Secret Broadcasting of W-type state

I.Chakrabarty ^{1,2}*B.S.Choudhury ²

¹ Heritage Institute of Technology, Kolkata-107, West Bengal, India

 2 Bengal Engineering and Science University, Howrah, West Bengal, India

Abstract

In this work we investigate the problem of secretly broadcasting W type states between three different partners. The problem is interesting in the sense that here we have showed that one can broadcast two W-states between three distant partners from a W-type state initially shared by them, with the help of local cloning operations.

1 Introduction:

Linearity of quantum theory forbids us from amplifying and deleting an unknown quantum state. The consequence of which is the no-cloning theorem [1] and no-deletion theorem [6]. Although nature prevents us from amplifying an unknown quantum state but nevertheless one can construct a quantum cloning machine that duplicates an unknown quantum state with a fidelity less than unity [1,2,3,4,5].

Beyond the no-cloning theorem, one can clone an arbitrary quantum state probabilistically [7]. In the past years, much progress has been made in designing quantum cloning machine. Buzek-Hillery took the first step towards the construction of approximate quantum cloning machine [2]. They showed that the quality of the copies produced by their machine remain same for all input state. This machine is known as universal quantum cloning

^{*}Corresponding author: E-Mail-indranilc@indiainfo.com

machine (UQCM). Later Gissin -Massar showed the machine to be optimal [3]. After that the different sets of quantum cloning machines like the set of universal quantum cloning machines, the set of state dependent quantum cloning machines (i.e. the quality of the copies depend on the input state) and the probabilistic quantum cloning machines were proposed. Entanglement[8], the heart of quantum information theory, play a crucial role in computational and communicational purposes. Therefore, as a valuable resource in quantum information processing, quantum entanglement has been widely used in quantum cryptography [9,10], quantum superdense coding [11] and quantum teleportation [12]. An astonishing feature of quantum information processing is that information can be encoded in non-local correlations between two separated particles. The more pure is the quantum entanglement, the more valuable is the given two-particle state. Therefore, it becomes interesting to extract pure quantum entanglement from a partially entangled state, and a lot of work had been done in the past [10]. In other words, it is possible to compress locally an amount of quantum information. Now one can ask an question : whether the opposite is true or not i.e. can quantum correlations be decompressed? This question was tackled by several researchers using the concept of Broadcasting of quantum inseparability. Broadcasting is nothing but a local copying of non-local quantum correlations. That is the entanglement originally shared by a single pair is transferred into two less entangled pairs using only local operations.

Suppose two distant parties A and B share two qubit-entangled state

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \alpha|00\rangle_{AB} + \beta|11\rangle_{AB} \tag{1}$$

The first qubit belongs to A and the second belongs to B. Each of the two parties now perform local copier on their own qubit and then the input entangled state has been broadcast if for some values of the probability α^2

(1) non-local output states are inseparable, and

(2) local output states are separable.

V.Buzek et.al. were the first who proved that the decompression of initial quantum entanglement is possible, i.e. that from a pair of entangled particles, two less entangled pairs can be obtained by local operation. That means inseparability of quantum states can be partially broadcasted (cloned) with the help of local operation. They used optimal universal quantum cloners for local copying of the subsystems and showed that the non-local outputs are inseparable if α^2 lies in the interval $(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{39}}{16}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{39}}{16})$.

Further S.Bandyopadhyay et.al. [13] showed that only those universal quantum cloners whose fidelity is greater than $\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}})$ are suitable because only then the non-local output states becomes inseparable for some values of the input parameter α . They proved that an entanglement is optimally broadcast only when optimal quantum cloners are used for local copying and also showed that broadcasting of entanglement into more than two entangled pairs is not possible using only local operations. I.Ghiu investigated the broadcasting of entanglement by using local $1 \rightarrow 2$ optimal universal asymmetric Pauli machines and showed that the inseparability is optimally broadcast when symmetric cloners are applied [14]. Few years back we study broadcasting of entanglement using state dependent quantum cloning machine as a local copier. We show that the length of the interval for probability-amplitude-squared for broadcasting of entanglement using state dependent cloner can be made larger than the length of the interval for probabilityamplitude-squared for broadcasting entanglement using state independent cloner. In that work we showed that there exists local state dependent cloner which gives better quality copy (in terms of average fidelity) of an entangled pair than the local universal cloner [15].

