

# Geometric Interpretation of Second Elliptic Integrable Systems

Idrisse Khemar

## Abstract.

In this paper we give a geometrical interpretation of all the second elliptic integrable systems associated to 4-symmetric spaces. We first show that a 4-symmetric space  $G/G_0$  can be embedded into the twistor space of the corresponding symmetric space  $G/H$ . Then we prove that the second elliptic system is equivalent to the vertical harmonicity of an admissible twistor lift  $J$  taking values in  $G/G_0 \hookrightarrow \Sigma(G/H)$ . We begin the paper with an example:  $G/H = \mathbb{R}^4$ . We also study the structure of 4-symmetric bundles over Riemannian symmetric spaces.

**MSC:** 53C21; 53C28; 53C35; 53C43; 53C30

**Keywords:** Twistors; 4-symmetric spaces; symmetric spaces; integrable systems; vertically harmonic maps.

## Introduction

The first example of second elliptic integrable system associated to a 4-symmetric space was given in [7]: the authors showed that the Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  are solutions of one such integrable system. Later they generalized their result to complex two-dimensional Hermitian symmetric spaces, [9]. In [12], we presented a new class of geometric problems for surfaces in the Euclidean space of dimension 8 by identifying  $\mathbb{R}^8$  with the set of octonions  $\mathbb{O}$ , and we proved that these problems are solutions of a second elliptic integrable system. Using the left multiplication in  $\mathbb{O}$  by the vectors of the canonical basis of  $\text{Im } \mathbb{O}$  we defined a family  $\{\omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq 7\}$  of canonical symplectic forms in  $\mathbb{O}$ . This allowed us to define the notion of  $\omega_I$ -isotropic surfaces, for  $I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, 7\}$ . Using the cross-product in  $\mathbb{O}$  we defined a map  $\rho: Gr_2(\mathbb{O}) \rightarrow S^6$  from the Grassmannian of planes in  $\mathbb{O}$  to  $S^6$ . This allowed us to associate to each surface  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{O}$  a function  $\rho_\Sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow S^6$ . In the case of  $\omega_I$ -isotropic surfaces,  $\rho_\Sigma$  takes values in a subsphere  $S^I = S(\oplus_{i \notin I, i > 0} \mathbb{R}e_i) \simeq S^{6-|I|}$ . We showed that the surfaces in  $\mathbb{O}$  such that  $\rho_\Sigma$  is harmonic ( $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces) are solutions of a completely integrable system  $\mathcal{S}$ . More generally we showed that the  $\omega_I$ -isotropic  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces are solutions of a completely integrable system  $\mathcal{S}_I$ . Hence we built a family  $(\mathcal{S}_I)$  indexed by  $I$ , of set of surfaces solutions of

an integrable system, all included in  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_\emptyset$ , such that  $I \subset J$  implies  $\mathcal{S}_J \subset \mathcal{S}_I$ . Each  $\mathcal{S}_I$  is a second elliptic integrable system (in the sense of C.L. Terng). This means that the equations of this system are equivalent to the zero curvature equation :

$$d\alpha_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_\lambda \wedge \alpha_\lambda] = 0,$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and where  $\alpha_\lambda = \lambda^{-2}\alpha'_2 + \lambda^{-1}\alpha_{-1} + \alpha_0 + \lambda\alpha_1 + \lambda^2\alpha''_2$ .

By restriction to the quaternions  $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{O}$  of our theory we obtain a new class of surfaces: the  $\omega_I$ -isotropic  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\rho(Gr_2(\mathbb{H})) = S^2$  and  $|I| = 0, 1$  or  $2$ . For  $|I| = 1$  we obtain the Hamiltonian Stationary Lagrangian surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and for  $|I| = 2$ , the special Lagrangian surfaces. By restriction to  $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ , we obtain the CMC surfaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Besides, in [13], we found a supersymmetric interpretation of all the second elliptic integrable systems associated to 4-symmetric spaces in terms of super harmonic maps into symmetric spaces. This led us to conjecture that this system has a geometric interpretation in terms of surfaces with values in a symmetric space, such that a certain associated map is harmonic as this is the case for Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in Hermitian symmetric spaces or for  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces of  $\mathbb{O}$ .

In this paper we give the answer to this conjecture. More precisely, we give a geometric interpretation – in terms of vertical harmonic twistor lifts – of all the second elliptic integrable systems associated to 4-symmetric spaces. Indeed given a 4-symmetric space  $G/G_0$ , and its order four automorphism  $\tau: G \rightarrow G$ , then the involution  $\sigma = \tau^2$  gives rise to the symmetric space  $G/H$ , with  $H = G^\sigma$ . Then we prove that the second elliptic integrable system associated to the 4-symmetric space  $G/G_0$  is exactly the equation of vertical harmonicity for an admissible twistor lift in  $G/H$ . More precisely, given a 4-symmetric space  $G/G_0$ , and its associated symmetric space  $G/H$ , then  $G/G_0$  is a subbundle of the twistor space  $\Sigma(G/H)$ . We prove that the second elliptic integrable systems associated to  $G/G_0$ , is the system of equations for maps  $J: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G/G_0 \subset \Sigma(G/H)$  such that  $J$  is compatible with the Gauss map of  $X: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G/H$ , the projection of  $J$  into  $G/H$ , i.e.  $X$  is  $J$ -holomorphic (admissible twistor lift), and such that  $J$  is vertically harmonic. We prove also that an admissible twistor lift  $J: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G/G_0$  is harmonic if and only if it is vertically harmonic and  $X: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G/H$  is harmonic.

We begin the paper with an example:  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . This case was just mentioned briefly at the end of [12] as a restriction of the difficult problem in  $\mathbb{O}$ . In this paper we study this problem independently and in detail. However, we also present a formulation of this problem in terms of twistor lifts which seems to be the appropriate formulation. Besides, in dimension 4 we have unicity of the twistor lift (in  $\Sigma^+(G/H)$  and  $\Sigma^-(G/H)$  respectively) so we are in this case in the presence of a theory of surfaces (and not, as in the general case, a theory of twistor lift). Hence we can speak about  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces in this dimension (which are exactly the solutions of the second elliptic integrable system). In our work we are led to prove some theorems on the structure of 4-symmetric bundles. Indeed we

want to answer the following questions. Given a Riemannian symmetric space, do there exist 4-symmetric bundles over it? In other words, does its twistor bundle contain 4-symmetric subbundles, and if yes, how can we characterize these 4-symmetric components? are they isomorphic? How are they distributed in the twistor space? Do they form a partition of the twistor space? The 4-symmetric spaces have been classified (at least in the compact case, see [11, 16]). However, our point of view is different: we want to keep an intrinsic point of view as long as possible, therefore we deal with the Riemannian symmetric space and a (locally) 4-symmetric bundle defined over it, and we try to forget as much as possible the order four automorphism of the Lie algebra. Our aim is to give a formulation of our problem which is as general and intrinsic as possible. For example, our definition of vertical harmonicity holds for any Riemannian manifold. Moreover we prove the following characterization: to define a (locally) 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  is equivalent to give oneself  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$ , an (orthogonal) almost complex structure in  $T_{p_0}M$ , which leaves invariant the curvature. We obtain the following picture: the submanifold of the twistor bundle leaving invariant the curvature is the disjoint union of all the maximal (locally) 4-symmetric subbundle, which are orbits (under the action of some subgroups of  $\text{Is}(M)$ ). Each isomorphism class of orbits defines a different second elliptic integrable system.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we deal with the  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Section 2 contains our main result: the interpretation of the second elliptic integrable systems associated to a 4-symmetric space in terms of vertical harmonicity of an admissible twistor lift. Then Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the study of the structure of 4-symmetric bundles over symmetric spaces. The last Section presents some examples of 4-symmetric bundles.

## 1 $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces in $\mathbb{H}$

### 1.1 Cross product, complex structure and Grassmannian of planes in $\mathbb{H}$

We consider the space  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{H}$  with its canonical basis  $(1, i, j, k)$  (which we denote also by  $(e_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 3}$ ). Let  $P = q \wedge q'$  be an oriented plane of  $\mathbb{H}$  (itself oriented by its canonical basis) then there exists an unique positive complex structure<sup>1</sup>  $I_P \in \Sigma^+(P)$  on the plane  $P$ . It is defined by  $I_P(q) = q'$ ,  $I_P(q') = -q$  if  $(q, q')$  is orthogonal. Next, we can extend it in an unique way to a positive (resp. negative) complex structure in  $\mathbb{H} = P \oplus P^\perp$ ,  $J_P^+$  (resp.  $J_P^-$ ) given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_P^+ &= I_P \oplus I_{P^\perp} \\ J_P^- &= I_P \oplus -I_{P^\perp} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In all the paper, for any oriented Euclidean space  $E$ ,  $\Sigma(E) = \{J \in SO(E) | J^2 = -\text{Id}\}$ , and  $\Sigma(M)$  denotes the twistor bundle of the Riemannian manifold  $M$ .

( $P^\perp$  is oriented so that  $= P \oplus P^\perp$  is positively oriented). Hence we obtain a surjective map:

$$\begin{aligned} J^+ : Gr_2(\mathbb{H}) &\rightarrow \Sigma^+(\mathbb{H}) \\ q \wedge q' &\mapsto J_{q \wedge q'}^+ \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$Gr_2(\mathbb{H})$  being the Grassmannian of oriented planes in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and in the same way a surjective map  $J^- : Gr_2(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \Sigma^-(\mathbb{H})$ .

Besides, we have

$$J_{q \wedge q'}^+ = L_{q \times_L q'} = \frac{1}{2}(L_{q'}L_{\bar{q}} - L_qL_{\bar{q}'}) ,$$

where  $q \times_L q' = -\text{Im}(q \cdot \bar{q}') = \text{Im}(q' \cdot \bar{q})$  is the left cross product (it is a bilinear skew map from  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$  to  $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ ). Indeed, if  $(q, q')$  is orthonormal then  $q \times_L q' = -q \cdot \bar{q}' \in S(\text{Im } \mathbb{H})$  so  $L_{q \times_L q'}$  is a complex structure in  $\mathbb{H}$  and it is positive (because  $\{L_u, u \in S^2\}$  is connected and  $L_i \in \Sigma^+(\mathbb{H})$  because  $(1, L_i(1), j, L_i(j))$  is positively oriented). Moreover if  $(q, q')$  is orthonormal then  $L_{q \times_L q'}(q) = (q' \bar{q})q = q'$ . Hence  $L_{q \times_L q'} = J_{q \wedge q'}^+$ . Thus we obtain a diffeomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^+(\mathbb{H}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} S^2 \\ J &\mapsto J(1) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Under this identification, the map (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ : Gr_2(\mathbb{H}) &\rightarrow S^2 \\ q \wedge q' &\mapsto q \times_L q' \end{aligned} .$$

We can do the same for  $\Sigma^-(\mathbb{H})$ . We obtain that  $J_{q \wedge q'}^- = R_{\overline{q \times_R q'}} = -R_{q \times_R q'} = \frac{1}{2}(R_{q'}R_{\bar{q}} - R_qR_{\bar{q}'})$ , where  $q \times_R q' = -\text{Im}(\bar{q} \cdot q') = \text{Im}(\bar{q}' \cdot q)$  is the right cross product (it is a bilinear skew map from  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$  to  $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ ). Then we have the same identification between  $\Sigma^-(\mathbb{H})$  and  $S^2$ , as in (3). Under this identification  $J^-$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_- : Gr_2(\mathbb{H}) &\rightarrow S^2 \\ q \wedge q' &\mapsto q \times_R q' \end{aligned} .$$

## 1.2 Action of $SO(4)$

Recall the following 2-sheeted covering of  $SO(4)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : S^3 \times S^3 &\rightarrow SO(4) \\ (a, b) &\mapsto L_a R_{\bar{b}} \end{aligned}$$

and set  $Spin(3)_+ = \{L_a, a \in S^3\}$ ,  $Spin(3)_- = \{R_{\bar{b}}, b \in S^3\}$ , then  $SO(4) = Spin(3)_+ Spin(3)_- = Spin(3)_- Spin(3)_+$ . We have the two following representations of  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon$ :

$$\chi^+ : L_a \mapsto \text{int}_a = L_a R_{\bar{a}} \in SO(\text{Im } \mathbb{H}), \quad \chi^- : R_{\bar{b}} \mapsto \text{int}_b = L_b R_{\bar{b}} \in SO(\text{Im } \mathbb{H}).$$

Then the map  $\rho_\varepsilon$  is  $Spin(3)$ -equivariant: for all  $q, q' \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $g = L_a R_{\bar{b}} \in SO(4)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (gq) \times_L (gq') &= a(q \times_L q')\bar{a} = \text{int}_a(q \times_L q') \\ (gq) \times_R (gq') &= b(q \times_R q')\bar{b} = \text{int}_b(q \times_R q'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $\forall g \in SO(4)$ ,

$$\rho_\varepsilon(g(q \wedge q')) = \chi_g^\varepsilon(\rho_\varepsilon(q \wedge q'))$$

(where we have extended  $\chi^\varepsilon$  to  $SO(4)$  in an obvious way:  $\chi^+(L_a R_{\bar{b}}) = \chi^+(L_a)$ ,  $\chi^-(L_a R_{\bar{b}}) = \chi^-(R_{\bar{b}})$ ). Besides the map  $J^\varepsilon$  is also  $Spin(3)$ -equivariant, in other words the identification (3) is  $Spin(3)$ -equivariant:

$$\forall g \in SO(4), \\ g J_{q \wedge q'}^+ g^{-1} = L_a R_{\bar{b}} L_{q \times_L q'} R_b L_{\bar{a}} = L_{a(q \times_L q')} a^{-1} = J_{g(q \wedge q')}^+ .$$

The action of  $Spin(3)_+ = SU(\mathbb{R}^4, R_e)$  (resp.  $Spin(3)_- = SU(\mathbb{R}^4, L_e)$ ) on  $\Sigma^-(\mathbb{H})$  (resp.  $\Sigma^+(\mathbb{H})$ ) is trivial. Hence  $SO(4)$  acts on  $\Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{H})$  only by its component  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon$  (in the same way it acts on  $S_\varepsilon^2$  only by its component  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon$  via  $\chi^\varepsilon$ ). In fact, the equality  $g J_{q \wedge q'}^+ g^{-1} = J_{g(q \wedge q')}^+$  results immediately from the definition of  $J_{q \wedge q'}^+$  and the fact that  $g$  is a positive isometry. This natural equality which is equivalent to what we called the fundamental property in [12]:  $(gq) \times (gq') = \chi_g(q \times q')$ , is characteristic of dimension 4: in this case it is possible to associate in a natural way (which depends only on the metric and the orientation) to each plane a complex structure, which is not possible in higher dimension. In dimension 8, we must choose an octonionic structure in  $\mathbb{R}^8$  to do that (see [12]).

### 1.3 The Grassmannian $Gr_2(\mathbb{H})$ is a product of spheres

**Theorem 1** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ \times \rho_- : \quad Gr_2(\mathbb{H}) &\rightarrow S^2 \times S^2 \\ q \wedge q' &\mapsto (q \times_L q', q \times_R q') \end{aligned}$$

*is a diffeomorphism.*

**Proof.**  $SO(3) \times SO(3)$  acts transitively on  $S^2 \times S^2$  so  $SO(4)$  acts transitively on  $S^2 \times S^2$  via  $\chi^+ \times \chi^-$ , thus  $\rho_+ \times \rho_-$  is surjective.

Let  $e \in S(\text{Im } \mathbb{H})$ ,  $g = L_a R_{\bar{b}}$ ,  $g' = L_{a'} R_{\bar{b}'} \in SO(4)$  then we have<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ \times \rho_-(g(1 \wedge e)) = \rho_+ \times \rho_-(g'(1 \wedge e)) &\iff (aea^{-1}, -beb^{-1}) = (a'ea'^{-1}, -b'eb'^{-1}) \\ &\iff a'^{-1}a, b'^{-1}b \in S^1(e) \\ &\implies (L_{a'} R_{\bar{b}'})^{-1}(L_a R_{\bar{b}})(1 \wedge e) = 1 \wedge e \\ &\implies g(1 \wedge e) = g'(1 \wedge e). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since  $SO(4)$  acts transitively on  $Gr_2(\mathbb{H})$ , we have proved that  $\rho_+ \times \rho_-$  is injective and that

$$\rho_+ \times \rho_-(g(1 \wedge e)) = \rho_+ \times \rho_-(g'(1 \wedge e)) \iff (a'^{-1}a, b'^{-1}b) \in S^1(e) \times S^1(e)$$

<sup>2</sup>setting  $S^1(e) = \{\cos \theta + \sin \theta e, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,

(in the previous sequence of implications, the last proposition implies the first one so all the propositions are equivalent). This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

As it is the case in [12], it is useful here to introduce a function  $\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon$  on  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon$  corresponding to  $\rho_\varepsilon$ : we define  $\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon e}: Spin(3)_\varepsilon \rightarrow S^2$  by  $\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon e}(g) = \chi_g^\varepsilon(e)$  (where  $e \in S(\text{Im } \mathbb{H}) = S^2$ ), i.e. under the identification  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon = S^3$  we have  $\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon e}(a) = \text{int}_a(e) = aea^{-1}$ , which is nothing but the Hopf fibration  $S^3 \rightarrow S^3/S^1(e)$ . If  $\rho_\varepsilon(e_1 \wedge e_2) = e$  then  $\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon e}(g) = \rho_\varepsilon(g(e_1 \wedge e_2))$ . In the following, we will forget the index  $e$ . Hence, if we take  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  such that  $\rho_\varepsilon(e_1 \wedge e_2) = e$  for  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  (i.e.  $e_1 \wedge e_2 = (1 \wedge e)^\perp$  which means also that  $(e, e_1, e_2)$  is a direct orthonormal basis of  $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ ) then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^3 \times S^3 & \xrightarrow{\chi} & SO(4) \\ \tilde{\rho}_+ \times \tilde{\rho}_- \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{array}{c} g \\ g(e_1 \wedge e_2) \end{array} \\ S^2 \times S^2 & \xleftarrow[\rho_+ \times \rho_-]{\cong} & Gr_2(\mathbb{H}) \end{array}$$

Let us now consider the restriction to  $\text{Im } \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$  of this diagram. First the universal covering  $Spin(3) \rightarrow SO(3)$  is obtained by restriction to  $\Delta_3 = \{(a, a), a \in S^3\} \simeq S^3$  of  $\chi: S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow SO(4)$ , which gives the covering  $(a, a) \mapsto \text{int}_a$ . Then supposing in addition that  $e_1, e_2 \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ , the restriction to  $SO(3)$  of  $SO(4) \rightarrow Gr_2(\mathbb{H})$  is only the surjective map  $g \in SO(3) \mapsto g(e_1 \wedge e_2) \in Gr_3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . And the restriction to  $Gr_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  of  $\rho_+ \times \rho_-$  gives the diffeomorphism  $\rho: u \wedge v \in Gr_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow u \times v \in S^2$ . Finally the restriction to  $\Delta_3$  of  $\tilde{\rho}_+ \times \tilde{\rho}_-$  gives the Hopf fibration  $\tilde{\rho}: a \in S^3 \mapsto aea^{-1} \in S^2$ . So by restriction to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we obtain the classical commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^3 & \xrightarrow{\chi^3} & SO(3) \\ \text{Hopf} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^2 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & Gr_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \end{array}$$

**Remark 1** Besides if we use  $\Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{H})$  instead of the sphere  $S^2$  the Hopf fibration  $\tilde{\rho}_\varepsilon$  becomes  $SU(2, J_{1 \wedge e}^{-\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{H}) = SU(2, J_{1 \wedge e}^{-\varepsilon})/U(1)_\varepsilon = SO(4)/U(2, J_{1 \wedge e}^\varepsilon)$  where  $U(1)_+ = R_{S^1(e)} = \exp(\mathbb{R}.R_e)$ ,  $U(1)_- = L_{S^1(e)} = \exp(\mathbb{R}.L_e)$ .

