in [8]. The PBs of eqs. (9,17-20) show that the constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\tau_i$  are first class, and satisfy a closed nonlocal algebra.

The constraints  $\tau$  and  $\tau_i$  are preserved in time, since

$$f\{\tau, H_w\} = \partial_i f \frac{H^{ij}}{h^2} (h\tau_j - H^{mn}\omega_{mn}\chi_j + 2H^{mn}\omega_{mj}\chi_n), \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f\{\tau_i, H_w\} &= \frac{(fh)_{,i}}{h} \tau + \frac{d-3}{2(d-2)} f \left( \frac{1}{h} H^{kl}\omega_l\chi_i \right)_{,k} - \frac{d-3}{2(d-2)} f H^{kl}\omega_l \left( \frac{\chi_k}{h} \right)_{,i} \\ &- \frac{1}{2(d-2)} f \left( H^{mj} H_{kl} H_{,j}^{kl} \frac{\chi_i}{h} \right)_{,m} + \frac{1}{2(d-2)} f H^{ml} H_{jk} H_{,l}^{jk} \left( \frac{\chi_m}{h} \right)_{,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Eqs. (21,22) show that no constraints of higher order arise.

## II. COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

The canonical formulation of the first order EH action of eq. (1) in the presence of a cosmological constant or matter fields (in terms of the variables of ref. [8]) requires the transformation of  $\sqrt{-\mathbf{g}}$  under the transformations of eqs. (2) and (5). This is unnecessary in the case of the pure gravitational field, since  $\sqrt{-\mathbf{g}}$  is absorbed into the new canonical variables  $h^{\mu\nu}$  through the transformations of eq. (2). If we take the determinant of both sides of eq. (2) we obtain

$$g^{\mu\nu} = (-\mathfrak{h})^{\frac{1}{d-2}} h^{\mu\nu} \quad (d \neq 2). \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathfrak{h} = \det(h_{\mu\nu})$ . On the other hand,

$$\mathfrak{h} = (-1)^{d-1} \frac{\mathbf{H}}{h}, \quad (24)$$

where  $h = h^{00}$  and  $\mathbf{H} = \det(H_{ij})$  [14]. Using eq. (24), we may write eq. (23) as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = K h^{\mu\nu}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$K = (\sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}})^{-1} = - \left( \frac{\mathbf{H}}{h} \right)^{\frac{1}{d-2}}. \quad (26)$$

In computations of the following sections these PBs are useful,

$$\{\omega, K\} = \frac{1}{d-2} \frac{K}{h}, \quad (27)$$

$$\{\omega, K^{-1}\} = -\frac{1}{d-2} \frac{K^{-1}}{h}, \quad (28)$$

$$\{\omega_{ij}, K\} = \frac{1}{d-2} K H_{ij}, \quad (29)$$

$$\{\omega_{ij}, K^{-1}\} = -\frac{1}{d-2} K^{-1} H_{ij}. \quad (30)$$

We also note that since  $K = K(h, H^{ij})$  we have

$$\{\omega_i, K\} = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\{\omega_i, K^{-1}\} = 0. \quad (32)$$

The following relations, which are derived using eqs. (27-32) are also useful,

$$K_{,p} = -\frac{1}{d-2} K \left[ \frac{h_{,p}}{h} + H_{ij} H^{ij}_{,p} \right], \quad (33)$$

$$K^{-1}_{,p} = \frac{1}{d-2} K^{-1} \left[ \frac{h_{,p}}{h} + H_{ij} H^{ij}_{,p} \right]. \quad (34)$$

The derivative with respect to the index  $p$  is a spatial derivative.