In recent past Adhikari et.al in their paper [16] showed that secretly broadcasting of three-qubit entangled state between two distant partners with universal quantum cloning machine is possible. They generalized the result to generate secret entanglement among three parties. Recently Adhikari et.al in ref [17] proposed a scheme for broadcasting of continuous variable entanglement. In another work [18], we investigate the problem of secretly generating five qubit entangled state between three different partners implementing the protocol described in ref [16]

Along with Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR)state and Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) state, there exists other entangled states such as W-class states and zero sum amplitude (ZSA) states [19] which have substantial importance in quantum information theory. In this work we start with a W type state of the form

$$|X\rangle_{123} = \alpha |001\rangle_{123} + \beta |010\rangle_{123} + \gamma |100\rangle_{123}$$
(2)

shared by three distant parties Alice,Bob and Carol. Then each party apply local approximate cloning machine on their respective qubits and then each of them perform measurements on their respective machine vectors. Not only that, each party inform others about their measurement result using Goldenberg and Vaidmans quantum cryptographic scheme [20] based on orthogonal state. Since the measurement results are interchanged secretly among them, so Alice ,Bob and Carol share secretly six qubit state. Among six qubit state, we interestingly find that there exists two three qubit W-type states shared by Alice, Bob and Carol.

In broadcasting of inseparability, we generally use Peres-Horodecki criteria [21,22] to show the inseparability of non-local outputs and separability of local outputs.

Peres-Horodecki Theorem :The necessary and sufficient condition for the state ρ of two spins $\frac{1}{2}$ to be inseparable is that at least one of the eigen values of the partially transposed operator defined as $\rho_{m\mu,n\nu}^T = \rho_{m\mu,n\nu}$, is negative. This is equivalent to the condition that at least one of the two determinants

$$W_{3} = \begin{vmatrix} \rho_{00,00} & \rho_{01,00} & \rho_{00,10} \\ \rho_{00,01} & \rho_{01,01} & \rho_{00,11} \\ \rho_{10,00} & \rho_{11,00} & \rho_{10,10} \end{vmatrix} \text{ and } W_{4} = \begin{vmatrix} \rho_{00,00} & \rho_{01,00} & \rho_{00,10} & \rho_{01,10} \\ \rho_{00,01} & \rho_{01,01} & \rho_{00,11} & \rho_{01,11} \\ \rho_{10,00} & \rho_{11,00} & \rho_{10,10} & \rho_{11,10} \\ \rho_{10,01} & \rho_{11,01} & \rho_{10,11} & \rho_{11,11} \end{vmatrix}$$

is negative.

The advantage of this protocol from the previous broadcasting protocols is that here we secretly broadcast two states : (1) One between Alice's original qubit and cloned qubits of Bob and Carol, (2) Another between original qubits of Bob and Carol with the cloned qubit of Alice. Therefore we generate two quantum channel between three parties secretly, which is more secured and hence can be used in various protocols viz. quantum key distribution protocols.

2 Broadcasting of W-type state:

Let the three particle W state shared by three distant partners Alice, Bob and Carol is given by,

$$|X\rangle_{123} = \alpha |001\rangle_{123} + \beta |010\rangle_{123} + \gamma |100\rangle_{123}$$
(3)

where without any loss of generality, we have assumed that α, β, γ are all real with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$. The qubits 1,2,3 are in possession with Alice,Bob and Carol respectively. A pictorial representation of this W-type state is given by Fig 1.