#### 1.4 The $\rho$ -harmonic $\omega_I$ -isotropic surfaces

We recall here in the particular case of  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$  our result obtained in [12] about  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces. To do that, we need to introduce some notations and definitions. We have

$$\rho_\varepsilon(q \wedge q') = -\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i^\varepsilon(q, q') e_i$$

where  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 3} = (i, j, k)$  and  $\omega_i^\varepsilon = \langle \cdot, J_{1 \wedge e_i}^\varepsilon \cdot \rangle$  (i.e.  $\omega_i^+ = \langle \cdot, L_{e_i} \cdot \rangle$ ,  $\omega_i^- = \langle \cdot, R_{e_i} \cdot \rangle$ ). Let us set, for  $I \subsetneq \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,

$$Q_I^\varepsilon = \{P \in Gr_2(\mathbb{H}) \mid \omega_i^\varepsilon(P) = 0, i \in I\},$$

then  $Q_\emptyset = Gr_2(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $Q_{\{k\}} = \{P \in Gr_2(\mathbb{H}), \text{Lagrangian for } \omega_k^\varepsilon\}$ , and  $Q_{\{k,l\}}^\varepsilon$  is the set of special Lagrangian planes (more precisely the  $\omega_k^\varepsilon$ -Lagrangian planes  $P$  such that  $\det_{\mathbb{C}^2}(P) = \pm i$  under the identification:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mapsto (x_0 + ix_k, x_l + ix_{k \wedge l}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , with  $(k, l, k \wedge l)$  cyclic permutation of  $(1, 2, 3)$ ; for example, if  $(k, l) = (1, 2)$ , it is the identification  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto z_1 + z_2 j \in \mathbb{H}$  for  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 + jz_2$  for  $\varepsilon = -1$ ). We have also  $\rho_\varepsilon(Q_I) = S^I = S(\bigoplus_{i \notin I} \mathbb{R}e_i) = S^2, S^1, \{\pm e_k\}$  for  $|I| = 0, 1, 2$  respectively. Besides we have for  $I = \{i\} \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ , that  $J^+(Q_I) = L_{S^I} = S^1(\mathbb{R}L_{e_j} \oplus \mathbb{R}L_{e_k})$  is the circle of positive complex structures which anticommute with  $L_{e_i}$ ; and for  $I = \{i, j\} \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $J^+(Q_I) = L_{S^I} = \{\pm L_{e_k}\}$ .

We denote by  $G_I^\varepsilon$  the subgroup of  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon$  which conserves  $\omega_i^\varepsilon$ , for all  $i \in I$ ; this is the subgroup of  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon$  which commutes with  $L_{e_i}$ , for all  $i \in I$ . Then  $G_I^\varepsilon = S^3, S^1, \{\pm 1\}$  for  $|I| = 0, 1, 2$  respectively. We can also consider instead of  $Spin(3)_\varepsilon$  the group  $SO(4)$  (which is equivalent to add the component  $Spin(3)_{-\varepsilon}$  which is useless), then we have  $G_I^\varepsilon = SO(4), U(2, J_{1 \wedge e_i}^\varepsilon), SU(2, J_{1 \wedge e_i}^\varepsilon)$  for  $|I| = 0, 1, 2$  respectively. Let  $e \in S(\bigoplus_{i \notin I} \mathbb{R}e_i)$ . The inner automorphism,  $\text{Int} J_{1 \wedge e}^\varepsilon$ , defines on  $G_I^\varepsilon$  an involution which gives rise to the symmetric space  $S^I = G_I^\varepsilon / G_{I \cup \{k\}}^\varepsilon$  and in the Lie algebra of  $G_I^\varepsilon$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_I^\varepsilon$ , to the eigenspace decomposition of  $\text{Ad} J_{1 \wedge e}^\varepsilon$ :

$$\mathfrak{g}_I^\varepsilon = \mathfrak{g}_0^\varepsilon(I) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2^\varepsilon(I)$$

with  $\mathfrak{g}_0^\varepsilon(I) = \ker(\text{Ad} J_{1 \wedge e}^\varepsilon - \text{Id})$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_2^\varepsilon(I) = \ker(\text{Ad} J_{1 \wedge e}^\varepsilon + \text{Id})$ .

Let us introduce  $\mathcal{G}_I^\varepsilon = G_I^\varepsilon \times \mathbb{R}^4$  the group of affine isometries of which the linear part is in  $G_I^\varepsilon$ , and its Lie algebra:  $\mathfrak{g}^\varepsilon(I) = \mathfrak{g}_I^\varepsilon \oplus \mathbb{R}^4$ . Consider the automorphism of the group  $\mathcal{G}_I^\varepsilon$ :  $\tau_e^\varepsilon = \text{Int}(-\varepsilon J_{1 \wedge e}^\varepsilon, 0)$  with  $e \in S(\bigoplus_{i \notin I} \mathbb{R}e_i)$ . This is an order four automorphism which gives us an eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}^\varepsilon(I)^\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g}^\varepsilon(I)^\mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_4} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k^\varepsilon(I)$$

with  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm 1}^\varepsilon(I) = \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}^\varepsilon = \ker(J_{1 \wedge e}^\varepsilon \pm i\text{Id})$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0^\varepsilon(I) = \mathfrak{g}_0^\varepsilon(I)^\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2^\varepsilon(I) = \mathfrak{g}_2^\varepsilon(I)^\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover we have  $[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k^\varepsilon(I), \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_l^\varepsilon(I)] \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{k+l}^\varepsilon(I)$ .

We fix a value of  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ . Then let us define as in [12]:

**Definition 1** *Let  $L$  be an immersed surface in  $\mathbb{H}$ , then a map  $\rho_L: L \rightarrow S^2$  is associated to it, defined by  $\rho_L(z) = \rho_\varepsilon(T_z L)$  i.e. if  $X: L \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is the immersion then  $\rho_L = X^* \rho_\varepsilon$ . We will say that  $L$  is  $\rho$ -harmonic if  $\rho_L$  is harmonic<sup>3</sup>.*

*Let  $I \subsetneq \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we will say that  $L$  is  $\omega_I$ -isotropic if  $\forall z \in L, T_z L \in Q_I^\varepsilon$  (i.e.  $L$  is  $\omega_i^\varepsilon$ -isotropic for all  $i \in I$ ) which is equivalent to:  $\rho_L$  takes values in  $S^I = S(\bigoplus_{i \notin I} \mathbb{R}e_i) \subset S^2$ . Hence for  $|I| = 1$ , the  $\rho$ -harmonic  $\omega_I^\varepsilon$ -isotropic*

<sup>3</sup>with respect to the induced metric on  $S^2$ .

surfaces are the **Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces** in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , and for  $|I| = 2$ , these are the **special Lagrangian surfaces** in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (see above for the identification  $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ ).

If it could be an ambiguity as concerned the value of  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , we will use the qualificatifs "left" and "right" respectively to design these two values. A lifted conformal left (resp. right)  $\omega_I$ -isotropic immersion -  $LC\omega_I$  - (if  $I = \emptyset$  we will say a lifted conformal immersion or simply a lift) is a map  $U = (F, X): L \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_I^\varepsilon$  such that  $X$  is a conformal  $\omega_I^\varepsilon$ -isotropic immersion and  $\tilde{\rho}_e \circ F = \rho_L$ .

We have obtained the following result in [12]:

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected open domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\alpha$  an 1-form on  $\Omega$  with values in  $\mathfrak{g}(I)$ , then*

- $\alpha$  is the Maurer-Cartan form of a  $LC\omega_I$  if and only if

$$d\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha = 0, \quad \alpha''_{-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_{-1} \text{ does not vanish}$$

- furthermore,  $\alpha$  corresponds to a  $\rho$ -harmonic  $\omega_I$ -isotropic conformal immersion if and only if the extended Maurer-Cartan form  $\alpha_\lambda = \lambda^{-2}\alpha'_2 + \lambda^{-1}\alpha_{-1} + \alpha_0 + \lambda\alpha_1 + \lambda^2\alpha''_2$  satisfies

$$d\alpha_\lambda + \alpha_\lambda \wedge \alpha_\lambda = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Let us recall the proof given in [12].

**Proof.** To fix ideas, we take  $\varepsilon = 1$ .  $\alpha$  is a Maurer-Cartan form if and only if it satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation. In this case, it can be integrated by  $U = (F, X): \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_I$ ,  $\alpha = U^{-1}.dU$ ,  $U(z_0) = 1$ . Hence  $\alpha = U^{-1}.dU = (F^{-1}.dF, F^{-1}.dX)$ . Moreover,  $F^{-1}.dX = \alpha_{-1} + \alpha_1$  is real and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1} = \{V \pm iL_e V, V \in \mathbb{H}\}$  so  $\alpha_{-1} = \overline{\alpha_1}$ . Hence  $\alpha''_{-1} = 0 \iff \alpha''_{-1} = \overline{\alpha''_1} = 0 \iff \alpha_{-1} = (F^{-1} \frac{\partial X}{\partial z}) dz \iff F^{-1} \frac{\partial X}{\partial y} = L_e(F^{-1} \frac{\partial X}{\partial x}) \iff F^{-1}.dX = h(q_0 du + q'_0 dv)$  with  $h \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $q_0, q'_0 \in C^\infty(\Omega, S^3)$ ,  $\langle q_0, q'_0 \rangle = 0$  and  $\rho(q_0, q'_0) = e$ . Thus we have  $(\alpha''_{-1} = 0 \text{ and } \alpha'_{-1} \neq 0) \iff dX = e^f(q du + q' dv)$  with  $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $(q, q')$  orthonormal and  $\rho(q, q') = \tilde{\rho}_e(F)$  i.e.  $\rho_X = \tilde{\rho}_e(F)$ . This proves the first point. Hence we have the decomposition  $\alpha = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{-1} + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = \alpha'_2 + \alpha'_{-1} + \alpha_0 + \alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2$ . Furthermore, using the commutation relations  $[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k(I), \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_l(I)] \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{k+l}(I)$ ,  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}, \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}] = \{0\}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_\lambda + \alpha_\lambda \wedge \alpha_\lambda &= \lambda^{-2}(d\alpha'_2 + [\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha'_2]) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-1}(d\alpha'_{-1} + [\alpha'_{-1} \wedge \alpha_0] + [\alpha''_1 \wedge \alpha'_2]) \\ &\quad + (d\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_0] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha'_2 \wedge \alpha'_2]) \\ &\quad + \lambda(d\alpha''_1 + [\alpha''_1 \wedge \alpha_0] + [\alpha'_{-1} \wedge \alpha'_2]) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2(d\alpha''_2 + [\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha''_2]), \end{aligned}$$



the coefficients of  $\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^0, \lambda$  are respectively the projections of  $d\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1$  respectively so they vanish and hence

$$d\alpha_\lambda + \alpha_\lambda \wedge \alpha_\lambda = d\beta_{\lambda^2} + \beta_{\lambda^2} \wedge \beta_{\lambda^2}$$

where  $\beta_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}\alpha'_2 + \alpha_0 + \lambda\alpha''_2$  is the extended Maurer-Cartan form of  $\beta = F^{-1}.dF$ , the Maurer-Cartan form of the lift  $F \in G_I$  of  $\rho_X \in S^I$ . According to [6], we know that  $\rho_X$  is harmonic if and only if  $d\beta_\lambda + \beta_\lambda \wedge \beta_\lambda = 0, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . This proves the second point and completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 2** We have  $\rho_-(x, y) = -\text{Im}(\bar{x}.y) = \rho_+(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . Hence  $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is  $\rho_-$ -harmonic if and only if  $\bar{X}$  is  $\rho_+$ -harmonic, and  $X$  is  $\omega_I^-$ -isotropic if and only if  $\bar{X}$  is  $\omega_I^+$ -isotropic. Besides if  $U = (F, X): \Omega \rightarrow G_I \times \mathbb{H}$  is a left  $\text{LC}\omega_I$  then we have  $F = L_a$  and  $aea^{-1} = \rho_X = \rho_+(q, q')$  with  $dX = e^\omega(qdu + q'dv)$ ,  $(q, q')$  orthonormal. Thus  $\rho_-(\bar{q}, \bar{q}') = aea^{-1}$  and hence  $\underline{U} = (R_{\bar{a}}, \bar{X})$  is a right  $\text{LC}\omega_I$ .

**Remark 3** The restriction to  $\text{Im}\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$  of the left (or right) cross product gives us the usual cross product in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Hence a surface in  $\text{Im}\mathbb{H}$  is left (resp. right)  $\rho$ -harmonic if and only if it is a constant mean curvature surface. In the same way, it is easy to see that a surface in  $S^3$  is left (resp. right)  $\rho$ -harmonic if and only if it is a constant mean curvature surface.

**Remark 4** We can apply now the Dorfmeister-Pedit-Wu method (DPW) to obtain a Weierstrass representation of  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces (see [6, 7, 9, 12, 13]). There are non-trivial technical difficulties in establishing DPW, such as proving loop group splittings ([6, 14]).

## 2 Second Elliptic Integrable Systems

### 2.1 4-symmetric spaces and twistor spaces

**Definition 2** Let  $M$  be a Riemannian symmetric space. We will say that a Lie group  $G$  acts symmetrically on  $M$  or that  $M$  is a  $G$ -symmetric space if  $G$  acts transitively and isometrically on  $M$  and if there exists an involutive automorphism of  $G$ ,  $\sigma$ , such that  $H$  the isotropy subgroup at a fixed point  $p_0 \in M$ , satisfies  $(G^\sigma)^0 \subset H \subset G^\sigma$ . We will say also that  $G/H$  is a symmetric realisation of  $M$ .

We will say that a  $G$ -homogeneous space  $N = G/G_0$  is a 4-symmetric bundle over the  $G$ -symmetric space  $M$  if there exists an order four automorphism  $\tau$  of  $G$ , such that  $(G^\tau)^0 \subset G_0 \subset G^\tau$ , and  $(G, \tau)$  gives rise to the symmetric space  $M$ , i.e.  $\sigma = \tau^2$  and  $G_0 \subset H$ .

A  $G$ -homogeneous space  $N = G/G_0$  is a locally 4-symmetric space if there exists an order four automorphism of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}G$ ,  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}^\tau = \text{Lie}G_0$ . We will say that  $G/G_0$  is a locally 4-symmetric bundle over the  $G$ -symmetric space  $M$  if  $\tau^2 = \sigma$  (and  $G_0 \subset H$ ).

Let us consider  $M$  a  $G$ -symmetric space with  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . The automorphism  $\tau$  gives us an eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_4} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k$  is the  $e^{ik\pi/2}$ -eigenspace of  $\tau$ . We have clearly  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0^{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-k}$  and  $[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_l] \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{k+l}$ . We define  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_1^{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \setminus \{0\}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_k,$$

it is possible because  $\overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2$  and  $\overline{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ . Let us set  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  (i.e. we forget the " $\sim$ "),  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$$

is the eigenspace decomposition of the involutive automorphism  $\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the Lie algebra of  $H$ , the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at a reference point  $p_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is identified to the tangent space  $T_{p_0}M$ . Besides we remark that  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  (since  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}} = -i\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}} \oplus i\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ )<sup>4</sup>, which gives us the following theorem (proved in section 3.2).

**Theorem 3** *Let us consider  $M$  a Riemannian  $G$ -symmetric space and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Let us make  $G$  acting on  $\Sigma(M)$ :  $g \cdot J = gJg^{-1}$ . Let  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$  be the complex structure corresponding<sup>5</sup> to  $-\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$ , under the identification  $T_{p_0}M = \mathfrak{m}$ . Then the orbit of  $J_0$  under the action of  $G$  is an immersed submanifold of  $\Sigma(M)$ . Denoting by  $G_0$  the stabilizer of  $J_0$ , then  $\text{Lie } G_0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\tau}$  and thus  $G/G_0$  is a locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ , and the natural map*

$$\begin{aligned} i: \quad G/G_0 &\longrightarrow \Sigma(M) \\ g \cdot G_0 &\longmapsto gJ_0g^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

*is an injective immersion and a morphism of bundle. Moreover, if the image of  $G$  in  $\text{Is}(M)$  (the group of isometry of  $M$ ) is closed, then  $i$  is an embedding.*

## 2.2 The second elliptic integrable system associated to a 4-symmetric space

We give ourself  $M$  a Riemannian  $G$ -symmetric space with  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ , and  $N = G/G_0$  the associated locally 4-symmetric space given by theorem 3. We use the same notations as in Section 2.1. Then let us recall what is a second elliptic system according to C.L. Terng (see [15]).

<sup>4</sup>We choose a metric in  $\mathfrak{m}$  invariant by  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (and of course by  $\text{Ad}H$ ), see section 3.1

<sup>5</sup>About the choice of  $-\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (instead of  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ) and its link to the  $(1, 0)$ -splitting, see theorem 4 and remark 13, for later explanation.

**Definition 3** The second  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ -system is the equation for  $(u_0, u_1, u_2): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^2 \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{-j}$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\bar{z}} u_2 + [\bar{u}_0, u_2] = 0 & (a) \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} u_1 + [\bar{u}_0, u_1] + [\bar{u}_1, u_2] = 0 & (b) \\ -\partial_{\bar{z}} u_0 + \partial_z \bar{u}_0 + [u_0, \bar{u}_0] + [u_1, \bar{u}_1] + [u_2, \bar{u}_2] = 0. & (c) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It is equivalent to say that the 1-form

$$\alpha_\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^2 \lambda^{-i} u_i dz + \lambda^i \bar{u}_i d\bar{z} = \lambda^{-2} \alpha'_2 + \lambda^{-1} \alpha'_1 + \alpha_0 + \lambda \alpha''_1 + \lambda^2 \alpha''_2 \quad (5)$$

satisfies the zero curvature equation:

$$d\alpha_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_\lambda \wedge \alpha_\lambda] = 0, \quad (6)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . We will speak about the  $(G, \tau)$ -system ( $\tau$  is an automorphism of  $\text{Lie } G = \mathfrak{g}$ ) when we will look for solutions of the  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ -system in  $G$ , i.e. maps  $U: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G$  such that their Maurer-Cartan form is solution of the  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ -system, in other words when we integrate the zero curvature equation (6) in  $G$ . We will call (geometric) solution of the second elliptic integrable system associated to the locally 4-symmetric space  $G/G_0$  a map  $J: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G/G_0$  which can be lifted into a solution  $U: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G$  of (4).