The first order EH action in the presence of a cosmological term is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{EH} + \sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}} \Lambda, \quad (35)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{EH}$  is given by eq. (1), and  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant. Under the transformations of eqs. (2,3,5), the Lagrangian of eq. (33) transforms to

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{EH} + K^{-1} \Lambda, \quad (36)$$

where  $K$  is given by eq. (26). The primary constraints remain unchanged under the addition of a cosmological term and the second class constraints are eliminated in the same manner as of the pure gravitational field. Therefore, the following ‘‘weak’’ Hamiltonian is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H}_w - K^{-1} \Lambda \\ &= \mathcal{H}_w + \mathcal{H}_\Lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_w$  associated with the pure first order EH action is given by eq. (6). The secondary constraints  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$  of eqs. (7) and (8) are obtained by the consistency condition of the time change of the constraints  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_i$ . Since the first class constraint  $\chi_i$  is to be preserved in time, using the “weak” Hamiltonian  $H_w$  of eq. (37) we get the following tertiary constraint

$$T_{\Lambda i} \equiv \{\chi_i, H_w\} \approx \tau_i + \{\chi_i, H_\Lambda\} = \tau_i, \quad (38)$$

because  $\{\chi_i, H_\Lambda\} = 0$ . In a similar way, since  $\chi$  should be preserved in time, we arrive at the constraint

$$\bar{T}_\Lambda \equiv \{\chi, H\} = \mathcal{H}_w + \partial_i \delta^i + \{\chi, H_\Lambda\}; \quad (39)$$

where we have used eq. (10). Since  $\{\chi, H_\Lambda\} = \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ , we have

$$\bar{T}_\Lambda = \mathcal{H} + \partial_i \delta^i, \quad (40)$$

where  $\delta^i$  is given by eq. (12). We see that eq. (40) implies that the Hamiltonian density for the first order EH action in the presence of a cosmological term is zero up to a total divergence on the constraint surface, similar to the pure first order EH action without the cosmological term. According to eqs. (13,16,38), the tertiary constraint corresponding to  $\chi$  can be identified by

$$\begin{aligned} T_\Lambda &= \tau + \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \\ &= \tau - \Lambda K^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$ ,  $T_{\Lambda i}$  and  $T_\Lambda$  are proven to be first class by showing that their commutation relations weakly vanish. We have also shown that they do not generate additional constraints, and furthermore, obey the same algebra of eqs. (17-20), for the pure gravitational field. The  $d(d-3)$  number of degrees of freedom (in phase space) of the pure gravitational field in  $d$  dimensions counted in [8] remains unchanged since no new fields are introduced, and no new constraints are generated by introducing a cosmological term.

### III. MASSIVE SCALAR FIELDS

The Lagrangian density for a massive scalar field in terms of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is [13]

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \sqrt{-\mathfrak{g}} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - m^2 \phi^2). \quad (42)$$

When written in terms of the variables  $h$ ,  $h^i$  and  $H^{ij}$ , the Lagrangian density of eq. (42) coupled to the first order EH action of eq. (1) transforms to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{EH} + \mathcal{L}_\phi \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{EH} + h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - m^2 K^{-1} \phi^2,\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{EH}$  and  $K$  are given by eqs. (1) and (26). Associated with the scalar field  $\phi$ , the canonical momentum  $p$  is

$$\begin{aligned}p &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \\ &= 2 \left( h \dot{\phi} + h^i \phi_{,i} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{44}$$

By solving eq. (44) for  $\dot{\phi}$ , we find that the primary Hamiltonian of the coupled system is

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{EH} + \mathcal{H}_\phi,\tag{45}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_\phi &= \left[ \frac{p^2}{4h} + H^{ij} \phi_{,i} \phi_{,j} + m^2 K^{-1} \phi^2 \right] - \frac{h^i}{h} p \phi_{,i} \\ &= \mathfrak{H}_\phi - \frac{h^i}{h} p \phi_{,i}.\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