In order to broadcast W-type states among three partners, we introduce new cloning machine given by,

$$|0\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} (x|00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle + y|10\rangle|\downarrow\rangle)$$

$$|1\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} (x|11\rangle|\uparrow\rangle + y|01\rangle|\downarrow\rangle)$$
(4)

where $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$ are orthogonal quantum cloning machine state vectors. Now Alice, Bob and Carol apply the cloning machine defined by equation (4) on their respective qubits and hence obtain six qubit state given by,

$$\begin{aligned} |X^{C}\rangle_{142536} &= \\ \frac{1}{(x^{2}+y^{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \{\alpha(x|00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{A}+y|10\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{A})(x|00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{B}+y|10\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{B})(x|11\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{C}+y|01\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{C}) \\ +\beta(x|00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{A}+y|10\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{A})(x|11\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{B}+y|01\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{B})(x|00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{C}+y|10\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{C}) \\ +\gamma(x|11\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{A}+y|01\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{A})(x|00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{B}+y|10\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{B})(x|00\rangle|\uparrow\rangle^{C}+y|10\rangle|\downarrow\rangle^{C})$$
(5)

The subscripts 4,5,6 refer approximate copies qubits in the Alices, Bobs and Carol's side respectively. Schematic representation of the cloned states are shown in Fig 2

Alice, Bob and Carol then perform measurement on the quantum cloning machine state vectors in the basis $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$ and exchange their measurement results with each other using Goldenberg and Vaidmans quantum cryptographic scheme [20] based on orthogonal state.

As a result of which they secretly generate six qubit states among them. The tensor product of machine state vectors after the measurement is given by the following table.

TABLE 1:

Serial Number	Measurement Results
1	$ \uparrow\rangle^A \uparrow\rangle^B \uparrow\rangle^C$
2	$ \uparrow\rangle^A \uparrow\rangle^B \downarrow\rangle^C$
3	$ \uparrow\rangle^A \downarrow\rangle^B \downarrow\rangle^C$
4	$ \uparrow\rangle^A \downarrow\rangle^B \uparrow\rangle^C$
5	$ \downarrow\rangle^A \uparrow\rangle^B \uparrow\rangle^C$
6	$ \downarrow\rangle^A \uparrow\rangle^B \downarrow\rangle^C$
7	$ \downarrow\rangle^A \downarrow\rangle^B \uparrow\rangle^C$
8	$ \downarrow\rangle^A \downarrow\rangle^B \downarrow\rangle^C$

Now if the measurement outcome is $|\uparrow\rangle^A|\uparrow\rangle^B|\uparrow\rangle^C$, then the six qubit state shared by Alice and Bob is given by

$$|Y^{C}\rangle_{142536} = \frac{x^{3}}{(x^{2} + y^{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}} \{\alpha |000011\rangle_{142536} + \beta |001100\rangle_{142536} + \gamma |110000\rangle_{142536} \}$$
(6)

Now our task is to see whether we can generate two 3-qubit W-type state from above six qubit state or not. To examine the above fact, we have to consider two 3-qubit state described by the density operators ρ_{156} and ρ_{234} .

$$\rho_{156} = \rho_{234} = \frac{x^6}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \{ \alpha^2 |001\rangle \langle 001| + \beta^2 |010\rangle \langle 010| + \gamma^2 |100\rangle \langle 100| + \alpha\beta |001\rangle \langle 010| + \alpha\gamma |001\langle 100| + \beta\alpha |010\rangle \langle 001| + \beta\gamma |010\rangle \langle 010| + \gamma\alpha |\rangle 100\langle 001| + \gamma\beta |100\rangle \langle 010| \}$$
(7)

It is evident from the outer products of equation(7), the density operators ρ_{156} and ρ_{234} represent the density matrix of W-type of states. Schematic representation of three qubit W-states is depicited in Fig 3