**Remark 5** In (4),  $\{\text{Im}((a)), (b), (c)\}$  is equivalent to  $d\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha \wedge \alpha] = 0$ . Hence the additional condition added to the Maurer-Cartan equation by the zero curvature equation (6) is  $\text{Re}(\partial_{\bar{z}} \alpha'_2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}) + [\alpha''_0(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}), \alpha'_2(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}})]) = 0$  or equivalently

$$d(\star \alpha_2) + [\alpha_0 \wedge (\star \alpha_2)] = 0.$$

The first example of second elliptic system was given by F. Hélein and P. Romon (see [7, 9]): they showed that the equations for Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in 4-dimension Hermitian symmetric spaces are exactly the second elliptic system associated to certain 4-symmetric spaces. Then in [12], we found another example in  $\mathbb{O}$ : the  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces in  $\mathbb{O}$ , which by restriction to  $\mathbb{H}$  gave us the  $\rho$ -harmonic surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}$  (studied in section 1) which generalize the Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

**Definition 4** Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $\nabla$  its Levi-Civita connection which induces a connection on  $\text{End}(TM)$ . Let us define for each  $(p, J_p) \in \Sigma(M)$  the orthogonal projection

$$\text{pr}^\perp(p, J_p): \text{End}(T_p M) \rightarrow T_{J_p}(\Sigma(T_p M))$$

( $T_p M$  is an Euclidean vector space so  $\Sigma(T_p M)$  is a submanifold of the Euclidean space  $\text{End}(T_p M)$  and so  $T_{J_p} \Sigma(T_p M)$  is a vector subspace of  $\text{End}(T_p M)$  and we

can consider the orthogonal projection on this subspace). Given  $L$  a Riemannian surface and  $J: L \rightarrow \Sigma(M)$  we set

$$\Delta J = \text{pr}^\perp(J) \cdot \text{Tr}(\nabla^2 J)$$

where  $\text{Tr}$  is the trace with respect to the metric on  $L$  (in fact, we take the vertical part of the rough Laplacian). We will say that  $J$  is vertically harmonic if  $\Delta J = 0$ . This notion depends only on the conformal structure on  $L$ .

**Definition 5** Let  $(L, j)$  be a Riemann surface,  $M$  an oriented manifold and  $X: L \rightarrow M$  an immersion. Let  $J: L \rightarrow X^*(\Sigma(M))$  be an almost complex structure on the vector bundle  $X^*(TM)$ . Then we will say that  $J$  is an admissible twistor lift of  $X$  if one of the following equivalent statements holds:

- (i)  $X$  is  $J$ -holomorphic:  $\star dX := dX \circ j = J \cdot dX$
- (ii)  $J$  is an extension of the complex structure on the oriented tangent plane  $P = X_*(TL)$  induced by  $j$ , the complex structure of  $L$ , or equivalently  $J$  induces the complex structure  $j$  in  $L$ .
- (iii)  $X$  is a conformal immersion and  $J$  stabilizes the tangent plane  $X_*(TL)$ , i.e. for all  $z \in L$ ,  $J_z$  stabilizes  $X_*(T_z L)$  and induces on it the same orientation, which we will denote by  $J \circ X_*(TL)$
- (iv)  $X$  is a conformal immersion and  $J$  is an extension of the unique positive complex structure  $I_P$  of the tangent plan  $P = X_*(TL)$ .

Finally, we will say that a map  $J: L \rightarrow \Sigma(M)$  is an admissible twistor lift if its projection  $X = \text{pr}_M \circ J: L \rightarrow M$  is an immersion and  $J$  is an admissible twistor lift of it.

**Theorem 4** Let  $L$  be a simply connected Riemann surface and  $(G, \tau)$  a locally 4-symmetric bundle over a symmetric space  $M = G/H$ . Let  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0} M)$  be the complex structure corresponding to  $-\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (see Section 2.1). Let be  $J_X: L \rightarrow i(G/G_0) \subset \Sigma(G/H)$ . Then the two following statements are equivalent:

- $J_X$  is an admissible twistor lift.
- Any lift  $F: L \rightarrow G$  of  $J_X$  ( $F J_0 F^{-1} = J_X$ ) gives rise to a Maurer-Cartan form  $\alpha = F^{-1} \cdot dF$  which satisfies:  $\alpha''_{-1} = \alpha'_1 = 0$  and  $\alpha'_{-1}$  does not vanish.

Furthermore, under these statements,  $J_X: L \rightarrow \Sigma(G/H)$  is vertically harmonic if and only if  $J_X: L \rightarrow G/G_0$  is solution of the second elliptic integrable system associated to the locally 4-symmetric space  $(G, \tau)$ , i.e.

$$d\alpha_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_\lambda \wedge \alpha_\lambda] = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where  $\alpha_\lambda = \lambda^{-2}\alpha'_2 + \lambda^{-1}\alpha'_{-1} + \alpha_0 + \lambda\alpha''_1 + \lambda^2\alpha''_2$  is the extended Maurer-Cartan form of  $\alpha$ .

**Proof.** For the first point, let us make  $F^{-1}$  acting on the equation  $dX \circ j = J_X.dX$ , we obtain  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ j = -\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}})$  which is equivalent to  $\alpha''_{-1} = \alpha'_1 = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha_{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = F^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial X}{\partial z}$ , and  $X$  is an immersion if and only if  $\alpha'_{-1}$  does not vanish.

For the second point, let us recall that  $\text{End}(T_p M) = \text{sym}(T_p M) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(T_p M)$  and given  $J \in \Sigma(T_p M)$ , we have  $T_J \Sigma(T_p M) = \text{Ant}(J) = \{A \in \mathfrak{so}(T_p M) | AJ + JA = 0\}$  and  $(T_J \Sigma(T_p M))^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{so}(T_p M) = \text{Com}(J) = \{A \in \mathfrak{so}(T_p M) | [A, J] = 0\}$ .

Now, let us compute the connection  $X^* \nabla$  on  $X^*(\text{End}(TM))$ , in terms of the Lie algebra setting. Let  $A$  be a section of  $X^*(\text{End}(TM))$  and  $Y$  a section of  $X^*(TM)$ . Let  $A_0 \in C^\infty(L, \text{End}(T_{p_0} M))$  be defined by  $A_{F.p_0} = FA_0 F^{-1}$  and  $A_{\mathfrak{m}} \in C^\infty(L, \text{End}(\mathfrak{m}))$  its image under the identification  $T_{p_0} M = \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $A_{F.p_0}$  corresponds to  $\text{Ad}F \circ A_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Ad}F^{-1}$  (under the identification  $TM = [\mathfrak{m}] := \{(g.p_0, \text{Ad}g(\xi)), \xi \in \mathfrak{m}, g \in G\}$ , see section 3.1). In particular  $(J_X)_{\mathfrak{m}} = -\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (we suppose  $F(p_0) = 1$ ). We set also  $Y = \text{Ad}F(\xi).p_0$ ,  $\xi \in C^\infty(L, \mathfrak{m})$ . From now, we do the identification  $TM = [\mathfrak{m}]$  without precising it. Then, denoting by  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{m}}$  the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -component of the Lie bracket, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla A)(Y) &= \nabla(AY) - A(\nabla Y) \\ &= \text{Ad}F([d(A_{\mathfrak{m}}\xi) + [\alpha, A_{\mathfrak{m}}\cdot\xi]]_{\mathfrak{m}} - A_{\mathfrak{m}}(d\xi + [\alpha, \xi]_{\mathfrak{m}})) \\ &= \text{Ad}F((dA_{\mathfrak{m}})\xi + (\text{ad}\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ A_{\mathfrak{m}} - A_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{ad}\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}})\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\nabla A = \text{Ad}F(dA_{\mathfrak{m}} + [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}, A_{\mathfrak{m}}]).$$

In particular,<sup>6</sup>

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} J_X = -2\text{Ad}F(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\alpha'_2 \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$$

(because  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{g}_0$  commutes with  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$  whereas  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{g}_2$  anticommutes with it) and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}}(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} J_X) &= -2\text{Ad}F(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_2) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}} + [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha''_0), \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha'_2) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}]) \\ &= -2\text{Ad}F(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_2) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}} + \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([\alpha''_0, \alpha'_2]) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ &\quad + [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\alpha''_2, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha'_2) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}]) \\ &= -2\text{Ad}F(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_2 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_2]) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}} + [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\alpha''_2, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha'_2) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}]) \end{aligned}$$

but  $-\text{Ad}F([\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\alpha''_2, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha'_2) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}])$  commutes with  $-\text{Ad}F(\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}) = J_X$  so it is orthogonal to  $T_J \Sigma(T_p M)$  thus

$$\text{pr}^{\perp}(J_X) \cdot \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}}(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} J_X) = -2\text{Ad}F(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_2 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_2]) \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}).$$

Hence, since  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is injective<sup>7</sup>

$$\Delta J_X = 0 \iff \text{Re}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_2 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_2]) = 0. \quad (7)$$

This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

<sup>6</sup>In all the proof, we will merge  $\alpha'_k$  (resp.  $\alpha''_k$ ) with  $\alpha'_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})$  (resp.  $\alpha''_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}})$ ), and in particular write  $[\alpha''_k, \alpha'_l]$  instead of  $[\alpha''_k(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}, \alpha'_l(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})]$ .  $z$  is a local holomorphic coordinate in  $L$ .

<sup>7</sup>We can do this hypothesis without loss of generality, see section 3.1.

**Remark 6** The equivalence (7) holds for any map  $J_X: L \rightarrow i(G/G_0)$ . Indeed, we have not used the fact that  $J_X$  is an admissible twistor lift to prove this equivalence.

**Theorem 5** Let  $J_X: L \rightarrow G/G_0 \hookrightarrow \Sigma(G/H)$  be an admissible twistor lift. Then  $J_X: L \rightarrow G/G_0$  is harmonic<sup>8</sup> if and only if  $X: L \rightarrow G/H$  is harmonic and  $J_X$  is vertically harmonic.

**Proof.**  $J_X: L \rightarrow G/G_0$  is harmonic if and only if the Maurer-Cartan form  $\alpha = F^{-1}.dF$  of the lift  $F: L \rightarrow G$  of  $J_X$  ( $FJ_0F^{-1} = J_X$ ) satisfies (see [3])

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_1 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_1] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha''_1, \alpha'_1]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = 0$$

(where  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  is the reductive decomposition corresponding to the homogeneous space  $G/G_0$ , see Section 2.1) which splits into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_2 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_2] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha''_1, \alpha'_1] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha''_{-1}, \alpha'_{-1}] = 0 \\ \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_{-1} + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_{-1}] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha''_2, \alpha'_1] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha''_1, \alpha'_2] = 0 \\ \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_1 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_1] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha''_2, \alpha'_{-1}] + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha''_{-1}, \alpha'_2] = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

then, using  $\alpha''_{-1} = \alpha'_1 = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_2 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_2] = 0 \\ \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_{-1} + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_{-1}] = 0 \\ [\alpha''_2, \alpha'_{-1}] = 0 \end{cases}$$

(in the second equation, we have used  $[\alpha''_1, \alpha'_2] = -\overline{[\alpha''_2, \alpha'_{-1}]} = 0$ ). Besides  $X: L \rightarrow G/H$  is harmonic if and only if we have

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_m + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_m] = 0$$

which splits into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_{-1} + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_{-1}] + [\alpha''_2, \alpha'_1] = 0 \\ \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_1 + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_1] + [\alpha''_2, \alpha'_{-1}] = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and using  $\alpha''_{-1} = \alpha'_1 = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\bar{z}}\alpha'_{-1} + [\alpha''_0, \alpha'_{-1}] = 0 \\ [\alpha''_2, \alpha'_{-1}] = 0. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof. ■

---

<sup>8</sup>with respect to any metric induced by an AdG-invariant metric in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## 3 Structure of 4-symmetric bundles over symmetric spaces

### 3.1 4-symmetric spaces

Let  $G$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\tau: G \rightarrow G$  an order four automorphism with the fixed point subgroup  $G^\tau$ , and the corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}^\tau$ . Let  $G_0$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $(G^\tau)^0 \subset G_0 \subset G^\tau$ , then  $\text{Lie } G_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $G/G_0$  is a 4-symmetric space. The automorphism  $\tau$  gives us an eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$  for which we use the notation of section 2.1. Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  is the eigenspace decomposition of the involutive automorphism  $\sigma = \tau^2$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $(G^\sigma)^0 \subset H \subset G^\sigma$  then  $\text{Lie } H = \mathfrak{h}$  and  $G/H$  is a symmetric space. We will often suppose that  $G_0$  and  $H$  are chosen such that  $G_0 = G^\tau \cap H$ . With this condition,  $G_0 \subset H$  so that  $G/G_0$  is a bundle over  $G/H$ . Recall that the tangent bundle  $TM$  is canonically isomorphic to the subbundle  $[\mathfrak{m}]$  of the trivial bundle  $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ , with fibre  $\text{Ad}g(\mathfrak{m})$  over the point  $x = g.H \in M$ . Under this identification the canonical  $G$ -invariant connection of  $M$  is just the flat differentiation in  $M \times \mathfrak{g}$  followed by the projection on  $[\mathfrak{m}]$  along  $[\mathfrak{h}]$  (which is defined in the same way as  $\mathfrak{m}$ ) (see [4]). For the homogeneous space  $N = G/G_0$  we have the following reductive decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \tag{10}$$

( $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1$  can be written  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ ) with  $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1] \subset \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ . As for the symmetric space  $G/H$ , we can identify the tangent bundle  $TN$  with the subbundle  $[\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1]$  of the trivial bundle  $N \times \mathfrak{g}$ , with fibre  $\text{Ad}g(\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_1)$  over the point  $y = g.G_0 \in N$ .

The symmetric space  $M = G/H$  is Riemannian if it admits a  $G$ -invariant metric, which is equivalent to say that  $\mathfrak{m}$  admits an  $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant inner product or equivalently, that  $\text{Ad}_\mathfrak{m}(H)$  be relatively compact<sup>9</sup>. We remark that the Levi-Civita connection coincides with the previous canonical  $G$ -invariant connection and in particular is independent of the  $G$ -invariant metric chosen. We will always suppose that the symmetric spaces  $M$  which we consider are Riemannian. We will in addition to that suppose that the  $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant inner product in  $\mathfrak{m}$  is also invariant by  $\tau_\mathfrak{m}$  (such an inner product always exists when  $\text{Ad}_\mathfrak{m}(H)$  is relatively compact, see the appendix). We will also suppose that  $M$  is connected, then  $G^0$  acts transitively on  $M$  and so we can suppose that  $G$  is connected.

We want to study the Riemannian symmetric spaces  $M$  such that there exists a 4-symmetric space  $(G, \tau)$  which gives rise to  $M$  in the same way as above. For that, let us recall the following theorem:

**Theorem 6** [2, 10] *Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold.*

- (a) *The group  $\text{Is}(M)$  of all the isometries of  $M$  is a Lie group and acts differentiably on  $M$ .*

---

<sup>9</sup>In the literature, it is often supposed that  $\text{Ad}_\mathfrak{m}(H)$  is compact. We will see that these two hypothesis are in fact equivalent.

- (b) Let  $p_0 \in M$ , then an isometry  $f$  of  $M$  is determined by the image  $f(p_0)$  of the point  $p_0$  and the corresponding tangent map  $T_{p_0}f$  (i.e. if  $f(p_0) = g(p_0)$  and  $T_{p_0}f = T_{p_0}g$  then  $f = g$ ).
- (c) The isotropy subgroup  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = \{f \in \text{Is}(M); f(p_0) = p_0\}$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$  and the linear isotropy representation  $\rho_{p_0}: f \in \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \mapsto T_{p_0}f \in O(T_{p_0}M)$  is an isomorphism from  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  onto a closed subgroup of  $O(T_{p_0}M)$ . Hence  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  is a compact subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$ .
- (d) If  $M$  is a Riemannian homogeneous space,  $M = G/H$  with  $G = \text{Is}(M)$ ,  $H = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  an  $\text{Ad}H$ -invariant space such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ , then the previous closed subgroup, image of  $H$  by the preceding isomorphism  $\rho_{p_0}$ , i.e. the linear isotropy subgroup  $H^*$  can be identified to  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$ . More precisely the linear isometry  $\xi \in \mathfrak{m} \mapsto \xi.p_0 \in T_{p_0}M$  gives rise to an isomorphism from  $O(\mathfrak{m})$  onto  $O(T_{p_0}M)$  which sends  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  onto  $H^*$ . Hence the linear adjoint representation of  $H$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$ :  $g \in H \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}g \in \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is an isomorphism (of Lie groups).  $H \cong H^* \cong \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$ .

### 3.1.1 First convenient hypothesis.

There may be more than one Lie group  $G$  acting symmetrically on a Riemannian symmetric space  $M$ . Besides, we have a convenient way to work on Riemannian symmetric spaces: it is to consider that  $G$  is a subgroup of the group of isometries of  $M$ ,  $\text{Is}(M)$ , which is equivalent to suppose that  $G$  acts effectively on  $M$ , i.e.  $H$ , the isotropy subgroup at a fixed point  $p_0$  does not contain non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$  (see [2]). It is always possible because the kernel  $K$  of the natural morphism  $\phi_H: G \rightarrow \text{Is}(M)$  is the maximal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$ <sup>10</sup>, and  $G' = G/K$  acts transitively and effectively on  $M = G/H$  with isotropy subgroup  $H' = H/K$ . Thus  $M = G'/H'$  and since  $K \subset H \subset G^\sigma$ , then  $\sigma$  gives rise to an involutive morphism  $\sigma': G' \rightarrow G'$  such that  $(G'^{\sigma'})^0 \subset H' \subset G'^{\sigma'}$ . Now, let us suppose that there exists an order four automorphism  $\tau: G \rightarrow G$  such that  $\sigma = \tau^2$ . Then it gives rise to an isomorphism  $\tau': G/K \rightarrow G/\tau(K)$ . We would like that  $\tau(K) = K$ . It is the case if  $\tau(H) = H$ :  $K$  and  $\tau(K)$  are respectively the maximal normal subgroups of  $G$  contained in  $H$  and  $\tau(H)$  respectively, and so if  $\tau(H) = H$  then  $K = \tau(K)$ .

Let us suppose that  $\tau(K) = K$ , then  $\tau$  gives rise to an order four automorphism  $\tau': G/K \rightarrow G/K$  such that  $\sigma' = \tau'^2$ . With our convention we have  $G'_0 = G'^{\tau'} \cap H'$ , then we obtain a 4-symmetric bundle  $N'_{min} = G'/G'_0$  over  $M$ . Hence, when  $G'_0$  describes all the possible choices:  $(G'^{\tau'})^0 \subset G'_0 \subset G'^{\tau'} \cap H'$ , we obtain a family of 4-symmetric bundles  $N' = G'/G'_0$  over  $M$  which are discrete coverings of  $N'_{min} = G'/(G'^{\tau'} \cap H')$  and of which  $N'_{max} = G'/(G'^{\tau'})^0$  is a discrete covering. For example, if we choose  $G'_0 = \pi_K(G_0K)$ , we obtain the 4-symmetric bundle

<sup>10</sup> $K = \ker \phi_H = \ker \rho_{p_0} = \ker \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}$



over  $M$ ,  $N' = (G/K)/\pi_K(G_0K) = G/G_0K = N/K$  <sup>11</sup>.

Let us come back to the general case (i.e. we do not suppose that  $\tau(K) = K$ ). Since  $\tau(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$ , we have  $\tau(H^0) = H^0$  and thus denoting by  $K_0$  the maximal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H^0$  (we have  $K^0 \subset K_0 \subset K \cap H^0$ ), then  $\tau(K_0) = K_0$  for the same reason as above (in particular, if  $K_0 = K$  i.e.  $K \subset H^0$ , then we are in the previous case:  $\tau(K) = K$ ). Hence  $\tau$  gives rise to an order four automorphism  $\tilde{\tau}: G/K_0 \rightarrow G/K_0$  and we are in the case considered above if we consider the symmetric space  $\tilde{M} = G/H^0$  (instead of  $M$ ). Let us precise this point. Indeed  $\tilde{M}$  is a  $(G/K_0)$ -symmetric space and  $\tilde{G} = G/K_0$  acts effectively on it (the isotropy subgroup  $\tilde{H} = H^0/K_0$  does not contain non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G/K_0$ ): as above  $\sigma$  gives rise to an involutive automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\tilde{G} = G/K_0$  such that  $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{G}^{\tilde{\sigma}})^0$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  is an order four automorphism of  $G/K_0$  such that  $\tilde{\tau}^2 = \tilde{\sigma}$ . Finally, as above we obtain a family of 4-symmetric bundles  $\tilde{N} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0$  over  $\tilde{M}$  when  $\tilde{G}_0$  describes the set of all possible choices:  $(\tilde{G}^{\tilde{\tau}})^0 \subset \tilde{G}_0 \subset \tilde{G}^{\tilde{\tau}} \cap \tilde{H}$ .