The primary constraints  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\Omega_j^i$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$ , as well as the secondary constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$ ,  $\bar{\Theta}_i^i$  and  $\bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i$  (which result from the consistency condition of vanishing of the time derivative of the primary constraints  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\Omega_j^i$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$  respectively) remain unchanged. Therefore, one may eliminate the fields  $\Omega_j^i$ ,  $\Omega_i^{jk}$ ,  $\bar{\zeta}_j^i$  and  $\xi_{jk}^i$  from the Hamiltonian by setting the second class constraints  $\Omega_j^i$ ,  $\Omega_i^{jk}$ ,  $\bar{\Theta}_j^i$  and  $\bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i$  strongly equal to zero, as in the case of the pure gravitational field dealt with in ref. [8]. Since

$$\{\chi_i, \mathcal{H}_\phi\} = -p \phi_{,i},\tag{47}$$

$$\{\chi, \mathcal{H}_\phi\} = \mathcal{H}_\phi,\tag{48}$$

the tertiary constraint corresponding to  $\chi_i$  is identified with

$$T_{\phi_i} = \tau_i - p \phi_{,i},\tag{49}$$

while the time derivative of  $\chi$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{T}_\phi &= \bar{\tau} + \mathcal{H}_\phi \\ &= \mathcal{H} + \partial_i \delta^i,\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$



where  $\delta^i$  is given by eq. (12). The Hamiltonian density of eq. (45) is therefore seen to be weakly equal to zero, up to a total divergence. Much like eq. (13), where we identified  $\tau$  by separating terms proportional to the constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$  and  $\tau_i$ , we identify  $T_\phi$  defined in the following way to be the tertiary constraint corresponding to  $\chi$ ,

$$\bar{T}_\phi = T_\phi + \frac{\hbar^i}{\hbar} T_{\phi_i} + A(\chi, \chi_i). \quad (51)$$

Therefore,

$$T_\phi = \tau + \mathfrak{H}_\phi, \quad (52)$$

where  $\mathfrak{H}_\phi$  is given by eq. (46), and  $A = A(\chi, \chi_i)$  is a function of the constraints  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$ . A direct computation shows that the set of constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$ ,  $T_{\phi_i}$  and  $T_\phi$  is first class, satisfying the closed nonlocal algebra of eqs. (17-20) if we replace  $\tau$  with  $T_\phi$  and  $\tau_i$  with  $T_{\phi_i}$ . Also, no further constraints arise, since  $T_{\phi_i}$  and  $T_\phi$  are proven to be preserved in time. This illustrates that the number of degrees of freedom in the phase space is the sum of the number of degrees of freedom  $d(d-3)$  of the first order EH action plus the additional two degrees of freedom pertaining to the scalar field  $\phi$  and its conjugate momentum  $p$ .

#### IV. YANG-MILLS FIELDS AND MAXWELL GAUGE FIELDS

The addition of Maxwell gauge fields or Yang-Mills fields to the EH action of eq. (1) is more interesting because of the interplay between the first class constraints responsible for the gauge transformations of Maxwell gauge fields or Yang-Mills fields and the first class constraints of the first order EH action. Since the latter theories have a similar structure, we provide the canonical formulation of the first order EH action in the presence of the Yang-Mills action, and will then briefly comment on how the results should be altered in order to be applicable to the coupling of the Maxwell gauge fields.

In the presence of the first order EH action, the dynamics of Yang-Mills fields is described by the Lagrangian density [13]

$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\alpha\beta}^a, \quad (53)$$

where the field strength tensor  $F_{\mu\nu}^a$  is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + \epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c. \quad (54)$$

When formulated in terms of the variables  $h$ ,  $h^i$  and  $H^{ij}$  and their conjugate momenta  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_i$  and  $\omega_{ij}$ , this Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4}K h^{\mu\alpha}h^{\nu\beta}F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\alpha\beta}^a, \quad (55)$$

where  $K$  is given by eq. (26). We rewrite this Lagrangian by separating terms with a different number of time derivatives,