Now we have to check whether the local output states are separable. The density operators the local output states are given by,

$$\rho_{14} = \frac{x^6}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \{ \alpha^2 |00\rangle \langle 00| + \beta^2 |00\rangle \langle 00| + \gamma^2 |11\rangle \langle |11\}$$

$$\rho_{25} = \frac{x^6}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \{\alpha^2 |00\rangle \langle 00| + \beta^2 |11\rangle \langle 11| + \gamma^2 |00\rangle \langle |00\}$$

$$\rho_{36} = \frac{x^6}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \{\alpha^2 |11\rangle \langle 11| + \beta^2 |00\rangle \langle 00| + \gamma^2 |00\rangle \langle |00\}$$
(8)

Now for each of these density operators $W_4 = W_3 = 0$ for all values of α, β, γ , clearly indicating the fact that the local output states are separable.

Thus we have secretly broadcasted two three qubit W-states :

(1) One between Alice's original qubit and cloned qubits of Bob and Carol.

(2) Another between original qubits of Bob and Carol with the cloned qubit of Alice.

One can use these two secretly broadcasted three qubit W-states as secret quantum channels between three partners for various cryptographic schemes. One can also investigate the problem of inseparability of the states obtained as a consequence of other possible measure results shoen in the table 1.

3 Conclusion:

Our work is interesting in the sense that instead of applying (B-H) cloning machine for twice as in ref [16] here three parties applied a different cloning transformation in three distant locations and subsequent measurement on the cloning machine state vectors to broadcast two three qubit W-type of states secretly . Now these three parties can use these newly broadcasted W-type states as quantum channels more securely than any three qubit entangled states.

4 Acknowledgement

I.C acknowledges allmighty God for being the source of inspiration of all work. He also acknowledges Prof C.G.Chakraborti for being the source of inspiration in research work.

5 References

- [1] W.K.Wootters, W.H.Zurek, Nature 299 (1982) 802.
- [2] V.Buzek, M.Hillery, Phys.Rev.A 54 (1996) 1844.
- [3] N.Gisin, S.Massar, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79 (1997) 2153.
- [4] V.Buzek, S.L.Braunstein, M.Hillery, D.Bruss, Phys.Rev.A 56 (1997) 3446.
- [5] D.Bruss, D.P.DiVincenzo, A.Ekert, C.A.Fuchs, C.Macchiavello, J.A.Smolin, Phys.Rev.A 57 (1998) 2368.
- [6] A.K.Pati, S.L.Braunstein, Nature 404 (2000) 164.
- [7] L.M.Duan and G.C.Guo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 4999.
- [8] Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen, Phys.Rev. 47 (1935)777.
- [9] C.H.Bennett and G.Brassard, Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Com-

puters, System and Signal Processing, Bangalore, India, 1984, pp.175-179.

- [10] P.W.Shor and J.Preskill, Phys.Rev.Lett. 85 (2000)441.
- [11] C.H.Bennett and S.J.Weisner, Phys.Rev.Lett.69 (1992)2881.
- [12] C.H.Bennett, G.Brassard, C.Crepeau, R.Jozsa, A.Peres and W.K.Wootters, Phys.Rev.Lett. 70 (1993)1895.
- [13] S.Bandyopadhyay, G.Kar, Phys.Rev.A 60 (1999)3296.
- [14] I.Ghiu, Phys.Rev.A 67 (2003)012323.
- [15] S.Adhikari, B.S.Choudhury and I.Chakrabarty, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 No 26 (2006)8439.
- [16] S.Adhikari, B.S.Choudhury, Phys. Rev. A74, (2006)032323.
- [17] Satyabrata Adhikari, A. S. Majumdar, N. Nayak, arXiv:0708.1869.
- [18] I.Chakrabarty (in preparation).
- [19] P.W.Shor and J.Preskill, Phys.Rev.Lett. 85 (2000)441.
- [20] L.Goldenberg and L.Vaidman, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75 (1995)1239.
- [21] A.Peres, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77 (1996)1413.
- [22] M.Horodecki, P.Horodecki, R.Horodecki, Phys.Lett.A 223. (1996)1.