Moreover, the involution  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $G/K_0$  gives rise also to the  $G/K_0$ -symmetric space  $M$  (i.e.  $(\tilde{G}^{\tilde{\sigma}})^0 \subset H/K_0 \subset \tilde{G}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$  or equivalently  $M$  belongs to the family of  $G/K_0$ -symmetric spaces defined by  $\tilde{\sigma}$  (of which  $\tilde{M}$  is a discrete covering)).

In the same way, we have  $\tau(G^\sigma) = G^\sigma$  and thus we can do the same as above for the symmetric space  $M_{min} = G/G^\sigma$ .

Nevertheless, in general, it is possible that  $\tau(K) \neq K$  and then  $\tau$  does not give rise to an order four automorphism of  $G' = G/K$  but only to the isomorphism  $\tau': G/K \rightarrow G/\tau(K)$ . However, the tangent map  $T_e\tau' = T_e\tilde{\tau}$  is an order four automorphism of the Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(G/K) = \text{Lie}(G/\tau(K)) = \text{Lie}(G/K_0) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ , and we have  $(T_e\tau')^2 = T_e\sigma'$ , thus  $N/K = (G/K)/\pi_K(G_0K)$  is a locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  ( $\text{Lie } \pi_K(G_0K) = \mathfrak{g}^{T_e\tau'}$ ).

Hence we have two good settings to study the Riemannian symmetric spaces  $M$  over which a 4-symmetric bundle can be defined, if we want to work only with subgroups of  $\text{Is}(M)$ .

**The first possibility** is to consider that we begin by giving ourself an order four automorphism  $\tau: G \rightarrow G$  and that we always choose the Riemannian symmetric space  $\tilde{M} = G/H$  with  $H = (G^{\tau^2})^0$  (respectively  $M_{min} = G/H$  with  $H = G^{\tau^2}$ ). In other words, in the family of  $G$ -symmetric space corresponding to  $\sigma = \tau^2$  (i.e.  $(G^\sigma)^0 \subset H \subset G^\sigma$ ), we choose the "maximal" one  $\tilde{M} = G/(G^\sigma)^0$ , which is a discrete covering of all the others (respectively the "minimal" one  $M_{min} = G/G^\sigma$ , of which all the others are discrete coverings). Then according to what precedes, we can always suppose that  $G$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Is}(\tilde{M})$  (respectively of  $\text{Is}(M_{min})$ ).

**The second possibility** is to work with locally 4-symmetric spaces. In other words we begin by a Riemannian symmetric space over which there exists a locally 4-symmetric bundle. It means that we work with the following setting:

---

<sup>11</sup>In the writing  $N' = N/K$ ,  $K$  does not act freely on  $N$  in general: it is  $K' = K/K \cap G_0$  which acts freely on  $N$  and we have  $N' = N/K = N/K'$ . In particular it is possible that  $N/K = N$  for a non-trivial  $K$  (see section 5.3).

a Riemannian symmetric spaces  $M$  with  $G$  a subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$  acting symmetrically on  $M$  and an order four automorphism  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . To define the locally 4-symmetric space  $N$  in this setting, we must tell how we define  $G_0$ . We will set

$$G_0 = \{g \in H \mid \text{Ad}_m g \circ \tau_m \circ \text{Ad}_m g^{-1} = \tau_m\}. \quad (11)$$

First, we have to verify that if  $\tau$  can be integrated by an automorphism of  $G$ , also denoted by  $\tau$ , then we have  $G_0 = G^\tau \cap H$ . Indeed, if  $g \in G^\tau \cap H$ , then  $\text{Ad}g \circ \tau \circ \text{Ad}g^{-1} = \text{Ad}(g.\tau(g)^{-1}) \circ \tau = \tau$  and since  $\text{Ad}H$  stabilizes  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we have  $\text{Ad}_m g \circ \tau_m \circ \text{Ad}_m g^{-1} = \tau_m$  by taking the restriction to  $\mathfrak{m}$  of the preceding equation. Conversely, suppose that  $g \in H$  and  $\text{Ad}_m g \circ \tau_m \circ \text{Ad}_m g^{-1} = \tau_m$ , then  $\text{Ad}(g.\tau(g)^{-1}) \circ \tau_m = \tau_m$  so since  $\tau_m$  is surjective,  $\text{Ad}(g.\tau(g)^{-1})|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Id}_m$  and since the adjoint representation of  $H$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$  is injective (because we suppose that  $G$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$ , and thus  $H$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$ ) it follows that  $g.\tau(g)^{-1} = 1$ . Finally,  $g \in G^\tau \cap H$ . Thus our definition (11) is coherent with our convention which holds when  $\tau$  can be integrated by an automorphism of  $G$ .

Besides, it is easy to see that  $\text{Lie}G_0 = \{a \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{ad}_m a \circ \tau_m = \tau_m \circ \text{ad}_m a\} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ . (Indeed,  $\forall a \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\text{ada} \circ \tau = \tau \circ \text{ada}$ , and  $\forall a \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ ,  $\text{ada} \circ \tau = -\tau \circ \text{ada}$ , moreover  $\tau_m \circ \text{ad}_m a = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ad}_m a = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$  because  $a \in \mathfrak{h} \mapsto \text{ad}_m a$  is the tangent map of  $h \in H \mapsto \text{Ad}_m h$  which is an injective morphism). Hence  $N = G/G_0$  is a locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ .

Further, let  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be the universal covering of  $G$ , and  $D = \ker \pi$ . Then  $\tau$  can be integrated by  $\tilde{\tau}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ . Set  $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\tau}^2$ , then  $\sigma \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{\sigma}$  and  $T_1\sigma = T_1\tilde{\sigma} = (T_1\tilde{\tau})^2$ .  $\tilde{G}$  acts almost effectively on  $M$  with isotropy subgroup  $\tilde{H} = \pi^{-1}(H)$  and almost effectively on  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}^0$  which is the universal covering of  $M$  (see [10]). Besides, if  $\tilde{G}$  does not act effectively on  $\tilde{M}$ , then we take  $D_0$  the maximal normal subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$  included in  $\tilde{H}^0$ , and then we quotient by it, so that we obtain an effective action of  $\tilde{G}/D_0$  on  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  gives rise to an automorphism of  $\tilde{G}/D_0$ , according to above. Thus we are in the first possibility. Besides it is easy to see that  $\forall g \in \tilde{G}$ ,  $\text{Ad}g = \text{Ad}\pi(g)$  (more precisely  $T_1\pi \circ \text{Ad}g = \text{Ad}\pi(g) \circ T_1\pi$  and we identify  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  so that  $T_1\pi = \text{Id}$ ). Thus  $\tilde{G}_0 = \tilde{G}^{\tilde{\tau}} \cap \tilde{H}^0 = \{g \in \tilde{H}^0 \mid \text{Ad}g \circ \tau_m \circ \text{Ad}g^{-1} = \tau_m\} \subset \pi^{-1}(G_0)$ . Hence the 4-symmetric space  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0$  is a discrete covering of the locally 4-symmetric space  $G/G_0$  and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0 & \longrightarrow & G/G_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{M} & \longrightarrow & M. \end{array} \quad (12)$$

**In conclusion**, the two possibilities are equivalent, but we will use the second one because it works with any symmetric space  $M$ , whereas the first one needs that we choose a certain covering of  $M$  (for example its universal covering).

**Remark 7** We see that in the preceding reasoning (this using the universal covering  $\tilde{G}$ ) we need only the automorphism of Lie algebra  $\tau$  (and not the symmetric space  $M$ ). Hence, we can consider that we work in the Lie algebra setting and give ourself an order four automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we consider the family of associated pairs  $(G, H)$  where  $G$  is a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $H$  a closed Lie subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ . To each such pair corresponds the locally symmetric space  $M = G/H$  and defining  $G_0$  by (11), the locally 4-symmetric bundle  $N = G/G_0$  over  $M$ . Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  integrate in  $\tilde{G}$  and thus for  $\tilde{H}$  the closed subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , we can take any subgroup such that  $(\tilde{G}^\sigma)^0 \subset \tilde{H} \subset \tilde{G}^\sigma$  (which implies that  $\tilde{H}$  is closed). If we suppose  $\tilde{H}$  connected, i.e.  $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{G}^\sigma)^0$ , then  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$  is a symmetric space and is also the universal covering of all the locally symmetric spaces  $M = G/H$  when  $(G, H)$  describes all the associated pairs (see [10]), and we have the above commutative diagram between the 4-symmetric bundle  $\tilde{N} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0$  over  $\tilde{M}$  and the locally 4-symmetric bundle  $N = G/G_0$  over  $M$ . Moreover if  $\tilde{M}$  is Riemannian then all the symmetric spaces  $M = G/H$  when  $(G, H)$  describes all the symmetric associated pairs are Riemannian (see appendix, corollary 3).

**Remark 8** Let us consider  $M$  a  $G$ -symmetric space,  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$ , and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Then we have  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  ( $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}^c} = -i\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}} \oplus i\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ ) and it is easy to see that

$$\forall a \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad \tau|_{\mathfrak{h}}(a) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}(\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} a \circ \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}).$$

In other words, under the identification  $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})$ ,  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is the restriction to  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\text{Ad}(\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}): \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Hence  $\tau$  is determined by  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Besides  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is the tangent map of the isomorphism  $\tau_H$ :

$$\tau_H(g) = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}(\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} g \circ \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}),$$

for  $g \in H^0$  (and more generally for  $g \in \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \circ (\text{Int} \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}})^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(H)$ ). Under the identification  $H \simeq \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} H \subset O(\mathfrak{m})$  it is the restriction to  $H^0$  of the involution  $\text{Int} \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}: O(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow O(\mathfrak{m})$ . According to the definition (11) of  $G_0$ , we have  $G_0 = H^{\tau_H}$ . Besides  $\tau_H(H^0) = H^0$ , thus  $H^0/G_0^0$  is an  $H^0$ -symmetric space. If  $\text{Int} \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} H) = (\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} H)$ , then  $\tau_H$  is defined in  $H$  and  $\tau_H(H) = H$ , then  $H/G_0$  is an  $H$ -symmetric space (if  $\tau_H(H) \neq H$  it is only a locally symmetric space). Obviously, if  $\tau$  can be integrated in  $G$  then  $\tau_H = \tau|_H$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $M$  be a  $G$ -symmetric space. Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  be the subgroup of  $O(\mathfrak{m})$  defined by:

$$\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) = \{F \in O(\mathfrak{m}) \mid F(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}[v, v'])F^{-1} = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}[Fv, Fv'], \forall v, v' \in \mathfrak{m}\},$$

it is the subgroup of  $O(\mathfrak{m})$  which leaves invariant  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([\cdot, \cdot]|_{\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}}) \in (\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{m}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Its Lie Algebra

$$\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) = \{A \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m}) \mid [A, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}[v, v']] = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}[Av, v'] + \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}[v, Av'], \forall v, v' \in \mathfrak{m}\}$$

is the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})$  which (acting by derivation) leaves invariant  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([\cdot, \cdot]_{|\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}}) \in (\Lambda^2 \mathfrak{m}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

**Theorem 7** Let  $M$  be a  $G$ -symmetric space,  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$ , and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Then  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\tau$  can be extended in an unique way to the Lie algebra  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  endowed with the Lie bracket

$$[(A, v), (A', v')] = ([A, A'] + \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}[v, v'], A.v' - A'.v)$$

and of which  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalgebra, under the inclusion  $a + v \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \mapsto (\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}a, v) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ , by setting

$$\underline{\tau}|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\tau}|_{\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m})} = \text{Ad}\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}. \quad (13)$$

Conversely, given  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in O(\mathfrak{m})$ , the linear map  $\underline{\tau}$  defined by (13) is an automorphism of the Lie algebra  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Besides it satisfies  $\underline{\tau}^2 = \text{Id}_{\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m})} \oplus -\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (and in particular is of order four) if and only if  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$ .

Hence, to define a locally 4-symmetric bundle over the Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  (with the realisation  $M = G/H$ , i.e.  $\tau$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ ) is equivalent to give ourself  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  such that the order four automorphism  $\underline{\tau}$  of  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  stabilizes  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ , i.e. such that  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{h})\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{h}$  (i.e.  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{h}$  is a subalgebra of  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m})$  invariant by  $\text{Ad}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ). Then  $\tau = \underline{\tau}|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is an order four automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tau^2 = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus -\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \sigma$ .

**Proof.** First  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ : that follows from the fact that  $\tau$  is an automorphism, so  $\tau \circ \text{ada} \circ \tau^{-1} = \text{ad}\tau(a), \forall a \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Second,  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  is a Lie subalgebra. We have to check that the Jacobi identity is satisfied. It is a straightforward computation (see [10]). Then we have to check that  $\underline{\tau}$  is an automorphism if and only if  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

If  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  then

- if  $A, A' \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{m})$ ,  $\underline{\tau}([A, A']) = [\underline{\tau}(A), \underline{\tau}(A')]$  because  $\underline{\tau}|_{\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m})} = \text{Ad}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is an automorphism of  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m})$ .
- if  $A \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{m})$ ,  $v \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\underline{\tau}([A, v]) = \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(A.v) = \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}A\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}(\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}.v) = [\underline{\tau}(A), \underline{\tau}(v)]$
- if  $v, v' \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\underline{\tau}([v, v']) = \text{Ad}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}[v, v']) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}v, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}v']) = [\underline{\tau}(v), \underline{\tau}(v')]$  because  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

Finally  $\underline{\tau}$  is an automorphism and the unique extension of  $\tau$  (because it is determined by  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , see remark 8).

Conversely if  $\underline{\tau}$  is an automorphism of Lie algebra then

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([v, v'])\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} = (\underline{\tau}\text{ad}([v, v'])\underline{\tau}^{-1})|_{\mathfrak{m}} = (\text{ad}\underline{\tau}([v, v']))|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([\underline{\tau}(v), \underline{\tau}(v')]) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}v, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}v']).$$

Thus  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

The last assertion of the theorem follows from what precedes. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 9** Let  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  then the condition  $\text{Ad}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{h}) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\mathfrak{h}$  implies that there exists an automorphism  $\tau_{\mathfrak{h}}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  defined by  $\forall a \in \mathfrak{h}, \text{Ad}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}a) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\tau_{\mathfrak{h}}(a)$ , i.e.  $\tau_{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then the condition  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  is equivalent to

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{h}}([v, v']) = [\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}v, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}v'], \forall v, v' \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

And obviously, if these two conditions are satisfied then we have  $\tau_{\mathfrak{h}} = \tau_{|\mathfrak{h}}$  (where  $\tau = \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is given by the theorem 7).

**Remark 10** Let us consider the map

$$s: g \in \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}g \circ \tau_{|\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}g^{-1} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$$

and set  $\underline{G}_0 = \{g \in \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) | s(g) = \tau_{|\mathfrak{m}}\}$ . Then  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  acts on  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  by  $g.J = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}g \circ J \circ \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}g^{-1}$  and  $s(g) = g.\tau_{|\mathfrak{m}}$ , and  $\underline{G}_0 = \text{Stab}_{\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)}(\tau_{|\mathfrak{m}})$ . In the same way, the subgroup  $H = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \cap G$  acts on  $\Sigma(M)$  and  $G_0 = \text{Stab}_H(\tau_{|\mathfrak{m}})$ . Then  $s(\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)) = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M)/\underline{G}_0$  is a compact submanifold of  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$ , and  $s(H) = H/G_0$  is a relatively compact (immersed) submanifolds of  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$ .

### 3.1.2 Second convenient hypothesis.

An other convenient hypothesis on  $G$  is to consider that it is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$  (and not only an immersed subgroup). It is always possible to work with this hypothesis. Let us make precise this point. Let  $\sigma_{p_0}$  be the symmetry of  $M$  around  $p_0$  (defined by  $\sigma$ ):  $\sigma_{p_0} \in \text{Is}(M)$ ,  $\sigma_{p_0}(p_0) = p_0$  and  $T_{p_0}\sigma_{p_0} = -\text{Id}$ . Then  $\sigma_{p_0}$  belongs to the isotropy subgroup  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = \{f \in \text{Is}(M); f(p_0) = p_0\}$ , and we can define the involution of  $\text{Is}(M)$ :

$$\sigma_{\text{Is}(M)} = \text{Int}(\sigma_{p_0}): g \in \text{Is}(M) \mapsto \sigma_{p_0} \circ g \circ \sigma_{p_0}^{-1} \in \text{Is}(M).$$

It is easy to see that we have

$$(\text{Is}(M)^{\sigma_{\text{Is}(M)}})^0 \subset \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \subset \text{Is}(M)^{\sigma_{\text{Is}(M)}} \quad (14)$$

(see [10, 2]). The result of this is that  $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$  is the restriction of  $\sigma_{\text{Is}(M)}$  to  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$  (they induce  $\sigma_{p_0}$  on  $M = G/H$  and the identity on  $H$ , thus, since  $G$  is locally isomorphic to  $M \times H$ , they are identical, see also [10]). Moreover there exists an unique subgroup  $\bar{G}$  of  $\text{Diff}(M)$  such that for any  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $b$  on  $M$ , the group  $\bar{G}$  is the closure of  $G$  in  $\text{Is}(M, b)$ :  $\text{Is}(M, b)$  is closed in  $\text{Diff}(M)$  and so the closure of  $G$  in  $\text{Is}(M, b)$  is its closure in  $\text{Diff}(M)$  and thus it does not depend on  $b$  (see [2, 10]). Then  $\sigma$  extends in an unique way to an involutive morphism  $\bar{\sigma}: \bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{G}$ , which is the restriction of  $\sigma_{\text{Is}(M)}$  to  $\bar{G}$ . Hence denoting by  $\hat{H}$  the isotropy subgroup of  $\bar{G}$  at  $p_0$ ,  $\hat{H} = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \cap \bar{G}$ , we have according to (14),  $(\bar{G}^{\bar{\sigma}})^0 \subset \hat{H} \subset \bar{G}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ . Besides  $\bar{\sigma}$  gives rise to the symmetric decomposition  $\text{Lie}\bar{G} = \text{Lie}\hat{H} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ .

In addition to that, we have  $\hat{H} = \bar{H}$ . Indeed, let  $\Phi: U \times \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \rightarrow \text{Is}(M)$  be a local trivialisation of  $\text{Is}(M) \rightarrow M$ , such that  $\Phi(p_0, h) = h$ , and  $\Phi(U \times H) = \Phi(U \times \text{Is}_{p_0}(M)) \cap G$  (take  $\Phi(p, h) = \phi(p).h$ , with  $\phi: U \rightarrow G$  a local section

such that  $\phi(p_0) = 1$ ). Further, if  $g \in \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \cap \bar{G}$  and  $(g_n)$  is a sequence of  $G \cap \Phi(U \times \text{Is}_{p_0}(M))$  such that  $g_n \rightarrow g$ , then  $\Phi^{-1}(g_n) = (u_n, h_n) \in U \times H$  converges to  $\Phi^{-1}(g) = (p_0, g)$ , thus  $h_n \rightarrow g$  so  $g \in \bar{H}$ .