$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} = \frac{1}{2}K \left[ h H^{ij} F_{0i}^a F_{0j}^a + 2 h^i H^{jk} F_{ij}^a F_{0k}^a + \frac{h^i h^k}{h} H^{jl} F_{ij}^a F_{kl}^a - \frac{1}{2} H^{ik} H^{jl} F_{ij}^a F_{kl}^a \right]. \quad (56)$$

The Lagrangian density for the coupled system of the first order EH action plus Yang-Mills fields is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{EH} + \mathcal{L}_{YM}, \quad (57)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{EH}$  is given by eq. (1). If we denote the momentum corresponding to  $A_\mu^a$  by  $e^{a\mu}$ , from

$$e^{al} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{A}_l^a} \quad (58)$$

we arrive at

$$e^{al} = K (h H^{kl} F_{0k}^a + h^i H^{jl} F_{ij}^a), \quad (59)$$

$$e^{a0} = 0. \quad (60)$$

The set of eqs. (59) can be solved for  $\dot{A}_m^a$ , and we obtain

$$\dot{A}_m^a = A_{0,m}^a + K^{-1} \frac{1}{h} H_{ml} e^{al} - \frac{h^i}{h} F_{im}^a - \epsilon^{abc} A_0^b A_m^c, \quad (61)$$

resulting in the following Hamiltonian density for the Yang-Mills fields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{YM} = & K \left( \frac{1}{4} H^{ik} H^{jl} F_{ij}^a F_{kl}^a \right) + K^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2h} H_{lp} e^{al} e^{ap} \right) \\ & - \frac{h^i}{h} F_{im}^a e^{am} + e^{am} (\partial_m A_0^a - \epsilon^{abc} A_0^b A_m^c). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Now, if we define

$$\Omega^a \equiv \partial_m e^{am} - \epsilon^{abc} e^{bm} A_m^c, \quad (63)$$

the Hamiltonian density of eq. (62) can be put in the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{YM} = \mathfrak{H}_{YM} - \frac{\hbar^i}{\hbar} F_{im}^a e^{am} - A_0^a \Omega^a, \quad (64)$$

after a surface term has been dropped. In eq. (64) we have

$$\mathfrak{H}_{YM} = K \left( \frac{1}{4} H^{ik} H^{jl} F_{ij}^a F_{kl}^a \right) + K^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2\hbar} H_{lp} e^{al} e^{ap} \right). \quad (65)$$

Although eq. (59) can be solved for “velocities” in terms of their corresponding momenta, eq. (60) can not be solved for any velocity and has to be treated as a set of primary constraints

$$\Theta^a \equiv e^{a0}. \quad (66)$$

Together with the primary constraints  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\Omega_j^i$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$  of the first order EH action, the constraints of eq. (66) are first class, and therefore we need to ensure they are preserved in time. According to eq. (57), the primary Hamiltonian corresponding to the coupled system is

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{EH} + \mathcal{H}_{YM} + U\Omega + U^i\Omega_i + U_i^j\Omega_j^i + U_{jk}^i\Omega_i^{jk} + u^a\Theta_a, \quad (67)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{EH}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{YM}$  are given by eqs. (4) and (64) respectively, and  $u^a$ ,  $U$ ,  $U^i$ ,  $U_i^j$  and  $U_{jk}^i$  are Lagrange multiplier fields. We note that as before, the secondary constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$ ,  $\bar{\Theta}_i^j$  and  $\bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i$  remain unchanged. If we enforce the primary constraints  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_i$ ,  $\Omega_j^i$  and  $\Omega_i^{jk}$ , as well as the primary constraints  $\Theta^a$  of eq. (66), and if we eliminate from the action the fields  $\bar{\zeta}_j^i$  and  $\xi_{jk}^i$  by solving the second class constraints  $\bar{\Theta}_j^i \approx 0$  and  $\bar{\Theta}_{jk}^i \approx 0$ , then  $\mathcal{H}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{H} \approx \mathcal{H}_w + \frac{1}{d-1} \frac{1}{\hbar} (\chi^2 - (2h\omega + h^i\omega_i)\chi) - \bar{\xi}^i\chi_i - \frac{\bar{t}}{d-1}\chi + \mathcal{H}_{YM}, \quad (68)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_w$  is given by eq. (6). (The weak equality of eq. (68) thus refers to the primary constraints.) Since the time change of the constraints  $\Theta^a$  of eq. (66) should vanish we need to have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Theta^a, H\} &= \{\Theta^a, H_{YM}\} \\ &= \Omega^a \approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