Moreover,  $\bar{H}$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$ , thus it is compact. Hence, we have the symmetric realisation  $M = \bar{G}/\bar{H}$  and  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\bar{H})$  is compact: we have showed that the hypothesis "Ad $_{\mathfrak{m}}(H)$  relatively compact" and "Ad $_{\mathfrak{m}}(H)$  compact" give the same symmetric spaces. Moreover, by using the preceding reasoning (to prove  $\hat{H} = \bar{H}$ ) it is easy to see that if  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(H)$  is compact then  $G$  is closed in  $\text{Is}(M)$  (see also [10]) so that the hypothesis "Ad $_{\mathfrak{m}}(H)$  is compact" and " $G$  is closed in  $\text{Is}(M)$ " are in fact equivalent.

Besides, the closure of  $G$  is the same in  $\text{Is}(M)$  and in  $\text{Is}(\tilde{M})$  with  $\tilde{M} = G/H^0$ : since  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  are complete (a Riemannian homogeneous space is complete) then  $\text{Is}(M)$  and  $\text{Is}(\tilde{M})$  are complete (see [10]), and thus the closure of  $G$  in one of this group is the completed of  $G$ .

Now, let us suppose that we have a locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ .

**Theorem 8** *Let us consider  $M$  a  $G$ -symmetric space with  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$  and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Then the extension  $\underline{\tau}$  of  $\tau$ , given by theorem 7 stabilizes the Lie algebra,  $\text{Lie } \bar{G}$ , of the closure of  $G$  in  $\text{Is}(M)$ :*

$$\underline{\tau}(\text{Lie } \bar{G}) = \text{Lie } \bar{G}.$$

*Then denoting by  $\bar{\tau} := \underline{\tau}|_{\text{Lie } \bar{G}}$  the extension of  $\tau$  to  $\text{Lie } \bar{G}$  (given by theorem 7), the subgroup fixed by  $\bar{\tau}$  (defined by (11)) is the closure of  $G_0$ :*

$$\hat{G}_0 := \{g \in \bar{H} \mid \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} g \circ \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} g\} = \bar{G}_0.$$

*Finally the new locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  defined by  $\bar{\tau}$  is  $\bar{G}/\bar{G}_0$ , and using the notation of remark 10, the fibre of  $\bar{G}/\bar{G}_0$ ,  $\hat{S}_0 := s(\bar{H}) = \bar{H}/\bar{G}_0$ , is the closure of the fibre  $S_0$  of  $G/G_0$ ,  $\bar{S}_0 = s(H) = (H/G_0)$ , in the maximal fibre over  $M$ :  $\underline{S}_0 := s(\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)) = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M)/\underline{G}_0$ .*

**Remark 11** In particular, if we suppose that we have an order four automorphism  $\tau$  of  $G$ , such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ , then since  $\tau$  is uniformly continuous, it extends into an order four automorphism  $\bar{\tau}: \bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{G}$  (because  $\text{Is}(M)$  is complete) and obviously  $\bar{\tau}^2 = \bar{\sigma}$ .

The following theorem precises the link between the Lie algebra setting and the one of the Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  (first point of theorem 9), which will allow us (in theorem 10) to translate the theorem 7 in terms of the setting of  $M$ . The two last points (of theorem 9) characterize the "satisfying cases": any element in  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  defines an automorphism in  $\mathfrak{Is}(M)$  (an example of "unsatisfactory" case is given by  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n-r} \times \mathbb{T}^r$ , see section 4.2).

**Theorem 9** *Let us consider  $M$  a Riemannian symmetric space and  $\tilde{M}$  its universal covering.*

- The curvature operator (in  $M$ ) is given by  $R_{p_0}(\cdot, \cdot) = -\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}([\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}})$  and thus<sup>12</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) &= \mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(\tilde{M}) \supset \mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M) \supset \text{Lie Hol}(M) \\ \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) &\supset \text{Is}_{p_0}(\tilde{M}) \supset \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \supset \text{Hol}(M) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

(using the identification  $T_{p_0}M = \mathfrak{m}$ ) and  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{Is}(\tilde{M})$ .

- Moreover the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(\tilde{M}) = \mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  (i.e.  $\mathfrak{Is}(\tilde{M}) = \mathfrak{Is}(M)$ )
- (ii)  $M = M' \times M_0$ , with  $M'$  of the semisimple type (i.e.  $\text{Is}(M')$  is semisimple) and  $M_0$  is Euclidean.
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  are respectively the Euclidean part of  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  respectively, in the decomposition  $\mathfrak{Is}(M) = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$ , with  $\mathfrak{g}'$  semisimple and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of the Euclidean type.

- Moreover the following statements are also equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(\tilde{M}) = \mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = 0$
- (iii) Let  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}' \times \tilde{M}_0$  be the decomposition of  $\tilde{M}$  into the semisimple and Euclidean type,  $\Gamma$  the group of deck transformations of the covering  $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ . Then the projection on the Euclidean factor (in  $\text{Is}(\tilde{M}) = \text{Is}(\tilde{M}') \times \text{Is}(\tilde{M}_0)$ ) of  $\Gamma$  satisfies  $\Gamma_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$  with  $r = \dim \tilde{M}_0$  so that  $\tilde{M}_0/\Gamma_0 = \mathbb{T}^r$ .

Further  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  stabilizes  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  if and only if one of the 6 previous statements holds i.e. if and only if  $\mathfrak{Is}(\tilde{M})/\mathfrak{Is}(M) = \{0\}$  or  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ . Denoting by  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^*$  the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  which stabilizes  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M)$ , then the maximal subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  invariant by  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  is  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  if  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^*$  and  $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(\tilde{M}')$  if not.

Let us consider  $M$  a  $G$ -symmetric space with  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$  and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ .

Then the extension  $\underline{\tau}$  of  $\tau$ , given by theorem 7 defines a maximal locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ . Indeed let  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the maximal subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{Is}(M)$  invariant by  $\underline{\tau}$ , and  $\underline{G}$  the subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$  generated by it. Then  $\underline{G}$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$  acting symmetrically on  $M$ : since  $\underline{G}$  is connected, it is invariant by  $\sigma_{\text{Is}(M)}$  (and it contains  $G$ ) thus it acts symmetrically on  $M$ , then  $\underline{G}$  is closed as an immediate consequence of the maximality and theorem 8. Therefore,  $\underline{\tau}|_{\underline{\mathfrak{g}}}$  defines a maximal locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ , with the realisation  $\tilde{M} = \underline{G}/\underline{H}$ .

We can also define a minimal locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ , by considering the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0$  (where  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is the semisimple part of  $\mathfrak{Is}(M)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  the Euclidean part of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ).

**Theorem 10** *In conclusion, given any (even-dimensional) Riemannian symmetric space  $M$ , to define over it a locally 4-symmetric bundle is equivalent to give oneself  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$  which leaves invariant the curvature  $R_{p_0}$ :*

$$R_{p_0}(J_0X, J_0Y) = J_0R_{p_0}(X, Y)J_0^{-1} \quad \forall X, Y \in T_{p_0}M.$$

---

<sup>12</sup> $\text{Hol}(M)$  is the holonomy group of  $M$

Then the order four automorphism of  $\mathfrak{Is}(\tilde{M})$ ,  $\underline{\tau}$ , defined by  $J_0$ , defines the maximal locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ ,  $\underline{N} = \underline{G}/\underline{G}_0$  with  $\underline{G}_0 = \{g \in \underline{H} \mid J_0 g J_0^{-1} = g\}$ . Moreover, any locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  is a subbundle of one such maximal bundle and to define such a subbundle  $N$  is equivalent to give oneself a Lie subgroup  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$  acting symmetrically on  $M$  such that  $\underline{\tau}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$  i.e.  $\text{Ad}J_0(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$ . In this case, the closure  $\bar{N}$  of  $N = G/G_0$  in the (unique) maximal locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  containing  $N$ ,  $\underline{N}$ , is also a locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  and we have  $\bar{N} = \bar{G}/\bar{G}_0$ ,  $M = \bar{G}/\bar{H}$ .

**Proof of theorem 8** We have to check that  $\underline{\tau}(\text{Lie } \bar{G}) = \text{Lie } \bar{G}$ , i.e. according to the theorem 7,  $\text{Int}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Lie } \bar{H}) = \text{Lie } \bar{H}$ . We still have  $\text{Int}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(H^0) = H^0$ , thus  $\text{Int}\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\bar{H}^0) = \bar{H}^0$ . It remains to verify that  $(\bar{H})^0 = \bar{H}^0$ . But this is simply the result of the fact that  $\tilde{M} := G/H^0 = \bar{G}/\bar{H}^0$  (the closures in  $\text{Is}(\tilde{M})$  and  $\text{Is}(M)$  are the same) is a discrete covering of  $M = \bar{G}/\bar{H}$ . Indeed  $(\bar{H})^0$  is closed thus  $(\bar{H})^0 \supset \bar{H}^0$  and then we have

$$\tilde{M} = \bar{G}/\bar{H}^0 \xrightarrow{\text{fibration}} \bar{G}/(\bar{H})^0 \xrightarrow{\text{covering}} \bar{G}/\bar{H} = M$$

and  $\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\text{covering}} M$ , hence  $(\bar{H})^0/\bar{H}^0$  is discrete but the two groups are connected ( $(\bar{H})^0$  suffices) thus  $(\bar{H})^0 = \bar{H}^0$ . We have proved that  $\underline{\tau}(\text{Lie } \bar{G}) = \text{Lie } \bar{G}$ . Using the notation of remark 10, we have, since  $\bar{H}$  is compact,  $s(\bar{H}) = \overline{s(H)}$ , hence using the same method as for  $\hat{H}$ , we can easily show that  $\hat{G}_0 := s^{-1}(\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cap \bar{H} = \bar{G}_0$  and thus  $s(\bar{H}) = \bar{H}/\bar{G}_0$ . Finally, the new locally 4-symmetric space is  $\bar{G}/\bar{G}_0$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Proof of theorem 9** For the first point see [10]. For the following points, see sections 4.1 and 4.2. ■

**Proof of theorem 10** The first assertions are nothing but the translation of theorem 7, using theorem 9. Then, we have to prove that  $\bar{G}/\bar{G}_0$  is the closure  $\bar{N}$  of  $N = G/G_0$  in  $\underline{G}/\underline{G}_0$ . Let  $\pi_{J_0}: \underline{G} \rightarrow \underline{G}/\underline{G}_0$  be the projection map, then we have  $\pi_{J_0}(G) = \underline{G}/\underline{G}_0 \cap G = G/G_0$  (according to definition (11)) and thus  $\pi_{J_0}(\bar{G}) \subset \overline{\pi_{J_0}(G)} = \bar{N}$  but  $\pi_{J_0}(\bar{G}) = \bar{G}/\bar{G}_0 \cap \bar{G} = \bar{G}/\bar{G}_0$  (according to definition (11) and  $\hat{G}_0 = \bar{G}_0$ ). Hence  $\bar{G}/\bar{G}_0 \subset \bar{N}$ . These are together subbundle (over  $M$ ) of  $\underline{N}$  and using a trivialisation of  $\underline{N} = \underline{G}/\underline{G}_0 \rightarrow M$  (same reasoning as for  $\hat{H}$ ) it is easy to see that the fibre of  $\bar{N}$  (over  $p_0$ ) is  $\bar{H}/\bar{G}_0$  which implies that  $\bar{G}/\bar{G}_0 = \bar{N}$ . This completes the proof. ■

**Remark 12** According to the definition (11),  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $-\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$  give rise to the same group  $G_0$ . Moreover  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} = (\tau^{-1})|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and in particular if  $\tau$  integrates in  $G$  then  $G^\tau = G^{\tau^{-1}}$ . Besides  $(\tau^{-1})^2 = \sigma^{-1} = \sigma$ , hence  $\tau^{-1}$  defines the same locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  as  $\tau$ . Moreover, given any  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ , then  $-\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  and gives rise (according to theorem 7) to the automorphism  $\underline{\tau}^{-1}$  which gives rise to the same maximal locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  and the same family of 4-symmetric subbundle over  $M$ .

From now, we will always suppose that  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)^0$ . The result of this is that the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at the point  $p_0$ ,  $H = \text{Stab}_G(p_0)$



is compact and can be identified (via the adjoint representation on  $\mathfrak{m}$ , resp. via the linear isotropy representation) to a closed subgroup of  $O(\mathfrak{m})$  (resp. of  $O(T_{p_0}M)$ ). Then according to theorem 10, to study the case of non-closed subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)^0$  (or equivalently the non-closed locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ ), we have just to consider the non-closed subgroups of our closed group  $G$ , acting symmetrically on  $M$ , and whose Lie algebra is invariant by  $\tau$ .

### 3.2 Twistor subbundle

We give ourself a locally 4-symmetric bundle  $N = G/G_0$  (defined by an order four automorphism  $\tau$  and by (11)) over a symmetric space  $M = G/H$ . We will show that  $G/G_0$  is a subbundle of the twistor bundle  $\Sigma(G/H)$ . Under the isomorphism between  $TM$  and  $[\mathfrak{m}] = \{(g.p_0, \text{Ad}g(\xi)), \xi \in \mathfrak{m}, g \in G\}$ ,  $T_{p_0}M$  is identified to  $\mathfrak{m}$ :  $\xi \in \mathfrak{m} \mapsto \xi.p_0 \in T_{p_0}M$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Then to  $\tau_{|\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  (resp. to  $-\tau_{|\mathfrak{m}} = \tau_{|\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$ ) corresponds  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$ , and more generally to  $\text{Ad}g \circ \tau_{|\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Ad}g^{-1} \in \Sigma(\text{Ad}g(\mathfrak{m}))$  (resp.  $\text{Ad}g \circ \tau_{|\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}g^{-1}$ ) corresponds  $gJ_0g^{-1} \in \Sigma(T_{g.p_0}M)$ . Thus we have defined a map

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{J_0}: \quad G &\longrightarrow \Sigma(M) \\ g &\longmapsto gJ_0g^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which according to the definition (11) of  $G_0$  gives rise under quotient to the injective map:

$$\begin{aligned} i: \quad G/G_0 &\longrightarrow \Sigma(M) \\ g.G_0 &\longmapsto gJ_0g^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $i$  is an embedding. Indeed,  $G$  acts smoothly on the manifolds  $\Sigma(M)$  and so the map  $g \in G \mapsto gJ_0g^{-1} \in \Sigma(M)$  is of constant rank. Thus  $i: G/G_0 \rightarrow \Sigma(M)$  is an injective map of constant rank and so it is an injective immersion. We can add that  $i: G/\text{Stab}_G(J_0) \rightarrow G.J_0$  is a homeomorphism if the orbit  $G.J_0$  is locally closed in  $\Sigma(M)$  (see [5]). We will show directly that  $i(G/G_0) = G.J_0$  is a subbundle of  $\Sigma(M)$ .

First, let us precise the fibration  $G/G_0 \rightarrow G/H$ . We have the isomorphism of bundle:  $G/G_0 \cong G \times_H H/G_0$ . In particular, the fibre type of  $G/G_0$  is  $H/G_0$ . Besides  $i$  is a morphism of bundle (over  $M$ ). Since  $i$  is also an injective immersion, we can identify the fibres of  $G/G_0$  and  $i(G/G_0)$  respectively over the point  $g.p_0 \in M$ . The fibre of  $i(G/G_0)$  over  $p = g.p_0$  is  $gS_0g^{-1}$  where  $S_0 = \text{Int}(H)(J_0) \subset \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$  is the fibre over  $p_0$ .<sup>13</sup>

Now let us show that  $i(G/G_0)$  is a subbundle of  $\Sigma(M)$ . Let  $\sigma: U \subset G/H \rightarrow G$  be a local section of the fibration  $\pi_H: G \rightarrow G/H$ . Then we have the following trivialisation of  $\Sigma(U)$ :

$$\Phi: (p, J) \in U \times \Sigma(T_{p_0}M) \longmapsto (p, \sigma(p)J\sigma(p)^{-1}) \in \Sigma(U)$$

---

<sup>13</sup>we remark that  $H \subset O(T_{p_0}M)$ ,  $G_0 \subset U(T_{p_0}M, J_0)$  and  $S_0 = H/G_0$  is a compact submanifold of  $\Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$ .

and we have  $\Phi(U \times S_0) = \bigsqcup_{p \in U} \{p\} \times (\sigma(p)S_0\sigma(p)^{-1}) = i(G/G_0) \cap \Sigma(U)$ . Thus  $i(G/G_0)$  is a subbundle of  $\Sigma(M)$ , hence  $i$  is an embedding.

Let us recapitulate what precedes:

**Theorem 11** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} i: G/G_0 &\longrightarrow \Sigma(M) \\ g.G_0 &\longmapsto gJ_0g^{-1} . \end{aligned}$$

*is an embedding and a morphism of bundle from  $G/G_0$  into  $\Sigma(M)$ . Besides the fibre of  $i(G/G_0)$  over the point  $p = g.p_0$  is  $gS_0g^{-1}$ , with  $S_0 = \text{Int}(H)(J_0)$  and  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$  corresponding to  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  (resp. to  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1}$ ).*

**Remark 13** Given one order four automorphism, we have two different ways to embed  $G/G_0$  into  $\Sigma(M)$  by  $J_0 = \pm\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . The two submanifolds  $i_{J_0}(G/G_0)$  and  $i_{-J_0}(G/G_0)$  are isomorphic by  $J \mapsto -J$ . These are identical if and only if  $H$  contains an element which anticommutes with  $J_0$ . If  $\dim M = 2 \pmod 4$  then they lie in different connected components of the twistor space (one in  $\Sigma^+(M)$  and the other one in  $\Sigma^-(M)$ ). In theorem 4 we use  $-\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$  to respect the convention:  $\alpha_{-1}$  is an  $(1, 0)$ -form.

**Remark 14** If we consider a locally 4-symmetric bundle  $N = G/G_0$  over  $M$ , with  $G_0$  not defined by (11), then  $i$  is not injective in general: to obtain an injective map  $i$ , we must consider the locally 4-symmetric space  $G/\pi_K^{-1}(G'_0) = (G/K)/G'_0$  where  $K = \ker \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $G'_0$  is the subgroup of  $H' = H/K$  defined by (11). In particular, we see that in general a 4-symmetric space  $G/G_0$  is not a submanifold of a twistor space (see section 5). Moreover, we can see the aim of our definition (11) (and in particular of our convention  $G_0 = G^\tau \cap H$ ): it is to obtain an injective map  $i$ .

**Remark 15** For any covering  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  acting symmetrically on  $M$ , we have  $\tilde{\rho}_{J_0}(\tilde{G}) = \rho_{J_0}(G) = i_{J_0}(G/G_0)$ : the locally 4-symmetric subbundle of  $\Sigma(M)$ ,  $i_{J_0}(G/G_0)$  does not depend on the choice of the group  $G$  (we have chosen for  $G$ , the subgroup of  $\text{Is}(M)$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}$ ).

Moreover,  $\rho_{J_0}(\underline{G}) = i_{J_0}(\underline{N})$  is a maximal locally 4-symmetric subbundle in  $\Sigma(M)$ . Now, suppose that we work with a non-closed subgroup  $G' \subset \text{Is}(M)$ , then  $\rho_{J_0}(G') = i_{J_0}(G'/G'_0)$  is an immersed subbundle in  $\Sigma(M)$ :  $\Phi(U \times S'_0) = \bigsqcup_{p \in U} \{p\} \times (\sigma(p)S'_0\sigma(p)^{-1}) = i(G'/G'_0) \cap \Sigma(U)$  but the fibre  $S'_p = \sigma(p)S'_0\sigma(p)^{-1}$  is only a (non-closed relatively compact) immersed submanifold in  $\Sigma(T_pM)$ . And since  $i_{J_0}$  is an embedding (from  $\underline{N}$  into  $\Sigma(M)$ ) we have  $i_{J_0}(\tilde{G}'/\tilde{G}'_0) = i_{J_0}(\tilde{N}') = i_{J_0}(N')$ . In others words, taking the closure of  $G'$  in  $\text{Is}(M)$  is equivalent to take the closure of  $N'$  in  $\underline{N}$  according to theorem 10 which is equivalent to take the closure of  $i_{J_0}(N')$  in  $i_{J_0}(\underline{N})$ .

**Remark 16** The maximal locally 4-symmetric bundles  $\underline{N}$  are disjoint : these are orbits in  $\Sigma(M)$ . More precisely these are suborbits of  $\text{Is}(M)^0$ -orbits in the form  $\underline{G} \cdot J_0$  in  $\Sigma(M) \cap \text{Aut}(M)$  with  $\text{Aut}(M) = \bigsqcup_{p \in M} \{p\} \times \text{Aut}(T_p M)$  (see Sections 4.1 and 4.2). In particular,  $\Sigma(M) \cap \text{Aut}(M)$  is the disjoint union of all the maximal locally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$ . Moreover, the set of maximal locally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(M)$ , contains the subset  $\mathcal{N}^*(M)$  of elements which are  $\text{Is}(M)^0$ -orbits, i.e. elements  $\rho_{J_0}(\text{Is}(M)^0)$  with  $J_0 \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{m})$  :  $\mathcal{N}^*(M) = (\Sigma(M) \cap \text{Aut}^*(M))/\text{Is}(M)^0 \subset \Sigma(M)/\text{Is}(M)^0$ .

**Remark 17** The Riemannian manifold  $M = G/H$  is orientable if and only if  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} H \subset \text{SO}(\mathfrak{m})$  (or equivalently  $H \subset \text{SO}(T_{p_0} M)$ ). Besides  $\tau_{|\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{m})$ , and to fix ideas, let us suppose that  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Then, if  $M$  is orientable,  $i$  is an embedding from  $G/G_0$  into  $\Sigma^+(M)$ . Moreover, if we work with  $\tilde{M} = G/\tilde{H}^0$ , we are sure that  $H^0 \subset \text{SO}(T_{p_0} \tilde{M})$ . Hence, if we work with what we called the first possibility (see section 3.1.1),  $i$  takes values in  $\Sigma^+(\tilde{M})$ . In other words, given a locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ , the corresponding 4-symmetric bundle over its universal covering  $\tilde{M}$  (see remark 7) is embedded in  $\Sigma^+(\tilde{M})$ . Let us consider more generally any covering  $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  then it induces the covering  $\pi_\Sigma: \Sigma(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \Sigma(M)$  which is also a morphism of bundle over  $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ . It is defined by

$$\pi_\Sigma: J_{\tilde{x}} \in \Sigma(T_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{M}) \mapsto T_{\tilde{x}} \pi \circ J_{\tilde{x}} \circ (T_{\tilde{x}} \pi)^{-1} \in \Sigma(T_x M).$$

Now, let us suppose that  $\pi$  comes from a covering  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  and that we have  $M = G/H$ ,  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}^0$  (symmetric realisation) with  $\tilde{H} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(H)$  and  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$ ,  $\tilde{G} \subset \text{Is}(\tilde{M})$  (see section 3.1.1). Then we have

$$T_{\tilde{x}} \pi \circ (\tilde{g} J_{\tilde{p}_0} \tilde{g}^{-1}) \circ (T_{\tilde{x}} \pi)^{-1} = g J_{p_0} g^{-1}$$

with  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{g} \cdot \tilde{p}_0$ ,  $g = \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{g})$ . Hence the restriction of  $\pi_\Sigma$  to  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0$  gives rise to the morphism of bundle (12)<sup>14</sup>. Moreover<sup>15</sup>

$$S_0 = \text{Int}(H)(J_0) = \bigcup_{h \in \tilde{H}/\tilde{H}^0} h \tilde{S}_0 h^{-1}$$

with, since  $\tilde{H}^0 \subset \text{SO}(T_{p_0} \tilde{M})$ ,  $\tilde{S}_0 \subset \Sigma^+(T_{p_0} \tilde{M})$ . Further if  $H \subset \text{O}(T_{p_0} M)$  is not included in  $\text{SO}(T_{p_0} M)$  (i.e.  $M$  is not orientable), then we have

$$\pi_\Sigma(\Sigma^+(\tilde{M})) = \Sigma(M).$$

**Remark 18** Let us see what happens when we change  $M$ , in theorem 4. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a covering of  $G$ , acting symmetrically on a covering  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ ,  $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ , with  $\tilde{G} \subset \text{Is}(\tilde{M})$ . Then according to remark 17, we have  $\pi_\Sigma \circ i_{J_{\tilde{p}_0}} = i_{J_{p_0}} \circ \pi_0$ ,

<sup>14</sup>i.e.  $\pi_\Sigma \circ i_{J_{\tilde{p}_0}} = i_{J_{p_0}} \circ \pi_0$ , where  $\pi_0: \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow G/G_0$  is given by (12).

<sup>15</sup>In fact,  $h \tilde{S}_0 h^{-1}$  means obviously  $T_{h \cdot p_0} \pi \circ (h \tilde{S}_0 h^{-1}) \circ T_{h \cdot p_0} \pi^{-1}$ .  $\pi_\Sigma$  allows to consider the fibres  $\Sigma(T_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{M})$  as included in the fibre  $\Sigma(T_x M)$ , with  $x = \pi(\tilde{x})$ .

with  $\pi_0: \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow G/G_0$  the morphism of bundle (over  $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ ) given by (12). Then given any solution  $\alpha$  of the  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ -system (6), let us integrate it in  $\tilde{G}$  and  $G$  respectively,  $\tilde{U}: L \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ ,  $U: L \rightarrow G$  with  $\tilde{U}(0) = 1$ ,  $U(0) = 1$  (0 is a reference point in  $L$ ), we have  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{U} = U$ . Then let us project these lifts in  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0$  and  $G/G_0$  respectively: we obtain the geometric solutions  $\tilde{J}: L \rightarrow \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0$  and  $J: L \rightarrow G/G_0$  respectively and we have  $\pi_0 \circ \tilde{J} = J$ . Then let us embed these into the twistor spaces  $\Sigma(\tilde{M})$  and  $\Sigma(M)$  to obtain the admissible twistor lifts  $\tilde{J}_{\tilde{X}}: L \rightarrow i_{\tilde{J}_0}(\tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_0)$  and  $J_X: L \rightarrow i_{J_0}(G/G_0)$  respectively which are related by  $\pi_\Sigma \circ \tilde{J}_{\tilde{X}} = J_X$ , and in particular  $\pi \circ \tilde{X} = X$ .

## 4 Splitting of $M$ into the 3 types of symmetric spaces

In the following theorems and corollaries, we study the behaviour of the automorphism  $\tau$  with respect to the de Rham decomposition of  $M$ .

**Theorem 12** [10, 2] *Let  $M$  be a simply connected Riemannian symmetric space. Then  $M$  is a product*

$$M = M_0 \times M_- \times M_+$$

where  $M_0$  is an Euclidean space,  $M_-$  and  $M_+$  are Riemannian symmetric spaces of the compact and non-compact types respectively. In particular

$$M = M_0 \times M'$$

where  $M'$  has a group of isometries  $G = \text{Is}(M')$  semisimple and its isotropy subgroup at  $p_0 \in M'$ ,  $H$ , (which is connected because  $M'$  is simply connected) is equal to the holonomy group of  $M'$ . Hence a Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  of which the isometry group is semisimple (which is equivalent to say that its universal covering has not Euclidean factor, or equivalently the Lie algebra of  $G$  does not contain non-trivial abelian ideal, i.e. its Killing form is non-degenerated) has a unique symmetric realisation  $G/H$ , with  $G$  acting effectively. In this unique realisation, we have necessarily  $G = \text{Is}(M)^0$ <sup>16</sup> and  $H = \text{Is}_{p_0}^0(M) := \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \cap \text{Is}(M)^0 (\supset \text{Is}_{p_0}(M)^0)$ . Further the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M) = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{Hol}(M)$  is spanned by  $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \{R_{p_0}(X, Y), X, Y \in T_{p_0}(M)\}$ .

Moreover the universal covering of such a Riemannian symmetric space  $M$ , admits a decomposition into a product of irreducible Riemannian symmetric spaces (i.e with linear isotropy representations which are irreducible)

$$\tilde{M} = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_r.$$

---

<sup>16</sup>as usual, we suppose that  $G$  is connected

**Theorem 13** *Let us consider the decomposition of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$  into the sum of orthogonal (for the Killing form) ideals of the compact, non-compact and Euclidean types respectively:*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_- \oplus \mathfrak{l}_+$$

and let  $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha = \mathfrak{h}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$  be the eigenspace decomposition of the involution  $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{l}_\alpha}$ . Suppose now that we have an order four automorphism  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  with  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Then  $\tau(\mathfrak{l}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{l}_\alpha$ ,  $\tau(\mathfrak{h}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$ ,  $\tau(\mathfrak{m}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha = 0, -, +$ . Hence  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} = \bigoplus_\alpha \tau_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha}$ , with  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}_\alpha)$ , and  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{l}_\alpha}$  is the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{l}_\alpha$  defined by  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha}$  according to theorem 7 and we have  $\tau^2|_{\mathfrak{l}_\alpha} = \sigma|_{\mathfrak{l}_\alpha}$ . Moreover, we have  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) = \prod_\alpha \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}_\alpha)$ .

**Corollary 1** *Let  $M$  be a  $G$ -symmetric space,  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$  and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism with  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be its universal covering, which has a symmetric realisation  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}^0$ , with  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  a covering of  $G$ ,  $\tilde{H} = \pi^{-1}(H)$  and  $\tilde{G} \subset \text{Is}(\tilde{M})$ , such that  $\tau$  integrates into  $\tilde{\tau}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ . Then the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into 3 ideals of different types gives rise to the following decomposition of  $\tilde{G}$ :*

$$\tilde{G} = L_0 \times L_- \times L_+$$

which induces the following decompositions of  $\tilde{H}^0$  and  $\tilde{G}_0 = \tilde{H}^0 \cap \tilde{G}^{\tilde{\tau}}$ , corresponding also to the decompositions  $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_\alpha \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_\alpha (\mathfrak{g}_0)_\alpha$ :

$$\tilde{H}^0 = H_0 \times H_- \times H_+ \quad (16)$$

$$\tilde{G}_0 = (G_0)_0 \times (G_0)_- \times (G_0)_+. \quad (17)$$

Hence  $\tilde{M} = M_0 \times M_- \times M_+$  and  $\tilde{N} = N_0 \times N_- \times N_+$  with  $M_\alpha = L_\alpha/H_\alpha$ ,  $N_\alpha = L_\alpha/(G_0)_\alpha$ . Besides  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  admit the decompositions  $\tilde{\sigma} = \prod_\alpha \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\tau} = \prod_\alpha \tilde{\tau}_\alpha$ , and  $H_\alpha = (L_\alpha^{\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha})^0$ ,  $(G_0)_\alpha = H_\alpha \cap L_\alpha^{\tau_\alpha} = (L_\alpha)_0$ . Moreover  $N_\alpha$  is a 4-symmetric bundle over  $M_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 14** *Let us consider the decomposition of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$  into the sum of orthogonal (for the Killing form) ideals:*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathfrak{g}_i \quad (18)$$

with  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  abelian and  $(\mathfrak{g}_i, \sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}_i})$  irreducible, and let  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{h}_i \oplus \mathfrak{m}_i$  be the eigenspace decomposition of  $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ . Suppose now that we have an order four automorphism  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ .

There exists an unique decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r'} \mathfrak{g}'_i \right) \quad (19)$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}'_i = \mathfrak{g}_i$  or  $\mathfrak{g}'_i = \mathfrak{g}_i \oplus \mathfrak{g}_j$  with  $(\mathfrak{g}_i, \sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}_i})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_j, \sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}_j})$  isomorphic, such that  $\tau(\mathfrak{g}'_i) = \mathfrak{g}'_i$ . Besides if  $\mathfrak{g}'_i = \mathfrak{h}'_i \oplus \mathfrak{m}'_i$  is the eigenspace decomposition of  $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}'_i}$ , then  $\tau(\mathfrak{h}'_i) = \mathfrak{h}'_i$ ,  $\tau(\mathfrak{m}'_i) = \mathfrak{m}'_i$ . Moreover if  $\mathfrak{g}'_i = \mathfrak{g}_i \oplus \mathfrak{g}_j$  then  $\tau(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \mathfrak{g}_j$ ,  $\tau(\mathfrak{h}_i) = \mathfrak{h}_j$ ,  $\tau(\mathfrak{m}_i) = \mathfrak{m}_j$ . Hence  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r'} \tau_{\mathfrak{m}'_i}$  with  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}'_i} \in \Sigma(\tau_{\mathfrak{m}'_i})$ , and  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{g}'_i}$  is the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}'_i$  defined by  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}'_i}$  according to theorem 7 and we have  $\tau^2|_{\mathfrak{g}'_i} = \sigma|_{\mathfrak{g}'_i}$ .

**Corollary 2** *Let  $M$  be a  $G$ -symmetric space,  $G \subset \text{Is}(M)$  and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism with  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be its universal covering, which has a symmetric realisation  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}^0$ , with  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  a covering of  $G$ ,  $\tilde{H} = \pi^{-1}(H)$  and  $\tilde{G} \subset \text{Is}(\tilde{M})$ , such that  $\tau$  integrates into  $\tilde{\tau}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ . Then the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , (18), gives rise to the following decomposition of  $\tilde{G}$ :*

$$\tilde{G} = L_0 \times L_1 \times \cdots \times L_r$$

which induces the following decomposition of  $\tilde{H}^0$ , corresponding also to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathfrak{h}_i$ :

$$\tilde{H}^0 = H_0 \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_r.$$

Then  $\tilde{\sigma}$  admits the decomposition  $\tilde{\sigma} = \prod_{i=0}^r \tilde{\sigma}_i$  (with  $\tilde{\sigma}_i$  involution of  $L_i$ ) and  $H_i = (L_i^{\tilde{\sigma}_i})^0$ . Moreover there exists an unique decomposition of  $\tilde{G}$ :

$$\tilde{G} = L'_0 \times L'_1 \times \cdots \times L'_r, \quad (20)$$

where  $L'_i = L_i$  or  $L'_i = L_i \times L_j$  with  $(L_i, \tilde{\sigma}_i)$  and  $(L_j, \tilde{\sigma}_j)$  isomorphic. Then  $\tau$  admits the decomposition  $\tilde{\tau} = \prod_{i=0}^r \tilde{\tau}'_i$  with  $\tilde{\tau}'_i$  order four automorphism of  $L'_i$ . Further, by identifying  $(L_i, \tilde{\sigma}_i)$  and  $(L_j, \tilde{\sigma}_j)$  (when  $L'_i = L_i \times L_j$ ), then in (20), we have either  $L'_i = L_i$  and then  $\tilde{\tau}'_i = \tilde{\tau}_i$  is an order four automorphism of  $L_i$  so that  $(L'_i)^{\tilde{\tau}'_i} = (L_i)^{\tilde{\tau}_i}$ , or  $L'_i = L_i \times L_i$  and then

$$\tilde{\tau}'_i: (a, b) \in L_i \times L_i \mapsto (\sigma_i(b), a) \in L_i \times L_i$$

so that  $(L'_i)^{\tilde{\tau}'_i} = \Delta(H_i) \subset H_i \times H_i$ . Hence  $\tilde{M} = M_0 \times M_1 \times \cdots \times M_r$  with  $M_i = L_i/H_i$ , and  $\tilde{N} = N'_0 \times N'_1 \times \cdots \times N'_r$ , where either  $N'_i = N_i = L_i/(L_i)_0$  is a 4-symmetric bundle over  $M_i$ , or  $N'_i = L_i \times L_i/\Delta(H_i)$  is a 4-symmetric bundle over  $M_i \times M_i = L_i \times L_i/H_i \times H_i$  (and the fibre  $H_i \times H_i/\Delta(H_i) \simeq H_i$  is a group).

**Proofs of theorems 13,14 and corollaries 1,2** Use the fact that  $\tau_m$  leaves invariant the metric in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and the restriction to  $\mathfrak{m}$  of the Killing form.  $\blacksquare$

## 4.1 The semisimple case

**Definition 7** *We will say that the Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  is of semisimple type if  $\text{Is}(M)$  is semisimple.*

**Theorem 15** *If  $M$  is of semisimple type then each (connected) locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  is maximal and in the form  $\underline{N} = \text{Is}(M)^0/\underline{G}_0$ , i.e. is an  $\text{Is}(M)^0$ -orbit in  $\Sigma(M) \cap \text{Aut}(M)$ . In other words the set of locally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$  is  $\mathcal{N}(M) = (\Sigma(M) \cap \text{Aut}(M))/\text{Is}(M)^0 \subset \Sigma(M)/\text{Is}(M)^0$ .*

**Remark 19** The "size" of a maximal (locally) 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  in the twistor bundle  $\Sigma(M)$  depends on the "size" of the isotropy subgroup  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  and on  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$ . In other words, if we want a fibre  $S_0 \subset \Sigma(T_{p_0}M)$  of maximal dimension, we must find  $J_0 \in \Sigma(T_{p_0}M) \cap \text{Aut}(T_{p_0}M) \supset \Sigma(T_{p_0}M) \cap$

$\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  such that  $T_{J_0}\underline{S}_0 = \underline{\mathfrak{g}}_2(J_0) := \{A \in \mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M) \mid AJ_0 + J_0A = 0\}$  is of maximal dimension, or equivalently such that  $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}_0(J_0) = \{A \in \mathfrak{Is}_{p_0}(M) \mid AJ_0 - J_0A = 0\}$  is of minimal dimension.

**Remark 20** It is possible that there exist different non-isomorphic locally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$  (see section 5.3). And it is also possible that there does not exist any locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ . For example:  $M = S^1 \times S^3$ , then  $\text{Is}(M) = SO(2) \times SO(4)$  and  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = SO(3)$ , and there does not exist  $J_0 \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^4)$  such that  $J_0SO(3)J_0^{-1} = SO(3)$ .