This is in close correspondence with Yang-Mills theory in flat spacetime. Furthermore, since

$$\{\Omega^a, \chi\} = 0, \quad (70)$$

$$\{\Omega^a, \chi_i\} = 0, \quad (71)$$

we observe that the secondary constraints  $\Omega^a$  of eq. (69) are first class up to the level of secondary constraints.

The next task is to determine if the first class constraints  $\chi$ ,  $\chi_i$  and  $\Omega^a$  of eqs. (7), (8) and (69) produce any tertiary constraints. Since

$$\{\chi_i, H_{YM}\} = -F_{im}^a e^{am}, \quad (72)$$

we identify the tertiary constraint corresponding to  $\chi_i$  to be

$$T_{YM_i} = \tau_i - F_{im}^a e^{am}. \quad (73)$$

As in the case of scalar fields,  $\tau_i$  is seen to be affected exclusively through fields that are not present in the pure EH action.

The PB  $\{T_{YM_i}, T_{YM_j}\}$  can be worked out at this stage. We note in particular that, since

$$\begin{aligned} f \{F_{im}^a e^{am}, F_{jn}^b e^{bn}\} g &= \partial_m (fg e^{am} F_{ji}^a) + fg F_{ji}^a \Omega^a \\ &+ gf_{,j} (-F_{im}^a e^{am}) - fg_{,i} (-F_{jm}^a e^{am}), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

the commutation relation of eq. (18) is replaced by

$$f \{T_{YM_i}, T_{YM_j}\} g = g \partial_j f T_{YM_i} - f \partial_i g T_{YM_j} + fg F_{ji}^a \Omega^a. \quad (75)$$

The appearance of the term proportional to  $\Omega^a$  in the last expression of eq. (75) in the algebra of constraints is a new feature arising from the existence of first class constraints other than those that belong to pure gravity, and is expected to be a generic feature of gauge theories coupled to gravity.

In order to find the tertiary constraint corresponding to the secondary constraint  $\chi$ , we first note that

$$\{\chi, H_{YM}\} = \mathfrak{H}_{YM} - \frac{\hbar^i}{\hbar} F_{im}^a e^{am}. \quad (76)$$

Also, we may use  $\mathcal{H}_w$  of eq. (6) instead of  $\mathcal{H}_{EH}$  of eq. (4), since they are weakly equal. We thus observe that according to eqs. (10) and (64)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}_{YM} &= \{\chi, \mathcal{H}_w + \mathcal{H}_{YM}\} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_w + \mathcal{H}_{YM} + A_0^a \Omega^a + \partial_i \delta^i, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where  $\delta^i$  is again given by eq. (12). From eq. (77) we observe that up to a total divergence, the Hamiltonian density of the first order EH action in the presence of the Yang-Mills fields

is zero on the constraint surface. The tertiary constraint  $T_{YM}$  may be identified with

$$T_{YM} = \tau + \mathfrak{H}_{YM}, \quad (78)$$

where  $\mathfrak{H}_{YM}$  is given by eq. (65). Much like  $\tau$ ,  $T_{YM}$  is independent of the fields  $h^i$ . It is then possible to show that

$$f\{T_{YM}, T_{YM}\}g = \quad (79)$$

$$(gf_{,i} - fg_{,i}) \frac{H^{ij}}{h^2} (h T_{YMj} - H^{mn} \omega_{mn} \chi_j + 2H^{mn} \omega_{mj} \chi_n).$$