Moreover we have the following obvious theorem (see also [11]):

**Theorem 16** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$  be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra. Then set  $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{im}$  and  $\sigma^* = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus -\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{im}}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*)$  is an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra. If  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$  is of the compact type then  $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*)$  is of the non-compact type and conversely. Now, for  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{m})$ , set  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^*: iv \in \mathfrak{im} \mapsto i\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(v)$ . Then*

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) \iff \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^* \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{im})$$

and  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  if and only if  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^* \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{im})$ . In this case  $(\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}))$  let  $\tau$  (resp.  $\tau^*$ ) be the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ) defined by  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$  (resp.  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^*$ ) and denoting by  $A^{\mathbb{C}} \in \text{End}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$  the extension to  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $A \in \text{End}(V)$  ( $V$  real vector space) then we have

$$\tau^{\mathbb{C}} = \tau^{*\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{i.e. } \tau^* = \tau_{|\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

**Theorem 17** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible symmetric spaces of type II (compact type) or type IV (non-compact type) then there does not exist any (non-trivial) locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ . Equivalently  $\text{Aut}(M) \cap \Sigma(M) = \emptyset$ , in other words, there does not exist any automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{Is}(M)$  such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ .*

**Proof.** By duality, it is enough to prove the assertion for the compact type. In this case let  $\tilde{M}$  be the universal covering of  $M$ , we have  $\tilde{M} = H \times H/\Delta(H)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}: (a, b) \in G \times G \mapsto (b, a)$ . Then an automorphism  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  must send  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \{0\}$  either on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  or on  $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \{0\} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$  and idem for  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ , and thus for any automorphism we have  $\tau^2(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \mathfrak{g}_i$  and hence we cannot have  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

## 4.2 The Euclidean case

**Theorem 18** *Let  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with its canonical inner product. Then  $\text{Is}(M) = O(2n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  the group of affine isometries in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Hence for any  $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , we have  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = \{(F, (\text{Id} - F)p_0), F \in O(2n)\} \simeq O(2n)$ . In particular for  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = O(2n)$ . Thus we have  $\forall p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $\text{Is}(M) = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Further  $M = G/H$  is a symmetric realisation with  $G$  acting effectively if and only if  $G = H \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with  $H \subset \text{Is}_{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  for some  $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Then we have  $G = H_0 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with  $H_0 = \text{pr}_{O(2n)}(H) \subset O(2n)$ . The involution for this realisation is*

$$\sigma = \text{Int}(-\text{Id}, 2p_0): (h, x) \in G \mapsto (h, 2(\text{Id} - h)p_0 - x)$$

giving rise to the symmetry around  $p_0$ :  $\sigma_0: x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mapsto -(x - p_0) + p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Let us fix  $p_0 = 0$ , so that for any symmetric realisation we have  $H \subset \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = O(2n)$  and  $\sigma = \text{Int}(-\text{Id}, 0)$ .

All (connected) locally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$  are globally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$ . The twistor bundle,  $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , is a globally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ . All the (connected) 4-symmetric bundles over  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  are in the form:  $S_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  where  $S_0$  is a compact Riemannian symmetric space embedded<sup>17</sup> in  $\Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . Besides  $\text{Aut}(T_{p_0}M) = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = O(2n)$  so that any  $J_0 \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  defines the maximal 4-symmetric bundle  $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} = (O(2n) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})/U(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, J_0)$ .

**Theorem 19** *Let  $M$  be an Euclidean Riemannian symmetric space (i.e. its universal covering is an Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ). Then  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2p} \oplus \mathbb{T}^{2q}$ ,  $\text{Is}(M) = O(2p) \times (\mathfrak{S}_{2q} \otimes \{\pm 1\}) \times M$  ( $\mathfrak{S}_{2q}$  is the group of permutations) and denoting by  $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow M$  the universal covering, and  $p_0 = \pi(0)$ , then  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = O(2p) \times (\mathfrak{S}_{2q} \otimes \{\pm 1\})$ . Moreover  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = O(2n)$ , and  $J_0 \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  defines the (connected) maximal 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ :  $(\Sigma(E^{2l}) \times \{J_0|_{E^{2l\perp}}\}) \times M$ , where  $E^{2l}$  is the (unique) maximal subspace in  $\mathbb{R}^{2p}$  invariant by  $J_0$ . In particular,  $\text{Aut}^*(M) \cap \Sigma(M) = \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2p}) \times \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2q}) \times M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a covering of  $G = \text{Is}(M)^0$  acting symmetrically and effectively on  $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  the corresponding involution. Then setting  $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{G}^{\tilde{\sigma}})^0$ , we have according to the previous theorem  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{H} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and  $\tilde{H} \subset SO(2n)$ . Then setting  $D = \ker \pi$ ,  $D$  is a discrete central subgroup of  $\tilde{G}$ . Besides it is easy to see that  $\text{Cent}(\tilde{G}) = \text{Cent}(\tilde{H} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}) = \mathbb{R}^{2q}$  where  $\mathbb{R}^{2q}$  is the maximal subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  fixed by  $\tilde{H}$ , i.e.  $\tilde{H} \subset SO(2p) \times \{\text{Id}_{2q}\}$  ( $2p+2q = 2n$ ). Hence  $D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}e_i$  with  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$   $\mathbb{R}$ -free so that  $G = \text{Is}(M)^0 = \tilde{G}/D = \tilde{H} \times M'$  with  $M' = \mathbb{R}^{2p} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2q-r} \oplus \mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$ . Moreover we have  $\sigma: (h, x) \in \tilde{H} \times M' \rightarrow (h, -x)$  because  $\tilde{\sigma} = \text{Int}(-\text{Id}, 0)$  (see the previous theorem) and thus  $G^\sigma = \tilde{H}$  but the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at  $p_0$  satisfies  $H \supset \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{H})$  (because  $\tilde{H}$  is connected), but  $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{H}) = \tilde{H}$  ( $D \cap \tilde{H} = \{1\}$ ) and thus  $H = \tilde{H}$ . Thus  $M = G/H = M'$ . Now, we have to compute  $\text{Is}(M)$ , we know that  $\text{Is}(M)^0 = H \times M \subset SO(2p) \times M$ . In the other hand, any  $g \in \text{Is}(M)$  can be lifted into  $\tilde{g} \in O(2n) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , and conversely  $\tilde{g} \in O(2n) \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  corresponds to some  $g \in \text{Is}(M)$  if and only if  $\tilde{g}(D) = D$  which is equivalent to  $\tilde{g} \in [O(2p+2q-r) \times (GL_r(\mathbb{Z}) \cap O(\mathbb{R}^r))] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} = [O(2p+2q-r) \times (\mathfrak{S}_r \times \{\pm \text{Id}\})] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Hence  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)^0 = SO(2p+2q-r)$  and thus  $r = 2q$ . Finally  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2p} \oplus \mathbb{T}^{2q}$ ,  $\text{Is}(M) = O(2p) \times (\mathfrak{S}_r \times \{\pm \text{Id}\}) \times M$ ,  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M) = O(2p) \times (\mathfrak{S}_r \times \{\pm \text{Id}\})$ , and  $\text{Is}_{p_0}(M)^0 = H = SO(2p)$ . We conclude by remarking that  $J_0 \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  satisfies  $J_0 H J_0^{-1} = H$  for  $H \subset SO(2p)$  connected and maximal if and only if  $H = SO(E^{2l})$  and  $J_0 \in \Sigma(E^{2l}) \times \Sigma(E^{2l\perp})$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 21** We can use the second elliptic integrable system in the Euclidean case to "modelize" this system in the general case. Indeed, let us consider  $M$  a

<sup>17</sup>only immersed if  $H$  is not closed in  $O(2n)$



Riemannian symmetric space of the semisimple type (then its isotropy subgroup  $H = \text{Is}_{p_0}(M)$  is essentially its holonomy group, i.e. they have the same identity component) with  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Then we can associate to the corresponding locally 4-symmetric bundle  $N$  over  $M$ , the 4-symmetric bundle over  $M_0 = \mathfrak{m} = H \ltimes \mathfrak{m}/H$ :  $N_0 = H \ltimes \mathfrak{m}/G_0 = S_0 \times \mathfrak{m} \subset \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \times \mathfrak{m}$ , and to the second elliptic integrable system in  $N$ , its "linearized" in  $N_0$ . We conjecture that the "concrete" geometrical interpretation (i.e. in terms of the second fundamental form of the surface  $X$  etc...) is the same for the linearized and the initial system. This is what happens in dimension 4.

**Remark 22** The second elliptic integrable system can be viewed as "a couple" between the harmonic map equation in  $S_0 = H/G_0$  and a kind of Dirac equation in  $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ :  $\partial_{\bar{z}}u_1 + [\bar{u}_0, u_1] + [\bar{u}_1, u_2] = 0$ . In the Euclidean case, the projection on the "group part",  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ , of the second elliptic system is only the harmonic map equation in  $H/G_0$ . In other words, the second elliptic integrable system is only the harmonic map equation in  $H/G_0$  and a kind of Dirac equation in  $\mathbb{C}^n (\cong (\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, J_0))$ . In particular, if we apply any method of integrable systems theory using loop groups (DPW, Dressing action etc..) or something else (spectral curves) to the second elliptic system in  $G/G_0$  and then project in the group part ( $\text{pr}: H \ltimes \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow H$ ), we obtain the same method applied to the first elliptic integrable system in  $H/G_0$  i.e. the harmonic map equation in  $H/G_0$ . For example, if we apply the DPW method: given  $\mu = (\mu_{\mathfrak{h}}, \mu_{\mathfrak{m}})$  a holomorphic potential, we have  $\text{pr}(\mathcal{W}_{G/G_0}(\mu)) = \mathcal{W}_{H/G_0}(\mu_{\mathfrak{h}})$  where  $\mathcal{W}_{G/G_0}, \mathcal{W}_{H/G_0}$  are the Weierstrass representations for each elliptic system. Hence to solve the second elliptic system, we can first solve the harmonic map equation in  $H/G_0$ , by using any method of integrable systems theory which gives us a lift  $h$  in  $H$  of a harmonic map in  $H/G_0$ , and then we have to solve the Dirac equation with parameters  $u_0, u_2$  given by the lift:  $h^{-1}\partial_{\bar{z}}h = u_0 + u_2$  following  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  (see [12]). However, the Dirac equation is not intrinsic since it depends on the lift  $h$  of the harmonic map (see [12]).

In the particular case where  $S_0$  is a group and  $H = G_0 \times S_0$ , (for example  $S_0 = G_0 \times G_0/G_0$ ), then we have a canonical lift and then the Dirac equation becomes intrinsic (see [12]). It is in particular what happens for Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces: in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  we have an intrinsic Dirac equation whereas in the others Hermitian symmetric spaces this equation does not exist (see [7, 8, 9]). It is also what happens in [12] when we take for  $S_0$  the subsphere  $S^3 \subset S^6$  ( $S^6$  embeds in  $\Sigma^+(\mathbb{R}^8)$  by the left multiplication in  $\mathbb{O}$ ).

## 5 Examples of 4-symmetric bundles

We use the notations of section 3.1.

## 5.1 The sphere

Let us consider  $M = S^{2n} = SO(2n+1)/SO(2n)$  with  $G = SO(2n+1)$ ,  $H = SO(2n)$  and the involution  $\sigma = \text{Int}(\text{diag}(\text{Id}_{2n}, -1))$ . Then  $G^\sigma = SO(2n) \sqcup O^-(2n) \times \{-1\}$ . Hence  $H = (H^\sigma)^0$ ,  $M_{min} = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{2n}$  and  $M_{max} = S^{2n}$ .<sup>18</sup> We have also

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(2n), \quad \mathfrak{m} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ -v^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \right\} = \{i_{\mathfrak{m}}(v), v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\}$$

where  $i_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$  is defined in an obvious way. Now, let us consider the action of  $H$  on  $\mathfrak{m}$ : for  $h \in SO(2n)$ ,  $\xi = i_{\mathfrak{m}}(v) \in \mathfrak{m}$ , we have

$$\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}h(\xi) = i_{\mathfrak{m}}(h.v)$$

hence  $K = \ker \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{\text{Id}\}$  and the action of  $G$  is effective (in fact  $SO(2n+1)$  is simple because  $2n+1$  is odd). Identifying  $\mathfrak{m}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  via  $i_{\mathfrak{m}}$  we have:  $\forall h \in SO(2n)$ ,  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}h = h$  i.e.  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Id}$ . Moreover  $SO(2n+1)$  is the connected isometry group of  $S^{2n}$ . Now, according to theorem 7, define a locally 4-symmetric bundle over  $M = S^{2n}$  is equivalent to give ourself  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) = \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$ . Further, given  $J_0 \in \Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , let us define the order four automorphism of  $G$ :  $\tau = \text{Int}(\text{diag}(-J_0, 1))$ . Then  $\tau^2 = \sigma$  and since  $\tau_H = \text{Int}J_0$  and  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}} = J_0$ , we obtain all the locally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$  which are all globally 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$ .

Moreover, we have  $G^\tau = \text{Com}(J_0) \cap SO(2n) = U(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, J_0)$ . Hence  $G^\tau = (G^\tau)^0 = G_0$  thus  $S_0 = H/G_0 = \text{Int}(SO(2n))(J_0) = \Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  and thus  $N = G/G_0 = \Sigma^\varepsilon(S^{2n})$ .

## 5.2 Real Grassmannian

More generally, let  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $pq$  is even and let us consider  $M = SO(p+q)/SO(p) \times SO(q) = Gr_p(\mathbb{R}^{p+q})$  (oriented  $p$ -planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ ). Since  $p$  and  $q$  play symmetric roles, we will suppose that  $p$  is even and that it has the biggest divisor in the form  $2^r$ . We have  $\dim M = pq$  and the following setting

$$G = SO(p+q), \quad H = SO(p) \times SO(q); \quad \sigma = \text{Int}(\text{diag}(\text{Id}_p, -\text{Id}_q)) \text{ and} \\ G^\sigma = SO(p) \times SO(q) \sqcup O^-(p) \times O^-(q).$$

Then  $H = (G^\sigma)^0$  so that  $M_{min} = Gr_p^*(\mathbb{R}^{p+q})$  (non-oriented  $p$ -planes in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ )

and  $M_{max} = Gr_p(\mathbb{R}^{p+q}) = M$ . Besides  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(q)$ , and  $\mathfrak{m} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) \right\} =$

$i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}))$  ( $i_{\mathfrak{m}}$  defined in an obvious way).

Now let us compute  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . For  $h = \text{diag}(A, C)$  and  $\xi = i_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)$ , we have:

$$\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}h(\xi) = i_{\mathfrak{m}}(ABC^{-1}).$$

Under the identification  $i_{\mathfrak{m}}$  we have  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(A, C) = L_A R_{C^{-1}} = \chi(A, C)$ , by introducing the morphism  $\chi: (A, C) \in GL_p(\mathbb{R}) \times GL_q(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto L(A)R(C^{-1}) \in$

<sup>18</sup>  $M_{max}$  is simply connected and  $M_{min}$  is the adjoint space.

$GL(\mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Hence  $K = \ker \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{\pm \text{Id}\}$  if  $q$  is even and  $K = \{\text{Id}\}$  if not. Thus the connected isometry group of  $M$ ,  $\text{Is}(M)^0$ , is  $G' = G/K = PSO(p+q)$  if  $q$  is even and  $G' = G = SO(p+q)$  if not. Let us compute  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$ : we already know that  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) \supset H \supset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^0$ . But, it is well known that the automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{so}(n+1)$  are all inner automorphisms by  $O(n+1)$  so we have  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) = \{L_A R_{C^{-1}}, (A, C) \in O(p) \times O(q)\}$ . Thus  $J_0 = L(J_1)R(J_2^{-1}) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  is in  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  if and only if :

$$\begin{cases} (J_1^2, J_2^2) = \pm(-\text{Id}_p, \text{Id}_q) & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ (J_1^2, J_2^2) = (-\text{Id}_p, \text{Id}_q) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then the associated order four automorphism is  $\tau = \text{Int}(\text{diag}(J_1, J_2))$ . In particular,  $\tau(H) = H$  and  $\tau_H = \text{Int}J_1 \times \text{Int}J_2$ . Besides,  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) \cap \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  has respectively  $2(p+q+2)$  or  $2(q+1)$  connected components if  $q$  is even or  $q$  is odd respectively. Each connected component is an  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$ -orbit and corresponds to the fibre of a different maximal 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$ .

Moreover to fix ideas let us suppose that we have  $J_1 \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^p)$ ,  $J_2 \in OS(\mathbb{R}^q)$ , the set of orthogonal symmetries in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , then  $G^\tau = U(\mathbb{R}^p, J_1) \times S(O(E_1) \times O(E_2))$  with  $E_1 = \ker(J_2 - \text{Id})$ ,  $E_2 = \ker(J_2 + \text{Id})$ . We have  $G^\tau \subset H$ . Let  $OS_r(\mathbb{R}^q) = \text{Int}(SO(q))(\text{Id}_r, -\text{Id}_{q-r})$  be the set of orthogonal symmetries in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  with  $\dim E_1 = r$ . Then  $H/G^\tau = \text{Int}(H)(J_1, J_2) = \Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^p) \times OS_r(\mathbb{R}^q)$  ( $\varepsilon$  being determined by  $J_1$ ) and

$$G/G^\tau = \{(x, J), x \in M, J \in \Sigma^\varepsilon(x) \times OS_r(x^\perp)\}. \quad (21)$$

Now let us compute  $G_0$  according to (11):  $h = (A, C) \in H$  is in  $G_0$  if and only if  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\tau(h) = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}h$  i.e.: if  $q$  is odd,  $\tau(h) = h$ , and  $G_0 = G^\tau \cap H = G^\tau$ ; if  $q$  is even,  $\tau(h) = \pm h$  (and  $G_0 = \pi_K^{-1}(G'_0)$  with  $G'_0 = G'^{\tau'} \cap H'$ ), i.e.  $h \in G^\tau$  or  $\tau(h) = -h$ . The existence of solutions of this last equation depends on  $p, q$  and  $r$  (we remark that if  $h_1$  is a solution then the set of solutions is  $h_1 G^\tau$ ). One finds that the equation  $\tau(h) = -h$  ( $q$  is even) has a solution in  $G^\sigma$  if and only if  $\dim E_1 = \dim E_2 = q/2$  and that this solution is in  $H$  if  $p/2$  is even and in  $O^-(p) \times O^-(q)$  (the other component of  $G^\sigma$ ) if  $p/2$  is odd. Hence, if  $p$  is divisible by 4,  $q$  is even and  $r = q/2$  (i.e.  $J_0 \in \chi(\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^p) \times OS_{q/2}(\mathbb{R}^q))$ ), we have  $G_0 = G^\tau \sqcup h_1 G^\tau$ . In all the other cases we have  $G_0 = G^\tau$ .

In conclusion, let us denote by  $N^L(r, \varepsilon) := N(J_0)$  (resp.  $N^R(r, \varepsilon)$ ) the maximal 4-symmetric bundle over  $M$  corresponding to  $J_0 \in \chi(\Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^p) \times OS_r(\mathbb{R}^q))$  (resp.  $\chi(OS_r(\mathbb{R}^p) \times \Sigma^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^q))$ ). Then:

- if  $p$  is not divisible by 4 or  $q$  is odd,  $N^\alpha(r, \varepsilon)$  is given by (21), for all  $(\alpha, r, \varepsilon)$ ,
- if  $p$  is divisible by 4,  $q$  even not divisible by 4 then for  $(\alpha, r) \neq (L, q/2)$ ,  $N^\alpha(r, \varepsilon)$  is given by (21) and for  $(\alpha, r) = (L, q/2)$  it is given by (22), below,
- if  $p$  and  $q$  are divisible by 4, then for  $(\alpha, r) \in \{(L, q/2), (R, p/2)\}$ ,  $N^\alpha(r, \varepsilon)$  is given by (22), and for the other choices it is given by (21),

$$\begin{aligned} N^L(r, \varepsilon) &= \{(x, J), x \in M, J \in P(\Sigma^\varepsilon(x) \times OS_r(x^\perp))\} \\ N^R(r, \varepsilon) &= \{(x, J), x \in M, J \in P(OS_r(x) \times \Sigma^\varepsilon(x^\perp))\} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $P(\Sigma^\varepsilon(x) \times OS_r(x^\perp)) = \Sigma^\varepsilon(x) \times OS_r(x^\perp)/\{\pm \text{Id}\}$ . In the cases described by (22),  $G/G^\tau$  is not a submanifold of  $\Sigma(M)$ .