According to eq. (79), the PB of eq. (19) of the constraint  $\tau$  with itself remains unchanged under coupling the Yang-Mills action to the first order EH action if we replace  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau$  with  $T_{YM_i}$  and  $T_{YM}$  respectively. The PB  $\{T_{YM_i}, T_{YM}\}$  is more involved,

$$f\{T_{YM_i}, T_{YM}\}g = g \frac{(fh)_{,i}}{h} T_{YM} - fg_{,i} T_{YM} + A''(\chi, \chi_i) \quad (80)$$

$$- fg K^{-1} \frac{1}{h} H_{ij} e^{aj} \Omega^a,$$

where  $A''(\chi, \chi_i)$  of eq. (20) remains unchanged. The new feature of eq. (80) is the last term on the right hand side, which depends on the generators of the gauge transformations of the Yang-Mills fields. The following PBs also hold;

$$\{\Omega^a, \Theta^b\} = 0, \quad (81)$$

$$\{\Omega^a, \Omega^b\} = \epsilon^{apb} \Omega^p. \quad (82)$$

Since we have

$$\{\Omega^a, F_{pm}^b e^{bm}\} = 0, \quad (83)$$

$$\left\{\Omega^a, \frac{1}{4} K H^{ik} H^{jl} F_{ij}^b F_{kl}^b\right\} = 0, \quad (84)$$

we can show that

$$\{\Omega^a, T_{YM_i}\} = 0, \quad (85)$$

$$\{\Omega^a, T_{YM}\} = 0. \quad (86)$$

Having arrived at the PBs of eqs. (70-71) and (81-84), it is possible to verify that all constraints are preserved in time. First, we observe from eqs. (83) and (84) that the time derivative of  $\Omega^a$  weakly vanishes, since

$$\{\Omega^a, H\} = \epsilon^{apb} A_0^b \Omega^p. \quad (87)$$

Also, since the Hamiltonian density of eq. (68) is zero up to a total divergence on the constraint surface, we infer that

$$\{T_{YM i}, H\} \approx 0, \quad (88)$$

$$\{T_{YM}, H\} \approx 0. \quad (89)$$

This completes our analysis of the Yang-Mills fields coupled to gravity.

In order to obtain the constraint structure of Maxwell gauge fields coupled to the first order EH action from that of the EH action in the presence of the Yang-Mills fields, all we need to do is drop the index  $a$  in eq. (54) and consistently in the rest of equations in order to drop the nonlinear terms. Eq. (60) would therefore correspond to only one primary first class constraint

$$\Theta \equiv e^0 \approx 0, \quad (90)$$

which leads to the following secondary first class constraint

$$\Omega = \partial_m e^m \approx 0. \quad (91)$$

The rest of the constraint structure equations of the EH action in the presence of Yang-Mills fields remain valid for Maxwell Gauge fields if the dependence on the index  $a$  is dropped, except for eqs. (82) and (87) which should be replaced by

$$\{\Omega, \Omega\} = 0, \quad (92)$$

$$\{\Omega, H\} = 0, \quad (93)$$

respectively.

We also have verified that the number of degrees of freedom of the first order EH action coupled to Yang-Mills or Maxwell gauge fields is the sum of the number of degrees of freedom of the first order EH action by itself plus the number of degrees of freedom of the action for these matter fields in flat spacetime.