### 5.3 Complex Grassmannian

Let us consider  $M = SU(p+q)/S(U(p) \times U(q)) = Gr_{p,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{p+q})$ . We have  $\dim M = 2pq$  and the following setting

$$G = SU(p+q), \quad H = S(U(p) \times U(q)); \quad \sigma = \text{Int}(\text{diag}(\text{Id}_p, -\text{Id}_q)) \text{ and} \\ G^\sigma = H = (G^\sigma)^0.$$

Besides  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(p) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(q))$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}) \right\} = i_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}))$ .

Let us compute  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . For  $h = \text{diag}(A, C)$  and  $\xi = i_{\mathfrak{m}}(B)$ , we have:

$$\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}h(\xi) = i_{\mathfrak{m}}(ABC^{-1}).$$

Under the identification  $i_{\mathfrak{m}}$  we have  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(A, C) = L_A R_{C^{-1}} = \chi(A, C)$ , by introducing the morphism  $\chi: (A, C) \in GL_p(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_q(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto L(A)R(C^{-1}) \in GL(\mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}))$ <sup>19</sup>. Hence  $K = \ker \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{(\lambda \text{Id}_p, \lambda \text{Id}_q), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda^{p+q} = 1\} = \hat{U}_{p+q} \text{Id} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p+q}$  (with  $\hat{U}_{p+q} = \exp(\frac{2i\pi}{p+q}\mathbb{Z})$ ). Thus  $G' = G/K = PSU(p+q)$  and  $H' = S(U(p) \times U(q))/\hat{U}_{p+q} \simeq S(U(p) \times U(q))$ . The connected isometry group is the unitary group of  $M$ :  $\text{Is}(M)^0 = U(M) = G' = PSU(p+q)$ .

It is well known that the group of automorphisms of  $SU(p+q)$  has two components (the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear one and the anti- $\mathbb{C}$ -linear one) and is generated by the inner automorphisms and the complex conjugation:  $g \in SU(p+q) \mapsto \bar{g} \in SU(p+q)$ .

In particular,  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H \rtimes \{\text{Id}, c\} = \chi(S(U(p) \times U(q)) \cdot \{(\text{Id}, \text{Id}), (b_p, b_q)\})$  with  $c = L(b_p)R(b_q^{-1}) : B \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \bar{B} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $b_n : v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mapsto \bar{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

The complex structure in  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{gl}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C})$  is defined by  $L(I_p) = R(I_q)$  where  $I_n = i\text{Id}_n$  is the canonical complex structure in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and the two connected components of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  are respectively the elements in  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})$  which commute and those which anticommute with this complex structure.

Moreover,  $J_0 = L(J_1)R(J_2^{-1}) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^0 = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is in  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  if and only if  $(J_1^2, J_2^2) \in (-\text{Id}_p, \text{Id}_q)U(1)$ . Then let us set  $\Sigma_\lambda = \{(J_1, J_2) \in U(p) \times U(q) \mid (J_1^2, J_2^2) = \lambda(-\text{Id}_p, \text{Id}_q)\}$ . Then we have  $\chi(\Sigma_\lambda) = \chi(\Sigma_0)$  for all  $\lambda \in U(1)$  since  $\Sigma_\lambda = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\Sigma_0$  with  $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$  a root of  $\lambda$ . Thus according to the following lemma,  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^0 \cap \Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  has  $(p+1)(q+1)$  connected components, which are  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$ -orbits and correspond to the fibres of different maximal 4-symmetric bundles over  $M$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let  $J \in U(n)$ , then  $J^2 = -\text{Id}$  (resp.  $J^2 = \text{Id}$ ) if and only if there exists  $h \in U(n)$  such that  $hJh^{-1} = \text{diag}(i\text{Id}_l, -i\text{Id}_{n-l})$  for some  $l \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  (resp.  $hJh^{-1} = \text{diag}(\text{Id}_r, -\text{Id}_{n-r})$  for some  $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ ).*

<sup>19</sup>For the following it useful to keep in mind that we have  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H = \chi(S(U(p) \times U(q))) = \chi(U(p) \times U(q))$  and  $\ker \chi = \mathbb{C}^* \text{Id}$ .

Then the order four automorphism corresponding to  $J_0$  is  $\tau = \text{Int}(\text{diag}(J_1, J_2))$ , with<sup>20</sup>  $J_1 \in \text{Ad}U(p)(i\mathbb{I}_{l,p-l}) \cong iGr_{l,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^p)$ ,  $J_2 \in \text{Ad}U(q)(\mathbb{I}_{r,q-r}) \cong Gr_{r,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ . Hence  $G^\tau = S(U(l) \times U(p-l) \times U(r) \times U(q-r))$ ; the fibre of the 4-symmetric space  $G/G^\tau$  is  $H/G^\tau = Gr_{l,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^p) \times Gr_{r,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ , and

$$G/G^\tau = \{(x, J), x \in Gr_{p,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}), J \in Gr_{l,\mathbb{C}}(x) \times Gr_{r,\mathbb{C}}(x^\perp)\}. \quad (23)$$

Further,  $G_0$  is defined by:  $\text{Ad}_m \tau(h) = h, h \in H$ , i.e.  $(J_1 A J_1^{-1}, J_2 C J_2^{-1}) = \lambda(A, C)$  for some  $\lambda \in K$ . But it is easy to see that we must have  $\lambda^2 = 1$  and thus  $\tau(h) = \pm h$ . One finds that  $\tau(h) = -h$  has solutions if and only if  $p, q$  are even and  $l = p/2, r = q/2$ . Finally, in the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear case, the maximal 4-symmetric bundle  $N = G/G_0$  is given by

$$G/G_0 = \{(x, J), x \in Gr_{p,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}), J \in Gr_{l,\mathbb{C}}(x) \times Gr_{r,\mathbb{C}}(x^\perp)/\mathbb{Z}_2\} \quad (24)$$

if  $p, q$  are even and  $l = p/2, r = q/2$ , and by (23) in all the other cases.

In the antilinear case,  $J_0 = L(J_1)R(J_2^{-1}) \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^0.c$ , with  $(J_1, J_2) = (J'_1 b_p, J'_2 b_q)$ , is in  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m})$  if and only if  $(J_1^2, J_2^2) = (J'_1 \overline{J'_1}, J'_2 \overline{J'_2}) \in (-\text{Id}_p, \text{Id}_q).U(1)$ . It is easy to see that we can only have

$$(J_1^2, J_2^2) = \pm(-\text{Id}_p, \text{Id}_q). \quad (25)$$

Hence according to the following lemma:

- if  $p, q$  are odd then  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap (\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^0.c) = \emptyset$ ,
- if  $p, q$  are even then the two signs  $\pm$  are realized in (25) and thus  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap (\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^0.c)$  has 2 connected components,
- if  $p, q$  have opposite parities, then only one sign is realized in (25) and  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{m}) \cap (\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{m})^0.c)$  has one component.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a Lagrangian  $n$ -plane, i.e.  $E \oplus iE = \mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $b_E$  be the associated conjugation:  $v + iw \mapsto v - iw$  for  $v, w \in E$ . Then  $U(n).b_E = b_E.U(n)$  does not depend on  $E$  and is the set of anti- $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isometries in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (the elements in  $O(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  which anticommute with the complex structure  $I = i\text{Id}$ ). Moreover for any  $J$  in this set there exists a Lagrangian  $n$ -plane  $E$  such that  $J = J_E.b_E = b_E.J_E$  with  $J_E \in O(E)$ . Besides  $J \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  (resp.  $OS(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ ) if and only if  $J_E \in \Sigma(E)$  (resp.  $OS(E)$ ). In particular  $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap (U(n).b_E) \neq \emptyset$  only if  $n$  is even, moreover  $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap (U(n).b_E) \subset \Sigma^+(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . Then given any  $J_1 \in \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (resp.  $OS(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) there exists  $h \in U(n)$  such that  $h.E = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $hJ_E h^{-1} = J_1$  and thus  $hJh^{-1} = J_1.b_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ .*

Then the order four automorphism corresponding to  $J_0$  is  $\tau = \text{Int}(\text{diag}(J_1, J_2))$  with  $J_1 \in \text{Ad}U(p)(J_{\frac{p}{2}}.b_p)$ ,  $J_2 \in \text{Ad}U(q)(b_q)$  and  $J_{\frac{p}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id}_{\frac{p}{2}} \\ -\text{Id}_{\frac{p}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In other words  $J_1$  is any complex structure in  $\mathbb{R}^{2p}$  anticommuting with  $I_p$  and  $J_2$  is any orthogonal conjugation in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . Hence, we have  $G^\tau = Sp(p/2) \times SO(q)$ . Hence

---

<sup>20</sup> $\mathbb{I}_{l,p-l} = \text{diag}(\text{Id}_l, -\text{Id}_{p-l})$

$U(p) \times U(q)/G^\tau = \Sigma^+(\mathbb{C}^p)_- \times \text{Lag}(\mathbb{C}^q)$  where  $\Sigma^+(\mathbb{C}^p)_- = \Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{2p}) \cap \text{Ant}(I_p)$  are the complex structures in  $\mathbb{R}^{2p}$  anticommuting with  $I_p$  and  $\text{Lag}(\mathbb{C}^q)$  are the oriented Lagrangian planes in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ . Thus we have:

$$H/G^\tau = S(\Sigma^+(\mathbb{C}^p)_- \times \text{Lag}(\mathbb{C}^q)) := \{(J, P) \in \Sigma^+(\mathbb{C}^p)_- \times \text{Lag}(\mathbb{C}^q) \mid \det_{\mathbb{C}}(J)\det_{\mathbb{C}}(P) = 1\}.$$

It is easy to define  $\det_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\text{Lag}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ ; and for  $\Sigma^+(\mathbb{C}^p)_-$ , we set  $\det_{\mathbb{C}}(J) = \det_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$  for  $E$  any Lagrangian  $n$ -plane invariant by  $J$  (definition independent on the choice of  $E$ ). Then

$$G/G^\tau = \{(x, J, P), x \in \text{Gr}_{p,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}), (J, P) \in \Sigma^+(x)_- \times \text{Lag}(x^\perp)\}.$$

Let us compute  $G/G_0$ . We have to solve for  $(A, C) \in U(p) \times U(q)$ :  $(J_{\frac{p}{2}} \bar{A} J_{\frac{p}{2}}^{-1}, \bar{C}) = \lambda(A, C)$  for  $\lambda \in U(1)$  whose the solutions are  $\pm \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(Sp(p/2) \times O(q))$ . Hence we have  $G'_0 = G_0/K = \chi(U(1)(Sp(p/2) \times O(q))) = \chi(Sp(p/2) \times O(q)) =$

$$\begin{cases} \chi(Sp(p/2) \times SO(q)) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \\ \chi(G^\tau) \sqcup h_1 \chi(G^\tau) & \text{if } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $G'/G'_0 = G/G_0 = U(p+q)/(U(1)(Sp(p/2) \times O(q))) = PSU(p+q)/P(Sp(p/2) \times O(q))$  hence  $N = G/G_0$  is equal to  $(G/G^\tau)/\mathbb{Z}_{p+q}$  if  $q$  is odd and to  $(G/G^\tau)/\mathbb{Z}_{2(p+q)}$  if  $q$  is even.

## 6 Appendix

**Theorem 20** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with an involution  $\sigma$ . If  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}(G^\sigma)^0$  is compact (resp. relatively compact) then  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is compact (resp. relatively compact) for any  $H$  such that  $(G^\sigma)^0 \subset H \subset G^\sigma$ .*

**Proof.** According to [1] (lemma 2.7),  $(G^\sigma)/(G^\sigma)^0$  is finite hence  $H/(G^\sigma)^0$  is finite and the theorem follows.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 3** *We give ourselves the same setting and notations as in remark 7. If  $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{G}^\sigma)^0$  satisfies:  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\tilde{H}$  is compact (resp. relatively compact), then for any symmetric pair  $(G, H)$ ,  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is compact (resp. relatively compact). In other words if one symmetric pair (associated to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ ) is Riemannian then all the others are also.*

**Proof.** Since  $\tilde{G}$  is simply connected, it is the universal covering of  $G$  and we have a covering  $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ . Then  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\tilde{H} = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H^0$  (there are connected with the same Lie algebra) hence  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H^0$  is compact and then according to the previous theorem,  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is compact.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4** *Let  $(G, H)$  be a symmetric pair with involution  $\sigma$  and  $\tau: G \rightarrow G$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Then if  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is compact (resp. relatively compact) then the subgroup generated by  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  and  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ,  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H, \tau|_{\mathfrak{m}})$  is compact (resp. relatively compact).*

**Proof.** We have  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma})\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}\tau(G^{\sigma}) = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma}$ . Hence  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma}, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}) = (\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma})\text{Gr}(\tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$  which is (relatively) compact because so is  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma}$ , according to theorem 20, and then  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma}, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$  is (relatively) compact because since  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}}) \supset (\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H)\text{Gr}(\tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$  then  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma}/\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is a covering of  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma}, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}})/\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$  which is consequently finite. ■

**Theorem 21** *Let  $(G, H)$  be a symmetric pair with involution  $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$  and  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  an order four automorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ . Then if  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is relatively compact then the subgroup generated by  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  and  $\tau_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ,  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$  is relatively compact.*

**Proof.** Let  $G' = \text{Ad}G$ , then  $C := \ker \text{Ad} = \text{center of } G$  and we can identify  $\text{Ad}$  to the covering  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/C$  and  $G'$  to  $G/C$ . The automorphism  $\sigma$  gives rise to  $\sigma': G' \rightarrow G'$  such that  $\sigma' \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$ . Besides the automorphism  $\tau$  integrates in  $G'$  into  $\tau'$  defined by  $\tau' = \text{Int}\tau: \text{Ad}g \in G' \mapsto \tau \circ \text{Ad}g \circ \tau^{-1}$  and we have  $\tau' \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tau$  and  $\tau'^2 = \sigma'$ . Then according to corollary 4,  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G'^{\sigma'}, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$  is relatively compact since according to corollary 3,  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G'^{\sigma'}$  is relatively compact because  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  is so. Moreover we have  $G'^{\sigma'} \supset \pi(G^{\sigma})$  then (since  $\text{Ad}\pi(g) = \text{Ad}g \forall g \in G$ )  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G'^{\sigma'} \supset \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G^{\sigma} \supset \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H$  thus  $\text{Gr}(\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H, \tau_{\mathfrak{m}})$  is relatively compact. ■

**Theorem 22** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$  be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra<sup>21</sup> such that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$  contains no ideal  $\neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then for any symmetric pair  $(G, H)$  associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ , the associated symmetric space  $M = G/H$  is Riemannian. Moreover let  $\tilde{G}$  be the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}$  integrating  $\sigma$ ,  $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{G}^{\tilde{\sigma}})^0$  and  $\tilde{C}$  the center of  $\tilde{G}$ . Then we have  $\tilde{H} = \tilde{G}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ . Further, for any subgroup  $S$  of  $\tilde{C}$  put*

$$H_S = \{g \in \tilde{G} \mid \tilde{\sigma}(g) \in g.S\}.$$

*The symmetric spaces  $M$  associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$  (i.e.  $(G, H)$  is associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ ) are exactly the spaces  $M = G/H$  with*

$$G = \tilde{G}/S \quad \text{and} \quad H = H^*/S \tag{26}$$

*where  $S$  varies through all  $\tilde{\sigma}$ -invariant subgroups of  $\tilde{C}$  and  $H^*$  varies through all  $\tilde{\sigma}$ -invariant subgroups of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $\tilde{H}S \subset H^* \subset H_S$ . Hence, all the symmetric spaces  $M = G/H = \tilde{G}/H^*$  associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$  cover the adjoint space of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ :  $M' = G'/G'^{\sigma'} = \tilde{G}/H_{\tilde{C}}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ <sup>22</sup> and are covered by  $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$  (the universal covering):*

$$\tilde{M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'. \tag{27}$$

*Besides if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an  $\text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}G'^{\sigma'}$ -invariant inner product then it is invariant by  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H = \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{m}}H^*$  for any  $H$  described above, and the coverings (27) are Riemannian, when  $M, \tilde{M}, M'$  are endowed with the corresponding metrics.*

<sup>21</sup>i.e.  $\sigma$  is an involutive automorphism and  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$  is compactly embedded in  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see [10])

<sup>22</sup>with the notation of the proof of theorem 21. For any  $(G, H)$  symmetric pair associated with  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ , we have  $G' = \text{Ad}G = \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$  the group of inner automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see [10]) and  $\sigma$  induces an automorphism  $\sigma'$  of  $G' = \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Proof.** We have only to prove  $\tilde{H} = \tilde{G}^{\tilde{\sigma}}$ , which follows from [1] (lemma 2.7). All the rest is an adaptation of [10] (Ch. VII, thm 9.1) using what precedes. This completes the proof. ■

**Acknowledgements** The author wishes to thank Francis Burstall for his suggestions which allowed him to improve the first version of this paper.

## References

- [1] J. An, Z. Wang, *On the realization of Riemannian symmetric spaces in Lie groups II* preprint arXiv: math/0504120.
- [2] A.L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987.
- [3] F.E. Burstall, F. Pedit, *Harmonic maps via Adler-Kostant-Symes Theory*, Harmonic maps and integrable systems, A.P. Fordy, J.C. Wood (Eds.), Vieweg (1994), 221-272.
- [4] F.E. Burstall and J.H. Rawnsley, *Twistor theory for Riemannian Symmetric Spaces with applications to harmonic maps of Riemann Surfaces* Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1424, Springer, 1990.
- [5] J. Dieudonné, *Éléments d'analyse, Tome 2*, Gauthiers-Villars.
- [6] J. Dorfmeister, F. Pedit and H.-Y. Wu, *Weierstrass type representation of harmonic maps into symmetric spaces*, Comm. in Analysis and Geometry, 6(4) (1998), p. 633-668.
- [7] F. Hélein and P. Romon, *Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Comm. in Analysis and Geometry Vol. 10, N. 1, 2002, p. 79-126.
- [8] F. Hélein and P. Romon, *Weierstrass representation of Lagrangian surfaces in four dimensional spaces using spinors and quaternions*, Comment. Math. Helv., 75 (2000), p. 668-680.
- [9] F. Hélein and P. Romon, *Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in Hermitian symmetric spaces*, in *Differential Geometry and Integrable Systems*, M. Guest, R. Miyaoka, and Y. Ohnita, Editors-AMS, 2002.
- [10] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie group and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, Inc., 1978.
- [11] J.A. Jimenez, *Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.306, No.2. (Apr.,1988), pp. 715-734.
- [12] I. Khemar, *Surfaces isotropes de  $\mathbb{O}$  et systèmes intégrables.*, J. Differential Geometry **79** (2008), no. 3, 479-516.



- [13] I. Khemar, *Supersymmetric Harmonic Maps into Symmetric Spaces*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 57 (2007) 1601-1630.
- [14] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop Groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [15] C.L. Terng, *Geometries and Symmetries of Soliton Equations and Integrable Elliptic Equations*, preprint arXiv:math.DG/0212372.
- [16] J.A. Wolf and A. Gray, *Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms*. I, II, J. Differential Geom. **2** (1968), 77-159.

**Idrisse Khemar**

**TU Munich, Zentrum Mathematik (M8), Boltzmannstr. 3, 85747 Garching, Germany**

**e-mail: khemar@math.jussieu.fr, khemar@ma.tum.de**