## V. CONCLUSION

Inspired by the Dirac Hamiltonian formulation of the first order EH action of ref. [8], we have dealt with the Hamiltonian formulation of the first order EH action in the presence

of a cosmological term, massive scalar fields, Maxwell gauge fields and Yang-Mills fields. The result is in close contact with the ADM Hamiltonian formulation of the first order EH action in the presence of Bosonic matter [3, 7, 19]; namely, the constraint structure of the first order EH action in the presence of scalar fields remains unaltered although the tertiary constraints  $\tau$  and  $\tau_i$  receive contributions from the matter fields, and the constraint structure of the first order EH action in the presence of the Yang-Mills/Maxwell gauge fields is altered by the change of the tertiary constraints  $\tau$  and  $\tau_i$  and the appearance of the generators of the gauge transformations of the matter fields in the constraint structure of the gravitational constraints. In all cases, the nonlocal algebra of constraints remains closed. According to the results of the analysis performed in this chapter, we may conclude that, as a generic feature, the Hamiltonian for the EH action in the presence of Bosonic matter fields is weakly zero on the constraint surface defined by the first class constraints, including those of the matter fields.

However, this generalization might hold only under special assumptions. In the case of the couplings discussed in this chapter, the secondary second class constraints  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$  of eqs. (7,8) of the first order EH action remain unaltered upon addition of matter fields. This happens since the connections appearing in the covariant derivative of the matter fields considered here drop out, such that covariant derivatives are replaced by ordinary derivatives. As a result, connections do not appear in the actions of the minimally coupled matter fields considered in this chapter, and they are therefore functions of the metric only.

Nonetheless, it is interesting to consider couplings in which the secondary second class constraints  $\chi$  and  $\chi_i$  of eqs. (7,8) are altered by contributions from the coupled matter fields. This might occur in our choice of variables through the contribution of the variables  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{\xi}^i$  of the Hamiltonian of eq. (4). As discussed by Isenberg and Nester using the ADM formalism [12], such derivative-coupled cases lead to peculiar results. For instance, the number of degrees of freedom of such theories coupled to the first order EH action might be different from the number of degrees of freedom of pure EH action plus the number of degrees of freedom of the matter fields in flat spacetime. This happens because of a reduction in the number of primary constraints when the gravitational field is turned on. A simple example is provided by the following Lagrangian density [12],

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu V_\nu \partial^\nu V^\mu, \quad (94)$$

where  $V_\mu$  is a vector field. Interestingly enough, the number of degrees of freedom of this theory is zero in the four dimensional flat spacetime and four when coupled to gravity; so that there is a discontinuous change in the number of degrees of freedom on transition to flat spacetime [12].



- 
- [1] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 1322 (1959).
- [2] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.* **117**, 1595 (1960).
- [3] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Modern Research* (L. Witten, ed., Wiley, NY, 1962).
- [4] P.A.M. Dirac, *Can. J. Math.* **2**, 129 (1950).
- [5] P.A.M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*
- [6] L.D. Faddeev and V.N. Popov, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **16**, 777 (1975).
- [7] L.D. Faddeev, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **25**, 130 (1982).
- [8] R.N. Ghalati and D. G. C. McKeon arXiv: 0712.2861.
- [9] D.M. Gitman and I.V. Tyutin, *Quantization of fields with constraints*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [10] A. Hanson, T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, *Constrained Hamiltonian Systems* Roma, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1976.
- [11] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems* (Princeton U. Press, Princeton, 1992).
- [12] J.A. Isenberg and J.M. Nester, *Annals of Physics* **107**, 56-81 (1977) .
- [13] F. Mandl and G. Shaw, *Quantum Field Theory* John-Wiley and Sons (1984).
- [14] T. Muir, W.H. Metzler, *A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants*, (Albany New York 1930).
- [15] J.E Nelson and C. Teitelboim, *Annals of Physics* **116**, 86 (1978).
- [16] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim *Annals of Physics* **88**, 286 (1974)
- [17] E.C.G. Sudarshan and N. Mukunda, *Classical Dynamics, A Modern Perspective* (John Wiley and Sons, 1974).
- [18] K. Sundermeyer, *Constrained Dynamics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
- [19] C. Teitelboim *The Hamiltonian Structure of Spacetime*
- [20] H. Weyl, *Z. Phys.* **56**, 330 (1929).